

# LINEAR MAPS WHICH ARE ANTI-DERIVABLE AT ZERO

DOHA ADEL ABULHAMAIL, FATMAH B. JAMJOOM, AND ANTONIO M. PERALTA

ABSTRACT. Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator, where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $X$  denotes an essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule. We prove that the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero (i.e.  $ab = 0$  in  $A$  implies  $T(b)a + bT(a) = 0$ );
- (b) There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii) The annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi) = \{a \in A : \xi a = 0\}$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ ;
  - (iii) The quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra;
  - (iv)  $T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\xi a$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

We also prove a similar equivalence when  $X$  is replaced with  $A^{**}$ . This provides a complete characterization of those bounded linear maps from  $A$  into  $X$  or into  $A^{**}$  which are anti-derivable at zero. We also present a complete characterization of those continuous linear operators which are  $*$ -anti-derivable at zero.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us begin this note by formulating a typical problem in recent studies about preservers. Suppose  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a complex Banach algebra  $A$ . A derivation from  $A$  onto  $X$  is a linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following algebraic identity

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b), \quad \forall (a, b) \in A^2. \quad (1.1)$$

A typical challenge on preservers can be posed in the following terms:

*Problem 1.* Suppose  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is a linear map satisfying (1.1) only on a proper subset  $\mathfrak{D} \subset A^2$ . Is  $T$  a derivation?

There is no need to comment that the role of the set  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the real core of the question. A typical example is provided by the set  $\mathfrak{D}_z := \{(a, b) \in A^2 : ab = z\}$ , where  $z$  is a fixed point in  $A$ . A linear map  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *derivation at a point*  $z \in A$  if the identity (1.1) holds for every  $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{D}_z$ . In the literature a linear map which is a derivation at a point  $z$  is also called *derivable at  $z$* .

Let us point out that there exist linear maps which are derivable at zero but they are not derivations (for example, the identity mapping on a complex Banach algebra is a derivation at zero but it is not a derivation).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05, 46L57, 47B47; Secondary 15A86.

*Key words and phrases.*  $C^*$ -algebra, Banach bimodule, derivation, anti-derivation, maps anti-derivable at zero, maps  $*$ -anti-derivable at zero.

If  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is a linear mapping from  $A$  into another Banach algebra satisfying  $T(ab) = T(a)T(b)$  for all  $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{D}_z$  we say that  $T$  is a *homomorphism at the point*  $z$ . Linear maps which are Jordan ( $*$ -)derivations, or generalized ( $*$ -)derivations, or triple derivations, or (Jordan  $*$ -)homomorphisms at a point can be defined in similar terms. We understand that term “ $*$ -” is only employed when the involved structures are equipped with an involution.

Let us simply observe that a linear map  $T$  between Banach algebras is a homomorphism at zero if and only if it preserves zero products (i.e.,  $ab = 0$  implies  $T(a)T(b) = 0$ ). We find in this way a natural link with the results on zero products preservers (see, for example, [2, 3, 10, 12, 30, 31, 34, 35, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52] for additional details and results). M.J. Burgos, J.Cabello-Sánchez and the third author of this note explore in [8] those linear maps between  $C^*$ -algebras which are  $*$ -homomorphisms at certain points of the domain, for example, at the unit element or at zero. We refer to [14, 24, 27, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59] and [61] for additional related results.

According to the standard terminology (cf. [5, 2, 9, 11, 25, 32]), we shall say that a linear operator  $G$  from a Banach algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  is a *generalized derivation* if there exists  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying

$$G(ab) = G(a)b + aG(b) - a\xi b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

Every derivation is a generalized derivation, however there exist generalized derivations which are not derivations. This notion is very useful when characterizing (generalized) derivations in terms of annihilation of certain products of orthogonal elements (see, for example, Theorem 2.11 in [5, §2]). The just quoted reference [5] contains an illustrative survey on local, 2-local and generalized derivations.

Let us revisit some recent achievements on maps derivable at certain points. For example, every continuous linear map  $\delta$  on a von Neumann algebra is a generalized derivation whenever it is derivable at zero. If we additionally assume  $\delta(1) = 0$ , we can conclude that  $\delta$  is a derivation (see [27, Theorem 4]). Furthermore, for an infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ , a linear map  $\delta : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  which is a generalized Jordan derivation at zero, or at 1, is a generalized derivation, even if  $\delta$  is not assumed to be a priori continuous (cf. [26]). J. Zhu, Ch. Xiong, and P. Li prove in [60] a significant result showing that, for any Hilbert space  $H$ , a linear map  $\delta : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  is a derivation if and only if it is a derivation at a non-zero point in  $B(H)$  (see [36] for another related result).

H. Ghahramani, Z. Pan [18] and B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani [15] have recently considered certain variants of Problem 1 in their studies of continuous linear operators from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  behaving like derivations or anti-derivations at elements in a certain subset of  $A^2$  determined by orthogonality conditions. Let us detail the problem.

*Problem 2.* Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a continuous linear operator which is anti-derivable at zero, i.e.,

$$T(ab) = T(b)a + bT(a) \quad \text{for all } (a, b) \in \mathfrak{D}_0. \quad (1.2)$$

Is  $T$  an anti-derivation or expressible in terms of an anti-derivation?

Clearly, a mapping  $\mathcal{D} : A \rightarrow X$  is called an *anti-derivation* if the identity (1.2) holds for every  $(a, b) \in A^2$ . If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, a  $*$ -derivation (respectively, a  $*$ -anti-derivation) from  $A$  into itself, or into  $A^{**}$ , is a derivation (respectively, an anti-derivation)  $d : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $d(a^*) = d(a)^*$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Concerning Problem 1, B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani prove in [15, Theorem 3.1] that for a continuous linear map  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$ , where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, the following statements hold:

- (a)  $T$  is derivable at zero if and only if there is a continuous derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}(A^{**})$  (the center of  $A^{**}$ ) such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;
- (b)  $T$  is  $r$ - $*$ -derivable at zero (that is,  $ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow aT(b)^* + T(a)b^* = 0$ ) if and only if there is a continuous  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in A^{**}$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$  ( $\eta$  need not be central).

H. Ghahramani, Z. Pan also considered a variant of Problem 1 in [18] in the context of (complex Banach) algebras which are zero product determined. We recall that an algebra  $A$  is called *zero product determined* if for every linear space  $Y$  and every bilinear map  $V : A \times A \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $V(x, y) = 0$  for every  $x, y \in A$  with  $xy = 0$ , there exists a linear map  $T : A \rightarrow Y$  such that  $V(x, y) = T(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Brešar showed in [6, Theorem 4.1] that every unital algebra  $A$  (algebraically) generated by its idempotents, is zero product determined. Since this is the case of  $B(H)$  for any infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space  $H$  (see [41, Theorem 1]), a property which is also enjoyed by properly infinite von Neumann algebras [41, Theorem 4], Bunce-Deddens algebras, irrotational rotation algebras, simple unital AF  $C^*$ -algebras with finitely many extremal states; UHF  $C^*$ -algebras; unital simple  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero with no tracial states [37, Corollary 4.9] [40, Theorem 4.6], properly infinite  $C^*$ -algebras [33, Corollary 2.2]; von Neumann algebras of type  $II_1$  [20, Theorem 2.2(a)], all these algebras are zero product determined.

A *Jordan algebra* is a nonassociative algebra  $B$  over a field whose multiplication, denoted by  $\circ$ , is commutative and satisfies the so-called *Jordan identity*

$$(a \circ b) \circ a^2 = a \circ (b \circ a^2) \quad (a, b \in B).$$

Every associative algebra is a Jordan algebra when equipped with the natural Jordan product given by  $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ . A Jordan derivation from  $B$  into a Jordan  $B$ -module  $X$  is a linear mapping  $D : B \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $D(a \circ b) = D(a) \circ b + a \circ D(b)$  for all  $a, b \in B$ . For the basic background on Jordan algebras, Jordan modules and Jordan derivations the reader is referred to [22, 23] and the references therein.

In what concerns Problem 1, Ghahramani and Pan proved in [18, Theorem 3.1] that for any zero product determined unital  $*$ -algebra  $A$ , and every linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow A$  the following statements hold:

- (i)  $T$  is derivable at zero if and only if there is a derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A$  and an element  $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;

- (ii)  $T$  is  $r$ - $*$ -derivable at zero (that is,  $ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow aT(b)^* + T(a)b^* = 0$ ) if and only if there is a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A$  and an element  $\eta \in A$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$  ( $\eta$  need not be central).

When considering Problem 2 and maps which are anti-derivable at zero, the available conclusions are less determinate. Concretely, assuming that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, Theorem 3.3 in [15] proves that for any continuous linear map  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero there is a continuous derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}(A^{**})$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;  
(ii) If  $T$  is  $r$ - $*$ -anti-derivable at zero (i.e.,  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$ ) there is a continuous  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in A^{**}$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + a\eta$  for all  $a \in A$  ( $\eta$  need not be central).

If  $A$  is a zero product determined unital  $*$ -algebra and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  is a linear mapping, Theorem 3.4 in [18] proves the following statements.

- (i) If  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero there is a Jordan derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A$  and an element  $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;  
(ii) If  $T$  is  $r$ - $*$ -anti-derivable at zero there is a Jordan  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A$  and an element  $\eta \in A$  such that  $T(a) = d(a) + a\eta$  for all  $a \in A$  ( $\eta$  need not be central).

In view of the previous result it is natural to ask whether there exists a full characterization of those (continuous) linear maps which are ( $*$ -)anti-derivable at zero in pure algebraic terms. The main aim of this note is to complete our knowledge on these classes of continuous linear maps and to fill a natural gap which has not been fully covered. Our first main conclusion is contained in Theorem 6 where it is established that for each bounded linear operator  $T$  from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into an essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero;  
(b) There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:  
(i)  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ ;  
(ii) The annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ ;  
(iii) The quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra;  
(iv)  $T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\xi a$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

It is further shown that if  $A$  is unital, or if  $X$  is a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule, statement (b) above can be replaced with

- (b') There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X$  and an element  $\xi \in X$  satisfying  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ , the quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

A similar conclusion holds when  $X$  is replaced with  $A^{**}$ .

In section 4 we consider a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule equipped with an  $A$ -bimodule involution  $*$  (i.e., a continuous conjugate linear mapping  $x \mapsto x^*$  satisfying  $(x^*)^* = x$ ,  $(ax)^* = x^*a^*$  and  $(xa)^* = a^*x^*$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ ). We give several natural examples of bimodule involutions.

A Banach  $A$ -bimodule equipped with an  $A$ -bimodule involution will be called a Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule. Suppose  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is a linear mapping from a  $C^*$ -algebra into a Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule. We shall say that  $T$  is  $r$ - $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero (respectively,  $l$ - $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero) if  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A$  implies  $T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$  in  $X$  (respectively,  $a^*b = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)a^* + bT(a)^* = 0$  in  $X$ ).

Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $X$  is an essential Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule. In Theorem 10 we prove the equivalence of the following statements:

- (a)  $T$  is  $r$ - $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero (i.e.,  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$  in  $X$ );
- (b) There exists a  $^*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\xi + \xi^*[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii)  $T(ab) = aT(b) + T(a)b - a\xi b$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + a\xi$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

The conclusion in (b) can be improved if  $A$  is unital or if  $X$  is a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Finally, a complete characterization of those bounded linear operators  $T : A \rightarrow X$  which are  $l$ - $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero is presented in Theorem 12 (see also Corollary 13).

## 2. ARE THERE ANTI-DERIVATIONS ON $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

If one is interested on the study of linear maps from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule which are anti-derivable at zero, a first natural step is to explore the class of anti-derivations on  $C^*$ -algebra. For this purpose, we initiate our study by paying some attention to anti-derivations. An anti-derivation from an (associative) algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$  bimodule  $X$  is a linear mapping  $d : A \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $d(ab) = d(b)a + bd(a)$  for all  $(a, b) \in A^2$ . An example seems to be welcome. Let us fix an element  $x_0 \in X$  satisfying

$$x_0[a, b] = [a, b]x_0. \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , where  $[a, b] = (ab - ba)$  denotes the Lie product or commutator of  $a$  and  $b$ . The prototype of derivation from  $A$  into  $X$  is given by  $\delta_{x_0} : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $\delta_{x_0}(a) = [a, x_0]$  ( $a \in A$ ). The assumption (2.1) implies that  $abx_0 - x_0ab = bax_0 - x_0ba$  and thus

$$\delta_{x_0}(ab) = abx_0 - x_0ab = bax_0 - x_0ba = bx_0a - x_0ba + bax_0 - bx_0a = \delta_{x_0}(b)a + b\delta_{x_0}(a),$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , witnessing that  $\delta_{x_0}$  is a derivation and an anti-derivation. Let us observe that  $\delta_{x_0}$  also satisfies the following property

$$\delta_{x_0}([a, b]) = [[a, b], x_0] = 0, \text{ for all } a, b \in A. \tag{2.2}$$

We shall see next that the property in (2.2) actually characterizes anti-derivations.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow X$  be a linear mapping from an associative algebra into an  $A$ -bimodule. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\delta$  is a derivation and  $\delta([a, b]) = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
- (b)  $\delta$  is an anti-derivation and  $\delta([a, b]) = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence is clear by just observing that  $\delta([a, b]) = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$  if and only if  $\delta(ab) = \delta(ba)$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ .  $\square$

A central result in the theory of derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras was established by J.R. Ringrose who proved that every (associative) derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  is (automatically) continuous (compare [46]). B.E. Johnson established in [28] another result to have in mind by proving that every bounded Jordan derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  is an associative derivation. By a result due to B. Russo and the third author of this note we know that every Jordan derivation from  $A$  into  $X$  is continuous [44, Corollary 17], consequently every Jordan derivation from  $A$  into  $X$  is a derivation.

Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow X$  be an anti-derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. It is clear that  $\delta(a \circ b) = \delta(a) \circ b + a \circ \delta(b)$  ( $a, b \in A$ ), and hence  $\delta$  is a Jordan derivation. It follows from the arguments in the previous paragraph that  $\delta$  is a continuous derivation. So, every anti-derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra is continuous and a derivation, therefore a linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is an anti-derivation if and only if it is a derivation and  $T([a, b]) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. According to the usual notation, we write  $\mathfrak{c}(A) := \{[a, b] : a, b \in A\}$ . There are  $C^*$ -algebras in which every element coincides with a finite sum of commutators. For example, Th. Fack and P. de la Harpe [17] showed that in any finite von Neumann algebra  $M$  with central trace  $\tau$ , an element  $x \in M$  satisfying  $\tau(x) = 0$  can be expressed as a sum of 10 commutators. Every element of a properly infinite unital  $C^*$ -algebra, or of a stable algebra, is a sum of at most 10 commutators (cf. [16]). The estimate was reduced from 10 to 2 by C. Pop in [45]. It is also shown in the just quoted paper that if  $A$  is a unital, simple  $C^*$ -algebra of real rank zero with no tracial states, every element in  $A$  is a finite sum of commutators. There are many other examples, the reader is referred to [17, 16, 21, 38, 39, 40, 45] and references therein.

It is clear that in a  $C^*$ -algebra where every element coincides with a finite sum of commutators every anti-derivation is zero. We can improve this conclusion in the next result.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying that every element can be approximated in norm by a finite sum of commutators. Then every anti-derivation from  $A$  into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule is zero. Consequently, every anti-derivation from a properly infinite von Neumann algebra  $M$  into a Banach  $M$ -bimodule is zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow X$  be an anti-derivation. We have already commented in previous paragraphs that  $\delta$  is a continuous derivation with  $\delta([a, b]) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The continuity of  $\delta$  combined with our assumptions give the desired conclusion. The last statement is a consequence of [21, Theorem 3.10], where it is shown that for every element  $a$  in a properly infinite von Neumann algebra  $M$ , and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a commutator  $c$  in  $M$  of norm less than or equal to  $\varepsilon$  such that  $a + c$  is a commutator in  $M$ .  $\square$

## 3. LINEAR MAPS ANTI-DERIVABLE AT ZERO

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. It is known that every bounded bilinear form  $V : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  admits a unique norm preserving separately weak\* continuous extension to  $A^{**} \times B^{**}$  (cf. [29, Lemma 2.1]). Actually the same conclusion also holds when  $A$  and  $B$  are  $JB^*$ -triples (see [43, Lemma 1]).

Along this note, the self-adjoint part of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  will be denoted by  $A_{sa}$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. If instead of requiring  $A$  to be zero product determined we only request that for every Banach space  $Y$  and every continuous bilinear form  $V : A \times A \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $V(a, b) = 0$  for every  $a, b \in A_{sa}$  with  $ab = 0$ , there exist continuous functionals  $\phi, \varphi \in A^*$  such that  $V(a, b) = \phi(ab) + \varphi(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , a celebrated theorem due to Goldstein (see [19, Theorem 1.10]) affirms that every  $C^*$ -algebra satisfies this latter property. This is one of the advantages in the study of derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule, where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. In this note we shall deal with the bidual,  $X^{**}$ , of  $X$ , and we shall regard it as a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule. For this purpose we shall refresh our knowledge on Arens extensions and Arens regularity (cf. [4]). Let  $m : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a bounded bilinear map where  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  are Banach spaces. According to the construction defined by R. Arens, we define  $m^*(z', x)(y) := z'(m(x, y))$  ( $x \in X, y \in Y, z' \in Z^*$ ). We obtain in this way a bounded bilinear mapping  $m^* : Z^* \times X \rightarrow Y^*$ . The same method can be applied to define  $m^{**} = (m^*)^*$  and  $m^{***} : X^{**} \times Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ . The mapping  $x'' \mapsto m^{***}(x'', y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous whenever we fix  $y'' \in Y^{**}$ , and the mapping  $y'' \mapsto m^{***}(x, y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous for every  $x \in X$ . The previous construction can be applied to the transposed mapping  $m^t : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $m^t(y, x) = m(x, y)$ , and we define an extension  $m^{t****} : X^{**} \times Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ . Now, the mapping  $x'' \mapsto m^{t****}(x'', y)$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous whenever we fix  $y \in Y$ , and the mapping  $y'' \mapsto m^{t****}(x'', y'')$  is weak\* to weak\* continuous for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$ . It should be remarked that the mappings  $m^{t****}$  and  $m^{***}$  need not coincide in general (cf. [4]). The mapping  $m$  is called *Arens regular* if  $m^{t****} = m^{***}$ . One of the best known examples of Arens regular maps is given by the product of any  $C^*$ -algebra. That is, every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is Arens regular and the unique Arens extension of the product of  $A$  to  $A^{**} \times A^{**}$  coincides with the product of its enveloping von Neumann algebra (cf. [13, Corollary 3.2.37]).

Suppose  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Let  $\pi_1 : A \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_2 : X \times A \rightarrow X$  stand for the corresponding module operations given by  $\pi_1(a, x) = ax$  and  $\pi_2(x, a) = xa$ , respectively. Given  $a \in A^{**}$  and  $z \in X^{**}$ , we shall write  $az = \pi_1^{***}(a, z)$  and  $za = \pi_2^{***}(z, a)$ . It is known that  $X^{**}$  is a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule (and also a Banach  $A$ -bimodule) for the just defined operations ([13, Theorem 2.6.15(iii)]). An additional property of this construction tells that

$$\begin{aligned} ax &= \pi_1^{***}(a, x) = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} a_{\lambda} x_{\mu}, \text{ and} \\ xa &= \pi_2^{***}(x, a) = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} w^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} x_{\mu} a_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

in the weak\* topology of  $X^{**}$ , whenever  $(a_{\lambda})$  and  $(x_{\mu})$  are nets in  $A$  and  $X$ , respectively, such that  $a_{\lambda} \rightarrow a \in A^{**}$  in the weak\* topology of  $A^{**}$  and  $x_{\mu} \rightarrow$

$x \in X^{**}$  in the weak\* topology of  $X^{**}$  (cf. [13, (2.6.26)]). The reader should be warned that the module operations on  $X^{**}$  need not be separately weak\* continuous. This handicap produces some difficulties in our arguments.

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a Banach algebra  $A$ . According to the usual terminology, we shall say that  $X$  is *essential* if the linear span of the set  $\{axb : a, b \in A, x \in X\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

If  $A$  is a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $1$  denotes the unit in  $A^{**}$  and  $(u_\lambda)$  is a bounded approximate unit in  $A$  (cf. [42, Theorem 1.4.2]), it is known that  $(u_\lambda) \rightarrow 1$  in the weak\*-topology of  $A^{**}$ . Furthermore, if we regard  $X^{**}$  as a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule, it follows from the basic properties commented above that

$$(\eta a)1 = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} (\eta a)u_\lambda = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} \eta(au_\lambda) = \|\cdot\| \text{-} \lim_{\lambda} \eta(au_\lambda) = \eta a,$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $\eta \in X$ . Assuming that  $X$  is essential we get  $\eta 1 = \pi_2^{***}(\eta, 1) = \eta$  (and similarly  $1\eta = \pi_1^{***}(1, \eta) = \eta$ ) for all  $\eta \in X$ . Actually  $\lim_{\lambda} \|\eta u_\lambda - \eta\| = 0 = \lim_{\lambda} \|u_\lambda \eta - \eta\|$  for all  $\eta \in X$ . Let us take  $\eta \in X^{**}$ , and pick via Goldstine's theorem a bounded net  $(\eta_\mu)$  in  $X$  converging to  $\eta$  in the weak\* topology of  $X^{**}$ . Since  $\pi_2^{***}(\cdot, 1)$  is weak\* continuous we have

$$\eta 1 = \pi_2^{***}(\eta, 1) = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \pi_2^{***}(\eta_\mu, 1) = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \eta_\mu 1 = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \eta_\mu = \eta. \quad (3.1)$$

Our next result is a modular version of [15, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Let  $\xi$  be an element in  $X$  satisfying the following property:  $h\xi k = 0$  for every  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$ . Let  $1$  denote the unit of  $A^{**}$ . Then the element  $\eta = 1\xi 1 \in X^{**}$  satisfies  $a\eta b = a\xi b$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ , and commutes with every element in  $A$ , that is,  $\eta a = a\eta$ , for all  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  may be non-unital we shall consider  $A^{**}$  and the space  $X^{**}$  as a Banach  $A^{**}$ -bimodule. Let  $\eta = 1\xi 1 \in X^{**}$ . By the basic properties of the  $A^{**}$ -bimodule  $X^{**}$ , we have  $a\eta b = a\xi b$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\eta 1 = 1\eta = \eta$ .

Let us fix an arbitrary  $\phi \in X^*$  and define the bounded bilinear form given by  $V_\phi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_\phi(a, b) = \phi(a\eta b) = \phi(a\xi b)$ . It follows from the hypothesis that  $V_\phi(h, k) = 0$ , for every  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$ , witnessing that  $V_\phi$  is an orthogonal form in the sense of Goldstein [19]. Theorem 1.9 in [19] implies the existence of two functionals  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A^*$  satisfying  $V_\phi(a, b) = \varphi_1(ab) + \varphi_2(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . We denote by the same symbol  $V_\phi$  the (unique) separate weak\* continuous extension of  $V_\phi$  to  $A^{**} \times A^{**}$ . We can therefore conclude that

$$\phi(a\eta) = V_\phi(a, 1) = \varphi_1(a) + \varphi_2(a) = V_\phi(1, a) = \phi(\eta a),$$

for all  $a \in A$ . The arbitrariness of  $\phi \in X^*$  combined with the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that  $\eta a = a\eta$ , for all  $a \in A$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subset of a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ , where  $A$  is a Banach algebra. The *annihilator of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $A$*  is defined as the set

$$\text{Ann}_A(\mathcal{S}) := \{a \in A : a\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}a = \{0\}\}.$$

For each element  $\xi \in X$  we shall write  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  for  $\text{Ann}_A(\{\xi\})$ .



A subspace  $I$  of an algebra  $A$  is called a (two-sided) ideal of  $A$  if  $AI, IA \subseteq I$ . It is known that every norm closed ideal  $I$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is self-adjoint, that is,  $I^* = I$  (see [42, Corollary 1.5.3]).

After recalling some basic result we can present our characterization of those continuous linear maps on a  $C^*$ -algebra which are anti-derivable at zero.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $X$  is an essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero;
- (b) There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii) The annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ ;
  - (iii) The quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra;
  - (iv)  $T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\xi a$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Suppose  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero. Let us pick  $h_1, k, h_2 \in A_{sa}$  with  $h_j k = 0$  (and thus  $kh_j = 0$ ) for  $j = 1, 2$ , it follows from the hypothesis that  $T(k)h_2 + kT(h_2) = 0$  and therefore

$$h_1 T(k) h_2 = h_1 (T(k) h_2 + k T(h_2)) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

This shows that the mapping  $T : A \rightarrow X$  satisfies the hypotheses of [5, Theorem 2.11], we therefore conclude from the just quoted result that  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is a generalized derivation, that is, there exists  $\xi \in X^{**}$  such that

$$T(ab) = T(a)b + aT(b) - a\xi b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (3.3)$$

By replacing  $\xi$  with  $1\xi 1$  we can assume that  $1\xi = \xi 1 = \xi$  and (3.3) holds.

It is not hard to check from (3.3) that the mapping  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$ ,  $d(a) = T(a) - \xi a$  is a derivation satisfying  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

If we pick  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$  (and thus  $kh = 0$ ). We deduce from the hypothesis that  $T(h)k + hT(k) = 0$ , and by (3.3)

$$0 = T(hk) = T(h)k + hT(k) - h\xi k,$$

identities which combined give  $h\xi k = 0$  (for any  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$ ). Lemma 5 guarantees that  $\xi a = a\xi$  for all  $a \in A$ . It is easy to check from this that  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi) = \{a \in A : \xi a = 0\}$  is a norm closed ideal of  $A$ .

We shall next show that  $d$  is an anti-derivation. Let  $(Y, \odot)$  denote the opposite Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X^{op}$ , that is,  $y \odot a = ay$  and  $a \odot y = ya$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Let us pick  $h_1, k, h_2 \in A_{sa}$  with  $h_j k = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . We have seen in (3.3) that  $h_1 \odot T(k) \odot h_2 = h_2 T(k) h_1 = 0$ . Then the mapping  $\tilde{T} : A \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\tilde{T}(a) = T(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) satisfies that  $h_1 \odot \tilde{T}(k) \odot h_2 = 0$  for every  $h_1, k, h_2 \in A_{sa}$  with  $h_j k = 0$ . We deduce from [5, Theorem 2.11] the existence of  $\eta \in X^{**}$  such that  $T(ab) = T(a) \odot b + a \odot T(b) - a \odot \eta \odot b$ ,  $\forall a, b \in A$ , equivalently,

$$T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\eta a, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (3.4)$$

Replacing  $\eta$  with  $1\eta 1$  we can always assume that  $\eta = \eta 1 = 1\eta$ .

By mimicking the arguments above, fix  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$ . We deduce from the hypothesis (with  $kh = 0$ ) that  $T(h)k + hT(k) = 0$  and by (3.4)

$$0 = T(kh) = T(h)k + hT(k) - h\eta k.$$

By combining the previous two identities we get  $h\eta k = 0$  for all  $h, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $hk = 0$ . A new application of Lemma 5 guarantees that  $\eta a = a\eta$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Now, combining the fact that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  commute with any element in  $A$ , and (3.3) and (3.4) with  $a = b$ , we have  $\eta a^2 = \xi a^2$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra it follows that  $a\eta = \eta a = \xi a = a\xi$  for all  $a \in A$ . Therefore, there is no loss of generality in assuming  $\xi = \eta$  in (3.3) and (3.4).

Now, let us apply (3.4) and (3.3) to deduce the following identities

$$T(ab) = T(b)a + bT(a) - b\xi a, \text{ and } T(ba) = T(b)a + bT(a) - b\xi a,$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . Therefore

$$T([a, b]) = T(ab - ba) = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us analyze the identity (3.3). Let  $(u_\lambda)$  be an approximate unit in  $A$ . Since the identity

$$T(u_\lambda b) = T(u_\lambda)b + u_\lambda T(b) - u_\lambda \xi b$$

holds for every  $\lambda$ ,  $T^{**}$  is weak\* continuous (and hence  $T^{**}(u_\lambda) \rightarrow T^{**}(1)$  in the weak\* topology),  $u_\lambda T(b) \rightarrow T(b)$  in norm because  $X$  is essential, the product of  $A^{**}$  is separately weak\* continuous [47, Theorem 1.7.8],  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, \xi b)$  is weak\* continuous (and thus  $u_\lambda \xi b = \pi_1^{***}(u_\lambda, \xi b) \rightarrow \pi_1^{***}(1, \xi b) = 1\xi b = \xi b$  in the weak\* topology) we conclude that

$$T(b) = T^{**}(1)b + T(b) - 1\xi b, \text{ or equivalently, } T^{**}(1)b = 1\xi b = \xi b, \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $b \in A$ .

Let us recall that a continuous bilinear mapping  $V : A \times A \rightarrow X$  preserves zero products if

$$ab = 0 \text{ in } A \Rightarrow V(a, b) = 0.$$

By [2, Example 1.3(2.), Theorem 2.11 and Definition 2.2] every continuous bilinear mapping  $V$  preserving zero products satisfies  $V(ab, c) = V(a, bc)$  for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . By hypothesis, the mapping  $V(a, b) := T(b)a + bT(a)$  is continuous and preserves zero products, therefore

$$T(c)ab + cT(ab) = V(ab, c) = V(a, bc) = T(bc)a + bcT(a),$$

for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . If in the above equality we replace  $c$  with  $u_\lambda$ , where  $(u_\lambda)$  is an approximate unit in  $A$ , and we take weak\* limits we get

$$T^{**}(1)ab + T(ab) = T(b)a + bT(a), \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Since  $\xi ab = T^{**}(1)ab$  (cf. (3.6)) and  $T(ab) = T(ba) = d(ba) + \xi ba$  it follows that

$$\xi ab + d(ba) + \xi ba = d(b)a + \xi ba + bd(a) + \xi ba = d(ba) + 2\xi ba,$$

witnessing that  $\xi[a, b] = 0$ .

Therefore, by (3.5)

$$d([a, b]) = T([a, b]) - \xi[a, b] = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Lemma 3 proves that  $d$  is an anti-derivation.

We shall finally prove that  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  denote the natural quotient map. If  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is not abelian, by [7, Lemma 3.5] there exist  $\pi(a_0), \pi(b_0)$  in  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  such that  $\pi(a_0)\pi(b_0) = 0$  but  $\pi(b_0)\pi(a_0) \neq 0$ . We are in a position to apply [1, Proposition 2.3] to deduce the existence of  $x_0, y_0 \in \text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  such that  $(a_0 - x_0)(b_0 - y_0) = 0$ .

Now, it follows from the hypothesis on  $T$ , the fact that  $d$  is an anti-derivation, the properties of  $\xi$  and the identity  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  ( $a \in A$ ), that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= T(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0) + (b_0 - y_0)T(a_0 - x_0) \\ &= d(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0) + \xi(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0) \\ &\quad + (b_0 - y_0)d(a_0 - x_0) + (b_0 - y_0)\xi(a_0 - x_0) \\ &= d((a_0 - x_0)(b_0 - y_0)) + 2\xi(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0) = 2\xi(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0). \end{aligned}$$

The previous equality implies that  $(b_0 - y_0)(a_0 - x_0) \in \text{Ann}_A(\xi)$ , which contradict  $\pi(b_0)\pi(a_0) \neq 0$ . This finished the first part of the proof.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Suppose there exist an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the stated properties. Since the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed self-adjoint ideal of  $A$ , the natural quotient map  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. It further follows from the hypotheses that the kernel of the mapping  $L_\xi : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $L_\xi(a) = \xi a$  is precisely  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$ , that is,  $\ker(L_\xi) = \text{Ann}_A(\xi)$ . Therefore there exists a bounded linear operator  $\tilde{L}_\xi : A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi) \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\tilde{L}_\xi(\pi(a)) = L_\xi(a) = \xi a$  for all  $a \in A$ . Let us take  $a, b \in A$  with  $ab = 0$ . It follows from the assumptions that

$$\begin{aligned} T(b)a + bT(a) &= (d(b) + \xi b)a + b(d(a) + \xi a) = d(ab) + 2\xi ba \\ &= 0 + 2\tilde{L}_\xi\pi(ba) = 2\tilde{L}_\xi\pi(b)\pi(a) = 2\tilde{L}_\xi\pi(a)\pi(b) = 2\tilde{L}_\xi\pi(ab) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where at the antepenultimate equality we applied that  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is abelian.  $\square$

*Remark 7.* If in Theorem 6 the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is unital or if  $X$  is a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule statement (b) can be replaced with the following:

(b') There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X$  and an element  $\xi \in X$  satisfying  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ , the quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

A closer look at the proof of Theorem 6 shows that the desired statement will follow as soon as we prove that the element  $\xi$  lies in  $X$ . If  $A$  is unital this is clear because  $T(1) = d(1) + \xi = \xi \in X$ . If  $X$  is a dual Banach space, we can repeat the arguments in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.3 or Theorem 4.6].

Every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an essential  $A$ -bimodule because it admits a bounded approximate unit (see [42, Theorem 1.4.2]). The second dual,  $A^{**}$ , of  $A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule with respect to the natural product. In general,  $A^{**}$  need not be an essential  $A$ -bimodule, consider, for example,  $A = c_0$  and  $A^{**} = \ell_\infty$ . However, if  $A$  is unital,  $A^{**}$  is an essential  $A$ -bimodule. Despite that  $A^{**}$  is not in general an essential  $A$ -bimodule,  $A$  is weak\* dense in  $A^{**}$  by Goldstine's theorem,

and it is known that  $A$  admits a bounded approximate unit (see [42, Theorem 1.4.2]) which converges to the unit of  $A^{**}$  in the weak\* topology. Applying these special properties the proofs of [5, Lemma 2.10, Theorem 2.11] remain valid to characterize when a bounded linear operator  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  is a generalized derivation. Therefore the proof of Theorem 6 above can be literally applied to get the following result.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T$  is anti-derivable at zero;
- (b) There exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in A^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii) The annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ ;
  - (iii) The quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra;
  - (iv)  $T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\xi a$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

The next corollary deals with those  $C^*$ -algebras where finite sums of commutators are dense.

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying that every element can be approximated in norm by a finite sum of commutators. Suppose  $Y$  denotes an essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule or  $A^{**}$ . Let  $T : A \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous linear map which is anti-derivable at zero. Then  $T$  is zero. The conclusion particularly holds when  $A$  is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra.*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 6 and 8 that there exists an anti-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow Y^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in Y^{**}$  satisfying  $\xi a = a\xi$  and  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is a norm closed (self-adjoint) ideal of  $A$ , the quotient  $A/\text{Ann}_A(\xi)$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra,  $T(ab) = bT(a) + T(b)a - b\xi a$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \xi a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The hypotheses show, via Corollary 4, that  $d = 0$ . Since  $\xi[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in A$ , the assumptions on  $A$  imply that  $\xi A = 0$ , and consequently  $T = 0$ .

The final statement is a consequence of [21, Theorem 3.10].  $\square$

The preceding corollary can be regarded as a generalization of [18, Corollary 3.8(i)].

#### 4. LINEAR MAPS \*-ANTI-DERIVABLE AT ZERO

In this section we shall deal with continuous linear maps which are  $r^*$ -anti-derivable at zero. We shall first recall the basic theory on bimodules equipped with an involution. Let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . By an  $A$ -bimodule involution on  $X$  we mean a continuous conjugate linear mapping  $X \rightarrow X$ ,  $x \mapsto x^*$ , satisfying  $(x^*)^* = x$ ,  $(ax)^* = x^*a^*$  and  $(xa)^* = a^*x^*$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ . The natural involutions on  $A$  and on  $A^{**}$  are  $A$ -bimodule involutions when  $A$  and  $A^{**}$  are regarded as Banach  $A$ -bimodules. Another typical example can be given in the following way: for each functional  $\varphi \in A^*$  and  $a \in A$ , the functionals  $a\varphi, \varphi a \in A^*$  are defined by  $(a\varphi)(b) = \varphi(ba)$ , and  $(\varphi a)(b) = \varphi(ab)$ , for

all  $b \in A$ , respectively. These operations define a structure of Banach  $A$ -bimodule on  $A^*$ . Furthermore, for each  $\varphi \in A^*$  we define  $\varphi^* \in A^*$  by  $\varphi^*(b) := \overline{\varphi(b^*)}$  ( $\forall b \in A$ ). It is easy to check that  $(a\varphi)^* = \varphi^*a^*$  and  $(\varphi a)^* = a^*\varphi^*$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $\varphi \in A^*$ . Therefore  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  defines an  $A$ -bimodule involution on  $A^*$ .

Suppose  $x \mapsto x^*$  is an  $A$ -bimodule involution on a Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ . We shall regard  $X^*$  as a Banach  $A$ -bimodule with module operations given by  $(a\phi)(x) = \phi(xa)$ , and  $(\phi a)(x) = \phi(ax)$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\phi \in X^*$ . We shall consider the natural involutions on  $X$  and  $X^{**}$  naturally induced by the  $A$ -bimodule involution of  $X$ , defined by  $\phi^*(x) := \overline{\phi(x^*)}$  ( $\forall \phi \in X^*$ ,  $x \in X$ ) and  $z^*(\phi) := \overline{z(\phi^*)}$  ( $\forall \phi \in X^*$ ,  $z \in X^{**}$ ). Clearly, the involution  $z \mapsto z^*$  is weak\* continuous on  $X^{**}$ . Let  $a \in A$ ,  $z \in X^{**}$ , and let  $(x_\mu) \subset X$  a bounded net converging to  $z$  in the weak\* topology of  $X^{**}$ . By the properties of the module operation on  $X^{**}$  (see page 7) we have

$$(az)^* = \pi_1^{***}(a, z)^* = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \pi_1(a, x_\mu)^* = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \pi_2(x_\mu^*, a^*) = \pi_2^{***}(z^*, a^*) = z^* a^*,$$

and

$$(za)^* = \pi_2^{***}(z, a)^* = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \pi_2(x_\mu, a)^* = w^* \text{-} \lim_{\mu} \pi_1(a^*, x_\mu^*) = \pi_1^{***}(a^*, z^*) = a^* z^*.$$

A Banach  $A$ -bimodule equipped with an  $A$ -bimodule involution will be called a *Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule*. Along this section  $X$  will stand for a Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . A linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow X$  will be called *r $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero* (respectively, *l $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero*) if  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A$  implies  $T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$  in  $X$  (respectively,  $a^*b = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)a^* + bT(a)^* = 0$  in  $X$ ). It is easy to see that  $T$  is r $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero if and only if the mapping  $S : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $S(a) := T(a^*)^*$  ( $\forall a \in A$ ) is l $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero.

We can now state our main conclusion for continuous linear maps which are r $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $X$  is an essential Banach  $^*A$ -bimodule. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T$  is r $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero (i.e.,  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$  in  $X$ );
- (b) There exists a  $^*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:
  - (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\xi + \xi^*[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii)  $T(ab) = aT(b) + T(a)b - a\xi b$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + a\xi$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

If we further assume that  $A$  is unital or  $X$  is a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule, we can replace (b) with the following:

- (b') There exists a  $^*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X$  and an element  $\xi \in X$  satisfying the properties (i)-(ii) above.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Suppose  $T$  is r $^*$ -anti-derivable at zero. As in the proof of Theorem 6 we observe that given  $h_1, h_2, k \in A_{sa}$  with  $h_j k = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$  we

have  $T(h_1)^*k + h_1T(k) = 0$ , and consequently,

$$0 = (T(h_1)^*k + h_1T(k))h_2 = h_1T(k)h_2. \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 2.11 in [5] assures that  $T$  is a generalized derivation, that is, there exists an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying

$$T(ab) = T(a)b + aT(b) - a\xi b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (4.2)$$

By replacing  $\xi$  with  $1\xi 1$  we can assume that  $\xi = 1\xi = \xi 1$ . It is routine to check that the mapping  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$ ,  $d(a) = T(a) - a\xi$  is a derivation and  $T(a) = d(a) + a\xi$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The same arguments employed in the proof of Theorem 6 (3.6) prove that

$$T^{**}(1)b = 1\xi b = \xi b, \quad \text{for all } b \in A. \quad (4.3)$$

We consider the continuous bilinear mapping  $V : A \times A \rightarrow X$  defined by  $V(a, b) := T(b^*)^*a + bT(a)$ . If  $a(b^*)^* = ab = 0$  the hypothesis implies that  $V(a, b) = 0$ . Therefore  $V$  preserves zero products. We conclude from [2, Example 1.3(2.), Theorem 2.11 and Definition 2.2] that  $V(ab, c) = V(a, bc)$ , or equivalently

$$T(c^*)^*ab + cT(ab) = T(c^*b^*)^*a + bcT(a)$$

for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . So, if  $(u_\lambda)$  is an approximate unit in  $A$  we have

$$T(u_\lambda)^*ab + u_\lambda T(ab) = T(u_\lambda b^*)^*a + bu_\lambda T(a),$$

for all  $\lambda, a, b \in A$ . We can take norm limits on the right hand side. For the left hand side we observe that the bimodule operations  $\pi_2^{***}(\cdot, ab)$  and  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, T(ab))$  are weak\* continuous. Taking weak\* limits in  $\lambda$  in the previous equality we derive

$$T^{**}(1)^*ab + T(ab) = T^{**}(1)^*ab + 1T(ab) = T(b^*)^*a + bT(a), \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$b^*a^*T^{**}(1) + T(ab)^* = a^*T(b^*) + T(a)^*b^*, \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . Replacing  $a$  with  $u_\lambda$  we get

$$b^*u_\lambda T^{**}(1) + T(u_\lambda b)^* = u_\lambda T(b^*) + T(u_\lambda)^*b^*,$$

for all  $\lambda, b \in A$ . Now, taking weak\* limits in  $\lambda$  we obtain

$$b^*T^{**}(1) + T(b)^* = T(b^*) + T^{**}(1)^*b^*,$$

equivalently,

$$T(b)^* - T^{**}(1)^*b^* = T(b^*) - b^*T^{**}(1), \quad \text{for all } b \in A.$$

Consequently,

$$T(h) + T^{**}(1)^*h = T(h)^* + hT^{**}(1), \quad \forall h \in A_{sa}, \quad (4.6)$$

that is,

$$d(h) + h\xi + T^{**}(1)^*h = d(h)^* + \xi^*h + hT^{**}(1), \quad \forall h \in A_{sa}.$$

Multiplying by  $k_1 \in A_{sa}$  on the left and by  $k_2 \in A_{sa}$  on the right and applying (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_1d(h)k_2 + k_1h\xi k_2 + k_1\xi^*hk_2 &= k_1d(h)k_2 + k_1h\xi k_2 + k_1T^{**}(1)^*hk_2 \\ &= k_1d(h)^*k_2 + k_1\xi^*hk_2 + k_1hT^{**}(1)k_2 = k_1d(h)^*k_2 + k_1\xi^*hk_2 + k_1h\xi k_2, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, k_1, k_2 \in A_{sa}$ . It then follows that

$$ad(h)b = ad(h)^*b, \text{ for all } h \in A_{sa}, a, b \in A.$$

Since the mappings  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, d(h)b)$  and  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, d(h)^*b)$  are weak\* continuous, we can replace  $a$  with  $u_\lambda$  and take weak\* limits in  $\lambda$  to deduce that

$$d(h)b = d(h)^*b, \text{ and } b^*d(h)^* = b^*d(h), \text{ for all } h \in A_{sa}, b \in A. \quad (4.7)$$

Now, by the local Gelfand theory, for each  $h \in A_{sa}$ , there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in A_{sa}$  with  $h_1h_2 = h_2h_1 = h$ . If we apply (4.7) and the fact that  $d$  is a derivation we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} d(h)^* &= d(h_1h_2)^* = (d(h_1)h_2 + h_1d(h_2))^* = h_2d(h_1)^* + d(h_2)^*h_1 \\ &= h_2d(h_1) + d(h_2)h_1 = d(h_2h_1) = d(h). \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of  $h \in A_{sa}$  proves that  $d(a)^* = d(a^*)$  for all  $a \in A$ , witnessing that  $d$  is a \*-derivation.

We claim that

$$d([a, b]) + \xi^*[a, b] + [a, b]\xi = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A. \quad (4.8)$$

Namely, by (4.3) and (4.4) for all  $a, b, c \in A$  we have

$$c\xi^*ab + cT(ab) = cT^{**}(1)^*ab + cT(ab) = cT(b^*)^*a + cbT(a),$$

equivalently

$$c\xi^*ab + cd(ab) + cab\xi = cd(b^*)^*a + c\xi^*ba + cbd(a) + cba\xi = cd(ba) + c\xi^*ba + cba\xi,$$

where in the last equality we applied that  $d$  is \*-derivation. Therefore

$$cd([a, b]) + c\xi^*[a, b] + c[a, b]\xi = 0, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

Replacing  $c$  with  $u_\lambda$ , where  $(u_\lambda)$  is an approximate unit in  $A$ , and having in mind that the maps  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, d([a, b]))$  and  $\pi_1^{***}(\cdot, \xi^*[a, b])$  are weak\* continuous, by taking weak\*-limits in  $\lambda$  we obtain the identity in the claim in (4.8).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Suppose there exists a \*-derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying properties (i)-(ii) in the statement. Let us fix  $a, b \in A$  with  $ab^* = 0$ . It follows from the assumptions that

$$\begin{aligned} T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) &= d(b)^*a + \xi^*b^*a + b^*d(a) + b^*a\xi = d(b^*)a + b^*d(a) + \xi^*b^*a + b^*a\xi \\ &= d(b^*a) + \xi^*b^*a + b^*a\xi = d([b^*, a]) + \xi^*[b^*, a] + [b^*, a]\xi = (\text{by (i)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the last statement can be obtained with the arguments we gave in Remark 7.  $\square$

As we commented before, for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the proofs of [5, Lemma 2.10, Theorem 2.11] remain valid to characterize when a bounded linear operator  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  is a generalized derivation. So, the proofs of the previous Theorem 10 remains valid to get the following result.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  be a bounded linear operator, where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a)  *$T$  is  $r^*$ -anti-derivable at zero (i.e.,  $ab^* = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)^*a + b^*T(a) = 0$  in  $A^{**}$ );*

- (b) *There exists a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in A^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:*
- (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\xi + \xi^*[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii)  $T(ab) = aT(b) + T(a)b - a\xi b$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + a\xi$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

The description of those continuous linear operators which are  $l^*$ -anti-derivable at zero is a straight consequence of the previous Theorem 10.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $X$  is an essential Banach  $*$ - $A$ -bimodule. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$T$  is  $l^*$ -anti-derivable at zero (i.e.,  $a^*b = 0$  in  $A \Rightarrow T(b)a^* + bT(a)^* = 0$  in  $X$ );*
- (b) *There exists a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:*
  - (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\eta^* + \eta[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii)  $T(ab) = aT(b) + T(a)b - a\eta b$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

*If we further assume that  $A$  is unital or  $X$  is a dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule, we can replace (b) with the following:*

- (b') *There exists a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X$  and an element  $\eta \in X$  satisfying the properties (i)-(ii) above.*

*Proof.* By observing that  $T$  is  $l^*$ -anti-derivable at zero if and only if the mapping  $S : A \rightarrow X$ ,  $S(a) := T(a^*)^*$  ( $\forall a \in A$ ) is  $r^*$ -anti-derivable at zero, an application of Theorem 10 tells that this is the case if and only if there exists a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow X^{**}$  and an element  $\xi \in X^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\xi + \xi^*[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $S(ab) = aS(b) + S(a)b - a\xi b$ , and  $S(a) = d(a) + a\xi$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

Taking  $\eta = \xi^*$  the rest can be straightforwardly checked by the reader.  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $T : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  be a bounded linear operator where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$T$  is  $l^*$ -anti-derivable at zero;*
- (b) *There exists a  $*$ -derivation  $d : A \rightarrow A^{**}$  and an element  $\eta \in A^{**}$  satisfying the following properties:*
  - (i)  $d([a, b]) + [a, b]\eta^* + \eta[a, b] = 0$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ ;
  - (ii)  $T(ab) = aT(b) + T(a)b - a\eta b$ , and  $T(a) = d(a) + \eta a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

**Acknowledgements** A.M. Peralta partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MICINN) and European Regional Development Fund project no. PGC2018-093332-B-I00, Junta de Andalucía grant FQM375 and Proyecto de I+D+i del Programa Operativo FEDER Andalucía 2014-2020, ref. A-FQM-242-UGR18.

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University. The authors, therefore, gratefully acknowledge DSR technical and financial support.



The results in this paper are part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis at King Abdulaziz University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.A. Akemann, G.K. Pedersen, Ideal perturbations of elements in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Scand.* **41**, no. 1, 117-139 (1977).
- [2] J. Alaminos, M. Bresar, J. Extremera, A. Villena, Maps preserving zero products, *Studia Math.* **193**, no. 2, 131-159 (2009).
- [3] J. Araujo, K. Jarosz, Biseparating maps between operator algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **282**, 48-55 (2003).
- [4] R. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2**, 839-848 (1951).
- [5] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov, A.M. Peralta, A survey on local and 2-local derivations on  $C^*$ - and von Neuman algebras, *Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc.* Volume **672**, 73-126 (2016).
- [6] M. Brešar, Multiplication algebra and maps determined by zero products, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **60**, 763-768 (2012).
- [7] Q. Bu, M.-H. Hsu, N.-C. Wong, Orthogonally additive holomorphic maps between  $C^*$ -algebras, *Studia Math.* **234**, 195-216 (2016).
- [8] M. Burgos, J. Cabello-Sánchez, A.M. Peralta, Linear maps between  $C^*$ -algebras that are  $*$ -homomorphisms at a fixed point, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **42**(2): 151-164 (2019).
- [9] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **42**, no. 3, 1276-1286 (2014).
- [10] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J.J. Garcés, J. Martínez Moreno, A.M. Peralta, Orthogonality preservers in  $C^*$ -algebras,  $JB^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**, 220-233 (2008).
- [11] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Local triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46**, no. 4, 709-724 (2014).
- [12] M.A. Chebotar, W.-F.Ke, P.-H. Lee, N.-C.Wong, Mappings preserving zero products, *Studia Math.* **155**, 77-94 (2003).
- [13] H.G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*. London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series), Volume 24, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [14] A.B.A. Essaleh, A.M. Peralta, Linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras which are derivations or triple derivations at a point, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **538**, 1-21 (2018).
- [15] B. Fadaee, H. Ghahramani, Linear maps behaving like derivations or anti-derivations at orthogonal elements on  $C^*$ -algebras, to appear in *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-019-00841-6>. arXiv:1907.03594v1
- [16] Th. Fack, Finite sums of commutators in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **32**, 129-137 (1982).
- [17] Th. Fack, P. de la Harpe, Sommes de commutateurs dans les alg'ebres de von Neumann finies continues, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **30**, 49-73 (1980).
- [18] H. Ghahramani, Z. Pan, Linear maps on  $*$ -algebras acting on orthogonal elements like derivations or anti-derivations, *Filomat* **32**, no. 13, 4543-4554 (2018).
- [19] S. Goldstein, Stationarity of operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **118**, no. 2, 275-308 (1993).
- [20] S. Goldstein, A. Paszkiewicz, Linear combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**, 175-183 (1992).
- [21] H. Halpern, Commutators in properly infinite von Neumann algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**, 55-73 (1969).
- [22] H. Hanche-Olsen, E. Størmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, Pitman, London, 1984.
- [23] S. Hejazian, A. Niknam, Modules Annihilators and module derivations of  $JB^*$ -algebras, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.* **27**, 129-140 (1996).

- [24] J.C. Hou, X.F. Qi, Additive maps derivable at some points on  $J$ -subspace lattice algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429**, 1851-1863 (2008).
- [25] F.B. Jamjoom, A.M. Peralta, A.A. Siddiqui, Jordan weak amenability and orthogonal forms on  $JB^*$ -algebras, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **9**, no. 4, 126-145 (2015).
- [26] W. Jing, On Jordan all-derivable points of  $B(H)$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* **430** (4), 941-946 (2009).
- [27] W. Jing, S.J. Lu, P.T. Li, Characterization of derivations on some operator algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **66**, 227-232 (2002).
- [28] B.E. Johnson, Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120**, no. 3, 455-473 (1996).
- [29] B.E. Johnson, R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, Cohomology of operator algebras III. Reducing to normal cohomology, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **100**, 73-96 (1972).
- [30] C.-W. Leung, C.-W. Tsai, N.-C. Wong, Linear disjointness preservers of  $W^*$ -algebras, *Math. Z.* **270**, 699-708 (2012).
- [31] C.-W. Leung, N.-C. Wong, Zero product preserving linear maps of CCR  $C^*$ -algebras with Hausdorff spectrum, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361**, 187-194 (2010).
- [32] J. Li, Z. Pan, Annihilator-preserving maps, multipliers, and derivations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **423**, 5-13 (2010).
- [33] Y.-F. Lin and M. Mathieu, Jordan isomorphism of purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras, *Quart. J. Math.* **58**, 249-253 (2007).
- [34] J.-H. Liu, C.-Y. Chou, C.-J. Liao, N.-C. Wong, Disjointness preservers of  $AW^*$ -algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **552**, 71-84 (2018).
- [35] J.-H. Liu, C.-Y. Chou, C.-J. Liao, N.-C. Wong, Linear disjointness preservers of operator algebras and related structures, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **84**, no. 1-2, 277-307 (2018).
- [36] F. Lu, Characterizations of derivations and Jordan derivations on Banach algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **430**, No. 8-9, 2233-2239 (2009).
- [37] L.W. Marcoux, On the Linear Span of the Projections in Certain Simple  $C^*$ -algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51**, 753-771 (2002).
- [38] L.W. Marcoux, Sums of small numbers of commutators, *J. Operator Theory* **56**, 111-142 (2006).
- [39] L.W. Marcoux, Projections, commutators and Lie ideals in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **110A**, 31-55 (2010).
- [40] L. W. Marcoux, G.J. Murphy, Unitarily-invariant linear spaces in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**, no. 12, 3597-3605 (1998).
- [41] C. Pearcy, D. Topping, Sum of small numbers of idempotent, *Michigan Math. J.* **14**, 453-465 (1967).
- [42] G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London 1979.
- [43] A.M. Peralta, A. Rodríguez Palacios, Grothendieck's inequalities for real and complex  $JBW^*$ -triples, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **83**, no. 3, 605-625 (2001).
- [44] A. M. Peralta, B. Russo, Automatic continuity of triple derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras and  $JB^*$ -triples, *Journal of Algebra* **399**, 960-977 (2014).
- [45] C. Pop, Finite sums of commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130**, 3039-3041 (2002).
- [46] J. R. Ringrose, Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **5**, 432-438 (1972).
- [47] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ - and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [48] C.-W. Tsai, The orthogonality structure determines a  $C^*$ -algebra with continuous trace, *Operators and Matrices* **5**, 529-540 (2011).
- [49] C.-W. Tsai, N.-C. Wong, Linear orthogonality preservers of standard operator algebras, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **14**, 1047-1053 (2010).
- [50] M. Wolff, Disjointness preserving operators in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Arch. Math.* **62**, 248-253 (1994).
- [51] N.-C. Wong, Triple homomorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **29**, 401-407 (2005).

- [52] N.-C. Wong, Zero product preservers of  $C^*$ -algebras, *Contemporary Math.* **435**, 377-380 (2007).
- [53] Y.F. Zhang, J.C. Hou, X.F. Qi, Characterizing derivations for any nest algebras on Banach space by their behaviors at an injective operator, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **449** (15), 312-333 (2014).
- [54] Y.F. Zhang, J.C. Hou, X.F. Qi, All-derivable subsets for nest algebras on Banach spaces, *Int. Math. Forum* **9**, no. 1-4, 1-11 (2014).
- [55] J. Zhu, All-derivable points of operator algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427**, 1-5 (2007).
- [56] J. Zhu, C.P. Xiong, Generalized derivable mappings at zero point on nest algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica* **45**, 783-788 (2002).
- [57] J. Zhu, C.P. Xiong, Generalized derivable mappings at zero point on some reflexive operator algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **397**, 367-379 (2005).
- [58] J. Zhu, C.P. Xiong, Derivable mappings at unit operator on nest algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **422**, 721-735 (2007).
- [59] J. Zhu, C.P. Xiong, All-derivable points in continuous nest algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340**, 845-853 (2008).
- [60] J. Zhu, Ch. Xiong, P. Li, Characterizations of all-derivable points in  $B(H)$ , *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **64**, no. 8, 1461-1473 (2016).
- [61] J. Zhu, S. Zhao, Characterizations all-derivable points in nest algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (7), 2343-2350 (2013).

(CURRENT ADDRESS) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENTS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 9039 JEDDAH 21413, SAUDI ARABIA.

*E-mail address:* dabulhamil0001@stu.kau.edu.sa

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENTS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 9039 JEDDAH 21413, SAUDI ARABIA

*E-mail address:* fjamjoom@kau.edu.sa

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA,, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS 18071, GRANADA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* aperalta@ugr.es