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Abstract

We have studied the revival of Hofstadter butterfly due to the competition between disorder and electronic interaction using mean field approximation of unrestricted Hartree Fock method at zero temperature for two dimensional square and honeycomb lattices. Interplay of disorder and electronic correlation to nullify each other is corroborated by the fact that honeycomb lattice needs more strength of electronic correlation owing to its less co-ordination number which enhances the effect of disorder. The extent of revival of the butterfly is better in square than honeycomb lattice due to higher coordination number. The effect of disorder and interaction is also investigated to study entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum. It has been observed that for the square lattice, area law of entanglement entropy is violated for intermediate strength magnetic and magnitude of such departure from area law depends on disorder and interaction as well. However such departure from area law is absence for honeycomb lattice. Moreover the entanglement spectrum for square lattice does have the symmetry of original Hofstadter butterfly and
this symmetry is destroyed in the presence of disorder. The interaction opens up a gap in the entanglement spectrum as well. For the honeycomb lattice, the entanglement spectrum forms a continuous band without any symmetry and its feature is mostly unchanged in the presence of disorder as well as interaction.

0.1 Introduction

Since its discovery in 1976 Hofstadter butterfly [1] has remained a topic of great interest in condensed matter physics and is still drawing attention for the study of its various aspects. Hofstadter studied the property of an electron moving in a two dimensional square lattice in presence of a uniform perpendicular magnetic field. The spectrum when plotted as a function of the ratio $\phi/\phi_0$ of magnetic flux per plaquette to the flux quantum resembled a butterfly like structure and hence the name. The energy spectrum is an intricate function of this ratio $\phi/\phi_0$. If $\phi/\phi_0 = p/q$ is a rational number then each energy band is split into $q$ subbands by the magnetic field. When the magnetic field is varied, the spectrum shows a recursive structure at rational values of $p/q$, while a Cantor set like structure at irrational values of $p/q$. The gaps appear because of Landau levels and presence of only a single atom along z-direction. Later on the Hofstadter butterfly has been studied for different lattice structures like triangular [3], honeycomb [4] and comparative study of triangular and Kagome lattices [5] etc. Experimental realization of Hofstadter butterfly has been achieved in the recent past [6]. The work of Wilkinson [7] based on semiclassical approach followed by renormalization group scheme applied to energy spectrum provided theoretical insight into the Hofstadter butterfly.

In practice we have both disorder and interaction present in a physical system. Studies of effect of disorder [8] and interaction [10] separately on Hofstadter butterfly have been carried out. Presence of disorder into such a system changes the scenario dramatically. Large disorder (large compared to hopping integral) completely destroys the butterfly structure [8]. It smears out the butterfly structure by plugging in states into the gaps. For small disorder (small or comparable to hopping integral) it is expected that states will appear in the gaps between subbands thus smearing out the subbands while the large gaps will not be effected [8]. On the other hand
effect of electronic correlation on the Hofstadter butterfly has been studied using exact diagonalization \cite{9}, self consistent Hartree approximation \cite{12} and dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) \cite{10}. The exact diagonalization calculation for finite size system \cite{9} showed that electronic correlation smear out the fine structure of Hofstadter butterfly. The self consistent Hartree approximation done earlier \cite{12} showed that the pattern in presence of electron correlation heavily depended on the filling or chemical potential of the system. The effect of interaction on Hofstadter butterfly has been studied using DMFT in case of Falikov-Kimball model \cite{10}. It showed that in long range ordered phase, the presence of electronic interaction induced a gap without disturbing the fine structure of the butterfly. The gap simply ate up the central body of the butterfly keeping intact the two wings. In the present work we deal with the issue of revival of the Hofstadter butterfly in simultaneous presence of site disorder and correlation. We incorporate the electronic correlation by considering the single orbital Hubbard model with onsite Coulomb repulsion. The site disorder has been introduced by wrapping the four letter Rudin-Shapiro sequence over all the sites of each lattice. The reason for choosing Rudin-Shapiro over other quasi-periodic sequence is that the dc conductivity calculated with it as site disorder for a non interacting electron problem in one dimension was found to be in much better agreement with that obtained with random disorder compared to Fibonacci and Thue-Morse sequences \cite{13}. As such we are able to arrive at results close to that of random disorder using a deterministic sequence and are saved from doing configuration average. The effect of disorder is to localize electrons. As a result sites with lowest site potential will have highest occupation of electrons and vice versa. On the other hand the on-site Hubbard interaction drives the system towards an anti-ferromagnetic configuration which is also an insulating state \cite{14}. On varying the on-site Hubbard interaction in presence of disorder, the system therefore undergoes a transition from disorder driven insulator to correlation driven insulator. In between, at a certain critical value of the on-site Coulomb repulsion, the two effects nullify each other. As such we expect a revival of the Hofstadter butterfly at the point of nullification. The extent of this nullification should be visible in the quality of revival of the Hofstadter butterfly. The method that we use in our work is the numerical mean field technique of unrestricted Hartree Fock. This method has the strength of handling both the electrical and magnetic sectors in the same footing (mean field) and being able to handle spatial correlations in a self consistent fashion.

It
is a well known fact that the effect of disorder as well as interaction crucially depends on the lattice connectivity. If the lattice connectivity or the effective physical dimension is larger, the effect of disorder or interaction is weaker. To explore this aspect we have considered in our study square as well as honeycomb lattice. Recently it has been established that entanglement is an important aspect of a many body system which can reveal many salient characteristics of the model very effectively, for example, phase transition [30], topological order [31], edge states [26]. Previous studies of entanglement on Hofstadter problem was mainly confined to bilayer systems [28, 29] or for cylindrical geometry [27] where entanglement in momentum space was studied after tracing out one layer of physical system or one directions respectively. In this cases it was possible to obtain an analytical expression for entanglement entropy in momentum space. In this study we have investigate usual entanglement in physical lattice by integrating out a certain region to explore how the spatial entanglement does evolve with magnetic field. Specifically we see how the area law is being effected. We also examine entanglement spectrum for the largest subsystem (which in our case is half the original system) and observe useful patterns similar to Hofstadter pattern. The interplay of interaction and disorder in the entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum is investigated thoroughly.

Our plan of presentation is following. In Sec. 0.2 we discuss in detail the main theoretical construction and approximation used. This includes the derivation of meanfield approximation used in the paper as well as the description of Rudin-Shapiro disorder being implemented. In Sec. 0.3 we describe the bi-partition of the square lattice and Honeycomb lattice to define the reduce density matrix and hence to calculate the entanglement entropy and entanglement spectra. Followed by this, we explain our main results. In Sec. 0.4 and Sec. 0.5 we present the effect of disorder and interaction on Hofstadter spectrum for a single layer square and honeycomb lattice respectively. Later in Sec. 0.6 and Sec. 0.7 we revisit the effect of disorder by reducing the strength of Rudin-Shapiro disorder to half of its original value taken in previous sections. This has been done purposefully to examine whether the effect of disorder and interaction are manifested in same footing. Finally we conclude our results in Sec. 0.8 with a discussion and put our finding in a larger perspective.
0.2 Model and Method

We now present and discuss our work. We take the square and honeycomb lattices to be described by the single-band Hubbard model within the tight-binding model. The reason to study both square and Honeycomb lattice is to have a comparative study of two different two dimensional lattices with different number of co-ordination number. Each site of square lattice has four and honeycomb lattice has three nearest neighbours (effective lower dimension). As a result localizing effect of disorder will be more prominent in honeycomb lattice and hence we expect a better recovery of Hofstadter butterfly in square lattice compared to honeycomb on switching on electronic interaction. We choose the Landau gauge \((0, Bx, 0)\) such that the components of the vector potential are \(A_x = A_z = 0, A_y = Bx\) to get uniform magnetic field along z-direction. Therefore for the square lattice the phase appears only in the hopping along y direction. The Hamiltonian for the square lattice system is

\[
H = -\sum_{i,\alpha,\sigma} t_{i,\alpha} c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+\delta_{\alpha},\sigma} + h.c) - \sum_{i\sigma}(\epsilon_i - \mu)c_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma} + \sum_i U n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}
\]

(1)

\[
t_{i,y} = te^{-i\phi_i}, \quad t_{i,x} = t, \alpha = x, y, \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow
\]

(2)

Here the label ‘i’ indexes sites of the two-dimensional square lattice in each plane. The operator \(c_i^{\dagger}\) \((c_i)\) creates (destroys) an electron of spin \(\sigma\) at site ‘i’. We set the hopping ‘t’ to be nearest neighbour only. \(\delta_\alpha\) denotes nearest neighbour along \(\alpha\) direction. The last term is the on-site Hubbard interaction term. The chemical potential \(\mu\) is calculated by demanding that there be exactly N electrons in the problem. This is done by taking the average of the N/2 th and the (N/2 + 1)-th energy level. We set \(t = 1\). We make no further assumption about the magnetic regime, and thus retain all spin indices in the formulas below. The corresponding mean field Hamiltonian after employing unrestricted Hartree-Fock looks like:

\[
H_{mf,\sigma} = -\sum_{i,\alpha} t_{i,\alpha} c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+\delta_{\alpha},\sigma} + h.c) + \sum_i \tilde{\epsilon}_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma}
\]

(3)

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}_{i,\uparrow} = (\epsilon_i - \mu + U <n_{i\downarrow}>)
\]

(4)

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}_{i,\downarrow} = (\epsilon_i - \mu + U <n_{i\uparrow}>)
\]

(5)

Where \(\phi_i = \int A.dl = \int A_y dy = Bx_i, x_i\) is the horizontal coordinate of \(ith\) site such that \(x_i = x_{i+j,l}\), where ‘j’ varies from 1 to \((l - 1)\) and \(l\) is the
length/ breath of the square lattice. For the Honeycomb lattice, in addition to the hopping along y-direction, the hopping along the zig-zag arms will also acquire a phase because of the component along the y-direction. The corresponding mean field Hamiltonian looks like:

\[ H_{mf,\sigma} = -\sum_{i,\delta_{\alpha}} (t_{i,\alpha} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i+\delta_{\alpha},\uparrow} + h.c) + \sum_{i} \tilde{\epsilon}_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma} \]  

(6) 

\[ t_{i,y} = te^{-i\phi_{i}}, \quad t_{i,x} = te^{-i\phi'_{i}}, \quad \alpha = x, y, \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow. \]  

(7) 

In the above \( \phi_{i} = Bx_{i} \), and \( \phi'_{i} = \int Bx_{i}dy_{i} = \int Bx_{i}dx_{i}(1/\sqrt{3}) = (1/\sqrt{3})B(x_{i+1}/2 - x_{i}/2) \). The value of the site potentials \( \epsilon_{i} \)'s are assigned by the four letter Rudin-Shapiro sequence, which is wrapped around the lattice. The sequence is generated by using four letters \( A, B, C, D \), the generating scheme is \( A \rightarrow AB, \ B \rightarrow AC, \ C \rightarrow DB, \ D \rightarrow DC \). The sequence therefore grows as \( ABACABDBABACDBCACDCABDBABDBABDB \)........ and so on. In our problem we have considered two sets of values of \( A, B, C, D \). First one is the case when we have taken \( A = 0, B = 2, C = 1, D = 3 \) (growing like \( 020102320131020123231320232 \)........) and second case where we have just scaled these values to half, taking \( A = 0, B = 1, C = 0.5, D = 1.5 \). The later case is called half-Rudin-Shapiro henceforth. The motivation was to see the effect of lowering the strength of disorder by still retaining the Rudin-Shapiro sequence. The above Hamiltonian is diagonalized in a self consistent fashion for both cases. We then calculate various quantities like the energy spectrum, entanglement entropy etc.

0.3 Details of subsystem for entanglement calculation

Having discussed our theoretical model and approximation scheme in detail, we briefly describe our scheme of entanglement measurement for our study. As described earlier that we have taken a square lattice of dimension \( 40 \times 40 \) with an open boundary condition. For the purpose of calculating entanglement entropy we define the various subsystem as follows. We take a square of dimension \( n \times n \) and increase ‘\( n \)' from 3 to 21 implying that we take a subsystem whose size includes \( m \times m \) squares with ‘\( m \)' takes values 2 to 20. In Fig. 0.1 A, we have shown such subsystems having dimensions of
2 × 2 and 4 × 4 squares. The entanglement spectrum has been investigated only for the largest square subsystem when \( m = 20 \). The entanglement entropy has been calculated by usual procedure of diagonalizing the correlation matrix of the subsystem as defined in [25] for free fermionic system. In all the figure entanglement entropy has been plotted for various subsystem represented by \( m \) for the \( m \times m \) squares. For the honeycomb lattice, we have presented a cartoon picture in Fig. 0.1 B. The various subsystem has \( m \) zig-zag chain where in each chain has \( m + 1 \) site. In the Fig. 0.1 B, we have represented the upper row of such subsystems by red, green and blue filled circles which have 5, 7 and 9 sites respectively. The largest values of \( m \) that was taken for us is 23 and entanglement spectrum is investigated for this largest subsystem only. Entanglement spectrum which is nothing but the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix is related to the eigenvalues of the correlation function matrix by

\[
\epsilon_k = \frac{\log(1 - c_k)}{c_k}
\]

where \( \epsilon_k \) is the eigenvalues of reduced density matrix and \( c_k \)s is eigenvalues for correlation function matrix. In our study we have plotted \( c_k \) for the largest subsystem for different magnetic field. Note than of \( c_k = 0.5 \) it does denotes a degeneracy in the entanglement spectrum.

Figure 0.1: Detail geometry of subsystem for square and honeycomb lattice used for studying the entanglement property. For details see the text in Sec. 0.3
0.4 Square lattice

In Fig.0.2 we have presented our results for square lattice. From now on HS stands for the Hofstadter spectrum, EE stands for entanglement entropy for subsystem as plotted against different magnetic field and ES denotes entanglement spectra for half subsystem as defined before. The caption at the beneath of each figure denotes the system parameter. It is interesting that the symmetry of the Hofstadter spectrum is distinctively reflected in the entanglement spectrum as well as in the entanglement entropy spectrum. In the entanglement entropy, we find a mountain like pattern, where for small subsystem, the entanglement entropy is magnetic field independent but as we increase the subsystem size, entanglement entropy shows non-monotonous behaviour as we change external magnetic field. The entanglement entropy is symmetric with respect to $B = 3$ which is the value of half the magnetic field needed for the Hofstadter spectrum to repeat and very interestingly, the entanglement entropy is also minimum at this magnetic field for largest subsystem. The Entanglement spectrum shows reach structure and it has all the symmetry property as found in Hofstadter spectrum. For small magnetic field, the entanglement spectrum is nearly uniformly spaced between 0 to 1. As we increase the magnetic field, little sparseness appears around 0.5 and this sparseness causes gap in the entanglement entropy around 0.5. This gap has multiple structure, the maximum gap appears around $B \sim 1.5, 3, 4.5$. Similar to entanglement entropy, the entanglement spectrum is also symmetric with respect to $B = 3.0$.

As we turn on the disorder, we observe the disappearance of Hofstadter spectrum. The given disorder (the 0123 Rudin Shapiro sequence) inserts states into the gaps and is strong enough to smear out the fractal character and the spectrum almost looks like a continuous band though the lowest eigenvalue still retains the reflection symmetry with respect to $B = 3$. Though Hofstadter spectrum lost its butterfly like structure, the entanglement entropy retains its character in comparison to the absence of disorder. We observe that for small subsystem, the entanglement entropy is still independent of external magnetic field but for large subsystem the entanglement entropy is non monotonous and has an inverted dome like structure. The largest subsystem has again the minimum entanglement entropy for $B = 3.0$, though its absolute value is less than the case of without disorder signifying that the disorder causes localization of the eigenfunctions.
0.4. SQUARE LATTICE

Figure 0.2: As described in the text and in the caption, the above figures represent how the Hofstadter spectrum, entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum change under the effect of disorder and interaction.

Now we discuss the effect of interaction without disorder. Turning on interaction causes a gap in the Hofstadter spectrum retaining its overall butterfly like structure as evident from Fig. [0.2h]. It is interesting to note that entanglement spectrum for half subsystem also shows gap in it. The entanglement gap being minimum at \( B = 3.0 \). Remarkably entanglement entropy for the largest subsystem is maximum for \( B = 3.0 \) unlike the case of without interaction. Now as we turn on the disorder in the presence of interaction, we observe the resurrection of Hofstadter butterfly for a critical value of interaction. The entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum has similar structure as for the case of without interaction but
disorder present.

0.5 Honeycomb single layer

For the Honeycomb lattice, we observe similar effect due to disorder and interaction in the Hofstadter spectrum. The disorder (generated by 0123 Rudin Shapiro sequence) is strong enough to smear out the entire Hofstadter butterfly structure. Again in absence of disorder, the self consistent treatment of the Hubbard interaction gives a gap at half filling without otherwise disturbing the Hofstadter pattern. Furthermore in presence of disorder when the interaction is turned on we find almost no revival of the Hofstadter spectrum at $U = 3.5$ compared to square lattice due to decrease in coordination number. The present disorder is too strong so that the strength of $U$ required to nullify the effect of the same strength of disorder is also larger in comparison to square lattice due to the decrease in number of nearest neighbour hopping. Moreover the Hofstadter spectrum shows an additional gap at lower energy when interaction and disorder both are present. This feature was absent in the square lattice. This is because the sites with $\epsilon_i = 2$ and 3 are now getting more occupancy compared to square lattice due to less number of nearest neighbour hopping. The combined effect of $U$ driving the system to an anti ferromagnetic configuration and low coordination number are making these sites much higher in energy creating the second gap. The Entanglement entropy shows a different characteristics than in square lattice. For pure Hofstadter in the absence of interaction as well as disorder, the entanglement entropy is nearly constant as we vary the magnetic field. The equal spacing between the entanglement entropy for various subsystem signifies area law. The entanglement entropy for large subsystem shows a very weak fluctuation as we increase the magnetic field but it can never be termed as oscillations as found for square lattice.

When disorder is turned on, the entanglement entropy shows less fluctuation for large subsystem but maintains the area law. For large subsystem, the entanglement entropy monotonically decreases and a minima happens around $B = 1.7$ which is half cycle values for the magnetic field. The behaviour of entanglement entropy in the presence of interaction is similar to the case of without interaction. The entanglement spectrum also shows a different behaviour than square lattice. The entanglement spectrum shows no gap for a given magnetic field. The main characteristics is that unlike
the square lattice case, it does not vary from 0 to 1 but rather vary continuously from a minimum value $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ to $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ without a gap in between. However the value of $\lambda_{\text{min/}}$ does depends on interaction as well as disorder. In the presence of disorder, $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ varies and shows a minima around $B \sim 1.7$ but is nearly constant for all magnetic field without disorder.
We now discuss the consequence of scaling down the magnitude of Rudin-Shapiro disorder potential to half its previous value. The effect of such reduction qualitatively changes the pattern of Hofstadter spectrum, entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum. The larger gaps are much less effected by the half-Rudin-Shapiro disorder compared to full Rudin Shapiro case while smaller gaps are smeared out so that the wings of the Hofstadter butterfly are more or less there though the intricacies of smaller gaps have disappeared altogether. Turning on the interaction in presence of disorder we need a strength of $U = 1.8$ for the best possible revival of the Hofstadter butterfly. The revival is better than the full Rudin Shapiro case. The interaction only does introduce a reduced gap than the full Rudin-Shapiro case. The gap in the Hofstadter spectrum closes for multiple values of $B$.

The entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum behave very similarly as for the case of full Rudin-Shapiro case. However in the presence of interaction but without disorder, the behaviour of entanglement entropy and spectrum does need a special mention. They are represented in Fig. 0.4 (h) and (i). The entanglement entropy for the highest subsystem is almost constant for an intermediate range of magnetic field. This behaviour is markedly different than for $U = 2.7$ where we find that entanglement entropy reaches a maximum at $B = 3.0$. This particular behaviour does reveal an important finding that for the largest subsystem, the entanglement entropy does increase and from a minima it reaches to a maxima as can be found in comparing Fig. 0.2a/b/c, Fig. 0.2g/h/i, and Fig.0.4g/h/i. The entanglement spectrum also shows more structure than the $U = 2.7$ case. It does show that a gap exist for all magnetic field though the gap width is minimum near $B = 3.0$. 

0.6 Rudin Shapiro sequence scaled to half for square lattice
0.7 Rudin Shapiro sequence scaled to half for Honeycomb lattice

The effect of half-Rudin-Shapiro disorder is less prominent than its square lattice counterpart. In presence of disorder only we see that Hofstadter spectrum, entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum are largely similar to the case of full Rudin-Shapiro disorder. Which means that the lower number of nearest neighbour hopping is unable to cope up even with the half-Rudin Shapiro case. We again find that the required value of $U = 2$
to nullify the effect of the half Rudin Shapiro disorder is still higher than that of the square lattice counterpart ($U = 1.8$). In simultaneous presence of interaction $U = 2$ and half Rudin Shapiro sequence the faint revival of the Hofstadter butterfly is still better than the full Rudin Shapiro case. We find that in presence of this weaker disorder generated by $(0, 0.5, 1, 1.5)$ Rudin Shapiro sequence the larger gaps of the butterfly are better revived than the Rudin Shapiro sequence of $(0, 1, 2, 3)$ with the required critical value of Hubbard on-site interaction of $U = 2$. The other notable distinction that we observe are mainly two differences. Firstly the gap in the entanglement spectrum is much reduced for $U = 2, \delta = 0$. And for the $U = 2, \delta \neq 0$,
we see that there is no gap exists in the Hofstadter spectrum which is in complete contrast for the case of $U = 3.5, \delta \neq 0$. The later case has two gap structure in the Hofstadter spectrum. The entanglement entropy looks very similar to the full Rudin-Shapiro case with less fluctuations as we change the magnetic field. Similar effect has been observed in the entanglement spectrum as well where the $\lambda_{max}$ and $\lambda_{min}$ defined earlier is almost constant for all magnetic field.

0.8 Discussion

Let us recapitulate and summarize our results briefly. As already explained that the main objective of our study was to explore the interplay of disorder and interaction on the Hofstadter effect. For this study we have performed self-consistent unrestricted Hartree-Fock analysis. The disorder was implemented according to four letter Rudin-Shapiro sequence as we intended to see the effect of disorder as close as possible to random disorder without doing configurational average. As expected the butterfly vanishes once the disorder is turned on in absence of interaction. When the interaction is present but the disorder is not present, we find that a gap originates in the Hofstadter spectrum but otherwise the pattern remains intact as found before. Remarkably, in simultaneous presence of disorder and interaction, we find that butterfly nature of the Hofstadter spectrum returns to some extent with the wings having lesser width. Also the butterfly has no gap induced in it. This imply that interaction and disorder successfully nullify each other. It would be interesting to see what happens to such revival beyond meanfield. However this is beyond the scope of present study. The revival of Hofstadter butterfly intricately depends on the lattice coordination number as well as the relative strength of disorder. For example, effect of disorder is enhanced in the honeycomb lattice. Also the nullify of effect of disorder and interaction happens for $U = 2.7$ for square lattice but for honeycomb lattice the the corresponding value is $U = 3.5$. The larger value of $U$ for the honeycomb lattice does confirm that effect of disorder is enhanced for the honeycomb lattice because of lower coordination number. Another interesting fact is that at the nullification of interaction and disorder in the honeycomb lattice, we find opening of an additional gap in lower energy range. This happens as the higher energy sites $\epsilon_i = 2, 3$ attain different status because of combined effect of low coordination number and on-site
Hubbard interaction. For both the square lattice and the honeycomb lattice we have observed that the reduction of disorder strength does corresponds to reduced values of interaction strength for the revival of original butterfly. This infarct corroborate the central idea of our study that interaction and disorder does work against each other which is not surprising. In our endeavour to study the entanglement properties of the Hofstadter effect, we find that for pure case, area law of entanglement entropy is preserved for low and high magnetic field. But for intermediate magnetic field the entanglement entropy depart from its usual area law for square lattice. This also happens for relatively large subsystem size. This probably indicates the presence of critical magnetic length present in the system inducing long range entanglement for intermediate magnetic field. On the other hand, the correlation function eigenvalues does manifest the symmetric properties observed in the Hofstadter butterfly. The effect of interaction does recover the area law at intermediate magnetic field but still for large subsystem, we do observe the area law violation. This indeed clearly shows that disorder successfully causes localization of the eigenfunctions in the position space. In the entanglement spectrum as well we find that the symmetry is lost though the spectrum is more denser at higher and lower limit of the correlation function. The effect of interaction on the entanglement entropy and spectrum is also manifested profoundly for the intermediate magnetic field. The salient differences is the maximum entanglement entropy happens at the half-periodic magnetic field. The entanglement spectrum also shows a band gap in its spectrum. The band gap gradually decreases as the magnetic field approaches to half-periodic magnetic field. In comparison to square lattice, the entanglement entropy as well as entanglement spectrum does shows different behaviour in honeycomb lattice. For example, area law is always preserved and the entanglement spectrum always from a continuous bands having no symmetry of butterfly spectrum. Thus our study of the effect of interaction and disorder on square and honeycomb lattice in view of the modification of Butterfly effect and entanglement shows importance of lattice connectivity to determine the thermodynamics properties. Though we have used self consistent meanfield approximation, we think that a more accurate calculation will not qualitatively change the finding of our study. However it would be interesting to derive various aspect of our study for example the value of critical interaction strength and disorder at the nullification point in an analytical way. However such study is beyond the scope of the present study and will be carried out in future.
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