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#### Abstract

This note contains two new observations on the linkage properties of quaternion algebras over fields of characteristic 2: first, that a 3-linked field need not be 4-linked (a case which was left open in previous papers) and that three inseparably linked quaternion algebras are also cyclically linked when the base-field is odd-closed.
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## 1. Introduction

A symbol $p$-algebra of prime degree $p$ over a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ is an algebra of the form $[\alpha, \beta)_{p, F}=F\left\langle x, y: x^{p}-x=\alpha, y^{p}=\beta, y x y^{-1}=x+1\right\rangle$ for some $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \in$ $F^{\times}$. The significance of these algebras derives from the fact (proven by Teichmüller, see [11, Section 9]) that ${ }_{p} \operatorname{Br}(F)$ is generated by the Brauer classes of these algebras. Symbol $p$-algebras of degree 2 over a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$ are the quaternion algebras over that field.

We say that symbol $p$-algebras $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ of degree $p$ over $F$ are linked if there exists a degree $p$ field extension $K / F$ that splits them all. We say they are inseparably linked if there exists a purely inseparable degree $p$ field extension $K=F[\sqrt[p]{\gamma}]$ that splits them all, and we say these algebras are cyclically linked if there exists a degree $p$ cyclic extension $K=F\left[\lambda: \lambda^{p}-\lambda=\delta\right]$ which splits them all. It was proven in [3] that if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are inseparably linked then they are also cyclically linked and counterexamples were provided for the converse statement. The special case of quaternion algebras had been proven earlier in [8], [12] and [9]. Note that quadratic field extensions are either cyclic or purely inseparable, and therefore if quaternion algebras $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ are linked then they are either cyclically or inseparably linked.

We say a field $F$ is $m$-linked if any $m$ quaternion algebras over $F$ are linked. Examples of 2-linked fields that are not 3-linked exist: $\mathbb{F}_{2}((\alpha))((\beta))$ is 2 -linked by [1] but not 3-linked by [5]. The question of whether 3-linked fields which are not 4-linked exist was raised in [2]. Examples were provided in [7] for $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$. Similar questions in characteristic 2 were studied in [4], but this specific problem remained open because

[^0]the tools used in those previous works proved inadequate. In this note we complete the picture by proving the existence of 3-linked fields of characteristic 2 which are not 4 -linked. The tool is the $w$-invariant for valued division algebras defined and studied by Tignol in [14] and other works. We also extend the result from [3] from pairs of symbol $p$-algebras to triples, showing that if three symbol $p$-algebras of prime degree $p$ over a $p$-special field $F$ of characteristic $p$ are inseparably linked then they are also cyclically linked.

## 2. 3-Linked Fields which are not 4 -Linked

Let $F=k((\alpha))((\beta))$ be the field of iterated Laurent series in two variables over a field $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$. Write $\mathfrak{v}$ for the right-to-left $(\alpha, \beta)$-adic valuation on $F$ and write $\Gamma_{F}=\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for the underlying value group. This is a henselian valuation, and therefore it extends uniquely to any field extension $K / F$ by the formula $\mathfrak{v}(t)=\frac{1}{d} \mathfrak{v}(\mathrm{~N}(t))$ where N is the norm form $K \rightarrow F$ and $d=[K: F]$. Consequently, the valuation extends to any central division algebra $D / F$ with the analogous formula $\mathfrak{v}(t)=\frac{1}{d} \mathfrak{v}(\mathrm{~N}(t))$ where N here is the reduced norm $D \rightarrow F$ and $d$ is the degree of $D$ (see [15] for background on valuation theory for division algebras). Following [14], for any cyclic quadratic field extension $K$ of $F$, we define $w(K)$ to be $\min \{\mathfrak{v}(\operatorname{Tr}(t))-\mathfrak{v}(t): t \in K\}$ where $\operatorname{Tr}: K \rightarrow F$ is the trace map, given by $\operatorname{Tr}(a x+b)=a$ where $K=F\left[\wp^{-1}(\gamma)\right]=F\left[x: x^{2}+x=\right.$ $\gamma$ ]. Similarly, for any quaternion division algebra $D$ over $F$, we define $w(D)$ to be $\min \{\mathfrak{p}(\operatorname{Tr}(t))-\mathfrak{v}(t): t \in D\}$, where $\operatorname{Tr}: D \rightarrow F$ is the reduced trace map given by $\operatorname{Tr}(a+b x+c y+x y)=b$ where $D=[\gamma, \delta)_{2, F}=F\left\langle x, y: x^{2}+x=\gamma, y^{2}=\delta, y x y^{-1}=x+1\right\rangle$. Note that for any quaternion division algebra $D$ over $F$ containing a quadratic cyclic extension $K$ of $F$, the restriction of the reduced trace map on $D$ to $K$ coincides with the trace map on $K$, and therefore $w(K) \geqslant w(D)$.

Lemma 2.1 ( $[14$, Theorem 1.8, with $p=2]$ ). If $\gamma$ is an element in $F$ with $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)<\overrightarrow{0}$ and $\mathfrak{v}(\gamma) \notin 2 \Gamma_{F}$, then $K=F\left[t: t^{2}+t=\gamma\right]$ is a totally ramified quadratic cyclic field extension of $F$ with $w(K)=-\mathfrak{v}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{v}(\gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. Consider the quaternion algebras $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F},\left[\beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}$ and $\left[\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F}$ over $F=k((\alpha))((\beta))$ where $k$ is a field of char $(k)=2$. If a field $K$ is a quadratic extension of $F$ that splits these algebras, then $w(K) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Proof. These algebras do not share any quadratic inseparable splitting field by [4]. Note that they satisfy the conditions of [14, Proposition 2.1], and therefore they are division algebras. Suppose $K$ is a common quadratic splitting field of theirs, and therefore it must be a cyclic extension of $F$. Since $K$ is a quadratic splitting field of $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F}=F\left\langle x, y: x^{2}+x=\alpha^{-1}, y^{2}=\beta, y x y^{-1}=x+1\right\rangle$, it is also a subfield of that algebra, and since it is a cyclic extension of $F$, it must be generated over $F$ by an element of trace 1 , i.e. an element $t$ of the form $t=\lambda+x+a y+b x y$ for some $\lambda, a, b \in F$. Since $x+a y+b x y$ generates $K$ too and it is of trace 1 , we can suppose $\lambda=0$ and take $t=x+a y+b x y$ to be the generator of $K$. Now, $\mathfrak{p}(x)=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \mathfrak{v}(y)=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{v}(x y)=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Since they belong to three distinct classes modulo $\Gamma_{F}$, we have $\mathfrak{v}(t)=\min \{\mathfrak{v}(x), \mathfrak{v}($ ay $), \mathfrak{v}(b x y)\}$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{v}(t)<\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ then $\mathfrak{v}(t)<\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ and so $\mathfrak{v}(t) \neq \mathfrak{v}(x)$, which means $\mathfrak{v}(t)=\min \{\mathfrak{v}(a y), \mathfrak{v}(b x y)\}$, and therefore the class
of $\mathfrak{v}(t)$ modulo $\Gamma_{F}$ is either $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Since $w(K)=-\mathfrak{v}(t)$ by Lemma 2.1, if $w(K)>\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ then the class of $w(K)$ modulo $\Gamma_{F}$ is either $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Recalling that $K$ is also a quadratic splitting field of $\left[\beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}$, the same argument shows that if $w(K)>\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ then the class of $w(K)$ modulo $\Gamma_{F}$ is either $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$. Similarly, since $K$ is a quadratic splitting field of $\left[\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F}$, if $w(K)>\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ then the class of $w(K)$ modulo $\Gamma_{F}$ is either $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$. However, the intersection of $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$, $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right),\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$ is trivial, and so it is impossible that $w(K)>\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, which means that $w(K) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Proposition 2.3. The algebras $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F},\left[\beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F},\left[\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}, \beta\right)_{2, F}$ and $\left[\alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}$ are not linked.

Proof. All the quadratic splitting fields $K$ the first three share satisfy $w(K) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. On the other hand, by [14, Proposition 2.1] $\left[\alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}$ is a division algebra with $w\left(\left[\alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}\right)=\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, which is greater than $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, so every quadratic splitting field $K$ of $\left[\alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}$ has $w(K) \geqslant w\left(\left[\alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1}, \alpha\right)_{2, F}\right)>\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Therefore there is no common quadratic splitting field of the first three algebras which is also a splitting field of the fourth.

Example 2.4. The field $F=k((\alpha))((\beta))$ is 3 -linked when $k$ is algebraically closed (e.g., $\left.k=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\text {sep }}\right)$. The reason is that given any three quaternion algebras $\left[\gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)_{2, F},\left[\gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)_{2, F}$ and $\left[\gamma_{3}, \delta_{3}\right)_{2, F}$, the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{2} \gamma_{1}+\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} d_{1}+d_{1}^{2} \gamma_{1}\right) \delta_{1} & =a_{2}^{2}+a_{2} b+b^{2} \gamma_{2}+\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{2} d_{2}+d_{2}^{2} \gamma_{2}\right) \delta_{2} \\
b^{2} \gamma_{1}+\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} d_{1}+d_{1}^{2} \gamma_{1}\right) \delta_{1} & =a_{3}^{2}+a_{3} b+b^{2} \gamma_{3}+\left(c_{3}^{2}+c_{3} d_{3}+d_{3}^{2} \gamma_{3}\right) \delta_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a system of two homogeneous quadratic equations in 9 variables

$$
a_{2}, a_{3}, b, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3},
$$

and since $F$ is a $C_{2}$-field (see [13] and [10, Chapter 6]) and $9>2 \cdot 2^{2}$, the system has a nontrivial solution. If this nontrivial solution has $b=0$, then $F\left[\sqrt{\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} d_{1}+d_{1}^{2} \gamma_{1}\right) \delta_{1}}\right]$ is a common inseparable splitting field of the three quaternion algebras, and if $b \neq 0$ then $F\left[t: t^{2}+t=\gamma_{1}+\left(\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{c_{1} d_{1}}{b^{2}}+\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}} \gamma_{1}\right) \delta_{1}\right]$ is a common cyclic splitting field of the three quaternion algebras. However, $F$ is not 4 -linked by Proposition 2.3 This provides a characteristic 2 analogue of [7].

## 3. Cyclic and Inesparable 3-Linkage of Symbol p-Algebras

In [3] it was proven that if two symbol $p$-algebras of degree $p$ over a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$ are inseparably linked then they are also cyclically linked. Here we prove that the same holds for three algebras, under the assumption that $F$ is $p$-special, i.e. has no finite field extensions of degree prime to $p$.

Theorem 3.1. Given a prime integer $p$ and a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p$, if three symbol $p$ algebras of degree $p$ over $F$ are inseparably linked then they become cyclically linked under a prime-to-p extension of $F$ of degree at most $2 p-1$.

Proof. The algebras are inseparably linked, so they can be written as $A_{1}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta\right)_{p, F}$, $A_{2}=\left[\alpha_{2}, \beta\right)_{p, F}$ and $A_{3}=\left[\alpha_{3}, \beta\right)_{p, F}$. We can suppose that $\left\langle\left[A_{1}\right],\left[A_{2}\right],\left[A_{3}\right]\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of ${ }_{p} \operatorname{Br}(F)$ of order $p^{3}$, because otherwise it is enough to stress that two of them are cyclically linked (which is true by [3]) and the third shares the same cyclic field extension of $F$ shared by the first two. This means that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \notin \wp(F)=\left\{\lambda^{p}-\lambda: \lambda \in F\right\}$ and in particular they are nonzero. Moreover, $\alpha_{2} \notin \mathbb{F}_{p} \alpha_{1}$, and so $\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1} \neq 1$.

Now, $A_{i}$ can also be written as $\left[\alpha_{i}^{p}, \beta\right)_{p, F}$, and a solution to the following system will show the algebras are cyclically linked:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(u_{1}^{p}-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}+\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) & =\alpha_{2}^{p}+\beta\left(u_{2}^{p}-u_{2} v_{2}^{p-1}+\alpha_{2}^{p} v_{2}^{p}\right) \\
\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(u_{1}^{p}-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}+\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) & =\alpha_{3}^{p}+\beta\left(u_{3}^{p}-u_{3} v_{3}^{p-1}+\alpha_{3}^{p} v_{3}^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}$, and then the equations reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}+\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) & =\alpha_{2}^{p}+\beta\left(-u_{2} v_{2}^{p-1}+\alpha_{2}^{p} v_{2}^{p}\right) \\
\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}+\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) & =\alpha_{3}^{p}+\beta\left(-u_{3} v_{3}^{p-1}+\alpha_{3}^{p} v_{3}^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and then isolate $u_{1}$ in both equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(u_{1} v_{2}^{p-1}-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}\right)=\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(\alpha_{2}^{p} v_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) \\
& \beta\left(u_{1} v_{3}^{p-1}-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}\right)=\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(\alpha_{3}^{p} v_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $v_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} v_{1}$ and $v_{3}=1$, and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1\right) u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1} & =\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} \\
\beta\left(u_{1}-u_{1} v_{1}^{p-1}\right) & =\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging in the first equation in the second gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta u_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1}=\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\beta\left(\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right), \quad \text { and so } \\
\beta u_{1}=\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\frac{\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1}+\beta\left(\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Plugging this into the first equation gives

$$
\left(\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}+\frac{\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1}+\beta\left(\alpha_{3}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p} v_{1}^{p}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1\right) v_{1}^{p-1}=\alpha_{2}^{p}-\alpha_{1}^{p}
$$

a degree $2 p-1$ equation in one variable $v_{1}$, that must have a solution under some prime-to- $p$ extension of $F$ of degree up to $2 p-1$.

## Corollary 3.2.

1. For $p$-special fields, inseparable linkage of three symbol p-algebras of degree $p$ implies cyclic linkage.
2. When $p=2$, every three inseparably linked quadratic 2-fold Pfister forms over $F$ are either cyclically linked or become cyclically linked over a degree 3 extension of $F$.

Note that three cyclically linked symbol $p$-algebras need not be inseparably linked. For example, the algebras $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{1}\right)_{p, F},\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{2}\right)_{p, F}$ and $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{3}\right)_{p, F}$ over $F=k((\alpha))\left(\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right)\left(\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right)\left(\left(\beta_{3}\right)\right)$ (or over $\left.F_{0}=k\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)\right)$ are pair-wise not inseparably linked by the same argument as in [3, Example 4.2].

When $p=2$, these algebras remain pair-wise not inseparably linked under any odd field extension of $F$. The reason is that $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{i}\right)_{2, K}$ and $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{j}\right)_{2, K}$ are inseparably linked if and only if the 3 -fold Pfister form $\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}, \alpha^{-1}\right]_{K}$ is hyperbolic by [6], and since $\left\langle\left\langle\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}, \alpha^{-1} \rrbracket_{F}\right.\right.$ is anisotropic, it remains anisotropic under scalar extension tor any odd degree extension $K / F$. Thus, if $K$ is the odd closure of $F$, then the quaternion algebras $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{1}\right)_{2, K},\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{2}\right)_{2, K}$ and $\left[\alpha^{-1}, \beta_{3}\right)_{2, K}$ are not inseparably linked.
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