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Abstract

This paper deals with the rate of convergence in 1-Wasserstein distance of the marginal law of a Brownian motion with drift conditioned not to have reached 0 towards the Yaglom limit of the process. In particular it is shown that, for a wide class of initial measures including Dirac measures and probability measures with compact support, the Wasserstein distance decays asymptotically as $1/t$.
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Notation

For a general set $F$, we will use the following notation:

- $\mathcal{M}_1(F)$: Set of the probability measures defined on $F$.
- $\mathcal{B}(F)$: Set of the measurable bounded functions defined on $F$.
- $\mathcal{B}_1(F)$: Set of the measurable bounded functions defined on $F$ such that $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$.
- For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(F)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}(F)$,
  $$\mu(f) := \int_{F} f(x) \mu(dx).$$
- For any family of probability measure $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(F)$, the notation
  $$\mu_t \overset{\mathcal{L}}{\to} \mu$$

---
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refers to the weak convergence of \((\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}\) towards \(\mu\), that is: for any continuous and bounded measurable function \(f\),

\[ \mu_t(f) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \mu(f). \]

For any \(x, L \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}\), denote by \(\text{Lip}_{x,L}(\mathbb{R}_+)\) the set of the \(L\)-Lipschitz functions defined on \(\mathbb{R}_+\) such that \(f(0) = x\).

1 Introduction

Denote by \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) a Brownian motion with constant drift, that is defined as

\[ X_t := X_0 + B_t - rt, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{1} \]

where \(X_0\) is a random variable on \(\mathbb{R}\), \((B_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a one-dimensional Brownian motion starting from 0 independent from \(X_0\) and \(r > 0\). Denote by \((\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+}\) a family of probability measures such that, for any \(x \in \mathbb{R}_+\), \(\mathbb{P}_x(X_0 = x) = 1\). Then, for a given \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}_+)\), the probability measure \(\mathbb{P}_\mu := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{P}_x \mu(dx)\) is such that \(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_0 = \cdot) = \mu\). Denote by \(\mathbb{E}_x\) and \(\mathbb{E}_\mu\) the associated expectations.

Denote by \(\tau_0\) the hitting time of \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) at 0, i.e.

\[ \tau_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}. \]

This paper will cope with the quasi-stationarity for the process \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\), that is the study of the asymptotic behavior of the Markov process \((X_t)_{t \geq 0}\) conditioned not to reach 0. The main notion of this theory is the quasi-stationary distribution (QSD), which is a probability measure \(\alpha\) on \(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}\) such that, for any \(t \geq 0\),

\[ \alpha = \mathbb{P}_\alpha(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t). \]

It is well known (see for example [3] or [6]) that to be a QSD is equivalent to the following property: there exists \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\})\) such that

\[ \mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t) \xrightarrow{L} \alpha. \]

This paper will more specifically deal with the weak convergence of \(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t)\) towards the so-called Yaglom limit, denoted by \(\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}\), which is defined as the unique QSD such that, for any \(x > 0\),

\[ \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t) \xrightarrow{L} \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}. \tag{2} \]

It is well known that such a probability measure exists for the Brownian motion with drift (see further in Section 2). The goal of this paper is more precisely to study the speed of convergence of the conditional probability measure \(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t)\), for a certain initial measure \(\mu\), towards the Yaglom limit when \(t\) goes to infinity.
In order to quantify the weak convergence (2), it is possible to use several distances on $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

One of them is the **total variation distance**, defined as follows:

$$||\mu - \nu||_{TV} := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|, \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

In particular, the convergence towards 0 of the total variation distance between the conditioned probability $\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t)$ and $\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$ implies the weak convergence (2).

Another distance which can be used to quantify weak convergences is the **first Wasserstein distance**, defined as

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}, 1(\mathbb{R}_+)} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|.$$

For this distance, the decay towards 0 implies a weak convergence and the convergence of the first moment.

For the most of the absorbed Markov processes, it is usually expected that the distance $||\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}||_{TV}$ decreases exponentially fast. Especially, it is well known (see for example [1, 2, 8]) that some conditions, based on Doeblin-type condition or Lyapunov functions, entail an exponential decay of the total variation distance. However, in our case, the Brownian motion with drift does not satisfy such conditions.

It even seems, by the work of Palmowski and Vlasiou [7] on Lévy processes, that an exponential speed could be too fast for some initial laws and that the expected rate of convergence is rather $1/t$. More precisely, they showed that, for a Lévy process satisfying some assumptions (see (SN) and (SP) in [7]) and taking, as initial measure, the invariant measure of the associated reflected process, the difference in absolute value between the density function of the conditional probability and the one of the Yaglom limit decreases as $1/t$ when $t$ goes to infinity.

The aim of this paper is to recover this speed of convergence for the Brownian motion with drift $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ considering a large class of initial measures and using the Wasserstein distance $W_1$ to quantify the convergence. In particular, it will be shown that, if the initial measure $\mu$ has a compact support, there exists $c_{\mu}, C_{\mu} \in (0, \infty)$ such that one has asymptotically

$$\frac{c_{\mu}}{t} \leq W_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(X_t \in \cdot \mid \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}) \leq \frac{C_{\mu}}{t}.$$  

The asymptotical inequalities (4) hold actually for a wider class of initial measures which will be spelled out later in Theorem (1) and the same result can be also stated replacing the Wasserstein distance by the total variation distance.

The Section 2 will begin by giving some useful and well-known generalities on the quasi-stationarity for the Brownian motion with drift absorbed at 0. Then, the results of this paper will be more precisely presented in Section 3 one of which is an important lemma on the asymptotic property of the Bessel-3 process.
2 Preliminaries on the quasi-stationarity for a Brownian motion with drift

The quasi-stationarity for the Brownian motion with drift absorbed at 0 has been studied by Martinez and San Martin in [5]. In their paper, the authors showed that there exists an infinity of quasi-stationary distributions, one of which is the Yaglom limit $\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$. Moreover, the density function of the Yaglom limit is explicitly given:

$$\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dx) = r^2 xe^{-rx} dx.$$  

In another paper ([4]), Martinez, Picco and San Martin are interested in the domain of attraction of the Yaglom limit, that is the set of the initial laws for which the convergence (2) holds. In particular, they showed that, when the initial law $\mu$ admits a density function $\rho$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, the conditional probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot | \tau > t)$ converges to $\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$ when

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} -\frac{1}{x} \log(\rho(x)) \geq r. \quad (5)$$

Since $\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$ is a quasi-stationary distribution, it is well known (see [3, 6]) that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}} (\tau > t) = e^{-\lambda_0 t}.$$  

For a Brownian motion with drift $r$, one has

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{r^2}{2}.$$  

Moreover, $\lambda_0$ is an eigenvalue for the infinitesimal generator of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, which is

$$L f(x) := \frac{1}{2} f''(x) - rf'(x),$$

and one can associate to $\lambda_0$ an eigenfunction $\eta$, which is unique up to a multiplicative constant and proportional to the function $x \mapsto xe^{rx}$. For example, one can choose

$$\eta(x) = \frac{1}{r^2} x e^{rx}.$$  

From these definitions, the so-called $Q$-process can be defined as the Markov process whose the semi-group $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined by

$$Q_t f(x) := \frac{e^{\lambda_0 t}}{\eta(x)} \mathbb{E}_x(\eta(X_t)f(X_t)1_{\tau > t}), \quad \forall f \text{ measurable}, \forall t \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

For any positive measure $\mu$, one uses the notation

$$\mu Q_t f := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Q_t f(x) \mu(dx).$$
This $Q$-process is actually obtained from a Doob-transform of the sub-Markovian semi-group $P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t) 1_{\tau_0 > t})$. It corresponds to the process conditioned "never" to be absorbed, in the following sense: the family of probability measure $(Q_x)_{x > 0}$ defined as

$$Q_x(\Gamma) = \lim_{T \to \infty} P_x(\Gamma | \tau_0 > T), \quad \forall \ t \geq 0, \forall \ \Gamma \in \sigma(X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$$

is well-defined and, for any $t \geq 0$ and any $f$ measurable,

$$Q_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x^Q(f(X_t)),$$

where $E_Q^x$ is the expectation associated to $Q_x$.

Denote by $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the $Q$-process (i.e. $Q_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(f(Y_t)))$. Then $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is actually a Bessel-3 process, which is a diffusion process following

$$dY_t = dB_t + \frac{1}{Y_t} dt.$$

Note that the $Q$-process does not depend on the drift $r > 0$ and one get an explicit formula for the density function of $P_x(Y_t \in \cdot)$ (for any $x > 0$ and $t \geq 0$), which is

$$y \mapsto \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{y}{x} \sinh \left( \frac{x y}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}}.$$  

(7)

Moreover, the measure $\gamma(dx) = x^2 dx$ is an invariant measure for the Bessel-3 process.

### 3 Polynomial convergence in Wasserstein distance

The main result of this paper is now clearly stated:

**Theorem 1.** If the initial law $\mu$ satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty x e^{rx} \mu(dx) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{rx} \mu(dx) < +\infty,$$

(8)

then

$$0 < \liminf_{t \to \infty} t \times W_1(P_\mu[X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t], \alpha_{Yaglom}) \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} t \times W_1(P_\mu[X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t], \alpha_{Yaglom}) < +\infty.$$  

(9)

Before proving the theorem, let us have a few remarks about its statement:

**Remark 1.** In this paper, we will only focus on the Wasserstein distance, but it is also possible to obtain the same result (9) for others distances. In particular, one gets the same statement for Theorem 1 taking the total variation distance instead, as defined in (3), or also the Kolmogorov distance defined as follows:

$$d_{\text{Kolm}}(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mu((-\infty, x]) - \nu((-\infty, x])|, \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

In the same way, the convergence in Kolmogorov distance implies the weak convergence of measures, but in a weaker way than the total variation distance or the Wasserstein distance.
Remark 2. Concerning the domain of attraction of $\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$, the assumption on the integrability of the initial measure \([\mathbb{S}]\) is slightly stronger than the assumption \([\mathbb{S}']\) written previously. As a matter of fact, \([\mathbb{S}']\) holds when the density function $\rho$ of the initial measure satisfies
\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} -\frac{1}{x} \log \rho(x) > r,
\]
but does not hold when one has equality instead. Remark in particular that, taking $\mu = \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}$, \([\mathbb{S}']\) is not satisfied, as well as \([\mathbb{S}'']\). In a general way, the speed of convergence of $t \mapsto W_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mu}(X_t \in |\tau_0 > t), \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}})$ when $\lim_{x \to \infty} -\frac{1}{x} \log \rho(x) = r$ remains an open question.

3.1 Asymptotic behavior for the Bessel-3 process

We will now proceed to the proof of Theorem \([\mathbb{I}]\). To do so, the main strategy is to use the $Q$-process, for which a quantitative asymptotic is needed. Hence, the following lemma will be first stated and proved:

**Lemma 1.** For any measurable function $f$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|x^4 dx < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|x^2 dx < \infty$, there exists $C_f \in (0, \infty)$ and $C'_f \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for any $t > 0$ and for any positive measure $\mu$,
\[
|\gamma(f) - K_t \mu_{Q_t} f| \leq \frac{C_f \int_0^\infty x^2 \mu(dx) + C'_f \mu((0, \infty))}{t},
\]
where
\[
K_t := \frac{t \sqrt{2\pi t}}{2}.
\]

If moreover $f$ is positive and $\mu$ has a finite mass on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies $\int_0^\infty x^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty$,
\[
t \times |K_t \mu_{Q_t} f - \gamma(f)| \rightarrow_{t \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2} dy \mu(dx). \tag{10}
\]

**Proof.** Let $f$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|x^4 dx < +\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|x^2 dx < +\infty$, then let $x > 0$. By the explicit formula of the density function \([\mathbb{I}]\),
\[
K_t Q_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(y) t \frac{y}{x} \sinh \left( \frac{xy}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}} dy.
\]
As a result, for any $t > 0$,
\[
K_t Q_t f(x) - \gamma(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(y) \left[ t \frac{y}{x} \sinh \left( \frac{xy}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}} - y^2 \right] dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(y) t \frac{y}{x} \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{xy}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}} - \frac{xy}{t} \right] dy
\]
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Defining $g : z \mapsto e^{-z} - 1 + z$, one has

$$\sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} - \frac{xy}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \left( g\left[\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}\right] - g\left[\frac{(x+y)^2}{2t}\right]\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}^{\frac{(x+y)^2}{2t}} g'(z)dz, \quad \forall y > 0, \forall t > 0. \quad (11)$$

However, denoting $h : z \mapsto \frac{z}{2}$, using that $g'(z) = 1 - e^{-z} \leq z = h'(z)$ for any $z \geq 0$,

$$\int_{\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}^{\frac{(x+y)^2}{2t}} g'(z)dz \leq \int_{\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}^{\frac{(x+y)^2}{2t}} h'(z)dz = \frac{(x+y)^4 - (x-y)^4}{8t^2} = \frac{xy(x^2 + y^2)}{t^2}.$$ 

As a result, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $t > 0$,

$$\left| \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} - \frac{xy}{t} \right| \leq \frac{xy(x^2 + y^2)}{2t^2}.$$ 

Thus, for any $t > 0$,

$$|K_t \mu f(x) - \gamma(f)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(y)| \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2t} dy \leq \frac{C_f x^2 + C'_f}{t},$$

with $C_f := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)| x^2 dx$ and $C'_f = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)| x^4 dx$. As a result, integrating over $\mu(dx)$,

$$|K_t \mu f - \gamma(f)| \leq \frac{C_f \int_0^\infty x^2 \mu(dx) + C'_f \mu((0, \infty))}{t}, \quad (12)$$

which is the first part of the lemma. Now, assume moreover that $f$ is positive. Then, since $\frac{xy}{t} - \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} \geq 0$ for any $x, y > 0$ (this is proved by (11))

$$|K_t \mu f(x) - \gamma(f)| = \int_0^\infty f(y) t \frac{y}{x} \left( \frac{xy}{t} - \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} \right) dy,$$

and for any positive measure $\mu$,

$$\gamma(f) - K_t \mu f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) t \frac{y}{x} \left( \frac{xy}{t} - \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} \right) dy \mu(dx).$$

The function $y \mapsto \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}}$ can be expressed as a serie expansion and one has

$$\frac{xy}{t} - \sinh\left(\frac{xy}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}} = \frac{xy(x^2 + y^2)}{2t^2} + \sum_{n \geq 3} a_n(x, y) \frac{1}{t^n},$$
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where, for any \( n \geq 3 \),
\[
a_n(x, y) := \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} ((x + y)^{2n} - (x - y)^{2n}).
\]
Hence, for any \( x, y > 0 \),
\[
t^2 \frac{y}{x} \left( \frac{xy}{t} - \sinh \left( \frac{xy}{t} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2t}} \right) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2}.
\]
Thus, using (12), by Lebesgue’s theorem, one shows that if \( \mu \) is a positive measure having a finite mass on \((0, \infty)\) and satisfying \( \int x^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty \), then one has
\[
t \times |K_t \mu Q_t f - \gamma(f)| \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2} dy \mu(dx).
\]

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 will now be proved. In all what follows, denote by
\[
D := \left\{ \mu \in M_1(\mathbb{R}_+) : \int_0^\infty x^2 \eta(x) \mu(dx) < +\infty, \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \mu(dx) < +\infty \right\}.
\]
Hence, a probability measure satisfies the condition (8) if and only if it belongs to \( D \).

Let \( \mu \in D \). The first step is to prove that there exists \( t_\mu \) and \( C_\mu < +\infty \) such that, for any \( t \geq t_\mu \),
\[
W_1(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{Yaglom}) \leq C_\mu / t,
\]
which will imply that \( \limsup_{t \to \infty} t \times W_1(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{Yaglom}) < +\infty \).

In what follows, one will use the following notation
\[
\eta * \mu(dx) := \eta(x) \mu(dx).
\]
Then, for any \( t \geq 0 \) and \( f \in \text{Lip}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+) \),
\[
\mathbb{E}_\mu[f(X_t)|\tau_0 > t] = \frac{\int_0^\infty f(x) \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > t} \mu(dx)]}{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > t} \mu(dx)]} = \frac{K_t \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{\eta(x) t}}{\eta(x)} \mathbb{E}_x[\eta(X_t) f(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > t}] \eta(x) \mu(dx)}{\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{\eta(x) t}}{\eta(x)} \mathbb{E}_x[\eta(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > t}] \eta(x) \mu(dx)} = \frac{K_t \int_0^\infty Q_t[f/\eta](x) \eta(x) \mu(dx)}{K_t \int_0^\infty Q_t[\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}/\eta](x) \eta(x) \mu(dx)} = \frac{K_t(\eta * \mu) Q_t[f/\eta]}{K_t(\eta * \mu) Q_t[\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}/\eta]},
\]
(13)
where we recall that the semi-group \((Q_t)_{t \geq 0}\) was defined previously in (8). Then, let us note that
\[
\sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \frac{C_f}{\eta} = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \int_0^\infty |f(x)| x e^{-rx} dx \leq \int_0^\infty (1 + x) x e^{-rx} dx =: C < +\infty
\]
and
\[
\sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)} C_f' = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \int_0^\infty |f(x)x^3 e^{-rx} dx \leq \int_0^\infty (1 + x) x^3 e^{-rx} dx =: C' < +\infty.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 1 and noting that \(\gamma(f/\eta) = \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f)\), for any \(f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)\),
\[
|K_t(\eta * \mu)Q_t[f/\eta] - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f)| \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_0^\infty x^2 \eta(x) \mu(dx) + \frac{C'}{\eta} \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \mu(dx).
\]
Since \(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+} \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)\), one has also
\[
|K_t(\eta * \mu)Q_t[\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}/\eta] - 1| \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \int_0^\infty x^2 \eta(x) \mu(dx) + \frac{C'}{\eta} \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \mu(dx).
\]
Therefore, given (13), for any \(t \geq C\mu + 1\),
\[
\frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) - C}{1 + \frac{C}{t}} \leq E_\mu(f(X_t)/\tau_0 > t) \leq \frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + C}{1 - \frac{C}{t}}.
\]
(14)
Using that \(|\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f)| \leq 1 + 2/r\) for any \(f \in \text{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^+)\), for any \(t \geq C\mu + 1\),
\[
\frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + C\mu}{1 - \frac{C\mu}{t}} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + C\mu}{t}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\frac{C\mu}{t}}{1 - \frac{C\mu}{t}}\right)
\]
\[
\leq \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + \frac{C\mu}{t} + \left(1 + \frac{2/r}{1 + \frac{C\mu}{C\mu + 1}}\right) \frac{C\mu}{1 - \frac{C\mu}{t}}
\]
\[
\leq \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + \frac{C'}{t},
\]
where
\[
C' := C\mu \left(1 + \frac{1 + 2/r + \frac{C\mu}{C\mu + 1}}{1 - \frac{C\mu}{1 + C\mu}}\right).
\]
In a same way, one can prove that, for any \(t \geq C\mu + 1\),
\[
\frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) - C''}{t} \leq \frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) - C\mu}{1 + \frac{C\mu}{t}}
\]
with
\[
C'' := C\mu \left(2 + \frac{2/r + \frac{C\mu}{C\mu + 1}}{1 - \frac{C\mu}{1 + C\mu}}\right).
\]
As a result, using (14), for any \( t \geq C_{\mu} + 1 \),

\[
W_1(\mathbb{P}_\mu | X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}) \leq \frac{C'_{\mu} \vee C''_{\mu}}{t},
\]

which concludes the first step.

Now, set \( f : x \mapsto 1 + x \). Then \( f \in \text{Lip}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+) \), positive on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), and one has therefore

\[
W_1(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}) \geq |E_\mu(f(X_t)|\tau_0 > t) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f)|.
\]

Moreover, by the computation of the moments of \( \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}} \), \( f \) satisfies the following inequality:

\[
\int_0^\infty y^2 f(y) \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dy) > \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \int_0^\infty y^2 \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dy).
\]

(15)

Denote \( \tilde{\mu} \) the positive measure defined by

\[
\tilde{\mu} : f \mapsto \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2} \eta(x) \mu(dx)dy.
\]

Then, since \( \frac{y^2}{\eta(y)}dy = \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dy) \), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mu}(f) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \frac{y^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2} \eta(x) \mu(dx) \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dy) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \int_0^\infty x^2 \eta(x) \mu(dx) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f(y) y^2 \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(dy) \int_0^\infty \eta(x) \mu(dx),
\end{align*}
\]

and the condition (15) implies therefore that

\[
\tilde{\mu}(f) > \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}).
\]

Then, by the equation (13) and using the second part of Lemma 1 for any \( t \geq 0 \),

\[
E_\mu(f(X_t)|\tau_0 > t) = \frac{K_t(\eta \ast \mu)Q_t[f/\eta]}{K_t(\eta \ast \mu)Q_t[\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}/\eta]} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) - \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\mu}(f) + o(1/t)}{1 - \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}) + o(1/t)}
\]

\[
= \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) + \frac{1}{t} \left( \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}) - \tilde{\mu}(f) \right) + o(1/t).
\]

So, for any \( \epsilon \in (0, \tilde{\mu}(f) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+})) \) and for \( t \) large enough,

\[
t \times \left| E_\mu(f(X_t)|\tau_0 > t) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \right| \geq \tilde{\mu}(f) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f) \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}) - \epsilon > 0,
\]

which proves that

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} t \times W_1(\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_t \in \cdot | \tau_0 > t), \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}) \geq \liminf_{t \to \infty} t \times |E_\mu(f(X_t)|\tau_0 > t) - \alpha_{\text{Yaglom}}(f)| > 0.
\]

This ends the proof.
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