

# Projectively flat bundles and semi-stable Higgs bundles

Changpeng Pan

School of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Science and Technology of China  
Hefei, 230026, P.R. China  
E-mail: pc1995@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Chuanjing Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Science and Technology of China  
Hefei, 230026, P.R. China  
E-mail: chjzhang@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Xi Zhang <sup>1</sup>

School of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Science and Technology of China  
Hefei, 230026, P.R. China  
E-mail: mathzx@ustc.edu.cn

**Abstract.** The Corlette-Donaldson-Hitchin-Simpson's correspondence states that, on a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , there is a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli space of semisimple flat complex vector bundles and the moduli space of poly-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern numbers. In this paper, we extend this correspondence to the projectively flat bundles case. We prove that there is an equivalence of categories between the category of  $\omega$ -semi-stable (poly-stable) Higgs bundles  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \phi)$  with  $(2rc_2(E) - (r-1)c_1^2(E)) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0$  and the category of (semi-simple) projectively flat bundles  $(E, D)$  with  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for some real  $(1,1)$ -form  $\alpha$ . Furthermore, we also establish the above correspondence on some compact non-Kähler manifolds. As its application, we obtain a vanishing theorem of characteristic classes of projectively flat bundles.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification.** 53C07, 58E15

**Keywords and phrases.** Projectively flat bundle, Higgs bundle, non-Kähler, the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow,  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem.

---

<sup>1</sup>The third author is the corresponding author who was supported in part by NSF in China, No.11625106, 11571332 and 11721101.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E$  be a complex vector bundle of rank  $r$  over a compact complex manifold  $X$ . A connection  $D$  in  $E$  is said to be projectively flat if the induced connection in the principal  $PGL(r; \mathbb{C})$ -bundle is flat, or equivalently, the curvature  $F_D$  takes values in scalar multiples of the identity endomorphism of  $E$ . The bundle  $E$  is projectively flat if and only if it admits a projectively flat connection. The moduli space of fundamental group representations and the moduli space of flat vector bundles are related by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. A Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is a holomorphic bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E)$  coupled with a Higgs field  $\theta \in \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End} E)$  satisfying  $\bar{\partial}_E \theta = 0$  and  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ . Higgs bundles were introduced by Hitchin ([12]) and developed by Simpson ([27, 28]). Under the assumption that  $(E, D)$  is a semi-simple flat bundle over a compact Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , the theorem of Corlette ([3]) and Donaldson ([9]) on the existence of harmonic metric implies that there exists a poly-stable Higgs structure  $(\bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  on  $E$ . On the other hand, by the work of Hitchin ([12]) and Simpson ([28]) on the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Higgs bundles, one has a correspondence between the moduli space for semi-simple flat bundles and the moduli space for poly-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern numbers. The motivation of this paper is to extend the Corlette-Donaldson-Hitchin-Simpson's correspondence to the projectively flat bundles case and the non-Kähler case.

Suppose  $E$  is a projectively flat complex vector bundle over a compact complex manifold  $X$  with rank  $r$  and  $c_1(E) \cap \Omega^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \cap \Omega^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have a connection  $D$  in  $E$  such that its curvature satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E,$$

where  $\alpha \in \frac{2\pi}{r}c_1(E)$  is a real  $(1,1)$ -form. A subbundle  $S \subset E$  is said to be  $D$ -invariant if  $De \in \Omega^1(X, S)$  for any  $e \in \Omega^0(X, S)$ . We say  $(E, D)$  is simple if it has no proper  $D$ -invariant subbundle and  $(E, D)$  is semi-simple if it is a direct sum of  $D$ -invariant subbundles. Given a Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E$ , there is a unique decomposition

$$(1.2) \quad D = D_H + \psi_H,$$

where  $D_H$  is a unitary connection and  $\psi_H \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$  is self-adjoint with respect to  $H$ . In the following, we denote the  $(1,0)$ -part (resp.  $(0,1)$ -part) of  $D_H$  by  $\partial_H$  (resp.  $\bar{\partial}_H$ ) and define

$$(1.3) \quad D_H'' = \bar{\partial}_H + \psi_H^{1,0}, \quad D_H' = \partial_H + \psi_H^{0,1},$$

$$(1.4) \quad G_H = (D_H'')^2 = \bar{\partial}_H^2 + \bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0} + \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{1,0}.$$

One can check that  $\sqrt{-1}\text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) = \sqrt{-1}\text{tr} G_H$  is a real  $\partial$ -closed and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(1,1)$ -form. Furthermore, for any other Hermitian metric  $K$  on  $E$ , we have

$$(1.5) \quad G_H - G_K = \frac{1}{4}D(h^{-1}D_K^c(h)),$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \sqrt{-1}\text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) - \sqrt{-1}\text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_K \psi_K^{1,0}) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det(h),$$

where  $h = K^{-1}H$ ,  $D_K^c = D_K'' - D_K'$ . Hence we can define a fixed class in the Bott-Chern cohomology,

$$(1.7) \quad BC(E, D) = [\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{tr} (\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0})]_{BC} \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{tr} (\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0})$  is  $d$ -exact, if  $X$  satisfies the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma (specially  $X$  is Kähler), then

$$(1.8) \quad BC(E, D) = 0.$$

A natural question arises as follows. For any real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\zeta \in BC(E, D)$ , does there exist a Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $(E, D)$  such that

$$(1.9) \quad \sqrt{-1} G_H = \frac{1}{r} \zeta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E?$$

In particular, if  $BC(E, D) = 0$ , does there exist a Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $(E, D)$  such that  $G_H = 0$ ? To solve this problem, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold and  $(E, D)$  a simple projectively flat complex vector bundle over  $X$  satisfying the condition (1.1). Then there must exist a unique Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E$  such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega G_H^\perp = 0,$$

where  $G_H^\perp$  is the trace-free part of  $G_H$ , i.e.  $G_H^\perp = G_H - \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{tr} G_H \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E$ . Furthermore, if  $\omega$  is astheno-Kähler, i.e.  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ , then we have

$$(1.11) \quad G_H^\perp = 0.$$

**Remark 1.1.** *Given a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\zeta \in BC(E, D)$ , there exists a real function  $\varphi$  such that  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \operatorname{tr} G_H = \frac{1}{r} \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ . Letting  $\tilde{H} = e^{-2\varphi} H$ , according to formula (1.5), we have*

$$(1.12) \quad \sqrt{-1} G_{\tilde{H}}^\perp = \sqrt{-1} G_H^\perp - \frac{1}{r} \zeta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E.$$

Thus, by Theorem 1.1 and conformal transformation, (1.9) can be solved if  $X$  admits an astheno-Kähler metric  $\omega$ .

A Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $(E, D)$  is called harmonic if it satisfies:

$$(1.13) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega G_H = 0.$$

In the case that  $(X, \omega)$  is Kähler and  $D$  is a flat connection, (1.13) is equivalent to  $D_H^* \psi_H = 0$ , the existence of harmonic metric was proved by Donaldson ([9]) and Corlette ([3]). If  $BC(E, D) = 0$  and  $(X, \omega)$  is astheno-Kähler, Theorem 1.1 implies that  $(E, D)$  must admit a harmonic metric  $H$  with  $G_H = 0$ . Different to Corlette and Donaldson's arguments by using the heat flow, we will use the continuous method to solve the equation (1.10), see section 3 for details.

In this paper, we also study some characteristic classes of projectively flat bundle  $(E, D)$  with  $\sqrt{-1} F_D = \alpha \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form. Set

$$(1.14) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D, H) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \operatorname{tr} \psi_H^{2j+1}.$$

Like that in the flat bundle case, one can check that  $v_{2j+1}(E, D, H)$  is a real  $d$ -closed form and the class  $[v_{2j+1}(E, D, H)] \in H_{DR}^{2j+1}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is independent of the choice of Hermitian metrics. So we define the following class:

$$(1.15) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D) = [(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \psi_H^{2j+1}]$$

for  $j \geq 0$ . In the flat bundle case, this class was defined by Kamber-Tondeur and Dupont ([14, 10]), and its Chern-Weil type description was given by Bismut and Lott([1]). When  $(X, \omega)$  is a Kähler manifold and  $(E, D)$  is a semi-simple flat bundle, Reznikov ([26]) proved that the classes  $v_{2j+1}(E, D)$  necessarily vanish for  $j \geq 1$  (a simple proof can be found in [15]). As an application of Theorem 1.1, we generalized Reznikov's result to the projectively flat bundles on astheno-Kähler manifolds. In fact, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(E, D)$  be a projectively flat complex vector bundle over a compact complex manifold  $X$  with  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $X$ . If  $X$  admits an astheno-Kähler metric  $\omega$ , then for any  $j \geq 1$ , the class  $v_{2j+1}(E, D)$  must vanish.*

In the following, we denote the space of connections in  $E$  by  $\mathcal{A}_E$ , and set the moduli spaces

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha) = \{D \in \mathcal{A}_E \mid \sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E\} / \mathcal{G},$$

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{C}_{DR}^s(E, \alpha) = \{\text{Semi-simple } D \in \mathcal{A}_E \mid \sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E\} / \mathcal{G},$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the gauge group of  $E$ .

Now, we suppose that  $(X, \omega)$  is a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n$ , and the Hermitian metric  $\omega$  satisfies the Gauduchon and astheno-Kähler conditions, i.e.  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ . These conditions ensure that the first and second Chern numbers of holomorphic vector bundles are well-defined. Under the Gauduchon condition, we can also assume that  $\Lambda_\omega \alpha = \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is a real number. Adding another condition that  $\int_X \partial[\eta] \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0$  for any Dolbeault class  $[\eta] \in H^{0,1}(X)$ , one can easily check that  $X$  satisfies the global  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma, and then  $BC(E, D) = 0$ . By Theorem 1.1, there must exist a harmonic metric  $H$  on the semi-simple projectively flat bundle  $(E, D)$ , and then  $G_H = 0$ . Denote  $\bar{\partial}_E = \bar{\partial}_H$  and  $\theta = \psi_H^{1,0}$ , then we obtain a Higgs bundle structure  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  with

$$(1.18) \quad \sqrt{-1}(F_H + [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E, \quad \partial_H \theta = 0.$$

It is not hard to find that (1.18) means the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is poly-stable and

$$(1.19) \quad \Delta(E, \bar{\partial}_E) \cdot [\omega^{n-2}] := (c_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1^2(E, \bar{\partial}_E)) \cdot [\omega^{n-2}] = 0.$$

In the following,  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}^s(E)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$ ) denotes the moduli space of polystable (resp. semi-stable) Higgs structures  $(\bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  on bundle  $E$  with the vanishing Chern number (1.19). Hitchin ([12]) and Simpson ([27]) proved the Higgs version of Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau theorem ([21, 8, 29]) which states that every poly-stable Higgs bundle over a Kähler manifold must admit a Hermitian-Einstein metric  $H$ , i.e.

$$(1.20) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) = \lambda \text{Id}_E,$$

and it is also valid for compact Gauduchon manifolds ([2, 16, 19]). Therefore, for any poly-stable Higgs structure  $(\bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  with the vanishing Chern number (1.19), the Hitchin-Simpson connection  $D_{H,\theta} = D_H + \theta + \theta^{*H}$  with respect to the Hermitian-Einstein metric  $H$  must be projectively flat. Then one can obtain a correspondence between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}^s(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}^s(E, \alpha)$ , i.e. we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  satisfying  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$  and  $\int_X \partial[\eta] \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0$  for any Dolbeault class  $[\eta] \in H^{0,1}(X)$ ,  $E$  be a complex vector bundle over  $X$  with rank  $r$  and  $c_1(E) \cap \Omega^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e. there is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha \in \frac{2\pi}{r}c_1(E)$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}^s(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}^s(E, \alpha)$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *In [25, Proposition 2.1], the authors constructed some nontrivial examples of Hermitian metric  $\omega$  satisfying strongly Gauduchon and astheno-Kähler conditions. It is easy to see that these non-Kähler metrics must satisfy the conditions in Theorem (1.3).*

In [28], Simpson proved that there is an equivalence of categories between the category of semi-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern numbers and the category of flat bundles over smooth projective varieties. The key in the proof of Simpson's correspondence is to prove that every semi-stable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern numbers is an extension of stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern numbers. This has been generalized to the Kähler case by Nie and the third author ([22]). In this paper, we extend this key theorem to semi-stable Higgs bundles with the vanishing condition (1.19) and to the non-Kähler case. We combine the continuous method and the heat flow method to solve this problem. The key technique here is to use  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem (Theorem 6.2) of the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow. Let's first consider the solution  $H_\epsilon$  of the perturbed equation (5.12) for every  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ . In [23], under the assumption that the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is semistable, Nie and the third author have proved that

$$(1.21) \quad \sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{H_\epsilon} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon}]) - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The Chern-Weil theory and the vanishing condition (1.19) imply (see (5.8))

$$(1.22) \quad 2\|\partial_{H_\epsilon}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}^{1,1})^\perp\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $(F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}^{1,1})^\perp$  is the trace free part of  $F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}^{1,1}$ . Now, let's evolve the metrics  $H_\epsilon$  along the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow (7.6), and denote  $H_\epsilon(t)$  is the long time solution of (7.6). By the  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem (Theorem 6.2) and the parabolic inequality (6.21), we can show that for some positive  $t_0$ ,

$$(1.23) \quad 2\|\partial_{H_\epsilon(t_0)}\theta\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|(F_{H_\epsilon(t_0), \theta}^{1,1})^\perp\|_{L^\infty}^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. we obtain the existence of approximate Higgs-projectively flat structure. Using this and following Demailly-Peternell-Schneider's argument ([6]), we can show that the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration of the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is by subbundles. So we prove that, on some non-Kähler manifolds, every semi-stable Higgs bundle with the vanishing condition (1.19) must be an extension of stable Higgs bundles, i.e. we conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  satisfying  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ , and  $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a Higgs bundle over  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{E}$  is semi-stable with the vanishing condition (1.19) if and only if  $\mathfrak{E}$  admits a filtration*

$$(1.24) \quad 0 = \mathfrak{E}_0 \subset \mathfrak{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{E}_l = \mathfrak{E}$$

*by Higgs subbundles such that the quotients  $\mathfrak{Q}_k = (Q_k, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k}, \theta_k) = \mathfrak{E}_k / \mathfrak{E}_{k-1}$  are stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern number (1.19) and  $\frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_k)} c_1(Q_k, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k}) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)} c_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E)$  for every  $0 \leq k \leq l-1$ .*

As an application, we establish a correspondence between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$ , i.e. we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  satisfying  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$  and  $\int_X \partial[\eta] \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0$  for any Dolbeault class  $[\eta] \in H^{0,1}(X)$ ,  $E$  be a complex vector bundle over  $X$  with rank  $r$  and  $c_1(E) \cap \Omega^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e. there is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha \in \frac{2\pi}{r} c_1(E)$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$  in the case  $\text{rank}(E) = 2$ .*

We also find a nature one-to-one map between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$  for higher rank case, but as pointed in Remark 9.1, this map only exists in Kähler case, i.e. we deduce the following result.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$ . Then there is a one-to-one map between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$ .*

Actually, using Theorem 1.4, one may follow Simpson's argument in ([28]) to get a correspondence between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$  over Kähler manifolds. However, Simpson's argument ([28]) is totally algebraic and highly abstract. We construct the correspondence map more directly, so we write down here. It should be pointed out that, by using Nie and the third author's result ([22]), Deng also gives a constructing proof of this correspondence for the flat bundle case in his thesis ([7]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic concepts and results about projectively flat bundles. In section 3, we study the equation (1.10) and give a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we consider the characteristic classes of projectively flat bundles and give a proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we recall some basic results of Higgs bundles over non-Kähler manifolds and give a proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 6, we give some basic estimates and an  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem about the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow in the non-Kähler case. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 8 and Section 9, we prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank Professor Jixiang Fu and Professor Xiangwen Zhang to point out the examples satisfying our assumptions in Theorem 1.3. The authors are partially supported by NSF in China No.11625106, 11571332 and 11721101. The second author is also supported by NSF in China No.11801535, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No.2018M642515) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $E$  be a projectively flat bundle over  $X$  with rank  $r$  and  $c_1(E) \cap \Omega^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . We can choose a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha \in \frac{2\pi}{r} c_1(E)$ . Furthermore, if  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$ , we can assume

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda_\omega \alpha = \lambda,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real number. It is easy to check that there is a connection in  $E$  such that:

$$(2.2) \quad \sqrt{-1} F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad 2c_2(E, D) - \frac{r-1}{r} c_1^2(E, D) = 0.$$

For any metric  $H$  on  $(E, D)$ , there is a unique decomposition

$$(2.4) \quad D = D_H + \psi_H,$$

where  $D_H$  is a unitary connection,  $\psi_H \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$  is self-adjoint and

$$(2.5) \quad H(\psi_H e_1, e_2) = \frac{1}{2} \{H(De_1, e_2) + H(e_1, De_2) - dH(e_1, e_2)\}$$

for any  $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ . We rewrite (2.2) as

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E = \sqrt{-1} F_D = \sqrt{-1} (D_H^2 + \psi_H \wedge \psi_H + D_H \circ \psi_H + \psi_H \circ D_H).$$

Considering the self-adjoint and anti-self-adjoint parts of the above identity, since  $\alpha$  is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form, we have

$$(2.7) \quad D_H(\psi_H) = 0,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \sqrt{-1} (D_H^2 + \psi_H \wedge \psi_H) = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

Then, we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_H^2 + \psi_H^{0,1} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1} = 0, & \partial_H^2 + \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{1,0} = 0, \\ \bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0} + \partial_H \psi_H^{0,1} = 0, \\ \partial_H \psi_H^{1,0} = 0, & \bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{0,1} = 0, \\ \partial_H \bar{\partial}_H + \bar{\partial}_H \partial_H + [\psi_H^{1,0}, \psi_H^{0,1}] = -\sqrt{-1} \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\partial_H$  (resp.  $\bar{\partial}_H$ ) the  $(1, 0)$ -part (resp.  $(0, 1)$ -part) of  $D_H$ . Define  $D_H''$ ,  $D_H'$  and  $G_H$  as that in (1.3) and (1.4). Obviously (2.9) implies

$$(2.10) \quad (G_H^{1,1})^{*H} = -G_H^{1,1}, \quad (G_H^{2,0})^{*H} = G_H^{0,2},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \text{tr } G_H = \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) = \bar{\partial} \text{tr}(\psi_H^{1,0}) = d \text{tr}(\psi_H^{1,0}),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \partial \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) = -\bar{\partial} \text{tr}(\partial_H \psi_H^{1,0}) = 0.$$

If  $G_H = 0$ , then  $(E, \bar{\partial}_H, \psi_H^{1,0})$  will be a Higgs bundle and  $H$  is a Higgs-Hermitian projectively flat metric.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume  $\bar{\partial}\partial\omega^{n-2} = 0$ , then

$$(2.13) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(G_H) = 0 \iff G_H = 0$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(G_H^\perp) = 0 \iff G_H^\perp = 0.$$

*Proof.* The Riemann bilinear relations assert that

$$(2.15) \quad \int_X \operatorname{tr}(G_H^\perp \wedge G_H^\perp) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} = \int_X (|G_H^\perp|^2 - |\Lambda_\omega G_H^\perp|^2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.9), we have

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) \wedge \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) \\ &= -\operatorname{tr}(\partial_H \psi_H^{0,1}) \wedge \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) \\ &= \partial\bar{\partial}(\operatorname{tr} \psi_H^{0,1} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \psi_H^{1,0}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X \operatorname{tr}(G_H^\perp \wedge G_H^\perp) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \operatorname{tr}(G_H \wedge G_H) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \{-2\operatorname{tr}(\psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1}) - \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \partial_H \psi_H^{0,1})\} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \{\bar{\partial}\partial\operatorname{tr}(\psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1}) - 2\operatorname{tr}(\psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1} \wedge \psi_H^{0,1})\} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &\quad + \int_X \operatorname{tr}(\partial_H(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) \wedge \psi_H^{0,1}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= - \int_X \operatorname{tr}((\sqrt{-1}\alpha \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E \wedge \psi_H^{1,0} - \psi_H^{1,0} \wedge (\sqrt{-1}\alpha \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E)) \wedge \psi_H^{0,1}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

For any two Hermitian metrics  $H$  and  $K$ , let  $h = K^{-1}H$  and  $D_K^c = D_K'' - D_K'$ . By the expression (2.5), one can deduce that

$$(2.18) \quad \psi_H = \frac{1}{2}h^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ h + \frac{1}{2}\psi_K + \frac{1}{2}(D_K - h^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h),$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad D_H'' - D_K'' = \frac{1}{2}h^{-1}D_K^c h.$$

Using the equalities (2.9), we know

$$(2.20) \quad D_K'' \circ D_K'' + D_K' \circ D_K' = 0,$$

$$(2.21) \quad D_K'' \circ D_K' + D_K'' \circ D_K' = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha \otimes \operatorname{Id}_E,$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad D_K^c(D_K^c h) = D_K^c \circ D_K^c \circ h - h \circ D_K^c \circ D_K^c = \sqrt{-1}(\alpha h - h\alpha) = 0.$$



Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_H - G_K &= D_H'' \circ D_H'' - D_K'' \circ D_K'' \\
 (2.23) \quad &= \frac{1}{4} \{ 2D_K''(h^{-1}D_K^c h) - D_K^c(h^{-1}D_K^c h) + h^{-1}D_K^c(D_K^c h) \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} D(h^{-1}D_K^c h).
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.18) gives us that

$$(2.24) \quad \text{tr}(\psi_H) = \text{tr}(\psi_K) - \frac{1}{2} d \log \det(h)$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0}) - \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_K \psi_K^{1,0}) = \text{tr}(G_H) - \text{tr}(G_K) = \frac{1}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det h.$$

By (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.25), we can define the following class in the Bott-Chern cohomology.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(E, D)$  be a projectively flat complex vector bundle satisfying the condition (1.1) over a compact complex manifold  $X$ . We define

$$(2.26) \quad BC(E, D) = [\sqrt{-1} \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_H \psi_H^{1,0})]_{BC} \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Set  $s = \log(h) = \log(K^{-1}H)$ , then by a similar discussion with [23] (or [30]), we have

**Proposition 2.1.**

$$(2.27) \quad \langle \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D(h^{-1}D_K^c h), s \rangle_K = -\langle \Theta(s)(Ds), Ds \rangle_K + \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} |s|_K^2,$$

where

$$(2.28) \quad \Theta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{y-x} - 1}{y-x}, & x \neq y; \\ 1, & x = y. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* In an open dense subset  $U$  of  $X$ , choosing a suitable orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  of  $E$  with respect to the metric  $K$ , we can assume

$$(2.29) \quad h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r e^{\lambda_\alpha} e_\alpha \otimes e^\alpha$$

and

$$(2.30) \quad s = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\alpha.$$

Let  $D = d + A$ , then  $D_K = d + \frac{1}{2}(A - \bar{A}^T)$  and  $\psi_K = \frac{1}{2}(A + \bar{A}^T)$ . Denote the  $(1, 0)$ -part and  $(0, 1)$ -part of  $A$  by  $B$  and  $C$ . Computing directly shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.31) \quad h^{-1}D_K^c h &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^r d^c \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (e^{\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha} - 1)(\overline{C_\alpha^\beta} - \overline{B_\alpha^\beta}) e_\alpha \otimes e^\beta, \\
 Ds &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^r d\lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)(B_\beta^\alpha + C_\beta^\alpha) e_\alpha \otimes e^\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega d\text{tr}(h^{-1}D_K^c h s) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega d(\lambda_\alpha d^c \lambda_\alpha) \\
(2.32) \quad &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^r 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \lambda_\alpha \partial \bar{\partial} \lambda_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^r 2|\partial \lambda_\alpha|^2 \\
&= \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} |s|_K^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \text{tr}(h^{-1}D_K^c h \wedge Ds) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega (d^c \lambda_\alpha \wedge d\lambda_\alpha) \\
(2.33) \quad &+ \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (e^{\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha} - 1)(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta) \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega (\overline{C_\alpha^\beta} - \overline{B_\alpha^\beta}) \wedge (B_\alpha^\beta + C_\alpha^\beta) \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^r |d\lambda_\alpha|^2 - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{(e^{\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha} - 1)}{(\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)} (\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha)^2 (|B_\alpha^\beta|^2 + |C_\alpha^\beta|^2) \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Theta(\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta) |(Ds)_\alpha^\beta|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all of the above, we complete this proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any real number  $\lambda$ , we have*

$$(2.34) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \log(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})) \geq -4(|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_H + |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K)$$

and  $\sup_X |\log(h)|_K \leq \dot{C}_1 \|\log(h)\|_{L^2(X, K)} + \dot{C}_2$ , where  $\dot{C}_1$  and  $\dot{C}_2$  are positive constants depending only on  $\sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_H$ ,  $\sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly (2.23) yields

$$(2.35) \quad h(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(G_H - G_K)) = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega DD_K^c h - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D(h)h^{-1}D_K^c(h).$$

Taking the trace on both sides, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.36) \quad &\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \text{tr}(h) - \frac{1}{4}|Dh \cdot h^{-1/2}|_K^2 \\
&\geq -\text{tr}(h)(|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_H + |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.37) \quad &(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1}))\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \log(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})) \\
&= - \frac{|\text{tr}(Dh) + \text{tr}(Dh^{-1})|^2}{\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})} + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c (\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

According to Young's inequality, we have

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{|\text{tr}(Dh) + \text{tr}(Dh^{-1})|^2}{\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})} \leq \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)|\text{tr}(Dh)|^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon})|\text{tr}(Dh^{-1})|^2}{\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})}.$$

Take  $\varepsilon = \text{tr}(h^{-1})/\text{tr}(h)$ , then

$$(2.39) \quad \frac{|\text{tr}(Dh) + \text{tr}(Dh^{-1})|^2}{\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})} \leq |Dh \cdot h^{-1/2}|_K^2 + |Dh^{-1} \cdot h^{1/2}|_H^2.$$

So

$$(2.40) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \log(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1})) \geq -4(|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_H + |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K).$$

Noting

$$(2.41) \quad \log\left(\frac{1}{2r}(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1}))\right) \leq |\log(h)|_K \leq r^{1/2} \log(\text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(h^{-1}))$$

and applying Moser's iteration, we finish the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first study the equation (1.10) by using the continuous method. Let  $(E, D)$  be a rank  $r$  projectively flat bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , and satisfy  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ . Given a Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E$ , we set

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Herm}(E, H) = \{\eta \in \text{End}(E) \mid \eta^{*H} = \eta\}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Herm}^+(E, H) = \{\rho \in \text{Herm}(E, H) \mid \rho \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

If the metric  $\omega$  is Gauduchon, i.e.  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$ , we can define

$$(3.3) \quad \deg_\omega(E, D) = \int_X BC(E, D) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Using conformal transformation, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $(X, \omega)$  is a compact Gauduchon manifold, then there exists a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{K}$  on  $(E, D)$  such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\tilde{K}}) = r\lambda,$$

where the constant  $\lambda = \frac{\deg_\omega(E, D)}{r\text{Vol}(X, \omega)}$ .

Let  $K$  be a fixed background metric on  $E$ . Consider the following perturbed equation

$$(3.5) \quad L_\epsilon(h) := 4\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D(h^{-1}D_K^c h) - \epsilon \log(h) - 4\lambda \text{Id}_E = 0,$$

where  $h \in \text{Herm}^+(E, K)$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that there exists a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{K}$  on  $(E, D)$  with  $\text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\tilde{K}}) = r\lambda$ , then we can choose a background metric  $K$  such that  $\text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K) = r\lambda$ ,  $\det(K^{-1}\tilde{K}) = 1$  and the equation (3.5) has a solution for  $\epsilon = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $h_1 = \exp(4\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\tilde{K}} - 4\lambda \text{Id}_E)$  and choose  $K = \tilde{K}h_1^{-1}$  as the fixed background metric, then

$$(3.6) \quad \log \det(K^{-1}\tilde{K}) = \log \det(h_1) = \text{tr}(4\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\tilde{K}} - 4\lambda \text{Id}_E) = 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K) &= \operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\bar{K}}) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial\bar{\partial} \log \det(h_1^{-1}) \\ &= r\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad 4\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D(h_1^{-1}D_K^c h_1) - \log(h_1) - 4\lambda \operatorname{Id}_E = 0.$$

□

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K) = r\lambda$ . If  $h$  is a solution of equation (3.5) for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Then  $\det(h) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Taking the trace on both sides of equation (3.5) yields

$$(3.9) \quad 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial\bar{\partial} \log \det(h) - \epsilon \log \det(h) = 0.$$

Due to the maximum principle, we have  $\log \det(h) = 0$  and  $\det(h) = 1$ . □

For any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , define

$$(3.10) \quad J_\delta = \{\epsilon \in [\delta, 1] \mid \text{there is a smooth solution to } L_\epsilon(h) = 0\}$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad J = \{\epsilon \in (0, 1] \mid \text{there is a smooth solution to } L_\epsilon(h) = 0\}.$$

We will use the continuity method to show that  $J_\delta = [\delta, 1]$  for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , hence  $J = (0, 1]$ . It is obvious that  $J_\delta \neq \emptyset$ . By the Fredholmness of an elliptic operator over a compact manifold and the implicit function theorem, we know that  $J_\delta$  is open. Next, we conclude that  $J_\delta$  is closed.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $h$  be the solution of  $L_\epsilon(h) = 0$  for  $\epsilon \in [\delta, 1]$ . Then*

$$(3.12) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial\bar{\partial} |\log(h)|_K^2 \geq \epsilon |\log(h)|_K^2 - 4|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \operatorname{Id}_E|_K |\log(h)|_K,$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \sup_X |\log(h)|_K \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon} \sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \operatorname{Id}_E|_K \leq C_{12},$$

where  $C_{12}$  is a constant depending only on  $\delta^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $K$ .

*Proof.* Since the term  $\langle \Theta(s)(Ds), Ds \rangle_K$  in (2.27) is nonnegative, this lemma comes from Proposition 2.1 and the maximum principle. □

Using the above  $C^0$ -estimate, we can derive the  $C^1$ -estimate and  $L_2^p$ -estimate by Donaldson's arguments in [8, Lemma 19]. But now we will give another proof by the maximum principle.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $h$  be the solution of  $L_\epsilon(h) = 0$  for  $\epsilon \in [\delta, 1]$ . Then*

$$(3.14) \quad \sup_X |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H \leq C_{13},$$

where  $H = Kh$ ,  $C_{13}$  is a constant depending only on the background metric  $K$ ,  $\lambda$ , the bound of  $\sup_X |\log h|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* After a straightforward calculation, we can show

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial\bar{\partial} |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 &\geq |\nabla \psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 + |[\psi_H^{1,0}, \psi_H^{0,1}]|_H^2 + 2|\psi_H^{1,0} \wedge \psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 \\ &\quad - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_H(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H), \psi_H^{1,0} \rangle_H - \check{C} |\nabla \psi_H^{1,0}|_H |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H - |R| \cdot |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{C}$  is a constant depending only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ ,  $R$  is the curvature of Chern connection. According to the equation (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 \geq -\check{C}_1 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\partial_H(\log(h))|_H |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H,$$

where  $\check{C}_1$  is a uniform constant depending only on  $(X, \omega)$ . Notice that

$$(3.17) \quad |\partial_H(\log(h))|_H \leq \check{C}_2 |h^{-1} \partial_H h|_H \leq \check{C}_3 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H + \check{C}_4,$$

then

$$(3.18) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 \geq -\check{C}_5 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 - \check{C}_6 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H,$$

where  $\check{C}_2, \check{C}_3, \check{C}_4, \check{C}_5$  and  $\check{C}_6$  are the constants depending only on  $\sup_X |\log h|_K$  and  $K$ . On the other hand, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \text{tr}(h) &= \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \text{tr}(Dh \wedge h^{-1} D_K^c h) + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \text{tr}(h D(h^{-1} D_K^c h)) \\ &= |Dh \cdot h^{-1/2}|_K^2 - 4 \text{tr}(h(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E)) + \epsilon \text{tr}(h \log(h)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad |Dh \cdot h^{-1/2}|_K^2 \geq \check{C}_7 |Dh \cdot h^{-1}|_H^2 \geq \check{C}_8 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 - \check{C}_9,$$

hence it follows that

$$(3.21) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \text{tr}(h) \geq \check{C}_8 |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 - \check{C}_{10},$$

where  $\check{C}_7, \check{C}_8, \check{C}_9$  and  $\check{C}_{10}$  are the constants depending only on  $\sup_X |\log h|_K, \lambda$  and  $K$ . Let  $f = |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 + A \text{tr}(h)$ , where  $A$  is large enough such that

$$(3.22) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} f \geq \check{C}_{11} |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 - \check{C}_{12},$$

where  $\check{C}_{11}$  and  $\check{C}_{12}$  are positive constants depending only on the background metric  $K$ , the bound of  $\sup_X |\log h|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ . The maximum principle implies:

$$(3.23) \quad \max_X |\psi_H^{1,0}|_H^2 \leq C_{13},$$

where  $C_{13}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\check{C}_{11}$  and  $\check{C}_{12}$ .  $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and the  $L_2^p$ -estimate of elliptic operator, we immediately know  $h_\epsilon$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_2^p$  for  $\epsilon \in [\delta, 1]$ . Thus  $J_\delta$  is closed for  $[\delta, 1]$  and  $J = (0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact Gauduchon manifold,  $(E, D)$  be a projectively flat bundle over  $(X, \omega)$  with rank  $r$  and satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ ,  $h_\epsilon$  be a solution of equation (3.5),  $s_\epsilon = \log(h_\epsilon)$ . Then*

$$(3.24) \quad - \int_X 4 \text{tr}((\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E) s_\epsilon) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X \langle \Theta(s_\epsilon)(Ds_\epsilon), Ds_\epsilon \rangle_K \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \epsilon \|s_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(E, D)$  be a simple projectively flat complex vector bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold  $(X, \omega)$  satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ ,  $H$  and  $K$  be two Hermitian metrics on  $E$ . If  $\Lambda_\omega G_H = \Lambda_\omega G_K$ , then  $H = cK$  for some positive constant  $c$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.35), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega dd^c \text{tr}(h) \geq |Dh \cdot h^{-1/2}|_K^2,$$

where  $h = K^{-1}H$ . Hence  $Dh = 0$ . Since  $(E, D)$  is simple and  $h^{*K} = h$ , then  $h = c\text{Id}_E$  for some positive constant  $c$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact Gauduchon manifold,  $(E, D)$  be a simple projectively flat bundle over  $(X, \omega)$  with rank  $r$  and satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ . Then there exists a unique Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $(E, D)$  satisfying*

$$(3.26) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H = \lambda \text{Id}_E,$$

where the constant  $\lambda = \frac{\deg_\omega(E, D)}{r \text{Vol}(X, \omega)}$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 3.6 gives the uniqueness. Lemma 3.1 guarantees that there exists a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{K}$  on  $(E, D)$  with  $\text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_{\tilde{K}}) = r\lambda$ . By choosing a background metric  $K$  with  $\text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K) = r\lambda$ , as above we know that there exists a solution  $h_\epsilon$  of the equation (3.5) for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Under the assumption that  $(E, D)$  is simple, we conclude that there is a uniform constant  $\check{C}_{13}$  independent of  $\epsilon$  such that

$$(3.27) \quad \|\log(h_\epsilon)\|_{L^2} < \check{C}_{13}.$$

Then Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\|\log(h_\epsilon)\|_{L^\infty}$  are uniformly bounded. By Lemma 3.4, we can get the uniform  $C^1$ -estimate and also the uniform  $L_2^p$ -estimate. After choosing a subsequence of  $\epsilon$ , we can obtain a limiting metric  $H$  satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_H = \lambda \text{Id}_E$ .

Suppose  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\log(h_\epsilon)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$ . Then there is a sequence  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ , such that

$$(3.28) \quad \|\log(h_{\epsilon_j})\|_{L^2} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Set

$$(3.29) \quad s_j = \log(h_{\epsilon_j}), \quad l_j = \|s_j\|_{L^2}, \quad u_j = l_j^{-1} s_j,$$

then

$$(3.30) \quad \|u_j\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad \|u_j\|_{L^\infty} \leq C^*.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(3.31) \quad \text{tr}(u_j) = 0.$$

Based on Lemma 3.5, we derive

$$(3.32) \quad - \int_X 4 \text{tr}((\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E)u_j) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + l_j \int_X \langle \Theta(l_j u_j)(Du_j), Du_j \rangle_K \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \epsilon_j l_j = 0.$$

According to the argument in [23, page 637], if  $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive smooth function such that  $\Upsilon(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) < (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1}$  whenever  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ , it holds that

$$(3.33) \quad - \int_X 4 \text{tr}((\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega G_K - \lambda \text{Id}_E)u_j) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X \langle \Upsilon(u_j)(Du_j), Du_j \rangle_K \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \epsilon_j l_j \leq 0, \quad j \gg 0.$$

Then we see  $u_j$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_1^2$ . By choosing a subsequence which is also denoted by  $u_j$ , we deduce  $u_j \rightarrow u_\infty$  weakly in  $L_1^2$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then

$$(3.34) \quad \text{tr}(u_\infty) = 0, \quad \|u_\infty\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad \|u_\infty\|_{L^\infty} \leq C^*.$$

Following Simpson's argument in [27, Lemma 5.5], we know the eigenvalues of  $u_\infty$  are constants almost everywhere. Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_b$  denote the distinct eigenvalues. The fact that  $\text{tr}(u_\infty) = 0$  and  $\|u_\infty\|_{L^2} = 1$  yields  $b \geq 2$ . Define

$$p_a = \begin{cases} 1, & x \leq \lambda_a, \\ 0, & x \geq \lambda_{a+1}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\pi_a = p_a(u_\infty)$ . From [27, Lemma 5.6], one can see that

- (1)  $\pi_a \in L_1^2$ ;
- (2)  $\pi_a^2 = \pi_a^* = \pi_a$ ;
- (3)  $(\text{Id} - \pi_a)D\pi_a = 0$ .

Set  $V_a = \pi_a(E)$ . By the condition that  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ , we know that  $(D^{0,1})^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $D^{0,1}$  determines a holomorphic structure on  $E$ . Since  $(\text{Id} - \pi_a)D^{0,1}\pi_a = 0$ , the Uhlenbeck-Yau's regularity theorem of  $L_1^2$ -subbundle ([29]) states that  $V_a$  is smooth outside an analytic subset  $\Sigma$  which is of co-dimension at least 2. Then  $V_a$  is a  $D$ -invariant subbundle of  $(E, D)$  on  $X \setminus \Sigma$ . In the following, we can extend  $V_a$  to the whole  $X$  as a  $D$ -invariant subbundle of  $E$ , which is contradict with the simpleness of  $E$ . Then, we get the uniform  $C^0$ -estimate (3.27).

For any  $x \in \Sigma$ , there exists a domain  $\hat{B} \subset X$  containing  $x$  such that  $\alpha = \sqrt{-1}d\beta$  for some 1-form  $\beta$  defined on  $\hat{B}$ . From

$$(3.35) \quad \sqrt{-1}(D - \beta \otimes \text{Id}_E)^2 = \sqrt{-1}D^2 - \sqrt{-1}d\beta \otimes \text{Id}_E^2 = 0,$$

we know that  $D - \beta \otimes \text{Id}_E$  is a flat connection on  $E|_{\hat{B}}$ . On a small domain  $B$  containing  $x$ , we can choose a local basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  of  $E$  such that

$$(3.36) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_r \end{pmatrix} = \beta \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

On  $X \setminus \Sigma$ , we have the following exact sequence

$$(3.37) \quad 0 \longrightarrow V_a \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow Q_a \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(3.38) \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{V_a} & \eta \\ 0 & D_{Q_a} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.39) \quad D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} D_{V_a}^2 & D_{V_a} \circ \eta + \eta \circ D_{V_a} \\ 0 & D_{Q_a}^2 \end{pmatrix} = -\sqrt{-1}\alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E,$$

where  $\eta$  is a 1-form valued in  $Q_a^* \otimes V_a$ . So  $D_{V_a} - \beta \otimes \text{Id}_{V_a}$  is also a flat connection on  $V_a|_{\hat{B} \setminus \Sigma}$ . For any point  $y \in B \setminus \Sigma$ , there exist a small domain  $\tilde{B}_y \subset B \setminus \Sigma$ , and a basis  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_l\}$  of  $V_a$  on  $\tilde{B}_y$ , where  $l = \text{rank}(V_a)$ , such that

$$(3.40) \quad D_{V_a} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{e}_l \end{pmatrix} = D \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{e}_l \end{pmatrix} = \beta \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{e}_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, since  $V_a \subset E$ , we can suppose

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{e}_l \end{pmatrix} = A_{l \times r} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (3.36) and (3.40) imply that  $dA = 0$ , i.e.  $A$  is a constant matrix. For another point  $y_1 \in B \setminus \Sigma$ , if  $\tilde{B}_{y_1} \cap \tilde{B}_y \neq \emptyset$ , it is easy to see that  $A_{y_1} = C \cdot A$  for some

constant matrix  $C$ , and then  $A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_r \end{pmatrix}$  is a basis of  $V_a$  on  $\tilde{B}_{y_1} \cup \tilde{B}_y$ . Since  $B \setminus \Sigma$  is

connected,  $A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_r \end{pmatrix}$  is also a basis of  $V_a$  on  $B \setminus \Sigma$ . Then  $V_a|_{B \setminus \Sigma}$  can be extended

to  $B$ . Because  $x$  is arbitrary,  $V_a$  can be extended to the whole  $X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It has been proved by Gauduchon ([11]) that there must exist a Gauduchon metric  $\tilde{\omega}$  in the conformal class of  $\omega$ . By Theorem 3.1, we have a metric  $H$  such that  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}}(G_H^\perp) = 0$ , and equivalently

$$(3.42) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}(G_H^\perp) = 0.$$

If  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ , from Lemma 2.1, we know that the metric  $H$  satisfies  $G_H^\perp = 0$ .  $\square$

Taking conformal transformation, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold and  $(E, D)$  a simple projectively flat complex vector bundle over  $X$  satisfying  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes Id_E$  for a real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\alpha$ . Then for any real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\zeta \in BC(E, D)$ , there must exist a unique Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E$  such that*

$$(3.43) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}(G_H + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r}\zeta \otimes Id_E) = 0.$$

*If  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$  or  $\dim^{\mathbb{C}} X = 2$ , then the metric  $H$  satisfies*

$$(3.44) \quad \sqrt{-1}G_H = \frac{1}{r}\zeta \otimes Id_E.$$

Let  $(E, D)$  be an  $SL(r, \mathbb{C})$ -flat bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , i.e. the corresponding representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$  has image in  $SL(r, \mathbb{C})$ . There must exist a Hermitian metric  $K$  on  $(E, D)$  such that  $\text{tr } \psi_K = 0$ , and hence  $\text{tr } (\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}G_K) = 0$  and  $BC(E, D) = 0$ . Then the following corollary holds.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(E, D)$  be a simple  $SL(r, \mathbb{C})$ -flat bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , then there must exist a unique Hermitian metric  $H$  such that  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}G_H = 0$ . If  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$  or  $\dim^{\mathbb{C}} X = 2$ , then the metric  $H$  satisfies  $G_H = 0$ , and there exists a Hermitian-flat Higgs bundle  $(E, D_H^{0,1}, \psi_H^{1,0})$  with  $\text{tr } \psi_H^{1,0} = 0$ .*



## 4. CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF PROJECTIVELY FLAT BUNDLE

Let  $(E, D)$  be a projectively flat vector bundle over a compact complex manifold  $X$  with  $\sqrt{-1}F_D = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real  $(1, 1)$ -form. Letting  $H$  be a Hermitian metric on the projectively flat bundle  $(E, D)$ , we have the unique decomposition  $D = D_H + \psi_{D,H}$ . On the other hand, one can define  $D^{*H}$  by

$$(4.1) \quad dH(X, Y) = H(DX, Y) + H(X, D^{*H}Y)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$ , and then

$$(4.2) \quad \psi_{D,H} = \frac{1}{2}(D - D^{*H}).$$

Setting

$$(4.3) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D, H) = (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \psi_{D,H}^{2j+1},$$

and recalling that  $\psi_{D,H}$  is self-adjoint and (2.7), we know that  $v_{2j+1}(E, D, H)$  is a closed real form.

For any two Hermitian metrics  $H$  and  $K$  on  $E$ , we choose a smooth path  $H_t$  connecting  $K$  and  $H$  with  $H_0 = K$  and  $H_1 = H$ , let  $h_t = K^{-1}H_t$ . From (2.18), it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \psi_{D,H_t} = \frac{1}{2}h_t^{-1} \circ \psi_{D,K} \circ h_t + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{D,K} + \frac{1}{2}(D_K - h_t^{-1} \circ D_K \circ h_t),$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{D,H_t} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi_{D,H_t} \circ h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} - h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} \circ \psi_{D,H_t} - D_{H_t}(h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t}) \}.$$

By direct calculation, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{2j+1}(E, D, H_t) &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{D,H_t}^{2j+1} \right) \\ &= (2j+1)(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \left( \frac{\partial \psi_{D,H_t}}{\partial t} \wedge \psi_{D,H_t}^{2j} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2j+1}{2} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \left( D_{H_t} \left( h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} \right) \wedge \psi_{D,H_t}^{2j} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2j+1}{2} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} d \text{tr} \left( h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} \wedge \psi_{D,H_t}^{2j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

(4.7)

$$v_{2j+1}(E, D, H) - v_{2j+1}(E, D, K) = -\frac{2j+1}{2} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} d \int_0^1 \text{tr} \left( h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} \wedge \psi_{D,H_t}^{2j} \right) dt$$

and the class  $[v_{2j+1}(E, D, H)]$  is independent of the choice of Hermitian metrics. So we define the following class:

$$(4.8) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D) = [(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \psi_H^{2j+1}]$$

for  $j \geq 0$ .

Let  $f : (\tilde{E}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (E, D)$  be an isomorphism, i.e.  $\tilde{D} = f^{-1} \circ D \circ f$ . Given a Hermitian metric on  $E$ , we set a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{H}$  on  $\tilde{E}$  by

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{H}(\cdot, \cdot) = H(f(\cdot), f(\cdot)).$$

According to the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{H}(\psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}}(X), Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \tilde{H}(\tilde{D}X, Y) + \tilde{H}(X, \tilde{D}Y) - d\tilde{H}(X, Y) \} \\
 (4.10) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \{ H(D \circ f(X), f(Y)) + H(f(X), D \circ f(Y)) - dH(f(X), f(Y)) \} \\
 &= H(\psi_{D, H} \circ f(X), f(Y)) \\
 &= \tilde{H}(f^{-1} \circ \psi_{D, H} \circ f(X), Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(4.11) \quad \psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}} = f^{-1} \circ \psi_{D, H} \circ f,$$

and then

$$(4.12) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D, H) = v_{2j+1}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{H})$$

for  $j \geq 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* If the projectively flat bundle  $(E, D)$  is simple and  $\omega$  is astheno-Kähler, by Theorem 1.1, there is a Hermitian metric  $H$  such that  $G_H^\perp = 0$ , i.e.

$$(4.13) \quad (D_H^{0,1})^2 = 0, \quad D_H^{0,1} \psi_{D,H}^{1,0} = \frac{1}{r} \bar{\partial} \text{tr} \psi_{D,H}^{1,0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{D,H}^{1,0} \wedge \psi_{D,H}^{1,0} = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{2j+1}(E, D, H) &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} (\psi_{D,H}^{1,0} + \psi_{D,H}^{0,1})^{2j+1} \\
 (4.14) \quad &= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-j} \text{tr} \{ ((\psi_{D,H}^{1,0} \wedge \psi_{D,H}^{0,1})^j + (\psi_{D,H}^{0,1} \wedge \psi_{D,H}^{1,0})^j) \wedge (\psi_{D,H}^{1,0} + \psi_{D,H}^{0,1}) \} \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $(E, D)$  is not simple, we choose a  $D$ -invariant subbundle  $S$  of minimal rank and we have the following exact sequence of bundles:

$$(4.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Take a bundle isomorphism  $f : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$ , then the pull-back of  $D$  can be expressed as

$$(4.16) \quad f^*(D) = \begin{pmatrix} D_S & \beta \\ 0 & D_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

and one can check that

$$(4.17) \quad \sqrt{-1}D_S^2 = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_S, \quad \sqrt{-1}D_Q^2 = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_Q, \quad D_{Q^* \otimes S} \beta = 0.$$

Since  $S$  is of minimal rank, it must be simple and then

$$(4.18) \quad v_{2j+1}(S, D_S) = 0.$$

In the following, denote

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{E} = S \oplus Q, \quad \tilde{D} = f^*(D), \quad H = (f^{-1})^* \tilde{H}, \quad \tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} H_S & 0 \\ 0 & H_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $H_S$  and  $H_Q$  are Hermitian metrics on  $S$  and  $Q$ . It is easy to get that

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{D}^* \tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} D_S^{*H_S} & 0 \\ -\beta^{*\tilde{H}} & D_Q^{*H_Q} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{D} - \tilde{D}^* \tilde{H}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{D_S, H_S} & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta^* \tilde{H} & \psi_{D_Q, H_Q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting

$$(4.22) \quad \tilde{H}_t = \begin{pmatrix} tH_S & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}H_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

one can find that

$$(4.23) \quad \beta^* \tilde{H}_t = t^2 \beta^* \tilde{H}$$

and

$$(4.24) \quad \psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}_t} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{D_S, H_S} & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}t^2 \beta^* \tilde{H} & \psi_{D_Q, H_Q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we denote:

$$(4.25) \quad \Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{D_S, H_S} & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_{D_Q, H_Q} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.26) \quad M_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}t^2 \beta^* \tilde{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta^* \tilde{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta^* \tilde{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.27) \quad \Psi_{2j+1, 2k, t} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{2k+1} = 2j - 2k + 1} \Xi^{\alpha_1} M_t \dots \Xi^{\alpha_{2k}} M_t \cdot \Xi^{\alpha_{2k+1}}$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad \Psi_{2j+1, 2k+1, t} = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{2k+2} = 2j - 2k} \Xi^{\alpha_1} M_t \dots \Xi^{\alpha_{2k+1}} M_t \cdot \Xi^{\alpha_{2k+2}},$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is a nonnegative integer. It is not hard to observe that

$$(4.29) \quad \Xi^{\alpha_i} M_t \cdot \Xi^{\alpha_{i+1}} M_t = t^2 \Xi^{\alpha_i} M_1 \cdot \Xi^{\alpha_{i+1}} M_1,$$

$$(4.30) \quad \Psi_{2j+1, 2k, t} = t^{2k} \Psi_{2j+1, 2k, 1},$$

and

$$(4.31) \quad \text{tr}(\Psi_{2j+1, 2k+1, t}) = 0.$$

Then

$$(4.32) \quad \psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}_t}^{2j+1} = \sum_{k=0}^j \Psi_{2j+1, 2k, t} + \sum_{k=0}^j \Psi_{2j+1, 2k+1, t},$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad \text{tr}\{\psi_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{H}_t}^{2j+1}\} = \text{tr}\{\psi_{D_S, H_S}^{2j+1}\} + \text{tr}\{\psi_{D_Q, H_Q}^{2j+1}\} + \sum_{k=1}^j t^{2k} \text{tr}\{\Psi_{2j+1, 2k, 1}\}.$$

By (4.7) and (4.12), we know that

$$(4.34) \quad [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{D,H}^{2j+1}\}] = [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{\bar{D},\tilde{H}_t}^{2j+1}\}] = [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{\bar{D},\tilde{H}}^{2j+1}\}],$$

and

$$(4.35) \quad \sum_{k=1}^j (t^{2k} - 1) [\mathrm{tr} \{\Psi_{2j+1,2k,1}\}] = 0$$

for any  $t > 0$ . This implies

$$(4.36) \quad [\mathrm{tr} \{\Psi_{2j+1,2k,1}\}] = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq j$ , then

$$(4.37) \quad [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{D,H}^{2j+1}\}] = [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{D_s,H_s}^{2j+1}\}] + [\mathrm{tr} \{\psi_{D_Q,H_Q}^{2j+1}\}],$$

i.e.

$$(4.38) \quad v_{2j+1}(E, D) = v_{2j+1}(S, D_S) + v_{2j+1}(Q, D_Q) = v_{2j+1}(Q, D_Q)$$

for  $j \geq 0$ . Using (4.17), we can prove the vanishing of  $v_{2j+1}(E, D)$  by induction on the rank.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  and suppose that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$  and  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ . Let  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a rank  $r$  Higgs bundle over  $X$ ,  $H$  be a Hermitian metric on  $E$ . Define the Hitchin-Simpson connection by  $D_{H,\theta} = D_H + \theta + \theta^{*H}$ , where  $D_H$  is the Chern connection and  $\theta^{*H}$  is the adjoint of  $\theta$  with respect to  $H$ . The related curvature of  $D_{H,\theta}$  is

$$(5.1) \quad F_{H,\theta} = F_H + [\theta, \theta^{*H}] + \partial_H \theta + \bar{\partial}_E \theta^{*H}.$$

The Chern character forms  $ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H) \in \mathcal{A}^{k,k}(X)$  are defined by

$$(5.2) \quad ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H) = \frac{1}{k!} \mathrm{tr} \left( \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_H \right)^k \right).$$

Donaldson ([8, Proposition 6]) proved that, given two metrics  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  on  $E$ , there exists  $R_{k-1}(H_1, H_2) \in \mathcal{A}^{k-1,k-1}(X)$  such that

$$(5.3) \quad ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H_1) - ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H_2) = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} \partial R_{k-1}(H_1, H_2),$$

for every  $1 \leq k \leq \min\{r, n\}$ . This means that every  $ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H)$  determines a Bott-Chern cohomology class  $ch_k(E, \bar{\partial}_E) \in H_{BC}^{k,k}(X)$ .

Since  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ , the following first and second Chern numbers are well defined,

$$(5.4) \quad ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E)[\omega^{n-1}] = \int_X ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E)[\omega^{n-2}] = \int_X ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E, H) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}.$$

By the direct calculations, we have

$$(5.6) \quad ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E)[\omega^{n-1}] = \int_X \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \mathrm{tr} (F_{H,\theta}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \text{tr} (F_{H,\theta} \wedge F_{H,\theta}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\
&= \frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \{ \text{tr} (F_H \wedge F_H) + 2\text{tr} (F_H \wedge [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) \\
&\quad + \text{tr} ([\theta, \theta^{*H}] \wedge [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) + 2\text{tr} (\partial_H \theta \wedge \bar{\partial}_E \theta^{*H}) \} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\
&= \frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \{ \text{tr} (F_H \wedge F_H) + 2\text{tr} (F_H \wedge [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) \\
&\quad - 2\partial\bar{\partial} \text{tr} (\theta \wedge \theta^{*H}) + 2\text{tr} (\theta \wedge [F_H, \theta^{*H}]) \} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\
&= ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E)[\omega^{n-2}],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& 8\pi^2 (c_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1^2(E, \bar{\partial}_E)) \cdot [\omega^{n-2}] \\
&= \int_X (2|\partial_H \theta|^2 + |(F_{H,\theta}^{1,1})^\perp|^2 - |\Lambda_\omega(F_{H,\theta}^{1,1})^\perp|^2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where  $(F_{H,\theta}^{1,1})^\perp$  is the trace free part of  $F_{H,\theta}^{1,1}$ .

For any torsion free coherent Higgs sheaf  $(\mathcal{F}, \theta_{\mathcal{F}})$ , define the  $\omega$ -degree and  $\omega$ -slope by

$$\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}) = \int_X c_1(\det \mathcal{F}) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\mu_\omega(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})}. \tag{5.10}$$

We call  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is stable (semi-stable) if  $\mu_\omega(\mathcal{F}) < (\leq) \mu_\omega(E)$  for every proper coherent Higgs subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ . A Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is said to be admitting an approximate Hermitian-Einstein structure, if for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a Hermitian metric  $H_\delta$  such that

$$\sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{H_\delta} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_\delta}]) - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E|_{H_\delta} < \delta. \tag{5.11}$$

Let's consider the following perturbed equation on  $(X, \omega)$ :

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\theta, \theta^{*H}]) - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E + \epsilon \log(K^{-1}H) = 0, \tag{5.12}$$

where  $K$  is a fixed Hermitian metric on  $E$ . Making use of the continuous method in [29] and applying the Fredholmness of the elliptic operators, one can see that the above perturbed equation can be solved for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Letting  $H_\epsilon$  be the solution of the equation (5.12), we can conclude that ([23, Lemma 2.2])

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} (|\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_K^2) \geq \epsilon |\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_K^2 - |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{K,\theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K |\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_K, \\
& \text{and then}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

$$\sup_X \epsilon |\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_K \leq \sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{K,\theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_K. \tag{5.14}$$

By conformal transformation, we can assume that the background metric  $K$  satisfies

$$\text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_K + [\theta, \theta^{*K}]) - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E) = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

Then, the equation (5.12) and the maximum principle imply

$$(5.16) \quad \det(K^{-1}H_\epsilon) = 1$$

for every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

If the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is semi-stable, we have ([23, Theorem 3.2])

$$(5.17) \quad \sup_X \epsilon |\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_K = \sup_X \epsilon |\log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon)|_{H_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1** ([23]). *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  satisfying  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$ , and  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a Higgs bundle over  $X$ . Then  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is semi-stable if and only if it admits an approximate Hermitian-Einstein structure.*

For any Hermitian metric  $H$  on  $E$ , applying Lemma 2.7 in [28], we obtain

$$(5.18) \quad |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\theta, \theta^{*H}]|_H = |[\theta, \theta^{*H}]|_{H, \omega} \geq a_1|\theta|_{H, \omega}^2 - a_2|\theta|_{K, \omega}^2,$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are positive constants depending only on  $r$  and  $n$ . By choosing complex coordinates  $\{z^1, \dots, z^n\}$  at the considered point, we deduce:

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\partial\bar{\partial}|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 &= |\nabla\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\nabla_{\bar{\partial}_\beta}\nabla_{\partial_\alpha} - \nabla_{\partial_\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\partial}_\beta})\theta, \theta \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\partial_\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\partial}_\beta}\theta, \theta \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\langle -[\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{H_\epsilon}, \theta], \theta \rangle - \operatorname{Re}\langle \theta^\sharp R, \theta \rangle + |\nabla\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\nabla_{\partial_\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\partial}_\beta}\theta, \theta \rangle \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}\langle [\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon}], \theta], \theta \rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle [\epsilon \log(K^{-1}H_\epsilon), \theta], \theta \rangle \\ &\quad + |\nabla\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 - \check{C}_1|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 - \check{C}_2|\nabla\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $H_\epsilon$  satisfies the perturbed equation (5.12) and  $\bar{\partial}_E\theta = 0$ ,  $\check{C}_1$  and  $\check{C}_2$  are positive constants depending only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ . Of course (5.18) implies:

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle [\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon}], \theta], \theta \rangle &= |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon}]|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{a_1^2}{2}|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^4 - a_2^2|\theta|_{K, \omega}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (5.19), (5.14) gives us that

$$(5.21) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\partial\bar{\partial}|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 + \frac{a_1^2}{2}|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^4 - \check{C}_3|\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 - a_2^2|\theta|_{K, \omega}^4,$$

where  $\check{C}_3$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\sup_X |\Lambda_\omega F_{K, \theta}|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ . Then the maximum principle means:

$$(5.22) \quad \sup_X |\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \leq \check{C}_4,$$

where  $\check{C}_4$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\sup_X |\theta|_{K, \omega}$ ,  $\sup_X |\Lambda_\omega F_{K, \theta}|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

For a Higgs bundle  $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta})$  and a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{H}$  on  $\tilde{E}$ , set  $D''_{\tilde{E}} = \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}} + \tilde{\theta}$  and  $D'_{\tilde{H}} = \partial_{\tilde{H}} + \tilde{\theta}^{*\tilde{H}}$ , sometimes we omit the subscript for simplicity. Then  $(D''_{\tilde{E}})^2 =$

0 and  $(D'_{\tilde{H}})^2 = 0$ . The Dolbeault cohomology groups are defined by

$$(5.23) \quad H^i_{Dol}(\tilde{E}) = \frac{\text{Ker}(D''_{\tilde{E}} : \mathcal{A}^i(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{i+1}(\tilde{E}))}{\text{Im}(D''_{\tilde{E}} : \mathcal{A}^{i-1}(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^i(\tilde{E}))}.$$

For a flat bundle  $(V, D)$ , the de Rham cohomology groups are defined by

$$(5.24) \quad H^i_{DR}(V) = \frac{\text{Ker}(D : \mathcal{A}^i(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{i+1}(V))}{\text{Im}(D : \mathcal{A}^{i-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^i(V))}.$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose  $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{H})$  is a Higgs bundle over  $(X, \omega)$  with  $F_{\tilde{H}, \tilde{\theta}} = (D_{\tilde{H}, \tilde{\theta}})^2 = 0$ . If  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = 0$ , then  $H^0_{DR}(X, \tilde{E}) = H^0_{Dol}(X, \tilde{E})$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $e \in H^0_{DR}(X, \tilde{E})$ , we have

$$(5.25) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}\partial\bar{\partial}|e|_{\tilde{H}}^2 = |D''_E e|_{\tilde{H}}^2 + |D'_{\tilde{H}} e|_{\tilde{H}}^2.$$

Integrating both sides of this equation derives  $e \in H^0_{Dol}(X, \tilde{E})$ . Similarly, if  $e \in H^0_{Dol}(X, \tilde{E})$ , we get

$$(5.26) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega}\partial\bar{\partial}|e|_{\tilde{H}}^2 = |\partial_{\tilde{H}} e|_{\tilde{H}}^2 + |\theta^*(e)|_{\tilde{H}}^2.$$

Hence  $e \in H^0_{DR}(X, \tilde{E})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Suppose  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is a polystable Higgs bundle with the vanishing Chern number (1.19). By the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Higgs bundles over compact Gauduchon manifolds ([2, 16, 19]), there is a Hermitian-Einstein metric  $H$  on  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$ . The identity (5.8) implies  $\partial_H \theta = 0$  and  $F_H + [\theta, \theta^{*H}] = \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_H \otimes \text{Id}_E$ , then the curvature of the related Hitchin-Simpson connection  $D_{H, \theta}$  satisfies

$$(5.27) \quad \sqrt{-1}F_{D_{H, \theta}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

Since  $[\alpha]_{DR} = [\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H]_{DR} = \frac{2\pi}{r} c_1(E)$ , there exists a 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H + d\eta$ . Set  $\hat{D}_{H, \theta} = D_{H, \theta} - \sqrt{-1}\eta \otimes \text{Id}_E$ , then

$$(5.28) \quad \sqrt{-1}F_{\hat{D}_{H, \theta}} = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

If assume that  $\Lambda_{\omega}\alpha = \lambda$  in advance, we have

$$(5.29) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H = \alpha.$$

In fact, the conditions that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$  and  $\int_X \partial[\eta] \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0$  for any Dolbeault class  $[\eta] \in H^{0,1}(X)$  ensure the global  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma holds on  $(X, \omega)$ . Because  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H$  and  $\alpha$  are both real  $(1, 1)$ -forms in the same class, there exists a real function  $f$  such that

$$(5.30) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{r} \text{tr } F_H = \alpha + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f.$$

The Hermitian-Einstein equation means that  $f$  is constant, so we have (5.29).

Conversely, assume  $(E, D)$  is a semi-simple projectively flat bundle. Since  $(X, \omega)$  satisfies the global  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma,  $BC(E, D) = 0$ . By Theorem 1.1, there is a unique

harmonic metric  $H$  such that  $G_H = 0$ . Thus  $(E, \bar{\partial}_H, \psi_H^{1,0})$  is a polystable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern number (1.19).

Let  $(\bar{\partial}_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(\bar{\partial}_2, \theta_2)$  be two poly-stable Higgs structures on  $E$  with vanishing Chern number (1.19),  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the corresponding Hermitian-Einstein metrics,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be the corresponding Hitchin-Simpson connections. Set the induced Higgs bundle

$$(5.31) \quad (\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta}) = (E, \bar{\partial}_1, \theta_1) \otimes (E, \bar{\partial}_2, \theta_2)^*,$$

and the induced bundle

$$(5.32) \quad (\tilde{E}, \tilde{D}) = (E, D_1) \otimes (E, D_2)^*.$$

It is easy to see that  $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{D})$  is a flat bundle. From Lemma 5.1, we know  $H_{DR}^0(X, \tilde{E}) = H_{Dol}^0(X, \tilde{E})$ . Hence there is an equivalence of categories between the category of poly-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern number (1.19) and the category of semi-simple projectively flat bundles. So we have constructed a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}^s(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}^s(E, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

## 6. THE YANG-MILLS-HIGGS FLOW

Suppose  $(X, J, g)$  is a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  with the associated Kähler form  $\omega$  satisfying  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} = 0$ . Let  $(E, H_0)$  be a Hermitian vector bundle over  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$  be the space of connections on  $E$  compatible with the metric  $H_0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}^{1,1}$  be the space of unitary integrable connections on  $E$ . Set

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_{E, H_0} = \{(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}_0^{1,1} \times \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End}(E)) \mid \bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0, \phi \wedge \phi = 0\}.$$

The element  $(A, \phi) \in \mathcal{B}_{E, H_0}$  is called a Higgs pair which determines a Higgs bundle  $(E, D_A^{0,1}, \phi)$ . Define the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional on the space of Higgs pairs  $\mathcal{B}_{E, H_0}$  by

$$(6.2) \quad \text{YMH}(A, \phi) = \int_X |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{H_0, \omega}^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{H_0, \omega}^2 dv_g,$$

where  $dv_g$  is the volume form.

Consider the following modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (it is the gradient flow of Yang-Mills-Higgs functional in Kähler manifolds case):

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} = -\sqrt{-1}(\partial_{A(t)} - \bar{\partial}_{A(t)})\Lambda_\omega(F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi^{*H_0}(t)]), \\ \frac{\partial \phi(t)}{\partial t} = -[\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi^{*H_0}(t)]), \phi(t)], \\ A(0) = A_0, \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0. \end{cases}$$

As that in [17], we can obtain the long time existence and uniqueness of solution to the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow. Indeed, let  $H(t)$  be the long time solution of the following Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow on the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0}, \phi_0)$

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} H^{-1}(t) \frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial t} = -2(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}]) - \lambda \text{Id}), \\ H(0) = H_0, \end{cases}$$



where  $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\text{Vol}(X, \omega)} \mu_\omega(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})$ . There is a family of complex gauge transformations  $\sigma(t)$  satisfying  $\sigma^{*H_0}(t)\sigma(t) = H_0^{-1}H(t)$ , such that  $(A(t), \phi(t)) = \sigma(t)(A_0, \phi_0)$  is a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow, where

$$(6.5) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\sigma(A_0)} = \sigma \circ \bar{\partial}_{A_0} \circ \sigma^{-1}, \quad \partial_{\sigma(A_0)} = (\sigma^{*H_0})^{-1} \circ \partial_{A_0} \circ \sigma^{*H_0};$$

$$(6.6) \quad \sigma(\phi_0) = \sigma \circ \phi_0 \circ \sigma^{-1}.$$

When there is no confusion, we denote  $(A(t), \phi(t))$ ,  $H(t)$  and  $\sigma(t)$  by  $(A, \phi)$ ,  $H$  and  $\sigma$  for simplicity. It is straightforward to check that

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_A \phi^{*H_0} &= \sigma \circ \bar{\partial}_{A_0} \phi_0^{*H} \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\ \partial_A \phi &= \sigma \circ \partial_H \phi_0 \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\ F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] &= \sigma \circ (F_H + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H}]) \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\ D_{A, \phi}(\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}])) &= \sigma \circ (D_{H, \phi_0}(\Lambda_\omega(F_H + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H}])) \circ \sigma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Along the flow, we have

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{YMH}(A(t), \phi(t)) \\ &= \int_X |F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi^{*H_0}(t)]|_{H_0}^2 + 2|\partial_{A(t)}\phi(t)|_{H_0}^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_X |F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}]|_{H(t)}^2 + 2|\partial_{A_0}\phi_0|_{H(t)}^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_X |\Lambda_\omega(F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}])|_{H(t)}^2 dv_g - 8\pi^2 ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})[\omega^{n-2}] \\ &= \int_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}]) - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H(t)}^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \text{rank}(E) \text{Vol}(X, \omega) - 8\pi^2 ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})[\omega^{n-2}] \\ &= \int_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{A(t)} + [\phi(t), \phi^{*H_0}(t)]) - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H_0}^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \text{rank}(E) \text{Vol}(X, \omega) - 8\pi^2 ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_{A_0})[\omega^{n-2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if  $H(t)$  is a solution of the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow (6.4), by the same discussion as that in [27, Lemma 6.1], we get ([13, Lemma 1] or [30, Proposition 2.1])

$$(6.9) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial}\right) \text{tr}(\Psi(t)) = 0,$$

$$(6.10) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial}\right) |\Psi(t)|_{H(t)}^2 = -2|D_{H(t), \phi_0} \Psi(t)|_{H(t)}^2,$$

where we have set  $\Psi(t) = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_{H(t)} + [\phi_0, \phi_0^{*H(t)}]) - \lambda \text{Id}$  for simplicity. By the conformal transformation, we can suppose that  $\text{tr} \Psi(0) = 0$ , then we have

$$(6.11) \quad \text{tr} \Psi(t) = 0,$$

$$(6.12) \quad \det(H_0^{-1}H(t)) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr} F_{H(t)} = \text{tr} F_{H_0}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Based on (6.8) and (6.10), we establish the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (6.3), then  $\sup_X |\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]) - \lambda Id|_{H_0}$  is uniformly bounded and  $\text{YMH}(t)$  is decreasing along the flow. Furthermore, if  $\text{tr } \Psi(0) = 0$ , then  $\text{tr } F_{A(t)} = \text{tr } F_{A_0}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

It is well known that there are two connections on the tangent bundle  $TX$ : Chern connection and Levi-Civita connection. They are coincide on Kähler manifolds, but they are different in non-Kähler manifolds case. In the rest of this section, we use  $\hat{\nabla}$  ( $\nabla$ ) to denote the Chern connection (the Levi-Civita connection) on  $X$  with respect to  $\omega$ , and  $\hat{\nabla}_A$  ( $\nabla_A$ ) to denote the induced connection in  $\Lambda^\bullet X \otimes \text{End}(E)$  by  $D_A$  and  $\hat{\nabla}$  ( $\nabla$ ). Notice that for a smooth function  $f$  on a non-Kähler manifold, there holds

$$(6.13) \quad \Delta f = 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} f + \langle df, V \rangle,$$

where  $V = *(-\sqrt{-1}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)\omega^{n-1})$  and  $\Delta$  is the Beltrami-Laplacian. One has already known that

$$(6.14) \quad \hat{\nabla} g = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla} J = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad T^{1,1} = 0,$$

where  $T$  is the torsion tensor of  $\hat{\nabla}$ . In a local complex coordinate  $\{z^1, \dots, z^n\}$ , we have

$$(6.15) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} = \hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} = 0.$$

Let us recall the Bianchi identity  $D_A F_A = 0$ , equivalently we have

$$(6.16) \quad \sum \hat{\nabla}_{A,X} F_A(Y, Z) = - \sum F_A(T(X, Y), Z),$$

where  $\sum$  is the rotation sum of  $X, Y, Z$  and  $\hat{\nabla}_{A,X}$  is the covariant derivative in the direction  $X$  with respect to the connection  $\hat{\nabla}_A$ . In fact, for any  $\theta \in \Omega^2(X)$ , it holds that

$$(6.17) \quad d\theta(X, Y, Z) = \sum \hat{\nabla}_X \theta(Y, Z) + \sum \theta(T(X, Y), Z).$$

For every Higgs pair  $(A, \phi)$ , we know that  $\bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0$ , this is equivalent to

$$(6.18) \quad \hat{\nabla}_A^{0,1} \phi = 0.$$

For this reason, in the following calculations, we will choose the Chern connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  on the base manifold.

As that in [17, Section 2.2], the following result comes from a direct computation.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (6.3), then*

$$(6.19) \quad (2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|\phi|^2 \geq 2|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 + C_1(|\phi|^2 + 1)^2 - C_2(|\phi|^2 + 1) - C_3|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi| \cdot |\phi|,$$

where the constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  depend only on  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ . So we get  $\sup_X |\phi|^2 \leq \max\{\sup_X |\phi_0|^2, C_2/C_1 + C_3^2/4C_1\}$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (6.3), and  $\xi$  be a closed  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $(X, \omega)$  with  $\Lambda_\omega \xi = \lambda$  for some constant  $\lambda$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 - 2|\hat{\nabla}_A \hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 \\
 (6.20) \quad & \geq -C_4(|F_A| + |R_\omega| + |\phi|^2)|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 \\
 & \quad - C_5(|\hat{\nabla} R_\omega| + |T| \cdot |F_A|)|\phi| \cdot |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.21) \quad & (2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes Id_E|^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|^2) \\
 & \geq 2|\hat{\nabla}_A \partial_A \phi|^2 + |\hat{\nabla}_A(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes Id_E)|^2 \\
 & \quad - C_6(|T|^2 + |\hat{\nabla}_A T| + |F_A| + |R_\omega| + |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi| + |\phi|^2)(|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes Id_E|^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $C_4, C_5, C_6$  depend only on the dimension of  $X$  and the rank of  $E$ ,  $R_\omega$  is the curvature tensor of the Chern connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  with respect to  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* For any point  $p \in X$ , we choose a local complex coordinate  $\{z^1, \dots, z^n\}$  centered at  $p$  and with  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(p) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ . Using the Bianchi identity (6.16),  $\bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0$  and  $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial}(|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2) \\
 = & 2|\hat{\nabla}_A \hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 - 2Re\langle[\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_A, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi], \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle \\
 (6.22) \quad & - 4Re\langle[\partial_{A,\gamma}(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}])), \phi], \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle \\
 & + 4Re\langle[[\hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha^{*H_0}], \phi], \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle \\
 & + 2Re\langle[F_{l\bar{\alpha}}, \phi]T_{\alpha\gamma}^l, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle - 4Re\langle[F_{\gamma\bar{\alpha}}, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha}\phi], \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle \\
 & + 2Re\langle(\hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha}(\phi_l R_{\beta\gamma\bar{\alpha}}^l) + \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha}\phi_l R_{\beta\gamma\bar{\alpha}}^l + \hat{\nabla}_{A,l}\phi_\beta R_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\alpha}}^l)dz^\beta, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma}\phi\rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.23) \quad & 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial}(2|\partial_A \phi|^2) \\
 = & 4|\hat{\nabla}_A \partial_A \phi|^2 - 4Re\langle[\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_A, \partial_A \phi], \partial_A \phi\rangle + 4Re\langle(D_\gamma^A \phi_\beta - D_\beta^A \phi_\gamma)\hat{\nabla}_{\bar{\alpha}}\hat{\nabla}_\alpha dz^\alpha \wedge dz^\beta, \partial_A \phi\rangle \\
 & - 8Re\langle[\partial_A(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}])), \phi], \partial_A \phi\rangle + 8Re\langle[[\phi_\gamma, \phi_\alpha^*], D_\alpha^A \phi_\beta - D_\beta^A \phi_\alpha]dz^\gamma \wedge dz^\beta, \partial_A \phi\rangle \\
 & + 8Re\langle[F_{l\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_l, \phi_\alpha^*] - \xi_{l\bar{\alpha}} \otimes Id_E, \phi_\beta]T_{\alpha\gamma}^l dz^\gamma \wedge dz^\beta, \partial_A \phi\rangle \\
 & - 8Re\langle[(F_{\gamma\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_\gamma, \phi_\alpha^*] - \xi_{\gamma\bar{\alpha}} \otimes Id_E), \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha}\phi_\beta]dz^\gamma \wedge dz^\beta, \partial_A \phi\rangle \\
 & - 8Re\langle[[\phi_\alpha, \partial_A \phi], \phi_\alpha^*], \partial_A \phi\rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.24) \quad & 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \partial \bar{\partial}|F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] - \xi \otimes Id_E|^2 \\
 = & 2|\hat{\nabla}_A(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] - \xi \otimes Id_E)|^2 \\
 & + 2Re\langle(\hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha}\hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}} + \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}}\hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha})(F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes Id_E), F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] - \xi \otimes Id_E\rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha} \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}} (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E) \\
&= -\hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha} ((F_{\beta\bar{l}} + [\phi_\beta, \phi_l^*] - \xi_{\beta\bar{l}} \otimes \text{Id}_E) T_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{l}}) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&\quad + \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha} ([\phi_\beta, D_{\bar{\alpha}}^A \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^* - D_{\bar{\gamma}}^A \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*]) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&\quad + \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\gamma}} ([D_{\alpha}^A \phi_\beta - D_{\beta}^A \phi_\alpha, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*]) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
(6.25) \quad &+ [F_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}, (F_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_\beta, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*] - \xi_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)] dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&- R_{\beta\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^l (F_{l\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_l, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*] - \xi_{l\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Id}_E) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&- R_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{l}} (F_{\beta\bar{l}} + [\phi_\beta, \phi_l^*] - \xi_{\beta\bar{l}} \otimes \text{Id}_E) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&+ \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\gamma}} (\hat{\nabla}_{A,\beta} (F_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_\alpha, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*] - \xi_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&- \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\gamma}} (T_{\alpha\beta}^l (F_{l\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_l, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*] - \xi_{l\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}} \hat{\nabla}_{A,\alpha} (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E) \\
&= \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}} (T_{\alpha\beta}^l (F_{l\bar{\gamma}} + [\phi_l, \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^*] - \xi_{l\bar{\gamma}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&\quad + \hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\alpha}} ([D_{\alpha}^A \phi_\beta - D_{\beta}^A \phi_\alpha, \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^*]) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&\quad - [F_{\beta\bar{\alpha}}, (F_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} + [\phi_\alpha, \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^*] - \xi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)] dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
(6.26) \quad &+ R_{\alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}}^l (F_{l\bar{\gamma}} + [\phi_l, \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^*] - \xi_{l\bar{\gamma}} \otimes \text{Id}_E) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&- R_{\bar{\gamma}\beta\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{l}} (F_{\alpha\bar{l}} + [\phi_\alpha, \phi_l^*] - \xi_{\alpha\bar{l}} \otimes \text{Id}_E) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&+ \hat{\nabla}_{A,\beta} (\hat{\nabla}_{A,\bar{\gamma}} (F_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + [\phi_\alpha, \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*] - \xi_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&- \hat{\nabla}_{A,\beta} (T_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{l}} (F_{\alpha\bar{l}} + [\phi_\alpha, \phi_l^*] - \xi_{\alpha\bar{l}} \otimes \text{Id}_E)) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma \\
&+ \hat{\nabla}_{A,\beta} ([\phi_\alpha, D_{\bar{\alpha}}^A \phi_{\bar{\gamma}}^* - D_{\bar{\gamma}}^A \phi_{\bar{\alpha}}^*]) dz^\beta \wedge d\bar{z}^\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.27) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 = -4\text{Re} \langle [\partial_{A,\gamma} (\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]))], \phi \rangle, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma} \phi \rangle \\
& - 2\text{Re} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}])], \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma} \phi \rangle, \hat{\nabla}_{A,\gamma} \phi \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.28) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E|^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|^2) \\
&= -2\text{Re} \langle \sqrt{-1} (\bar{\partial}_A \partial_A - \partial_A \bar{\partial}_A) \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]), F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E \rangle \\
&\quad - 4\text{Re} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]), \phi], \phi^{*H_0} \rangle, F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\text{Re} \langle [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]), [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]], F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}] - \xi \otimes \text{Id}_E \rangle \\
&\quad - 4\text{Re} \langle 2[\partial_A (\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]))], \phi \rangle + [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]), \partial_A \phi], \partial_A \phi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.22) and (6.27) mean (6.20), at the same time, (6.23), (6.24), (6.25), (6.26) and (6.28) imply (6.21).  $\square$

**6.1. Monotonicity inequality and  $\epsilon$ -regularity.** Regard  $X$  as a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $2n$ . For any  $x_0 \in X$ , choose normal geodesic

coordinates  $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  in the geodesic ball  $B_r(x_0)$  ( $r \leq i_X$ , where  $i_X$  is the injective radius of  $X$ ) such that  $x_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ . Then it follows that

$$(6.29) \quad |g_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij}| \leq C|x|^2, \quad |\partial_k g_{ij}(x)| \leq C|x|, \quad \forall x \in B_r(x_0),$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $x_0$ .

Let  $u = (x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $u_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , set

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} S_r(u_0) &= X \times \{t = t_0 - r^2\}, \\ T_r(u_0) &= X \times [t_0 - 4r^2, t_0 - r^2], \\ P_r(u_0) &= B_r(x_0) \times [t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2]. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we denote  $S_r(0, 0), T_r(0, 0), P_r(0, 0)$  by  $S_r, T_r, P_r$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow with the initial value  $(A_0, \phi_0)$ . For any  $u_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $r \in (0, \min\{\sqrt{t_0}, i_X/2\})$ , we have*

$$(6.31) \quad \int_{P_r(u_0)} |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 dv_g dt \leq C_9 r^{2n},$$

where the constant  $C_9$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r}(x_0))$  satisfying  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  on  $B_r(x_0)$ ,  $|df| \leq 4/r$  and  $|\Delta f| \leq 16/r^2$  on  $B_{2r}(x_0) \setminus B_r(x_0)$ . According to Proposition 6.2, we obtain

$$(6.32) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f^2|\phi|^2 \\ &= 2|df|^2|\phi|^2 + 2f|\phi|^2\Delta f + 4f\langle df, d|\phi|^2 \rangle + f^2(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})|\phi|^2 \\ &\geq 2|df|^2|\phi|^2 + 2f|\phi|^2\Delta f - 8f|df| \cdot |\phi| \cdot |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi| + 2f^2|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 \\ &\quad - C_2 f^2(|\phi|^2 + 1) - C_3 f^2|\phi| \cdot |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi| - f^2|V| \cdot |\phi| \cdot |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi| \\ &\geq f^2|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 - \bar{C}_1 - \bar{C}_2(|df|^2 + |\Delta f|), \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $\bar{C}_1, \bar{C}_2$  depend only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ , and  $V$  is the 1-form defined in (6.13). One can conclude this proposition by integrating both sides of the inequality over  $X \times [t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2]$ .  $\square$

The fundamental solution of (backward) heat equation with singularity at  $u_0 = (x_0, t_0)$  is

$$(6.33) \quad G_{u_0}(x, t) = G_{(x_0, t_0)}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^{2n}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - x_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right), \quad t \leq t_0.$$

For simplicity, denote  $G_{(0,0)}(x, t)$  by  $G(x, t)$ .

Given  $0 < R \leq i_X$ , we take  $f \in C_0^\infty(B_R)$  satisfying  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  on  $B_{R/2}$  and  $|\nabla f| \leq 2/R$  on  $B_R \setminus B_{R/2}$ . Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow with initial value  $(A_0, \phi_0)$  and  $A' = A + \phi + \phi^*$ . For any  $(x, t) \in X \times [0, +\infty)$ , set

$$(6.34) \quad e(A, \phi)(x, t) = |F_{A'}|^2$$

and

$$(6.35) \quad \Phi(r) = r^2 \int_{T_r(u_0)} e(A, \phi) f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(A, \phi)$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow. For any  $u_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times [0, T]$  and  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \min\{R/2, \sqrt{t_0}/2\}$ , we have*

$$(6.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(r_1) &\leq C_{10} \exp(C_{10}(r_2 - r_1)) \Phi(r_2) + C_{10}(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) \\ &\quad + C_{10} R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(u_0)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C_{10}$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Choose normal geodesic coordinates  $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  in the geodesic ball  $B_R(x_0)$ . Let  $x = r\tilde{x}$ ,  $t = t_0 + r^2\tilde{t}$ . There holds that

$$(6.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(r) &= r^2 \int_{T_r(u_0)} e(A, \phi) f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\ &= r^2 \int_{t_0-4r^2}^{t_0-r^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e(A, \phi)(x, t) f^2(x) G_{u_0}(x, t) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(x) dx dt \\ &= r^4 \int_{-4}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e(A, \phi)(r\tilde{x}, t_0 + r^2\tilde{t}) f^2(r\tilde{x}) G(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(r\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one can see that

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} &= 4r^3 \int_{-4}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e(A, \phi)(r\tilde{x}, t_0 + r^2\tilde{t}) f^2(r\tilde{x}) G(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(r\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ &\quad + r^3 \int_{-4}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \{x^i \partial_i e(A, \phi)(r\tilde{x}, t_0 + r^2\tilde{t})\} f^2(r\tilde{x}) G(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(r\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ &\quad + r^3 \int_{-4}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \{2(t - t_0) \partial_t e(A, \phi)(r\tilde{x}, t_0 + r^2\tilde{t})\} f^2(r\tilde{x}) G(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(r\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ &\quad + r^4 \int_{-4}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e(A, \phi)(r\tilde{x}, t_0 + r^2\tilde{t}) \frac{d}{dr} \{f^2(r\tilde{x}) \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}(r\tilde{x})\} G(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

First, we have

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &= r \int_{T_r(u_0)} \{x^i \partial_i e(A, \phi)(x, t)\} f^2(x) G_{u_0}(x, t) dv_g dt \\ &= 2r \text{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x^i \nabla_i F_{A'}, F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\ &= 2r \text{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x^i \nabla_i F_{A'} (\partial_j, \partial_k) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the Bianchi identity

$$(6.40) \quad D_{A'} F_{A'} = D_A F_{A'} + [\phi + \phi^*, F_{A'}] = 0,$$

it follows that

$$(6.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_i F_{A'} (\partial_j, \partial_k) &= -[\phi_i + \phi_i^*, F_{A',jk}] + \nabla_j F_{A'} (\partial_i, \partial_k) + [\phi_j + \phi_j^*, F_{A',ik}] \\ &\quad - \nabla_k F_{A'} (\partial_i, \partial_j) - [\phi_k + \phi_k^*, F_{A',ij}], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.42) \quad & \langle x^i \nabla_j F_{A'} (\partial_i, \partial_k) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = \langle x^i D_A (F_{A'}{}_{,ik} dx^k) - x^i (F_{A'} (\nabla_j \partial_i, \partial_k) + F_{A'} (\partial_i, \nabla_j \partial_k)) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = \langle D_A (x^i F_{A'}{}_{,ik} dx^k) - x^i F_{A'} (\nabla_j \partial_i, \partial_k) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle - |F_{A'}|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.43) \quad & - \langle x^i \nabla_k F_{A'} (\partial_i, \partial_j) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = \langle x^i D_A (F_{A'}{}_{,ij} dx^j) + x^i (F_{A'} (\nabla_k \partial_i, \partial_j) + F_{A'} (\partial_i, \nabla_k \partial_j)) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = \langle D_A (x^i F_{A'}{}_{,ij} dx^j) + x^i F_{A'} (\nabla_k \partial_i, \partial_j) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle - |F_{A'}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Under the condition  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$ , Demailly ([5]) proved

$$(6.44) \quad \partial_A^* = \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, \bar{\partial}] - \tau^*, \quad \bar{\partial}_A^* = -\sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, \partial_A] - \bar{\tau}^*,$$

where  $\tau = [\Lambda_\omega, \partial \omega]$ . Letting  $x \odot F_{A'} = x^i F_{A'}{}_{,ij} dx^j$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.45) \quad & Re \langle x \odot F_{A'}, D_A^* F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = -Re \langle x \odot F_{A'}, \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \rangle + Re \langle x \odot F_{A'}, \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega ((\bar{\partial}_A - \partial_A)[\phi, \phi^*]) \rangle \\
& \quad + Re \langle x \odot F_{A'}, \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega ([F_A, \phi] - [F_A, \phi^*]) \rangle \\
& \quad - Re \langle x \odot F_{A'}, (\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*) F_{A'} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to find that

$$(6.46) \quad \langle x^i [\phi_i + \phi_i^*, F_{A'}], F_{A'} \rangle = 0.$$

Because of  $(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*])^* = -(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*])$ ,  $(\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*)^* = \partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*$ , we know

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.47) \quad & Re \langle [\phi + \phi^*, x \odot F_{A'}], F_{A'} \rangle \\
& = Re \langle [\phi + \phi^*, x \odot (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*])], \partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^* \rangle \\
& \quad + Re \langle [\phi + \phi^*, x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*)], F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.48) \quad & \langle [\phi, x \odot (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*])], \partial_A \phi \rangle = \langle x \odot (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \partial_A [\phi, \phi^*] \rangle, \\
& \langle [\phi^*, x \odot (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*])], \bar{\partial}_A \phi^* \rangle = - \langle x \odot (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \bar{\partial}_A [\phi, \phi^*] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.49) \quad & \langle [\phi^*, x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*)], F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] \rangle \\
& = - \langle x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*), \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega [F_A + [\phi, \phi^*], \phi] \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*), [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi] \rangle, \\
& \quad \langle [\phi, x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*)], F_A + [\phi, \phi^*] \rangle \\
& = \langle x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*), \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega [F_A + [\phi, \phi^*], \phi^*] \rangle \\
& \quad - \langle x \odot (\partial_A \phi + \bar{\partial}_A \phi^*), [\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi^*] \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.50) \quad & Re\langle [\phi + \phi^*, x \odot F_{A'}], F_{A'} \rangle \\
&= -Re\langle x \odot F_{A'}, \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega((\bar{\partial}_A - \partial_A)[\phi, \phi^*]) \rangle \\
&\quad - Re\langle x \odot F_{A'}, \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega([F_A, \phi] - [F_A, \phi^*]) \rangle \\
&\quad - Re\langle x \odot F_{A'}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial t} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.41), (6.42), (6.43), (6.45), (6.46) and (6.50), and using  $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.51) \quad I_1 + I_2 &= -4rRe \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle d(f^2 G_{u_0}) \wedge x \odot F_{A'}, F_{A'} \rangle dv_g dt \\
&\quad - 4rRe \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x \odot F_{A'}, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + 4rRe \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x^i F_{A'} (\nabla_k \partial_i, \partial_j) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - 4rRe \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x \odot F_{A'}, (\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*) F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.52) \quad & \langle F_{A'}, [\phi, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t}] \rangle = \langle \partial_A \phi, [\phi, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t}] \rangle + \langle F_A + [\phi, \phi^*], [\phi, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t}] \rangle \\
&= \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\partial_A \phi, \phi^*], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle + \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[F_A + [\phi, \phi^*], \phi^*], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle [\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi^*], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.53) \quad & \langle F_{A'}, [\phi^*, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t}] \rangle = -\langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[\bar{\partial}_A \phi^*, \phi], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle - \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega[F_A + [\phi, \phi^*], \phi], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle [\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega(F_A + [\phi, \phi^*]), \phi], \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and applying  $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$  again, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.54) \quad & Re\langle D_A^* F_{A'}, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle + Re\langle F_{A'}, [\phi + \phi^*, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t}] \rangle \\
&= -\left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 - 2\left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 - Re\langle (\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*) F_{A'}, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$



The flow and Stokes formula imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.55) \quad I_3 &= 2r \int_{T_r(u_0)} (t - t_0) \partial_t e(A, \phi) f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&= -4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} (t - t_0) \left( \left| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right|^2 + 2 \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) dv_g dt \\
&\quad - 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} (t - t_0) \langle F_{A'}, d(f^2 G_{u_0}) \wedge \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle dv_g dt \\
&\quad - 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} (t - t_0) \langle (\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*) F_{A'}, \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \cdot F_{A'} = \frac{1}{2} x^i g^{ij} F_{A',jk} dx^k$ , and notice that  $\partial_i G_{u_0} = \frac{x^i G_{u_0}}{2(t-t_0)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.56) \quad & I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \\
&= 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \frac{1}{|t - t_0|} \left| |t - t_0| \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} - x \odot F_{A'} \right|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \frac{1}{|t - t_0|} \langle x \cdot F_{A'} - x \odot F_{A'}, x \odot F_{A'} - |t - t_0| \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle 2f^{-1} \nabla f \lrcorner F_{A'}, |t - t_0| \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - x \odot F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle x^i F_{A'} (\nabla_k \partial_i, \partial_j) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + 4r \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_r(u_0)} \langle |t - t_0| \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} - x \odot F_{A'}, (\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*) F_{A'} \rangle f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Calculating straightforward, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.57) \quad I_4 &= r \int_{T_r(u_0)} e(A, \phi) x^i \partial_i (f^2 \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}) G_{u_0} dx dt \\
&= r \int_{T_r(u_0)} e(A, \phi) 2f x^i \partial_i f G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad + \frac{r}{2} \int_{T_r(u_0)} e(A, \phi) x^i \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_i g) f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on (6.29) and  $|\Gamma_{jk}^i| \leq C|x|$ , there exist constants  $\tilde{C}_1$  and  $\tilde{C}_2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.58) \quad & |x \cdot F_{A'} - x \odot F_{A'}|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{2} x^i (\delta_j^i - g^{ij}) F_{A',jl} dx^l \right|^2 \leq \tilde{C}_1 |x|^6 |F_{A'}|^2, \\
& \langle x^i F_{A'} (\nabla_j \partial_i, \partial_k) dx^j \wedge dx^k, F_{A'} \rangle \leq \tilde{C}_1 |x|^2 |F_{A'}|^2, \\
& \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_k g) \leq \tilde{C}_1 |x|,
\end{aligned}$$

and  $|(\tau^* + \bar{\tau}^*)F_{A'}|^2 \leq \tilde{C}_2|F_{A'}|^2$ . Due to (6.56) and (6.57), it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.59) \quad \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} &\geq r \int_{T_r(u_0)} \frac{1}{|t-t_0|} \left| |t-t_0| \frac{\partial A'}{\partial t} - x \odot F_{A'} \right|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - \tilde{C}_3 r \int_{T_r(u_0)} \frac{|x|^6}{|t-t_0|} |F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |t-t_0| \cdot |2f^{-1} \nabla_{\perp} F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - \tilde{C}_3 r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |t-t_0| \cdot |F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - \tilde{C}_3 r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |x|^2 |F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
&\quad - 2r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |x| \cdot |\nabla f| \cdot |f| \cdot |F_{A'}|^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Chen-Struwe's arguments in [4], we know there exists a constant  $\tilde{C}_4 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.60) \quad r^{-1}|t-t_0| \cdot |x|^6 G_{u_0} &\leq \tilde{C}_4(1 + G_{u_0}), \\
r^{-1}|x|^2 G_{u_0} &\leq \tilde{C}_4(1 + G_{u_0})
\end{aligned}$$

on  $T_r(u_0)$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.61) \quad & - \tilde{C}_3 r \int_{T_r(u_0)} \left( \frac{|x|^6}{|t-t_0|} + |t-t_0| + |x|^2 \right) |F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \\
& \geq -\tilde{C}_5 \Phi(r) - \tilde{C}_5 r \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $\tilde{C}_5$  depends only on  $\tilde{C}_3$  and  $\tilde{C}_4$ . As that shown in [24](page 15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.62) \quad & -r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |t-t_0| \cdot |2f^{-1} \nabla_{\perp} F_{A'}|^2 f^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \geq -\frac{C(n)r}{R^{2n}} \int_{P_R(u_0)} |F_{A'}|^2 dv_g dt, \\
& -2r \int_{T_r(u_0)} |x| \cdot |\nabla f| \cdot |f| \cdot |F_{A'}|^2 G_{u_0} dv_g dt \geq -\frac{C(n)r}{R^{2n}} \int_{P_R(u_0)} |F_{A'}|^2 dv_g dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From above, it can be seen that

$$(6.63) \quad \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \geq -\tilde{C}_6 \Phi(r) - \tilde{C}_6 r \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) - \frac{\tilde{C}_6 r}{R^{2n}} \int_{P_R(u_0)} |F_{A'}|^2 dv_g dt.$$

Then integrating two sides of this inequality concludes this proof.  $\square$

By the monotonicity inequality, we can derive the  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $(A(t), \phi(t))$  be a solution of the modified Yang-Mills-Higgs flow. There exist positive constants  $\epsilon_0, \delta_0 < 1/4$ , such that if*

$$(6.64) \quad R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(u_0)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt < \epsilon_0$$

holds for some  $0 < R \leq \min\{i_X/2, \sqrt{t_0}/2\}$ , then for any  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , we have

$$(6.65) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} e(A, \phi) \leq \frac{16}{(\delta R)^4}$$

and

$$(6.66) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|^2 \leq C_{11},$$

where  $C_{11}$  is a positive constant depending only on  $R$ ,  $\delta_0$ ,  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Regard  $X$  as a real manifold. The proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 2.6 in [18].

For any  $\delta \in (0, 1/4]$ , define

$$(6.67) \quad f(r) = (2\delta R - r)^4 \sup_{P_r(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi).$$

Since  $f(r)$  is continuous and  $f(2\delta R) = 0$ , we know that  $f(r)$  attains its maximum at some point  $r_0 \in [0, 2\delta R)$ . Suppose  $(x_1, t_1) \in \tilde{P}_{r_0}(x_0, t_0)$  is a point such that

$$(6.68) \quad e(A, \phi)(x_1, t_1) = \sup_{P_r(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi).$$

We claim that when  $\epsilon_0, \delta_0$  are small enough,  $f(r_0) \leq 16$ . Otherwise, set

$$(6.69) \quad \rho_0 = (2\delta R - r_0)f(r_0)^{-1/4} = e(A, \phi)(x_1, t_1)^{-1/4} < \delta R - \frac{r_0}{2}.$$

Rescaling the Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g} = \rho_0^{-2}g$  and  $t = t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}$ , we get

$$(6.70) \quad \begin{aligned} |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{\tilde{g}}^2 &= \rho_0^4 |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_g^2, \\ |\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 &= \rho_0^4 |\partial_A \phi|_g^2, \quad |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$(6.71) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{\rho_0}(x, \tilde{t}) &= |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 e(A, \phi)(x, t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}), \\ b_{\rho_0}(x, \tilde{t}) &= |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \rho_0^4 |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2(x, t_1 + \rho_0^2 \tilde{t}), \\ \tilde{P}_{\tilde{r}}(x_1, 0) &= B_{\rho_0 \tilde{r}}(x_1) \times [-\tilde{r}^2, \tilde{r}^2], \end{aligned}$$

we have  $e_{\rho_0}(x_1, 0) = \rho_0^4 e(A, \phi)(x_1, t_1) = 1$ , and

$$(6.72) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{\tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0} &= \rho_0^4 \sup_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) \leq \rho_0^4 \sup_{P_{\delta R + r_0/2}(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) \\ &\leq \rho_0^4 f(\delta R + r_0/2)(\delta R - r_0/2)^{-4} \leq 16. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(6.73) \quad |F_A + [\phi, \phi^{*H_0}]|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + 2|\partial_A \phi|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq 16, \quad \text{on } \tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0).$$

Combining this together with Proposition 6.3 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta_{\tilde{g}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}})(b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) = \rho_0^6(\Delta_g - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) \\
& \geq 2\rho_0^6 |\hat{\nabla}_A \hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 - C_4 \rho_0^6 (|F_A|_g + |R_\omega|_g + |\phi|_g^2) |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 \\
(6.74) \quad & - C_5 \rho_0^6 (|\hat{\nabla} R_\omega|_g + |T|_g |F_A|_g) |\phi|_g |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g \\
& - C_6 \rho_0^6 |T|_g |\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g |\hat{\nabla}_A \hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g \\
& \geq -\tilde{C}_1 (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4)
\end{aligned}$$

on  $\tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0)$ , where the constant  $\tilde{C}_1$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ . Then by the parabolic mean value inequality and Proposition 6.4, we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\tilde{P}_{1/2}(x_1, 0)} (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) & \leq C \int_{\tilde{P}_1(x_1, 0)} (b_{\rho_0} + \rho_0^4) dv_{\tilde{g}} d\tilde{t} \\
(6.75) \quad & = C \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} (|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) dv_g dt \\
& \leq C^* \rho_0^2 \leq \tilde{C}_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $\tilde{C}_2$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ . Similarly, combining (6.75), (6.73) and Proposition 6.3, one knows that

$$(6.76) \quad (\Delta_{\tilde{g}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}})e_{\rho_0} \geq -\tilde{C}_3 e_{\rho_0}$$

on  $\tilde{P}_{1/2}(x_1, 0)$ , where the constant  $\tilde{C}_3$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ . Using the parabolic mean value inequality again, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = e_{\rho_0}(x_1, 0) & \leq \sup_{\tilde{P}_{1/4}(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0} \leq C \int_{\tilde{P}_{1/2}(x_1, 0)} e_{\rho_0} dv_{\tilde{g}} d\tilde{t} \\
(6.77) \quad & \leq \tilde{C}_4 \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $\tilde{C}_4$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ .

Choose normal geodesic coordinates centred at  $x_1$ , and a smooth cut-off function  $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{R/2}(x_1))$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  on  $B_{R/4}(x_1)$ ,  $|df| \leq 8/R$  on  $B_{R/2}(x_1) \setminus B_{R/4}(x_1)$ . Taking  $r_1 = \rho_0$  and  $r_2 = \delta_0 R$ , applying the monotonicity

inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_0^{2-2n} \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt \\
& \leq C \rho_0^2 \int_{P_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} f^2 dv_g dt \\
& \leq C \rho_0^2 \int_{T_{\rho_0}(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} e(A, \phi) G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} f^2 dv_g dt \\
(6.78) \quad & \leq C_* r_2^2 \int_{T_{r_2}(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} e(A, \phi) G_{(x_1, t_1 + 2\rho_0^2)} f^2 dv_g dt + C_* \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) \\
& \quad + C_* (R/2)^{2-2n} \int_{P_{R/2}(x_1, t_1)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt \\
& \leq C_* \delta_0^{2-2n} R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(x_0, t_0)} e(A, \phi) dv_g dt + C_* \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0) \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_5 (\delta_0^{2-2n} \epsilon_0 + \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $\tilde{C}_5$  depends only on the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $\sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$ . Choose  $\epsilon_0, \delta_0$  properly such that  $\tilde{C}_4 \tilde{C}_5 (\delta_0^{2-2n} \epsilon_0 + \delta_0^2 R^2 \text{YMH}(A_0, \phi_0)) < 1$ , and then a contradiction occurs. So  $f(r_0) \leq 16$ , which implies

$$(6.79) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} e(A, \phi) \leq 16/(\delta R)^4.$$

Let  $r = 3\delta R/2$ , then

$$(6.80) \quad \sup_{P_{3\delta R/2}(u_0)} e(A, \phi) = f(3\delta R/2)(\delta R/2)^{-1/4} \leq 256/(\delta R)^4.$$

On  $P_{3\delta R/2}(u_0)$ , from Proposition 6.3, Proposition 6.4 and the parabolic mean value inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.81) \quad \sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} (|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) & \leq C \int_{P_{3\delta R/2}(u_0)} (|\hat{\nabla}_A \phi|_g^2 + 1) dv_g dt \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_6,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $\tilde{C}_6$  depends only on  $R, \delta_0, \sup_X |\phi_0|_{H_0}$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$  satisfying  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} = 0$ , and  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a semi-stable Higgs bundle of rank  $r$  with the vanishing condition (1.19). Then  $E$  admits a Hermitian metric  $K$  and a family of Hermitian metrics  $H_s$  for  $s \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \text{tr } F_K$  is a constant and*

$$(7.1) \quad \sup_X (|F_{H_s} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_s}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes Id_E|_{H_s}^2 + 2|\partial_{H_s} \theta|_{H_s}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $s \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* By conformal transformation, we can choose a background metric  $K$  satisfying

$$(7.2) \quad \text{tr} \{ \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_K + [\theta, \theta^{*K}]) - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E \} = 0,$$

where the constant  $\lambda = (r \text{Vol}(X, \omega))^{-1} ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E)[\omega^{n-1}]$ . Let  $H_\epsilon$  be the solution of the equation (5.12) for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . From (5.16), we see that  $\det(K^{-1}H_\epsilon) = 1$  and then

$$(7.3) \quad \text{tr} F_{H_\epsilon} = \text{tr} F_K$$

for every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . Since the Higgs bundle  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is semi-stable, by Theorem 5.1, we know that

$$(7.4) \quad \sup_X |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_{H_\epsilon, \theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . According to the identity (5.8) and (7.3), we have

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X |F_{H_\epsilon, \theta} - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr} F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X (2|\partial_{H_\epsilon} \theta|^2 + |(F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}^{1,1})^\perp|^2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}) - \lambda \text{Id}_E|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow with initial metric  $H_\epsilon$

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{cases} H_\epsilon^{-1}(t) \frac{\partial H_\epsilon(t)}{\partial t} = -2(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_{H_\epsilon(t)} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon(t)}]) - \lambda \text{Id}), \\ H_\epsilon(0) = H_\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

It is gauge equivalent to the following Yang-Mills-Higgs flow

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial A_\epsilon(t)}{\partial t} = -\sqrt{-1}(\partial_{A_\epsilon(t)} - \bar{\partial}_{A_\epsilon(t)}) \Lambda_\omega (F_{A_\epsilon(t)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon^{*H_\epsilon}(t)]), \\ \frac{\partial \phi_\epsilon(t)}{\partial t} = -[\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega (F_{A_\epsilon(t)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon^{*H_\epsilon}(t)]), \phi_\epsilon(t)], \\ A_\epsilon(0) = D_{H_\epsilon, \bar{\partial}_E}, \quad \phi_\epsilon(0) = \theta. \end{cases}$$

One can easily see that

$$(7.8) \quad \det(K^{-1}H_\epsilon(t)) = \det(H_\epsilon^{-1}H_\epsilon(t)) = 1$$

and then

$$(7.9) \quad \text{tr} F_{H_\epsilon(t)} = \text{tr} F_K$$

for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ . According to Proposition 6.1, we observe

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_X |F_{A_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)} - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr} F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} &= \int_X |F_{H_\epsilon(t), \theta} - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr} F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon(t)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_{H_\epsilon(t), \theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon(t)}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\leq \int_X |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_{H_\epsilon, \theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Even though we don't have the uniform  $C^0$ -estimate of  $H_\epsilon$ , by (5.22) and (5.14), we still have

$$(7.11) \quad \sup_X |\theta|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \leq \check{C}_4$$

and

$$(7.12) \quad \int_X |F_{H_\epsilon, \theta}|_{H_\epsilon, \omega}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \leq \check{C}_5,$$

where  $\check{C}_4$  and  $\check{C}_5$  are positive constants depending only on  $\sup_X |\theta|_{K, \omega}$ ,  $\sup_X |\Lambda_\omega F_{K, \theta}|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ . So, when we apply the small energy regularity for the Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow (7.6) (or equivalently the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow (7.7)) with different initial datas  $H_\epsilon$ , all the constants in Theorem 6.2 are independent of  $\epsilon$ , in fact they depend only on  $\sup_X |\theta|_{K, \omega}$ ,  $\sup_X |\Lambda_\omega F_{K, \theta}|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ .

We can fix a pair of positive constants  $\epsilon_0, \delta_0$  in Theorem 6.2 satisfying  $\check{C}_4 \check{C}_5 (\delta_0^{2-2n} \epsilon_0 + \check{C}_5 \delta_0^2 (i_X/2)^2) < 1$ . Taking  $R = \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i_X}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(r\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\sup_X |\text{tr } F_K|^2 \text{Vol}(X, \omega))^{-\frac{1}{4}}\}$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon'$  for some  $\epsilon'$  small enough, we have

$$(7.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(u_0)} |F_{A_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= R^{2-2n} \int_{P_R(u_0)} (|F_{A_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)} - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 + r^{-1} |\text{tr } F_K|^2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &\leq \epsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $u_0 \in X \times [1, \infty)$ . By Theorem 6.2, for any  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , it holds that  $\sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} e(A_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)) \leq \frac{16}{(\delta R)^4}$  and  $\sup_{P_{\delta R}(u_0)} |\hat{\nabla}_{A_\epsilon(t)} \phi_\epsilon(t)|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \leq C_{11}$ . Therefore for any  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon'$ , we deduce

$$(7.14) \quad \sup_{X \times [1, \infty)} e(A_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)) \leq \hat{C}_1$$

and

$$(7.15) \quad \sup_{X \times [1, \infty)} |\hat{\nabla}_{A_\epsilon(t)} \phi_\epsilon(t)|^2 \leq \hat{C}_2,$$

where the constants  $\hat{C}_1, \hat{C}_2$  are independent of  $\epsilon$ . Combining (7.14), (7.15) and the inequality (6.21) in Proposition 6.3, we can find a uniform constant  $\check{C}_6$  depending only on  $\sup_X |\theta|_{K, \omega}$ ,  $\sup_X |\Lambda_\omega F_{K, \theta}|_K$  and the geometry of  $(X, \omega)$ , such that

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(|F_{A_\epsilon(t)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)^{*H_\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|^2 + 2|\partial_{A_\epsilon(t)} \phi_\epsilon(t)|^2) \\ &\geq -\check{C}_6(|F_{A_\epsilon(t)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)^{*H_\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|^2 + 2|\partial_{A_\epsilon(t)} \phi_\epsilon(t)|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon'$  and  $t \in [1, \infty)$ . Applying the mean value inequality of parabolic equation, one can see that there is a constant  $\check{C}_7$  independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $t$ , such that

for  $t \geq 2$  and  $\epsilon$  small enough, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.17) \quad & \sup_X (|F_{H_\epsilon(t)} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon(t)}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon(t)}^2 + 2|\partial_{H_\epsilon(t)} \theta|_{H_\epsilon(t)}^2) \\
&= \sup_X (|F_{A_\epsilon(t)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t), \phi_\epsilon(t)^{*H_\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 + 2|\partial_{A_\epsilon(t)} \phi_\epsilon(t)|_{H_\epsilon}^2) \\
&\leq \check{C}_7 \int_X (|F_{A_\epsilon(t-1)} + [\phi_\epsilon(t-1), \phi_\epsilon(t-1)^{*H_\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 + 2|\partial_{A_\epsilon(t-1)} \phi_\epsilon(t-1)|_{H_\epsilon}^2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\
&\leq \check{C}_7 \int_X |\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_{H_\epsilon, \theta} - \lambda \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Take  $t = 2$ , then we know  $\{H_\epsilon(2)\}$  is a family of approximate Higgs-projectively flat metrics, i.e.

$$(7.18) \quad \sup_X (|F_{H_\epsilon(2)} + [\theta, \theta^{*H_\epsilon(2)}] - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr } F_K \otimes \text{Id}_E|_{H_\epsilon(2)}^2 + 2|\partial_{H_\epsilon(2)} \theta|_{H_\epsilon(2)}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

Replacing the Kähler condition by the Gauduchon condition, one can easily get the following lemma by a similar argument as that in [22, Proposition 2.2].

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension  $n$ , satisfying  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} = 0$ . Let  $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta})$  be an approximate Higgs-Hermitian flat Higgs bundle of rank  $r$ , i.e. there exist a sequence of Hermitian metrics  $\tilde{H}_\epsilon$  such that  $\sup_X |F_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon, \tilde{\theta}}|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\varsigma$  is a non-trivial  $\tilde{\theta}$ -invariant holomorphic section of  $E$ , then  $\varsigma$  has no zeros.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The sufficiency is easy to show by directly calculating. Here we only prove the necessity. Suppose  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is strictly semi-stable. There is a torsion free coherent Higgs subsheaf  $S$  of minimal rank  $p$  with

$$(7.19) \quad \frac{1}{\text{rank } S} \deg_\omega(S) = \frac{1}{\text{rank } E} \deg_\omega(E).$$

Thus  $(S, \theta_S)$  is a stable torsion free Higgs sheaf, where  $\theta_S$  is the induced Higgs field. In the following, we prove that  $(S, \theta_S)$  is a Higgs subbundle, i.e.  $S$  is locally free.

Consider the following exact sequence of Higgs sheaves:

$$(7.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, \theta_S) \rightarrow (E, \theta) \rightarrow (Q, \theta_Q) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\{H_\epsilon\}$  be the approximate Higgs-projectively flat metrics which are constructed in Theorem 7.1. We can define the bundle isomorphisms

$$(7.21) \quad f_\epsilon : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$$

on  $X \setminus Z$  with respect to  $H_\epsilon$  such that  $Q \simeq S^{\perp_{H_\epsilon}}$ , where  $Z$  is the singularity set of  $S$ . Then the pull-back holomorphic structure and Higgs field on  $S \oplus Q$  are

$$(7.22) \quad f_\epsilon^*(\bar{\partial}_E) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_S & \gamma_\epsilon \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_\epsilon^*(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_S & \zeta_\epsilon \\ 0 & \theta_Q \end{pmatrix},$$



where  $\gamma_\epsilon \in \Omega^{0,1}(Q^* \otimes S)$  is the second fundamental form and  $\zeta_\epsilon \in \Omega^{1,0}(Q^* \otimes S)$ . On the basis of Gauss-Codazzi equation, we know

$$(7.23) \quad f_\epsilon^*(F_{H_\epsilon, \theta})^{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{H_{S,\epsilon}, \theta_S}^{1,1} - \gamma_\epsilon \wedge \gamma_\epsilon^* + \zeta_\epsilon \wedge \zeta_\epsilon^* & D_{S \otimes Q^*}^{1,0} \gamma_\epsilon + \zeta_\epsilon \wedge \theta_Q^* + \theta_S^* \wedge \zeta_\epsilon \\ D_{S^* \otimes Q}^{0,1} \gamma_\epsilon^* + \zeta_\epsilon^* \wedge \theta_S + \theta_Q \wedge \zeta_\epsilon^* & F_{H_{Q,\epsilon}, \theta_Q}^{1,1} - \gamma_\epsilon^* \wedge \gamma_\epsilon + \zeta_\epsilon^* \wedge \zeta_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (7.1), we have

$$(7.24) \quad \sqrt{-1}(F_{H_{S,\epsilon}, \theta_S}^{1,1} - \gamma_\epsilon \wedge \gamma_\epsilon^* + \zeta_\epsilon \wedge \zeta_\epsilon^*) - \frac{1}{r} \text{tr} F_K \otimes \text{Id}_S \leq \delta_\epsilon \omega \otimes \text{Id}_S,$$

where  $\delta_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{tr}([\theta_S, \theta_S^*]) = 0$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \text{tr}(-\gamma_\epsilon \wedge \gamma_\epsilon^* + \zeta_\epsilon \wedge \zeta_\epsilon^*) \geq 0$ , we conclude

$$(7.25) \quad 0 \leq \text{rank}(S) \delta_\epsilon \omega - \sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_{H_{S,\epsilon}} + \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \text{tr} F_K$$

on  $X \setminus Z$ . The fact (7.19) gives us

$$(7.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X (\text{rank}(S) \delta_\epsilon \omega - \sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_{H_{S,\epsilon}} + \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \text{tr} F_K) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_X n \cdot \text{rank}(S) \delta_\epsilon \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.27) \quad \sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_{H_{S,\epsilon}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \text{tr} F_K$$

in the sense of current, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\hat{K}_S$  be a smooth Hermitian metric on the determinant line bundle  $\det(S) = (\wedge^p S)^{**}$  such that

$$(7.28) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_{\hat{K}_S} = \lambda_S = \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega \text{tr} F_K.$$

Set  $\eta = \sqrt{-1} F_{\hat{K}_S} - \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_K$ . We have

$$(7.29) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leftarrow \int_X (\sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_{H_{S,\epsilon}} - \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \text{tr} F_K) \wedge \eta \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= \int_X \eta \wedge \eta \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= - \int_X |\eta|^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\eta = 0$ , i.e.

$$(7.30) \quad \sqrt{-1} F_{\hat{K}_S} = \frac{\text{rank}(S)}{r} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr} F_K.$$

Let us consider the Higgs bundle  $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta}) = (\wedge^p E \otimes (\det S)^{-1}, \theta_p)$ , where  $\theta_p$  is the induced Higgs field on  $\wedge^p E \otimes (\det S)^{-1}$ . Of course (7.1) and (7.30) imply the Higgs bundle  $(\tilde{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{E}}, \tilde{\theta})$  is approximate Higgs-Hermitian flat, i.e. there exist a sequence of Hermitian metrics  $\tilde{H}_\epsilon$  such that  $\sup_X |F_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon, \tilde{\theta}}|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . A Higgs morphism  $\det(S) \rightarrow \wedge^p E$  can be seen as a  $\theta_p$ -invariant section of  $\wedge^p E \otimes (\det S)^{-1}$ . Lemma 7.1 tells us that the non-zero Higgs morphism  $\det(S) \rightarrow \wedge^p E$  is injective. Then by Lemma 1.20 in [6], we know that  $S$  is a Higgs subbundle of  $E$ .

From the exact sequence of Higgs bundles, one can see that

$$(7.31) \quad ch_1(S, \bar{\partial}_S) + ch_1(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q) = ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E),$$

and

$$(7.32) \quad ch_2(S, \bar{\partial}_S) + ch_2(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q) = ch_2(E, \bar{\partial}_E).$$

By (7.30) and the Gauss-Codazzi equation, there exist metrics  $K_S$  and  $K_Q$  such that

$$(7.33) \quad \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{K_S} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{K_Q} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_K,$$

and then

$$(7.34) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)} ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E) \wedge ch_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} ch_1(S, \bar{\partial}_S) \wedge ch_1(S, \bar{\partial}_S) + \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q)} ch_1(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q) \wedge ch_1(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that  $(S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  is stable and  $(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$  is semi-stable. According to Theorem 5.1, we have the following Bogomolov type inequalities

$$(7.35) \quad (-2ch_2(S, \bar{\partial}_S) + \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} ch_1(S, \bar{\partial}_S) \wedge ch_1(S, \bar{\partial}_S)) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} \geq 0$$

and

$$(7.36) \quad (-2ch_2(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q) + \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q)} ch_1(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q) \wedge ch_1(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q)) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

So we conclude that both  $(S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  and  $(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$  satisfy the vanishing Chern number condition (1.19). Set  $(E_1, \theta_{E_1}) = (S, \theta_S)$  and then establish the desired filtration by induction on the rank.  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

First, under the assumption of Theorem 1.5, we can prove:

**Lemma 8.1.** *Suppose  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta, H)$  is a Higgs bundle with metric  $H$  satisfying  $F_{H, \theta} = 0$ . Then*

$$(8.1) \quad H_{DR}^1(X, E) \simeq H_{Dol}^1(X, E).$$

*Proof.* We will show that for any  $[\beta] \in H_{Dol}^1(X, E)$ , there is a representative  $\tilde{\beta} \in [\beta]$ , such that  $D_{H, \theta} \tilde{\beta} = 0$ . Consider the following equation

$$(8.2) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D'_H D''_E \gamma = -\kappa.$$

Making use of the continuity method, one can prove that the solvability of this equation is equivalent to the one of

$$(8.3) \quad \int_X \langle \kappa, \vartheta \rangle_H \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0,$$

for any  $\vartheta \in \Gamma(X, E)$  satisfying  $D''_E \vartheta = D'_H \vartheta = 0$ . By the assumption  $\int_X \partial[\eta] \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0$  for any Dolbeault class  $[\eta] \in H^{0,1}(X)$  and  $D''_E \beta = 0$ , we know

$$(8.4) \quad \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D'_H \beta, \vartheta \rangle_H \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \int_X \sqrt{-1} \partial \langle \beta^{0,1}, \vartheta \rangle_H \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0.$$

Suppose  $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, E)$  is a solution of

$$(8.5) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H D''_E \gamma = -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H \beta.$$

Let  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + D''_E \gamma$ , then  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H \tilde{\beta} = 0$ . According to (6.44), one can easily check that

$$(8.6) \quad \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, D''_E] = (D'_H)^* + \tau^*, \quad -\sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, D'_H] = (D''_E)^* + \bar{\tau}^*.$$

A simple computation gives

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, F_{H,\theta}] \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D''_E D'_H \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, D''_E] D'_H \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X |D'_H \tilde{\beta}|_{H,\omega}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X \langle \tau^* D'_H \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 4.1 in [20], we can see that for any  $\alpha \in \Omega^2(E)$  with  $\Lambda_\omega \alpha = 0$ , there holds

$$(8.8) \quad (\tau + \bar{\tau}^*)\alpha = \frac{- * (d(\omega^{n-2}) \wedge (\alpha^{1,1} - \alpha^{2,0} - \alpha^{0,2}))}{(n-2)!}.$$

Let  $\alpha = D'_H \tilde{\beta}$ . Then using Stokes formula and  $D''_E \tilde{\beta} = 0$ , we derive

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_X \langle \tau^* D'_H \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \frac{- * (\bar{\partial}(\omega^{n-2}) \wedge (\partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} + \theta^*(\tilde{\beta}^{1,0}) - \partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{1,0}))}{(n-2)!}, \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} + \tilde{\beta}^{1,0} \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \langle \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \wedge (\partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} + \theta^*(\tilde{\beta}^{1,0}) - \partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{1,0}), \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} + \tilde{\beta}^{1,0} \rangle_H \\ &= \frac{-1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{0,1}, \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} \rangle_H \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \theta^*(\tilde{\beta}^{1,0}), \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} \rangle_H \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \partial_H \tilde{\beta}^{1,0}, \tilde{\beta}^{1,0} \rangle_H \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \tilde{\beta}^{1,0}, \bar{\partial}_E \tilde{\beta}^{1,0} + \theta(\tilde{\beta}^{0,1}) \rangle_H \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $D'_H \tilde{\beta} = 0$ , and  $[\tilde{\beta}] \in H_{DR}^1(E)$ .

Conversely, take  $[\beta_1] \in H_{DR}^1(E)$ . For any  $\vartheta \in \Gamma(X, E)$  satisfying  $D''_E \vartheta = D'_H \vartheta = 0$ , we have

$$(8.10) \quad \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H \beta_1, \vartheta \rangle_H \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \int_X \sqrt{-1} \partial \langle \beta_1^{0,1}, \vartheta \rangle_H \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = 0.$$

Assume  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma(X, E)$  is a solution of

$$(8.11) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H D''_E \gamma_1 = -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H \beta_1.$$

Let  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + D\gamma_1$ , then  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D''_E \tilde{\beta}_1 = 0$  and  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'_H \tilde{\beta}_1 = 0$ . Similar to (8.7), we obtain

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}[\Lambda_\omega, DD_H^c + D_H^c D] \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega DD_H^c \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(8.13) \quad -2 \int_X |D''_E \tilde{\beta}_1|_{H,\omega}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} - 2 \int_X \langle \bar{\tau}^* D''_E \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0,$$

and

$$(8.14) \quad -2 \int_X |D'_H \tilde{\beta}_1|_{H,\omega}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} - 2 \int_X \langle \tau^* D'_H \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0.$$

Set  $A = \int_X \langle \bar{\tau}^* D''_E \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X \langle \tau^* D'_H \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle_{H,\omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ . Then

$$(8.15) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \partial \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \bar{\partial}_E \tilde{\beta}_1^{1,0}, \tilde{\beta}_1^{1,0} \rangle_H - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \partial \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \theta(\tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1}), \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1} \rangle_H \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \partial \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \bar{\partial}_E \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1}, \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1} \rangle_H - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \partial_H \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1}, \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1} \rangle_H \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \theta^*(\tilde{\beta}_1^{1,0}), \tilde{\beta}_1^{0,1} \rangle_H + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_X \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-2} \wedge \langle \partial_H \tilde{\beta}_1^{1,0}, \tilde{\beta}_1^{1,0} \rangle_H. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to find that  $\bar{A} = -A$ , so it is imaginary. Adding (8.13) and (8.14), we get  $A = 0$  and

$$(8.16) \quad \int_X |D''_E \tilde{\beta}_1|_H^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} + \int_X |D'_H \tilde{\beta}_1|_H^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0.$$

Hence  $[\tilde{\beta}_1] \in H_{Dol}^1(X, E)$ .  $\square$

**8.1. From Higgs bundle to projectively flat bundle.** First, we construct a map  $j : \mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$  in rank 2 case or when the length of the filtration in Theorem 1.4 is only 2. Let  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a semi-stable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern number (1.19). Now we discuss the following two cases that may occur.

**Case 1.**  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is polystable. By Theorem 1.3, there is a projectively flat connection on  $E$  denoted by  $D_E$  and  $(E, D_E)$  is semi-simple. Define  $j$  mapping from the equivalent class of  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  to the equivalent class of  $(E, D_E)$ .

**Case 2.**  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  is strictly semi-stable. By Theorem 1.4, there is a filtration

$$(8.17) \quad 0 \subset S \subset E.$$

It induces an exact sequence of Higgs bundles

$$(8.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S) \rightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta) \rightarrow (Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $(S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  and  $(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$  are stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern number (1.19).

Letting  $H_S$  and  $H_Q$  be Hermitian-Einstein metrics on the Higgs bundles  $(S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  and  $(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$ , we have

$$(8.19) \quad F_{H_S, \theta_S} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{H_S} \otimes \text{Id}_S$$

and

$$(8.20) \quad F_{H_Q, \theta_Q} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{H_Q} \otimes \text{Id}_Q.$$

By (7.33), we know that

$$(8.21) \quad \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{H_S} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{H_Q} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_K,$$

where  $K$  is a Hermitian metric on  $E$  such that  $\Lambda_\omega F_K$  is constant. For simplicity, we denote the Hitchin-Simpson connections on  $(S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  and  $(Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$  with respect to the Hermitian-Einstein metrics by  $D_S$  and  $D_Q$ . Due to (8.21), the induced Higgs bundle  $(Q^* \otimes S, \bar{\partial}_{Q^* \otimes S}, \theta_{Q^* \otimes S})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat, i.e.

$$(8.22) \quad F_{D_S \otimes D_Q^*} = 0.$$

For the exact sequence (8.18), it determines a Higgs extension class in  $H_{Dol}^1(Q^* \otimes S)$ . Choose a  $C^\infty$  splitting  $f : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$ . The pull-back of  $\bar{\partial}_E$  and  $\theta$  on  $S \oplus Q$  can be written as:

$$(8.23) \quad f^*(\bar{\partial}_E) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_S & \gamma \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad f^*(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_S & \xi \\ 0 & \theta_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\gamma \in \Omega^{0,1}(Q^* \otimes S)$  is the second fundamental form and  $\xi \in \Omega^{1,0}(Q^* \otimes S)$ . Setting  $\beta = \gamma + \xi$ , one can check that  $D_{Q^* \otimes S}'' \beta = 0$ . The Higgs extension class can be presented by  $\beta$ . It is well-known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence class of Higgs extensions of  $(Q, \theta_Q)$  by  $(S, \theta_S)$  and the elements in  $H_{Dol}^1(Q^* \otimes S)$ .

Let  $H_{Q^* \otimes S}$  be the Higgs-Hermitian flat metric on  $Q^* \otimes S$ ,  $D_{Q^* \otimes S}$  be the related flat connection. Because of Lemma 8.1, there is a  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + D_{Q^* \otimes S}'' \gamma \in [\beta]$  satisfying  $D_{Q^* \otimes S} \tilde{\beta} = 0$ . Define  $\tilde{f} : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$  to be

$$(8.24) \quad \tilde{f} = f \circ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_S & \gamma \\ 0 & \text{Id}_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(8.25) \quad \tilde{f}^*(D_E'') = \begin{pmatrix} D_S'' & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & D_Q'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the connection  $D_E$  on  $E$  by

$$(8.26) \quad \tilde{f}^*(D_E) = \begin{pmatrix} D_S & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & D_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $(E, D_E)$  is projectively flat, i.e.

$$(8.27) \quad F_{D_E} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(S)} \sqrt{-1} \text{tr } F_{H_S} \otimes \text{Id}_E,$$

and

$$(8.28) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, D_{H_S, \theta_S}) \rightarrow (E, D_E) \rightarrow (Q, D_{H_Q, \theta_Q}) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of projective flat bundles. Define  $j$  mapping from the equivalent class of  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  to the equivalent class of  $(E, D_E)$ .

We claim this map is well-defined. It is sufficient to prove that the map  $j$  is independent of the choice of filtrations. Suppose we have another filtration

$$(8.29) \quad 0 \subset \tilde{S} \subset E.$$

The induced exact sequence is

$$(8.30) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\tilde{S}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{S}}, \theta_{\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta) \rightarrow (\tilde{Q}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{Q}}, \theta_{\tilde{Q}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose a suitable splitting  $\tilde{g} : \tilde{S} \oplus \tilde{Q} \rightarrow E$ . The pull-back of  $D_E''$  is

$$(8.31) \quad \tilde{g}^*(D_E'') = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{S}}'' & \tilde{\rho} \\ 0 & D_{\tilde{Q}}'' \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $D' \tilde{\rho} = 0$ . Define the projectively flat connection on  $E$  by

$$(8.32) \quad \tilde{g}^*(\tilde{D}_E) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{S}} & \tilde{\rho} \\ 0 & D_{\tilde{Q}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we need to show  $(E, D_E) \simeq (E, \tilde{D}_E)$ . First, we have a Higgs isomorphism

$$(8.33) \quad P = \tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \tilde{f} : (S \oplus Q, \begin{pmatrix} D_S'' & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & D_Q'' \end{pmatrix}) \rightarrow (\tilde{S} \oplus \tilde{Q}, \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{S}}'' & \tilde{\rho} \\ 0 & D_{\tilde{Q}}'' \end{pmatrix}).$$

That is,

$$(8.34) \quad P \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_S'' & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & D_Q'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{S}}'' & \tilde{\rho} \\ 0 & D_{\tilde{Q}}'' \end{pmatrix} \circ P.$$

Let

$$(8.35) \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1^1 & P_1^2 \\ P_2^1 & P_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(8.36) \quad \begin{pmatrix} P_1^1 \circ D_S'' & P_1^1 \circ \tilde{\beta} + P_1^2 \circ D_Q'' \\ P_2^1 \circ D_S'' & P_2^1 \circ \tilde{\beta} + P_2^2 \circ D_Q'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{S}}'' \circ P_1^1 + \tilde{\rho} \circ P_2^1 & D_{\tilde{S}}'' \circ P_1^2 + \tilde{\rho} \circ P_2^2 \\ D_{\tilde{Q}}'' \circ P_1^1 & D_{\tilde{Q}}'' \circ P_2^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing both sides of this equation, we get

$$(8.37) \quad \begin{cases} D''(P_2^1) = 0; \\ D''(P_1^1) + \tilde{\rho} \circ P_2^1 = 0; \\ D''(P_2^2) - P_2^1 \circ \tilde{\beta} = 0; \\ D''(P_1^2) + \tilde{\rho} \circ P_2^2 - P_1^1 \circ \tilde{\beta} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using  $D''(P_2^1) = 0$ , we know  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D' D''(P_2^1) = 0$ . This means  $D'(P_2^1) = 0$ . By  $D' \tilde{\rho} = D' \tilde{\beta} = 0$ , one can obtain

$$(8.38) \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D' D''(P_1^1) = 0, \quad \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D' D''(P_2^2) = 0.$$

So  $D'(P_1^1) = D''(P_1^1) = 0$  and  $D'(P_2^2) = D''(P_2^2) = 0$ . From equation (8.37) and  $D'\tilde{\rho} = D'\tilde{\beta} = 0$ , we also have  $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega D'D''(P_1^2) = 0$ . Then  $D'(P_1^2) = 0$  and  $D''(P_1^2) = 0$ . Together with all of the above, we see

$$(8.39) \quad P \circ \begin{pmatrix} D'_S & 0 \\ 0 & D'_Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D'_{\tilde{S}} & 0 \\ 0 & D'_{\tilde{Q}} \end{pmatrix} \circ P.$$

Hence,  $(E, D_E) \simeq (E, \tilde{D}_E)$ .

**8.2. From projectively flat bundle to Higgs bundle.** Now we construct a map  $i : \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$ . Letting  $(E, D) \in \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$ , by Theorem 1.3, we only need to consider the case that  $(E, D)$  is not semi-simple. Let  $(S, D_S)$  be a  $D$ -invariant subbundle of  $(E, D)$  with minimal rank, then we have the following exact sequence of projectively flat bundles

$$(8.40) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, D_S) \rightarrow (E, D) \rightarrow (Q, D_Q) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is obvious that  $(S, D_S)$  is a simple projectively flat bundle. In the following, we suppose that  $\text{rank}(E) = 2$  or  $(Q, D_Q)$  is simple. According to Theorem 1.3, we can get Higgs structures  $(\bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S)$  and  $(\bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q)$  on  $S$  and  $Q$  by choosing harmonic metrics. It is straightforward to find that the induced Higgs bundle  $(Q^* \otimes S, \bar{\partial}_{Q^* \otimes S}, \theta_{Q^* \otimes S})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat, i.e.  $F_{D_{Q^* \otimes S}} = 0$ , where  $D_{Q^* \otimes S}$  is the corresponding Hitchin-Simpson connection.

Choose a  $C^\infty$  splitting  $f : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$ , then the pull-back of  $D$  can be expressed as

$$(8.41) \quad f^*(D) = \begin{pmatrix} D_S & \beta \\ 0 & D_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

and one can check that  $D_{Q^* \otimes S}\beta = 0$ . Thus  $\beta$  determines a flat extension class in  $H_{DR}^1(Q^* \otimes S)$  of the flat bundle  $(Q^* \otimes S, D_{Q^* \otimes S})$ . By Lemma 8.1, there is a  $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + D_{Q^* \otimes S}\gamma \in [\beta]$  satisfying  $D_{Q^* \otimes S}\tilde{\beta} = 0$ . Define  $\tilde{f} : S \oplus Q \rightarrow E$  to be

$$(8.42) \quad \tilde{f} = f \circ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_S & \gamma \\ 0 & \text{Id}_Q \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(8.43) \quad \tilde{f}^*(D_E) = \begin{pmatrix} D_S & \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & D_Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the holomorphic structure  $\bar{\partial}_E$  and Higgs field  $\theta_E$  on  $E$  by

$$(8.44) \quad \tilde{f}^*(\bar{\partial}_E) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}_S & \tilde{\beta}^{0,1} \\ 0 & \bar{\partial}_Q \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}^*(\theta_E) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_S & \tilde{\beta}^{1,0} \\ 0 & \theta_Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta_E)$  is a semi-stable Higgs bundle with vanishing Chern number (1.19) and

$$(8.45) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, \bar{\partial}_S, \theta_S) \rightarrow (E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta_E) \rightarrow (Q, \bar{\partial}_Q, \theta_Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of Higgs bundles. Define  $i$  mapping from the equivalent class of  $(E, D)$  to the equivalent class of  $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta_E)$ . We can show that this definition is well-defined. Because the proof is very similar as that for the map  $j$ , we omit it here.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* From above, we define two maps  $i$  and  $j$ . It is easy to see  $i \circ j = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)}$  and  $j \circ i = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)}$ . So  $i$  and  $j$  are one-to-one maps, which finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 9. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we assume that  $(X, \omega)$  is a Kähler manifold. Let  $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$  be a semi-stable Higgs bundle over  $X$  with vanishing Chern number (1.19). By Theorem 1.4, there is a filtration

$$(9.1) \quad 0 = \mathfrak{E}_0 \subset \mathfrak{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{E}_l = \mathfrak{E}$$

by Higgs subbundles such that the quotients  $\mathfrak{Q}_k = (Q_k, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k}, \theta_k) = \mathfrak{E}_k / \mathfrak{E}_{k-1}$  are stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern number (1.19) and  $\frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_k)} c_1(Q_k, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k}) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)} c_1(E, \bar{\partial}_E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\alpha]$ . Let  $H_k$  be the Hermitian-Einstein metric on the stable Higgs bundle  $(Q_k, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k}, \theta_k)$ , then we have

$$(9.2) \quad \sqrt{-1} F_{H_k, \theta_k} = \alpha \otimes \text{Id}_{Q_k}.$$

So every induced Higgs bundle  $(Q_k^* \otimes Q_j, \bar{\partial}_{Q_k^* \otimes Q_j}, \theta_{Q_k^* \otimes Q_j})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat. In the following, we denote

$$(9.3) \quad D_{Q_k} = D'_{Q_k} + D''_{Q_k}, \quad D''_{Q_k} = \bar{\partial}_{Q_k} + \theta_k, \quad \text{and} \quad D'_{Q_k} = \partial_{H_k} + \theta_k^{*H_k}.$$

Take a smooth splitting  $f : \oplus_{i=1}^l Q_i \rightarrow E$ , then the pull back of  $D''_E$  can be written as

$$(9.4) \quad f^*(D''_E) = \begin{pmatrix} D''_{Q_1} & \cdots & \beta_1^l \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D''_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following, we denote the induced Hitchin-Simpson connections on  $Q_j^* \otimes Q_i$  by  $D' + D''$  for simplicity. The condition  $(D''_E)^2 = 0$  implies

$$(9.5) \quad \begin{cases} (D''_{Q_i})^2 = 0, & i = 1, \dots, l; \\ D''(\beta_i^{i+1}) = 0, & i = 1, \dots, l-1; \\ D''(\beta_i^j) + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \beta_i^k \wedge \beta_k^j = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq l-2. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 9.1.** *There exists a smooth splitting  $\tilde{f} : \oplus_{i=1}^l Q_i \rightarrow E$ , such that  $\tilde{\beta}_i^j$  satisfies the equation (9.5) and  $D' \tilde{\beta}_i^j = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\beta}_i^{i+1} = \beta_i^{i+1} + D'' \gamma_i^{i+1}$ , where  $\gamma_i^{i+1} \in \Gamma(X, Q_{i+1}^* \otimes Q_i)$  satisfies

$$(9.6) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D' D'' \gamma_i^{i+1} = -\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D' \beta_i^{i+1}.$$

Since  $(Q_{i+1}^* \otimes Q_i, \bar{\partial}_{Q_{i+1}^* \otimes Q_i}, \theta_{Q_{i+1}^* \otimes Q_i})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat, by (8.7), we have

$$(9.7) \quad D' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+1} = 0.$$



Let  $h_i^{i+1} : \oplus_{k=1}^l Q_k \rightarrow \oplus_{k=1}^l Q_k$  and  $h_i^{i+1} = \oplus_{k=1}^l \text{Id}_{Q_k} \oplus \gamma_i^{i+1}$ . Define  $f_1 = f \circ h_1^2 \circ \dots \circ h_{l-1}^l$ . Then the straightforward computations show us

$$(9.8) \quad f_1^*(D_E'') = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1}'' & \tilde{\beta}_1^2 & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ 0 & D_{Q_2}'' & \tilde{\beta}_2^3 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{Q_{l-1}}'' & \tilde{\beta}_{l-1}^l \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & D_{Q_l}'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inductively, suppose we construct a splitting  $f_p$ , such that  $\beta_i^j$  satisfies the equation (9.5) and  $D' \beta_i^j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j - i \leq p$ . Let  $\tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} = \beta_i^{i+p+1} + D'' \gamma_i^{i+p+1}$ , where  $\gamma_i^{i+p+1} \in \Gamma(X, Q_{i+p+1}^* \otimes Q_i)$  satisfies

$$(9.9) \quad \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D' D'' \gamma_i^{i+p+1} = -\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D' \beta_i^{i+p+1}.$$

This equation can be solved in Kähler manifolds case, because we have the following integrability condition

$$(9.10) \quad \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D' \beta_i^{i+p+1}, \vartheta \rangle_H \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0$$

for any  $\vartheta \in \Gamma(Q_{i+p+1}^* \otimes Q_i)$  satisfying  $D'' \vartheta = D' \vartheta = 0$ , where  $H$  is the induced metric with respect to the Hermitian-Einstein metrics  $H_k$ . Note that  $(Q_{i+p+1}^* \otimes Q_i, \bar{\partial}_{Q_{i+p+1}^* \otimes Q_i}, \theta_{Q_{i+p+1}^* \otimes Q_i})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat. Calculating directly deduces

$$(9.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1} [\Lambda_\omega, (D'' + D')] \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1}, \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} \rangle_{H, \omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega D'' D' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1}, \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} \rangle_{H, \omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X \langle \sqrt{-1} [\Lambda_\omega, D''] D' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1}, \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} \rangle_{H, \omega} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= \int_X |D' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1}|_{H, \omega}^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used the condition  $D'' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} = D'' \beta_i^{i+p+1} = -\sum_{k=i+1}^{i+p} \beta_i^k \wedge \beta_k^{i+p+1}$  and  $D' \beta_i^j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j - i \leq p$ . Thus  $D' \tilde{\beta}_i^{i+p+1} = 0$ . Set  $h_i^{i+p+1} = \oplus_{k=1}^l \text{Id}_{Q_k} \oplus \gamma_i^{i+p+1}$  and define  $f_{p+1} = f_p \circ h_1^{2+p} \circ \dots \circ h_{l-p-1}^l$ . Then  $f_{p+1}$  is a splitting satisfying equation (9.5) and  $D' \beta_i^j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j - i \leq p + 1$ . Finally  $\tilde{f} = f_{l-1}$  is a splitting satisfying the conditions in this lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 9.1.** In non-Kähler manifolds case, the equation (9.9) may have no solutions because the right hand side of the equation may not satisfy the integrability condition.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* According to Lemma 9.1, we can define a projectively flat connection on  $E$  by

$$(9.12) \quad \tilde{f}^*(D_E) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1} & \tilde{\beta}_1^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \tilde{\beta}_1^l \\ 0 & D_{Q_2} & \tilde{\beta}_2^3 & \cdots & \tilde{\beta}_2^l \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{Q_{l-1}} & \tilde{\beta}_{l-1}^l \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & D_{Q_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we can define a map  $j : \mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$ . Next, we will show this map is well-defined. Suppose we have another filtration

$$(9.13) \quad 0 = \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_0 \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_l = \mathfrak{E}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_i = (\tilde{Q}_i, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{Q}_i}, \tilde{\theta}_i) = \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_i / \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_{i-1}$  and choose a suitable splitting  $\tilde{g} : \oplus_{i=1}^l \tilde{Q}_i \rightarrow E$ , such that it satisfies the equations in Lemma 9.1. By the same way, we can define a projectively flat connection  $\tilde{D}_E$  on  $E$ . Assume the pull-back of  $D_E''$  is

$$(9.14) \quad \tilde{g}^*(D_E'') = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1}'' & \cdots & \tilde{\rho}_1^l \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_l}'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set  $P = \tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \tilde{f} = (P_i^j)$ , where  $P_i^j \in \Gamma(X, Q_j^* \otimes \tilde{Q}_i)$ . Then

$$(9.15) \quad P \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_{Q_1}'' & \cdots & \tilde{\beta}_1^l \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{Q_l}'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\tilde{Q}_1}'' & \cdots & \tilde{\rho}_1^l \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_{\tilde{Q}_l}'' \end{pmatrix} \circ P.$$

This means

$$(9.16) \quad \begin{cases} D''(P_l^1) = 0; \\ D''(P_i^1) + \sum_{k=i+1}^l \tilde{\rho}_i^k \circ P_k^1 = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l-1; \\ D''(P_l^j) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} P_l^k \circ \tilde{\beta}_k^j = 0, \quad 2 \leq j < l; \\ D''(P_i^j) + \sum_{k=i+1}^l \tilde{\rho}_i^k \circ P_k^j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} P_i^k \circ \tilde{\beta}_k^j = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \quad 2 \leq j \leq l. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $(Q_j^* \otimes \tilde{Q}_i, \bar{\partial}_{Q_j^* \otimes \tilde{Q}_i}, \theta_{Q_j^* \otimes \tilde{Q}_i})$  is Higgs-Hermitian flat for any  $i$  and  $j$ . Using  $D''(P_l^1) = 0$ , similar to the argument in Section 8, we can show  $D'(P_l^1) = 0$ . By induction, we can prove  $D'P_i^j = 0$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ , which implies  $(E, D_E) \simeq (E, \tilde{D}_E)$ . Therefore the map  $j$  is well-defined.

Conversely, we can also define a map  $i : \mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  by the same way. It is obvious that  $i \circ j = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_{Dol}}$  and  $j \circ i = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)}$ . So  $j$  is a one-to-one map between  $\mathcal{C}_{Dol}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{DR}(E, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.M.Bismut and J.Lott, *Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **8**(2)(1995), 291-363.
- [2] N.P.Buchdahl, *Hermitian-Einstein connections and stable vector bundles over compact complex surfaces*, Math. Ann., **280** (1988), no. 4, 625-648.
- [3] K.Corlette, *Flat  $G$ -bundles with canonical metrics*, J. Differential Geom., **28** (1988), no. 3, 361-382.
- [4] Y.Chen and M.Struwe, *Existence and partial regularity results for the heat flow for harmonic maps*, Math. Z., **201** (1989), no. 1, 83-103.
- [5] J.P.Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, available at: <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.
- [6] J.P.Demailly, T.Peternell and M.Schneider, *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3** (1994), no. 2, 295-345.
- [7] Y.Deng, *Generalized Okounkov bodies, hyperbolicity-related and direct image problems*, Université Grenoble Alpes (Ph.D. thesis), 2017.
- [8] S.K.Donaldson, *Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **50** (1985), no. 1, 1-26.
- [9] S.K.Donaldson, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **55** (1987), no. 1, 127-131.
- [10] J.Dupont, *Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology **15** (1976), 233-245.
- [11] P. Gauduchon, *La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte*, Math. Ann. **267** (1984), 495-518.
- [12] N.J.Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **55** (1987), no. 1, 59-126.
- [13] A.Jacob, *Stable Higgs bundles and Hermitian-Einstein metrics on non-Kähler manifolds*, Analysis, complex geometry, and mathematical physics: in honor of Duong H. Phong, 117-140, Contemp. Math., 644, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [14] F.Kamber and Ph.Tondeur, *Characteristic invariants of foliated bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **11** (1974), 51-89.
- [15] O.Korman, *Characteristic classes of Higgs bundles and Reznikov's theorem*, Manuscripta Math. **152**(2017), 433-442.
- [16] J. Li and S.T. Yau, *Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on non-Kähler manifolds*, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), 560-573, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.
- [17] J.Y.Li and X.Zhang, *The gradient flow of Higgs pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **13** (2011), no. 5, 1373-1422.
- [18] J.Y.Li and X.Zhang, *The limit of the Yang-Mills-Higgs flow on Higgs bundles*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, **2017**(2017), no. 1, 232-276.
- [19] M. Lübke and A. Teleman, *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995. x+254 pp.
- [20] J.McNamara and Y.Zhao, *Limiting behavior of Donaldson's heat flow on non-Kähler surfaces*, arXiv:1403.8037.
- [21] M.S.Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. (2), **82** (1965), 540-567.
- [22] Y.C.Nie and X.Zhang, *A note on semistable Higgs bundles over compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **48** (2015), no. 4, 345-355.
- [23] Y.C.Nie and X.Zhang, *Semistable Higgs bundles over compact Gauduchon manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., **28** (2018), no. 1, 627-642.
- [24] Y.C.Nie and X.Zhang, *The limiting behaviour of Hermitian-Yang-Mills flow over compact non-Kähler manifolds*, Sci. China Math., **63** (2020), no. 7, 1369-1390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-018-9411-7>.
- [25] A.Otal, L.Ugarte, R.Villacampa, *Hermitian Metrics on Compact Complex Manifolds and Their Deformation Limits*, Special metrics and group actions in geometry, 269-290, Springer INdAM Ser., 23, Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [26] A.G.Reznikov, *All regulators of flat bundles are torsion*, Ann. Math. (2) **141**(1995), 373-386.

- [27] C.Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **1** (1988), no. 4, 867-918.
- [28] C.Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math., **75** (1992), 5-95.
- [29] K.K. Uhlenbeck and S.T.Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **39** (1986), no. S, suppl., S257-S293.
- [30] C.J.Zhang, P.Zhang and X.Zhang, *Higgs bundles over non-compact Gauduchon manifolds*, arXiv:1804.08994.