arXiv:1911.03751v1 [math.FA] 9 Nov 2019

COMPRESSIONS OF k^{th}–ORDER SLANT TOEPLITZ OPERATORS TO MODEL SPACES

BARTOSZ ŁANUCHA, MAŁGORZATA MICHALSKA

Abstract. In this paper we consider compressions of k^{th}–order slant Toeplitz operators to the backward shift invariant subspaces of the classical Hardy space H^2. In particular, we characterize these operators using compressed shifts and finite rank operators of special kind.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ and denote by $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions on $\mathbb{T}$ with square integrable modulus, and by $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ – the space of all Lebesgue measurable and essentially bounded functions. Moreover, let H^2 be the classical Hardy space in the disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. As usual, we will view H^2 as a space of functions analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ or (via radial limits) as a closed subspace of L^2 (see [6, 8] for details). The orthogonal projection from L^2 onto H^2 will be denoted by P.

For $\varphi \in L^\infty$ the classical Toeplitz operator T_φ is defined on H^2 by

$$T_\varphi f = PM_\varphi f, \quad f \in H^2,$$

where $M_\varphi : L^2 \to L^2$ is the multiplication operator $f \mapsto \varphi f$. For $\varphi \in L^2$ the operators T_φ and M_φ can be defined on a dense subset of H^2 and L^2, respectively (namely, on bounded functions).

Classical Toeplitz operators, as compressions of multiplication operators to H^2, are among the most studied linear operators on the Hardy space. Their study goes back to the beginning of the 20th century (for details and references see, e.g., [23]). In recent years, compressions of multiplication operators to model spaces were widely studied. Model spaces are the non–trivial subspaces of H^2 which are invariant for the backward shift operator $S^* = T_{\bar{z}}$. Each of these subspaces is of the form $K_\alpha = H^2 \ominus \alpha H^2$, where α is an inner function: a function analytic and bounded in $\mathbb{D}$ ($\alpha \in H^\infty$) such that its boundary values are of modulus one a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$.

For an inner function α and for $\varphi \in L^2$ let

$$A^\alpha_\varphi f = P_\alpha(\varphi f), \quad f \in K^\infty_\alpha = K_\alpha \cap H^\infty,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B32, 47B35, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. model space, compressed shift, Toeplitz operator, slant Toeplitz operator, generalized slant Toeplitz operator, truncated Toeplitz operator.

where P_α is the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto K_α. Note that A^α_φ is densely defined since K^∞_α is a dense subset of K_α. These operators, called truncated Toeplitz operators, gained attention in 2007 with D. Sarason's paper [24]. Ever since, truncated Toeplitz operators have been under constant intensive study, which led to many interesting results and applications (see [10] and references therein). More recently, authors in [4, 5] and [3] introduced the so–called asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators: for two inner functions α, β and for $\varphi \in L^2$ an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator $A^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi$ is defined by

$$A^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi f = P_\beta(\varphi f), \quad f \in K^\infty_\alpha.$$

As above, $A^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi$ is densely defined. Moreover, $A^{\alpha,\alpha}_\varphi = A^\alpha_\varphi$. These operators were then studied in [12, 17, 18, 21, 22].

Recall that if $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in L^\infty$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M_\varphi(z^n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j-n} z^j$ and the matrix of M_φ with respect to the standard basis $\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \dots & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \dots \\ \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \dots \\ \dots & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \dots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $T_\varphi(z^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j-n} z^j$ and T_φ is the operator on H^2 represented by the matrix (with respect to $\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \dots \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \dots \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \dots \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

In [1, 2], for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, and $\varphi(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in L^\infty$, the authors define the k^{th}–order slant Toeplitz operator U_φ on L^2 by $U_\varphi(z^n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{kj-n} z^j$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, that is, as the operator on L^2 represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \dots & a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_k & a_{k-1} & a_{k-2} & a_{k-3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_{2k} & a_{2k-1} & a_{2k-2} & a_{2k-3} & \dots \\ \dots & a_{3k} & a_{3k-1} & a_{3k-2} & a_{3k-3} & \dots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Equivalently, $U_\varphi : L^2 \to L^2$, $\varphi \in L^\infty$, is given by

$$U_\varphi f = W_k M_\varphi f, \quad f \in L^2,$$

where

$$W_k(z^n) = \begin{cases} z^m & \text{if } \frac{n}{k} = m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{n}{k} \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

The authors also consider V_φ, the compression of U_φ to H^2, defined by

$$V_\varphi f = P U_\varphi f, \quad f \in H^2,$$

and represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \dots \\ a_k & a_{k-1} & a_{k-2} & a_{k-3} & \dots \\ a_{2k} & a_{2k-1} & a_{2k-2} & a_{2k-3} & \dots \\ a_{3k} & a_{3k-1} & a_{3k-2} & a_{3k-3} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that if $\varphi \in L^2$, then U_φ and V_φ are densely defined. For $k = 2$, operators U_φ (called slant Toeplitz operators) and their compressions to H^2 were first studied in [13,26] (see also [14–16]). These operators have connections with wavelet theory and dynamical systems (see, e.g., [11, 14, 25]). In [19, 20] the authors investigate commutativity of k^{th}–order slant Toeplitz operators. Observe that if we consider $k = 1$ in the above definitions, then $U_\varphi = M_\varphi$ and $V_\varphi = T_\varphi$.

Here we study compressions of k^{th}–order slant Toeplitz operators to model spaces. For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, two inner functions α, β and for $\varphi \in L^2$ define

$$U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} f = P_\beta U_\varphi f = P_\beta W_k(\varphi f), \quad f \in K_\alpha^\infty,$$

and denote by $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of all the compressions $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$, $\varphi \in L^2$, which can be boundedly extended to K_α.

In Section 2 we investigate conditions on φ which imply that $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$. We show that, in contrast with the case of U_φ and V_φ [1,2], $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ can be equal to the zero operator for φ not equal to zero.

In Section 3 we characterize operators from $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$ using the compressed shifts $S_\alpha = A_z^\alpha$ and $S_\beta = A_z^\beta$. It is well known that a bounded linear operator $T : H^2 \to H^2$ is a Toeplitz operator if and only if $T - S^*TS = 0$, where S is the shift operator $S = T_z$. A similar characterization was given in [1, 2] for V_φ. Namely, T is a compression of a k^{th}–order slant Toeplitz operator to H^2 if and only if $T - S^*TS^k = 0$. We show, for example, that a bounded linear operator U from K_α into K_β belongs to $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $U - S_\beta U (S_\alpha^*)^k$ is a special kind of operator of rank at most $k + 1$. This is done in the spirit of D. Sarason's characterization of truncated Toeplitz operators given in [24],

where, among other results, he shows that U is a truncated Toeplitz operator if and only if $U - S_\alpha U S_\alpha^*$ is of rank two and special kind (see also [3,12,21] for the asymmetric case).

2. Operators from $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$ equal to the zero operator

In this section we investigate for which $\varphi \in L^2$, $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$.

We start with some basic properties of the operator W_k and its adjoint W_k^*. Some of these properties can be found for example in [13] (for $k = 2$) and in [1,2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

(a) $W_k^* f(z) = f(z^k)$, $|z| = 1$, $f \in L^2$,

(b) $W_k^*(f \cdot g) = W_k^* f \cdot W_k^* g$ *for all* $f, g \in L^2$ *such that* $f \cdot g \in L^2$,

(c) $W_k W_k^* = I_{L^2}$ *and* $W_k^* W_k$ *is the orthogonal projection from* L^2 *onto the closed linear span of* $\{z^{km} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

(d) $W_k \overline{f} = \overline{W_k f}$ *and* $W_k^* \overline{f} = \overline{W_k^* f}$, $f \in L^2$,

(e) P *reduces* W_k, *that is,* $PW_k = W_k P$ *and* $PW_k^* = W_k^* P$,

(f) $M_\varphi W_k = W_k M_{W_k^*\varphi}$ *for every* $\varphi \in L^\infty$,

(g) *for every inner function* α *the function* $W_k^*\alpha$ *is also inner and* $P_\alpha W_k = W_k P_{W_k^*\alpha}$.

Proof. The proofs of (a)–(e) are straightforward. We only prove (f) and (g).

To show (f) fix $\varphi \in L^\infty$ and take any $f, g \in L^2$. Then by (b) and (d),

$$\langle M_\varphi W_k f, g\rangle = \langle f, W_k^*(\overline{\varphi} g)\rangle = \left\langle f, \overline{W_k^*\varphi} \cdot W_k^* g\right\rangle = \left\langle W_k M_{W_k^*\varphi} f, g\right\rangle.$$

If α is an inner function, then $W_k^*\alpha$ is also an inner function by (a). Since $P_\alpha = I_{L^2} - M_\alpha P M_{\overline{\alpha}}$, using (e) and (f) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha W_k &= W_k - M_\alpha P W_k M_{\overline{W_k^*\alpha}} = W_k - M_\alpha W_k P M_{\overline{W_k^*\alpha}} \\ &= W_k(I_{L^2} - M_{W_k^*\alpha} P M_{\overline{W_k^*\alpha}}) = W_k P_{W_k^*\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (g) holds. □

For the reminder of this section fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let α and β be two inner functions and let $\varphi \in L^2$. If $\varphi \in \overline{\alpha H^2} + (W_k^*\beta)H^2$, then $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi = \overline{\alpha h_1} + W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H^2$. Then for all $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$ and $g \in K_\beta^\infty$ we have (by Lemma 2.1(g)),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} f, g\right\rangle &= \langle \varphi f, W_k^* g\rangle = \left\langle \overline{\alpha h_1} f, W_k^* g\right\rangle + \langle W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2 f, W_k^* g\rangle \\ &= \langle f, \alpha h_1 \cdot W_k^* g\rangle + \langle P_\beta W_k(W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2 f), g\rangle = \left\langle W_k P_{W_k^*\beta}(W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2 f), g\right\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3. *If $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$, then φ can be chosen from $\overline{K_\alpha} + K_{W_k^*\beta}$.*

Hence $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ is not uniquely determined by its symbol. Moreover, boundedness of the symbol is not necessary for the boundedness of $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$.

In general, the implication in Proposition 2.2 cannot be reversed as the following example shows.

Example 2.4. Let $k = 2$, $\alpha(z) = z^4$ and $\beta(z) = z^3$. In that case, for $\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in L^2$, the operator $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} \\ a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, if for example $\varphi(z) = z^5$, then $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$. But here

$$z^5 \notin \overline{\alpha H^2} + (W_k^*\beta)H^2 = \overline{z^4 H^2} + z^6 H^2.$$

Observe that $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ can be seen as a composition of W_k and an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator. Indeed, using Lemma 2.1(g), for $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$ we get

$$U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} f = P_\beta W_k(\varphi f) = W_k P_{W_k^*\beta}(\varphi f) = W_k A_\varphi^{\alpha, W_k^*\beta} f.$$

Now Proposition 2.2 follows from the fact that $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \overline{\alpha H^2} + \beta H^2$ (see [3, 17]).

Let α be an inner function. Then the operator $C_\alpha : L^2 \to L^2$, defined by the formula

$$C_\alpha f(z) = \alpha(z)\overline{z}\overline{f(z)}, \quad |z| = 1,$$

is a conjugation on L^2 (an antilinear, isometric involution). Moreover, $C_\alpha(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha$ (see [9] and [8, Chapter 8]). It is known that all truncated Toeplitz operators are C_α–symmetric, that is, $C_\alpha A_\varphi^\alpha C_\alpha = (A_\varphi^\alpha)^* = A_{\overline{\varphi}}^\alpha$ [24]. It was observed in [21] that $C_\beta A_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha = A_{\overline{\alpha\varphi}\beta}^{\alpha,\beta}$ (see also [12]). Here we have

Proposition 2.5. *Let α, β be two inner functions and let $\varphi \in L^2$. Then*

$$C_\beta U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha = U_\psi^{\alpha,\beta}$$

with $\psi = \overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\varphi} W_k^*\beta$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in L^2$. Then for $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$, $g \in K_\beta^\infty$ we have, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle C_\beta U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha f, g \right\rangle &= \langle C_\beta P_\beta W_k M_\varphi C_\alpha f, g\rangle = \langle C_\beta g, P_\beta W_k(\varphi C_\alpha f)\rangle = \langle C_\beta g, W_k(\varphi C_\alpha f)\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \overline{z}\beta\overline{g}, W_k(\varphi \overline{z}\alpha\overline{f})\right\rangle = \left\langle W_k^*(\overline{z}\beta\overline{g}), \varphi\overline{z}\alpha\overline{f}\right\rangle = \left\langle \overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\varphi} W_k^*\beta \cdot f, W_k^* g\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle P_\beta W_k M_{\overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\varphi} W_k^*\beta} f, g\right\rangle = \left\langle U_{\overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\varphi} W_k^*\beta}^{\alpha,\beta} f, g\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary to Lemma 2.5 we get the following.

Corollary 2.6. *Let α, β be two inner functions and let U be a bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β. Then $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$ if and only if $C_\beta U C_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$.*

Proposition 2.7. *Let α, β be two inner functions and let $\varphi \in L^2$. If $\varphi \in \overline{\alpha H^2} + \overline{z}^{k-1}(W_k^*\beta)H^2$, then $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi = \overline{\alpha h_1} + \overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2$ with $h_1, h_2 \in H^2$. By Proposition 2.2 we have $U_{\overline{\alpha h_1}}^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ and, consequently,

$$U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = U_{\overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2}^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Now, using Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$C_\beta U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha = C_\beta U_{\overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2}^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha = U_{\overline{z^{k-1}\alpha \overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta \cdot h_2} \cdot W_k^*\beta}^{\alpha,\beta} = U_{\overline{\alpha h_2}}^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$$

and it follows that $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$. □

Corollary 2.8. *If $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$, then φ can be chosen from $\overline{K_\alpha} + \overline{z}^{k-1} K_{W_k^*\beta}$.*

Let us note that if an inner function β is such that $\beta(0) = 0$, then z^k divides $W_k^*\beta = \beta(z^k)$ and $\overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta$ is also an inner function. Moreover,

$$\overline{\alpha H^2} + (W_k^*\beta)H^2 = \overline{\alpha H^2} + \overline{z}^{k-1}(W_k^*\beta) z^{k-1} H^2 \subset \overline{\alpha H^2} + \overline{z}^{k-1}(W_k^*\beta)H^2.$$

3. Characterizations of operators from $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$

Let α and β be two inner functions. In this section we will characterize operators from $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, using compressed shifts and finite rank operators of special kind. Recall that the compressed shift S_α is defined as $S_\alpha = A_z^\alpha = P_\alpha S_{|K_\alpha}$. As K_α is S^*–invariant, we have $S_\alpha^* = A_{\overline{z}}^\alpha = S^*_{|K_\alpha}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$ the functional $f \mapsto f^{(n)}(w)$ is bounded on H^2 and so there exists $k_{w,n} \in H^2$ such that $f^{(n)}(w) = \langle f, k_{w,n}\rangle$. It is not difficult to verify that $k_{w,n}(z) = \frac{n! z^n}{(1-\overline{w}z)^{n+1}}$ and, in particular, $k_{0,n}(z) = n! z^n$. Denote $k_w = k_{w,0}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in H^2$ we have*

(a) $(S^*)^k f(z) = \overline{z}^k f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} \overline{z}^{k-j}$, $|z| = 1$,

(b) $W_k^* f - z^k W_k^* S^* f = \langle f, k_0\rangle = f(0)$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in H^2$. Then $(S^*)^k f = (T_{\overline{z}})^k f = P(\overline{z}^k f)$. Since $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} z^j$, we have, for $|z| = 1$,

$$(S^*)^k f(z) = P\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} z^{j-k}\right) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} z^{j-k} = \overline{z}^k f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} \overline{z}^{k-j}.$$

Now, observe that

$$W_k^* f(z) - z^k W_k^* S^* f(z) = f(z^k) - z^k W_k^*(\overline{z} f(z) - \overline{z} f(0)) = f(z^k) - \big(f(z^k) - f(0)\big) = f(0),$$

which proves (b). □

As K_α is a closed subspace of H^2, $f \mapsto f^{(n)}(w)$ is also bounded on K_α for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f^{(n)}(w) = \langle f, k^\alpha_{w,n}\rangle$ for all $f \in K_\alpha$ and $k^\alpha_{w,n} = P_\alpha k_{w,n}$ (see, e.g., [7, p. 204]). Denote $\widetilde{k}^\alpha_{w,n} = C_\alpha k^\alpha_{w,n}$. In particular,

$$k^\alpha_w(z) = P_\alpha k_w(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{\alpha(w)}\alpha(z)}{1 - \overline{w}z} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{k}^\alpha_w(z) = \frac{\alpha(z) - \alpha(w)}{z - w}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in L^2$. Then*

$$U^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi - S_\beta U^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi (S^*_\alpha)^k = k^\beta_0 \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes k^\alpha_{0,j},$$

where the equality holds on K^∞_α with

$$\chi = P_\alpha(\overline{\varphi}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi_j = \tfrac{1}{j!} S_\beta P_\beta W_k(\varphi \overline{z}^{k-j}),\ 0 \le j \le k-1.$$

Proof. Let $f \in K^\infty_\alpha$ and $g \in K^\infty_\beta$. By Lemma 3.1(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(U^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi - S_\beta U^{\alpha,\beta}_\varphi (S^*_\alpha)^k\right) f, g\right\rangle &= \langle \varphi f, W_k^* g\rangle - \left\langle \varphi (S^*_\alpha)^k f, W_k^* S^*_\beta g\right\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi f, W_k^* g\rangle - \left\langle \varphi (S^*)^k f, W_k^* S^* g\right\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi f, W_k^* g\rangle - \left\langle \varphi \overline{z}^k f, W_k^* S^* g\right\rangle + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\langle f, k_{0,j}\rangle}{j!} \left\langle \varphi \overline{z}^{k-j}, W_k^* S^* g\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \varphi f, W_k^* g - z^k W_k^* S^* g\right\rangle + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle f, k^\alpha_{0,j}\right\rangle \left\langle S_\beta P_\beta W_k(\varphi \overline{z}^{k-j}), g\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1(b),

$$\left\langle \varphi f, W_k^* g - z^k W_k^* S^* g\right\rangle = \langle \varphi f, \langle g, k^\beta_0\rangle\rangle = \langle \langle f, P_\alpha(\overline{\varphi})\rangle k^\beta_0, g\rangle.$$

□

For $k = 2$ the following was partly noted in [13].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $|m| < k$. Then*

$$W_k M_{z^m} W_k^* = \begin{cases} I_{L^2} & \textit{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \textit{if } 0 < |m| < k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2$, $f = \sum\limits_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^j$. Then

$$W_k^* f(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{z^m} W_k^* f(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^{kj+m}.$$

If $0 < |m| < k$, then $kj + m$ is not divisible by k and so $W_k M_{z^m} W_k^* f = 0$. On the other hand, if $m = 0$, then $W_k W_k^* f = f$ (Lemma 2.1(c)). □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ be such that*

$$\psi_0(0) = \ldots = \psi_{k-1}(0) = 0.$$

Then for

$$\varphi = \overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (k-j)! \left(W_k^* S_\beta^* \psi_{k-j}\right) \cdot z^j \in L^2$$

we have

$$U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} - S_\beta U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} (S_\alpha^*)^k = k_0^\beta \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes k_{0,j}^\alpha. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Note that for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we have $\left(W_k^* S_\beta^* \psi_{k-j}\right) \cdot z^j = \frac{\psi_{k-j}(z^k)}{z^k} z^j \in H_0^2$ since $\psi_{k-j}(0) = 0$. This and the fact that $P_\alpha = P_\alpha P$ give

$$P_\alpha(\overline{\varphi}) = P_\alpha P(\overline{\varphi}) = P_\alpha \chi = \chi.$$

Moreover, since $P_\beta = P_\beta P$ and $P W_k = W_k P$, for $1 \le l \le k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta W_k(\varphi \overline{z}^l) = P_\beta W_k P(\varphi \overline{z}^l) &= P_\beta W_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} (k-j)! \left(W_k^* S_\beta^* \psi_{k-j}\right) \cdot z^{j-l} \right) \\ &= P_\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} (k-j)! W_k M_{z^{j-l}} W_k^* S_\beta^* \psi_{k-j} \right) = (k-l)! S_\beta^* \psi_{k-l}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $0 < |j - l| < k - 1$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{l\}$. Hence, for $0 \le j \le k-1$,

$$\frac{1}{j!} S_\beta P_\beta W_k(\varphi \overline{z}^{k-j}) = \frac{1}{j!} S_\beta (j! S_\beta^* \psi_j) = S_\beta S_\beta^* \psi_j = \psi_j \quad (\psi_j(0) = 0),$$

and (3.1) follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let U be a bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β. Then $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if there exist functions $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ such that*

$$U - S_\beta U (S_\alpha^*)^k = k_0^\beta \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes k_{0,j}^\alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$, then $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ for some $\varphi \in L^2$ and it satisfies (3.2) by Lemma 3.2.

Assume that U satisfies (3.2) for some $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0,\dots,\psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$. Without any loss of generality we can additionally assume that $\psi_0(0) = \ldots = \psi_{k-1}(0) = 0$ (otherwise we would replace ψ_j and χ with $\psi_j - \dfrac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_0^\beta$ and $\chi + \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\overline{\psi_j(0)}}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_{0,j}^\alpha$, respectively). By (3.2), for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$S_\beta^l U(S_\alpha^*)^{kl} - S_\beta^{l+1}U(S_\alpha^*)^{(l+1)k} = S_\beta^l k_0^\beta \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}\chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_\beta^l\psi_j \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}k_{0,j}^\alpha.$$

It follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$U = \sum_{l=0}^{n}\left(S_\beta^l k_0^\beta \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}\chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_\beta^l\psi_j \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}k_{0,j}^\alpha\right) + S_\beta^{n+1}U(S_\alpha^*)^{(n+1)k}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since for every $f \in K_\alpha$,

$$S_\beta^{n+1}U(S_\alpha^*)^{(n+1)k}f \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

we have

$$Uf = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(S_\beta^l k_0^\beta \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}\chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_\beta^l\psi_j \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}k_{0,j}^\alpha\right) f. \tag{3.4}$$

Let now

$$\varphi = \overline{\chi} + \psi$$

with

$$\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(k-j)!(W_k^* S_\beta^*\psi_{k-j})\cdot z^j \in H^2.$$

By Lemma 3.4,

$$U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} - S_\beta U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}(S_\alpha^*)^k = k_0^\beta \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\psi_j \otimes k_{0,j}^\alpha \quad \text{on } K_\alpha^\infty,$$

and as above (3.3) holds with $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ in place of U (on K_α^∞). For $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$ and $g \in K_\beta^\infty$ we have

$$\left\langle S_\beta^{n+1}U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}(S_\alpha^*)^{(n+1)k}f, g\right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi(S_\alpha^*)^{k(n+1)}f, W_k^*(S_\beta^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \varphi(S_\alpha^*)^{k(n+1)}f, W_k^*(S_\beta^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \overline{\chi}T_{\overline{z}^{k(n+1)}}f, W_k^*(S^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle + \left\langle (S^*)^{k(n+1)}f, \overline{\psi}W_k^*T_{\overline{z}^{n+1}}g\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle P\left(\overline{\chi z^{k(n+1)}}f\right), W_k^*(S^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle + \left\langle (S^*)^{k(n+1)}f, \overline{\psi}W_k^*P(\overline{z}^{n+1}g)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle P\left(\overline{\chi z^{k(n+1)}}f\right), W_k^*(S^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle + \left\langle (S^*)^{k(n+1)}f, P\left(\overline{z}^{k(n+1)}\overline{\psi}W_k^*g\right)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

(3.5) follows from the fact that

$$\left|\left\langle P\left(\overline{\chi z^{k(n+1)}}f\right), W_k^*(S^*)^{n+1}g\right\rangle\right| \leq \|\chi f\| \cdot \|(S^*)^{n+1}g\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and

$$\left|\left\langle (S^*)^{k(n+1)}f, P\left(\overline{z}^{k(n+1)}\overline{\psi}W_k^*g\right)\right\rangle\right| \leq \|(S^*)^{k(n+1)}f\| \cdot \|\psi \cdot W_k^*g\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

This means that for $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$ and $g \in K_\beta^\infty$,

$$\left\langle U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}f, g\right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(S_\beta^l k_0^\beta \otimes S_\alpha^{kl}\chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S_\beta^l \psi_j \otimes S_\alpha^{kl} k_{0,j}^\alpha\right) f, g\right\rangle,$$

and by (3.4),

$$\left\langle U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}f, g\right\rangle = \langle Uf, g\rangle.$$

It follows that $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$. □

Corollary 3.6. *If a bounded linear operator $U : K_\alpha \to K_\beta$ satisfies (3.2), then $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ with*

$$\varphi = \overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j(z^k) j! \overline{z}^j.$$

Proof. Assume that U satisfies (3.2). If $\psi_0(0) = \ldots = \psi_{k-1}(0) = 0$, then following the proof of Theorem 3.5 we get that $U = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ with

$$\varphi = \overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j!(W_k^* S_\beta^* \psi_j) \cdot z^{k-j} = \overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \overline{z}^k \psi_j(z^k) j! z^{k-j} = \overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j(z^k) j! \overline{z}^j.$$

Otherwise, as explained at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.5, replace ψ_j and χ with $\psi_j - \dfrac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_0^\beta$ and $\chi + \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\overline{\psi_j(0)}}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_{0,j}^\alpha$, respectively. Then, by the first part of the proof, $U = U_{\varphi_1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with

$$\varphi_1 = \overline{\left(\chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\overline{\psi_j(0)}}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_{0,j}^\alpha\right)} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\psi_j(z^k) - \frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}k_0^\beta(z^k)\right) j!\overline{z}^j.$$

However, in that case

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 - \varphi &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}\overline{k_{0,j}^\alpha} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}(1 - \overline{\beta(0)}\beta(z^k)) j!\overline{z}^j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2}\overline{P_\alpha(j!z^j)} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2} j!\overline{z}^j + \overline{\beta(0)}\beta(z^k)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2} j!\overline{z}^j \\
&= \overline{\left(P_\alpha\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\overline{\psi_j(0)}}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2} j! z^j\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\overline{\psi_j(0)}}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2} j! z^j\right)} + \overline{z}^{k-1} W_k^*\beta \cdot \overline{\beta(0)}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{\psi_j(0)}{\|k_0^\beta\|^2} j! z^{k-1-j} \\
&\in \overline{\alpha H^2} + \overline{z}^{k-1}(W_k^*\beta)H^2
\end{aligned}$$

and so, by Proposition 2.7, $U = U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\varphi_1} = U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\varphi}$. $\square$

Note that if $\psi_0(0) = \ldots = \psi_{k-1}(0) = 0$, then the pairwise orthogonal functions $\psi_j(z^k)j!\overline{z}^j$, $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, all belong to H^2_0.

Corollary 3.7. *If $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist functions $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ such that $U = U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\varphi}$ with*

$$\varphi = \overline{\chi} + \psi_0\left(z^k\right) + \frac{1}{z}\psi_1\left(z^k\right) + \frac{1}{z^2}\psi_2\left(z^k\right) + \ldots + \frac{1}{z^{k-1}}\psi_{k-1}\left(z^k\right)$$

and $\psi_0(0) = \ldots = \psi_{k-1}(0) = 0$. Moreover, the above decomposition is orthogonal.

Corollary 3.8. *Let U be a bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β. Then $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if there exist functions $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ such that*

$$U - S^*_\beta U S^k_\alpha = \widetilde{k}^\beta_0 \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes \widetilde{k}^\alpha_{0,j}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.6, $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$ if and only if $C_\beta U C_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$. By Theorem 3.5, the latter happens if and only if

$$C_\beta U C_\alpha - S_\beta(C_\beta U C_\alpha)(S^*_\alpha)^k = k^\beta_0 \otimes \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu_j \otimes k^\alpha_{0,j} \tag{3.7}$$

for some functions $\mu \in K_\alpha$ and $\nu_0, \ldots, \nu_{k-1} \in K_\beta$. Since C_α is an involution, (3.7) is equivalent to

$$C^2_\beta U C^2_\alpha - C_\beta S_\beta C_\beta U C_\alpha (S^*_\alpha)^k C_\alpha = C_\beta(k^\beta_0 \otimes \mu)C_\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C_\beta(\nu_j \otimes k^\alpha_{0,j})C_\alpha.$$

By C_α-symmetry of the compressed shift, the above can be written as

$$U - S^*_\beta U S^k_\alpha = \widetilde{k}^\beta_0 \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes \widetilde{k}^\alpha_{0,j},$$

with $\chi = C_\alpha \mu \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_j = C_\beta \nu_j \in K_\beta$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$. $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *If U satisfies* (3.6)*, then $U = U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\varphi}$ with*

$$\varphi = \beta(z^k)\overline{z}^k\overline{\chi} + \overline{\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j(z^k)j!z^{j+1}.$$

Proof. Assume that U satisfies (3.6). A reasoning similar to the one given in the proof of Corollary 3.8 shows that $C_\beta U C_\alpha$ satisfies (3.7) with $\mu = C_\alpha \chi$ and $\nu_j = C_\beta \psi_j$. By Corollary 3.6, $C_\beta U C_\alpha = U^{\alpha,\beta}_{\psi}$ with

$$\psi = \overline{\mu} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu_j(z^k)j!\overline{z}^j$$

and by Proposition 2.5, $U = C_\beta U_\psi^{\alpha,\beta} C_\alpha = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= \overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\psi} W_k^* \beta = W_k^* \beta \left(\overline{z^{k-1}\alpha}\mu + \overline{z^{k-1}\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \overline{\nu_j(z^k)} j! z^j \right) \\ &= \beta(z^k) \left(\overline{z^{k-1}\alpha}\alpha\overline{z\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \overline{z^{k-1}\alpha\beta(z^k)} z^k \psi_j(z^k) j! z^j \right) \\ &= \beta(z^k)\overline{z}^k\overline{\chi} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \overline{\alpha}\psi_j(z^k) j! z^{j+1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Using Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.8 one can prove the following.

Corollary 3.10. *Let U be a bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β. Then $U \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if there exist functions $\chi \in K_\alpha$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ (possibly different for different conditions) such that one (and all) of the following conditions holds:*

(a) $S_\beta^* U - U(S_\alpha^*)^k = \widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes k_{0,j}^\alpha$,

(b) $S_\beta U - U S_\alpha^k = k_0^\beta \otimes \chi + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \psi_j \otimes \widetilde{k}_{0,j}^\alpha$.

Proof. Use reasoning analogous to the one presented in the proof of [12, Cor. 2.4].

□

Note that if $\dim K_\beta = 1$, then by Theorem 3.5 all bounded linear operators from K_α into K_β belong to $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let α and β be two inner functions and assume that $\dim K_\alpha = m < +\infty$. If $k \geq m$, then every bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β belongs to $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha,\beta)$.*

Proof. Let U be a bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β. If $\dim K_\alpha = m < +\infty$, then $U - S_\beta U(S_\alpha^*)^k$ has rank at most m, which by assumption is less or equal to k. Hence, there exist functions $g_0, \ldots, g_{k-1} \in K_\alpha$ and $f_0, \ldots, f_{k-1} \in K_\beta$ (some of these functions possibly equal to zero), such that

$$U - S_\beta U(S_\alpha^*)^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f_j \otimes g_j.$$

Since here the kernels $k_{0,0}^\alpha, k_{0,1}^\alpha, \ldots, k_{0,m-1}^\alpha$ are linearly independent and span K_α, each g_j can be written as a linear combination of these kernels and so U satisfies (3.2). □

Example 3.12. As in Example 2.4 consider $\alpha(z) = z^4$ and $\beta(z) = z^3$. Then, for $k = 5$ and $\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in L^2$, the operator $U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & a_{-3} \\ a_5 & a_4 & a_3 & a_2 \\ a_{10} & a_9 & a_8 & a_7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows easily that every bounded linear operator from K_α into K_β belongs to $\mathcal{S}_5(\alpha, \beta)$. Note that here $U_z^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$, but

$$z \notin \overline{\alpha H^2} + \overline{z}^{k-1}(W_k^* \beta)H^2 = \overline{z^4 H^2} + z^{11} H^2.$$

Finally, we describe some rank–one operators from $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let α, β be two inner functions and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ the rank–one operators $\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha$ and $k_0^\beta \otimes \widetilde{k}_{0,l}^\alpha$ belong to $\mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$.*

Proof. Let $l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Since $S_\beta \widetilde{k}_0^\beta = -\beta(0) k_0^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha - S_\beta(\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha)(S_\alpha^*)^k &= \widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha - (S_\beta \widetilde{k}_0^\beta) \otimes (S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha) \\ &= \widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha + (\beta(0) k_0^\beta) \otimes (S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha) \\ &= k_0^\beta \otimes (\overline{\beta(0)} S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha) + \widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and by Theorem 3.5, $\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta)$ since it satisfies (3.2) with $\chi = \overline{\beta(0)}(S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha)$, $\psi_l = \widetilde{k}_0^\beta$ and $\psi_j = 0$ for $j \neq l$. It follows that, by Proposition 2.5,

$$k_0^\beta \otimes \widetilde{k}_{0,l}^\alpha = C_\beta(\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha) C_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_k(\alpha, \beta).$$

□

Corollary 3.14. *For each $l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$,*

(a) $\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$ *with* $\varphi = W_k^* \beta \cdot l! \overline{z}^{l+k}$,

(b) $k_0^\beta \otimes \widetilde{k}_{0,l}^\alpha = U_\psi^{\alpha,\beta}$ *with* $\psi = \overline{\alpha} \cdot l! z^{l+1}$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.6 and the proof of Proposition 3.13, $\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha = U_{\varphi_1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with

$$\varphi_1 = \beta(0)\overline{(S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha)} + \widetilde{k}_0^\beta(z^k) l! \overline{z}^l.$$

Since for each $g \in K_\alpha$,

$$\langle S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha, g \rangle = \langle P_\alpha(l! z^l), (S_\alpha^*)^k g \rangle = \langle l! z^l, T_{\overline{z}^k} g \rangle = \langle l! z^{l+k}, g \rangle,$$

we have $S_\alpha^k k_{0,l}^\alpha = P_\alpha(l! z^{l+k})$ and

$$\varphi_1 = \beta(0)\overline{P_\alpha(l! z^{l+k})} + (\beta(z^k) - \beta(0)) l! \overline{z}^{l+k} = W_k^* \beta \cdot l! \overline{z}^{l+k} - \beta(0)\overline{(l! z^{l+k} - P_\alpha(l! z^{l+k}))}.$$

Since $\varphi_1 - \varphi \in \overline{\alpha H^2}$ we get $\widetilde{k}_0^\beta \otimes k_{0,l}^\alpha = U_{\varphi_1}^{\alpha,\beta} = U_\varphi^{\alpha,\beta}$, that is, (a) holds.

Now (b) follows from Proposition 2.5. □

References

[1] S. C. Arora, R. Batra, *On generalized slant Toeplitz operators*, Indian J. Math. **45** (2003), no. 2, 121–134.

[2] S. C. Arora, R. Batra, *Generalized slant Toeplitz operators on H^2*, Math. Nachr. **278** (2005), no. 4, 347–355.

[3] C. Câmara, K. Kliś-Garlicka, J. Jurasik, M. Ptak, *Characterizations of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **11** (2017), no. 4, 899–922.

[4] M. C. Câmara, J. R. Partington, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators and Toeplitz operators with matrix symbol*, J. Operator Theory **77** (2017), no. 2, 455–479 .

[5] M. C. Câmara, J. R. Partington, *Spectral properties of truncated Toeplitz operators by equivalence after extension*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **433** (2016), no. 2, 762–784.

[6] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure Appl. Math., vol. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[7] E. Fricain, J. Mashreghi, *The theory of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ spaces*, vol.2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

[8] S. R. Garcia, J. E. Mashreghi, W. Ross, *Introduction to Model Spaces and their Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 2016.

[9] S. R. Garcia, M. Putinar, *Complex symmetric operators and applications*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 3, 1285–1315.

[10] S. R. Garcia, W. T. Ross, *Recent progress on truncated Toeplitz operators*, in: J. Mashreghi, E. Fricain (Eds.), Blaschke products and their applications, Fields Inst. Commun., 65, Springer, New York, 2013, pp. 275–319.

[11] T. N. T. Goodman, C. A. Micchelli, J. D. Ward, *Spectral radius formula for subdivision operators*, Recent advances in wavelet analysis, 335–360, Wavelet Anal. Appl., 3, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1994.

[12] C. Gu, B. Łanucha, M. Michalska, *Characterizations of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **13** (2019), no. 3, 673–684.

[13] M. C. Ho, *Properties of Slant Toeplitz Operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), no. 3, 843–862.

[14] M. C. Ho, *Spectra of slant Toeplitz operators with continuous symbols*, Michigan Math. J. **44** (1997), no. 1, 157–166.

[15] M. C. Ho, *Adjoints of slant Toeplitz operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **29** (1997), no. 3, 301–312.

[16] M. C. Ho, *Adjoints of slant Toeplitz operators II*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **41** (2001), no. 2, 179–188.

[17] J. Jurasik, B. Łanucha, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators equal to the zero operator*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **70** (2016), no. 2, 51–62.

[18] J. Jurasik, B. Łanucha, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators on finite-dimensional spaces*, Oper. Matrices **11** (2017), no. 1, 245–262.

[19] C. Liu, Y. Lu, *Product and commutativity of kth-order slant Toeplitz operators*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Art. ID 473916, 11 pp.

[20] Y. Lu, C. Liu, J. Yang, *Commutativity of kth-order slant Toeplitz operators*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), no. 9, 1304–1313.

[21] B. Łanucha, *On rank-one asymmetric truncated operators on finite-dimensional spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **454** (2017), no. 2, 961–980.

[22] B. Łanucha, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators of rank one,* Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **18** (2018), no. 2, 259–267.

[23] R. A. Martinéz-Avendaño, P. Rosenthal, *An Introduction to Operators on the Hardy-Hilbert Space*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 237, Springer, New York, 2007.

[24] D. Sarason, *Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators*, Operators and Matrices **1** (2007), no. 4, 491–526.

[25] L. Villemoes, *Wavelet analysis of refinement equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **25** (1994), no. 5, 1433–1460.

[26] T. Zegeye, S. C. Arora, R. Batra, *The compression of slant Toeplitz operator to $H^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (2001), no. 2, 221–226.

Bartosz Łanucha,
Institute of Mathematics,
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1,
20-031 Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: bartosz.lanucha@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl

Małgorzata Michalska,
Institute of Mathematics,
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1,
20-031 Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: malgorzata.michalska@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl