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Abstract. We prove an existence result for twisted Kähler-Einstein metrics, assuming an appropriate twisted K-stability condition. An improvement over earlier results is that certain non-negative twisting forms are allowed.

1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a Fano manifold, together with a line bundle $T \to M$. Let $\beta \in c_1(T)$ be a smooth non-negative form that can be expressed as an average

\[
\beta = \int_{[T]} [D] \, d\mu(D),
\]

where $d\mu$ is a volume form on the linear system $|T|$. A typical example is obtained if $|T|$ is basepoint free, and $\beta$ is the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric under the corresponding map $M \to \mathbb{P}^N$ (see [17, Theorem 19]). More generally we could allow the divisors $D$ to be in the linear system $|kT|$ for some $k > 1$, but for simplicity of notation we will only consider the case $k = 1$.

Our goal is to study the existence of solutions to the equation

\[
\text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega + \beta
\]
on $M$. We necessarily have $\omega \in c_1(L)$, where $L = K^{-1} \otimes T^{-1}$ in terms of the canonical bundle $K$ of $M$. We call a solution $\omega$ of this equation a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric on $(M, \beta)$. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1. There exists a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric on $(M, \beta)$ if $(M, \beta)$ is K-stable.

We will define K-stability of the pair $(M, \beta)$ in Section 2 below. Note that if $T$ is trivial, so that $\beta = 0$, then $L = K^{-1}$, and we are seeking a Kähler-Einstein metric on $M$. In this case Theorem 1 was proven by Chen-Donaldson-Sun [4] in solving the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture [14, 26, 29]. When $\beta \in c_1(M)$ is strictly positive, Datar and the second author [7] showed a slightly weaker statement, namely that if $(M, \beta)$ is K-stable, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a solution of the equation $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega + (1 + \epsilon)\beta$. This is more or less equivalent to replacing “K-stable” by “uniformly K-stable” in the statement of Theorem 1. In much more generality, allowing positive currents $\beta$, the result assuming uniform K-stability was also shown by Berman-Boucksom-Jonsson [23], using very different techniques. In the setting when $\beta \in c_1(M)$ is the current of integration along a smooth divisor, the
statement of Theorem 1 was also shown by Chen-Donaldson-Sun, where instead of twisted Kähler-Einstein metrics, one considers Kähler-Einstein metrics with cone singularities along the divisor. Let us also remark that it would be natural to extend Theorem 1 to pairs $(M, \beta)$ that admit automorphisms, using a suitable notion of K-polystability rather than K-stability. This would not introduce substantial new difficulties, however in this paper we focus on the case of no automorphisms to simplify the discussion.

In Section 2 we will give the definition of K-stability of a pair $(M, \beta)$, which is similar to log-K-stability and twisted K-stability. In the case when $\beta$ is the pullback of a positive form by a map, stability of the pair is related to the stability of the map in the sense of. We then prove Theorem 1 in Section 3 along the lines of the argument in. An important simplification of the prior arguments in Chen-Donaldson-Sun as well as is provided by the work of the second author and Liu on Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler manifolds with only lower bounds on the Ricci curvature, rather than a two-sided bound as in Donaldson-Sun. An additional observation, given in Corollary below, allows us to obtain the existence of a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric under the assumption of K-stability, rather than the stronger uniform K-stability which would follow more directly from the methods of.

2. K-stability

Let $M, T, \beta$ be as in the introduction, and $L = K^{-1} \otimes T^{-1}$. Note that since $M$ is Fano, the line bundles $T, L$ are uniquely determined by $\beta$, given that $\beta \in c_1(T)$. In this section we discuss K-stability of $(M, \beta)$, and prove some basic properties. First we have the following definition, which agrees with that in Tian when $T$ is the trivial bundle so that $\beta = 0$.

**Definition 2.** A special degeneration for $(M, L)$ of exponent $r > 0$ consists of an embedding $M \subset P^N$ using a basis of sections of $L^r$, together with a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action $\lambda$ on $P^N$, such that the limit $\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot M$ is a normal variety.

We will refer to a special degeneration by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action $\lambda$, leaving implicit the projective embedding of $M$ that is also part of the data. Next, we define the Donaldson-Futaki invariant $DF(M, \lambda)$ in the same way as in Donaldson, in terms of the weights of the action on the spaces of sections $H^0(M, L^k)$ as $k \to \infty$. In addition we will need a differential geometric formula for the Donaldson-Futaki invariant. For this let $Z = \lim_{r \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot M$. We can assume that the $S^1$-subgroup of $\lambda$ acts through $SU(N + 1)$, and so we have a Hamiltonian function $\theta$ on $P^N$ generating $\lambda$.

**Proposition 3.** Let $\omega$ denote the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric to $Z$. We then have

$$DF(M, \lambda) = -V^{-1} \int_Z \theta (nRic(\omega|_Z) - \hat{R}\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

where $V$ is the volume of $Z$, and $\hat{R}$ is the average scalar curvature, so that the integral above is unchanged by adding a constant to $\theta$.

**Proof.** Let us denote by $\omega_s$ the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric on $\lambda(e^{-s}) \cdot M$. We thus have a family of metrics $\omega_s = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_s$ on $M$ in a fixed Kähler
class. Since the central fiber $Z$ of our degeneration is normal, the Donaldson-Futaki invariant $DF(M, \lambda)$ is given by the asymptotic derivative of the Mabuchi functional \cite{[20]} along this family $\omega_s$ (see Paul-Tian \cite{[22]}, Corollary 1.3). I.e. we have

$$DF(M, \lambda) = \lim_{s \to \infty} -V^{-1} \int_M \hat{\varphi}_s (n \text{Ric} (\omega_s) - \hat{R} \omega_s) \wedge \omega_s^{n-1}.$$ 

In addition we have $\hat{\varphi}_s = \theta$ under identifying $M$ with $\lambda (e^{-s}) \cdot M$. It therefore remains to show that these integrals on $M$ converge to the corresponding integral on $Z$.

If $Z$ were smooth, then this convergence would be immediate. It is thus enough to show that the singularities of $Z$ do not contribute to the limit. For this, note first that we have a uniform upper bound $\text{Ric} (\omega_s) < C \omega_s$ for the Ricci curvatures, where $C$ depends on the curvature of the Fubini-Study metric, since curvature decreases in holomorphic subbundles. We can view $C \omega_s - \text{Ric} (\omega_s)$ as a positive current of dimension $(n-1, n-1)$, supported on $\lambda (e^{-s}) \cdot M$. As $s \to \infty$, these currents converge (along a subsequence if necessary) weakly to a limit current $T$, supported on $Z$. On the regular part of $Z$, this limit current is necessarily given by $C \omega - \text{Ric} (\omega)$ in terms of the Fubini-Study metric $\omega$, and since the codimension of the singular set is at least 2, this determines $T$. \hfill $\Box$

We are now ready to define the twisted Futaki invariant of the special degeneration.

**Definition 4.** Suppose that we have a special degeneration $\lambda$ for $M$ with Hamiltonian $\theta$ as above, and $Z = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot M$. Under the assumption (1), we have an induced current $\gamma = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot \beta$ on $Z$. The twisted Futaki invariant of this special degeneration is then defined to be

$$\text{Fut}_\beta (M, \lambda) = DF(M, \lambda) + nV^{-1} \int_Z \theta (\gamma - c \omega_{FS}) \wedge \omega_{FS}^{n-1},$$

where $c$ is a constant so that the expression is invariant under adding a constant to $\theta$.

Given this, we define K-stability of $(M, \beta)$ as follows.

**Definition 5.** The pair $(M, \beta)$ is K-stable, if $\text{Fut}_\beta (M, \lambda) \geq 0$ for all special degenerations for $(M, L)$, with equality only if $\lambda$ is trivial.

It will be important for us to replace the smooth form $\beta$ with currents of integration along divisors. The definition of the twisted Futaki invariant above applies in this case too, leading to log-K-stability (see Donaldson \cite{[15]}, Li \cite{[18]}), and we will need to compare these two notions. As in \cite{[8]}, the twisted Futaki invariant with a smooth form $\beta$ is the same as the twisted Futaki invariant using a generic divisor in the same class. This follows from the decomposition \cite{[11]}, together with the following result from Wang \cite{[27], Theorem 26}.

**Proposition 6.** Let $D \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ have dimension $n-1$, and $\lambda$ a $C^*$-action with Hamiltonian $\theta$ as above. Suppose that $\theta$ is normalized to have zero average on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $D_0 = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot D$, and denote by $w(D_0, \lambda)$ the weight of the induced action on the Chow line over $D_0$. Then (up to a multiplicative normalization constant)

$$w(D_0, \lambda) = - \int_{D_0} \theta \omega^{n-1}.$$
Under a projective embedding of the Chow variety, we can view each $D$ as in this proposition as a line in a vector space $V$ spanned by a vector $v_D$. The weight $w(D_0, \lambda)$ is determined by the lowest weight in the weight decomposition of $v_D$ under the $C^*$-action $\lambda$. It follows that as $D$ varies in a linear system as in (1), there will be a hyperplane section $H \subset [T]$ such that the corresponding weights will all be equal for $D \notin H$. More precisely we have the following.

Proposition 7. Given any $C^*$-action $\lambda$ with Hamiltonian $\theta$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$, there is a hyperplane $H \subset [T]$ such that for all $D \in [T]$ we have

\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda(t) \cdot D} \theta \omega^{n-1} \leq \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda(t) \cdot M} \theta (\lambda(t))_* \beta \wedge \omega^{n-1},
\end{equation}

with equality for $D \in [T] \setminus H$. In addition, given an action of a torus $T$, we can choose a $D \in [T]$ such that equality holds above for all $\lambda \subset T$.

Proof. (Compare [17, Lemma 9].) Using (1) the equation (2) is true when averaged over $[T]$, i.e. we have

\begin{equation}
\int_{[T]} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda(t) \cdot D} \theta \omega^{n-1} d\mu(D) = \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda(t) \cdot M} (\lambda(t))_* \beta \wedge \omega^{n-1}.
\end{equation}

At the same time by Proposition 6 up to a normalizing constant, the limit on the left hand side of (2) is a Chow weight in geometric invariant theory. In particular it is given by the minimal weight under the weight decomposition of the vector corresponding to $D$ in the Chow variety, under the $C^*$-action $\lambda$. Generically, i.e. on the complement of a hyperplane (corresponding to the vanishing of the lowest weight component), this weight will achieve its minimum and is independent of $D$.

For the second statement in the Proposition, we can take a generic $D$ that has a non-zero component in all the weight spaces which appear under the action of $T$ on elements in $[T]$.

This result leads to an important finiteness property of special degenerations inside a fixed projective space. We first have the following (that is essentially a standard piece of Geometric Invariant Theory).

Lemma 8. Fix $r > 0$. There is a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the following property. Suppose that we have a special degeneration $\lambda$ of exponent $r$ for $M$, and a divisor $D \in [T]$ on $M$ such that the limit $(M_0, D_0)$ of the pair $(M, D)$ under $\lambda$ is not fixed by any $C^*$ subgroup of $SL(N + 1)$ commuting with $\lambda$, apart from $\lambda$ itself (i.e. the centralizer of $\lambda$ in the stabilizer group is just $\lambda$). Let $\theta$ be the Hamiltonian for $\lambda$ normalized to have zero average on $\mathbb{P}^N$, and let $\|\lambda\|$ denote the $L^2$-norm of $\theta$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then the normalized twisted Futaki invariant $\frac{\|\lambda\|^r}{\text{Fut}_D(M, \lambda)}$ lies in $F$.

Proof. Note first of all that since any $C^*$-subgroup can be conjugated into a maximal torus of $SL(N + 1)$, up to moving the pair $(M, D)$ in its orbit, we can assume that $\lambda$ is in a fixed maximal torus $T$. Then if $(M_0, D_0)$ is as in the statement of the Lemma, the normalized twisted Futaki invariant is determined by the pair $(M_0, D_0)$, since the induced $C^*$-action is uniquely determined up to scaling.

The pair $(M_0, D_0)$ is represented by a point in a product of Chow varieties, i.e. under a projective embedding by a line spanned by a vector $v$ in a vector space $V$ admitting a $T$-action. Under the decomposition of $V$ into weight spaces for the $T$-action, the weights appearing in the decomposition of $v$ must lie in a codimension-one affine subspace of $t^*$ by the assumption that $(M_0, D_0)$ has a one
dimensional stabilizer in $T$. The normalized twisted Futaki invariant is determined by this affine subspace rather than the components of $v$ in each corresponding weight space. Since there are only a finite number of possible such affine subspaces, we can have only finitely many different normalized twisted Futaki invariants.

**Corollary 9.** Fix $r > 0$. Suppose that for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have a special degeneration $\lambda$ of exponent $r$ for $(M, L)$ such that $\| \lambda \|^{-1} \text{Fut}_\beta(M, \beta) < \epsilon$. Then $(M, \beta)$ is not K-stable.

**Proof.** Given a special degeneration $\lambda$, we will show that we can either find another special degeneration with non-positive twisted Futaki invariant, or we can find a special degeneration $\lambda'$ to which Lemma 8 applies, and which has smaller normalized twisted Futaki invariant than $\lambda$. If $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, this will necessarily be non-positive.

By conjugating, we can assume that $\lambda$ is in a fixed maximal torus $T$. By Proposition 7, we can choose a $D \in [T]$, such that the twisted Futaki invariant $\text{Fut}_\beta(M, \tau) = \text{Fut}_\beta(M, \tau)$ for any $C^*$ subgroup $\tau$ in $T$. Let us consider the effect of varying the $C^*$-action on the central fiber and the normalized twisted Futaki invariant.

As above, we can view the pair $(M, D)$ as a line spanned by a vector $v$ in a vector space $V$ with an action of $T$. We decompose $v = \sum v_\alpha$ into components on which the torus acts by weights $\alpha \in t^*$. Let us denote by $W \subset t^*$ the weights that appear in this decomposition. For any $C^*$-subgroup $\tau \subset T$, we will also denote by $\tau \in t$ its generator. The central fiber $(M_0, D_0)$ under this $C^*$ is determined by the sum of those components $v_\alpha$ for which $\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle$ is minimal, i.e. $(\alpha, \tau) \leq \langle \beta, \tau \rangle$ for all $\beta \in W$. Let us denote by $W_\tau \subset W$ the set of these minimal weights. The stabilizer of $(M_0, D_0)$ in $T$ is then the subgroup with Lie algebra

$$\{ \eta \in t | \eta \text{ is constant on } W_\tau \},$$

where we can view any $\eta \in t$ as a function on $t^*$. In particular the stabilizer of $(M_0, D_0)$ is $\tau$ precisely when $W_\tau$ spans a codimension-one affine subspace in $t^*$.

Consider again our given special degeneration $\lambda$. If $W_\lambda$ spans a codimension-one affine subspace, then we are already done. Otherwise, we can find another $C^*$-action $\tau$ which is orthogonal to $\lambda$ in $t$ (here we use the inner product on $t$ given by the $L^2$-product on $P^N$ of the corresponding Hamiltonian functions), and is constant on $W_\lambda$. For rational $t$ let us consider the $C^*$-actions $\lambda + t\tau$. We can find an interval $(a_1, a_2)$ containing 0, such that if $t \in (a_1, a_2)$ then $W_{\lambda + t\tau} = W_\lambda$, however for $i = 1, 2$ we have $W_{\lambda + a_i \tau} \supsetneq W_\lambda$. For $t \in (a_1, a_2)$ the central fibers $(M_0, D_0)$ of the degenerations given by $\lambda + t\tau$ will all be the same. As a result the twisted Futaki invariant varies linearly in $t$, while the norm is smallest when $t = 0$. It follows that the normalized twisted Futaki invariant of $\lambda + t\tau$ will be strictly smaller for either $t = a_1$ or $t = a_2$ than for $t = 0$. Moreover the original central fiber $(M_0, D_0)$ will be a specialization of the new $(M_0', D_0')$, and so $M_0'$ is also normal. The new central fiber has smaller stabilizer, and so after finitely many such steps the result follows. □

3. Proof of the main result

In this section we prove Theorem 1 along similar lines to the argument in 7. Instead of the partial $C^0$-estimate in 25, we will use the main result in 19, which leads to substantial simplifications, and allows us to work with non-negative
\( \beta \) rather than just those that are strictly positive. We first set up the relevant continuity method.

### 3.1. The continuity method

Let \( \alpha \in c_1(L) \) be a Kähler form, and consider the equations

\[
\text{Ric}(\omega_t) = t \omega + (1 - t) \alpha + \beta,
\]

for \( \omega_t \in c_1(L) \). For \( t = 0 \) the equation can be solved using Yau’s theorem \cite{28}, and the set of \( t \in [0, 1] \) for which the solution exists is open. Suppose that we can solve the equation for \( t \in [0, T) \). If \( t > t_0 > 0 \), then by Myers’ theorem we have a diameter bound, and since the volume is fixed, the Bishop-Gromov theorem implies that the manifolds \( (M, \omega_t) \) are uniformly non-collapsed. Along a sequence \( t_k \to T \), we can extract a Gromov-Hausdorff limit \( Z \). Let us denote by \( M_k \) the metric spaces \( (M, \omega_{t_k}) \), so \( M_k \to Z \) in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense.

Theorem 1.1 in \cite{19} (which is based on ideas of Donaldson-Sun \cite{16}) implies that for a sufficiently large \( \ell > 0 \), we have a sequence of uniformly Lipschitz holomorphic maps \( F_k : M_k \to \mathbb{P}^N \), using sections of \( L^\ell \). These converge to a Lipschitz map \( F_\infty : Z \to \mathbb{P}^N \) that is a homeomorphism to its image. We will identify \( Z \) with its image \( F_\infty(Z) \), which is a normal projective variety. Up to choosing a further subsequence we can assume that

\[
(F_k)^*[(1 - t_k)\alpha + \beta] \to \gamma
\]

weakly for a positive current \( \gamma \) on \( Z \). Note that since the \( F_k \) are all defined using sections of \( L^\ell \), we have a sequence \( g_k \in PGL(N + 1) \) such that \( F_k = g_k \circ F_1 \), so \( Z \) is in the closure of the \( PGL(N + 1) \)-orbit of \( F_1(M) \).

We next show that \( Z \) admits a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric, which we can formally view as a solution of the equation \( \text{Ric}(\omega_T) = T \omega_T + \gamma \). More precisely, let us denote by \( L \) the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle on \( Z \) such that \( L^1 = O(1) \). We then have the following.

**Proposition 10.** The \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle \( L \) over \( Z \) admits a metric with locally bounded potentials with the following property. Locally on \( Z_{\text{reg}} \), if the metric is given by \( e^{-\psi_T} \), then its curvature form \( \omega_{\varphi_T} \) satisfies

\[
\omega_{\varphi_T}^n = e^{-T \varphi_T - \psi}
\]

in the sense of measures, where \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi = \gamma \). Here \( Z_{\text{reg}} \) denotes the regular set of \( Z \) in the complex analytic sense.

**Proof.** The metric on (a power of) \( L \) is obtained by the partial \( C^0 \)-estimate, as a limit of metrics \( h_k \) on \( L \to M_k \) that have curvature \( \omega_{t_k} \). More concretely, the partial \( C^0 \)-estimate implies that under our embeddings \( F_k : M_k \to \mathbb{P}^N \), the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric is uniformly equivalent to \( h_k \). Using this we can extract a limit metric on \( O(1)|_Z \) which will also be uniformly equivalent to the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric.

Let us now consider a point \( p \in Z_{\text{reg}} \) and a sequence \( p_k \in M_k \) such that \( p_k \to p \) under the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. We have a holomorphic chart \( z_i \) on a neighborhood of \( p \), and using the maps \( F_k \) this gives rise to charts \( z_{ki} \) on neighborhoods of \( p_k \in M_k \) for large \( k \), converging to \( z_i \). Using these charts we can view the metrics \( \omega_{t_k} \) as being defined on a fixed ball \( B \subset \mathbb{C}^n \). By the gradient estimate for holomorphic functions, we have a uniform bound \( \omega_{t_k} > C^{-1} \omega_{\text{Euc}} \). In addition, by \cite{19}, Proposition 3.1] we can assume (shrinking the charts if necessary) that we have
uniformly bounded Kähler potentials $\varphi_{t_k}$ for the $\omega_{t_k}$. Let us denote by $\alpha_k, \beta_k$ the forms corresponding to $\alpha, \beta$ on $M$. Equation (5) implies that $\alpha_k, \beta_k$ have potentials $\psi_{\alpha_k}, \psi_{\beta_k}$ satisfying the equation
\begin{equation}
\omega^n_{t_k} = e^{-t_k\varphi_{t_k} - (1-t_k)\psi_{\alpha_k} - \psi_{\beta_k}},
\end{equation}
i.e.
\begin{equation}
\text{Ric}(\omega_{t_k}) = t_k\omega_{t_k} + (1-t_k)\alpha_k + \beta_k.
\end{equation}
Our goal is to be able to pass this equation to the limit as $k \to \infty$, i.e. $t_k \to T$.

Let us observe first that since $\alpha, \beta$ are fixed forms on $M$, using the lower bound $\omega_{t_k} > C^{-1}\omega_{\text{Euc}}$, we have a uniform bound
\begin{equation}
\int_B [(1-t_k)\alpha_k + \beta_k] \wedge \omega_{\text{Euc}}^{n-1} < C.
\end{equation}
It follows that we can take a weak limit
\begin{equation}
\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} (1-t_k)\alpha_k + \beta_k.
\end{equation}
From (5), and the lower bound for $\omega_{t_k}$ we have uniform upper bounds for $(1 - t_k)\psi_{\alpha_k} + \psi_{\beta_k}$. These psh functions can also not converge to $-\infty$ everywhere as $k \to \infty$, since the volume of $B$ with respect to the metric $\omega_{t_k}$ is bounded above. It follows that up to choosing a subsequence we can extract a limit
\begin{equation}
(1-t_k)\psi_{\alpha_k} + \psi_{\beta_k} \to \psi, \text{ in } L^1_{\text{loc}}.
\end{equation}
We then necessarily have $\gamma = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\psi$.

Let $\kappa > 0$, and denote by $E_\kappa$ the set where the Lelong numbers of $\gamma$ are at least $\kappa$. By Siu’s theorem [24] $E_\kappa$ is a subvariety in $B$. From [19, Claim 4.3], and the subsequent argument, it follows that for any $q \notin E_\kappa$, we have $V_{2n} - \lim_{r \to 0} r^{-2}\text{vol}(B(q, r)) < \Psi(\kappa)$, where the volume is measured using the limit metric on $Z$. Here, and below, $\Psi(\kappa)$ denotes a function converging to zero as $\kappa \to 0$, which may change from line to line. In other words in the limit space $Z$ the complement of $E_\kappa$ is contained in the $\epsilon$-regular set for $\epsilon = \Psi(\kappa)$.

Suppose now that $q \notin E_\kappa$, and $\delta$ is sufficiently small so that $V_{2n} - \delta^{-2}\text{vol}(B(q, \delta)) < \epsilon$, where $V_{2n}$ is the volume of the Euclidean unit ball. Then we can apply Lemma [11] below to see that on $B(q, \delta)$ the metrics $\omega_{t_k}$ are bi-Hölder equivalent to $\omega_{\text{Euc}}$. On these balls the Kähler potentials $\varphi_{t_k}$ satisfy uniform gradient estimates with respect to $\omega_{t_k}$, since $\Delta_{\omega_{t_k}} \varphi_{t_k} = n$, and so the $\varphi_{t_k}$ satisfy uniform Hölder bounds with respect to $\omega_{\text{Euc}}$. It follows from this that up to choosing a subsequence we can find a limit $\varphi_{t_k} \to \varphi_T$ in $C^0_{\text{loc}}(B \setminus E_\kappa)$, and $\varphi_T$ is uniformly bounded on $B$. In particular for $\omega_T = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\varphi_T$, the measures $\omega^n_{t_k}$ converge weakly to $\omega^n_T$ on $B \setminus E_\kappa$.

To derive the required equation (14), we note that on $B \setminus E_\kappa$ we have
\begin{equation}
e^{-(1-t_k)\psi_{\alpha_k} - \psi_{\beta_k}} \to e^{-\psi} \text{ in } L^1_{\text{loc}}.
\end{equation}
From the semicontinuity theorem of Demailly-Kollár [3] this follows if we bound the Lelong numbers of $\psi$, which will be the case if $\kappa$ is sufficiently small. It follows that on $B \setminus E_\kappa$ we have an equality of measures $\omega^n_T = e^{-T\varphi_T - \psi}$, and since $E_\kappa$ has zero measure with respect to $\omega^n_T$, the equality holds on $B$ as well.

We used the following lemma in the argument.
Proposition 10 holds, i.e. locally on \( Z \) where \( \sqrt{e^\psi} \) bounded metric \( e^\psi \). As above, \( \Psi(\epsilon) \) denotes a function converging to zero as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), which may change from line to line.

Proof. We can assume that \( z(p) = 0 \). It is enough to prove that for any \( \delta > 0 \), if \( \epsilon \) is sufficiently small, then for all \( k > 0 \) and \( q \notin B(p, 2^{-k}) \), we have \( |z(q)| > (2 + \delta)^{-k} \).

We prove this by induction.

Suppose that we have shown that \( |z| > (2 + \delta)^{-k} \) outside of \( B(p, 2^{-k}) \). Denote by \( 2^kB(p, 2^{-k}) \) the same ball scaled up to unit size. By Colding’s volume convergence theorem [6] and the Bishop-Gromov monotonicity, together with [12 Theorem 2.1], we have holomorphic coordinates \( w \) on this ball, giving a \( \Psi(\epsilon) \)-Gromov-Hausdorff approximation to the Euclidean unit ball. We can assume that \( w(p) = 0 \). Let us also use the coordinates \( z' = (2 + \delta)^k z \), which map our ball onto a region containing the Euclidean unit ball. Viewing \( w \) as a function of \( z \), the Schwarz lemma implies that \( |w| \leq (1 + \Psi(\epsilon))|z'| \) on the unit \( z' \)-ball, and so in particular, using that \( w \) is a Gromov-Hausdorff approximation, we have \( |z'| \geq (1 - \Psi(\epsilon))/2 \) outside of the ball \( 2^kB(p, 2^{-k-1}) \). Scaling back, this means that \( |z| \geq (2 + \Psi(\epsilon))^{-1}(2 + \delta)^{-k} \) outside of \( B(p, 2^{-k-1}) \). We then just need to choose \( \epsilon \) small enough to make \( \Psi(\epsilon) < \delta \), and the inductive step follows.

\[ \square \]

3.2. The Ding functional and the Futaki invariant. We will next use the existence of a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric as in Proposition 10 to deduce the vanishing of the twisted Futaki invariant, and the reductivity of the automorphism group.

Let \( Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N \) be a normal variety, together with the following additional data. We have a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle \( L \) on \( Z \) (a power of which is just \( \mathcal{O}(1) \)), and a locally bounded metric \( e^{-\varphi_0} \) on \( L \). In addition we have a closed positive current \( \gamma \) on \( Z \). We say that these define a twisted Kähler-Einstein metric if the conclusion of Proposition 10 holds, i.e., locally on \( Z_{\text{reg}} \) we have the equation \( \omega^n_{\varphi_0} = e^{-T_{\varphi_0}} \psi \), where \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi = \gamma \). In terms of this we can define the twisted Ding functional on the space of all metrics \( e^{-\varphi} \) with locally bounded potentials. Abusing notation slightly, we will denote by \( e^{-T\varphi-\psi} \) the measure \( e^{-T_{\varphi_0}} \psi \).

Note that while \( \varphi, \varphi_0 \) are only locally defined in terms of trivializations of \( L \), \( \varphi - \varphi_0 \) is a globally defined bounded function on \( Z \).

We have the Monge-Ampère energy functional \( E \), defined by its variation \( \delta E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V} \int_Z \delta \varphi \omega^n_{\varphi} \), where \( V \) is the volume of \( Z \) with respect to \( \omega_{\varphi} \), and we define the twisted Ding functional [12] by

\[ D(\varphi) = -TE(\varphi) - \log \left( \int_Z e^{-T_{\varphi_0}} \psi \right). \]
The variation of $\mathcal{D}$ is
\[
\delta \mathcal{D}(\varphi) = -TV^{-1} \int_Z \delta \varphi \omega^n - \int_Z -T(\delta \varphi)e^{-T\varphi - \psi} \omega^n \int_Z e^{-T\varphi - \psi},
\]
and so the critical points satisfy
\[
\omega^n = Ce^{-T\varphi - \psi}.
\]
Up to changing $\varphi$ by addition of a constant, this is the twisted KE equation as required.

The convexity of the twisted Ding functional follows exactly Berndtsson’s argument in [3] (see also [7]), and so in particular if there is a critical point, then $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded below. As in [4, 7], the key consequences of this convexity are the reductivity of the automorphism group of $(Z, \gamma)$, and the vanishing of a twisted Futaki invariant.

The reductivity of the automorphism group is a generalization of Matsushima’s theorem for Kähler-Einstein metrics [21] (see also [1, 2, 3, 5, 11]). Following [7], we define the Lie algebra stabilizer of $(Z, \gamma)$, as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(N + 1, \mathbb{C})$ by
\[
\mathfrak{g}_{Z, \gamma} = \{ w \in H^0(TZ) : \iota w \gamma = 0 \}.
\]
We then have, following [5] (see also [7, Proposition 7])

**Proposition 12.** Suppose that $Z$ admits a twisted KE metric as above. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{Z, \gamma}$ is reductive.

Following Chen-Donaldson-Sun [4] we also apply the convexity of the twisted Ding functional to deduce the vanishing of a twisted Futaki invariant on $Z$. For this we consider the variation of $\mathcal{D}$ along a 1-parameter group of automorphisms which fixes the twisting current $\gamma$. If the automorphisms are generated by a vector field $v$ with Hamiltonian $\theta$, then the variation of $\varphi$ is $\theta$, so we get
\[
(6) \quad \text{Fut}_{\mathcal{T}, \gamma}(Z, v) = -TV^{-1} \int_Z \theta \omega^n + T \int_Z \frac{\theta e^{-T\varphi - \psi}}{\int_Z e^{-T\varphi - \psi}}.
\]
As a result we have the following.

**Proposition 13.** Suppose that $Z$ admits a twisted KE metric as above, and let $e^{-\varphi}$ be a metric on $L$ with locally bounded potentials. Suppose that $v$ is a holomorphic vector field on $Z$ with a lift to $L$, such that the imaginary part of $v$ acts by isometries on $L$, and so that $\iota v \gamma = 0$. Let $\theta$ denote a Hamiltonian for $v$, i.e. $L_v \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \theta$. Then $\text{Fut}_{\mathcal{T}, \gamma}(Z, v) = 0$, where $\text{Fut}_{\mathcal{T}, \gamma}(Z, v)$ is defined as in (6).

As in [7], we need to relate this formula to the “untwisted” Donaldson-Futaki invariant. A new difficulty here is that the metric $\omega$ is not in $c_1(Z)$, and so the Donaldson-Futaki invariant can not be expressed in terms of the Ding functional. Instead we use the differential geometric formula given in Proposition 3.

Let $e^{-\varphi}$ denote the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric to $L$ on $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, and $\omega_\varphi$ its curvature. We can use a method similar to Ding-Tian [13] to give a more differential geometric formula for the twisted Futaki invariant. The vector field $v$ is given by the restriction of a holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}^N$, and $\theta$ is the restriction to $Z$ of a smooth function on $\mathbb{P}^N$. It follows that we have uniform bounds $|\theta|, |\nabla \theta|, |\Delta \theta| < C$ on $Z_{\text{reg}}$, where we are taking the gradient and Laplacian using the metric $\omega_\varphi$ on $Z_{\text{reg}}$. In addition we have an upper bound $\text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) < C \omega_\varphi$. 
on $Z_{\text{reg}}$, and so the current $C\omega_{\phi} - [\text{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}) - \gamma]$ is positive for a sufficiently large constant $C$.

**Proposition 14.** We have the equality

$$-TV^{-1} \int_Z \theta \omega_{\phi}^n + T \int_Z \theta e^{-T\varphi - \psi} e^{-T\varphi - \psi} = -nV^{-1} \int_Z \theta (\text{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}) - T\omega_{\phi} - \gamma) \wedge \omega_{\phi}^{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** Let us define the (twisted) Ricci potential $u$ on $Z_{\text{reg}}$ by

$$e^{-T\varphi - \psi} - u = \omega_{\phi}^n.$$

Interpreting this as an equality of metrics on $K^{-1}$ (on $Z_{\text{reg}}$) and taking curvatures, we have

$$T\omega_{\phi} + \gamma + \sqrt{-\text{Id}}\partial\partial u = \text{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}).$$

Since the current $C\omega_{\phi} - [\text{Ric}(\omega_{\phi}) - \gamma]$ on $Z_{\text{reg}}$ is positive, we have $\sqrt{-\text{Id}}\partial\partial u \leq C\omega_{\phi}$ on $Z_{\text{reg}}$. Since the singular set of $Z$ has codimension at least 2, it follows from this that $u$ is bounded below. Consider a resolution $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$, and let $\eta$ be a metric on $\tilde{Z}$. Let $\omega_{\epsilon} = \pi^\ast \omega_{\phi} + \epsilon \eta$. Then $\omega_{\epsilon}$ gives a family of smooth metrics on $\tilde{Z}$ converging to $\pi^\ast \omega_{\phi}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let us denote the pullback of $u$ to $\tilde{Z}$ by $u$ as well. We have $\Delta_\epsilon u \leq Cn$. Following Ding-Tian [13], we integrate the inequality

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}} \frac{\Delta_\epsilon u}{1 + (u - \inf u)} \omega_{\phi}^n \leq C$$

by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + (u - \inf u)^2} \omega_{\phi}^n \leq C.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the same estimate on $Z_{\text{reg}}$ with the metric $\omega_{\phi}$. Just as in [13] we have that $u \in L^p$ for any $p$, and in turn this implies that we have a bound

$$\int_{Z_{\text{reg}}} |\nabla u|^p \omega_{\phi}^n < C_p,$$

for any $p < 2$.

Differentiating the equation (1) along the vector field $v$ we get that on $Z_{\text{reg}}$

$$-T \theta - v(\psi) - v(u) = \Delta \theta.$$

Note that we can think of $v(\psi)$ as being defined by this equation (since $\psi$ itself is only defined in local charts), since all other terms are globally defined functions. In particular by the above estimate for $u$ we have that $v(\psi)$ is in $L^p$ for $p < 2$. At the same time, differentiating [3], and noting that $L_{v} \gamma = 0$, we get

$$\sqrt{-\text{Id}}\partial\partial [T \theta + v(u) + \Delta \theta] = 0,$$

and therefore we also have $\sqrt{-\text{Id}}\partial\partial v(\psi) = 0$. In particular $\Lambda = v(\psi)$ is a constant on $Z$, and so

$$-T \theta - \Lambda = \nabla \theta \cdot v + \Delta \theta.$$

(9)
Since the integral
\[ \int_Z e^{-T \varphi - \psi} \]
is unchanged by flowing along the vector field \( v \), we obtain
\[ \int_Z (-T \theta - \Lambda) e^{-T \varphi - \psi} = 0. \]
Rearranging this,
\[ \Lambda = -T \frac{\theta e^{-T \varphi - \psi}}{e^{-T \varphi - \psi}}. \]
Using this formula in (9), and integrating, we get
\[ -TV \hat{\theta} \omega_n^\phi + TV \frac{\theta e^{-T \varphi - \psi}}{e^{-T \varphi - \psi}} = \int (\nabla \theta \cdot \nabla u + \Delta u) \omega_n^\phi, \]
where all integrals are on \( Z_{reg} \). To integrate by parts, note that since the singular set of \( Z \) has real codimension at least 4, we can find cutoff functions \( \chi_\epsilon \) with compact support in \( Z_{reg} \) such that \( \chi_\epsilon = 1 \) outside the \( \epsilon \)-neighborhood of \( Z_{sing} \), and \( \| \nabla \chi_\epsilon \|_{L^4} < C \). We then have
\[ \int_{Z_{reg}} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla u \omega_n^\phi = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int \chi_\epsilon \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla u \omega_n^\phi \]
\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ -T \int \nabla \chi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u \omega_n^\phi - \int \chi_\epsilon \theta \Delta u \omega_n^\phi \right] \]
\[ = -TV \hat{\theta} \omega_n^\phi. \]
Here we used that \( |\nabla u| \in L^{4/3} \), and so
\[ \left| \int \nabla \chi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u \omega_n^\phi \right| \leq C \| \nabla \chi_\epsilon \|_{L^4} \left( \int_{\text{supp}(\nabla \chi_\epsilon)} |\nabla u|^{4/3} \omega_n^\phi \right)^{3/4} \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0. \]
Similarly we can check that \( \int \Delta u \omega_n^\phi = 0 \). In conclusion, from (10) we find that
\[ -TV^{-1} \int \theta \omega_n^\phi + TV \frac{\theta e^{-T \varphi - \psi}}{e^{-T \varphi - \psi}} = -nV^{-1} \int_{Z_{reg}} \theta (\text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) - T \omega_\varphi - \gamma) \wedge \omega_n^{\phi^{-1}}, \]
as required. \( \square \)

Suppose now that \( Z \) is the central fiber of a special degeneration for \( M \) induced by the one-parameter group \( \lambda(t) \). Then using Proposition 3, we can relate the twisted Futaki invariant to the Donaldson-Futaki invariant as follows.

**Corollary 15.** The twisted Futaki invariant above is given by
\[ \text{Fut}_{T,\gamma}(Z,v) = DF(M,\lambda) + nV^{-1} \int_Z \theta (\gamma - c \omega_\varphi) \wedge \omega_n^{\phi^{-1}}, \]
where \( \lambda \) is a \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action generated by the vector field \( v \), and \( c \) is a constant so that the right hand side is unchanged when we add a constant to the Hamiltonian \( \theta \).
3.3. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1  
We can now complete the proof of the main result. According to Corollary 9, it is enough to show that either we can find special degenerations for $M$ with arbitrarily small Futaki invariant, thereby contradicting the K-stability of $(M, \beta)$, or $T = 1$ and the twisted KE metric that we obtained on $Z$ is actually the twisted KE metric on $M$ that we set out to find.

Let us denote by $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of $(M, \omega_{t_k})$ along the continuity path $\mathbf{3}$. Using Proposition 10 we know that $Z$ admits a twisted KE metric. In particular the pair $(Z, \gamma)$ is in the closure of the $PGL(N + 1)$-orbit of $(M, (1 - T)\alpha + \beta)$, where $T = \lim t_k$, and we are identifying $M$ with its image $F_1(M)$. We can now closely follow the method in [3] of approximating the forms $\alpha, \beta$ by currents of integration along divisors in $M$. Just like in [3], the twisted Futaki invariants become smaller as $T$ increases (see [3, Equation (23)]). Because of this, and to simplify the discussion below, we will assume that $T = 1$. Note that unlike the setting in [3], here we still have a twisting term when $T = 1$, and so this case is not any easier than the case $T < 1$.

By assumption, the form $\beta$ on $M$ can be written as an integral of currents of integration, as in Equation (11). Recall also that we have the sequence $g_k \in PGL(N + 1)$ such that $F_k = g_k \circ F_1$, and so $g_k(M) \to Z$. As in [3, Lemma 14], by choosing a subsequence we can ensure that each sequence $g_k(D)$ for $D \in |T|$ converges to a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}^N$ which we denote by $g_\infty(D)$. It follows that we have

$$(g_k)_* \beta \to \int_{|T|} [g_\infty(D)] \, d\mu(D),$$

in the weak topology. The twisting current $\gamma$ on $Z$ is obtained as the limit of $(g_k)_* \beta$ as $k \to \infty$, and so we have

$$\gamma = \int_{|T|} [g_\infty(D)] \, \mu(D).$$

Arguing as in [3, Lemma 15], we can find a finite set $D'_1, \ldots, D'_r \in |T|$ such that the Lie algebra of the stabilizer of the tuple $(Z, g_\infty(D'_1), \ldots, g_\infty(D'_r))$ in $PGL(N + 1)$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{Z, \gamma}$, and in particular it is reductive. In addition there is a subset $E \subset |T|$ of measure zero such that if $D_1, \ldots, D_K \not\in E$, then the stabilizer of the extended tuple $(Z, g_\infty(D'_1), \ldots, g_\infty(D'_r), g_{\infty}(D_1), \ldots, g_{\infty}(D_K))$ is still reductive. Suppose that this tuple is not in the $PGL(N + 1)$-orbit of $(M, D'_1, \ldots, D'_r, D_1, \ldots, D_K)$. Then we can find a $\mathbf{C}^*$-subgroup $\lambda_K \subset PGL(N + 1)$ and an element $g_K \in PGL(N + 1)$ such that

$$Z = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda_K(t)g_K \cdot M,$$

$$g_\infty(D'_i) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda_K(t)g_K \cdot D'_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, r,$$

$$g_{\infty}(D_j) = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda_K(t)g_K \cdot D_j, \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, K.$$ 

Suppose that $\lambda_K$ is generated by a vector field $w_K$, with Hamiltonian $\theta_K$, and we normalize $\theta_K$ so that it has zero average on $\mathbf{P}^N$. In addition we can scale $w_K$ so that $\|\theta_K\|_{L^2} = 1$. Note that since $Z$ is not contained in a hyperplane, the Hamiltonian $\theta_K$ cannot be constant on $Z$, unless $\lambda_K$ is trivial.
We can choose $D_1, \ldots, D_K \in |T| \setminus E$ so that no $d+1$ lie on a hyperplane in $|T|$. Here $d$ is the dimension of the projective space $|T|$. From Proposition 7 we have

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda_K(t)g_K \cdot M} \theta_K (\lambda_K(t)g_K) \cdot \beta \cap \omega_F^{n-1} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{\lambda_K(t)g_K \cdot D_i} \theta_K \omega_F^{n-1} + O(1/K) \\
= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \int_{g_{\infty}(D_i)} \theta_K \omega_F^{n-1} + O(1/K),
$$

since $d$ is independent of $K$.

At the same time given any $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $K$ large and the $D_i$ so that

$$
\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \int_{g_{\infty}(D_i)} \theta_K \omega_F^{n-1} \leq \int_{Z} \theta_K \gamma \cap \omega_F^{n-1} + \epsilon.
$$

Let us denote by $\gamma_K = \lim_{t \to 0} (\lambda_K(t)g_K) \cdot \beta$ the limit current on $Z$. Combining our inequalities, and the assumption of twisted K-stability, we have

$$
0 \leq \text{Fut}_\beta(g_K \cdot M, \lambda_K) = DF(Z, \lambda_K) + nV^{-1} \int_{Z} \theta_K (\gamma_K - c\omega_F) \cap \omega_F^{n-1} \\
= DF(Z, \lambda_K) + nV^{-1} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \int_{g_{\infty}(D_i)} \theta_K \omega_F^{n-1} - cn^{-1} \int_{Z} \theta_K \omega_F^{n-1} + O(1/K) \\
\leq DF(Z, \lambda_K) + nV^{-1} \int_{Z} \theta_K (\gamma - c\omega_F) \cap \omega_F^{n-1} + \epsilon + O(1/K) \\
= \epsilon + O(1/K).
$$

Note that in the last line we used Proposition 13 and Corollary 14. Choosing $\epsilon$ small and $K$ sufficiently large, it follows that if the tuples $(Z, g_{\infty}(D_i'), g_{\infty}(D_j'))_{i=1, \ldots, r, j=1, \ldots, K}$ are not in the $\text{PGL}(N+1)$-orbit of $(M, D_i, D_j)_{i=1, \ldots, r, j=1, \ldots, K}$ for infinitely many $K$, then we have special degenerations for $(M, \beta)$ with arbitrarily small twisted Futaki invariant. Corollary 10 then implies that $(M, \beta)$ is not K-stable.

Otherwise, $Z$ is in the $\text{PGL}(N+1)$-orbit of $M$, and since under our assumptions $M$ has discrete stabilizer group, it follows that the group elements $g_k$ are uniformly bounded. As in [7], this implies that the solutions $\omega_{t_k}$ along the continuity method satisfy uniform estimates, and so we obtain a solution for $t = T$ as well, as required.

References


Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago IL, USA

E-mail address: julius@math.uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame IN, USA

E-mail address: gszekely@nd.edu