POSITIVE INTERMEDIATE RICCI CURVATURE ON PRODUCTS OF HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

LAWRENCE MOUILLÉ

Abstract. We show that the product of any positively curved homogeneous space with itself admits a metric of positive 2nd-intermediate Ricci curvature, i.e. $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$. Using these examples, we demonstrate that the Hopf conjectures, Petersen-Wilhelm conjecture, Berger fixed point theorem, and Hsiang-Kleiner theorem do not hold in the $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$ setting.

1. Introduction

Definition. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We say $(M, g)$ has positive $k$th-intermediate Ricci curvature if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sec(u, e_i) > 0$$

for all orthonormal vectors $u, e_1, \ldots, e_k$ tangent to $M$. We abbreviate this by writing $\text{Ric}_k(M, g) > 0$, omitting $M$ or $g$ when they are understood.

Thus $\text{Ric}_1 > 0$ is equivalent to $\sec > 0$, and $\text{Ric}_{n-1} > 0$ is equivalent to $\text{Ric} > 0$. Furthermore, it is easy to check that if $\text{Ric}_k > 0$ for some $k \leq n-2$, then $\text{Ric}_{k+1} > 0$.

For structure results concerning manifolds with lower bounds on intermediate Ricci curvature, see [6], [8], [7], [10], [17], [21], or [24]. Though positive intermediate Ricci curvature is a natural condition that interpolates between positive sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature, few examples of manifolds with positive intermediate Ricci curvature have been documented that do not have positive sectional curvature. In this article, we establishes new examples of manifolds with $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$.

1.1. New examples of $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$.

Theorem A. Suppose a Lie group $G$ acts transitively and isometrically on a positively curved manifold $M$. Then a Cheeger deformation of the product metric on $M \times M$ with respect to the diagonal $G$-action has $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$. 
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Remark 1.1. When $M$ is a normal homogeneous space, the diagonal $G$-action on $M \times M$ continues to be by isometries under the metric constructed. However, if $M$ is not normal homogeneous, then the diagonal $G$-action may no longer be by isometries. See Section 2 for more information.

The construction in Theorem A was carried out for the diagonal action of $SO(3)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ by M"uter [11]. That metric was the starting point for Bettiol’s construction of positive biorthogonal curvature on $S^2 \times S^2$ [5].

If a manifold $M$ has positive sectional curvature, a routine argument shows that under the product metric, $\text{Ric}_k(M \times M) > 0$ only for $k \geq \text{dim}(M) + 1$. Thus the Cheeger deformations used to establish Theorem A drastically increase the amount of positively curved planes tangent to $M \times M$.

1.2. Positive sectional curvature vs. $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$. Given $n \geq 2$, Theorem A establishes that $S^n \times S^n$ admits metrics with $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$. This relates to famous conjectures in the setting of positive sectional curvature attributed to Hopf:

Hopf Conjectures.

(1) $S^2 \times S^2$ cannot admit a metric of strictly positive sectional curvature.

(2) Any compact, even-dimensional manifold with positive sectional curvature has positive Euler characteristic.

Thus, Theorem A shows that Hopf Conjecture 1 does not hold if “positive sectional curvature” is replaced with “$\text{Ric}_2 > 0$”. Furthermore, because $\chi(S^n \times S^n) = 0$ when $n$ is odd, Hopf Conjecture 2 does not hold for $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$ in dimensions $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

All of these metrics on $S^n \times S^n$ are invariant under the respective diagonal $S^1$-actions, and these actions are free when $n$ is odd. Because free isometric actions induce Killing fields that are nowhere zero, Theorem A shows that the Berger Fixed Point Theorem from [4] does not hold for $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$ in dimensions $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$:

Berger’s Fixed Point Theorem. If $M$ is a closed, even-dimensional, manifold with positive sectional curvature, then any Killing field on $M$ has a zero.

Furthermore, because $\chi(S^2 \times S^2) = 4$, Theorem A shows that the Hsiang-Kleiner Theorem from [9] does not hold for $\text{Ric}_2 > 0$:

Hsiang-Kleiner Theorem. Suppose $M$ is a compact, orientable, 4-dimensional manifold with positive sectional curvature. If $M$ admits a non-trivial Killing field, then the Euler characteristic of $M$ is at most 3. In particular, $M$ is homeomorphic to either $S^4$ or $\mathbb{C}P^2$. 
On a separate note, given \( n \geq 2 \), Theorem A establishes that \( \mathbb{R}P^n \times \mathbb{R}P^n \) admits metrics with \( \text{Ric}_2 > 0 \). However by Synge’s Theorem from [18], \( \mathbb{R}P^n \times \mathbb{R}P^n \) cannot admit a metric with positive sectional curvature.

The above consequences of Theorem A indicate that the class of manifolds with \( \text{Ric}_2 > 0 \) is vastly different from the class of manifolds with positive sectional curvature.

### 1.3. Riemannian submersions that preserve \( \text{Ric}_k > 0 \).

For creating examples of manifolds with \( \text{Ric}_k > 0 \), we have the following immediate consequence of O’Neill’s horizontal curvature equation [12]:

**Corollary B.** If \( \pi : M \to B \) is a Riemannian submersion, \( \text{Ric}_k(M) > 0 \), and \( \dim(B) \geq k + 1 \), then \( \text{Ric}_k(B) > 0 \).

Pro and Wilhelm prove in [14] that Riemannian submersions need not preserve positive Ricci curvature. Specifically, they construct examples of Riemannian submersions \((M, \tilde{g}) \to (S^2, \tilde{g})\) with \( \dim(M) \geq 4 \) such that \( \text{Ric}(M, \tilde{g}) > 0 \) while \( (S^2, \tilde{g}) \) has planes of negative curvature, and hence does not have positive Ricci curvature. This shows that some restriction on \( \dim(B) \) is necessary for a Riemannian submersion to preserve \( \text{Ric}_k > 0 \).

From Corollary B, for \( n \geq 1 \), the base spaces of the following admit \( \text{Ric}_2 > 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
S^1 & \leftrightarrow S^{2n+1} \times S^{2n+1} & \Rightarrow (S^{2n+1} \times S^{2n+1})/\Delta S^1 & (\dim = 4n + 3), \\
S^3 & \leftrightarrow S^{4n-1} \times S^{4n-1} & \Rightarrow (S^{4n-1} \times S^{4n-1})/\Delta S^3 & (\dim = 8n - 5).
\end{align*}
\]

In addition, the normal homogeneous Aloff-Wallach space \( N^7_{1,1} = SU(3)/S_{1,1} \) from [1, 22] admits free actions by \( S^3 \) and \( SO(3) \) [16]. Therefore, the following quotients admit \( \text{Ric}_2 > 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
S^1 & \leftrightarrow N_{1,1}^7 \times N_{1,1}^7 & \Rightarrow (N_{1,1}^7 \times N_{1,1}^7)/\Delta S^1 & (\dim = 13), \\
SO(3) & \leftrightarrow N_{1,1}^7 \times N_{1,1}^7 & \Rightarrow (N_{1,1}^7 \times N_{1,1}^7)/\Delta SO(3) & (\dim = 11).
\end{align*}
\]

The example \( S^3 \leftrightarrow S^3 \times S^3 \to (S^3 \times S^3)/\Delta S^3 \) above relates to the fiber dimension conjecture due to Petersen and Wilhelm:

**Petersen-Wilhelm Conjecture.** If \( M \) is a compact manifold with positive sectional curvature and \( \pi : M \to B \) is a Riemannian submersion with fiber \( F \), then \( \dim(F) < \dim(B) \).

In particular, Corollary B applied to \( S^3 \times S^3 \to (S^3 \times S^3)/\Delta S^3 \) shows that the Petersen-Wilhelm Conjecture does not hold if “positive sectional curvature” is replaced with “\( \text{Ric}_2 > 0 \)”.
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2. Cheeger deformations

Throughout this article, assume that $G$ is a compact Lie group. If $G$ acts isometrically on a manifold $(M, g)$, let $K_M : g \to \Gamma(TM)$ denote the action field map; i.e. $K_M(x)$ is the Killing field on $M$ generated by $x \in g$ via the $G$-action.

We will follow many of the notational conventions used in [15]. Consider a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ on which $G$ acts isometrically. Fix a left-invariant metric $g_{\text{left}}$ on $G$. Given $l > 0$, consider the one-parameter family of metrics $\hat{g}_l = l^2 g_{\text{left}} + g$ on $G \times M$. Then $G$ acts isometrically and freely on $(G \times M, \hat{g}_l)$:

$$b \cdot (a, p) = (ba, b \cdot p).$$

The orbit space of this action is diffeomorphic to $M$, and the quotient map $q : G \times M \to M$ is given by

$$q(a, p) = a^{-1} \cdot p.$$

The submersion $q : (G \times M, \hat{g}_l) \to M$ induces a one-parameter family of metrics $\{g_l\}_{l > 0}$ on $M$. The family of Riemannian manifolds $\{(M, g_l)\}_{l > 0}$ is called a Cheeger deformation of $(M, g)$ with respect to the $G$-action and the left-invariant metric $g_{\text{left}}$.

**Remark 2.1.** Typically, Cheeger deformations are performed with a bi-invariant metric on $G$. When this is the case, the $G$-action on $(M, g_l)$ is by isometries. However, if a left-invariant metric on $G$ is used, the $G$-action on $(M, g_l)$ may not be by isometries.

2.1. Cheeger reparametrization. To more easily track the behavior of curvatures during Cheeger deformations, we use the bundle isomorphism $C_l : TM \to TM$ called the Cheeger reparametrization. To define it, consider a vector $v \in T_pM$. Let $\hat{v}_l \in TG \times TM$ be a vector that is horizontal with respect to the Riemannian submersion $q : (G \times M, \hat{g}_l) \to (M, g_l)$ such that $\hat{v}_l$ projects to $v \in T_pM$ under the projection $\pi_2 : G \times M \to M$. Then $C_l$ is defined by

$$C_l(v) = Dq(\hat{v}_l).$$

Because every $G$-orbit in $G \times M$ has a unique point of the form $(e, p)$, when we consider vectors tangent to $G \times M$, we assume that the footpoint is of this form. When $l = 1$ and $v \in T_pM$, denote the first factor of $\hat{v}_1$ by $\kappa(v)$, so that $\hat{v}_1 = (\kappa(v), v)$. For any $l > 0$, we then have

$$\hat{v}_l = \left(\frac{1}{l^2} \kappa(v), v\right).$$

To better understand the map $\kappa : TM \to g$, notice that the vertical space for the Riemannian submersion $q : (G \times M, \hat{g}_l) \to (M, g_l)$ at a point $(e, p)$ is given by

$$V_{(e, p)} = \{(z, K_M(z)|_p) : z \in g\}.$$
Because \( \hat{v}_l \) is defined to be horizontal with respect to \( q(G \times M, \hat{g}_l) \to (M, g_l) \), we have that \( \kappa(v) \) must satisfy the equation
\[
g_{\text{left}}(\kappa(v), z) = -g(v, K_M(z)).
\]
for any \( v \in TM \) and \( z \in g \).

2.2. **Generic plane principle.** Using the Cheeger reparametrization, Petersen and Wilhelm established the generic plane principle, which serves as a means for tracking positively curved planes during Cheeger deformations; see Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 in [13]. Because the Cheeger deformations they consider depend on bi-invariant metrics, we adapt the generic plane principle to allow for Cheeger deformation dependent on left-invariant metrics. First, let \( \text{curv}_g \) denote the un-normalized sectional curvature with respect to a metric \( g \), i.e.
\[
\text{curv}_g(x, y) = R_g(x, y, y, x).
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( (M, g_l) \) be a Cheeger deformation of a non-negatively curved manifold \( (M, g) \) with respect to a \( G \)-action and left-invariant metric \( g_{\text{left}} \) on \( G \). Suppose \( \text{sec}_{g_{\text{left}}} (\kappa(P)) \geq 0 \) for all planes \( P \) tangent to \( M \).

1. \( (M, g_l) \) has non-negative sectional curvature.
2. If a plane \( P \) is positively curved with respect to \( g \), then \( C_l(P) \) is positively curved with respect to \( g_l \) for all \( l > 0 \).
3. Suppose \( \text{curv}_{g_{\text{left}}}(\kappa(u), \kappa(v)) > 0 \) for some \( u, v \in T_pM \). If \( P = \text{span}\{u, v\} \), then \( C_l(P) \) is positively curved with respect to \( g_l \) for all \( l > 0 \).

**Proof.** Consider the Riemannian submersion \( q : (G \times M, \hat{g}_l) \to (M, g_l) \) which defines the Cheeger deformed metric \( \hat{g}_l = g + l^2 g_{\text{left}} \). Recall that
\[
C_l(v) = Dq(\hat{v}_l) = Dq\left(\frac{1}{l} \kappa(v), v\right).
\]
So given \( u, v \in T_pM \), O’Neill’s horizontal curvature equation implies
\[
\text{curv}_g(C_l(u), C_l(v)) \geq \text{curv}_{\hat{g}_l}\left(\left(\frac{1}{l} \kappa(u), 0\right), \left(\frac{1}{l} \kappa(v), 0\right)\right)
= \text{curv}_{l^2 g_{\text{left}}}(\frac{1}{l^2} \kappa(u), \frac{1}{l^2} \kappa(v)) + \text{curv}_g(u, v)
= \frac{1}{l^2} \text{curv}_{g_{\text{left}}}(\kappa(u), \kappa(v)) + \text{curv}_g(u, v).
\]
In particular, \( \text{curv}_{g_l} \geq 0 \). Furthermore, because \( \text{sec}_{g_{\text{left}}}(\kappa(P)) \geq 0 \) for all planes \( P \) tangent to \( M \), if either summand above is positive, then \( \text{curv}_{g_l}(C_l(u), C_l(v)) > 0 \). Thus, the result follows.

3. **Positively curved homogeneous spaces**

The classification of compact, simply connected, positively curved homogeneous spaces was carried out by Berger [3], Wallach [20], Aloff-Wallach [1], and Bérard Bergery [2], with an omission in [3] that was corrected by Wilking in [22]. For a complete overview of this classification, see [23].
Consider closed subgroups $H \subseteq K \subseteq G$ with corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, and fix a bi-invariant metric $g_{bi}$ on $G$. First, we Cheeger deform $(G, g_{bi})$ with respect to the action of $K$ by right multiplication and the bi-invariant metric $g_{bi}|_K$. Thus, we obtain a left-invariant metric on $G$ for which $K$ acts isometrically by right multiplication. Because this metric is left-invariant, we will denote it by $g_{left}$. So we have the Riemannian submersion:

$$q : (K \times G, (\hat{g}_{bi})) \rightarrow (G, g_{left}).$$

Here, $(\hat{g}_{bi})_t = t^2 g_{bi}|_K + g_{bi}$. Now, the quotient for the action of $H \subseteq K$ on $G$ by right multiplication induces a homogeneous metric $g_{hom}$ on $G/H$:

$$\pi : (G, g_{left}) \rightarrow (G/H, g_{hom}).$$

Composing these quotient maps, we have that $(G/H, g_{hom})$ is the base of a Riemannian submersion from a Lie group with a bi-invariant metric:

$$\pi \circ q : (K \times G, (\hat{g}_{bi})) \rightarrow (G/H, g_{hom}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $g_{left}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ is the horizontal distribution for $\pi$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $g_{left}$. Then the horizontal distribution of $\pi \circ q$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pi \circ q} = \{(0, x) : x \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp \} \oplus \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{t^2} y, y \right) : y \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}.$$
Remark 3.1. As mentioned, all positively curved homogeneous spaces \((G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) admit a homogeneous metric that can be described as above. Notice that if \((G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) is normal homogeneous, then \(K\) can be taken to be \(G\), and the left-invariant metric \(g_{\text{left}}\) on \(G\) is in fact a rescaling of the original bi-invariant metric \(g_{\text{bi}}\).

To prove Theorem A, we will use the following:

Lemma 3.2 (Tapp [19]). If \(\pi : (G, g_{\text{bi}}) \rightarrow (M, g)\) is a Riemannian submersion, then every horizontal zero-curvature plane in \(G\) projects to a zero-curvature plane in \(M\).

Applying Lemma 3.2 to the homogeneous spaces constructed above, we can summarize the discussion from this section as follows:

Corollary 3.3. Suppose \((G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) is a homogeneous space with positive sectional curvature. Then there exists a left-invariant metric \(g_{\text{left}}\) on \(G\) such that \(\sec_{g_{\text{left}}} (P) > 0\) for all planes \(P \subseteq h^\perp\).

Proof. If \((G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) is a homogeneous space with positive sectional curvature, then it admits a homogeneous metric as described above. By Lemma 3.2, every horizontal plane with respect to \(\pi \circ q : (K \times G, (g_{\text{bi}})_t) \rightarrow (G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) is positively curved. Because \(Dq\) maps \(\mathcal{H}_{\pi \circ q} \) onto \(h^\perp\), we have that all planes in \(h^\perp\) are positively curved in with respect to \(g_{\text{left}}\) by O’Neill’s horizontal curvature equation [12]. ■

4. \(\text{Ric}_2 > 0\) on Products of Homogeneous Spaces

In this section, we prove Theorem A. First, letting \(g_{\text{prod}}\) denote the product metric on \(M \times M\), we establish which planes have curvature zero in \(M \times M\) with respect to \(g_{\text{prod}}\):

Lemma 4.1. Suppose \((M, g)\) is a positively curved manifold. A plane \(P\) tangent to \(M \times M\) has curvature zero with respect to the product metric \(g_{\text{prod}}\) if and only if it can be written as \(P = \text{span}\{(u, 0), (0, v)\}\) for some \(u, v \in TM\).

Proof. Choose vectors \((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in T(M \times M)\) that span the plane \(P\). Letting \(g_{\text{prod}}\) denote the product metric on \(M \times M\), notice that

\[
\text{curv}_{g_{\text{prod}}} (P) = \text{curv}_{g_{\text{prod}}} ((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \text{curv}_g(u_1, u_2) + \text{curv}_g(v_1, v_2).
\]

Because \(\sec_g > 0\), the expression above is zero if and only if the respective sets \(\{u_1, u_2\}\) and \(\{v_1, v_2\}\) are linearly dependent. This implies that \(P\) can be written as \(P = \text{span}\{(u_1, 0), (0, v_1)\}\). ■

Now given a homogeneous space \((M, g_{\text{hom}}) \cong (G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) with positive sectional curvature, consider the left-invariant metric on \(G\) from Corollary 3.3. Let \(\kappa : \)
\(T(M \times M) \to \mathfrak{g}\) be the map associated to the Cheeger deformation of \((M \times M, g_{\text{prod}})\) with respect to the diagonal \(G\)-action and the left-invariant metric \(g_{\text{left}}\) on \(G\).

**Lemma 4.2.** Choose vectors \((K_M(x), 0), (0, K_M(y)) \in T(M \times M)\) for some \(x, y \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp\). Then \(\text{curv}_{g_{\text{left}}} (\kappa(K_M(x), 0), \kappa(0, K_M(y))) = 0\) if and only if \(x\) and \(y\) are linearly dependent in \(\mathfrak{h}^\perp\).

**Proof.** From Corollary 3.3, \(\text{sec}_{g_{\text{left}}} > 0\) for all planes in \(\mathfrak{h}^\perp\). In particular,

\[
\text{curv}_{g_{\text{left}}} (\kappa(K_M(x), 0), \kappa(0, K_M(y))) = 0
\]

if and only if \(\kappa(K_M(x), 0)\) and \(\kappa(0, K_M(y))\) are linearly dependent in \(\mathfrak{h}^\perp\). Recall that in this setting, \(\kappa: T(M \times M) \to \mathfrak{g}\) is determined by the equation

\[
g_{\text{left}}(\kappa(u, v), z) = -g_{\text{prod}} ((u, v), K_M \times M(z))
\]

for all \((u, v) \in T(M \times M)\) and \(z \in \mathfrak{g}\). Thus

\[
g_{\text{left}}(\kappa(K_M(x), 0), z) = -g_{\text{prod}} ((K_M(x), 0), K_M \times M(z))
\]

\[
= -g_{\text{prod}} ((K_M(x), 0), (K_M(z), K_M(z)))
\]

\[
= -g_{\text{hom}}(K_M(x), K_M(z)),
\]

\[
g_{\text{left}}(\kappa(0, K_M(y)), z) = -g_{\text{prod}} ((0, K_M(y)), K_M \times M(z))
\]

\[
= -g_{\text{prod}} ((0, K_M(y)), (K_M(z), K_M(z)))
\]

\[
= -g_{\text{hom}}(K_M(y), K_M(z)).
\]

Thus, \(\kappa(K_M(x), 0)\) and \(\kappa(0, K_M(y))\) are linearly dependent if and only if \(K_M(x)\) and \(K_M(y)\) are linearly dependent. Therefore, the result follows.

Finally, we use Lemma 2.2 to prove Theorem A:

**Proof of Theorem A.** Choose a homogeneous space \((M, g_{\text{hom}}) \cong (G/H, g_{\text{hom}})\) with positive sectional curvature, and consider the left-invariant metric on \(G\) from Corollary 3.3. Let \((M \times M, g_l)\) denote the Cheeger deformation of \((M \times M, g_{\text{prod}})\) with respect to the diagonal \(G\)-action and the left-invariant metric \(g_{\text{left}}\). Notice that \((M \times M, g_{\text{prod}})\) is non-negatively curved, and recall from Corollary 3.3 that \(\text{sec}_{g_{\text{left}}} > 0\) for all planes in \(\mathfrak{h}^\perp\). Then by Lemma 2.2, \(\text{sec}_l \geq 0\), and if \(\text{sec}_l \left( G(\mathcal{P}) \right) = 0\) for a plane \(\mathcal{P}\) tangent to \(M \times M\), then \(\text{sec}_{g_{\text{prod}}} (\mathcal{P}) = 0\) and \(\text{curv}_{g_{\text{left}}} (\kappa(\mathcal{P})) = 0\). From Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, this implies that \(\mathcal{P} = \text{span} \{(K_M(x), 0), (0, K_M(x))\}\) for some \(x \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp\). In particular, any unit vector \(u\) tangent to \(M \times M\), there is at most one unit vector \(e_1\) such that \(\text{sec}_l(u, e_1) = 0\). Therefore, because \((M \times M, g_l)\) is non-negatively curved, \(\text{Ric}_l(M \times M, g_l) > 0\) for all \(l > 0\).
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