

Branching problem on winding subalgebras of affine Kac-Moody algebras $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$

Duc Khanh Nguyen

Abstract

We consider an affine Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . Let $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ be a winding subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Given Λ (resp. λ) in the set P_+ (resp. \dot{P}_+) of dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} (resp. $\mathfrak{g}[u]$), we denote by $L(\Lambda)$ (resp. $\dot{L}(\lambda)$) the integrable highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module (resp. $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ -module) with highest weight Λ (resp. λ). The \mathfrak{g} -module $L(\Lambda)$ decomposes as a direct sums of weight spaces $L(\Lambda)_\mu$ ($\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$). It also decomposes as a direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ -modules $\dot{L}(\lambda)$. We are interested in the supports of these decompositions $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. We show that they are semigroups. Let $P(\Lambda)$ (resp. $P_{\mathfrak{g},u}(\Lambda)$) be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (resp. \dot{P}_+) such that $L(\Lambda)_\lambda \neq 0$ (resp. $\dot{L}(\lambda) \subset L(\Lambda)$). Let δ be the basis imaginary root of \mathfrak{g} . For each $\lambda \in P(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$ (resp. $P_{\mathfrak{g},u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$), we set $b_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ (resp. $b_{\Lambda,\lambda,u}$) the complex number b such that $\lambda + b\delta \in P(A)$ (resp. $P_{\mathfrak{g},u}(\Lambda)$) and $\lambda + (b+n)\delta \notin P(\Lambda)$ (resp. $P_{\mathfrak{g},u}(\Lambda)$) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$, we determine explicitly the number $b_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ and a set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ of λ satisfying $b_{\Lambda,\lambda,u} = b_{\Lambda,\lambda}$. This help us realize the relation between $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ and its saturated setting.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B67, 17B10 (primary), 22E65 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Affine Kac-Moody algebras, winding subalgebras, branching rule.

1 Introduction

One of the most important question in representation theory is how an irreducible module of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed when we consider it as a representation of some given Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Assume first that \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g} are finite dimensional and semi-simple. Then, the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules (resp. \mathfrak{g} -modules) are parametrized by the semi-group P_+ (resp. \dot{P}_+) of dominant integral weights. Given $\Lambda \in P_+$, under the action of \mathfrak{g} , the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module $L(\Lambda)$ of highest weight Λ decomposes as

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \dot{P}_+} \dot{L}(\lambda)^{mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)}, \quad (1)$$

where $mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of $\dot{L}(\lambda)$ in $L(\Lambda)$. Understanding the number $mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is referred as the branching problem. For example, for \mathfrak{g} diagonally embedded in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, the coefficients $mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ are the multiplicities of the tensor product decomposition of two irreducible representations of \mathfrak{g} . If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ then \dot{P}_+ identifies with the set of non-increasing sequences $\nu = (\nu_1 \geq \dots \geq \nu_n)$ of n integers and the coefficients are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$. If \mathfrak{g} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , the multiplicities $mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ are the Kostka coefficients. The support

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+ : mult_{\Lambda,\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) \neq 0\}$$

of these multiplicities is also a fascinating object. Actually, it is a finitely generated semi-group that generates a polyhedral convex cone (see [Bri13]). For $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ diagonally embedded in $\mathfrak{g} = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \times \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ this cone is the famous Horn cone. Its description has a very long and rich story (see [Bri15], [Bri13], [Kum14], [BK06], [Res10]).

In this paper, we are interested in similar questions for affine Kac-Moody algebras. Assume now that \mathfrak{g} is an affine Kac-Moody algebra and consider integrable highest weight \mathfrak{g} -modules $L(\Lambda)$ as module over some subalgebra $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$. In the following three cases, we have decompositions similar to (1) with finite multiplicities:

1. $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} ;
2. $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ diagonally embedded in $\mathfrak{g} = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \times \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$; case of tensor product decomposition.
3. $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a winding subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} introduced by V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto in [KW90].

Recently, several authors studied $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \dot{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the case of the tensor product decomposition of affine (or symmetrizable) Kac-Moody Lie algebras (see [Res17], [KR17], [Lit94], [BK14]).

Here, we start a study of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \dot{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the winding case. This case is important and interesting since its relation with the tensor product decomposition (see [KW90]) and a solution to Frenkel's conjecture (see [KW90], [Fre82]). Fix a winding subalgebra $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[u]$ of \mathfrak{g} for some given positive integer u . It is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} but nontrivially embedded (the embedding depends on u) (see [KW90] or subsection 4.1 for details).

Let δ be the basic imaginary root and d be the scaling element, for any set $S \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by \overline{S} the subset of all $\lambda \in S + \mathbb{C}\delta$ such that $\lambda(d) = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by S^k the subset of S of weights of level k .

Let P (resp. \dot{P}) be the set of all integral weights of \mathfrak{g} (resp. $\mathfrak{g}[u]$). The subset of dominant integral weights of P is denoted by P_+ . For $\Lambda \in P_+$ (resp. $\lambda \in \dot{P}_+$), let $L(\Lambda)$ (resp. $\dot{L}(\lambda)$) be the irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module (resp. $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ -module) with highest weight Λ (resp. λ).

Let Q be the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} . Fix $\Lambda \in P_+$. Let $P(\Lambda)$ be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. For each $\lambda \in P(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$, there exist a unique number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ such that $\lambda + b_{\Lambda, \lambda}\delta \in P(\Lambda)$ and $\lambda + (b_{\Lambda, \lambda} + n)\delta \notin P(\Lambda)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $P_{A, u}(\Lambda)$ be the set of all $\lambda \in \dot{P}_+$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. Since $P_{A, u}(\Lambda) \subset P(\Lambda)$ (by 0.5 page 5 of [KW90]), for each $\lambda \in P_{A, u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$, there exist a unique number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}$ such that $\lambda + b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}\delta \in P_{A, u}(\Lambda)$ and $\lambda + (b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} + n)\delta \notin P_{A, u}(\Lambda)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Our first main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *As a subset of $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$, the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ is a semigroup.*

For the cases \mathfrak{g} is of type $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$, we can compute explicitly the number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ in Propositions 3.3 and 3.5. Let Λ_0 be the 0-th fundamental weight and α be the second simple root. The results below help us to understand the branching rule in these two particular cases.

Theorem 1.2. *For Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\dot{P}_+}$. If there exists $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta)$ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ then $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ for some $j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z})$. If moreover*

1. $j \leq j' \leq um - j$ and u is even; or
2. $j \leq j' \leq um - (m - j)$ and u is odd,

then

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1.3. For Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_2^{(2)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\dot{P}_+}$. If there exists $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta)$ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ then $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ for some $j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. If moreover

1. $j' \leq j$; and
2. $j' \in \frac{m(u-1)}{2} - j + (2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{< 0})$,

then

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}. \quad (3)$$

For Λ like in Theorems 1.2, 1.3, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ the set λ such that the theorem applies. Let $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+$. To understand $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$, we study the set

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) = \{b \in \mathbb{C} \mid \dot{L}(\lambda + b\delta) \subset L(\Lambda)\}. \quad (4)$$

For each $\lambda \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$, we define a number $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]}$ in (164). With $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$, we can describe the set $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda)$ as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$ or $A_2^{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+^m}$, $u > 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$. We have

1. $b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) \subset b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
2. If in addition $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) = b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Set

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) = \{(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+ \mid \lambda \in \Lambda + Q, \dot{L}(N\lambda) \subset L(N\Lambda) \text{ for some integer } N > 1\}. \quad (5)$$

Corollary 1.5. Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$ or $A_2^{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+^m}$, $u > 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \cap (\Lambda + Q)$. For all $b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

1. $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) \Leftrightarrow d(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.
2. If in addition $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) \Leftrightarrow (\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prepare fundamental knowledge of affine Kac-Moody algebras. In Section 3, we present results around branching on Cartan subalgebras. We prove that the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a semigroup. We also compute the set of maximal weights $\max(\Lambda)$ and the numbers $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ in Propositions 3.3, 3.5 for the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of winding subalgebras. The main results of this article, Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 above, will be presented in this section.

Contents

1 Introduction

1

2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Generalized Cartan matrix of affine type	4
2.2	Realization of a generalized Cartan matrix	5
2.3	Affine Kac-Moody algebras	5
2.4	Weyl group	5
2.5	Realization of affine Kac-Moody algebras	6
2.6	Dominant integral weights and integrable irreducible modules	7
3	Branching on Cartan subalgebras	7
3.1	About the character ch_Λ	8
3.2	About the set of weights $P(\Lambda)$	8
3.2.1	Semigroup structure	8
3.2.2	Computation for the case $A_1^{(1)}$	9
3.2.3	Computation for the case $A_2^{(2)}$	10
4	Branching on winding subalgebras	11
4.1	Winding subalgebras of an affine Kac-Moody algebra	12
4.2	The set of weights $P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$	13
4.3	Character method	13
4.3.1	The Virasoro algebra	13
4.3.2	An identity of characters	14
4.4	Semigroup structure	14
4.5	About the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$	16
4.5.1	The set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ for the case $A_1^{(1)}$	17
4.5.2	The set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ for the case $A_2^{(2)}$	21
4.5.3	The set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$	25
	Acknowledgements	27
	References	27

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic fact about affine Kac-Moody algebras in [Car05], [Kac90], [KW90].

2.1 Generalized Cartan matrix of affine type

Set $I = \{0, \dots, l\}$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type, i.e., A is indecomposable of corank 1, $a_{ii} = 2$, $-a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \neq j$, $a_{ij} = 0$ iff $a_{ji} = 0$ and there exists a column vector u with positive integer entries such that $Au = 0$.

Let $a = {}^t(a_0, \dots, a_l)$ and $c = (c_0, \dots, c_l)$ be the vectors of relatively prime integers such that $a_i, c_i > 0$ and $Aa = cA = 0$. The Coxeter number and dual Coxeter number of A are defined by $h = \sum_{i \in I} a_i$ and $h^\vee = \sum_{i \in I} c_i$.

2.2 Realization of a generalized Cartan matrix

Let $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a realization of A where \mathfrak{h} is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension $l + 2$, $\Pi^\vee = \{h_0, \dots, h_l\}$ is a linearly independent subset in \mathfrak{h} and $\Pi = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ is a linearly independent subset in \mathfrak{h}^* (the dual space of \mathfrak{h}) such that $\alpha_i(h_j) = a_{ji}$.

Let $K = \sum_{i \in I} c_i h_i$ be the canonical central element and $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i$ be the basic imaginary root. Let $d \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the scaling element, i.e., $\alpha_0(d) = 1, \alpha_i(d) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Let Λ_i ($i \in I$) be the i -th fundamental weights, i.e., $\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}, \Lambda_i(d) = 0$ for all $j \in I$. Set $\rho = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$. Then $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_l, \Lambda_0\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h}^* and $\{h_0, \dots, h_l, d\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h} .

2.3 Affine Kac-Moody algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the affine Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to the matrix A . We call \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and Π, Π^\vee the set of simple roots, coroots of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, respectively. We have a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+, \quad (6)$$

where \mathfrak{n}_- is the negative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and \mathfrak{n}_+ is the positive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

An affine Kac-Moody algebra has type $X_N^{(r)}$ with $r = 1, 2, 3$ (here we use the standard notation in [Kac90] to label the type of affine Kac-Moody algebras). In particular, the untwisted affine Kac-Moody of type $A_1^{(1)}$ is defined by the generalized Cartan matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

and the twisted affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_2^{(2)}$ is defined by the generalized Cartan matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

It is known that the matrix ${}^t A$ is also a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type. We denote $X_N^{(r^\vee)}$ the type of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}({}^t A)$.

2.4 Weyl group

Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ be the root lattice and let $Q_+ = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi$. We define an order on \mathfrak{h}^* by $\lambda \geq \mu$ if $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$. For each $i \in I$, set $\alpha_i^\vee = \frac{a_i}{c_i} \alpha_i$. We define a sublattice M of Q by

$$M = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i & \text{if } r^\vee = 1, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i^\vee & \text{if } r^\vee > 1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Let $(\cdot | \cdot)$ be the standard invariant form on \mathfrak{h}^* which is defined by

$$(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = \frac{c_i}{a_i} a_{ij}, \quad (\alpha_i | \Lambda_0) = \frac{1}{a_0} \alpha_i(d), \quad (\Lambda_0 | \Lambda_0) = 0 \quad \forall i, j \in I. \quad (10)$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we define $t_\alpha \in GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ by

$$t_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda + \lambda(K)\alpha - \left(\lambda + \frac{\lambda(K)\alpha}{2} \middle| \alpha \right) \delta. \quad (11)$$

Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ the group generated by fundamental reflections $\{s_0, \dots, s_l\}$ where $s_i \in GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ is defined by $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(h_i)\alpha_i$. Then we have

$$W \cong t_M \rtimes \overline{W} \quad (12)$$

where $t_M = \{t_\alpha \mid \alpha \in M\}$ and \overline{W} is the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$.

2.5 Realization of affine Kac-Moody algebras

Let $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, l\}}$ be a Cartan matrix of finite type. Let $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ be the simple Lie algebra associated to B with Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$, the standard invariant form $(\cdot | \cdot)_0$ (Here we use the notion of standard invariant form for a simple Lie algebra in the book of Carter [Car05]). We extend $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ to a new Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(B) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}(B) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d \quad (13)$$

with new Lie bracket

$$\begin{aligned} & [t^i \otimes x + \lambda K + \mu d, t^j \otimes y + \lambda' K + \mu' d] \\ &= t^{i+j} \otimes [x, y]_0 + \mu j t^j \otimes y - \mu' i t^i \otimes x + i \delta_{i+j,0} (x|y)_0 K. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}(B)$ and $\lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ and let $\bar{\Phi}$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}(B)$. For each $\alpha \in \bar{\Phi}$, let

$$\mathfrak{g}(B)_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}(B) \text{ such that } [h, x]_0 = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}\}. \quad (15)$$

Let $\bar{\Pi} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be the set of simple roots and $\bar{\Pi}^\vee = \{\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_l\}$ be the set of co-roots of $\mathfrak{g}(B)$. It is known that the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}(B)_\alpha$ is one for each $\alpha \in \bar{\Phi}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, let \bar{e}_i be a basis vector of $\mathfrak{g}(B)_{\bar{\alpha}_i}$ and \bar{f}_i be a basis vector of $\mathfrak{g}(B)_{-\bar{\alpha}_i}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ is a Lie algebra with generators $\{\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_l, \bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_l, \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_l\}$.

For any $\sigma \in S_l$ such that $b_{ij} = b_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, we can consider it as an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ by sending

$$\bar{e}_i \mapsto \bar{e}_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \bar{f}_i \mapsto \bar{f}_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \bar{h}_i \mapsto \bar{h}_{\sigma(i)}. \quad (16)$$

Let m be the order of σ and set $\eta = e^{2i\pi/m}$. We define the automorphism τ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$ by

$$\tau(t^i \otimes x) = \eta^{-i} t^i \otimes \sigma(x), \quad \tau(K) = K, \quad \tau(d) = d \quad (17)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathfrak{g}(B)$. This map is called a twisted automorphism of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$.

Now, let A be an affine Cartan matrix of type $X_N^{(r)}$. Let \bar{A} be the finite Cartan matrix of type X_N . If A is an untwisted affine Cartan matrix, i.e., type $X_N^{(1)}$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}(A) \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\bar{A}). \quad (18)$$

If A is a twisted affine Cartan matrix, i.e., type $X_N^{(r)}$ for $r = 2, 3$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}(A) \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\bar{A})^{\langle \tau \rangle}. \quad (19)$$

The simple coroots h_1, \dots, h_l of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ has property

$$h_i \in 1 \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \quad (20)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{A})$. For the details, we refer the reader to the proofs of Theorem 18.5, Theorem 18.9 and Theorem 18.14 in [Car05].

2.6 Dominant integral weights and integrable irreducible modules

Let

$$P = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i + \mathbb{C}\delta \quad (21)$$

be the set of integral weights. For any set $S \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by \overline{S} the subset of all $\lambda \in S + \mathbb{C}\delta$ such that $\lambda(d) = 0$. We have

$$\overline{P} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i. \quad (22)$$

For each $\lambda \in P$, the number $\lambda(K)$ is an integer and we call it the level of λ . For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by P^k the set of all integral weights of level k . Then we have

$$P^k = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} m_i \Lambda_i \mid \sum_{i \in I} m_i c_i = k, m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} + \mathbb{C}\delta. \quad (23)$$

Let

$$P_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Lambda_i + \mathbb{C}\delta \quad (24)$$

be the set of dominant integral weights. Let $\overline{P_+}, \overline{P_+^k}$ be the intersection of \overline{P}, P^k with P_+ , respectively.

For each $\lambda \in P_+$, let $L(\lambda)$ be the integrable irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module with highest weight λ .

3 Branching on Cartan subalgebras

In this section, we recall some facts about branching on Cartan subalgebras of affine Kac-Moody algebras.

Let $\Lambda \in P_+$ be a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. Regarding $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module $L(\Lambda)$ as an \mathfrak{h} -module, it can be decomposed into direct sum of weights spaces

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} L(\Lambda)_\lambda, \quad (25)$$

where

$$L(\Lambda)_\lambda = \{v \in L(\Lambda) \mid hv = \lambda(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}. \quad (26)$$

Let $\text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{h}}(\lambda)$ be the dimension of the space $L(\Lambda)_\lambda$. Then the decomposition (25) is encoded by a formal series ch_Λ on \mathfrak{h}^* as follows

$$ch_\Lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{h}}(\lambda) e^\lambda, \quad (27)$$

where $e^\lambda(\mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We call ch_Λ the character of $L(\Lambda)$. The set of weights of $L(\Lambda)$ is defined by

$$P(\Lambda) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{h}}(\lambda) \neq 0\}. \quad (28)$$

For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we say λ a maximal weight of $L(\Lambda)$ if $\lambda \in P(\Lambda)$ but $\lambda + n\delta \notin P(\Lambda)$ for any $n > 0$. Let $\text{max}(\Lambda)$ be the set of all maximal weights of $L(\Lambda)$. We have

$$\text{max}(\Lambda) = W(\text{max}(\Lambda) \cap P_+) \quad (29)$$

and

$$P(\Lambda) = W((\Lambda - Q_+) \cap P_+) = \max(\Lambda) - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\delta. \quad (30)$$

For each $\lambda \in P(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$, let $b_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ be the complex number such that $\lambda + b_{\Lambda,\lambda}\delta \in \max(\Lambda)$. It is an integer if $\lambda \in \Lambda + Q$ because $b_{\Lambda,\lambda}\delta \in Q$. Since $\lambda + b\delta \in P(\Lambda + b\delta)$ if and only if $\lambda \in P(\Lambda)$ for all $b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$b_{\Lambda+b\delta,\lambda+b\delta} = b_{\Lambda,\lambda}. \quad (31)$$

Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be the set of all $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\lambda \in P(\Lambda)$.

3.1 About the character ch_Λ

We now recall some facts about the character ch_Λ for any affine Kac-Moody algebra.

Denote $e^{-\delta}$ by q . For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we define the string function $c_\lambda^\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}((q))$ of $L(\Lambda)$ associated to λ by

$$c_\lambda^\Lambda = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{h}}(\lambda - n\delta) q^n. \quad (32)$$

Then for any $w \in W$ we have

$$c_\lambda^\Lambda = c_{w\lambda}^\Lambda \quad (33)$$

and

$$ch_\Lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)} c_\lambda^\Lambda e^\lambda. \quad (34)$$

The character ch_Λ can be written in terms of Weyl group, what we call the Weyl-Kac character formula (see Corollary 19.18 in [Car05]):

$$ch_\Lambda = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\rho)}}. \quad (35)$$

3.2 About the set of weights $P(\Lambda)$

In this subsection, we show some facts about the set of weights $P(\Lambda)$ for any affine Kac-Moody algebra and in particular for the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$.

3.2.1 Semigroup structure

In this part, we study the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Theorem 3.1. *As a subset of $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$, the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a semigroup.*

Proof. Let (Λ, λ) and $(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda})$ be elements in the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. We will show that $(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}, \lambda + \bar{\lambda})$ is still in this set. Indeed, $\lambda + \bar{\lambda}$ is a weight of $L(\Lambda) \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda})$. Hence $\lambda + \bar{\lambda}$ is a weight of $L(\Lambda'')$ for some $\Lambda'' \in ((\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}) - Q_+) \cap P_+$. By (30), we have

$$P(\Lambda'') \subset P(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}). \quad (36)$$

It means $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} \in P(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})$ and then $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a semigroup. \square

Remark 3.2. *We can prove that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a semigroup for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} by the same argument.*

3.2.2 Computation for the case $A_1^{(1)}$

We are going to compute explicitly the set $\max(\Lambda)$, hence the number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for the cases $A_1^{(1)}$. By (31), we may assume that $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+}$. The idea of computations is based on the work on S. Kumar and M. Brown in [BK14].

Let A be the affine Cartan matrix of type $A_1^{(1)}$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let α be the second simple root of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. We have

$$\overline{P_+^m} = \left\{ m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \mid j \in [0, m] \cap \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (37)$$

We can describe explicitly the set $\max(\Lambda)$ and the number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for the case $A_1^{(1)}$ as follows. It is the combination of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 in [BK14]. We restate and re-explain in details the results.

Proposition 3.3. *With the setting for $A_1^{(1)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\phi(m, j, k)$ be a number which is uniquely determined by k, m, j as follows*

1. Write $k = mq + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in [0, m)$.

2. Set

$$\phi(m, j, k) = -q(k + r + j) + \begin{cases} -r & \text{if } r \in [0, m - j], \\ m - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [m - j, m). \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Then we have

$$\max(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (39)$$

Or equivalently, for each $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ with $j' \in j + 2\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda} = \phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2}). \quad (40)$$

To prove above proposition, we need the following lemma. In fact, in Proposition 4.4 of [BK14], the authors give the same statement for only untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebras. But the statement and arguments in the proof still work well for any affine Kac-Moody algebras.

Lemma 3.4. *For any affine Kac-Moody algebra, let $\Lambda \in P_+$ of positive level, then*

$$\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+ = \left\{ \Lambda - \sum_{i \in I} m_i \alpha_i \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } i, m_i < a_i \text{ for some } i \in I \right\} \cap P_+. \quad (41)$$

With the aid of Lemma 3.4, we can prove Proposition 3.3 as follows.

Proof. We have $\max(\Lambda) = W(\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+)$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+ = \left\{ \Lambda - m_0(\delta - \alpha), \Lambda - m_1\alpha \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m_0 \leq \frac{m-j}{2}, m_1 \leq \frac{j}{2} \right\}. \quad (42)$$

Recall that $W = \{t_{n\alpha}, t_{n\alpha}s_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We have

$$t_{n\alpha}(\Lambda - m_0(\delta - \alpha)) = \Lambda + (m_0 + mn)\alpha - ((j + 2m_0 + mn)n + m_0)\delta, \quad (43)$$

$$t_{n\alpha}s_1(\Lambda - m_0(\delta - \alpha)) = \Lambda + (-j - m_0 + mn)\alpha - ((-j - 2m_0 + mn)n + m_0)\delta, \quad (44)$$

$$t_{n\alpha}(\Lambda - m_1\alpha) = \Lambda + (-m_1 + mn)\alpha - (j - 2m_1 + mn)n\delta, \quad (45)$$

$$t_{n\alpha}s_1(\Lambda - m_1\alpha) = \Lambda + (-j + m_1 + mn)\alpha - (-j + 2m_1 + mn)n\delta. \quad (46)$$

So an element λ in $\max(\Lambda)$ has form $\Lambda + r\alpha + n\delta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix such a λ . Then, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$t_{q\alpha}(\Lambda + r\alpha + n\delta) = \Lambda + (mq + r)\alpha + (n - (j + 2r + mq)q)\delta \quad (47)$$

is still in $\max(\Lambda)$. Set $k = mq + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\Lambda + k\alpha + n'\delta \in \max(\Lambda) \quad \text{where } n' = n - q(k + r + j). \quad (48)$$

Assume now that $0 \leq r < m$, then the expression $k = mq + r$ is the Euclidean division. By (43), (44), (45), (46) we get

$$n = \begin{cases} -r & \text{if } r \in [0, m - j], \\ m - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [m - j, m). \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Hence we obtain $n' = \phi(m, j, k)$ given by (38). It means

$$\max(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (50)$$

□

3.2.3 Computation for the case $A_2^{(2)}$

For the case $A_2^{(2)}$, the computation is similar. Namely, let A be the affine Cartan matrix of type $A_2^{(2)}$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let α be the second simple root of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. We have

$$\overline{P_+^m} = \left\{ m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \mid j \in \left[0, \frac{m}{2}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (51)$$

We can describe explicitly the set $\max(\Lambda)$ and the number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for the case $A_2^{(2)}$ as follows.

Proposition 3.5. *With the setting for $A_2^{(2)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$. For each $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $\phi(m, j, k)$ be a number which is uniquely determined by k, m, j as follows*

1. Write $k = \frac{m}{2}q + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in [0, \frac{m}{2})$.

2. Set

$$\phi(m, j, k) = -q(k + r + j) + \begin{cases} -r & \text{if } r \in [0, \frac{m}{2} - j], \\ \frac{m}{2} - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [\frac{m}{2} - j, \frac{m}{2}) \cap (\frac{m}{2} + \mathbb{Z}) \\ \frac{m-1}{2} - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [\frac{m-1}{2} - j, \frac{m}{2}) \cap (\frac{m-1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}). \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

Then we have

$$\max(\Lambda) = \left\{ \Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta \mid k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (53)$$

Or equivalently, for each $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda} = \phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2}). \quad (54)$$

Proof. We have $\max(\Lambda) = W(\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+)$. By Lemma 3.4, $\max(\Lambda) \cap P_+$ contains exactly elements

$$\Lambda - m_0\alpha_0, \Lambda - m_1\alpha, \Lambda - \alpha_0 - m_2\alpha \quad (55)$$

such that

$$m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m_0 \leq \frac{m}{2} - j, m_1 \leq \frac{j}{2}, \frac{j+1}{2} - \frac{m}{4} \leq m_2 \leq \frac{j+1}{2}. \quad (56)$$

Recall that $W = \left\{ t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}, t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}s_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. We have

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}(\Lambda - m_0\alpha_0) = \Lambda + \frac{mn + m_0}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn + 2j + 2m_0)n + m_0}{2}\delta, \quad (57)$$

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}s_1(\Lambda - m_0\alpha_0) = \Lambda + \frac{mn - 2j - m_0}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn - 2j - 2m_0)n + m_0}{2}\delta, \quad (58)$$

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}(\Lambda - m_1\alpha) = \Lambda + \frac{mn - 2m_1}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn + 2j - 4m_1)n}{2}\delta, \quad (59)$$

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}s_1(\Lambda - m_1\alpha) = \Lambda + \frac{mn - 2j + 2m_1}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn - 2j + 4m_1)n}{2}\delta, \quad (60)$$

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}(\Lambda - \alpha_0 - m_2\alpha) = \Lambda + \frac{mn + 1 - 2m_2}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn + 2j + 2 - 4m_2)n + 1}{2}\delta, \quad (61)$$

$$t_{\frac{n\alpha}{2}}s_1(\Lambda - \alpha_0 - m_2\alpha) = \Lambda + \frac{mn - 1 - 2j + 2m_2}{2}\alpha - \frac{(mn - 2j - 2 + 4m_2)n + 1}{2}\delta. \quad (62)$$

So an element λ in $\max(\Lambda)$ has form $\Lambda + r\alpha + n\delta$ for some $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Fix such a λ . Then, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$t_{\frac{q\alpha}{2}}(\Lambda + r\alpha + n\delta) = \Lambda + \left(\frac{m}{2}q + r\right)\alpha + \left(n - \left(j + 2r + \frac{m}{2}q\right)q\right)\delta \quad (63)$$

is still in $\max(\Lambda)$. Set $k = \frac{m}{2}q + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\Lambda + k\alpha + n'\delta \in \max(\Lambda) \quad \text{where } n' = n - q(k + r + j). \quad (64)$$

Assume now that $0 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$, then the expression $k = \frac{m}{2}q + r$ is the Euclidean division. By (57), (58), (59), (60), (61), (62) we get

$$n = \begin{cases} -r & \text{if } r \in [0, \frac{m}{2} - j], \\ \frac{m}{2} - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [\frac{m}{2} - j, \frac{m}{2}) \cap (\frac{m}{2} + \mathbb{Z}), \\ \frac{m-1}{2} - j - 2r & \text{if } r \in [\frac{m-1}{2} - j, \frac{m}{2}) \cap (\frac{m-1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}). \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

Hence we obtain $n' = \phi(m, j, k)$ given by (52). It means

$$\max(\Lambda) = \left\{ \Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, k, j)\delta \mid k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (66)$$

□

4 Branching on winding subalgebras

In this section, we study the branching problem on winding subalgebras.

4.1 Winding subalgebras of an affine Kac-Moody algebra

In this subsection, we recall the notation of winding subalgebras of an affine Kac-Moody algebra in [KW90]. The studying of winding subalgebras is important and interesting because of its relation with the tensor product decomposition (see [KW90]) and a solution to Frenkel's conjecture (see [KW90], [Fre82]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ with A of type $X_N^{(r)}$ be an affine Kac-Moody algebra which is defined by (18), (19). Fix $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ relatively prime with r . We define the Lie homomorphism $\psi_u : \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A)$ by

$$t^i \otimes x \mapsto t^{ui} \otimes x, K \mapsto uK, d \mapsto \frac{d}{u} \quad (67)$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathfrak{g}(\bar{A})$. It is easy to check that ψ_u is an injective Lie homomorphism. Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ be the image of this map. Then $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. We call $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ the winding subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ associated to u .

Set $\dot{K} = \psi_u(K) = uK$. Let $\tilde{\psi}_u : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be the restriction of ψ_u over the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. For each $i \in I$, set $\dot{h}_i = \tilde{\psi}_u(h_i)$. Then by (20), we see that

$$\dot{h}_i = h_i \text{ for all } i > 0 \text{ and } \dot{h}_0 = \frac{u-1}{c_0}K + h_0. \quad (68)$$

Let ${}^t\tilde{\psi}_u : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the dual map of $\tilde{\psi}_u$. Namely, for each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we define ${}^t\tilde{\psi}_u$ by

$${}^t\tilde{\psi}_u(\lambda)(h) = \lambda(\tilde{\psi}_u(h)) \quad (69)$$

for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. For each $i \in I$, set $\dot{\alpha}_i = {}^t\tilde{\psi}_u(\alpha_i)$. Then by (68), (69) we have

$$\dot{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \text{ for all } i > 0 \text{ and } \dot{\alpha}_0 = \frac{u-1}{a_0}\delta + \alpha_0. \quad (70)$$

For each $i \in I$, set $\dot{\Lambda}_i = {}^t\tilde{\psi}_u(\Lambda_i)$ and $\dot{\rho} = {}^t\tilde{\psi}_u(\rho)$. By (68), (69) we have

$$\dot{\Lambda}_i = \Lambda_i + \left(\frac{1}{u} - 1\right) \frac{c_i}{c_0} \Lambda_0 \quad (71)$$

$$\dot{\rho} = \sum_I \dot{\Lambda}_i = \rho + \left(\frac{1}{u} - 1\right) \frac{h^\vee}{c_0} \Lambda_0. \quad (72)$$

The map ${}^t\tilde{\psi}_u$ induces simple reflections $\dot{s}_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, which are defined by

$$\dot{s}_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(\dot{h}_i)\dot{\alpha}_i. \quad (73)$$

The Weyl group \dot{W} of $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ is generated by simple reflections \dot{s}_i ($i \in I$) turns out to be

$$\dot{W} \cong t_{uM} \rtimes \overline{W}. \quad (74)$$

In particular, by (12), it is a subgroup of the Weyl group W .

Let

$$\dot{P}_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \dot{\Lambda}_i + \mathbb{C}\delta \quad (75)$$

be the set of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let \dot{P}_+^k be the set of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ of level k , i.e.,

$$\dot{P}_+^k = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} m_i \dot{\Lambda}_i \mid \sum_{i \in I} m_i c_i = k, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} + \mathbb{C}\delta. \quad (76)$$

Let $\lambda \in \dot{P}_+$, we denote the irreducible integrable $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ -module of highest weight λ by $\dot{L}(\lambda)$. The winding subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ has a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}(A)[u] = \dot{\mathfrak{n}}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \dot{\mathfrak{n}}_+, \quad (77)$$

where $\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ is the negative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ is the positive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$.

4.2 The set of weights $P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$

For each $\Lambda \in P_+^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), the $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module $L(\Lambda)$ can be regarded as a $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ -module of level uk . Then it can be decomposed into direct sum of integrable irreducible $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ -module of level uk

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \dot{P}_+^{uk}} \dot{L}(\lambda)^{\text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{g}[u]}(\lambda)}. \quad (78)$$

Set

$$P_{A,u}(\Lambda) = \{\lambda \in \dot{P}_+ \mid \text{mult}_{\Lambda, \mathfrak{g}[u]}(\lambda) \neq 0\}. \quad (79)$$

It is easy to see that $P_{A,u}(\Lambda) \subset P(\Lambda)$ (by part 0.5 page 5 in [KW90]). Hence $P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$ is a subset of $\max(\Lambda) - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We say $\lambda \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$ a $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ -maximal weight of Λ if there does not exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\lambda + n\delta \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$. We denote the set of all $\mathfrak{g}(A)[u]$ -maximal weights of Λ by $\max_{A,u}(\Lambda)$. For each $\lambda \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$, there exists a unique complex number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}$ such that $\lambda + b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}\delta \in \max_{A,u}(\Lambda)$. By definition, we have

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (80)$$

Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ be the set of all $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+$ such that $\lambda \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda)$.

4.3 Character method

In this subsection, we prepare fundamental background on the representation theory of the Virasoro algebras which help us to study the branching problem. The idea is analogous to the idea in [KW90], [BK14]. In general, we need a formula which rewrite the character of a dominant integral weight $\Lambda \in P_+$ in terms of the characters of dominant integral weights $\lambda \in \dot{P}_+$. The Virasoro characters will come into account in this formula to help us understand the branching rule. Apply this method, we obtain new results on the support $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ in the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$, which we will see later in subsection 4.5.

4.3.1 The Virasoro algebra

The Virasoro algebra Vir is spanned by operators $\{L_n (n \in \mathbb{Z}), Z\}$ over \mathbb{C} with the Lie bracket

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3 - m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}Z \text{ and } [Vir, Z] = 0. \quad (81)$$

Set $Vir_0 = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Z$. For each $\lambda \in Vir_0^*$, the weight space V_λ of V is

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid Xv = \lambda(X)v \text{ for all } X \in Vir_0\}. \quad (82)$$

Let V be Vir -module which admits a weight decomposition such that each weight subspace has finite dimension. Such a Vir -module is said to be unitarizable if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form \langle, \rangle such that $\langle L_n v, w \rangle = \langle v, L_{-n} w \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle Z v, w \rangle = \langle v, Z w \rangle$. It is known that any unitarizable Vir -module is completely reducible.

A Vir -module V is called a highest weight module if there exists a nonzero vector v_0 in V such that v_0 is a Vir_0 -eigenvector, $L_n v_0 = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\mathcal{U}(\bigoplus_{n < 0} \mathbb{C} L_n) v_0 = V$ (here $\mathcal{U}(\cdot)$ is the universal enveloping algebra). We say that such module has highest weight $\lambda \in Vir_0^*$ if $X v_0 = \lambda(X) v_0$ for all $X \in Vir_0$.

If V is a unitarizable highest weight Vir -module with highest weight $\lambda \in Vir_0^*$, then $\lambda(L_0), \lambda(Z)$ are non-negative since

$$0 \leq \langle L_{-n} v_0, L_{-n} v_0 \rangle = \langle L_n L_{-n} v_0, v_0 \rangle = (2n\lambda(L_0) + \frac{1}{12}(n^3 - n)\lambda(Z)) \langle v_0, v_0 \rangle. \quad (83)$$

Let $\{(L_0)^*, Z^*\}$ be the dual basis of $\{L_0, Z\}$. We now restate Lemma 4.1 in [BK14].

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a unitarizable highest weight (irreducible) Vir -module with highest weight λ . Then*

1. *If $\lambda(L_0) \neq 0$ then $V_{\lambda+n(L_0)^*} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*
2. *If $\lambda(L_0) = 0$ and $\lambda(Z) \neq 0$, then $V_{\lambda+n(L_0)^*} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and $V_{\lambda+(L_0)^*} = 0$.*
3. *If $\lambda(L_0) = \lambda(Z) = 0$, then V is one dimensional.*

4.3.2 An identity of characters

Let $\Lambda \in P_+^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), by (29), (33), (34) and remind that \dot{W} is a subgroup of W , we have

$$\left(\sum_{w \in \dot{W}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\dot{\rho})} \right) ch_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in max(\Lambda)} \left(\sum_{w \in \dot{W}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \dot{\rho})} \right) c_{\lambda}^{\Lambda}. \quad (84)$$

We can suppose that $\lambda + \dot{\rho}$ in the above equality is regular with respect to \dot{W} . In this case, there exists unique $\sigma \in \dot{W}$ and $\lambda' \in \dot{P}_+$ such that $\sigma(\lambda + \dot{\rho}) = \lambda' + \dot{\rho}$. Let $p(\lambda)$ and $\{\lambda\}$ be $\epsilon(\sigma)$ and λ' in this case, respectively. In the case $\lambda + \dot{\rho}$ is nonregular, set $p(\lambda)$ and $\{\lambda\}$ be 0. Since

$$\sum_{w \in \dot{W}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \dot{\rho})} = p(\lambda) \sum_{w \in \dot{W}} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\{\lambda\} + \dot{\rho})}, \quad (85)$$

it follows from the identities (35), (84) that:

Proposition 4.2.

$$ch_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in max(\Lambda)} p(\lambda) ch_{\{\lambda\}} c_{\lambda}^{\Lambda} \quad (86)$$

4.4 Semigroup structure

We state our first result about the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$.

Theorem 4.3. *As a subset of $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^*$, the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ is semigroup.*

Proof. Let (Λ, λ) and $(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda})$ be elements in the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. We need to show that $(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}, \lambda + \bar{\lambda}) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. The pair (Λ, λ) is an element of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ if and only if $L(\lambda) \subset L(\Lambda)$. The condition is equivalent to the existence of a nonzero vector $v \in L(\Lambda)$ such that

$$g(v) = 0, \forall g \in \mathfrak{n}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad h(v) = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (87)$$

Let \bar{v} be a nonzero vector in $L(\bar{\Lambda})$ satisfying the same conditions but for the pair $(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda})$. To show the semi-group structure of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ we just need to show the existence of a nonzero vector \tilde{v} in $L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})$ which satisfies the conditions (87) but for the pair $(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}, \lambda + \bar{\lambda})$. We make the details in the two following steps.

Step 1. Construction of the vector \tilde{v} . By the fact that $L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})$ is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $L(\Lambda) \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda})$ of multiplicity one, there exists a unique \mathfrak{g} -stable complementary subspace, which we denote by S such that

$$L(\Lambda) \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda}) = L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}) \oplus S. \quad (88)$$

Let $\pi : L(\Lambda) \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda}) \rightarrow L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})$ be the projection with kernel S . Set $\tilde{v} = \pi(v \otimes \bar{v})$. We will show that $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ and satisfies the conditions (87) in the next steps.

Step 2. \tilde{v} is nonzero. Let

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} L(\Lambda)_\mu \quad (89)$$

be the weight spaces decomposition of $L(\Lambda)$. We define

$$L(\Lambda)^\vee = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} (L(\Lambda)_\mu)^*. \quad (90)$$

There exists a nonzero vector $\psi \in L(\Lambda)^\vee$ such that

$$g(\psi) = 0, \forall g \in \mathfrak{n}_- \quad \text{and} \quad h(\psi) = -\Lambda(h)\psi, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (91)$$

Let G be the minimal Kac-Moody group corresponding to the Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} (see [Kum02]). To the vector $v \in L(\Lambda)$ defined above, we associate a function $f_v : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \psi(g^{-1}(v))$. Since $L(\Lambda)$ is irreducible, the function f_v is nonzero ($f_v = 0$ implies $Gv \subset \ker \psi$). Let B^- be the negative Borel subgroup of G . We have

$$(1, b).f_v = \Lambda(b)^{-1}f_v \text{ for all } b \in B^-. \quad (92)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (1, b).f_v(g) &= f_v((1, b)^{-1}.g) \\ &= f_v(gb) \\ &= \psi((b^{-1}g^{-1})(v)) \\ &= b(\psi)(g^{-1}(v)) \\ &= \Lambda(b)^{-1}\psi(g^{-1}(v)) \\ &= \Lambda(b)^{-1}f_v(g). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $L(\bar{\Lambda})$, we define $\bar{\psi} \in L(\bar{\Lambda})^\vee$ and $f_{\bar{v}} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \bar{\psi}(g^{-1}(\bar{v}))$. Then $f_{\bar{v}}$ is nonzero and

$$(1, b).f_{\bar{v}} = \bar{\Lambda}(b)^{-1}f_{\bar{v}} \text{ for all } b \in B^-. \quad (93)$$

Set $f = f_v f_{\bar{v}}$. Since G is irreducible as an indvariety, the function f is a well-defined nonzero function on G . And of course,

$$(1, b).f = (\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})(b)^{-1}f \text{ for all } b \in B^-. \quad (94)$$

Moreover, we have

$$f(g) = (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(v \otimes \bar{v})). \quad (95)$$

Indeed, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} f(g) &= f_v(g) f_{\bar{v}}(g) \\ &= \psi(g^{-1}(v)) \bar{\psi}(g^{-1}(\bar{v})) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(v) \otimes g^{-1}(\bar{v})) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(v \otimes \bar{v})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}$ is an element of

$$L(\Lambda)^\vee \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda})^\vee = (L(\Lambda) \otimes L(\bar{\Lambda}))^\vee = L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})^\vee \oplus S^\vee. \quad (96)$$

By (94), (95) we have

$$\psi \otimes \bar{\psi} \in L(\Lambda + \bar{\Lambda})^\vee. \quad (97)$$

It implies that

$$\ker(\psi \otimes \bar{\psi}) \supset S. \quad (98)$$

Rewrite $v \otimes \bar{v} = \pi(v \otimes \bar{v}) + s$ for some $s \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(v \otimes \bar{v})) &= (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v}) + s)) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v}))) + (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(s)) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v}))). \end{aligned}$$

It means $f(g) = (\psi \otimes \bar{\psi})(g^{-1}(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v})))$. Since $f \neq 0$, we have $\tilde{v} = \pi(v \otimes \bar{v}) \neq 0$.

Step 3. \tilde{v} satisfies the conditions (87). For any $g \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v})) &= \pi(g(v \otimes \bar{v})) \\ &= \pi(g(v) \otimes \bar{v} + v \otimes g(\bar{v})) \\ &= \pi(0) = 0, \\ h(\pi(v \otimes \bar{v})) &= \pi(h(v \otimes \bar{v})) \\ &= \pi(h(v) \otimes \bar{v} + v \otimes h(\bar{v})) \\ &= \pi((\lambda + \bar{\lambda})(h)(v \otimes \bar{v})) \\ &= (\lambda + \bar{\lambda})(h)\pi(v \otimes \bar{v}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ is a semigroup. \square

Remark 4.4. By the same argument, we can prove that the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \dot{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a semigroup for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} and $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = (\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{n}_-) \oplus (\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{n}_+)$.

4.5 About the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$

In this part, we study two particular cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_1^{(1)}$. We will define a set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \subset P_{A,u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$ of elements λ such that $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$. We show relations between the support $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ and its saturated setting.

4.5.1 The set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ for the case $A_1^{(1)}$.

Let Λ_0 be the 0-th fundamental weight and α be the second simple root. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Theorem 4.5. *For Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P}_+^m$ and $\lambda \in \overline{P}_+$. If there exists $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta)$ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ then $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ for some $j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z})$. If moreover*

1. $j \leq j' \leq um - j$ and u is even; or
2. $j \leq j' \leq um - (m - j)$ and u is odd,

then

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}. \quad (99)$$

For Λ like in Theorem 4.5, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ the set λ such that the theorem applies. Namely

$$\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) = \left\{ m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \mid j' \in [j, um - j^*] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z}) \right\} \quad (100)$$

where $j^* = j$ if u is even and $j^* = m - j$ if u is odd.

Before going to proof of the theorem, we need to state some propositions.

Proposition 4.6. *Let A be the affine Cartan matrix of type $A_1^{(1)}$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P}_+^m$.*

1. *We parametrize $\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)$ such that $\lambda + \dot{\rho}$ is regular with respect to \dot{W} by $\lambda_k = \Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta$. Then the only possible values of k are*

$$k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n(um + 2) \text{ and } k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 + n(um + 2) \quad (101)$$

where $j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. *Let*

$$N_k = -\phi(m, j, k) + un(j' + 1 - num - 2n). \quad (102)$$

Then

- i. *If $k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n(um + 2)$, then $p(\lambda_k) = 1$ and $\{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} - N_k\delta$.*
- ii. *If $k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 - n(um + 2)$, then $p(\lambda_k) = -1$ and $\{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} - N_k\delta$.*
- iii. *The function N_k is considered as a function on n and it attains the minimum at $n = 0$ in the first case and at $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ in the second case.*

Proof. We need the following data

$$|\alpha|^2 = 2, \quad \dot{\rho} = \frac{2}{u}\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \quad \dot{W} = \{t_{un\alpha}, t_{un\alpha}s_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (103)$$

Since $\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}$ is regular with respect to \dot{W} , there exists unique $\sigma \in \dot{W}$ and $\mu = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + b'\delta \in \dot{P}_+^{um}$ such that $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) = \mu + \dot{\rho}$.

a. (Proof of 1. and 2.i.) If $\sigma = t_{un\alpha}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) - \dot{\rho}$ equals

$$m\Lambda_0 + \left(num + 2n + k + \frac{j}{2} \right) \alpha + (\phi(m, j, k) - un(2k + j + 1 + num + 2n))\delta. \quad (104)$$

Hence

$$j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n(um + 2). \quad (105)$$

In this case, we have

$$p(\lambda_k) = 1 \text{ and } \{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + (\phi(m, j, k) - un(j' + 1 - num - 2n))\delta. \quad (106)$$

b. (Proof of 1. and 2.ii.) If $\sigma = t_{un\alpha}s_1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) - \dot{\rho}$ equals

$$m\Lambda_0 + \left(num + 2n - k - \frac{j}{2} - 1 \right) \alpha + (\phi(m, j, k) - un(-2k - j - 1 + num + 2n))\delta. \quad (107)$$

Hence

$$j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 + n(um + 2). \quad (108)$$

In this case, we have

$$p(\lambda_k) = -1 \text{ and } \{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + (\phi(m, j, k) - un(j' + 1 - num - 2n))\delta. \quad (109)$$

c. (Proof of 2.iii.) Put $M = um + 2$. We consider the first case when $k = \frac{j' - j}{2} + nM$. Write $k = qm + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r < m$, then

$$-N_k = \phi(m, j, r) - q(k + r + j) + un(j' + 1 + nM) \quad (110)$$

$$= \phi(m, j, r) - \frac{(\frac{j' - j}{2} + nM - r)(\frac{j' + j}{2} + nM + r)}{m} + un(j' + 1 + nM) \quad (111)$$

$$= n^2M \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + n \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m}j' \right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{4m} + \left(\frac{r^2}{m} + \frac{rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r) \right). \quad (112)$$

We have

$$\frac{r^2}{m} + \frac{rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m}r(r + j - m) & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq m - j, \\ \frac{1}{m}(r - m)(r + j - m) & \text{if } m - j \leq r < m. \end{cases} \quad (113)$$

The condition $0 \leq r \leq m - j$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{j - m}{2} \leq nM + \frac{j'}{2} - m \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq \frac{m - j}{2} \quad (114)$$

and $m - j \leq r < m$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{-j}{2} \leq nM + \frac{j'}{2} - m(q + 1) < \frac{j}{2}. \quad (115)$$

It implies that $\frac{r^2}{m} + \frac{rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r)$ equals

$$\begin{cases} \frac{|nM + \frac{j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2}p|^2 - \frac{(m-j)^2}{4}}{m} & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \text{ such that} \\ & |nM + \frac{j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2}p| \leq \frac{m-j}{2}, \\ \frac{|nM + \frac{j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2}p|^2 - \frac{j^2}{4}}{m} & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ & |nM + \frac{j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2}p| \leq \frac{j}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (116)$$

Let $P_{j,j'} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function that maps n to (116). Let $F_{j,j'} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$F_{j,j'}(t) = t^2 M \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + t \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m} j' \right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{4m} + P_{j,j'}(t). \quad (117)$$

So $-N_k = F_{j,j'}(n)$.

We will show that the maximum of $F_{j,j'}(n)$ appears when $n = 0$, i.e., $k = \frac{j'-j}{2}$. To do that, we consider the function $F : \mathbb{R} \times [0, m] \times [0, um] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $F(t, j, j') = F_{j,j'}(t)$ (we also define $P(t, j, j')$ from $P_{j,j'}(t)$). Let $\Delta(t, j, j') = F(t+1, j, j') - F(t, j, j')$, then it is nonincreasing in t and $\Delta(-1, j, j') > 0 > \Delta(0, j, j')$. It implies that $F(0, j, j') > F(t, j, j')$ for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \neq 0$, i.e., $F_{j,j'}(n)$ attains its maximum when $n = 0$. Indeed:

$$\Delta(t, j, j') = 2tM \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + (M + j') \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + u + P(t+1, j, j') - P(t, j, j'). \quad (118)$$

We denote the numbers p defined on $P_{j,j'}(t+1)$ and $P_{j,j'}(t)$ by p_1, p_0 , respectively. Use definition, we have $\frac{p_1 - p_0}{2} \geq u$. Hence

$$\partial_t \Delta(t, j, j') = 2M \left(u - \frac{p_1 - p_0}{2} \right) \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } \Delta \text{ is nonincreasing in } t, \quad (119)$$

$$\partial_{j'} \Delta(t, j, j') = u - \frac{p_1 - p_0}{2} \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } \Delta \text{ is nonincreasing in } j'. \quad (120)$$

So $\Delta(0, j, j') \leq \Delta(0, j, 0)$ and $\Delta(-1, j, um) \leq \Delta(-1, j, j')$. We can easily check that $\Delta(0, j, 0) < 0 < \Delta(-1, j, um)$. Hence, in the case $k = \frac{j'-j}{2} + nM$, the minimum of N_k occurs when $n = 0$.

For the case $k = -\frac{j+j'}{2} - 1 + nM$. Since $k = \frac{j'-j}{2} + \left(n - \frac{j'+1}{M} \right) M$, we have

$$-N_k = F \left(n - \frac{j'+1}{M}, j, j' \right). \quad (121)$$

Then N_k attains its minimum when $n = 0$ or 1 .

□

Here is the next proposition we will use in the proof of Theorems 4.5.

Proposition 4.7. *With $\phi(m, j, k)$ is defined as in (38), for each $j \in [0, m]$ and $j' \in [0, um] \cap (j + 2\mathbb{Z})$, we have*

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) = \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1), u - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + 1) \right) \quad (122)$$

if and only if one of the next three conditions follows is satisfied:

(A1) $m > 1$ and $j' \leq j - 2$.

(B1) $m > 1, u$ is even, $j' \geq um - j + 1$.

(C1) $m > 1, u$ is odd, $j' \geq m(u - 1) + j + 2$.

Proof. We use a fact that

$$\phi(m, j, -(j+k)) = \phi(m, j, k). \quad (123)$$

Indeed, if $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j\alpha}{2} + b\delta \in P_+^m$ and $\lambda = \Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta \in \max(\Lambda)$, then $s_1(\lambda) = \Lambda - (j+k)\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta \in \max(\Lambda)$. We use the equality (123) to rewrite

$$\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1) = \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}). \quad (124)$$

as $\phi(m, j, x) = \phi(m, j, x+1)$, where $x = -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1$. Use (38) for $\phi(m, j, x)$ we check that it happens if and only if (A1) happens. Similarly, use (123) to rewrite

$$-u + \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + 1) \leq \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) \quad (125)$$

as $\phi(m, j, x+1) - u \leq \phi(m, j, x)$, where $x = -\frac{j'+j}{2}$. Use (38) for $\phi(m, j, x)$ we can check that it happens if and only if (B1) or (C1) happens. \square

We are now going to the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proof. The first step is writing explicitly ch_Λ in Proposition 4.2. It can be done by substituting values of $p(\lambda_k)$ and $\{\lambda_k\}$ in Proposition 4.6 to the formula (86). We can rewrite ch_Λ as follows

$$\sum_{j' \in [0, um] \cap (j+2\mathbb{Z})} \dot{ch}_{m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ k = \frac{j'-j}{2} - n(um+2)}} q^{N_k} c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda - \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ k = -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1 + n(um+2)}} q^{N_k} c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda \right). \quad (126)$$

The identity (126) implies the condition $j' \in [0, um] \cap (j+2\mathbb{Z})$ that we need to prove for Theorem 4.5. The coefficients of $c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda$ in the formula (126) are always positive integers since $\lambda_k \in \max(\Lambda)$. Proposition 4.6 says that N_k attains minimums at $n = 0$ for those on the left of (126), and at $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ for those on the right of (126). The corresponding minimums of N_k are

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) \text{ and } \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1), u - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + 1) \right). \quad (127)$$

By (40), (80), (126), (127), we can imply that

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) \leq \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1), u - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + 1) \right). \quad (128)$$

Moreover, the equality in (128) happens if and only if one of the three conditions (A1), (B1), (C1) in Proposition 4.7 is satisfied. So, for any $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$, we have strict inequality in (128). By (126), in this case we have $\lambda + \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2})\delta \in \max_{A,u}(\Lambda)$. That means $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$. \square

4.5.2 The set $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ for the case $A_2^{(2)}$.

Let Λ_0 be the 0-th fundamental weight and α be the second simple root. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ such that $(u, 2) = 1$.

Theorem 4.8. *For Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_2^{(2)}$, let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$ and $\lambda \in \overline{P_+}$. If there exists $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta)$ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ then $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}$ for some $j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. If moreover*

1. $j' \leq j$; and
2. $j' \in \frac{m(u-1)}{2} - j + (2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{<0})$,

then

$$b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}. \quad (129)$$

For Λ like in Theorem 4.8, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ the set λ such that the theorem applies. Namely

$$\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) = \left\{ m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \mid j' \in \left[j, \frac{um}{2} \right] \cap \mathbb{Z} \cap \left(\frac{m(u-1)}{2} - j + (2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{<0}) \right) \right\}. \quad (130)$$

Before going to proof of the theorem, we need to state some propositions.

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be the affine Cartan matrix of type $A_2^{(2)}$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $(u, 2) = 1$. Let $\Lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \in \overline{P_+^m}$.*

1. *We parametrize $\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)$ such that $\lambda + \dot{\rho}$ is regular with respect to \dot{W} by $\lambda_k = \Lambda + k\alpha + \phi(m, j, k)\delta$. Then the only possible values of k are*

$$k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n \frac{um + 3}{2} \text{ and } k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 + n \frac{um + 3}{2} \quad (131)$$

where $j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. *Let*

$$N_k = -\phi(m, j, k) + un \left(j' + 1 - n \frac{um + 3}{2} \right). \quad (132)$$

Then

- i. *If $k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n \frac{um + 3}{2}$, then $p(\lambda_k) = 1$ and $\{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} - N_k\delta$.*
- ii. *If $k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 + n \frac{um + 3}{2}$, then $p(\lambda_k) = -1$ and $\{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} - N_k\delta$.*
- iii. *The function N_k is considered as a function on n and it attains the minimum at $n = 0$ in the first case and at $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ in the second case.*

Proof. We need the following data

$$|\alpha|^2 = 4, \quad \dot{\rho} = \frac{3}{u}\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \quad \dot{W} = \left\{ t \frac{un\alpha}{2}, t \frac{un\alpha}{2} s_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (133)$$

Since $\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}$ is regular with respect to \dot{W} , there exists unique $\sigma \in \dot{W}$ and $\mu = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + b'\delta \in \dot{P}_+^{um}$ such that $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) = \mu + \dot{\rho}$.

a. (Proof of 1. and 2.i.) If $\sigma = t \frac{un\alpha}{2}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) - \dot{\rho}$ equals

$$m\Lambda_0 + \left(k + \frac{j}{2} + n \frac{um+3}{2}\right) \alpha + \left(\phi(m, j, k) - un \left(2k + j + 1 + n \frac{um+3}{2}\right)\right) \delta. \quad (134)$$

Hence

$$j' \in \left[0, \frac{um}{2}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k = \frac{j' - j}{2} - n \frac{um+3}{2}. \quad (135)$$

In this case, we have

$$p(\lambda_k) = 1 \text{ and } \{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + \left(\phi(m, j, k) - un \left(j' + 1 - n \frac{um+3}{2}\right)\right) \delta. \quad (136)$$

b. (Proof of 1. and 2.ii.) If $\sigma = t \frac{un\alpha}{2} s_1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma(\lambda_k + \dot{\rho}) - \dot{\rho}$ equals

$$m\Lambda_0 + \left(-k - \frac{j}{2} - 1 + n \frac{um+3}{2}\right) \alpha + \left(\phi(m, j, k) - un \left(-2k - j - 1 + n \frac{um+3}{2}\right)\right) \delta. \quad (137)$$

Hence

$$j' \in \left[0, \frac{um}{2}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k = -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1 + n \frac{um+3}{2}. \quad (138)$$

In this case, we have

$$p(\lambda_k) = -1 \text{ and } \{\lambda_k\} = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} + \left(\phi(m, j, k) - un \left(j' + 1 - n \frac{um+3}{2}\right)\right) \delta. \quad (139)$$

c. (Proof of 2.iii.) Put $M = um + 3$. We consider the first case $k = \frac{j'-j}{2} + \frac{nM}{2}$. Write $k = \frac{m}{2}q + r$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$, then

$$-N_k = \phi(m, j, r) - q(k + r + j) + un \left(j' + 1 + \frac{nM}{2}\right) \quad (140)$$

$$= \phi(m, j, r) - \frac{2(\frac{j'-j}{2} + \frac{nM}{2} - r)(\frac{j'+j'}{2} + \frac{nM}{2} + r)}{m} + un \left(j' + 1 + \frac{nM}{2}\right) \quad (141)$$

$$= n^2 \frac{M}{2} \left(u - \frac{M}{m}\right) + n \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m}j'\right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{2m} + \left(\frac{2r^2}{m} + \frac{2rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r)\right). \quad (142)$$

We have $\frac{2r^2}{m} + \frac{2rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r)$ equals

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{m}r(r + j - \frac{m}{2}) & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2} - j, \\ \frac{2}{m}(r - \frac{m}{2})(r + j - \frac{m}{2}) & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} - j \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, r \in \frac{m}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{2}{m}(r - \frac{m}{2})(r + j - \frac{m}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \frac{m-1}{2} - j \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, r \in \frac{m+1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (143)$$

The condition $0 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2} - j$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2j - m}{4} \leq \frac{nM + j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2} \left(q + \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \frac{m - 2j}{4} \quad (144)$$

and $\frac{m}{2} - j \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{-j}{2} \leq \frac{nM + j'}{2} - \frac{m}{2}(q + 1) < \frac{j}{2}. \quad (145)$$

It implies that $\frac{2r^2}{m} + \frac{2rj}{m} + \phi(m, j, r)$ equals

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - |\frac{m-2j}{4}|^2) & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \text{ such that} \\ & |\frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p| \leq \frac{m-2j}{4}, \\ \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - \frac{j^2}{4}) & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ & |\frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p| \leq \frac{j}{2}, \\ & \frac{j'-j}{2} + \frac{nM}{2} - \frac{m}{2}(\frac{p}{2} - 1) \in \frac{m}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - \frac{j^2}{4}) - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ & \frac{nM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p \in [-\frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{j}{2}], \\ & \frac{j'-j}{2} + \frac{nM}{2} - \frac{m}{2}(\frac{p}{2} - 1) \in \frac{m+1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (146)$$

Let $P_{j,j'} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function that maps n to (146). Let $F_{j,j'} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$F_{j,j'}(t) = t^2 \frac{M}{2} \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + t \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m} j' \right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{2m} + P_{j,j'}(t). \quad (147)$$

So $-N_k = F_{j,j'}(n)$.

We will show that the maximum of $F_{j,j'}(n)$ appears when $n = 0$, i.e., $k = \frac{j'-j}{2}$. To do that, we show that the upper bound function $F^+ : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \frac{m}{2}] \times [0, \frac{um}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $F_{j,j'}(t)$ and lower bound function $F^- : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \frac{m}{2}] \times [0, \frac{um}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $F_{j,j'}(t)$ given below attains their maximum along $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ when $t = 0$.

$$F^+(t, j, j') = t^2 \frac{M}{2} \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + t \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m} j' \right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{2m} + P^+(t, j, j'), \quad (148)$$

$$F^-(t, j, j') = t^2 \frac{M}{2} \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + t \left(u + uj' - \frac{M}{m} j' \right) + \frac{j^2 - j'^2}{2m} + P^-(t, j, j'), \quad (149)$$

where $P^+(t, j, j')$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - |\frac{m-2j}{4}|^2) & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \text{ such that} \\ & |\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p| \leq \frac{m-2j}{4}, \\ \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - \frac{j^2}{4}) & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ & |\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p| \leq \frac{j}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (150)$$

and $P^-(t, j, j')$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - |\frac{m-2j}{4}|^2) & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 \text{ such that} \\ & \frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p \in [\frac{2j-m}{4}, \frac{m-2j}{4} - \frac{1}{2}], \\ \frac{2}{m}(|\frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p|^2 - \frac{j^2}{4}) - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \exists p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that} \\ & \frac{tM+j'}{2} - \frac{m}{4}p \in [-\frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{j}{2}]. \end{cases} \quad (151)$$

We just consider the function F^+ and apply similar arguments for F^- . Let $\Delta^+(t, j, j') = F^+(t+1, j, j') - F^+(t, j, j')$, then it is nonincreasing in t and $\Delta^+(-1, j, j') > 0 > \Delta^+(0, j, j')$. It implies that $F^+(0, j, j') > F^+(t, j, j')$ for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \neq 0$. The same results is true for F^- . Hence $F_{i,j}(n)$ attains its maximum when $n = 0$. Indeed: $\Delta^+(t, j, j')$ equals

$$tM \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + \left(j' + \frac{M}{2} \right) \left(u - \frac{M}{m} \right) + u + P^+(t+1, j, j') - P^+(t, j, j'). \quad (152)$$

We denote the numbers p defining $P^+(t+1, j, j')$ and $P^+(t, j, j')$ by p_1, p_0 , respectively. Use definition, we have $\frac{p_1-p_0}{2} \geq u$. Hence

$$\partial_t \Delta^+(t, j, j') = M \left(u - \frac{p_1 - p_0}{2} \right) \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } \Delta^+ \text{ is nonincreasing in } t, \quad (153)$$

$$\partial_{j'} \Delta^+(t, j, j') = u - \frac{p_1 - p_0}{2} \leq 0, \text{ i.e., } \Delta^+ \text{ is nonincreasing in } j'. \quad (154)$$

So $\Delta^+(0, j, j') \leq \Delta^+(0, j, 0)$ and $\Delta^+(-1, j, \frac{um}{2}) \leq \Delta^+(-1, j, j')$. We can check that $\Delta^+(0, j, 0) < 0 < \Delta^+(-1, j, \frac{um}{2})$. Hence, in the case $k = \frac{j'-j}{2} + \frac{nM}{2}$, the minimum of N_k occurs when $n = 0$.

For the case $k = -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1 + \frac{nM}{2}$. Since $k = \frac{j'-j}{2} + \left(n - 2\frac{j'+1}{M}\right) \frac{M}{2}$, we have

$$-N_k = F \left(n - 2\frac{j'+1}{M}, j, j' \right). \quad (155)$$

Then N_k attains its minimum when $n = 0$ or 1 .

□

Here is the next proposition we will use in the proof of Theorems 4.8.

Proposition 4.10. *With $\phi(m, j, k)$ is defined as in (52), for each $j \in [0, \frac{m}{2}]$ and $j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) = \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1), \frac{u}{2} - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \right). \quad (156)$$

if and only if one of the next two conditions is satisfied:

(A2) $m > 2$ and $j' \leq j - 1$.

(B2) $m \geq 2, j' \in \frac{m(u-1)}{2} + 1 - j + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. We again use the equality (123) to rewrite

$$\phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1) = \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) \text{ and } -\frac{u}{2} + \phi(m, j, -\frac{j'+j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) = \phi(m, j, \frac{j'-j}{2}) \quad (157)$$

as $\phi(m, j, x) = \phi(m, j, x - 1)$ and $\phi(m, j, x) = -\frac{u}{2} + \phi(m, j, x + \frac{1}{2})$, where $x = -\frac{j'+j}{2}$. Use (52), we can check that it happens if and only if condition (A2) or (B2) is satisfied. □

We are now going to the proof of Theorem 4.8.

Proof. The strategy is the same as in the case $A_1^{(1)}$. The first step is writing explicitly ch_Λ in Proposition 4.2. By substituting values of $p(\lambda_k)$ and $\{\lambda_k\}$ in Proposition 4.9 to the formula (86), we can rewrite ch_Λ as follows

$$\sum_{j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \dot{ch}_{m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ k = \frac{j'-j}{2} - n - \frac{um+3}{2}}} q^{N_k} c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda - \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ k = -\frac{j'+j}{2} - 1 + n - \frac{um+3}{2}}} q^{N_k} c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda \right). \quad (158)$$

The identity (158) implies the condition $j' \in [0, \frac{um}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ that we need to prove for Theorem 4.8. The coefficients of $c_{\lambda_k}^\Lambda$ in the formula (158) are always positive integers since $\lambda_k \in \max(\Lambda)$. Proposition 4.9 says that the number N_k attains minimums at $n = 0$ for those on the left hand side of (158), and at $n = 0$ or $n = 1$ for those on the right hand side of (158). The corresponding minimums of N_k are

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2}) \text{ and } \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1), \frac{u}{2} - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j' + j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \right). \quad (159)$$

By (54), (80), (158), (159), we can imply that

$$-\phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2}) \leq \min \left(-\phi(m, j, -\frac{j' + j}{2} - 1), \frac{u}{2} - \phi(m, j, -\frac{j' + j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \right). \quad (160)$$

Moreover, the equality happens if and only if condition (A2) or (B2) in Proposition 4.10 is satisfied. So, for any $\lambda = m\Lambda_0 + \frac{j'\alpha}{2} \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$, we have strict inequality in (160). By (158), in this case we have $\lambda + \phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2})\delta \in \max_{A,u}(\Lambda)$. That means $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = \phi(m, j, \frac{j' - j}{2}) = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$. \square

4.5.3 The set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$

Let $(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+$. The description of the set $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ is equivalent to problem of describing the set

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) = \{b \in \mathbb{C} \mid \dot{L}(\lambda + b\delta) \subset L(\Lambda)\}. \quad (161)$$

We may assume that $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+}$ and $\lambda \in (\Lambda + Q) \cap \dot{P}_+$ since if there exists $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\dot{L}(\lambda + b_0\delta) \subset L(\Lambda)$, then $\lambda + b_0\delta \in (\Lambda + Q) \cap \dot{P}_+$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda + b_0\delta) - b_0$.

In the cases $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$, we know precisely the number $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ by Propositions 3.3, 3.5 and Theorems 4.5, 4.8. The following theorem uses this fact to describe the set $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda)$. First, suppose that $\Lambda \in P_+^m$ and $\lambda \in P_{A,u}(\Lambda) + \mathbb{C}\delta$. Set

$$c_m^{[u]} = \frac{3u(u-1)m^2}{(um + h^\vee)(m + h^\vee)}, \quad (162)$$

$$m_\Lambda = \frac{|\Lambda + \rho|^2}{2(m + h^\vee)} - \frac{|\rho|^2}{2h^\vee} \text{ and } \dot{m}_\lambda = \frac{|\lambda + \dot{\rho}|^2}{2(um + h^\vee)} - \frac{|\dot{\rho}|^2}{2h^\vee}, \quad (163)$$

$$h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} = u^{-1}m_\Lambda - \dot{m}_\lambda + c_m^{[u]}/24 - b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}. \quad (164)$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$ or $A_2^{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+^m}$, $u > 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$. We have*

1. $b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) \subset b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
2. If in addition $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda, \lambda) = b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}'[u] = [\mathfrak{g}[u], \mathfrak{g}[u]]$. For each $\lambda \in \dot{P}_+^{um}$, set

$$\mathcal{U}(\Lambda, \lambda) = \{v \in L(\Lambda) \mid (\mathfrak{n}_+ \cap \mathfrak{g}'[u])v = 0 \text{ and } hv = \lambda(h) \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}'[u]\}. \quad (165)$$

We have the decomposition of $L(\Lambda)$ with respect to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}'[u] \oplus \text{Vir}$ -modules (see [KW88])

$$L(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \overline{P_{A,u}(\Lambda)}} \dot{L}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\Lambda, \lambda). \quad (166)$$

By [KW88], [KW90], we have

$$ch_\Lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{P_{A,u}(\Lambda)}} \dot{c}h_\lambda q^{\dot{m}_\lambda - u^{-1}m_\Lambda} tr_{\mathcal{U}(\Lambda, \lambda)}(q^{L_0 - Z/24}) \quad (167)$$

The representation of Vir on $\mathcal{U}(\Lambda, \lambda)$ is unitrizable with non-zero central charge $c_m^{[u]}$ and lowest eigenvalue with respect to L_0 is $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]}$ (see [KW90]). Suppose that V is the highest weight Vir -module of highest weight $\lambda' \in Vir_0^*$ with $\lambda'(Z) = c_m^{[u]}$ and $\lambda'(L_0) = h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]}$, which is contained in $\mathcal{U}(\Lambda, \lambda)$, then we rewrite (167) as

$$ch_\Lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{P_{A,u}(\Lambda)}} \dot{c}h_\lambda q^{\dot{m}_\lambda - u^{-1}m_\Lambda - c_m^{[u]}/24 + h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]}} (\dim V_{\lambda'} + \dim V_{\lambda' + (L_0)^*} q + \dots) \quad (168)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{P_{A,u}(\Lambda)}} \dot{c}h_\lambda q^{-b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u}} (\dim V_{\lambda'} + \dim V_{\lambda' + (L_0)^*} q + \dots) \quad (169)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \overline{P_{A,u}(\Lambda)}} \dot{c}h_{\lambda + b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} \delta} (\dim V_{\lambda'} + \dim V_{\lambda' + (L_0)^*} q + \dots) \quad (170)$$

By Theorems 4.5, 4.8, we have $b_{\Lambda, \lambda, u} = b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$. Hence the terms inside the big bracket of (126), (158) are the products

$$q^{-b_{\Lambda, \lambda}} (\dim V_{\lambda'} + \dim V_{\lambda' + (L_0)^*} q + \dots) \quad (171)$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

- If $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then $\dim V_{\lambda' + n(L_0)^*} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It implies $\dot{L}(\lambda + (b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - n)\delta) \subset L(\Lambda)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- If $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} = 0$ then $\dim V_{\lambda' + n(L_0)^*} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\}$. It implies $\dot{L}(\lambda + (b_{\Lambda, \lambda} - n)\delta) \subset L(\Lambda)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\}$.

We have proven the theorem. \square

Set

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) = \{(\Lambda, \lambda) \in P_+ \times \dot{P}_+ \mid \lambda \in \Lambda + Q, \dot{L}(N\lambda) \subset L(N\Lambda) \text{ for some integer } N > 1\}. \quad (172)$$

Corollary 4.12. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$ or $A_2^{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in \overline{P_+^m}$, $u > 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \cap (\Lambda + Q)$. For all $b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

1. $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) \Leftrightarrow d(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.
2. If in addition $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u]) \Leftrightarrow (\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$.

Before going to the proof, we first restate Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 8.5 in [BK14].

Lemma 4.13. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Kac-Moody algebra of type $A^{(1)}$ or $A_2^{(2)}$. Let $\Lambda \in P_+$ and $\lambda \in (\Lambda + Q)$. Fix a positive integer N . Then $\lambda \in \max(\Lambda)$ if and only if $N\lambda \in \max(N\Lambda)$.*

Now we are going to the proof of Corollary 4.12.

Proof. Fix a positive integer d . Since $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \cap (\Lambda + Q)$, we have $d\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_u(d\Lambda) \cap (d\Lambda + Q)$. By Theorems 4.5, 4.8 and Lemma 4.13, we have

$$b_{d\Lambda, d\lambda, u} = d_{d\Lambda, d\lambda} = db_{\Lambda, \lambda}. \quad (173)$$

Suppose that $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$, then $\lambda + b\delta \in \Lambda + Q$ and $\dot{L}(N\lambda + Nb\delta) \subset L(N\Lambda)$ for some integer $N > 1$. Hence $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ (since $\lambda \in \Lambda + Q$) and $Nb \leq b_{N\Lambda, N\lambda, u} = Nb_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ for some $N > 1$ (by (173)). So $b \leq b_{\Lambda, \lambda}$ and they are integers. Hence for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, we have $b_{d\Lambda, d\lambda} - db = d(d_{\Lambda, \lambda} - b) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\})$. Then by Theorem 4.11 1., $d(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. If $h_{\Lambda, \lambda}^{[u]} \neq 0$ then by Theorem 4.11 2., $(\Lambda, \lambda + b\delta) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[u])$. \square

Remark 4.14. In the case $A_1^{(1)}$, we have $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \cap (\Lambda + Q) = \mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$. In the case $A_2^{(2)}$, we have $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda) \cap (\Lambda + Q)$ is the subset of elements in $\mathcal{A}_u(\Lambda)$ with strict condition $j' \in j + 2\mathbb{Z}$ compare to (130).

Acknowledgments

The author most grateful to his supervisors Prof. Nicolas Ressayre and Prof. Kenji Iohara for suggesting the subject, and for many useful comments and theirs helping to correct the manuscript while this work was being done. The author also would like to express his special thanks to Prof. Shrawan Kumar, Prof. Peter Littelmann and Prof. Stephane Gaussent for their discussions. I would also like to thank Prof. Cdric Lecouvey and Prof. Shrawan Kumar - the reporters for my thesis, for reading it carefully, pointing out several important errors that improved the results a lot.

References

- [BK06] Prakash Belkale and Shrawan Kumar. Eigenvalue problem and a new product in cohomology of flag varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 166(1):185–228, 2006.
- [BK14] Merrick Brown and Shrawan Kumar. A study of saturated tensor cone for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 360(3-4):901–936, 2014.
- [Bri13] Michel Brion. Restriction de représentations et projections d’orbites coadjointes (d’après Belkale, Kumar et Ressayre). Number 352, pages Exp. No. 1043, vii, 1–33. 2013. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2011/2012. Exposés 1043–1058.
- [Bri15] M. Brion. La conjecture de Horn: quelques développements récents. *Gaz. Math.*, (143):51–59, 2015.
- [Car05] R. W. Carter. *Lie algebras of finite and affine type*, volume 96 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [Fre82] I. B. Frenkel. Representations of affine Lie algebras, Hecke modular forms and Korteweg-de Vries type equations. In *Lie algebras and related topics (New Brunswick, N.J., 1981)*, volume 933 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 71–110. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [Kac90] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.
- [KR17] Shrawan Kumar and Nicolas Ressayre. On the faces of the tensor cone of symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras. ArXiv e-prints 1902.02049, 2017.

- [Kum02] Shrawan Kumar. *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, volume 204 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [Kum14] Shrawan Kumar. A survey of the additive eigenvalue problem. *Transform. Groups*, 19(4):1051–1148, 2014. With an appendix by M. Kapovich.
- [KW88] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. Modular and conformal invariance constraints in representation theory of affine algebras. *Adv. in Math.*, 70(2):156–236, 1988.
- [KW90] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto. Branching functions for winding subalgebras and tensor products. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 21(1-2):3–39, 1990.
- [Lit94] Peter Littelmann. A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 116(1-3):329–346, 1994.
- [Res10] N. Ressayre. Geometric invariant theory and the generalized eigenvalue problem. *Invent. Math.*, 180(2):389–441, 2010.
- [Res17] N. Ressayre. On the tensor semigroup of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras. ArXiv e-prints 1701.02176, 2017.

Universite Lyon, Universit Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5208, Institut Camille Jordan, 43 Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
 E-mail: khanh.mathematic@gmail.com