

HILBERT-KUNZ FUNCTION AND HILBERT-KUNZ MULTIPLICITY OF SOME IDEALS OF THE REES ALGEBRA

KRITI GOEL, MITRA KOLEY, AND J. K. VERMA

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal (I, It) of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$, where I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a 1-dimensional local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , is a quasi-polynomial in e , for large e . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we calculate the Hilbert-Samuel function of the R -module $I^{[s]}$ and obtain an explicit description of the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal $(I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$ when I is a parameter ideal in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$, proving that the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function is a piecewise polynomial in this case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Noetherian local ring of positive prime characteristic p and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. The q^{th} -Frobenius power of I is the ideal $I^{[q]} = (x^q \mid x \in I)$ where $q = p^e$ for $e \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $e \mapsto \ell_R(R/I^{[p^e]})$ is called the *Hilbert-Kunz function* of R with respect to I and was first considered by E. Kunz in [11]. In [13], P. Monsky showed that this function is of the form

$$\ell_R(R/I^{[q]}) = e_{HK}(I, R)q^d + O(q^{d-1}),$$

where $e_{HK}(I, R)$ is a positive real number called the *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* of R with respect to I . We write $e_{HK}(R) := e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, R)$ and $e_{HK}(I) := e_{HK}(I, R)$. Besides the mysterious leading coefficient, the behavior of the Hilbert-Kunz function is also unpredictable. Monsky proved that in the case of 1-dimensional rings, the Hilbert-Kunz function $\ell_R(R/I^{[p^e]}) = e_{HK}(I, R)q + \delta_e$, where δ_e is a periodic function of e , for large e . Precisely, take $R = k[[X, Y]]/(X^5 - Y^5)$, where k is a field of prime characteristic $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R . Monsky [13] showed that for large e , $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}) = 5q + \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e)$, where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e) = -4$ when e is even and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e) = -6$ when e is odd. In [9], the authors determined the Hilbert-Kunz function of the maximal ideal of the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}/p[[x_1, \dots, x_s]]/(x_1^{d_1} + \dots + x_s^{d_s})$, where d_i are positive integers, $d = \dim R$, and $s = d + 1 \geq 3$. They proved that if $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}) = e_{HK}(R)q^d + \delta_e$, then $e_{HK}(R)$ is rational and δ_e is an eventually periodic function of e whenever $s = 3$ or $p = 2$. But when $s = 4$ and $p = 5$ with $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 4$, then $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[5^e]}) = (168/61) 5^{3e} - (107/61) 3^e$. In [2], H. Brenner proved the following result

Theorem 1.1. *Let k denote the algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic p . Let R denote a normal two-dimensional standard-graded k -domain and let I denote a homogeneous R_+ -primary ideal. Then the Hilbert-Kunz*

The first author is supported by a UGC fellowship, Govt. of India.

Key words and phrases: Hilbert-Kunz function, Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, Rees algebra, generalized Hilbert-Kunz function.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A30, 13A35, 13D40.

function of I has the form $\ell_R(R/I^{[q]}) = e_{HK}(I, R)q^2 + \delta_e$, where $e_{HK}(I, R)$ is a rational number and δ_e is an eventually periodic function.

There are numerous papers in which Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity have been calculated for specific local and graded rings. We mention a few of them. K. Pardue [15], P. Monsky [14] and R.-O. Buchweitz and Q. Chen [3] computed the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for cubic curves. N. Fakhruddin and V. Trivedi [6] found explicit formulas for the Hilbert-Kunz functions and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities of certain projective embeddings of flag varieties and elliptic curves over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristics. Explicit computation of the Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity are also done in [17]. In [18], a family of one-dimensional reduced Cohen-Macaulay local rings are constructed which have same Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity but have infinitely many Hilbert-Kunz functions.

We recall a few definitions. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R . The *Hilbert-Samuel function* $H_I(n)$ of R with respect to I is defined as $H_I(n) = \ell_R(R/I^n)$. It is known that $H_I(n)$ is a polynomial function of n of degree d , for large n . In particular, there exists a polynomial $P_I(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $H_I(n) = P_I(n)$ for all large n . Write

$$P_I(x) = e_0(I) \binom{x+d-1}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{x+d-2}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(I),$$

where $e_i(I)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ are integers, called the *Hilbert coefficients* of I . The leading coefficient $e_0(I)$ is called the *multiplicity* of I and $e_1(I)$ is called the *Chern number* of I . We write $e_0(I) := e_0(I, R)$ and $e_0(R) := e_0(\mathfrak{m}, R)$. The *postulation number* of I is defined as

$$n(I) = \max\{n \mid H_I(n) \neq P_I(n)\}.$$

The notion of reduction of an ideal was introduced by D. G. Northcott and D. Rees. Let $J \subseteq I$ be ideals of R . If $J I^n = I^{n+1}$ for some n , then J is called a *reduction* of I . The reduction number $r_J(I)$ of I with respect to J is the smallest n such that $J I^n = I^{n+1}$. The *reduction number* of I is defined as

$$r(I) = \min\{r_J(I) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } I\}.$$

Moreover, if R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite, then minimal reduction of I exists.

Let $\mathcal{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$ denote the Rees algebra of I . The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of various blowup algebras was estimated by K. Eto and K.-i. Yoshida in their paper [5]. Put $c(d) = (d/2) + d/(d+1)!$. They proved the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ with $d = \dim R \geq 1$. Then for any \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I , we have*

$$e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(I)) \leq c(d) e_0(I).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $e_{HK}(R) = e_0(I)$. When this is the case, $e_{HK}(R) = e_0(R)$ and $e_{HK}(I) = e_0(I)$.

In this paper, we compute the Hilbert-Kunz function and the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of certain ideals of the Rees algebra. We begin with the case of one-dimensional Noetherian local rings of prime characteristic, where, motivated by the result of Monsky, we prove the quasi-polynomial nature of Hilbert-Kunz function for certain classes of ideals of the Rees algebra. For rings of dimension at least 2, we prove that the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function is a piece-wise polynomial for certain class of ideals. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we begin by proving the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Noetherian local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let I, J be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals. Then $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_0(J)$.*

Next, we prove that the Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal (I, It) of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$, where I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a 1-dimensional ring, is a quasi-polynomial in e , for large e . Recall that a quasi-polynomial of degree d is a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$f(n) = c_d(n)n^d + c_{d-1}(n)n^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0(n),$$

where each $c_i(n)$ is a periodic function and $c_d(n)$ is not identically zero. Equivalently, f is a quasi-polynomial if there exists an integer $N > 0$ (namely, a common period of c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) and polynomials f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{N-1} such that $f(n) = f_i(n)$ if $n \equiv i \pmod{N}$ (see [16]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a 1-dimensional Noetherian local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let r be the reduction number of I and ρ be the postulation number of I . Put $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Let $q = p^e$, where $e \in \mathbb{N}$ is large.*

(1) *If $\rho + 1 \leq r$, then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I) \binom{r}{2} + r e_1(I) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e).$$

(2) *If $r < \rho + 1$, then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I) \left(r(r-1) - \frac{\rho(\rho+1)}{2} \right) + (2r - \rho - 1)e_1(I) + \beta + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e),$$

where $\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{\rho} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)$ is a constant and $\alpha_I(I^n, e) = \ell_R(I^n / I^{[q]} I^n) - e_0(I)q$ is a periodic function in e , for large e . In other words, $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I) / \mathcal{I}^{[q]})$ is a quasi-polynomial for large e .

In the above setup, if I is a parameter ideal and J is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R , then we prove that for large e ,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(J, It)^{[q]}} \right) = q^2 e_0(J) + q \alpha_J(e),$$

where $\alpha_J(e) = \ell_R(R/J^{[q]}) - e_0(J)q$ is a periodic function of e . Let $R = k[[X, Y]]/(X^5 - Y^5)$, where k is a field of prime characteristic $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ and \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R . As a consequence of the

above results, we compute the Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideals $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})$ and $(\mathfrak{m}, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$, where $I = (x)$ is a parameter ideal and x is the image of X in R .

Let R be a d -dimensional Noetherian ring. Let I be an ideal of finite co-length. Aldo Conca introduced the concept of generalized Hilbert-Kunz function in [4]. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I^{[s]} = (a_1^s, \dots, a_n^s)$ where $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a fixed set of generators of I . Then the *generalized Hilbert-Kunz function* is defined as

$$HK_{R,I}(s) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right).$$

The generalized Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is defined as $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} HK_{R,I}(s)/s^d$ whenever the limit exists. If $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$ and q is a power of p , then the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function (multiplicity) coincides with the Hilbert-Kunz function (multiplicity) and is independent of the choice of the generators of I .

In section 3, we find the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)$ in $\mathcal{R}(I)$, when I is generated by a regular sequence in a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Our approach requires knowledge of the Hilbert-Samuel function of the R -module $I^{[s]}$. We obtain an explicit description of the function $F(s, n) = \ell_R(I^{[s]}/I^{[s]}I^n)$ for a fixed $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and then use some properties of Stirling numbers of the first kind and Stirling numbers of the second kind to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let R be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and $d \geq 2$. Let I be a parameter ideal of R and $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Put $c(d) = (d/2) + d/(d+1)!$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *Let $s < d$. Write $d = k_1s + k_2$ where $k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. If $k_2 = 0$, then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[(d - k_1 + 1)s^{d+1} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \binom{(d-i-k_1+1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \right].$$

If $k_2 \neq 0$, then

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[(d - k_1)s^{d+1} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \binom{(d-i-k_1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \right].$$

(2) *Let $s \geq d$. Then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[\frac{ds^{d+1}}{2} - \frac{s^d(d-2)}{2} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} \right].$$

In other words, for s large,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = c(d)e_0(I)s^{d+1} + e_0(I) \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(d-1)!} - 1 \right) s^d + e_0(I) \frac{d(d-1)(3d-10)}{24(d-1)!} s^{d-1} + \dots,$$

implying that the generalized Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity $e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = c(d) e_0(I)$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$. Then for $s \geq d$,*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})}{(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[s]}} \right) = \frac{ds^{d+1}}{2} - \frac{s^d(d-2)}{2} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1}.$$

In [1], we continue our exploration of the nature of Hilbert-Kunz function, where we give an expression of the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function of the maximal ideal of the Rees algebra of the maximal ideal of Stanley-Reisner rings. A precise formula for the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of ideals of the Rees algebra was computed, in terms of mixed multiplicities, in [20]. It is natural to ask if there is a parallel notion of mixed Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities and if the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity can be expressed in terms of these numbers. This is still an open question.

Acknowledgements: We thank Vijaylaxmi Trivedi, Anurag Singh and K.-i. Watanabe for several discussions and their lectures at IIT Bombay on Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity and positive characteristic methods.

2. THE HILBERT-KUNZ FUNCTION IN DIMENSION 1

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Noetherian local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. In this section, we calculate the Hilbert-Kunz function and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of certain ideals of the Rees ring $\mathcal{R}(I)$, where I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R .

Let I, J be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals of R . We begin by calculating $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I))$, the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of the ideal (J, It) in $\mathcal{R}(I)$. Recall that if a Noetherian ring R has prime characteristic p , then $x \in R$ is said to be in the *tight closure* I^* of an ideal I if there exists $c \in R^\circ := \{a \in R \mid a \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ for any minimal prime } \mathfrak{p} \subset R\}$ such that $cx^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all large $q = p^e$. In [10, Theorem 8.17(a)], M. Hochster and C. Huneke proved that if $J \subseteq I$ are \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals of R such that $I^* = J^*$, then $e_{HK}(I) = e_{HK}(J)$.

For an ideal I in a ring R , the *integral closure* of I , denoted by \bar{I} , is the ideal which consists of elements $x \in R$ such that x satisfies an equation of the form $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ for some $a_i \in I^i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The following generalization of the Briançon-Skoda theorem was given by Hochster and Huneke.

Theorem 2.1 ([10, Theorem 5.4]). *Let R be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p and let I be an ideal of positive height generated by n elements. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{I}^{n+m} \subseteq (I^{m+1})^*$.*

It now follows that the tight closure and integral closure of principal ideals coincide.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Noetherian local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let I, J be \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals. Then $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_0(J)$.*

Proof. If R does not have an infinite residue field, we pass to a general extension $S = R[x]_{\mathfrak{m}[x]}$ of R , where x is an indeterminate. Note that S has an infinite residue field. Since S/R is a faithfully flat extension, it follows that for all $q = p^e$, $e \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(J, It)^{[q]}\mathcal{R}(I)}\right) = \ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I) \otimes_R S}{(J, It)^{[q]}(\mathcal{R}(I) \otimes_R S)}\right) = \ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I \otimes_R S)}{(J, It)^{[q]}\mathcal{R}(I \otimes_R S)}\right).$$

Hence, $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I \otimes_R S))$.

Therefore, we may assume that R has an infinite residue field. Let $(x), (y)$ be minimal reductions of J and I respectively in R . We claim that $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_{HK}((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I))$. Note that it is sufficient to show that $((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = ((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^*$. Using generalized Briançon-Skoda theorem, it follows that

$((x)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = \overline{(x)\mathcal{R}(I)}$ and $((yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = \overline{(yt)\mathcal{R}(I)}$. As $(x)\mathcal{R}(I)$ and $(yt)\mathcal{R}(I)$ are reductions of $J\mathcal{R}(I)$ and $(It)\mathcal{R}(I)$ respectively, we have

$$((x)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = \overline{(x)\mathcal{R}(I)} = \overline{J\mathcal{R}(I)} \quad \text{and} \quad ((yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = \overline{(yt)\mathcal{R}(I)} = \overline{(It)\mathcal{R}(I)}.$$

Since $\overline{J\mathcal{R}(I)} = ((x)\mathcal{R}(I))^* \subseteq (J\mathcal{R}(I))^*$, we get $((x)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = (J\mathcal{R}(I))^*$. Similarly, $((yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = ((It)\mathcal{R}(I))^*$. Consider

$$((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^* = (((x)\mathcal{R}(I))^* + ((yt)\mathcal{R}(I))^*)^* = ((J\mathcal{R}(I))^* + ((It)\mathcal{R}(I))^*)^* = ((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I))^*.$$

This proves the claim. Therefore, $e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_{HK}((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I))$. As x, yt form a system of parameters in $\mathcal{R}(I)_{(\mathfrak{m}, It)}$, it follows that

$$e_{HK}((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_{HK}((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_0((x, yt)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_0((J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = e_0(J),$$

where the last equality follows from [20, Theorem 3.1]. \square

Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R . We prove that $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/(I, It)^{[p^e]})$ is a quasi-polynomial in e , for large e .

Theorem 2.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Noetherian local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let r be the reduction number of I and ρ be the postulation number of I . Put $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Let $q = p^e$, where $e \in \mathbb{N}$ is large.*

(1) *If $\rho + 1 \leq r$, then*

$$\ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I)\binom{r}{2} + e_1(I)r + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e).$$

(2) *If $r < \rho + 1$, then*

$$\ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I)\left(r(r-1) - \frac{\rho(\rho+1)}{2}\right) + (2r - \rho - 1)e_1(I) + \beta + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e),$$

where $\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) - \sum_{n=r}^{\rho} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right)$ is a constant and $\alpha_I(I^n, e) = \ell_R(I^n/I^{[q]}I^n) - e_0(I)q$ is a periodic function in e , for large e . In other words, $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathcal{I}^{[q]})$ is a quasi-polynomial for large e .

Proof. If R does not have an infinite residue field, we pass to a general extension $S = R[x]_{\mathfrak{m}[x]}$ of R , where x is an indeterminate. Note that S has an infinite residue field. Since S/R is a faithfully flat extension, it follows that for all $q = p^e$, $e \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(I, It)^{[q]}\mathcal{R}(I)}\right) = \ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I) \otimes_R S}{(I, It)^{[q]}(\mathcal{R}(I) \otimes_R S)}\right) = \ell\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I \otimes_R S)}{(I, It)^{[q]}\mathcal{R}(I \otimes_R S)}\right).$$

Therefore, we may assume that R has an infinite residue field. Fix $q = p^e$ large. Observe that

$$\mathcal{I}^{[q]} = (I^{[q]}, I^{[q]}t^q) = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{q-1} I^{[q]}I^n t^n\right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq q} I^{[q]}I^{n-q} t^n\right).$$

Let (x) be a minimal reduction of I and let r be the reduction number of I . Then $x^k I^l = I^{k+l}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq r$. Write $I = x + J$, for some ideal $J \subseteq I$. Then $I^{[q]} I^{n-q} = (x^q + J^{[q]}) I^{n-q} = I^n$, for all $n \geq q + r$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}^{[q]} = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{q-1} I^{[q]} I^n t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n=q}^{q+r-1} I^{[q]} I^{n-q} t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq q+r} I^n t^n \right)$$

and hence

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=r}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=q}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^{n-q}} \right).$$

Writing $\ell_R(I^n / I^{[q]} I^n) = \ell_R(R / I^{[q]} I^n) - \ell_R(R / I^n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=r}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=q}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[q]} I^{n-q}} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=r}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=r}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[q]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq r$, $I^{[q]} I^n = (x^q + J^{[q]}) I^n = I^{n+q}$. If the given ring is not complete, we may pass to its \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \hat{R} and use the fact that \hat{R} is a faithfully flat extension of R . Now use [13, Theorem 3.11], to write $\ell_R(I^n / I^{[q]} I^n) = e_0(I, I^n)q + \alpha_I(I^n, e)$, where $\alpha_I(I^n, e)$ is a periodic function of e . Using the associativity formula for $e_0(I)$, one may check that $e_0(I, I^n) = e_0(I, R)$. Thus,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (e_0(I)q + \alpha_I(I^n, e)) + \sum_{n=r}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{n+q}} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right).$$

Since evaluation of the expression $\sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)$ depends on the relation between r and ρ , we have the following cases:

Case 1: Let $\rho + 1 \leq r$. Then $\ell_R(R / I^n) = e_0(I)n - e_1(I)$, for all $n \geq r$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}} \right) &= 2rq e_0(I) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e) + \sum_{n=r+q}^{2q-1} (e_0(I)n - e_1(I)) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=r}^{q+r-1} (e_0(I)n - e_1(I)) \\ &= 2rq e_0(I) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e) + e_0(I) \left[\binom{2q}{2} - 2 \binom{r+q}{2} + \binom{r}{2} \right] + e_1(I)r + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_a^{b-1} n = \binom{b}{2} - \binom{a}{2}$. Since $2rq + \binom{2q}{2} - 2\binom{r+q}{2} + \binom{r}{2} = q^2 - \binom{r}{2}$, we get

$$\ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I)\binom{r}{2} + e_1(I)r + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e).$$

Case 2: Let $r < \rho + 1$. Then $\ell_R(R/I^n) = e_0(I)n - e_1(I)$, for all $n \geq \rho + 1$ and $\sum_{n=r}^{\rho} \ell_R(R/I^n)$ is a constant. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) &= 2rq e_0(I) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e) + \sum_{n=r+q}^{2q-1} (e_0(I)n - e_1(I)) + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) - \sum_{n=r}^{\rho} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=\rho+1}^{q+r-1} (e_0(I)n - e_1(I)). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) &= 2rq e_0(I) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e) + e_0(I) \left[\binom{2q}{2} - 2\binom{r+q}{2} + \binom{\rho+1}{2} \right] + (2r - \rho - 1)e_1(I) + \beta \\ &= e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I) \left(r(r-1) - \frac{\rho(\rho+1)}{2} \right) + (2r - \rho - 1)e_1(I) + \beta + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) - \sum_{n=r}^{\rho} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right)$ is a constant. Let N be a common period of $\alpha_I(I^n, e)$, for $0 \leq n \leq r-1$. Then it follows that $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathcal{I}^{[q]}) - e_0(I)q^2$ is a periodic function of e . In other words, $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathcal{I}^{[q]})$ is a quasi-polynomial in e , for large e . \square

In particular, if R is a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring we get the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let r be the reduction number of I . Put $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Then for $q = p^e$, where $e \in \mathbb{N}$ is large,*

$$\ell_R\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[q]}}\right) = e_0(I)q^2 - e_0(I)\binom{r}{2} + e_1(I)r + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \ell_R\left(\frac{R}{I^n}\right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \alpha_I(I^n, e),$$

where $\alpha_I(I^n, e) = \ell_R(I^n/I^{[q]}I^n) - e_0(I)q$ is a periodic function in e , for large e . In other words, $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/\mathcal{I}^{[q]})$ is a quasi-polynomial for large e .

Proof. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, using [12, Theorem 2.15] it follows that the postulation number of I , $\rho = r - 1$. Substitute the same in Theorem 2.3 to conclude. \square

Next, we calculate the Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal $\mathcal{J} = (J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$ when I is a parameter ideal and J is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay ring R .

Theorem 2.5. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let $I = (a)$ be a parameter ideal and J be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Put $\mathcal{J} = (J, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Then for $q = p^e$, where $e \in \mathbb{N}$ is large,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{J}^{[q]}} \right) = q^2 e_0(J) + q \alpha_J(e),$$

where $\alpha_J(e) = \ell_R(R/J^{[q]}) - e_0(J)q$ is a periodic function of e .

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathcal{J}^{[q]} = (J^{[q]}, I^{[q]}t^q) = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{q-1} J^{[q]}(a^n)t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq q} (a^n)t^n \right)$$

which implies that

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{J}^{[q]}} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{(a^n)}{J^{[q]}(a^n)} \right) = q \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{J^{[q]}} \right) = e_0(J)q^2 + q \alpha_J(e).$$

□

We illustrate the above results in the following example.

Example 2.6. Let $R = k[[X, Y]]/(X^5 - Y^5)$, where k is a field of prime characteristic $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R . Put $q = p^e$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Monsky [13] showed that for large e , $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}) = 5q + \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e)$, where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e) = -4$ when e is even and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e) = -6$ when e is odd.

(1) We first calculate $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})/(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[q]})$. It is easy to check that $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 5$ and $e_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 10$. Let $I = (x)$, where x denotes the image of X in R . Then I is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{m} and $r(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$. Using Corollary 2.4, it follows that

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})}{(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[q]}} \right) = 5q^2 + 10 + \sum_{n=0}^3 \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^n} \right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^3 \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}^n, e) = 5q^2 + 20 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^3 \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}^n, e). \quad (2.1)$$

For an R -ideal J , let $\mu(J)$ denote the minimal number of generators of J . For $n = 1, 2, 3$, writing

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^n}{\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}\mathfrak{m}^n} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}}{\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}\mathfrak{m}^n} \right) - \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^n} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}\mathfrak{m}^i) - \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^n} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}, e) = \begin{cases} -3 & \text{if } e \text{ is even} \\ -5 & \text{if } e \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}^2, e) = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } e \text{ is even} \\ -3 & \text{if } e \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}^3, e) = -1.$$

Substituting in (2.1), we get $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})/(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[q]}) = 5q^2 + \beta_e$, where $\beta_e = 0$ when e is even and $\beta_e = -10$ when e is odd.

(2) We now calculate $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/(\mathfrak{m}, It)^{[q]})$. Using Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(\mathfrak{m}, It)^{[q]}} \right) = e_0(\mathfrak{m})q^2 + q \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(e) = 5q^2 + \begin{cases} -4q & \text{if } e \text{ is even} \\ -6q & \text{if } e \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

One can also verify this using the following arguments. Observe that $\mathcal{R}(I) \simeq k[[X, Y]][Z]/(X^5 - Y^5)$. In order to find $\ell(k[X, Y, Z]/(X^5 - Y^5, X^q, Y^q, Z^q))$, we find the Gröbner basis of the ideal $M_q = (X^5 - Y^5, X^q, Y^q, Z^q)$ in $k[X, Y, Z]$. Let ' $>$ ' be any monomial ordering on $k[X, Y, Z]$ with $X > Y > Z$. Since q is large, the S -polynomials are:

$$S(X^5 - Y^5, X^q) = X^{q-5}Y^5, \quad S(X^5 - Y^5, X^{q-5}Y^5) = X^{q-10}Y^{10}, \dots$$

Therefore, Gröbner basis of M_q is $G = \{X^5 - Y^5, X^q, Y^q, Z^q, X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} \mid q - 5i > 0\}$. Observe that

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(\mathfrak{m}, It)^{[q]}} \right) = \ell \left(\frac{k[X, Y, Z]}{\text{in}_{>}(M_q)} \right) = \ell \left(\frac{k[X, Y, Z]}{(X^5, Y^q, Z^q, X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} \mid q - 5i < 5)} \right).$$

We now explore the condition $q - 5i$ such that $q - 5i < 5$. Since q is of the form $(5k + 2)^e$ or $(5k + 3)^e$ for some $k, e \in \mathbb{N}$, it is sufficient to find the values $(5k + 2)^e$ modulo 5 and $(5k + 3)^e$ modulo 5. First, consider the case $(5k + 2)^e$ modulo 5. Using the binomial theorem, we only need to find $2^e \pmod{5}$.

If e is even, then $2^e \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$. This implies that $X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} = XY^{q-1}$ or $X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} = X^4Y^{q-4}$. In either of the case, we get $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/(\mathfrak{m}, It)^{[q]}) = 5q^2 - 4q$.

If e is odd, then $2^e \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$. This implies that $X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} = X^2Y^{q-2}$ or $X^{q-5i}Y^{5i} = X^3Y^{q-3}$. In either of the case, $\ell_R(\mathcal{R}(I)/(\mathfrak{m}, It)^{[q]}) = 5q^2 - 6q$. We get the same conclusion in the case $(5k + 3)^e$ modulo 5.

3. THE HILBERT-KUNZ FUNCTION IN DIMENSION ≥ 2 .

In this section, we find the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function of the ideal $(I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$, where I is a parameter ideal in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. It turns out that in this case, the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function is eventually a polynomial.

Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex over an infinite field with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal homogeneous ideal of R and I be an ideal generated by a linear system of parameters. It is proved in [7, Theorem 6.1] that $\mathfrak{m} = I^*$. Therefore, using [5, Corollary 4.5] we get $e_{HK}((\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I))$. Thus in order to calculate $e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m}))$, it is sufficient to calculate $e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I))$. This observation motivated us to consider the following setup.

Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and I be a parameter ideal. Let $G(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$ denote the associated graded ring of I . Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For a fixed set of generators of I , define functions

$$F(s, n) := H_I(I^{[s]}, n) = \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad H(n) := H_I(R, n) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) = e_0(I) \binom{n + d - 1}{d}$$

for all n . Note that if R is 1-dimensional, then $F(s, n) = H(n)$ for all n .

We shall use the following result to find the reduction number of powers of an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal.

Theorem 3.1 ([12, Corollary 2.21]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $\text{grade}(G(I)_+) \geq d - 1$. Then for $k \geq 1$,*

$$r(I^k) = \lfloor \frac{n(I)}{k} \rfloor + d.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let I be a parameter ideal. Let $d \geq 2$. For a fixed $s \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$F(s, n) = \begin{cases} d H(n) & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq s, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) & \text{if } s+1 \leq n \leq (d-1)s-1, \\ H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I) & \text{if } n \geq (d-1)s. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, the function $F(s, n)$ is independent of the choice of generating set of I .

Proof. Case 1: Let $1 \leq n \leq s$. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Consider the following epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right)^{\oplus d} &\xrightarrow{\phi} \frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \rightarrow 0 \\ (a_1 + I^n, \dots, a_d + I^n) &\mapsto (a_1 x_1^s + \dots + a_d x_d^s) + I^{[s]}I^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(a_1 + I^n, \dots, a_d + I^n) \in \ker(\phi)$. Then $a_1 x_1^s + \dots + a_d x_d^s \in I^{[s]}I^n$ implies that

$$a_1 x_1^s + \dots + a_d x_d^s = b_1 x_1^s + \dots + b_d x_d^s,$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_d \in I^n$. Since x_1^s, \dots, x_d^s is an R -regular sequence, it follows that $(a_i - b_i) \in I^{[s]} \subseteq I^n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Thus $a_1, \dots, a_d \in I^n$. Therefore, $\ker(\phi) = 0$ and $I^{[s]}/I^{[s]}I^n \simeq (R/I^n)^{\oplus d}$. Hence $F(s, n) = d H(n)$, for all $1 \leq n \leq s$.

Case 2: Let $s+1 \leq n \leq (d-1)s-1$. Let x_i^* denote the image of x_i in I/I^2 , for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. As $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $(\mathbf{x}^*)^{[s]} = (x_1^s)^*, \dots, (x_d^s)^* \in I^s/I^{s+1}$ is a $G(I)$ -regular sequence. Hence the following exact sequence is obtained from the Koszul complex of $G(I)$ with respect to $(\mathbf{x}^*)^{[s]}$.

$$0 \rightarrow G(I)(-(d-1)s) \rightarrow G(I)(-(d-2)s) \xrightarrow{\binom{d}{1}} \dots \rightarrow G(I) \xrightarrow{\binom{d}{1}} G(I)(s) \rightarrow H_0((\mathbf{x}^*)^{[s]}; G(I)(s)) \rightarrow 0.$$

As we have an exact sequence of graded $G(I)$ -modules, taking the n^{th} -graded component of each of these modules gives us the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{I^{n-(d-1)s}}{I^{n-(d-1)s+1}} \rightarrow \left(\frac{I^{n-(d-2)s}}{I^{n-(d-2)s+1}} \right)^{\binom{d}{1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{n+1}} \right)^{\binom{d}{1}} \rightarrow \frac{I^{n+s}}{I^{n+s+1}} \rightarrow \frac{I^{n+s}}{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

For $s \leq n \leq (d-1)s-1$, it follows that

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n+s}}{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n+s}}{I^{n+s+1}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n-(i-1)s}}{I^{n-(i-1)s+1}} \right).$$

By canceling $\ell_R(R/I^{n+s})$ on both the sides, we get

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{n+s+1}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n-(i-1)s}}{I^{n-(i-1)s+1}} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

We can also write

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}} \right) &= \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) - \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) \\ &= \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) - \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n+s+1}}{I^{[s]}I^{n+1}} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (3.3)$$

since using Valabrega-Valla criterion ([19, Corollary 2.7]),

$$\frac{I^{[s]}I^n + I^{n+s+1}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \simeq \frac{I^{n+s+1}}{(I^{[s]} \cap I^{n+s}) \cap I^{n+s+1}} \simeq \frac{I^{n+s+1}}{I^{[s]} \cap I^{n+s+1}} \simeq \frac{I^{n+s+1}}{I^{[s]}I^{n+1}}.$$

Combining equations (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) - \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]}I^{n+1}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{n-(i-1)s}}{I^{n-(i-1)s+1}} \right)$$

and hence for all $s \leq n \leq (d-1)s-1$,

$$F(s, n+1) - F(s, n) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} [H(n - (i-1)s + 1) - H(n - (i-1)s)].$$

Adding the above equality from s to $t-1$, for any t such that $s+1 \leq t \leq (d-1)s-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}F(s, t) - F(s, s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} [H(t - (i-1)s) - H((2-i)s)] \\ &= d[H(t) - H(s)] + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(t - (i-1)s).\end{aligned}$$

Since $F(s, s) = d H(s)$, we get the result for $F(s, n)$, $s+1 \leq n \leq (d-1)s-1$.

Case 3: Let $n \geq s(d-1)$. We prove that $I^{[s]}I^n = I^{n+s}$. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, then I^{n+s} is generated by the monomials of the form $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_d^{i_d}$ where $\sum i_j = n+s$. Since the monomial $x_1^{s-1} \cdots x_d^{s-1}$ has degree $ds-d$ and $n+s \geq ds$, it follows that $I^{n+s} \subseteq I^{[s]}I^n \subseteq I^{n+s}$. Thus for all $n \geq (d-1)s$,

$$F(s, n) = \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{n+s}} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{n+s}} \right) - \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) = H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I).$$

□

Remarks 3.3. (1) The arguments in proof of Theorem 3.2, case 3 actually prove that $I^{[s]}I^n = I^{n+s}$, for all $n \geq d(s-1) - s + 1$. In other words, $F(s, n) = H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I)$ for all $n \geq s(d-1) - d + 1$. This proves that

$$F(s, n) = \begin{cases} d H(n) & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq s, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) & \text{if } s+1 \leq n \leq s(d-1) - d, \\ H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I) & \text{if } n \geq s(d-1) - d + 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

The following claim proves that the above observation does not contradict (3.1).

Claim: For all $s(d-1) - d + 1 \leq n \leq s(d-1)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) = H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I).$$

Write $n = s(d-1) - d + 1 + j$, where $j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} \binom{s(d-1) - d + 1 + j - (i-1)s + d - 1}{d} e_0(I) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} \binom{s(d-i) + j}{d} e_0(I). \end{aligned}$$

Using change of variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-i+1} \binom{d}{d-i} \binom{is+j}{d} e_0(I) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i+1} \binom{d}{i} \binom{is+j}{d} e_0(I) + \binom{ds+j}{d} e_0(I). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(n+s) = \binom{ds+j}{d} e_0(I)$, from [8, 3.150], we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} H(n - (i-1)s) = H(n+s) - s^d e_0(I).$$

- (2) Observe that $F(s, n)$ is the Hilbert-Samuel function of the R -module $I^{[s]}$ with respect to I . Let $n(I)$ denote the postulation number of I in R and $P_I(n)$ denote the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of I in R . Using (3.4), it follows that $F(s, n)$ is a polynomial, given by $P_I(n+s) - s^d e_0(I)$, for $n > \max\{n(I) + s + 1, (d-1)s - d + 1\}$.

We recall the definition of Stirling numbers of the first kind and Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Definition 3.4 ([16, page 26]). Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the set of permutations on n elements. Define $c(n, k)$ to be the number of permutations $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with exactly k cycles. The number $\mathbf{s}(n, k) := (-1)^{n-k} c(n, k)$ is known as the Stirling number of the first kind. It is easy to check that

$$(a) \mathbf{s}(n, n) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (b) \mathbf{s}(n, n-1) = -\binom{n}{2}.$$

Using [16, 1.3.7 Proposition], it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{s}(n, k) x^k = n! \binom{x}{n}. \quad (3.5)$$

Definition 3.5 ([16, page 73]). The Stirling number of the second kind, denoted by $\mathbf{S}(n, k)$, is equal to the number of partitions of the set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks.

$$\mathbf{S}(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(a) \mathbf{S}(d+1, d) = \binom{d+1}{2}, \quad (b) \mathbf{S}(d, d) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (c) \mathbf{S}(j, d) = 0, \text{ for all } j < d.$$

Using Stirling numbers we prove the following combinatorial identity.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $s, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \binom{is}{d+1} = \frac{ds^d(s-1)}{2}.$$

Proof. Using (3.5), write

$$\binom{is}{d+1} = \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, j) (is)^j.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \binom{is}{d+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, j) (is)^j \\ &= \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, j) s^j \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} i^j. \end{aligned}$$

Using definition and properties of Stirling numbers (see Definition 3.4 and 3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \binom{is}{d+1} &= \frac{d!}{(d+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, j) s^j \mathbf{S}(j, d) \\ &= \frac{1}{(d+1)} \left[\mathbf{s}(d+1, d) s^d \mathbf{S}(d, d) + \mathbf{s}(d+1, d+1) s^{d+1} \mathbf{S}(d+1, d) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(d+1)} \left[-s^d \binom{d+1}{2} + s^{d+1} \binom{d+1}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{ds^d(s-1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *For $d \geq 2$, put $\beta_1 = (d-2)/2$ and $\beta_2 = (d-1)(3d-10)/24$. Then for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\binom{s+d-1}{d+1} = \frac{s^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} + \frac{s^d \beta_1}{d!} + \frac{s^{d-1} \beta_2}{(d-1)!} + \cdots,$$

Proof. Using [8, page iv] and (3.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} &= (-1)^{d+1} \binom{-(s-1)}{d+1} = \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, k) (1-s)^k \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \mathbf{s}(d+1, k) \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j s^j. \end{aligned}$$

By index shifting, we get

$$\binom{s+d-1}{d+1} = \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{d+1-j} \mathbf{s}(d+1, d+1-k) \binom{d+1-k}{j-k} s^{d+1-j}. \quad (3.6)$$

Coefficient of s^{d+1} in (3.6) is

$$\frac{1}{(d+1)!} \mathbf{s}(d+1, d+1) = \frac{1}{(d+1)!}. \quad (3.7)$$

Coefficient of s^d in (3.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \left[(-1)^d \mathbf{s}(d+1, d+1)(d+1) + (-1)^d \mathbf{s}(d+1, d) \right] &= \frac{-1}{(d+1)!} \left[d+1 - \binom{d+1}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{d-2}{2d!}. \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient of s^{d-1} in (3.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \left[\mathbf{s}(d+1, d+1) \binom{d+1}{2} + \mathbf{s}(d+1, d)d + \mathbf{s}(d+1, d-1) \right] \\ = \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \left[\binom{d+1}{2} - d \binom{d+1}{2} + c(d+1, d-1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using [16, 1.3.6 Lemma], it is easy to check that

$$c(d+1, d-1) = \sum_{j=2}^d j \binom{j}{2} = \frac{d(d+1)(3d^2-d-2)}{24}.$$

Substituting, we get the coefficient of s^{d-1} is

$$\frac{1}{(d+1)!} \left[\binom{d+1}{2} - d \binom{d+1}{2} + \frac{d(d+1)(3d^2-d-2)}{24} \right] = \frac{(d-1)(3d-10)}{24(d-1)!}.$$

□

Theorem 3.8. *Let R be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and $d \geq 2$. Let I be a parameter ideal of R and $\mathcal{I} = (I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)$. Put $c(d) = (d/2) + d/(d+1)!$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *Let $s < d$. Write $d = k_1s + k_2$ where $k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. If $k_2 = 0$, then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[(d - k_1 + 1)s^{d+1} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \binom{(d-i-k_1+1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \right].$$

If $k_2 \neq 0$, then

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[(d - k_1)s^{d+1} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} \binom{(d-i-k_1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \right].$$

(2) *Let $s \geq d$. Then*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[\frac{ds^{d+1}}{2} - \frac{s^d(d-2)}{2} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} \right].$$

In other words, for s large,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = c(d)e_0(I)s^{d+1} + e_0(I) \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(d-1)!} - 1 \right) s^d + e_0(I) \frac{d(d-1)(3d-10)}{24(d-1)!} s^{d-1} + \dots,$$

implying that the generalized Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity $e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = c(d) e_0(I)$.

Proof. Consider

$$\mathcal{I}^{[s]} = (I^{[s]}, I^{[s]}t^s) = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{s-1} I^{[s]}I^n t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq s} I^{[s]}I^{n-s} t^n \right).$$

Since $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the a -invariant $a_d(G(I)) = -d < 0$, using Theorem 3.1 it follows that $r(I^s) = d - 1$ if $s \geq d$. Let $s < d$. Write $d = k_1s + k_2$, where $k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. Then using Theorem 3.1 it follows that

$$r(I^s) = \lfloor -k_1 - \frac{k_2}{s} \rfloor + d = \begin{cases} d - k_1 & \text{if } k_2 = 0, \\ d - k_1 - 1 & \text{if } k_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 1: Let $s < d$. Write $d = k_1s + k_2$, where $k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. Then as observed above, $r(I^s) = d - k_1 - j$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. As $I^{[s]}$ is a minimal reduction of I^s , we get, $I^{[s]}I^{(d-k_1-j)s} = I^{(d-k_1-j+1)s}$. In other words, $I^{[s]}I^{n-s} = I^n$, for all $n \geq (d - k_1 - j + 1)s$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}^{[s]} = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{s-1} I^{[s]}I^n t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} I^{[s]}I^{n-s} t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq (d-k_1-j+1)s} I^n t^n \right).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[s]}I^{n-s}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}I^{n-s}} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write $\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right)$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay and I is a parameter ideal, $\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= (d - k_1 - j + 1)s \cdot \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^{n-s}} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &= (d - k_1 - j + 1)s \cdot \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^{n-s}} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

As $s < d$, we get $k_1 \geq 1$. Thus, $d - k_1 - j \leq d - 1$. Using Theorem 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= (d - k_1 - j + 1)s^{d+1} e_0(I) + 2d e_0(I) \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \binom{n+d-1}{d} + d e_0(I) \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j)s-1} \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-k_1-j)s-1} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{n - (i-1)s + d - 1}{d} \right] - \sum_{n=1}^{(d-k_1-j+1)s-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &= (d - k_1 - j + 1)s^{d+1} e_0(I) + 2d e_0(I) \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} + d e_0(I) \left[\binom{(d-k_1-j)s+d-1}{d+1} - \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{(d-j-k_1-i+1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right] - e_0(I) \binom{(d-k_1-j+1)s+d-1}{d+1} \\ &= (d - k_1 - j + 1)s^{d+1} e_0(I) + d e_0(I) \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{(d-j-k_1-i+1)s+d-1}{d+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $s \geq d$. Then $r(I^s) = d - 1$. As $I^{[s]}$ is a minimal reduction of I^s , we get, $I^{[s]} I^{(d-1)s} = I^{ds}$. In other words, $I^{[s]} I^{n-s} = I^n$, for all $n \geq ds$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{I}^{[s]} = \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{s-1} I^{[s]} I^n t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n=s}^{ds-1} I^{[s]} I^{n-s} t^n \right) + \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq ds} I^n t^n \right).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{ds-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^n}{I^{[s]} I^{n-s}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{ds-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]} I^{n-s}} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{ds-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write $\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right)$. Since $\ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^n} \right) = e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= ds \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{ds-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^{n-s}} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{ds-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &= ds \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{I^{[s]}} \right) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{ds-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &= ds^{d+1} e_0(I) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-1)s-d} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) + \sum_{n=(d-1)s-d+1}^{(d-1)s-1} \ell_R \left(\frac{I^{[s]}}{I^{[s]} I^n} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{ds-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= ds^{d+1} e_0(I) + 2d e_0(I) \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} \binom{n+d-1}{d} + d e_0(I) \sum_{n=s}^{(d-1)s-d} \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=s}^{(d-1)s-d} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{n-(i-1)s+d-1}{d} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=s(d-1)-d+1}^{(d-1)s-1} \left[\binom{n+s+d-1}{d} e_0(I) - s^d e_0(I) \right] - \sum_{n=1}^{ds-1} e_0(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d} \\ &= ds^{d+1} e_0(I) + 2d e_0(I) \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} + d e_0(I) \left[\binom{(d-1)s}{d+1} - \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{(d-i)s}{d+1} \right] + e_0(I) \binom{ds+d-1}{d+1} - e_0(I) \binom{ds}{d+1} - s^d (d-1) e_0(I) \\ &\quad - e_0(I) \binom{ds+d-1}{d+1} \\ &= ds^{d+1} e_0(I) + d e_0(I) \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left[(-1)^i \binom{d}{i} e_0(I) \binom{(d-i)s}{d+1} \right] - s^d (d-1) e_0(I) \\ &= e_0(I) \left[ds^{d+1} - s^d (d-1) + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \sum_{i=1}^d \left[(-1)^{d-i} \binom{d}{i} \binom{is}{d+1} \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.6, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) &= e_0(I) \left[ds^{d+1} - s^d (d-1) + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} - \frac{ds^d (s-1)}{2} \right] \\ &= e_0(I) \left[\frac{ds^{d+1}}{2} - \frac{s^d (d-2)}{2} + d \binom{s+d-1}{d+1} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

This implies that the Hilbert-Kunz function is a polynomial for $s \geq d$. We now use Lemma 3.7 to find the coefficients of s^{d+1} , s^d and s^{d-1} in the expression (3.8). The coefficient of s^{d+1} is

$$e_0(I) \left[\frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{(d+1)!} \right] = c(d).$$

Coefficient of s^d is

$$e_0(I) \left[\frac{-(d-2)}{2} + \frac{d(d-2)}{2d!} \right] = e_0(I) \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right) \left[-1 + \frac{1}{(d-1)!} \right]$$

and coefficient of s^{d-1} is

$$e_0(I) \frac{d(d-1)(3d-10)}{24(d-1)!}.$$

□

In [4], Conca remarked that the generalized Hilbert-Kunz function is dependent on the choice of the set of generators of the ideal. But if R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and I is a parameter ideal, then using Theorem 3.8 it follows that the length function $\ell(\mathcal{R}(I)/(I, It)^{[s]})$ is independent of the choice of generators of I .

Corollary 3.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$. Then for $s \geq d$,*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})}{(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[s]}} \right) = c(d)s^{d+1} + \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(d-1)!} - 1 \right) s^d + \frac{d(d-1)(3d-10)}{24(d-1)!} s^{d-1} + \dots$$

Proof. Use $I = \mathfrak{m}$ in Theorem 3.8. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d with positive prime characteristic $p > 0$. If there exists a parameter ideal I of R such that $I^* = \mathfrak{m}$, then*

$$e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = c(d)e_0(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Proof. If there exists a parameter ideal I of R such that $I^* = \mathfrak{m}$, then using the same arguments as in the proof of [5, Corollary 4.5(2)], it follows that $e_{HK}((\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I))$. Therefore,

$$e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = e_{HK}((\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = e_{HK}((I, It)\mathcal{R}(I)) = c(d)e_0(I) = c(d)e_0(\mathfrak{m}).$$

The latter equality holds as $I^* = \mathfrak{m}$ implies that $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let R be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex over an infinite field with prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal homogeneous ideal of R . Then $e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = c(d) f_{d-1}$, where f_{d-1} is the number of facets in the simplicial complex.*

Proof. Let I be an ideal generated by a linear system of parameters. It is proved in [7, Theorem 6.1] that $\mathfrak{m} = I^*$. Therefore, using Corollary 3.10 it follows that $e_{HK}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})) = c(d) e(\mathfrak{m})$. Since $e(\mathfrak{m}) = f_{d-1}$, we are done. □

Example 3.12. Let $k[[X_1, X_2, X_3]]$ be a power series ring in 3 variables over a field k . Let $I = (X_1^{n_1}, X_2^{n_2}, X_3^{n_3})$, where $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{(I, It)^{[2]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[32 + 3 - \sum_{i=0}^2 \left[(-1)^i \binom{3}{i} \binom{6-2i}{4} \right] \right] = 23 e_0(I) = 23 n_1 n_2 n_3$$

and for $s \geq 3$,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = n_1 n_2 n_3 \left[\frac{3s^4}{2} - \frac{s^3}{2} + 3 \binom{s+2}{4} \right] = n_1 n_2 n_3 \left[\frac{13}{8} s^4 - \frac{1}{4} s^3 - \frac{1}{8} s^2 - \frac{1}{4} s \right].$$

In particular, for $s \geq 3$,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{m})}{(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}t)^{[s]}} \right) = \frac{13}{8} s^4 - \frac{1}{4} s^3 - \frac{1}{8} s^2 - \frac{1}{4} s.$$

Example 3.13. Let $R = k[[X, Y, Z]] / (XY - Z^n)$ for some positive integer $n \geq 2$ and let I be a parameter ideal of R . Then for $s \geq 2$,

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(I)}{\mathcal{I}^{[s]}} \right) = e_0(I) \left[s^3 + 2 \binom{s+1}{3} \right] = e_0(I) \left[\frac{4}{3} s^3 - \frac{1}{3} s \right].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Arindam Banerjee, Kriti Goel, and J. K. Verma. Generalized Hilbert-Kunz function of the Rees algebra of the face ring of a simplicial complex. to appear in *Commutative Algebra: 150 years with Roger and Sylvia Wiegand*, Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc. [5](#)
- [2] Holger Brenner. The Hilbert-Kunz function in graded dimension two. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(10):3199–3213, 2007. [1](#)
- [3] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz and Qun Chen. Hilbert-Kunz functions of cubic curves and surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 197(1):246–267, 1997. [2](#)
- [4] Aldo Conca. Hilbert-Kunz function of monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces. *Manuscripta Math.*, 90(3):287–300, 1996. [4](#), [19](#)
- [5] Kazufumi Eto and Ken-ichi Yoshida. Notes on Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of Rees algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 31(12):5943–5976, 2003. [2](#), [10](#), [19](#)
- [6] N. Fakhruddin and V. Trivedi. Hilbert-Kunz functions and multiplicities for full flag varieties and elliptic curves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 181(1):23–52, 2003. [2](#)
- [7] Kriti Goel, Vivek Mukundan, and J. K. Verma. Tight closure of powers of ideals and tight Hilbert polynomials. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 169(2):335–355, 2020. [10](#), [19](#)
- [8] Henry W. Gould. *Combinatorial identities*. Henry W. Gould, Morgantown, W.Va., 1972. A standardized set of tables listing 500 binomial coefficient summations. [13](#), [14](#)
- [9] C. Han and P. Monsky. Some surprising Hilbert-Kunz functions. *Math. Z.*, 214(1):119–135, 1993. [1](#)
- [10] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1):31–116, 1990. [5](#)

- [11] Ernst Kunz. Characterizations of regular local rings of characteristic p . *Amer. J. Math.*, 91:772–784, 1969. [1](#)
- [12] Thomas John Marley. *Hilbert functions of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1989. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Purdue University. [8](#), [10](#)
- [13] P. Monsky. The Hilbert-Kunz function. *Math. Ann.*, 263(1):43–49, 1983. [1](#), [7](#), [9](#)
- [14] Paul Monsky. The Hilbert-Kunz function of a characteristic 2 cubic. *J. Algebra*, 197(1):268–277, 1997. [2](#)
- [15] Keith Pardue. *Nonstandard borel-fixed ideals*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1994. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Brandeis University. [2](#)
- [16] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. [3](#), [13](#), [15](#)
- [17] V. Trivedi. Hilbert-Kunz functions of a Hirzebruch surface. *J. Algebra*, 457:405–430, 2016. [2](#)
- [18] V. Trivedi. Nonfiniteness of Hilbert-Kunz functions. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 127(2):263–268, 2017. [2](#)
- [19] Paolo Valabrega and Giuseppe Valla. Form rings and regular sequences. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 72:93–101, 1978. [12](#)
- [20] J. K. Verma. Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(4):1036–1044, 1988. [5](#), [6](#)

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI, INDIA 400076

Email address: kritigoel.maths@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI, INDIA 400005

Email address: mitrak@math.tifr.res.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI, INDIA 400076

Email address: jkv@iitb.ac.in