

# THE TEMPORAL DECAY RATE OF SOLUTIONS TO 2D DISSIPATIVE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC FLOWS

XIAOPENG ZHAO\*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, by using Fourier splitting method and the expanded properties of decay character  $r^*$ , we establish the algebraic decay rate of higher order derivative of solutions to 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic flows.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the quasi-geostrophic flows in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \theta = 0, \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\theta(x, t)$  is the potential temperature,  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is the fluid velocity determined by the scalar stream function  $\Psi$  through

$$u = (u_1, u_2) = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \Psi, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Psi \right) = \nabla^\perp \Psi,$$

and  $\nu > 0$  is a dissipative coefficient. For convenience, we set  $\nu = 1$ . The relation between the temperature  $\theta$  and the stream function  $\Psi$  is

$$\Lambda \Psi = -\theta,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the Riesz potential operator defined by the fractional power of  $-\Delta$ :

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Lambda^{2\gamma} f} = \widehat{(-\Delta)^\gamma f} = |\xi|^{2\gamma} \hat{f}, \quad \forall \gamma > 0,$$

and  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Besides this geophysical connection, system (1) also shares many features with fundamental fluid motion equations. If the dissipative coefficient  $\nu = 0$ , this system is comparable with the vorticity formulation of the Euler equations [17]. From the mathematical viewpoint, system (1) is strikingly similar to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, although (1) is considerably simpler than 3D Navier-Stokes equations. According to the selection of  $\alpha$ , there are three cases for system (1): subcritical when  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , critical when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and supercritical when  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . For the subcritical and critical ranges of 2D quasi-geostrophic equations, the smoothness of global solutions has been proved (cf. [4, 13, 23, 16] and the reference cited therein). However, it is also an open problem for the supercritical range of system (1) (cf. [7, 10, 11]).

**REMARK 1.1.** If  $u = \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \theta$  with  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ , the system (1) is called the modified quasi-geostrophic equations, which also studied by many authors (cf. [14, 8, 18, 6] and reference cited therein).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Temporal decay rate; dissipative quasi-geostrophic flows; decay character; Fourier splitting method.

The temporal decay rate of solutions is an interesting topic in the study of dissipative equations. With the aid of the classical Fourier splitting method [25, 26], Constantin and Wu [13], Schonbek and Schonbek [24] established some decay results for the subcritical quasi-geostrophic equations. On the basis of the maximal principle, Córdoba and Córdoba [10], Ju [15] investigated the  $L^p$ -norm decay estimates. Moreover, based on the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian operator and iterative techniques, Dong and Liu [12] also obtained the upper bounds of weak solution and higher order derivatives of solutions to 2D quasi-geostrophic flows. Kato's method can also be used to study the  $L^p$ -norm decay rate of solutions to 2D quasi-geostrophic equations [5, 20]. We also recall that Zhou introduced a new method (see [29], some people called Zhou's method) to handle decay rate problems of system (1).

Recently, in order to characterize the decay rate of dissipative equations more profound, Bjorland and Schonbek [2], Niche and Schonbek [19] introduced the idea of decay indicator  $P_r$  and decay character  $r^*$ . Latterly, Brandolese [3] improved the definition of the decay indicator and the decay character by taking advantage of the insight provided by the Littlewood-Paley analysis and the use of Besov spaces. For more details on  $P_r$  and  $r^*$ , we refer to Section 2. We recall that there are some papers studied the decay estimate of solutions to dissipative equations by using the idea of decay character and Fourier splitting method (cf. [1, 21, 22, 27]) and the reference cited therein). It is worth pointing out that, on the basis of Fourier splitting method and decay character  $r^*$ , Niche and Schonbek [19], Ferreira, Niche and Planas [14] obtained some new results on the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations and modified quasi-geostrophic equations.

The following two results on the decay rate of solutions to system (1) was proved by Niche and Schonbek [19].

LEMMA 1.2 ([19]). *Let  $\theta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  is a weak solution to system (1). Then*

- if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$
- if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

LEMMA 1.3 ([19]). *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha \leq s$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\theta_0 \in H^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^2)$  have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  is a solution to system (1). Then*

- if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$\|\Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+1+r^*)}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$
- if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$\|\Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+2-\alpha)}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

In this paper, we continue to study the decay characterization of solutions to system (1) with  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ . On the basis of the expanded properties (see Lemma 2.9) of decay character  $r^*$  and Fourier splitting method, we establish the temporal decay rate of higher-order derivative of solutions. Our result can be described as follows:

THEOREM 1.4. *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha \leq s$  and  $\theta_0 \in H^{2\alpha P + \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $P \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\kappa \geq 0$ ) have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  is the solution to system (1). Then*

- if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$(2) \quad \|\partial_t^P \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+1+r^*+2\alpha P)}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$
- if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,  

$$(3) \quad \|\partial_t^P \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+2-\alpha+2\alpha P)}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.4, which can be proved by inductive argument:

- (1). Firstly, we prove Theorem 1.4 holds for  $P = 1$  and  $\kappa \geq 0$ ;
- (2). Suppose that Theorem 1.4 holds for  $P \leq p - 1$  and  $\kappa \geq 0$ , then we show that it also holds for  $P = p$  and  $\kappa \geq 0$ :
  - we show that it holds for  $P = p$  and  $\kappa = 0$ ;
  - we show that it holds for  $P = p$  and  $\kappa > 0$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We begin by giving some preliminary results on the properties of decay character  $r^*$  in Section 2. Then, in Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.4.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS AND PROPERTIES OF DECAY CHARACTER

**2.1. Preliminary results.** Denote the Riesz transform in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\mathcal{R}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}_j f} = -i \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

The operator  $\mathcal{R}^\perp$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}^\perp f = (-\partial_{x_2} \Lambda^{-1} f, \partial_{x_1} \Lambda^{-1} f) = -(\mathcal{R}_2 f, \mathcal{R}_1 f),$$

which implies that the relation between  $u$  and  $\theta$  is  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$ .

LEMMA 2.1 ([29]). *There exists a positive constant  $C(p)$  depending only on  $p$  such that*

$$\|\Lambda^\delta u\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) \|\Lambda^\delta \theta\|_{L^p},$$

for all  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $p = 2$ , the above inequality is actually an identity.

It is easy to see that the following result also holds for system (1):

COROLLARY 2.2. *There exists a positive constant  $C(p)$  depending only on  $p$  such that*

$$\|\partial_t^\iota \Lambda^\delta u\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) \|\partial_t^\iota \Lambda^\delta \theta\|_{L^p},$$

for all  $\iota \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**2.2. Definition and properties of decay character.** The definitions of decay indicator  $P_r(u_0)$  and decay character  $r^*$  was introduced in [2, 3].

DEFINITION 2.3 ([2, 3]). Suppose that  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $B_\rho = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq \rho\}$  and  $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , let the limit

$$P_r^s(v_0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-2r-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

holds for  $-\frac{n}{2} + s < r < \infty$ . Then,  $P_r^s(v_0)$  is called the  $s$ -decay indicator corresponding to  $\Lambda^s v_0$ .

DEFINITION 2.4 ([2, 3]). The decay character of  $\Lambda^s v_0$  denoted by  $r_s^* = r_s^*(v_0)$ , is the unique  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, \infty)$  such that  $0 < P_r^s(v_0) < \infty$ , provided that this number exists. If such  $P_r^s(v_0)$  does not exist, we can set  $r_s^* = -\frac{n}{2} + s$  when  $P_r^s(v_0) = \infty$  for all  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, \infty)$  or  $r_s^* = \infty$  if  $P_r^s(v_0) = 0$  for all  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, \infty)$ .

There's also a lemma on the relation between the decay character of  $\Lambda^s v_0$  and that of  $v_0$ .

LEMMA 2.5 ([19]). *Let  $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $s > 0$ . Then*

- (1) if  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^*(v_0) < \infty$ , then  $-\frac{n}{2} + s < r_s^*(v_0) < \infty$  and  $r_s^*(v_0) = s + r^*(v_0)$ ;
- (2)  $r_s^*(v_0) = \infty$  if and only if  $r^*(v_0) = \infty$ ;
- (3)  $r_s^*(v_0) = -\frac{n}{2}$  if and only if  $r^*(v_0) = -\frac{n}{2} + s$ .

REMARK 2.6 ([1, 28]). The decay character  $r^* = r^*(v_0)$  measure the “order” of  $\widehat{v}_0(\xi)$  at  $\xi = 0$  in frequency space. The theory of [2, 19] allows to define the decay character only in the following three situations:

- (1) Either,  $\exists r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, +\infty)$  such that  $0 < P_r^s(u_0) < +\infty$ , and in this case such  $r$  is unique,
- (2) Or  $\forall r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, +\infty)$ , one has  $P_r^s(u_0) = 0$ ,
- (3) Or  $\forall r \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, +\infty)$ , one has  $P_r^s(u_0) = +\infty$ .

But not in the other cases (e. g. it can happen that

$$\exists r, r' \in (-\frac{n}{2} + s, +\infty) \text{ such that } P_r^s(u_0) = 0 \text{ and } P_{r'}^s(u_0) = +\infty.$$

In addition, it can also happen that the limit defining  $P_r^s(u_0)$  does not exist).

**2.3. Decay characterization of the linear system.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Consider the solutions of the linear problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ):

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} v_t + \Lambda^{2\alpha} v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The solution of system (4) can be represented by the fundamental solution as

$$v(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^{2\alpha}} v_0 = G_\alpha * v_0,$$

where  $G_\alpha$  is given from the Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{G}_\alpha(\xi, t) = e^{-|\xi|^{2\alpha} t}.$$

Moreover, simple calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi.$$

The  $L^2$ -decay characterization of solutions to system (4) was established by Niche and Schonbek [19] by using Fourier splitting method.

LEMMA 2.7 ([19]). Assume that  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which has decay character  $r^*(v_0) = r^*$ , is a solution to system (4). Then

- (1). If  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^* < +\infty$ , there exists two positive constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , such that

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)} \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)};$$

- (2). if  $r^* = -\frac{n}{2}$ , there exists  $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ , such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

which means the decay of  $\|v\|_{L^2}^2$  is slower than any uniform algebraic rate;

- (3). if  $r^* = +\infty$ , there exists a  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-m}, \quad \forall m > 0,$$

that is, the decay of  $\|v\|_{L^2}^2$  is faster than any algebraic rate.

There's also an lemma on the  $\dot{H}^s$ -decay rate of solutions to system (4).

LEMMA 2.8 ([19]). Suppose that  $\Lambda^s v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $s > 0$ ) has decay character  $r_s^* = r_s^*(v_0)$ . Then

- (1) If  $-\frac{n}{2} \leq r^* < +\infty$ , there exists two positive constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , such that

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*+s)} \leq \|\Lambda^s v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*+s)};$$

(2) if  $r^* = +\infty$ , there exists a  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-m}, \quad \forall m > 0,$$

that is, the decay of  $\|\Lambda^s v\|_{L^2}^2$  is faster than any algebraic rate.

We now prove the following result on the decay characterization of solutions to system (4).

LEMMA 2.9. Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $v_0 \in H^K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has decay character  $r_m^* = r_m^*(v_0)$  ( $m > 0$ ). Then, for all  $0 < m + 2\alpha p \leq K$ , the following decay estimates hold:

(1) If  $-\frac{n}{2} \leq r^* < \infty$ , then there exists positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(r^*+m+2\alpha p+\frac{n}{2})} \leq \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(r^*+m+2\alpha p+\frac{n}{2})};$$

(2) if  $r^* = \infty$ , given any  $s > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_2 = C_2(s)$  such that

$$\|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C_2(1+t)^{-s},$$

which means the decay is faster than any algebraic rate.

PROOF. (1). Applying  $\partial_t^p$  to (4), multiplying by  $\partial_t^p \Lambda^{2m} v$ , we derive that

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{m+\alpha} v\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

Using Plancherel's theorem to (5), it yields that

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m+2\alpha} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi = 0.$$

Let

$$B(t) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq \rho(t) = \left( \frac{g'(t)}{2g(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \right\},$$

where  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g(0) = 1$  and  $g'(t) > 0$ , for all  $t > 0$ . Hence,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right) \\ & \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m} (|\xi|^{2\alpha})^{2p} e^{-2|\xi|^{2\alpha} t} |\hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq g'(t) \rho^{2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)+n} \rho^{-2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)-n} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m+4\alpha p} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < m + 2\alpha p \leq K$ . Since  $P_{r_m^*}(v_0) < \infty$  and  $r^*(\Lambda^m v_0) = r^*(v_0) + m$ , for all  $m \geq 0$ , there exist  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $0 < \rho < \rho_0$ ,

$$\rho^{-2(r^*+m)-n} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C,$$

which imply that

$$(8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right) \leq C g'(t) \rho^{2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)+n}.$$

Taking  $g(t) = (\frac{t}{2} + b)^k$  with  $k > r^* + m + 2\alpha p + 1 + \frac{n}{2}$ , we have  $\rho(t) = C(b+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . By (8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C(b+t)^{-k} + C(b+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(r^*+m+2\alpha p+\frac{n}{2})} \\ & \leq C(b+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(r^*+m+2\alpha p+\frac{n}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound of the decay estimate, as  $P_{r_m^*}(v_0) > 0$ , there exist a  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$ ,

$$C < \rho^{-2(r^*+m)-n} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad \forall s \in [0, K].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2m} |\partial_t^p \hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq C \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m+4\alpha p} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq C e^{-2\nu\rho^{2\alpha}t} \rho^{2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)+n} \rho^{-2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)-n} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m+4\alpha p} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq C e^{-2\nu\rho^{2\alpha}t} \rho^{2(r^*+m+2\alpha p)+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\rho = \rho_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$  yields  $e^{-2\nu\rho^{2\alpha}t} \geq C > 0$ , so

$$\|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(r^*+m+2\alpha p+\frac{n}{2})}.$$

(2). For the case  $r^* = \infty$ , we have  $P_r(v_0) = 0$  for any  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ . So, for any constant  $C = C(r)$ , there exists  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that for  $0 < \rho_0 < \rho$ ,

$$\rho^{-2(r+m)-n} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2m} |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi < C.$$

As in the proof of the upper bound in (1) with an inequality similar to (7), we obtain

$$\|\partial_t^p \Lambda^m v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-s}, \quad \forall r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty).$$

□

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

The following is a key lemma to prove Theorem 1.4.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions listed in Theorem 1.4 are satisfied. Then, for  $P > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad |\partial_t^P \widehat{\theta}(\xi, t)|^2 &\leq C |\xi|^{4\alpha P} e^{-2|\xi|^{2\nu\alpha}t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 + C |\xi|^{4\alpha P+2} \left[ \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right]^2 \\ &\quad + C \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \sum_{l=0}^p |\xi|^{4\alpha P-4\alpha p-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^P \hat{\theta}(\xi, t) &= (-1)^P |\xi|^{2\alpha P} e^{-\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} \hat{\theta}_0(\xi) + \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} (-\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha})^{2P-2p-2} \partial_t^p \widehat{u \cdot \nabla \theta}(\xi, t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (-\nu)^P |\xi|^{2\alpha P} e^{-\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}(t-s)} \widehat{u \cdot \nabla \theta}(\xi, s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\partial_t^p \widehat{u \cdot \nabla \theta}(\xi, t)| \leq |\partial_t^p \sum_j \xi_j \widehat{u_j \theta}(\xi, t)| \leq C \sum_{l=0}^p |\xi| \|\partial_t^l u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}.$$

Summing up, we complete the proof. □

Now, we establish an auxiliary result.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $\theta_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  is a weak solution to system (1). Then*

(1). *if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$

(2). *if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

PROOF. Applying  $\partial_t$  to (1)<sub>1</sub>, multiplying both side by  $\partial_t \theta$ , integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq |\langle \partial_t u \cdot \partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta, \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta \rangle| + |\langle u \cdot \partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta, \partial_t \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta \rangle| \\ & \quad + |\langle \partial_t \Lambda^{1-\alpha} u \cdot \partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta, \theta \rangle| + |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} u \cdot \partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta, \partial_t \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta \rangle| \\ & \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \\ & \quad + \|\theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{1-\alpha} u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{1-\alpha} u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \\ & \leq C(\|\Lambda^{2-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{2-2\alpha} u\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{1}{\alpha}(3-2\alpha+r^*), \frac{1}{\alpha}(4-3\alpha)\}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{for large } t, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem to (10), it yields

$$(11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \leq 0, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

Set

$$B(t) := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\xi|^{2\alpha} \leq \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)} \right\}, \quad B^c(t) := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(t),$$

where  $g(t)$  is a differentiable function of  $t$  satisfying

$$g(0) = 1, \quad g'(t) > 0, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Multiplying (11) by  $g(t)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right\} \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi, \quad \text{for large } t,$$

which together with Lemma 3.1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi & \leq C + \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}s} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2} \left( \int_0^s \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate now the right hand side of (12). For the first term, using the estimates from Lemma 2.9, it yields

$$(13) \quad \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2} ds.$$

For the second term, after integrating in polar coordinates in  $B(t)$ , by using Lemma 1.2, if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ , we deduce that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)+2} ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$  with some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*) + 2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (12)-(14) together gives

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*)} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2} \quad \text{for large } t. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ , we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)+2} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha) + 2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (12), (13) and (16) together gives

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2} \quad \text{for large } t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof. □

The following Lemma 3.3 implies that Theorem 1.4 holds for  $P = 1$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $\theta_0 \in H^{2+\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ) have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  is a solution to system (1). Then*

(1). *if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$

(2). *if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

PROOF. Applying  $\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa$  to (1)<sub>1</sub>, multiplying both side by  $\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta$ , integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C \sum_j |\langle \Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \partial_t(u_j \theta), \partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta \rangle| \\
& \leq C \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} (\|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1}(u_j \partial_t \theta)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1}(\partial_t u_j \theta)\|_{L^2}) \\
& \leq C \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} u_j\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^4} + \|u_j\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \theta\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t u_j\|_{L^4} + \|\theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} u_j\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \right) \\
& \leq C \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2(\kappa+\alpha)}} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}{2\kappa+2\alpha}} + \|\Lambda^{2(1-\alpha)} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2(\kappa+\alpha)}} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}{2\kappa+2\alpha}} + \|\Lambda^{2(1-\alpha)} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \right) \\
& \leq C \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2(\kappa+\alpha)}} \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}{2\kappa+2\alpha}} + \|\Lambda^{2(1-\alpha)} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}}, \quad \text{for large } t,
\end{aligned}$$

which means

$$(18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem to (18), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\partial_t \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
& \leq C \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (19) by  $g(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right\} \\
& \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{2\kappa} |\widehat{\theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + C g(t) \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}}, \quad \text{for large } t,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \leq C + \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2\kappa} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}s} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2\kappa+2} \left( \int_0^s \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) s \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} ds, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (20) can be estimated one by one. For the first term, using the estimates from Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(21) \quad \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2\kappa} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}s} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2} ds.$$

For the second term, after integrating in polar coordinates in  $B(t)$ , by using Lemma 1.2, if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2\kappa+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)+2} ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*+\kappa)} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

The third term can be estimate as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) s \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) s (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa-\alpha+\frac{5}{2}+r^*)} \frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1} ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$  and  $r^* > -1$ . For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)+2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (20)-(23) together gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad & \|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+\kappa+2r^*)} \\
 & \quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2} \\
 & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2}. \quad \text{for large } t.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (25) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+2\kappa+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)+2} ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (26) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) s \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) s (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa-2\alpha+\frac{7}{2})} \frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1} ds \\
 & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha+\kappa)-2} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)+2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (20), (21), (25) and (26) together gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (27) \quad & \|\partial_t \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2} \\
 & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2}. \quad \text{for large } t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof. □

On the basis of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we can establish the following result:

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $\theta_0 \in H^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ) have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  satisfies (2) and (3) for  $P \leq p - 1$ . Then*

(1). *if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^p \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$

(2). *if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^p \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2p}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

PROOF. Applying  $\partial_t^p$  to system (1)<sub>1</sub>, multiplying both side by  $\partial_t^p \theta$ , integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we arrive at

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \partial_t^p (u_j \theta), \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle|.$$

Consider the right hand side term of (28), for large  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & C |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \partial_t^p (u_j \theta), \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle| \\ &= C |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^p \partial_t^l u_j \partial_t^{p-l} \theta, \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle| \\ &\leq C |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} (u_j \partial_t^p \theta), \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle| + |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} (\partial_t^p u_j \theta), \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle| + |\langle \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^p \partial_t^l u_j \partial_t^{p-l} \theta, \partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta \rangle| \\ (29) \quad &\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{1-\alpha} u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \|\partial_t^p u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}} + \|\theta\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{1-\alpha} u\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\partial_t^l u\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{1-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^4} + \|\Lambda^{1-\alpha} \partial_t^l u\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^4} \right) \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda^{2-2\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\Lambda^{2-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (28) and (29) together, we obtain for large  $t$ ,

$$(30) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\alpha \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem to (30), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \quad \text{for large } t. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (31) by  $g(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right\} \\ & \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + C g(t) \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \quad \text{for large } t, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha p} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha} t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\
(32) \quad & + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha p+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\
& + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^q |\xi|^{4\alpha p-4\alpha q-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& + C \int_0^t g'(s) s \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right hand side of (32) can be estimated by using the estimates from Lemma 2.9:

$$(33) \quad \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha p} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha} t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p} ds.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of (32), after integrating in polar coordinates in  $B(t)$ , by using Lemma 1.2, if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(34) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha p+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}-2p} (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)+2} ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*)-2p+2} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ , the third term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
(35) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^q |\xi|^{4\alpha p-4\alpha q-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2p+2q+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2l} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2(q-l)} ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth term of right hand side of (32), if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad & C \int_0^t g'(s) s \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) s (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{3}{2}+r^*)-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{5}{2}-\alpha+r^*)-2p} \\
& \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*)-2p+2} ds, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*) - 2p + 2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (32)-(36) together gives

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(4+2r^*)-2p+2} \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p} \quad \text{for large } t. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ , by using the same method as before, for large  $t$ , we easily obtain

$$(38) \quad C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{4\alpha+4\alpha p+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2p} ds.$$

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} &C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^q |\xi|^{4\alpha p-4\alpha q-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2p+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} &C \int_0^t g'(s) s \left( \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(6-2\alpha)-2p+2} ds, \quad \text{for large } t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha) + 2p$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (32), (33), (38)-(40) together gives

$$(41) \quad \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2-\alpha)-2p} \quad \text{for large } t.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $\theta_0 \in H^{2p+\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ) have the decay character  $r^* = r^*(\theta) \in (-1, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\theta(x, t)$  satisfies (2) and (3) for  $P \leq p-1$ . Then*

(1). *if  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p}, \quad \text{for large } t;$$

(2). *if  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(2+\kappa-\alpha)-2p}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

PROOF. First of all, we consider the case  $r^* \leq 1 - \alpha$ . Applying  $\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa$  to (1)<sub>1</sub>, multiplying both side by  $\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta$ , integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we arrive at

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} (u_j \partial_t^p \theta)\|_{L^2} + C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} (\partial_t^p u_j \theta)\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} (\partial_t^l u_j \partial_t^{p-l} \theta)\|_{L^2} \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $J_3$  one by one. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} u\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^4} + \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2\alpha-1}}} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \theta\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}} \right) \\
&\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2\kappa+2\alpha}} \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}{2\kappa+2\alpha}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\Lambda^{2-2\alpha} u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \right) \\
(43) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{12} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(3-2\alpha+r^*)} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa-\alpha+\frac{5}{2}+r^*)} \frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p-1}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t^p \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4\kappa+4\alpha}{2\kappa+2\alpha-1}} \\
(44) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p-1}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \partial_t^l u\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^4} + \|\partial_t^l u\|_{L^4} \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+1} \theta\|_{L^4} \right) \\
&\leq C \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \partial_t^l u\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2} \right) \\
(45) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left( \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \partial_t^l u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^l \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\kappa-\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa-\alpha+\frac{5}{2}+r^*)-2l} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{3}{2}+r^*)-2(p-l)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

Owning to (42)-(45), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa+\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p-1} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem to (46), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa} \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \Lambda^{\kappa} \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p-1} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1}, \quad \text{for large } t.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (47) by  $g(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right\} \\ & \leq g'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi + Cg(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p-1} \\ & \quad + Cg(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1}, \quad \text{for large } t, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C + \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha+4\alpha p} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha+4\alpha p+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^q |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha p-4\alpha q-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p} + C \int_0^t g'(s) s(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

We estimate now the right hand side of (48). For the first term, using the estimates from Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(49) \quad \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha+4\alpha p} e^{-2\nu|\xi|^{2\alpha}t} |\hat{\theta}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi ds \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+1+r^*)-2p} ds.$$

For the second term, after integrating in polar coordinates in  $B(t)$ , by using Lemma 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha+4\alpha p+2} \left( \int_0^t \|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-2-\frac{2}{\alpha}-2\alpha p} (1+s)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(\kappa+1+r^*)+2} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

For the third term of right hand side of (48),

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_0^t g'(s) \int_{B(s)} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^q |\xi|^{2\kappa+4\alpha p-4\alpha q-4\alpha+2} \|\partial_t^l \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_t^{p-l} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-2p+2q+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2l} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2(q-l)} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

We estimate the last term as follows:

$$(52) \quad \int_0^t g'(s) s(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+1} ds \leq \int_0^t g'(s) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+2} ds, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

For a fixed  $r^*$ , we choose  $g(t) = (1+t)^m$ , for some  $m > \frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa + 4 + 2r^*) - 2p + 2$ . Then  $\rho(t) = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ . Combining (32)-(36) together gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{-m} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+4+2r^*)-2p+2} \\
 (53) \quad &\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}(1+r^*)-2p+2-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\
 &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+\kappa+r^*)-2p}. \quad \text{for large } t.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $r^* \geq 1 - \alpha$ , by using the same method as above, we easily obtain

$$(54) \quad \|\partial_t^p \Lambda^\kappa \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\kappa+2-\alpha)-2p}, \quad \text{for large } t.$$

Owning to (10) and (54), we complete the proof. □

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply that Theorem 1.4 holds for  $p = 1$ ; Suppose that Theorem 1.4 holds for  $p \leq P - 1$ , then Lemmas 3.4-3.5 show that it also holds for  $p = P$ . Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

XZ was supported by NNSFC (grant No. 11401258) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant No. 2015M581689 ). This work was done when XZ was visiting the Institute of Mathematics for Industry of Kyushu University. He appreciate the hospitality of Prof. Fukumoto, MS. Sasaguri and IMI.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. T. Anh, P. T. Trang, Decay characterization of solutions to the viscous Camassa-Holm equations, *Nonlinearity* 31(2018), 621-650.
- [2] C. Bjorland, M. E. Schonbek, Poincaré's inequality and diffusive evolution equations, *Adv. Differential Equations* 14(2009), 241-260.
- [3] L. Brandolese, Characterization of solutions to dissipative systems with sharp algebraic decay, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 48(2016), 1616-1633.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli, A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. Math.* 171(2010), 1903-1930,
- [5] J. Carrillo, L. Lucas, The asymptotic behavior of subcritical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations, *Nonlinearity* 21(2008), 1001-1018.
- [6] D. Chae, P. Constantin, J. Wu, Dissipative models generalizing the 2D Navier-Stokes and surface quasi-geostrophic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 61(2012), 1997-2018.
- [7] Q. Chen, C. Miao, Z. Zhang, A new Bernstein's inequality and the 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 271(2007), 821-838.
- [8] P. Constantin, G. Iyer, J. Wu, Global regularity for a modified critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 57(2008), 2681-2692.
- [9] P. Constantin, A. Majda, E. Tabak, Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasi-geostrophic thermal active scalar, *Nonlinearity* 7(1994), 1495-1533.
- [10] D. Córdoba, Nonexistence of simple hyperbolic blow-up for the quasi-geostrophic equation, *Ann. Math.* 148(1998), 1135-1152.
- [11] A. Córdoba, D. Córdoba, A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 249(2004), 511-528.
- [12] B. Dong, Q. Liu, Asymptotic profile of solutions to the two-dimensional dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Sci. China Math.* 53(2010), 2733-2748.

- [13] P. Constantin, J. Wu, Behavior of solutions of 2D quasi-geostrophic equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 30(1999), 937-948.
- [14] L. C. F. Ferreira, C. J. Niche, G. Plana, Decay of solutions to dissipative modified quasi-geostrophic equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 245(2017), 287-301.
- [15] N. Ju, The maximum principle and the global attractor for the 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 255(2005), 161-182.
- [16] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov, A. Volberg, Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Invent. Math.* 167(2007), 445-453.
- [17] A. Majda, E. Tabak, A two-dimensional model for quasigeostrophic flow: comparison with the two-dimensional Euler flow, *Phys. D* 98(1996), 515-522.
- [18] C. Miao, L. Xue, Global well-posedness for a modified critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *J. Differential Equations* 252(2012), 792-818.
- [19] C. J. Niche, M. E. Schonbek, Decay characterization of solutions to dissipative equations, *J. London Math. Soc.* 91(2)(2015), 573-595.
- [20] C. J. Niche, M. Schonbek, Decay of weak solutions to the 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 276(2007), 93-115.
- [21] C. J. Niche, Decay characterization of solutions to Navier-Stokes-Voigt equations in terms of the initial datum, *J. Differential Equations* 260(2016), 4440-4453.
- [22] C. J. Niche, M. Schonbek, Comparison of decay of solutions to two compressible approximations to Navier-Stokes equations, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 47(2016), 641-654.
- [23] S. G. Resnick, Dynamical problems in non-linear advective partial differential equations, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, Thesis (Ph.D.)-The University of Chicago, 1995.
- [24] M. Schonbek, T. Schonbek, Asymptotic behavior to dissipative quasi-geostrophic flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 35(2003), 357-375.
- [25] M. E. Schonbek,  $L^2$  decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 88(2)(1985), 209-222.
- [26] M. E. Schonbek, Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 11(7)(1986), 733-763.
- [27] X. Zhao, Decay of solutions to a new Hall-MHD system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I.* 355(2017), 310-317.
- [28] X. Zhao, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a new Hall-MHD system, *Acta Appl. Math.* Online, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-018-0170-5>.
- [29] Y. Zhou, Asymptotic behaviour of the solutions to the 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic flows. *Non-linearity* 21(9)(2008), 2061-2071.

\* SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
 JIANGNAN UNIVERSITY  
 WUXI 214122, P. R. CHINA  
 AND  
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
 SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY  
 NANJING 210018, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* zhaoxiaopeng@jiangnan.edu.cn