Interaction-Driven Topological Phase Transitions in Fermionic SU(3) Systems
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We consider SU(3) fermions on the triangular lattice in the presence of a gauge potential which stabilizes a quantum Hall insulator (QHI) at the density of one particle per lattice site. We investigate the effect of the Hubbard interaction, favoring magnetic long-range order, and a three-sublattice potential (TSP), favoring a normal insulator (NI), on the system. For weak TSP we find that the Hubbard interaction drives the QHI into a three-sublattice magnetic Mott insulator (MMI). For intermediate values of TSP we identify two transition points upon increasing the Hubbard interaction. The first transition is from the NI to the QHI and the second transition is from the QHI to the MMI. For large values of the TSP a charge-ordered magnetic insulator (COMI) emerges between the NI and the QHI, leading to an interaction-driven COMI-to-QHI transition.

Since the experimental discovery of the quantum Hall effect in two-dimensional (2D) electron systems [1] novel types of band insulators such as quantum Hall (QHI) [2] and quantum spin Hall (QSHI) insulator [3] have been identified, which are characterized by topological invariants and can not be adiabatically connected to the previously-known normal insulators (NIs) [4]. The QHI occurs at particular particle fillings when a constant magnetic field is applied perpendicular to a 2D lattice potential, splitting a single energy band into several subbands [5], each one carrying an integer quantum number [2] called Chern number [6]. The QSHI is a result of time-reversal symmetry and spin-orbit coupling and is characterized by a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological invariant [7].

The effect of interaction on a band insulator (BI) and emergence of Mott physics in the strong coupling regime has been an interesting problem for a long time [8], initially motivated by the observation of neutral-ionic phase transition in organic compounds [9]. A spontaneously dimerized phase [10–13] stabilized by condensation of a singlet exciteon [14–17] separates the NI from Mott insulator (MI) as is studied via the 1D ionic Hubbard model. The ground state phase diagram of the model on the square lattice is controversial [18–20], and on the honeycomb lattice an intermediate (semi-)metallic phase is expected [21–23]. The reported intermediate metallic phase [24] for the paramagnetic solution of the dynamical mean-field equations on the Bethe lattice disappears when the antiferromagnetic solution is allowed [25, 26].

In recent years, there has been a large interest in interacting topological insulators [27], with a focus on realizing topological many-body quantum states such as fractional QHI [28] and studying interaction-driven topological phase transitions [29–34]. In the time-reversal-invariant Harper-Hofstadter-Hubbard model with a spin-mixing hopping term an interaction-driven NI-to-QSHI transition is identified [29], which is found also in an extended Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang-Hubbard model [30, 31]. The competition of the Hubbard interaction and the staggered potential in the Haldane-Hubbard model stabilizes an antiferromagnetic Chern insulator (AFCI) where one of the spin components is in the quantum Hall and the other in the normal state [32, 33]. Such an AFCI is proposed also for the Kane-Mele-Hubbard model but with a spontaneous breaking of the time-reversal symmetry [34].

Spin-orbit coupling in multicomponent systems can give rise to a richer topological band structure compared to the SU(2) case [35–37]. In the Mott regime SU(N) systems are potential candidates to find novel ordered and disordered MILIs [38–43]. Furthermore, interaction-driven metallic phases and a charge-ordered magnetic insulator (COMI) are reported as a result of competing charge and magnetic order in fermionic SU(3) systems [44].

Here we investigate SU(3) fermions on the triangular lattice at 1/3 filling in the presence of a gauge potential stabilizing a QHI. We study the effect of the Hubbard interaction and a three-sublattice potential (TSP) on the QHI phase. For weak TSP, the Hubbard interaction drives the QHI into a three-sublattice magnetic MI (MMI). For intermediate values of the TSP we find the NI at weak and the MMI at strong Hubbard $U$, separated by a QHI. For large TSP an additional COMI phase emerges between the NI and the QHI. This leads to the realization of an interaction-driven COMI-to-QHI transition. The study is experimentally motivated by the recent progress in realization of artificial gauge fields [45–48] and creation of SU(N)-symmetric multicomponent systems [49–53] in optical lattices. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle rr' \rangle} \sum_{\alpha} \left( e^{2\pi i \psi_{\alpha}} c_{r \alpha}^\dagger c_{r' \alpha'}^\dagger + H.c. \right) + \sum_{r \alpha} \Delta_r n_{r \alpha}$$

$$+ U \sum_r \sum_{\alpha < \alpha'} n_{r \alpha} n_{r \alpha'} \ , \quad (1)$$

where $c_{r \alpha}^\dagger$ is the fermionic creation operator at the lattice position $r$ with the spin component $\alpha$, $n_{r \alpha} = c_{r \alpha}^\dagger c_{r \alpha}$ is the occupation number operator, and the summation over $\langle rr' \rangle$ restricts the hopping to nearest-neighbor sites.
The hopping phase factors $\phi_{r,r'}$ around each triangle add up to a constant $\Phi$ which describes the magnetic flux going through each triangle in units of the magnetic flux quantum. The three sublattices $A$, $B$, and $C$ of the tripartite triangular lattice acquire respectively the onsite energies $-\Delta_1$, 0, and $+\Delta_2$ due to the second term, the TSP. The last term is the Hubbard interaction.

We map the triangular lattice to the square lattice with hopping along the $\hat{x}$, $\hat{y}$, and $(\hat{x} + \hat{y})$ directions. We consider the hopping phase factors $\phi_{r,r+\hat{x}} = 0$, $\phi_{r,r+\hat{y}} = (2m + 2n + 1)\Phi$, and $\phi_{r,r+\hat{x}+\hat{y}} = 2(m + n + 1)\Phi$ from the lattice position $r = ma + na\hat{y}$, where $a$ is the lattice constant and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ [54]. There are three sites in the unit cell for $\Phi = 1/6$, which is the flux we consider in this paper. In the absence of interaction the Hamiltonian reduces to a three-level problem in momentum space leading to three distinct Bloch bands with a three-fold spin degeneracy each. We determine the Chern number of the system at $U = 0$ using twisted boundary conditions [55, 56]. We employ real-space dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [57–59] which we implemented for SU($N$) systems in Ref. 42 to address the Hamiltonian at finite $U$. In real-space DMFT the self-energy is approximated to be local and we can use the exact diagonalization (ED) impurity solver with four and five bath sites and check that the results nicely agree across different transition points. The presented results are for five bath sites unless mentioned otherwise. We have used the inverse temperature $\beta = 32/t$. We find at different selected parameter values that the results remain unchanged compared to the ones obtained using a zero temperature ED impurity solver [60]. We expect that a temperature $T = t/32$ is low enough to capture the ground state properties of the model.

We evaluate the Chern number of the interacting system using the topological Hamiltonian approach [61]. This method states that the Chern number of an interacting system is equal to the Chern number of an effective non-interacting model called “topological Hamiltonian”, which in the Bloch form reads

$$h_t(k) = h_0(k) + \Sigma(k, i\omega = 0),$$  

(2)

where $h_0(k)$ is the non-interacting part of the original model and $\Sigma(k, i\omega)$ stands for the self-energy. In DMFT the self-energy is local and we have no element in the Hamiltonian and in the self-energy linking different spin components. Consequently, the effect of self-energy in Eq. (2) will be to renormalize the TSP to

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{1,\alpha} = \Delta_1 + \left(\Sigma_{B,\alpha}(0) - \Sigma_{A,\alpha}(0)\right),$$  

(3a)

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{2,\alpha} = \Delta_2 + \left(\Sigma_{C,\alpha}(0) - \Sigma_{B,\alpha}(0)\right),$$  

(3b)

up to an irrelevant shift in the energy spectrum. We have used $\Sigma_{A,\alpha}(0)$ for the zero-frequency self-energy on sublattice $A$ with spin component $\alpha$ and similarly for sublattices $B$ and $C$ [62]. The effective TSP Eq. (3) in paramagnetic phases is spin-independent, while in magnetically ordered phases, i.e., in phases with broken SU(3) symmetry, it depends on the spin. This shows that different spin components can in principle occur in distinct topological regions. We notice that the system at large $U$ develops long-range magnetic order and hence we do not discuss the paramagnetic MI solution of the DMFT equations where the self-energy at zero frequency diverges [63].

Fig. 1(a) shows the phase diagram of the model at $U = 0$ in the $\Delta_1$-$\Delta_2$ plane. The shaded area denotes the QHI and the white area the NI phase. In the QHI each spin component $\alpha$ contributes a Chern number $C_{\alpha} = 1$, leading to the Chern number $C = 3$ for the full system. The three asymptotic branches for the phase boundaries can be understood based on the sublattice degeneracy. For instance, sublattices $A$ and $B$ are degenerate at $\Delta_1 = 0$ and upon increasing $\Delta_2 \to +\infty$ always the two lowest Bloch bands remain topological, leading to a QHI state at $1/3$ filling. Fig. 1(a) can be used to determine also the topological properties of the interacting model as the effect of the interaction is only to renormalize the TSP.

For SU(3) systems we define the double occupancy $D_r = \sum_{\alpha < \alpha'} \langle n_{r\alpha} n_{r\alpha'} \rangle$ and the local moment $m_r = \sqrt{3} |\langle S_r\rangle| / 2$ where $S_r^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha' \alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha'\alpha} c_{r\alpha'}^\dagger c_{r\alpha}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 8$ define the elements of the eight-dimensional pseudospin operator $S_r$ with $\lambda^i$ being the Gell-Mann matrices. In magnetic phases there is a continuous degeneracy and we focus on the solution with pseudospin order in the $S_1^x S_8$ plane. In Fig. 1(b) the double occupancy and the local moment in the QHI and in the MMI are depicted versus $U$ for $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$. The QHI and the MMI are two DMFT solutions coexisting in the gray area. The QHI results from the zero effective TSP in the paramagnetic region. The MMI is topologically trivial as we find all the three spin components in the normal state. This is a point which we will discuss further in Fig. 3. For $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ $D_r$ and $m_r$ are position-independent. The red solid line at $U_c \simeq 14.5t$ specifies the transition point obtained by comparing the energy of the two states. The MMI has a three-sublattice magnetic order such that on each sublattice one of the spin components has the dominant density and the density of the other two components is equal, leading to a $120^\circ$ pseudospin order [42, 64].

To investigate gapless edge states in the QHI we consider a $30 \times 30$ lattice with periodic boundary condition along $\hat{y}$ and open boundary condition along $\hat{x}$, i.e., a cylindrical geometry, with edges at $x = 0$ and $x = 29a$. The spectral function at position $r$ for the spin component $\alpha$ is defined from the local Green’s function as $A_{r\alpha}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G_{r\alpha}(\omega + i\epsilon)$ where $\epsilon$ is a numerical broadening factor. In Fig. 1(c) the spectral function $A_{r\alpha}(\omega)$ for $U = 9t$ and $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ is plotted versus frequency
Figure 1. (color online). (a) Phase diagram of the model for the Hubbard interaction $U = 0$ in the $\Delta_1$-$\Delta_2$ plane. The shaded area corresponds to the quantum Hall insulator (QHI) and the white area to the normal insulator (NI). (b) The double occupancy and the local moment in the QHI and in the magnetic Mott insulator (MMI) versus $U$ at $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$. The gray area is the coexistence region and the vertical solid line marks the transition point. (c) The spectral function $A_{\text{sp}}(\omega)$ at $U = 9t$ and $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ versus frequency $\omega$ for a cylindrical geometry with edges at $x = 0$ and $x = 29a$.

In the range $-3t < \omega < 3t$ with $\epsilon = 0.05t$. The dashed line at $\omega = 0$ specifies the Fermi energy. Due to the finite number of bath sites $N_b = 5$ in the impurity problem the fine details of the spectral function cannot be resolved. However, one can clearly identify the spectral contribution from the edge $x = 0$ near the Fermi energy, which vanishes upon approaching the bulk $x = 14a$. It is interesting that even with a finite number of bath sites one can see evidence of gapless edge states.

Figure 2. (color online). The double occupancy $D_\alpha$ and the local moment $m_A$ on sublattice $A$, and the Chern number $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ for the spin component $\alpha$ versus the Hubbard interaction $U$ for $\Delta = 6t$ (a) and $\Delta = 11t$ (b). The gray area denotes coexistence of magnetic and paramagnetic solutions. The local moment $m_A$ is given only in magnetic phases (MP), i.e., in the charge-ordered magnetic insulator (COMI) and in the magnetic Mott insulator (MMI). The given spin-independent Chern number $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is for paramagnetic phases (PP), i.e., for the normal insulator (NI) and for the quantum Hall insulator (QHI), as it is zero for MP. The red solid lines mark the transition points and the dashed blue line denotes the NI-to-QHI transition ignoring the magnetic DMFT solution.

One can see from Fig. 2(a) that the Hubbard interaction drives the NI into the QHI and subsequently the QHI into the MMI. Similar sequences of phase transitions are found in SU(2) topological systems [29–34]. Upon increasing the TSP to $\Delta = 11t$ in Fig. 2(b) a COMI phase emerges between the NI and the QHI. In the COMI phase, sublattice $A$ is almost doubly occupied with two spin components, sublattice $B$ is mainly occupied with the third component, and sublattice $C$ is almost empty. The local moment on sublattice $A$ and $B$ is equal and it is zero on sublattice $C$. There is a $180^\circ$ pseudospin order on sublattices $A$ and $B$ [44]. We find that the COMI always has a lower energy than the paramagnetic phases, i.e., the NI and the QHI are metastable. We notice that charge order is an intrinsic property of the COMI phase as it is not adiabatically connected to any phase with a uniform charge distribution. This is to be compared with the QHI and MMI phases which are adiabatically
connected to $\Delta = 0$ limit where the charge distribution is uniform. We believe the Hubbard interaction driving a magnetic phase into a quantum Hall state as it occurs in the COMI-to-QHI transition is a peculiar feature of multicomponent systems which has no SU(2) counterpart.

The double occupancy $D_A$ versus $U$ in Fig. 2 exhibits a change of slope in different phases and can be conveniently measured in optical lattices using the photoassociation technique [52]. The magnetic order can be identified using a quantum gas microscope [66, 67]. Lower temperatures are accessible in multicomponent systems compared to the SU(2) case due to a Pomeranchuk cooling effect [68]. We notice that to realize magnetic order at finite temperature in our system a weak coupling in the third direction or an interaction anisotropy is required.

To further clarify the topological nature of different phases we study in Fig. 3 the evolution of the effective TSP as a function of $U$ for the paramagnetic DMFT solution (a), for the charge-ordered magnetic insulator with $\Delta = 11t$ (b), and for the magnetic Mott insulator with $\Delta = 6t$ (c) for the spin components $\alpha = \uparrow, 0,$ and $\downarrow$.

![Figure 3](344x664 to 535x790)

Figure 3. (color online). The evolution of the effective potential as a function of $U$ for the paramagnetic DMFT solution (a) for the charge-ordered magnetic insulator with $\Delta = 11t$ (b) and for the magnetic Mott insulator with $\Delta = 6t$ (c) for the spin components $\alpha = \uparrow, 0,$ and $\downarrow$.

We have used four bath sites in the impurity problem due to the large number of data we needed to produce. However, by comparing Fig. 4 with Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 2 one can see the nice agreement for coexistence regions and transition points obtained with five and four bath sites. We have performed further checks across some other selective transition points. We always find that the NI-QHI transition is continuous, although discontinuous transitions in two-orbital systems are also reported [31]. The coexistence regions shrink upon increasing $\Delta$. The QHI in the limit $U, \Delta \gg t$ appears around $U = 2\Delta$ where the COMI and the MMI are degenerate in the atomic limit, i.e., at $t = 0$ [44]. We have produced the phase diagram up to $U = 32t$ and $\Delta = 20t$ and the QHI persists with a constant width.

To summarize, in recent years there has been a large interest in fermionic SU($N$) systems [38, 53] as well as in artificial gauge fields [70–72] due to their possible realization in optical lattices. While studies of SU($N$) systems have mainly been focused on topological states in the absence of interaction [35–37] and on Mott states in the strong coupling limit [38–43], less attention has so far been paid to the competition of band and Mott insulator and possible emergence of intermediate phases and novel phenomena. This requires tuning the interaction from weak to strong which can experimentally be achieved by Feshbach resonances [73–75]. In this paper we investigate the effect of the Hubbard interaction $U$ and a three-sublattice potential $\Delta$ on triangular lattice SU(3) fermions at 1/3 filling subject to a gauge potential. For weak $\Delta$ we identify a direct transition from a QHI to a MMI phase. For intermediate values of $\Delta$ we find the QHI separating the NI at weak and the MMI at strong Hubbard $U$. For larger values of $\Delta$ an additional COMI phase emerges between the NI and the QHI. This leads to an interaction-driven COMI-to-QHI transition, which is considered to be peculiar to multicomponent systems.
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Figure 4. (color online). The phase diagram in the $U-\Delta$ plane. The red lines denote the phase boundaries, the gray areas represent the coexistence regions, and the blue line separates the normal from the quantum Hall insulator when ignoring the magnetic DMFT solution. The solid (dashed) line indicates a continuous (discontinuous) transition.
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[54] These phase factors can be obtained using the Peierls substitution for the gauge field $A = B(x + y)\hat{y}$ which describes the magnetic field $B = B\hat{z}$. The advantage of the chosen gauge over the Landau gauge is that it makes the hopping phase factors depend on $(x, y)$, which is the same position dependency as in the three-sublattice potential, and consequently reduces the number of sites in the unit cell.


[62] We find that the real-part of the self-energy at the smallest (in absolute value) Matsubara frequency accurately describes the zero-frequency self-energy obtained by a polynomial fit.


