Existence of solutions for Kirchhoff-type fractional Dirichlet problem with $p$-Laplacian
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1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we are concerned with the following system

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(u(t))[tD^\alpha_t \phi_p(0D^\alpha_t u(t)) + V(t)\phi_p(u(t))] &= \lambda \nabla F(t, u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
u(0) &= u(T) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A(u(t)) = \left[ a + b \int_0^T (|u|^{p-1}u(t)|p + V(t)|u(t)|p)dt \right]^{p-1},
$$

$a, b, \lambda > 0$, $p > 1$ and $1/p < \alpha \leq 1$ are constants, $p$ is an integer, $u(t) = (u_1(t), \cdots, u_N(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, $T > 0$, and $N$ is a given positive integer, $(\cdot)^T$ denote the transpose of a vector, $V(t) \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ with $\min_{t \in [0, T]} V(t) > 0, 0D^\alpha_t$ and $tD^\alpha_t$ are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, respectively.

Corresponding author, E-mail address: zhangxingyong1@163.com
\( \phi_p(s) := |s|^{p-2}s, \nabla F(t, x) \) is the gradient of \( F \) with respect to \( x = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \), that is, \( \nabla F(t, x) = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N})^T \), and \( F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfies the following condition:

\[(H0) \text{ there exists a constant } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } F(t, x) \text{ is continuously differentiable in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x| \leq \delta \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \text{ measurable in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x| \leq \delta, \text{ and there exist } a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) \text{ and } b \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+) \text{ such that} \]

\[|F(t, x)|, |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t) \]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) with \( |x| \leq \delta \) and a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \).

When \( \alpha = 1 \), the operator \( \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha (\mathcal{D}_x^\alpha u(t)) \) reduces to the usual second order differential operator \(-d^2/dt^2\). Hence, if \( \alpha = 1, p = 2, N = 1, \lambda = 1 \) and \( V(t) = 0 \) for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \), system (1.1) becomes the equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

\[
\begin{cases}
-\left(a + b \int_0^T |u'(t)|^2 dt\right) u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
u(0) = u(T) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(1.2)

where \( f(t, x) = \frac{\partial F(t, x)}{\partial x} \) and \( F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). It is well known that equation (1.2) is related to the stationary problem of a classical model introduced by Kirchhoff [11]. To be precise, in [11], Kirchhoff introduced the model

\[
\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \left(P_0 + \frac{Eh}{2L} \int_0^L \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 dy \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2},
\]

(1.3)

where \( 0 \leq y \leq L, t \geq 0, u \) is the lateral deflection, \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( h \) is the cross-sectional area, \( L \) is the length, \( E \) is the Youngs modulus and \( P_0 \) is the initial axial tension. (Notations: in model (1.3), (1.7) and (1.8) below, \( t \) is time variable and \( y \) is spatial variable, which are conventional notations in partial differential equations. One need to distinguish them to \( t \) in (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) below, where \( t \) corresponds to the spatial variable \( x \).) The model (1.3) is used to describe small vibrations of an elastic stretched string. Equation (1.3) has been studied extensively, for instance, [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14] and reference therein. For \( p > 1 \), the reader can consult [15], [16], [17], [18], [19] and references therein.

When \( \alpha < 1, \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \) and \( \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha \) are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, respectively, which has been given some physical interpretations in [20]. Moreover, it is also applied to describe the anomalous diffusion, Lévy flights and traps in [21] and [22]. Fractional differential equations have been proved to provide a natural framework in the modeling of many real phenomena such as viscoelasticity, neurons, electrochemistry, control, porous media, electromagnetic (the reader can consult [23] in which a collection of references is given).

In [23], Jiao and Zhou considered the system

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{I}_t^\alpha (\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t)) = \nabla F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
u(0) = u(T) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(1.4)
They successfully applied critical point theory to investigate the existence of weak solutions for system (1.4). To be precise, they obtained that system (1.4) has at least one weak solution when $F$ has a quadratic growth or a superquadratic growth by using the least action principle and mountain pass theorem. Subsequently, this topic related to system (1.4) attracted lots of attention, for example, [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29] and references therein. It is obvious that system (1.4) is much more complicated than system (1.1) since the appearance of nonlocal term $A(u(t))$ and $p$-Laplacian term $\phi_p(s)$. Recently, in [30], the following fractional Kirchhoff equation with Dirichlet boundary condition was investigated

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\left(a + b \int_0^T |D_\tau^\alpha u(t)|^2 dt\right) D_\tau^\alpha (D_\tau^\beta u(t)) + \lambda V(t)u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
u(0) = u(T) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
(1.5)
$$

where $a, b, \lambda > 0$, $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. By using the mountain pass theorem in [42] and the linking theorem in [33], the authors established some existence results of nontrivial solutions for system (1.5) if $f$ satisfies

(f1) there exist constants $\mu > 4$, $0 < \tau < 2$ and a nonnegative function $g \in L^{\frac{2}{2-\tau}}$ such that

$$F(t, x) - \frac{1}{\mu} f(t, x)x \leq g(t)|x|^{\tau}, \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R};$$

(f2) there exists $\theta > 2$ such that $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \inf_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\theta}} > 0$;

(or (f2) there exists $\theta > 4$ such that $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \inf_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\theta}} > 0$);

(f3) there exists $\sigma > 2$ such that $\lim_{|x| \to 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\sigma}} < \infty$,

and some other reasonable conditions.

In [31], Chen-Liu investigated the Kirchhoff-type fractional Dirichlet problem with $p$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\left(a + b \int_0^T |D_\tau^\alpha u(t)|^p dt\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} D_\tau^\alpha (D_\tau^\beta u(t)) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, T), \\
u(0) = u(T) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
(1.6)
$$

where $a, b, \lambda > 0$, $f \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. By using the Nehari method, they established the existence result of ground state solution for system (1.6) if $f$ satisfies

(f4) $f(t, x) = o(|x|^{p-1})$ as $|x| \to 0$ uniformly for all $t \in [0, T]$,

and the following well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz (AR for short) condition

(AR) there exist two constants $\mu > p^2$, $R > 0$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(t, x) \leq x f(t, x), \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |x| \geq R,$$

where $F(t, x) = \int_0^x f(t, s)ds$, and some additional conditions. It is easy to see that all of these conditions (f1), (f2), (f2)' and (AR) imply that $F(t, x)$ needs to have a growth near the infinity for $x$, and (f3) and (f4) imply that $F(t, x)$ needs to have a growth near 0 for $x$. 
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In this paper, we investigate the existence and concentration of solutions for system (1.1) under local assumptions only near 0 for the nonlinear term $F$. Our work is mainly motivated by [32] and [12]. In [32], Costa and Wang investigated the multiplicity of both signed and sign-changing solutions for the one-parameter family of elliptic problems

$$
\begin{cases}
\Delta u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u(y) = 0 & \text{in } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
$$

(1.7)

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$ and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. They assumed that the nonlinearity $f(u)$ has superlinear growth in a neighborhood of $u = 0$ and then obtained the number of signed and sign-changing solutions which are dependent on the parameter $\lambda$. The idea in [32] has been applied to some different problems, for example, [33] and [34] for quasilinear elliptic problems with $p$-Laplacian operator, [35] for an elliptic problem with fractional Laplacian operator, [36] for Schrödinger equations, [11] for Neumann problem with nonhomogeneous differential operator and critical growth, and [38] for quasilinear Schrödinger equations. Especially, in [12], Li and Su investigated the Kirchhoff-type equations

$$
\begin{cases}
-\left[1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(y)u^2)dy\right] [\Delta u + V(y)u] = \lambda Q(y)f(u), & y \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
u(y) \to 0, & \text{as } |y| \to \infty,
\end{cases}
$$

(1.8)

where $\lambda > 0$, $V, Q$ are radial functions and $f \in C((–\delta_0, \delta_0), \mathbb{R})$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$. Via the idea in [32], they also established the existence result of solutions when $f(u)$ has superlinear growth in a neighborhood of $u = 0$. It is worthy to note that $\lambda$ usually needs to be sufficiently large, that is, $\lambda$ has a lower bound $\lambda^*$. However, the concrete values of $\lambda^*$ are not given in these references. Similar to system (1.8), comparing with equation (1.5) and equation (1.6), we add a nonlocal term $\int_0^T V(t)u(t)|u(t)|^pdt$ in system (1.1) where $\min_{t \in [0, T]} V(t) > 0$, and multiply $V(t)\phi_p(u(t))$ by the nonlocal part $A(u(t))$. Moreover, we consider the high-dimensional case, that is, $N \geq 1$. Since $\min_{t \in [0, T]} V(t) > 0$, system (1.1) is different from equation (1.2), (1.5), (1.6) and system (1.4). More importantly, we present a concrete value of the lower bound $\lambda^*$ for system (1.1) and then obtain two estimates of the solutions family $\{u_h\}$ for all $\lambda > \lambda^*$. Next, we make some assumptions for $F$.

(H1) there exist constants $q_1 > p^2$, $q_2 \in (p^2, q_1)$, $M_1 > 0$ and $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$M_1|x|^{q_1} \leq F(t, x) \leq M_2|x|^{q_2}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|x| \leq \delta$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(H2) there exists a constant $\beta > p^2$ such that

$$0 \leq \beta F(t, x) \leq (\nabla F(t, x), x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|x| \leq \delta$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. 
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Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (H0)-(H2) hold. Then system (1.1) has at least a nontrivial weak solution $u_\lambda$ for all $\lambda > \lambda^* := \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ and

\[
\|u_\lambda\|_p^p \leq \frac{p^2\theta}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} C_\lambda^{\frac{\theta}{p-1}} \leq \frac{p^2\theta}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} C_\lambda^{\frac{\theta}{p-1}}, \\
\|u_\lambda\|_\infty \leq \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{p^2\theta}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} C_\lambda^{\frac{\theta}{p-1}}, \\
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_\lambda\|_V = 0 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_\lambda\|_\infty,
\]

where $\theta = \min\{\beta, q_2\}$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

\[
\|u_\lambda\|_V = \left( \int_0^T \|D_\alpha u(t)\|^p dt + \int_0^T V(t)\|u_\lambda(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|u_\lambda\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} u_\lambda(t),
\]

\[
A_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{V_\infty a^{p-1}(\alpha q - q + 1)^\frac{1}{p} G_0^{q_2-p} - \frac{1}{bp^2}(a + \frac{b^p}{c_0}) \max\{1, V_\infty\}(D_\alpha + G_\infty)^p}{2p^2 M_2 T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \delta \min\{1, V_\infty\} D_\alpha^{q_2-p} \right)^p M_1^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} T^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} D^{\alpha}} \right\},
\]

\[
A_2 = \left[ a + b\max\{1, V_\infty\}\right]^p G_0^{q_1(p-1)},
\]

\[
A_3 = \left( \frac{T^{p\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{p} \left( \frac{p^2\theta C_\lambda}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} \cdot \frac{2^p}{\delta^p} \right)^\frac{\alpha}{p-1},
\]

\[
V_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} V(t), \quad V_\infty = \min_{t \in [0, T]} V(t),
\]

\[
C_\lambda = \left( \frac{1}{p(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{p-1}} - \frac{M_1}{q_1}^{\frac{\alpha}{p-1}}} \right) \left( \max\{1, V_\infty\}\right)^{1/p} (D_\alpha + G_\infty)^{1/p} T^{\frac{\alpha}{p-1}} D,
\]

\[
D = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{T^{\alpha+1}}{p^{p-1}} \cdot \left( \frac{2(p-1)!!}{p!!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\
\left( \frac{T^{\alpha+1}}{p^{p-1}} \cdot \left( \frac{(p-1)!!}{p!!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right), & \text{if } p \text{ is even,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
G = \left( \frac{T^{\alpha+1-p\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\left( p + 1 - p\alpha \right)} \right)^{1/p},
\]

\[
G_0 = \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^\frac{1}{p}} G,
\]

\[
\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (\text{for all } z > 0).
\]

We organize this paper as follows. In section 2, we recall some preliminary results including the definitions of Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives and working spaces, some conclusions for the working spaces and mountain pass theorem. In section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we apply Theorem 1.1 to an example and compute the value of lower bound $\lambda^*$. 
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2. Preliminaries

In this section, we mainly recall some basic definitions and results.

**Definition 2.1.** (Left and Right Riemann-Liouville Fractional Integrals [39, 24]) Let \( f \) be a function defined on \([a, b]\). The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order \( \gamma > 0 \) for function \( f \) denoted by \( aD_t^{-\gamma}f(t) \) and \( tD_b^{-\gamma}f(t) \), respectively, are defined by

\[
aD_t^{-\gamma}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{\gamma-1}f(s)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \gamma > 0, \\
tD_b^{-\gamma}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_t^b (s - t)^{\gamma-1}f(s)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \gamma > 0,
\]

provided the right-hand sides are pointwise defined on \([a, b]\), where \( \Gamma > 0 \) is the Gamma function.

**Definition 2.2.** (Left and Right Riemann-Liouville Fractional Derivatives [39, 24]) Let \( f \) be a function defined on \([a, b]\). The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order \( \gamma > 0 \) for function \( f \) denoted by \( aD_t^{\gamma}f(t) \) and \( tD_b^{\gamma}f(t) \), respectively, are defined by

\[
aD_t^{\gamma}f(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n}aD_t^{-n-\gamma}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left( \int_a^t (t - s)^{n-\gamma-1}f(s)ds \right), \\
tD_b^{\gamma}f(t) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n}tD_b^{-n-\gamma}f(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left( \int_t^b (s - t)^{n-\gamma-1}f(s)ds \right),
\]

where \( t \in [a, b], n - 1 \leq \gamma < n \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Definition 2.3.** ([24]) Let \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \). The fractional derivative space \( E_0^{\alpha,p} \) is defined by the closure of \( C_0^{\infty}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N) \) with the norm

\[
\|u\| = \left( \int_0^T |aD_t^{\alpha}u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T |tD_b^{\alpha}u(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}.
\]

From the definition of \( E_0^{\alpha,p} \), it is apparent that the fractional derivative space \( E_0^{\alpha,p} \) is the space of functions \( u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^N \) which is absolutely continuous and has an \( \alpha \)-order left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative \( aD_t^{\alpha}u \in L^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( u(0) = u(T) = 0 \) and one can define the norm on \( L^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N) \) as

\[
\|u\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.
\]

\( E_0^{\alpha,p} \) is uniformly convex by the uniform convexity of \( L^p \).

**Remark 2.1.** It is easy to see that \( \|u\|_V \) defined by (1.9) is also a norm on \( E_0^{\alpha,p} \) and \( \|u\|_V \) and \( \|u\| \) are equivalent and

\[
\min\{1, V_\infty\} \|u\|^p \leq \|u\|_V^p \leq \max\{1, V_\infty\} \|u\|^p. \tag{2.14}
\]
Lemma 2.1. ([23]) Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space.

Lemma 2.2. ([23]) Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. For all $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$, there has

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|0D_1^\alpha u\|_{L^p},$$

where

$$C_p = \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} > 0.$$ 

Moreover, if $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$, then

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{T^\alpha - \frac{1}{p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \|0D_1^\alpha u\|_{L^p}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

(2.15)

Lemma 2.3. ([23]) Let $1/p < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. The imbedding of $E_0^{\alpha,p}$ in $C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact.

Let $X$ be a Banach space. $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is called (PS)$_c$ sequence (named after R. Palais and S. Smale) if the sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfies

$$\varphi(u_n) \to c, \quad \varphi'(u_n) \to 0.$$

Lemma 2.4. (Mountain Pass Theorem [44, 40]) Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, $w \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $\|w\| > r$ and

$$b := \inf_{\|u\|=r} \varphi(u) > \varphi(0) \geq \varphi(w).$$

Then there exists a (PS)$_c$ sequence with

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi(\gamma(t)),$$

$$\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in ([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w \}.$$

As in [31], for each $\lambda > 0$, we can define the functional $I_\lambda : E_0^{\alpha,p} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{bp^2} \left( a + b \int_0^T (|0D_1^\alpha u(t)|^p + V(t)|u(t)|^p) dt \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt - \frac{a^p}{bp^2}.$$

It is easy to see that the assumption (H0)-(H2) can not ensure that $I_\lambda$ is well defined on $E_0^{\alpha,p}$. So we follow the idea in [32] and simply sketch the outline of proof here. We use Lemma 2.4 to complete the proof. Since $F$ satisfies the growth condition only near 0 by (H0)-(H2), in order to use the conditions globally, we modify and extend $F$ to $\tilde{F}$ defined in section 3, and the corresponding functional is defined as $\tilde{I}_\lambda$. Next we prove that $\tilde{I}_\lambda$ has mountain pass geometry on $E_0^{\alpha,p}$. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that $\tilde{I}_\lambda$ has a (PS)$_{c_\lambda}$ sequence. Then by a standard analysis, a convergent subsequence of the (PS)$_{c_\lambda}$ sequence is obtained to ensure that $c_\lambda$ is the critical
value of $\bar{I}_\lambda$. Finally, by an estimate about $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty$, we obtain that the critical point $u_\lambda$ of $\bar{I}_\lambda$ with $\|u_\lambda\|_\infty \leq \delta/2$ is just right the solution of system (1.1) for all $\lambda > \lambda^*$ for some concrete $\lambda^*$.

3. Proofs

Define $m(s) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ as an even cut-off function satisfying $sm'(s) \leq 0$ and

$$m(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |s| \leq \delta/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } |s| \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

(3.1)

Define $\bar{F} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\bar{F}(t, x) = m(|x|)F(t, x) + (1 - m(|x|))M_2|x|^q.$$  

We define the variational functional corresponding to $\bar{F}$ as

$$\bar{I}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{bp^2} \left( a + b \int_0^T (|D^\alpha_t u(t)|^p + V(t)|u(t)|^p)dt \right)^p - \lambda \int_0^T \bar{F}(t, u(t))dt - \frac{ap}{bp^2}$$

(3.2)

for all $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$. By (H0) and the definition of $\bar{F}$, it is easy to obtain that $\bar{F}$ satisfies

(H0)$'\bar{F}(t, x)$ is continuously differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, measurable in $t$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and there exists $b \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|\bar{F}(t, x)| \leq a_0b(t) + M_2|x|^q,$$

$$|\nabla \bar{F}(t, x)| \leq (1 + m_0)a_0b(t) + M_2q_2|x|^{q_2-1} + m_0M_2|x|^q$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, $a_0 = \max_{s \in [0, \delta]} a(s)$ and $m_0 = \max_{s \in [\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta]} |m'(s)|$.

Hence, a standard argument shows that $\bar{I}_\lambda \in C^1(E_0^{\alpha,p}, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle \bar{I}_\lambda'(u), v \rangle = (a + b\|u\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T \left[ |D^\alpha_t u(t)|^{p-2} D^\alpha_t u(t) \right] dt + V(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t), \nabla u(t))dt \right)$$

$$- \lambda \int_0^T \left( \nabla \bar{F}(t, u(t)), v(t) \right) dt$$

for all $u, v \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$. Hence

$$\langle \bar{I}_\lambda'(u), u \rangle = (a + b\|u\|_V^p)^{p-1} \|u\|_V^p - \lambda \int_0^T \left( \nabla \bar{F}(t, u(t)), u(t) \right) dt$$

for all $u \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$. 
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Lemma 3.1. Assume that (H1)-(H2) hold. Then

(H1)

\[ 0 \leq \bar{F}(t, x) \leq M_2|x|^q, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \]

(H2)

\[ 0 < \theta \bar{F}(t, x) \leq (\nabla \bar{F}(t, x), x), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N/\{0\}, \]

where \( \theta = \min\{q_2, \beta\} \).

Proof.

- If \(|x| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\), then by (H1), the conclusion (H1)' holds;
  - If \( \frac{\delta}{2} < |x| \leq \delta \), by (H1), we have
    \[ 0 \leq \bar{F}(t, x) = m(|x|)F(t, x) + (1 - m(|x|))M_2|x|^q \leq m(|x|)M_2|x|^q + (1 - m(|x|))M_2|x|^q = M_2|x|^q; \]
  - If \(|x| \geq \delta \), then by the definition of \( m \), we have \( \bar{F}(t, x) = M_2|x|^q \).
- For all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N/\{0\} \), we have
  \[ \nabla \bar{F}(t, x) = m'(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} F(t, x) + m(|x|)\nabla F(t, x) + (1 - m(|x|))q_2 M_2|x|^{q_2 - 2}x - m'(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} M_2|x|^q. \]

Then

\[ (\nabla \bar{F}(t, x), x) = |x|m'(|x|)(F(t, x) - M_2|x|^q) + m(|x|)(\nabla F(t, x), x) + (1 - m(|x|))q_2 M_2|x|^q. \]

and

\[ \theta \bar{F}(t, x) - (\nabla \bar{F}(t, x), x) = m(|x|)(\theta F(t, x) - (\nabla F(t, x), x)) + (\theta - q_2)(1 - m(|x|))M_2|x|^q \]

\[ - |x|m'(|x|)(F(t, x) - M_2|x|^q). \]

Apparently, the conclusion holds for \( 0 \leq |x| \leq \delta/2 \) and \(|x| \geq \delta \). If \( \delta/2 < |x| < \delta \), by using \( \theta \leq q_2 \), the conclusion (H1), (H2) and the fact \( sm'(s) \leq 0 \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), we can get the conclusion (H2)'.

\( \square \)

Lemma 3.2. \( \bar{I}_\lambda \) satisfies the mountain pass geometry for all \( \lambda > \Lambda_1 \), where \( \Lambda_1 \) is defined in (1.10).

Proof. Note that \( q_2 > p^2 > p \). By Lemma 3.1 and (2.15), we have

\[ \bar{I}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{bp^2}(a + b\|u\|_V^p) \lambda \int_0^T \bar{F}(t, u(t))dt - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2} \]

\[ \geq \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2} + \frac{\alpha p - 1}{p^2} \|u\|_V^p - \lambda M_2 \int_0^T |u(t)|^{q_2}dt - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2} \]

\[ \geq \frac{\alpha p - 1}{p^2} \|u\|_V^p - \lambda M_2 \|u\|_{\infty}^{q_2 - p} \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt. \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\geq \frac{a^{p-1}}{p^2} \|u\|_V^p - \lambda M_2 \left( \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{\nu}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{q_2 - p} \|u\|_V^{q_2 - p} \\
\geq \frac{a^{p-1}}{p^2} \|u\|_V^p - \lambda M_2 \left( \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{q_2 - p} \|u\|_V^{q_2}.
\end{align*}
\]

We choose \( \nu_\lambda = \left( \frac{2 \nu}{\nu_\lambda} \left( \frac{a^{p-1} V_\infty T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{q_2 - p} \right)^\lambda \) for any given \( \lambda > 0 \). Then we have

\[
I_\lambda(u) > d_\lambda := \frac{a^{p-1}}{p^2} \nu_\lambda^p - \lambda M_2 \left( \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{q_2 - p} \nu_\lambda^2 > 0, \quad \text{for all } \|u\|_V = \nu_\lambda.
\] (3.3)

Choose

\[
e = \left( \frac{T}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi t}{T}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right) \in E_0^{\alpha,p}.
\] (4.4)

Then

\[
\|e\|_{L^p} = D := \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{T^{p+1} (p-1)!}{p! \pi^{p+1}} \right)^\frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \\
\left( \frac{T^{p+1} (p-1)!}{p! \pi^{p+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \text{ is even}
\end{cases}
\] (3.5)

and

\[
\|0 D^\alpha_t e\|_{L^p} \leq G := \frac{T^{p+1-p\alpha}}{\Gamma(p-\alpha)(p+1-p\alpha)}.
\] (3.6)

By (2.15),

\[
\|e\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \|0 D^\alpha_t e\|_{L^p} \leq G_0 := \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} G.
\] (3.7)

Note that

\[
A_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{V_\infty a^{p-1} \Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q} G_0 (q_2 - p)}{2 p^2 M_2 T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} (q_2 - p) (\delta \min\{1, V_\infty\} D^\alpha T + G^\alpha)(q_2 - p)} , \frac{1}{b p^2} \left( a + \frac{b p^2}{M_1 G_0} \max\{1, V_\infty\} (D^\alpha + G^\alpha) \right)^p \right\}.
\]

Then

\[
\delta \frac{G_0}{G_0} \|e\|_V \geq \frac{\delta \min\{1, V_\infty\}}{G_0} \|e\|_{L^p} \geq \nu_\lambda
\]

for all \( \lambda > A_1 \). By (5.7), we have \( \| \delta \|_{\infty} \leq \delta \). By the definition of \( \tilde{F} \) and (H1), we have \( \tilde{F}(t, x) = F(t, x) \geq M_1 |x|^q \) for all \( |x| \leq \delta/2 \), and

\[
\tilde{F}(t, x) = m(|x|) F(t, x) + (1 - m(x)) M_2 |x|^q_2 \geq m(|x|) M_1 |x|^{q_1} + (1 - m(x)) M_1 |x|^{q_1} = M_1 |x|^{q_1}
\]
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for all $\frac{\delta}{2} < |x| \leq \delta$. Hence, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$I_\lambda(\frac{\delta}{G_0}e) = \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b) \| \frac{\delta}{G_0}e \|^p p - \lambda \int_0^T \bar{F}(t, \frac{\delta}{G_0}e(t)) dt - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b) \| \frac{\delta}{G_0}e \|^p p - \lambda \int_0^T |\frac{\delta}{G_0}e(t)|^p dt - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2} \max \{1, V^\infty \} \| e \|^p p - \lambda M \frac{\delta G_0}{G_0} T^{1 - \frac{q_1}{p}} \| e \|_V^q p$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2} \max \{1, V^\infty \} (D^p + G^p) p - \lambda M \frac{\delta G_0}{G_0} T^{1 - \frac{q_1}{p}} D^q$$

$$< 0$$

for all $\lambda > \Lambda_1$. $\square$

Let $w = \frac{\delta}{G_0}e$ and $\varphi = I_\lambda$. Then for any given $\lambda > \Lambda_1$, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.4 imply that $I_\lambda$ has a (PS)$_{c_\lambda}$ sequence $\{u_n\} := \{u_{n,\lambda}\}$, that is, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfying

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda, \quad I_\lambda'(u_n) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

(3.8)

where

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in (0,1)} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)),$$

(3.9)

$$\Gamma := \{ \gamma \in ([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w \}.$$

Lemma 3.3. The (PS)$_{c_\lambda}$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 3.1, (3.8) and $\theta = \min \{q_2, \beta \} > p^2$, there exists a positive constant $M > 0$ such that

$$M + \| u_n \|_V \geq I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I_\lambda'(u_n), u_n \rangle$$

$$= (a + b \| u_n \|_V^p)^{p - 1} \left[ \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b \| u_n \|_V^p) - \frac{1}{\theta} \| u_n \|_V^p \right]$$

$$- \lambda \int_0^T \left[ \bar{F}(t, u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} (\nabla \bar{F}(t, u_n), u_n) \right] dt - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2}$$

$$\geq (a + b \| u_n \|_V^p)^{p - 1} \left[ \frac{1}{bp^2} (a + b \| u_n \|_V^p) - \frac{1}{\theta} \| u_n \|_V^p \right] - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2}$$

$$\geq a^{p - 1} \left[ \frac{a}{bp^2} + \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \| u_n \|_V^p \right] - \frac{\alpha p}{bp^2}$$

$$= a^{p - 1} \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \| u_n \|_V^p$$

(3.10)

for $n$ large enough, which shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $E^p_0$ by $p > 1$. By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that, up to a subsequence, for some $u_\lambda \in E^p_0$,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda \text{ in } E^p_0,$$

(3.11)
The following argument is similar to [45] with some modification. Since
\[
\langle \mathcal{T}_\lambda (u_n), u_n - u_\lambda \rangle
\]
\[
= (a + b\|u\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T \left( \|D_t^p u_n\|^{p-2} D_t^p u_n, 0 D_t^p (u_n - u_\lambda) \right) dt + \int_0^T V(t)(\|u_n\|^{p-2} u_n, u_n - u_\lambda) dt \right) - \lambda \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n), u_n - u_\lambda) dt, \tag{3.12}
\]
we have
\[
\langle \mathcal{T}_\lambda (u_n) - \mathcal{T}_\lambda (u_\lambda), u_n - u_\lambda \rangle
\]
\[
= (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left( \int_0^T \left( \|D_t^p u_n\|^{p-2} D_t^p u_n, 0 D_t^p (u_n - u_\lambda) \right) dt 
- \int_0^T V(t)(\|u_n\|^{p-2} u_n, u_\lambda) dt \right) 
- (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left( -\|u_\lambda\|_V^p \right) 
+ \int_0^T \left( \|D_t^p u_\lambda\|^{p-2} D_t^p u_n, 0 D_t^p (u_n) \right) dt + \int_0^T V(t)(\|u_\lambda\|^{p-2} u_n, u_n) dt 
- \lambda \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n) - \nabla F(t, u_\lambda), u_n - u_\lambda) dt \geq
\]
\[
(a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1}\|u_n\|_V^p + (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1}\|u_\lambda\|_V^p 
- (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left[ \|D_t^p u_n\|_L^p \|D_t^p u_\lambda\|_L^p \right] 
+ \left( \int_0^T V(t)|u_n|^p dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T V(t)|u_\lambda|^p dt \right)^{1/p} 
- (a + b\|u_\lambda\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left[ \|D_t^p u_\lambda\|_L^p \|D_t^p u_n\|_L^p \right] 
+ \left( \int_0^T V(t)|u_\lambda|^p dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_0^T V(t)|u_n|^p dt \right)^{1/p} 
- \lambda \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n) - \nabla F(t, u_\lambda), u_n - u_\lambda) dt \geq
\]
\[
(a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1}\|u_n\|_V^p + (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1}\|u_\lambda\|_V^p 
- (a + b\|u_n\|_V^p)^{p-1} \left( \|D_t^p u_n\|_L^p + \int_0^T V(t)|u_n|^p dt \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \|D_t^p u_\lambda\|_L^p + \int_0^T V(t)|u_\lambda|^p dt \right)^{1/p}
\]

\[ u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ in } C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N). \]
By the uniform convexity of \( E^p \), it follows that we need to make an estimate for the critical level \( c \). Note that

\[
\|u\|_V \rightarrow a \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

By the uniform convexity of \( E^0 \) and \( u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \), it follows from the Kadec-Klee property (see [41]) and (2.14), and (3.8) and (3.11) imply that

\[
\langle \tilde{T}_\lambda(u_n) - \tilde{T}_\lambda(u_\lambda), u_n - u_\lambda \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

So by (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we have

\[
\|u_n\|_V \rightarrow \|u_\lambda\|_V, \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

By the uniform convexity of \( E^0 \) and \( u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \), it follows from the Kadec-Klee property (see [41]) and (2.14), \( u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \) in \( E^0 \).

By the continuity of \( \tilde{I}_\lambda \), we obtain that \( \tilde{I}_\lambda(u) = c_\lambda \), where \( c_\lambda \) is defined by (3.9). Then (3.3) implies that \( c_\lambda \geq d_\lambda > 0 \). Hence \( u_\lambda \) is a nontrivial critical point of \( \tilde{T}_\lambda \) in \( E^0 \) for any given \( \lambda > \Lambda_1 \).

Next, we show that \( u_\lambda \) precisely is the nontrivial weak solution of system (1.1) for any given \( \lambda > \lambda^* \). In order to get this, we need to make an estimate for the critical level \( c_\lambda \). We introduce the functional \( \tilde{J}_\lambda : E^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) as follows

\[
\tilde{J}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{b} (a + b \|u\|^p) - \lambda M \int_0^T |u(t)|^q dt - \frac{a^p}{b p^2}.
\]

Lemma 3.4. For all \( \lambda \geq \max\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}, \)

\[
c_\lambda \leq C_\lambda \lambda^{-\frac{p-1}{q-1}},
\]
where $C_\ast$ is defined by (3.13) which is obviously independent of $\lambda$.

**Proof.** Define $f_i : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$, by

$$f_1(s) = \frac{1}{bp^2}(a + bs^p \|e_1\|_V^p) - \lambda \frac{\int_1^s \|e_1\|_V^p \, ds}{p} - \frac{ap^p}{bp^2},$$

$$f_2(s) = -\lambda M_1 s \int_0^T |e_1|^{q_1} \, dt + \lambda \frac{\int_1^s \|e_1\|_V^p \, ds}{p},$$

where $e_1 = \frac{\delta}{G_0} e$ and $e$ is defined in (3.3). Then $f_1(s) + f_2(s) = \tilde{J}_\lambda(se_1)$. Let

$$f_2'(s) = -\lambda M_1 q_1 \|e_1\|_{L V_1}^q s^{q_1-1} + \lambda \frac{\|e_1\|_V^p}{p} s^{p-1} = 0.$$  

Thus for each given $\lambda > 0$, we have $s = \left(\frac{\lambda \int_1^s \|e_1\|_V^p \, ds}{\lambda M_1 q_1 \|e_1\|_{L V_1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}$. Then

$$\max_{s \geq 0} f_2(s) = \left(\frac{1}{p(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} - \frac{M_1}{(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} \right) \left(\frac{\|e_1\|_V}{\|e_1\|_{L V_1}}\right)^{\frac{q_1-p}{q_1}} \lambda^{-\frac{q_1-p}{q_1-p}}.$$  

Obviously, $f_1(0) = 0$ and

$$f_1'(s) = (a + bs^p \|e_1\|_V^p) (1) \|e_1\|_V^{p-1} - \lambda \frac{\|e_1\|_V^p}{p} s^{p-1}.$$  

So if

$$\lambda \geq \Lambda_2 := \left[a + b[\max\{1, V_{\infty}\}]^p \frac{\delta^p}{G_0^p} (D^p + G^p)\right]^{q_1(p-1)}$$

$$= \left(a + b[\max\{1, V_{\infty}\}]^p \frac{\delta^p}{G_0^p} \|e\|^p\right)^{q_1(p-1)}$$

$$\geq (a + bs^p \|e_1\|_V^{p})^{q_1(p-1)},$$

$f_1(s)$ is decreasing on $s \in [0, 1]$ and then $f_1(s) < 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. By (3.7), we have

$$\|se_1\| \leq \frac{\delta}{G_0} \|e\| \leq \delta$$

(3.16)

for all $s \in [0, 1]$. Then for all $\lambda > \Lambda_2$, by (H1)$'$, (3.5), (3.6) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$c_\lambda \leq \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \tilde{J}_\lambda(se_1) \leq \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \tilde{J}_\lambda(se_1) \leq \max_{s \geq 0} f_1(s) + \max_{s \geq 0} f_2(s)$$

$$\leq \max_{s \geq 0} f_2(s) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} - \frac{M_1}{(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} \right) \left(\frac{\|e_1\|_V}{\|e_1\|_{L V_1}}\right)^{\frac{q_1-p}{q_1}} \lambda^{-\frac{q_1-p}{q_1-p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{p(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} - \frac{M_1}{(M_1 q_1)^{\frac{1}{q_1-p}}} \right) \left[\max\{1, V_{\infty}\}\right]^{1/p} (D^p + G^p)^{1/p} \left(\frac{\|e_1\|_V}{T^{\frac{1}{p}} \|e\|_{L p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1-p}{q_1-p}} \lambda^{-\frac{q_1-p}{q_1-p}}$$

$$= C_\ast \lambda^{-\frac{q_1-p}{q_1-p}}.$$
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that $u_{\lambda}$ is a critical point of $\bar{I}_{\lambda}$ with critical value $c_{\lambda}$. Since $(\bar{P}(u_{\lambda}), u_{\lambda}) = 0$, similar to the argument in (3.10) and by Lemma 3.4, we have

\[
\|u_{\lambda}\|_V^p \leq \frac{p^2}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} \bar{I}_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = \frac{p^2}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} c_{\lambda} \leq \frac{p^2}{a^{p-1}(\theta - p^2)} C_{*} \lambda^\frac{\theta}{p-1}.
\]

(3.17)

Since $\lambda > \Lambda_3 = \left( \frac{T^p}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-p}} C_{*} \left( \frac{2^p}{\delta^p} \right)^{q_1 - p}$, by (3.17), we have

\[
\|u_{\lambda}\|_\infty \leq \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha q - q + 1)^\frac{1}{q}} \|u_{\lambda}\|_V \leq \delta/2.
\]

(3.18)

So for all $\lambda > \Lambda_3$, $|u_{\lambda}(t)| \leq \|u_{\lambda}\|_\infty \leq \delta/2$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and then $\bar{P}(t, u(t)) = F(t, u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, $\bar{I}_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = c_{\lambda} > 0$ and $(\bar{P}(u_{\lambda}), v) = (I'(u_{\lambda}), v) = 0$ for all $v \in E_0^{\alpha,p}$. Thus $u_{\lambda}$ is precisely the nontrivial weak solution of system (1.1) when $\lambda > \lambda^* := \max\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3\}$. Note that $p > 1$ and $q_1 > p$. By (3.17) and (3.18), it is obvious that

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}\|_V = 0 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}\|_\infty.
\]

\[\square\]

4. Example

Assume that $N = 2, a = b = T = 1, p = 3$ and $\delta = 1$. Then $q = \frac{3}{2}$. Let $q_1 = 12, q_2 = 10, F(t, x) = (t+1)|x|^{11}$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|x| \leq 1$. $V(t) = 7t^2 + 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $V_{\infty} = 8$ and $V_{\infty} = 1$. Choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Consider the system

\[
\begin{cases}
A(u(t))(D_t^{1/2} \phi_3(\theta D_t^{1/2} u(t))) + (7t^2 + 1)\phi_3(u(t))) = 11\lambda(t + 1)|u|^3 u, & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1], \\
u(0) = u(1) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(4.1)

where

\[
A(u(t)) = \left[ 1 + \int_0^1 (|D_t^{1/2} u(t)|^3 + (7t^2 + 1)|u(t)|^3) dt \right]^{2}.
\]

By Theorem 1.1, we can obtain that system (4.1) has at least a nontrivial solution $u_{\lambda}$ in $E_0^{4,3}$ for each $\lambda > 183.4624$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}\|_V = 0 = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{\lambda}\|_\infty$. 
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In fact, we can verify that $F(t, u)$ satisfies (H0)-(H2) as follows.

i) Note that 
\[ |F(t, x)| = (t + 1)|x|^{11}, \quad |\nabla F(t, x)| = 11(t + 1)|x|^{10} \]
for all $|x| \leq \delta$. Set $a(|x|) = |x|^{10} + 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|x| \leq 1$, $b(t) = 11(t + 1)$ for a.e $t \in [0, T]$. Then assumption (H0) is satisfied.

ii) Note that $q_1 = 12 > q_2 = 10 > p_2 = 9$, and 
\[ |x|^{12} \leq F(t, x) = (t + 1)|x|^{11} \leq 2|x|^{10}, \]
for all $|x| \leq \delta$ and a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$. Set $M_1 = 1$ and $M_2 = 2$. Then assumption (H1) is also satisfied.

iii) Let $\beta = 10 > p_2 = 9$. Then 
\[ 0 \leq 10(t + 1)|x|^{11} = \beta F(t, x) \leq 11(t + 1)|x|^{11} = (\nabla F(t, x), x) \]
holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, and so assumption (H3) is satisfied. Next, we compute the value of $\lambda^*$ by the formulas in Theorem 1.1. Note that $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$, $\Gamma(2 - \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. We obtain 
\[ D = \left( \frac{T^{p+1}}{\pi^{p+1}} \frac{2(p - 1)!!}{p!!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \]
\[ G = \left( \frac{T^{p+1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)(p+1-\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \frac{16}{5} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \]
\[ G_0 = \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(aq - q + 1)} G = 5^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 16^\frac{2}{3} \pi^{-1}. \]
Then by $\theta = \min\{\beta, q_2\} = 10$, (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12), we have 
\[ \Lambda_1 = \max \left\{ \sqrt[3]{\frac{\sqrt{768}}{78125} \pi^{35/6}}, \frac{16^{11/2} \cdot 3 \pi^4}{625} (1 + \frac{5}{24\pi} + \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2})^3 \right\}, \]
\[ \Lambda_2 = \left( 1 + \frac{40}{3} \pi^{-1} + 32 \pi^{3/2} \right)^{24}, \]
\[ \Lambda_3 = \left( \frac{720 \cdot 16C_*}{\pi^{3/2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]
and by (1.13), 
\[ C_* = 16 \left( \frac{1}{3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{12}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{12^4}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{12}{5} \pi^{5/2} \right)^{4/3}. \]
Compared $\Lambda_1$, $\Lambda_2$ and $\Lambda_3$, it is easy to see $\lambda^* = \Lambda_2 \approx 183.46^{24}$.
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