

# Path-Connected Components of Affine Schemes and Algebraic K-Theory

Maysam Maysami Sadr\*

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

## Abstract

We introduce a functor  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathbf{Alg} \times \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}$  constructed from representations of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}}(A, B \otimes ?)$ . As applications, the following items are introduced and studied: (i) Analogue of the functor  $\pi_0$  for algebras and affine schemes. (ii) Cotype of Weibel's concept of strict homotopization. (iii) A homotopy invariant intrinsic singular cohomology theory for affine schemes with cup product. (iv) Some extensions of  $\mathbf{Alg}$  that are enriched over idempotent semigroups. (v) Classifying homotopy pro-algebras for Cortiñas-Thom's KK-groups and Weibel's homotopy K-groups.

**MSC 2020.** 55P99; 16E20; 14R99; 14F99; 19K35.

**Keywords.** Homotopy theory of algebras; algebraic K-theory; path-connected component; affine scheme; singular cohomology.

## 1 Introduction

The main objective of this note is to introduce and study a specific bifunctor

$$\mathfrak{M} : \mathbf{Alg} \times \mathbf{Alg}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathbf{Alg}$$

on the category of (noncommutative and nonunital) algebras over any field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For any two algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  is defined to be the pro-object of  $\mathbf{Alg}$  representing the set-valued functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}}(A, B \otimes ?)$ . We give an explicit construction of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  associated with each generator-set of  $A$  and each vector-space basis of  $B$ . It turns out that  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  may be regarded as the algebra of polynomial functions on the noncommutative affine ind-scheme of all morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$ . We consider some variants of  $\mathfrak{M}$  related to unital, commutative, and reduced cases. The behavior with respect to product and coproduct, and a specific *exponential law* for the functor  $\mathfrak{M}$  are considered. It is also shown that  $\mathfrak{M}$  preserves algebraic homotopy. Using  $\mathfrak{M}$  and regarding it as a (dual) pure-algebraic version of the ordinary mapping space functor on topological spaces (see below), we introduce and study some new objects in homotopy theories of algebras and (noncommutative) affine schemes:

---

\*sadr@iasbs.ac.ir

(i) We introduce a functor  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}^c$  and some of its variants on  $\mathbf{Alg}$  that send any algebra  $A$  to some specific subalgebra  $\mathfrak{P}(A) \subseteq A$ . The associated functor on affine schemes turns out to be an algebraic version of the usual path-connected component functor  $\pi_0$  on topological spaces. Homotopy invariance, behavior with respect to direct sum and tensor product, and some other properties of  $\mathfrak{P}$  are considered. Also, it is shown that in case  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}$  is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology-group functor at degree 0 on the category of unital commutative algebras.

(ii) For any functor  $F$  on an (admissible) subcategory of  $\mathbf{Alg}$  with values in an arbitrary category we define the *costrict* homotopization of  $F$  to be a homotopy invariant functor  $[F]$ , with the same domain and target as  $F$ , satisfying a cotype of the universal property of Weibel's strict homotopization [21]. It is shown that under some mild conditions on the domain and target categories, the functor  $[F]$  exists. It turns out that  $\mathfrak{P} = [\text{id}]$ . Also, it became clear that the *space* of *path-connected components* of any affine scheme can be defined in three different fashions.

(iii) Using machinery of ordinary singular cohomology theory, with each functor  $F$  on a specific subcategory of  $\mathbf{Alg}$  with values in abelian groups we associate two homotopy invariant *homology theories*  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^*, \underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^*$  for algebras. It is proved that  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^0 = [F]$  and thus the functors  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  may be regarded as higher ordered costrict homotopizations of  $F$ . In case  $F = \text{id}$  we have  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^* = \underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^*$ , and we may consider the cup product for the homology theory. The associated theory on affine schemes is called *intrinsic singular cohomology theory*. A de Rham Theorem at degree zero is proved for that.

(iv) We construct an extension  $\mathbf{\bar{Alg}}$  (and some of its variants) of  $\mathbf{Alg}$ . The objects of  $\mathbf{\bar{Alg}}$  are those of  $\mathbf{Alg}$  and the morphisms from an algebra  $A$  to an algebra  $B$  are (various classes of) *pro-ideals* of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$ . It is shown that  $\mathbf{\bar{Alg}}$  is an enriched category over partially ordered idempotent semigroups, by inclusion and intersection of pro-ideals. Also, the K-group functor  $K_0$  can be extended to a version of  $\mathbf{\bar{Alg}}$ .

(v) We consider a bivariant *homology theory* constructed as a pure-algebraic version of Cuntz's interpretation [5] of the Kasparov bivariant K-theory of C\*-algebras [15]. It is shown that for every unital algebra  $C$  the canonical group-morphism from  $K_0(C)$  into the Weibel homotopy K-group  $KH_0(C)$  [19] factors through  $\Omega\Omega(\mathbb{F}, C)$ . Following a method introduced by Phillips [17] we prove the existence of *classifying homotopy pro-algebras* for  $\Omega\Omega$ -groups, Cortiñas-Thom's KK-groups [4], and  $KH_0$ -groups. Thus, for instance, it is proved that there exists a pro-object  $\overline{KH}_0$  of the homotopy category  $\text{Hot}(\mathbf{Alg})$  with a cocommutative cogroup structure such that

$$KH_0(B) \cong [\overline{KH}_0, B] \quad (B \in \mathbf{Alg}).$$

In the following we explain some simple ideas from classical Topology that are behind the definitions of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and the objects introduced in (i) and (iii).

Let  $\mathbf{Top}$  denote the category of topological spaces and  $\mathbf{Top}_k$  the subcategory of compact topological manifolds. Consider the bifunctor

$$\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{Top}_k^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{Top}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$$

that associates with  $(Y, X)$ , the space of all (continuous) mappings from  $Y$  into  $X$ , with compact-open topology. It is an elementary fact that any map  $\phi : Z \times Y \rightarrow X$  defines a  $Z$ -parameterized family  $\{\psi(z)\}_z$  in  $\mathbf{M}_{Y,X}$  given by

$$\psi : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{Y,X} \quad \psi(z)(y) := \phi(z, y).$$

Identifying maps of the type  $\phi$  with families of maps of the type  $\psi$ , we may define the space  $M_{Y,X}$  as the parameter-space  $M$  of a family  $u : M \times Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following universal property: For any family  $\phi : Z \times Y \rightarrow X$  with compact parameter space  $Z$  there exists a unique map  $\bar{\phi} : Z \rightarrow M$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times Y & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ \bar{\phi} \times \text{id}_Y \uparrow & \nearrow \phi & \\ Z \times Y & & \end{array}$$

Dualizing the above categorical definition of  $M_{Y,X}$ , we get the definition of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$ : For any two algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  is defined to be an object of **Alg** coming with a morphism  $\Upsilon_{A,B} : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  and satisfying the following universal property: For any algebra  $C$  and every morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$  there exists a unique morphism  $\bar{\phi} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \rightarrow C$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} & \xleftarrow{\Upsilon_{A,B}} & A \\ \text{id}_B \otimes \bar{\phi} \downarrow & \nwarrow \phi & \\ B \otimes C & & \end{array}$$

It will be shown that  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  exists but not always as an algebra. Indeed, as in the general case  $M_{Y,X}$  is a noncompact topological space,  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  in general is a pro-object of **Alg**. Using the mentioned universal property it is easily verified that the assignment  $(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  defines a bifunctor (Theorem 2.3). Also, it is easily checked that there is a canonical bijection between  $\mathbb{F}$ -points of the noncommutative affine ind-scheme  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})$  associated to  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  (i.e. the pro-morphisms  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ) and the morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  (Corollary 2.4). Thus,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})$  may be called noncommutative (or quantum) family of *all* the maps  $\mathcal{S}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A)$ . For more details on the concept of quantum family see [18].

It is necessary to remark that the pro-algebras of the type  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  and their properties have been known for a long time (see for instance [9]). But it seems that these objects have not been systematically studied.

Let  $\pi_0 : \mathbf{Top}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_k$  denote the functor that associates with any space the set of its path-connected components endowed with quotient topology. For any  $X \in \mathbf{Top}_k$  we may identify  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$ , the algebra of real-valued continuous functions on  $\pi_0 X$ , with the subalgebra of those functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with the property that for any continuous curve  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \circ \gamma$  is constant. Thus if  $X^{[0,1]} := M([0, 1], X)$  denotes the path-space of  $X$  then  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$  iff the morphism

$$\mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times X^{[0,1]}) \quad g \mapsto [(t, \gamma) \mapsto g(\gamma(t))]$$

sends  $f$  to a function of the form

$$1 \otimes \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X^{[0,1]}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times X^{[0,1]})$$

for some  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(X^{[0,1]})$ .

Using the above formalism we may define the functor  $\pi_0$  on the category of affine schemes: Let  $A$  be a unital commutative algebra regarded as the algebra of polynomial functions on the affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{cu}}, \Upsilon^{\text{cu}}$  the commutative unital versions of  $\mathfrak{M}, \Upsilon$  (Theorem 2.3). We let  $\mathfrak{P}(A)$  be the subalgebra of those elements  $a \in A$  such that the image of  $a$  under the morphism

$$\Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^{\text{cu}} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^{\text{cu}}$$

is of the form  $1 \otimes \tilde{a}$  in each component of the pro-algebra  $\mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^{\text{cu}}$  (Lemma 4.2). So, we have replaced the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{F}[x])$ . We define

$$\pi_0 S := \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{P}(A)).$$

A continuous version of the ordinary singular cohomology theory with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  may be simply defined as follows: Let  $\{\Delta_n\}_n$  denote the standard cosimplicial space. For any space  $X$ , the action of the cofunctor  $M(?, X)$  on  $\{\Delta_n\}_n$  gives rise to the simplicial space  $\{M(\Delta_n, X)\}_n$  and then to the cosimplicial vector space  $\{\mathcal{C}(M(\Delta_n, X))\}_n$  that *accidentally* is also a cosimplicial algebra. The cohomology groups of the Moore cochain complex associated with that cosimplicial vector space may be called continuous singular cohomology groups of  $X$  [16].

A pure-algebraic version of the above formalism may be applied to affine schemes: Let  $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] := \{\mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]\}_n$  denote the standard simplicial algebra [4, 7] (see §6 for the definition). For any affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  the action of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A, ?}$  on  $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$  gives rise to the cosimplicial pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta]}$ . The cohomology groups of the Moore complex of  $\varprojlim \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta]}$  are called intrinsic singular cohomology groups of  $S$ .

In the rest of this section we fix our notations. In § 2 we construct the functor  $\mathfrak{M}$  and its variants, and consider some basic properties of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . In § 3 we review the classical notion of algebraic homotopy for pro- and ind-morphisms, and show that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is homotopy preserving. In § 4-8 we consider respectively the items (i)-(v).

## Notations & Conventions

We denote by **Set**, **Ab**, **Chain**, **CChain** respectively the categories of sets, abelian groups, and chain and cochain complexes of abelian groups. Let **C** be a category. The category of simplicial (resp. cosimplicial) objects of **C** is denoted by **sim-C** (resp. **cosim-C**). The category of pro-objects (resp. ind-object) of **C** ([1, Appendix]) is denoted by **pro-C** (resp. **ind-C**). An object of **pro-C** (alternatively called a pro-object of **C**) is an indexed family  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  of objects of **C** over a directed set  $I$  together with the structural morphisms  $\alpha_{ii'} : C_{i'} \rightarrow C_i$  for  $i' \geq i$  which are compatible:  $\alpha_{ii} = \text{id}_{C_i}$  and  $\alpha_{ii''} = \alpha_{ii'} \alpha_{i'i''}$ . For pro-objects  $C = (I, C_i, \alpha_{ii'})$  and  $D = (J, D_j, \beta_{jj'})$  of **C** it is defined that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-C}}(C, D) := \lim_{\infty \leftarrow j} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C_i, D_j).$$

(The structure of  $\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-C}}(C, D)$  may be explained as follows: A represented pro-morphism from  $C$  to  $D$  is distinguished by a function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  and a family  $\{\phi_j : C_{f(j)} \rightarrow D_j\}_j$  of morphisms with the property that if  $j' \geq j$  then there exists  $i \geq f(j), f(j')$  such that  $\phi_j \alpha_{f(j)i} = \beta_{jj'} \phi_{j'} \alpha_{f(j')i}$ . Two represented pro-morphisms  $(f, \phi_j)$  and  $(g, \psi_j)$  are equivalent if for every  $j$  there exists  $i \geq f(j), g(j)$  such that

$\phi_j \alpha_{f(j)i} = \psi_j \alpha_{g(j)i}$ . Then,  $\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}}(C, D)$  may be identified with the set of equivalence classes of represented pro-morphisms.) We always collapse pro-pro- and ind-ind-objects to pro- and ind-objects, without further comment. We use freely the canonical embeddings

$$\mathbf{C} \subset \text{pro-}\mathbf{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C} \subset \text{ind-}\mathbf{C}.$$

We also freely use the canonical extensions of any functor  $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  to the functors

$$\text{pro-}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{D}, \quad \text{ind-}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{D}, \quad \text{sim-}\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{sim-}\mathbf{D}.$$

Inverse limit  $\varprojlim$  (if exists) is considered as a functor from  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ . Recall that a functor  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is said to be pro-representable if there exist a pro-object  $C = \{C_i\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  and a natural isomorphism  $\Phi : \text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}}(C, ?) \rightarrow F$ . Note that  $\Phi$  is exactly distinguished by the family  $\{\phi_i \in F(C_i)\}$  where  $\phi_i := \Phi(\text{id}_{C_i})$ . We call

$$(\{C_i\}_i, \{\phi_i\}_i)$$

a pro-representation for  $F$ , and say that  $F$  is pro-represented by  $C$ . Throughout, we work over a fixed field  $\mathbb{F}$ ; all vector spaces and algebras are understood over  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\mathbf{Vec}$  denotes category of vector spaces. The symbol  $\otimes$  without any subscript denotes  $\otimes_{\mathbb{F}}$ . The sub- and super-scripts nc, c, u, r, fg, fp stand for noncommutative, commutative, unital, reduced, finitely generated, finitely presented. From now on, the category of all algebras is denoted by  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . The category of unital algebras and unit preserving morphisms is denoted by  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . The category  $\mathbf{A}_*$  is similarly considered for  $*$  = c, cu, fg, fp, ... Thus, for instance,  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}$  denotes the category of finitely generated commutative unital algebras and unit preserving morphisms. Tensor product, product, and coproduct of pro- and ind-algebras are defined componentwise. For any pro-algebra  $A$  by a point of  $A$  we mean a pro-morphism from  $A$  into  $\mathbb{F}$ . The set of all points and all nonzero points of  $A$  are denoted by  $\text{Pnt}(A)$  and  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(A)$ . The category of affine schemes (over  $\mathbb{F}$ ) as usual is defined by  $\mathbf{Aff} := \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}^{\text{op}}$ . The affine scheme associated with  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}(A)$ .  $M_n$  denotes the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrixes with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We denote with the same symbol the functor  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  given by  $A \mapsto M_n(A) := M_n \otimes A$ .

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Cortiñas for many valuable comments, hints, and remarks on the early version of this manuscript.

## 2 The Main Definition

Let  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$  be arbitrary categories and let  $F : \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  be a functor. For objects  $B \in \mathbf{B}$  and  $C \in \mathbf{C}$  consider the functor

$$F_{B,C} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(B, F(C, ?)) : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}.$$

Let  $D$  be a pro-object of  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\phi : B \rightarrow F(C, D)$  be a pro-morphism.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $(D, \phi)$  is a pro-representation for  $F_{B,C}$  iff the following universal property holds: For every pro-object  $E$  of  $\mathbf{D}$  and every pro-morphism  $\psi : B \rightarrow F(C, E)$  there exists a unique pro-morphism  $\bar{\psi} : D \rightarrow E$  such that  $\psi = F(\text{id}_C, \bar{\psi})\phi$ .

The functor  $F$  is said to be pro- $\mathbf{D}$ -representable if for every  $B, C$ ,  $F_{B,C}$  is pro-representable. For  $D$  and  $\phi$  as above, we use respectively the notations

$$\mathfrak{M}(B, C) = \mathfrak{M}_{B,C} \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon(B, C) = \Upsilon_{B,C}.$$

Let  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  and  $g : C' \rightarrow C$  be morphisms respectively in  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ . The universal property of  $(\mathfrak{M}_{B,C}, \Upsilon_{B,C})$  shows that there is a unique pro-morphism

$$\mathfrak{M}(f, g) = \mathfrak{M}_{f,g} : \mathfrak{M}_{B,C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{B',C'}$$

satisfying the identity

$$F(g, \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{B',C'}})\Upsilon_{B',C'}f = F(\text{id}_C, \mathfrak{M}_{f,g})\Upsilon_{B,C}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{id}_B, \text{id}_C) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(B,C)}$ . For morphisms  $f' : B' \rightarrow B''$ ,  $g' : C'' \rightarrow C'$ , again universal property of the involved objects shows that

$$\mathfrak{M}(f'f, gg') = \mathfrak{M}(f', g')\mathfrak{M}(f, g).$$

Ignoring some set-theoretical difficulties about *the choice* of a pro-representation  $(\mathfrak{M}_{B,C}, \Upsilon_{B,C})$  for  $F_{B,C}$ , we have the following easily checked result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that the functor

$$F : \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$

is pro- $\mathbf{D}$ -representable. Then,  $\mathfrak{M}$  may be considered as a functor

$$\mathfrak{M} : \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{D},$$

and  $\Upsilon$  as a natural transformation, in the obvious way. For any  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ , the functor

$$\mathfrak{M}(?, C) : \text{pro-}\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{D}$$

is left-adjoint to the functor

$$F(C, ?) : \text{pro-}\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{B}.$$

Thus, for  $B \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{B}$ ,  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ , and  $D \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{D}$ , we have the following natural bijection:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{D}}(\mathfrak{M}(B, C), D) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{B}}(B, F(C, D)).$$

For  $B \in \mathbf{B}$ ,  $C \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{C}$ , and  $D \in \mathbf{D}$ , we have the following bijection:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{D}}(\mathfrak{M}(B, C), D) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{ind-}\mathbf{B}}(B, F(C, D)).$$

We now turn to our main construction in the category of algebras. Let  $A, B$  be algebras. Suppose  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  is a family of finite linearly independent subsets  $\delta_a$  of  $B$  indexed by a set  $G \subseteq A$  that generates  $A$  as an algebra. Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$  the universal algebra in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  generated by symbols  $z_{a,v}$ , where  $a \in G$  and  $v \in \delta_a$ , subject to the condition that the assignment

$$a \mapsto \sum_{v \in \delta_a} v \otimes z_{a,v} \quad (1)$$

defines a morphism  $\Upsilon\theta : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}\theta$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . The pair  $(\mathfrak{M}\theta, \Upsilon\theta)$  has the following universal property: For every  $C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and any morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  with the property that for every  $a \in G$ ,  $\varphi(a)$  is a linear combination of vectors in  $\delta_a$ , there is a unique morphism  $\overline{\varphi} : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  such that  $\varphi = (\text{id}_B \otimes \overline{\varphi})\Upsilon\theta$ . We call  $\overline{\varphi}$  the morphism  $\theta$ -associated with  $\varphi$ .

Now, fix a vector basis  $V$  for the underlying vector space of  $B$ , and let  $\Theta = \Theta_{G,V}$  denote the set of all families  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  as above with  $\delta_a \subseteq V$ . For  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ , write  $\theta \subseteq \theta'$  if  $\delta_a \subseteq \delta'_a$  for every  $a \in G$ . Then,  $\subseteq$  makes  $\Theta$  into a directed set. For  $\theta \subseteq \theta'$  let  $\phi_{\theta'\theta} : \mathfrak{M}\theta' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta$  denote the morphism  $\theta'$ -associated with  $\Upsilon\theta$ . We have  $\phi_{\theta\theta} = \text{id}$  and if  $\theta \subseteq \theta' \subseteq \theta''$  then  $\phi_{\theta''\theta} = \phi_{\theta'\theta}\phi_{\theta''\theta'}$ . So, the data  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta, \phi_{\theta'\theta}\}$  distinguish a pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$  indexed by  $\Theta$  and the family  $\{\Upsilon\theta\}$  defines a pro-morphism

$$\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}.$$

We have the following universal property. Let  $C = \{C_i\}_i$  be a pro-algebra and let

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C \quad \varphi = \{\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B \otimes C_i\}_i$$

denote a pro-morphism. For every  $i$ , there exists  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}$  in  $\Theta$  such that  $\varphi_i(a)$  is a linear combination of vectors in  $\delta_a$ . Let  $\overline{\varphi}_i : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow C_i$  be the morphism  $\theta$ -associated with  $\varphi_i$ . Then, the family  $\{\overline{\varphi}_i\}_i$  defines a unique pro-morphism

$$\overline{\varphi} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow C \quad \varphi = \{\overline{\varphi}_i\}_i$$

satisfying

$$\varphi = (\text{id}_B \otimes \overline{\varphi})\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}.$$

We call  $\overline{\varphi}$  the pro-morphism associated with  $\varphi$ . By Lemma 2.1, the pair

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}, \Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}) \quad (2)$$

is a pro-representation for the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B \otimes ?) : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}.$$

Thus, tensor product of algebras as the functor

$$\otimes : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}},$$

is pro- $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ -representable. Similarly, it can be shown that the functor

$$\otimes : \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$$

is pro- $\mathbf{A}_u$ -representable. Also, for  $* \in \{c, cr\}$  ( $* \in \{cu, cur\}$ ) the functors

$$\otimes : \mathbf{A}_{nc} \times \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{nc} \quad (\otimes : \mathbf{A}_u \times \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_u)$$

are pro- $\mathbf{A}_*$ -representable. We denote by  $(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^*, \Upsilon_{A,B}^*)$  the pro-representations for these functors, constructed similar to the pair (2). Thus, for instance, for unital algebras  $A, B$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{cur}$  is an object in pro- $\mathbf{A}_{cur}$  given by the inverse system  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta, \phi_{\theta'\theta}\}$  indexed over  $\Theta$  as above, where  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$  is the universal algebra in  $\mathbf{A}_{cur}$  generated by symbols  $z_{a,v}$  subject to the condition that the assignment (1) defines a unit-preserving morphism from  $A$  into  $B \otimes \mathfrak{M}\theta$ . The following is a special case of Proposition 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $* \in \{nc, c, cr\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  may be considered as a functor

$$\mathfrak{M}^* : \mathbf{A}_{nc} \times \mathbf{A}_{nc}^{op} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_*$$

and  $\Upsilon^*$  as a natural transformation, in the obvious way. For any  $B \in \mathbf{A}_{nc}$ , the functor

$$\mathfrak{M}^*(?, B) : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{nc} \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_*$$

is left-adjoint to the functor

$$B \otimes ? : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{nc}.$$

For  $A \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{nc}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{A}_{nc}$ , and  $C \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_*$ , we have the natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_*}(\mathfrak{M}^*(A, B), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{nc}}(A, B \otimes C),$$

and for  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{nc}$ ,  $B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{nc}$ , and  $C \in \mathbf{A}_*$ , the bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_*}(\mathfrak{M}^*(A, B), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{nc}}(A, B \otimes C).$$

The above statements hold also for  $* \in \{u, cu, cur\}$  if  $\mathbf{A}_{nc}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{A}_u$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** For unital algebras  $A, B$ , we have the following identification:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_u}(A, B) \cong \text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^*) \quad (* \in \{u, cu, cur\}).$$

The similar statement holds for nonunital case.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.3 by putting  $C = \mathbb{F}$ . □

Using universality of  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  it is easily verified that

$$\mathfrak{M}^c = (\mathfrak{M}^{nc})_{\text{com}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}^{cu} = (\mathfrak{M}^u)_{\text{com}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}^{cr} = (\mathfrak{M}^c)_{\text{red}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}^{cur} = (\mathfrak{M}^{cu})_{\text{red}},$$

where  $A \mapsto A_{\text{com}} (\mathbf{A}_{nc} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_c)$  and  $A \mapsto A_{\text{red}} (\mathbf{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{cr})$  denote commutativization and reduction functors. We have also canonical natural transformations

$$\mathfrak{M}^{nc} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{cr} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}^u \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{cu} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{cur}. \quad (3)$$

For the relation between unital and nonunital cases we only mention the following result. Let  $+$  :  $\mathbf{A}_{nc} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_u$  denote the unitization functor. It is well-known that for any algebra  $A$  and any unital algebra  $B$ , every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  extends uniquely to a unit-preserving morphism  $A^+ \rightarrow B$ . (Indeed, this fact says that  $+$  is a left-adjoint to the embedding  $\mathbf{A}_u \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{nc}$ .) By abuse of notation, we denote this extension of  $f$  by  $f^+$ . The same convention is applied to pro-morphisms.



**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}})^+ \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}}, \quad (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{c}})^+ \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{cu}}, \quad (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cr}})^+ \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{cur}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f := (\text{id}_B \otimes e)\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$  where  $e : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}+}$  denotes the canonical embedding. We have  $f^+ : A^+ \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}+}$  in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . Let  $\overline{f^+} : \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}+}$  be the pro-morphism associated with  $f^+$ . Thus  $f^+ = (\text{id} \otimes \overline{f^+})\Upsilon_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}}$ . Let  $g := \Upsilon_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}}e$  where  $e$  this time shows the canonical embedding  $A \rightarrow A^+$ . Let  $\overline{g} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}}$  denote the pro-morphism associated with  $g$ . Thus  $g = (\text{id}_B \otimes \overline{g})\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$ . We have  $\overline{g^+} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A^+,B}^{\text{u}}$ . It follows from universality of  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{u}}$  that  $\overline{f^+}$  and  $\overline{g^+}$  are inverses of each other. The proof is complete.  $\square$

From now on, when there is no confusion about  $*$   $\in \{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$ , we will use the short notations  $\mathfrak{M}, \Upsilon$  instead of  $\mathfrak{M}^*, \Upsilon^*$ . In the following we consider some basic properties of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.**

- (i)  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nc}} \cong A$  for  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{F},B}^{\text{u}} \cong \mathbb{F}$  for  $B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ .
- (ii) For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  there is a canonical bijection  $\text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{F},A}^{\text{nc}}) \cong \{a \in A : a^2 = a\}$ . Similarly, for every  $n > 1$  there is a canonical bijection between  $\text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{F}^n,A}^{\text{nc}})$  and the set of  $n$ -tuples of pairwise orthogonal idempotents of  $A$ .
- (iii) For  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,\mathbb{F}^n}^{\text{nc}}$  is a coproduct of  $n$  copies of  $A$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ .
- (iv) If  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{ncfg}}, A' \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{ncfp}}, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  then  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{ncfg}}, \mathfrak{M}_{A',B}^{\text{nc}} \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{ncfp}}$ .
- (v) If  $B$  is a finite-dimensional algebra then  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \cong \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$  for any algebra  $A$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

**Example 2.7.**  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{F},\mathcal{M}_n}^{\text{nc}}$  is the universal algebra generated by the symbols  $\{z_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  satisfying  $z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik}z_{kj}$ . We have  $\Upsilon_{\mathbb{F},\mathcal{M}_n}^{\text{nc}}(1) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \otimes z_{ij}$  where  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  denotes the canonical vector basis of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

**Example 2.8.**  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{u}}(\mathbb{F}[x], \mathbb{F}^n)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{nc}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , the algebra of polynomials in noncommutating indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**Example 2.9.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{ncfg}}, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . Suppose  $G$  is a finite generator-set for  $A$ , and  $V$  is a vector basis for  $B$ . For every finite subset  $S$  of  $V$ , let  $\theta_S \in \Theta_{G,V}$  denote the family  $\{\delta_a\}_a$  where  $\delta_a = S$  for every  $a \in G$ . Then  $\{\theta_S\}_S$  is a cofinal subset of  $\Theta_{G,V}$ , and hence the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$  is described also by the inverse system  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_S\}_S$  of algebras. Moreover, suppose that  $V$  is countable and  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ , and let  $S_n := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  is also described by the following *nice* inverse subsystem of  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_S\}_S$ :

$$\mathfrak{M}\theta_{S_1} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta_{S_n} \leftarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta_{S_{n+1}} \leftarrow \dots$$

Here,  $\mathfrak{M}\theta_{S_n}$  is generated by  $\{z_{a,i} : a \in G, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}\theta_{S_{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}\theta_{S_n}$  is given by  $z_{a,i} \mapsto z_{a,i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $z_{a,n+1} \mapsto 0$ .

Let  $C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}$ , and let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite ordered generator-set for  $C$ . Let  $\phi$  be the morphism  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow C$  given by  $x_i \mapsto g_i$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}(C, G)$  the zero-locus of  $\ker(\phi)$ , i.e. the algebraic set in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  of  $n$ -tuples  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  under which the evaluation of every polynomial in  $\ker(\phi)$ , is zero. It is clear that the assignment

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto [g_1 \mapsto \lambda_1, \dots, g_n \mapsto \lambda_n]$$

defines a bijection from  $\mathcal{Z}(C, G)$  onto  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(C)$ . Thus, the choice of  $G$  gives rise to the structure  $\mathcal{Z}(C, G)$  of an algebraic set on  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(C)$ . Similarly, if  $C = (C_i)_i$  be in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}$  then any family  $G = \{G_i\}_i$  where  $G_i$  is a finite ordered generator-set for  $A_i$ , gives rise to an algebraic ind-set structure  $\mathcal{Z}(C, G) := \{\mathcal{Z}(C_i, G_i)\}_i$  on the set

$$\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(C) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(C_i).$$

(We say that a set  $X$  has the structure of a ind-set  $(X_i)_i$  if we have a distinguished bijection between  $X$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X_i$ , and for every  $i$ ,  $X_i \rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X_i$  is injective.)

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}}$  and let  $B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . Every finite ordered generator-set for  $A$  and any ordered vector basis for  $B$ , give rise to a canonical algebraic ind-set structure on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}}(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}$  with the model  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_S\}_S$  described in Example 2.9.  $\mathfrak{M}\theta_S$  is generated by elements  $z_{a,v}$  indexed by the set  $G \times S$ . This set may be endowed the dictionary ordering induced by orderings on  $G$  and  $V$ . Then, the method described above gives rise to an algebraic ind-set structure on  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}})$ . Then, the desired result follows from Corollary 2.4.  $\square$

For an application of the structure given by the above theorem, see [14].

**Theorem 2.11.** Suppose  $\star$  denotes coproduct in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . There is an isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B \oplus B') \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B) \star \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B') \quad (A, B, B' \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$$

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be generated by  $G$ , and  $V \subset B, V' \subset B'$  be vector bases. For  $\theta = \{\delta_a\} \in \Theta_{G,V}$  and  $\theta' = \{\delta'_a\} \in \Theta_{G,V'}$ , let  $\theta \cup \theta' \in \Theta_{G, V \cup V'}$  denote the family  $\{\delta_a \cup \delta'_a\}$  where  $V \cup V'$  is considered as a vector basis for  $B \oplus B'$ . It is enough to prove that

$$\mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta') = \mathfrak{M}(\theta) \star \mathfrak{M}(\theta').$$

We do that by checking that  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$  has the required universal property. Let  $\phi_\theta := (\text{p} \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon(\theta \cup \theta')$  where  $\text{p} : B \oplus B' \rightarrow B$  is the canonical projection. Then,  $\overline{\phi}_\theta : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$ , the morphism  $\theta$ -associated with  $\phi_\theta$ , plays the role of coproduct structural morphism. Similarly, the structural morphism  $\overline{\phi'}_{\theta'} : \mathfrak{M}\theta' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$  is defined. Suppose that  $\psi : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow C, \psi' : \mathfrak{M}\theta' \rightarrow C$  are arbitrary morphisms, and let

$$\varphi = [(\text{id}_B \otimes \psi)\Upsilon\theta] \oplus [(\text{id}_{B'} \otimes \psi')\Upsilon\theta'].$$

Then,  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} : \mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta') \rightarrow C$ , the morphism  $(\theta \cup \theta')$ -associated with  $\varphi$ , is the unique morphism satisfying  $\psi = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} \overline{\phi}_\theta$  and  $\psi' = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} \overline{\phi'}_{\theta'}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** (Exponential Law) There is a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B), B') \quad (A, B, B' \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $G \subseteq A$  is a generator, and  $V \subset B, V' \subset B'$  are vector bases. For  $S \subseteq V, S' \subseteq V'$ , denote by  $S \otimes S'$  the set  $\{v \otimes v' : v \in S, v' \in S'\}$ . For  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}$  and  $\theta' = \{\delta'_a\}$  respectively in  $\Theta_{G,V}$  and  $\Theta_{G,V'}$ , let  $\theta \otimes \theta' \in \Theta_{G,V \otimes V'}$  denote  $\{\delta_a \otimes \delta'_a\}$ . We know that  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$  is generated by  $G \times \theta := \{z_{a,v} : a \in G, v \in \delta_a\}$ . Let  $\theta'|\theta$  in  $\Theta_{G \times \theta, V'}$  denote  $\{\eta_{z_{a,v}}\}$  where  $\eta_{z_{a,v}} := \delta'_a$ . The class  $\{\theta \otimes \theta'\}$  is cofinal with  $\Theta_{G,V \otimes V'}$ , and the class  $\{\theta'|\theta\}$  is cofinal with  $\Theta_{G \times \theta, V'}$ . Thus, the pro-algebras  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B \otimes B'}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{M}\theta, B'}$  are described respectively by inverse systems  $\{\mathfrak{M}(\theta \otimes \theta')\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{M}(\theta'|\theta)\}$ . Now, the desired result follows from the canonical isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta \otimes \theta')$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta'|\theta)$  which can be proved by using similar arguments as in [18, Theorem 2.10].  $\square$

The proof of the following result is similar to that of [18, Theorem 2.8] and omitted.

**Theorem 2.13.** There exists a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{cu}}(A \otimes A', B) \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\text{cu}}(A, B) \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{\text{cu}}(A', B) \quad (A, A' \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}})$$

We denote by  $\text{op} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  the functor that associates to any algebra the algebra with opposite multiplication.

**Theorem 2.14.** For any two algebras  $A, B$  we have the natural isomorphism

$$\text{op}(\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B)) \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(\text{op}(A), \text{op}(B)).$$

*Proof.* It is easily seen from the construction of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** For  $A, B, C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  and  $*$   $\in \{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}\}/\{\text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$  there is a natural pro-morphism

$$\Phi_{A,B,C} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,C}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{B,C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^*$$

such that

$$(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C,D}^*} \otimes \Phi_{A,B,C})\Phi_{A,C,D} = (\Phi_{B,C,D} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^*})\Phi_{A,B,D} \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* By universality of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,C}$ , there is a unique pro-morphism  $\Phi_{A,B,C}$  satisfying

$$(\text{id}_C \otimes \Phi_{A,B,C})\Upsilon_{A,C} = (\Upsilon_{B,C} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^*})\Upsilon_{A,B}.$$

Identity (4) follows from the universality of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,D}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Classical Algebraic Homotopy

A compatible relation on a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is an equivalence relation  $\mathfrak{R}$  on the class of morphisms of  $\mathbf{C}$  such that for morphisms  $f, f' : C \rightarrow C'$  and  $g, g' : C' \rightarrow C''$  if  $f\mathfrak{R}f'$  and  $g\mathfrak{R}g'$  then  $gf\mathfrak{R}g'f'$ . Denote by  $\text{Hot}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbf{C})$  the category whose objects are those of  $\mathbf{C}$  and whose hom-sets are

$$[C, C']_{\mathfrak{R}} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Hot}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbf{C})}(C, C') := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, C')/\mathfrak{R}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . We say that  $\mathbf{A}$  is admissible if  $\mathbf{A}$  is closed under polynomial extensions, and if for every algebra  $A$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  the canonical embedding  $e : A \rightarrow A[x]$  given by  $a \mapsto a$ , the evaluation morphisms  $p_0, p_1 : A[x] \rightarrow A$  given respectively by  $x \mapsto 0, x \mapsto 1$ , and the morphism defined by  $x \mapsto 1 - x$  from  $A[x]$  onto  $A[x]$ , belong to  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{A}_*$  for  $*$  in  $\{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$  is admissible.

Let  $A$  be an admissible category of algebras. Two morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}$  are said to be elementary homotopic, denoted  $f \approx g$ , if there is a morphism  $H : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{F}[x] \cong B[x]$  in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}$ , called elementary homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ , such that  $p_0 H = f$  and  $p_1 H = g$ . Similarly, elementary homotopic morphisms in  $\text{ind-}\mathbf{A}$  are defined.

Two morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  are called algebraic homotopic, denoted  $f \text{hg}$ , if there is a finite chain  $h_0, \dots, h_n$  of morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$  such that

$$f = h_0 \approx h_1 \approx \dots \approx h_n = g. \quad (5)$$

It is easily verified that  $\approx$  is a compatible relation on  $\mathbf{A}$ , and accordingly we have the homotopy category  $\text{Hot}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{Hot}_h(\mathbf{A})$ .

We say that two pro-morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  are strongly homotopic, denoted  $f \text{shg}$ , if there is a finite chain  $h_0, \dots, h_n$  of pro-morphisms such that (5) is satisfied. It is easily verified that  $\text{sh}$  is a compatible relation on  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}$ , and accordingly we have the homotopy category  $\text{Hot}_{\text{sh}}(\text{pro-}\mathbf{A})$ . The compatible relation  $\text{sh}$  between ind-morphisms is defined similarly, and hence we have the category  $\text{Hot}_{\text{sh}}(\text{ind-}\mathbf{A})$ .

We say that two pro-morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  are weakly homotopic, denoted  $f \text{whg}$ , if their images in  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A})$  are equal. It is clear that  $\text{wh}$  is a compatible relation on  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}$ , and accordingly we have the homotopy category  $\text{Hot}_{\text{wh}}(\text{pro-}\mathbf{A})$ . The homotopy category  $\text{Hot}_{\text{wh}}(\text{ind-}\mathbf{A})$  is defined similarly.

It is clear that  $\text{wh}$  is coarser than  $\text{sh}$ . Thus we have the following two sequences of functors all induced by  $\text{id}$  in the obvious way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pro-}\mathbf{A} &\rightarrow \text{Hot}_{\text{sh}}(\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}_{\text{wh}}(\text{pro-}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}) \\ \text{ind-}\mathbf{A} &\rightarrow \text{Hot}_{\text{sh}}(\text{ind-}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}_{\text{wh}}(\text{ind-}\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{ind-Hot}(\mathbf{A}) \end{aligned}$$

In each of the above two rows the first and second functors are full and the third one is faithful. It is customary ([4, 7, 8, 9]) to denote the hom-sets of the categories  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\text{ind-Hot}(\mathbf{A})$  just by  $[?, ?]$ . It is easily verified that if  $A \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}$  and  $B \in \mathbf{A}$  (or if  $A \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}$ ) then there are natural identifications

$$[A, B]_{\text{sh}} \equiv [A, B]_{\text{wh}} \equiv [A, B] \quad (6)$$

Note that for pro-algebras  $A = (A_i)$  and  $B = (B_j)$ , we have

$$[A, B] = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow j} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [A_i, B_j].$$

Similarly, for ind-algebras  $A = (A_i)$  and  $B = (B_j)$ , we have

$$[A, B] = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow i} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [A_i, B_j].$$

We remark that in general for pro- or ind-algebras  $A, B$  the set  $[A, B]$  is very *bigger* than  $[A, B]_{\text{wh}}$ .

The following simple lemma shows that for morphism  $f, g$  in  $\mathbf{A}_*$  where  $*$  in  $\{\text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic in  $\mathbf{A}_*$  iff  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $A, B$  be unital algebras,  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , and  $H$  be an elementary homotopy in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  from  $f$  to  $g$ . If  $f \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  then  $H, g \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and  $C \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , and let  $f, g : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$  be pro-morphisms. Suppose that  $\bar{f}, \bar{g} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow C$  denote the pro-morphisms associated with  $f, g$ . Then,  $f \text{sh} g$  iff  $\bar{f} \text{sh} \bar{g}$ , and  $f \text{wh} g$  iff  $\bar{f} \text{wh} \bar{g}$ .

*Proof.* If  $H : A \rightarrow B \otimes C[x]$  is an elementary homotopy between  $f, g$  then the pro-morphism  $\bar{H} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \rightarrow C[x]$  associated with  $H$  is an elementary homotopy between  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$ . Conversely, if  $G : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \rightarrow C[x]$  is an elementary homotopy between  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$  then  $(\text{id}_B \otimes G)\Upsilon_{A,B}$  is an elementary homotopy between  $f, g$ . Let  $C = (C_i)_i$  where  $C_i \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , and let  $f = (f_i)_i$  and  $g = (g_i)_i$ . Suppose  $f \text{wh} g$ . Then,  $f_i \text{h} g_i$ . It follows from the first part that  $\bar{f}_i \text{sh} \bar{g}_i$ , and hence  $\bar{f}_i \text{wh} \bar{g}_i$ . Thus,  $\bar{f} \text{wh} \bar{g}$ . The converse is similar.  $\square$

We have the following lemma that can be easily proved.

**Lemma 3.3.** The analogue of the statement of Lemma 3.2 holds for  $A, C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and  $B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** For  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and  $C \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  ( $A, C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and  $B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ ), we have the following canonical identification:

$$[A, B \otimes C] \cong [\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(A, B), C]$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.3, Identities (6), and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** The functor  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}$  preserves homotopy in the sense that for morphisms  $f_0, f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$  and  $g_0, g_1 : B \rightarrow B'$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , if  $f_0 \text{h} f_1$  and  $g_0 \text{h} g_1$  then  $\mathfrak{M}_{f_0, g_0} \text{sh} \mathfrak{M}_{f_1, g_1}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from definitions of  $\mathfrak{M}_{f_0, g_0}, \mathfrak{M}_{f_1, g_1}$  and Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

Note that the above four results hold for all  $\mathfrak{M}^*$ .

A functor  $F$  from an admissible category  $\mathbf{A}$  of algebras to an arbitrary category  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be homotopy invariant if for any two morphism  $f, g \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $f \text{h} g$  implies  $F(f) = F(g)$ . We say that  $F : \mathbf{Aff} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant if  $F$  as the functor  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}$ , is homotopy invariant. The following lemma is very well-known.

**Lemma 3.6.** For any functor  $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $F$  is homotopy invariant.
- (ii) For every algebra  $A$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $F(e) : F(A) \rightarrow F(A[x])$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{C}$ .
- (iii) For every algebra  $A$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $F(p_0), F(p_1) : F(A[x]) \rightarrow F(A)$  are equal.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We show that  $F(p_0)$  is the inverse of  $F(e)$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ : We have  $p_0 e = \text{id}_A$ . Thus  $F(p_0)F(e) = \text{id}_{F(A)}$ . The morphism  $A[x] \rightarrow A[x]([y])$  given by  $p(x) \mapsto p(xy)$  is an elementary homotopy between  $e p_0$  and  $\text{id}_{A[x]}$ . Thus  $F(e)F(p_0) = \text{id}_{F(A[x])}$ . (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): We have  $p_0 e = p_1 e$ . Thus  $F(p_0)F(e) = F(p_1)F(e)$ . Since  $F(e)$  is an isomorphism, we must have  $F(p_0) = F(p_1)$ . (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is trivial.  $\square$

Recall that a differential graded commutative algebra (DGCA) is given by a pair  $(\bar{A}, d)$  where  $\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$  is a GA with a GC multiplication (i.e.  $a_i a_j = (-1)^{ij} a_j a_i$  for  $a_i \in A^i, a_j \in A^j$ ) and where  $d : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$  is a GD (i.e. a  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map of degree 1 such that  $d(a_i a_j) = d(a_i) a_j + (-1)^i a_i d(a_j)$  and  $dd = 0$ ). It is well-known that for every  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  there exists a DGCA  $\Omega(A) = \bigoplus \Omega^n(A)$  with  $\Omega^0(A) = A$  satisfying the following universal property: For every unital DGCA  $(\bar{B}, \delta)$ , any arbitrary morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B^0$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  extends uniquely to a morphism  $f : \Omega(A) \rightarrow \bar{B}$  satisfying  $fd = f\delta$ . Indeed,  $\Omega(A)$  is the exterior algebra associated to  $A$ -module  $\Omega^1(A)$  and  $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$  is a derivation such that for every unital  $A$ -module  $M$  and any derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow M$  there is a unique module homomorphism  $\phi : \Omega^1(A) \rightarrow M$  satisfying  $\delta = \phi d$ . If  $\mathbb{F}$  is real field and  $A$  is the algebra of smooth real functions on a smooth compact manifold then  $\Omega(A)$  is isomorphic to de Rham complex of differential forms on the manifold [11, Proposition 8.1]. So, for any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  and its associated affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  the cohomology groups of  $\Omega(A)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n(A)$  or  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n(S)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) and called de Rham cohomology groups of  $A$  or  $S$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}(S) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n(S)$  is a unital GCA with the multiplication induced by that of  $\Omega(A)$ . By universality of  $\Omega$  we may consider the functors

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^0 : \mathbf{Aff}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n : \mathbf{Aff}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}} : \mathbf{Aff}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}.$$

It is shown that these functors are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant provided that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ : For  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  let  $p_0, p_1 : \Omega(A[x]) \rightarrow \Omega(A)$  denote the cochain maps induced by  $p_0, p_1 : A[x] \rightarrow A$ . By freeness of the variable  $x$  we have a  $A[x]$ -module decomposition  $\Omega^n(A[x]) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M_i$  such that  $M_i$  is the  $A[x]$ -submodule generated by all elements  $(d^i x) \omega_{n-i} \in \Omega^n(A[x])$  where  $\omega_{n-i} \in \Omega^{n-i}(A)$  and where  $d^i x$  denotes the (exterior) product of  $i$  copies of  $dx$  in  $\Omega(A[x])$ . Consider a linear map  $\phi^n$  from  $\Omega^n(A[x])$  into  $\Omega^{n-1}(A)$  defined for  $\alpha_i \in M_i$  by  $\phi^n(\alpha_i) := 0$  if  $i \neq 1$  and  $\phi^n(\alpha_1) := \int_0^1 \alpha_1$ . Here the formal integral is given by

$$\int_0^1 dx(x^k \omega) := \frac{1}{k+1} x^{k+1} \omega \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{k+1} \omega \quad (\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}(A))$$

Then it can be checked that  $(\phi^n)_n$  is a cochain homotopy between  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , and hence  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n(p_0) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^n(p_1)$ .

## 4 Homotopy Invariant Subalgebras

The main aim of this section is to consider an analogue of the functor  $\pi_0$  for algebras:

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . For  $*$   $\in \{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}\}/\{\text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$ , let

$$p_0, p_1 : A \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^*$$

be given by

$$p_0 := \varprojlim [((x \mapsto 0) \otimes \text{id}) \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^*] \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 := \varprojlim [((x \mapsto 1) \otimes \text{id}) \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}^*].$$

We let the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{P}^*(A) \subseteq A$  be defined by

$$\mathfrak{P}^*(A) := \{a \in A : p_0(a) = p_1(a)\}.$$

We have the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** With assumptions of Definition 4.1, suppose  $A$  is generated by  $G$  and  $V$  is a vector basis for  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ . For any  $a \in A$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For every  $\theta \in \Theta_{G,V}$ , there exists  $\hat{a}_\theta \in \mathfrak{M}^*\theta$  such that  $\Upsilon^*\theta(a) = 1 \otimes \hat{a}_\theta$ .
- (ii) For every algebra  $C \in \mathbf{A}_*$  and every morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow C[x]$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ ,  $\phi(a)$  is constant.
- (iii) For every  $\theta \in \Theta_{G,V}$ ,  $((x \mapsto 0) \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon^*\theta(a) = ((x \mapsto 1) \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon^*\theta(a)$ .
- (iv) For every  $C, \phi$  as in (ii),  $(x \mapsto 0)\phi(a) = (x \mapsto 1)\phi(a)$ .
- (v)  $a$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{P}^*(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follow from the universal property of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,\mathbb{F}[x]}$ . (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are trivial. Also, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i),(iv) and (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) are trivial. If  $\phi : A \rightarrow C[x]$  is a morphism then we can also consider the morphism  $A \rightarrow (C[x])[y]$  given by  $b \mapsto \phi(b)(xy)$ . This shows that (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is satisfied.  $\square$

It is easily verified that any morphism from  $A$  to  $B$  transforms  $\mathfrak{P}^*(A)$  into  $\mathfrak{P}^*(B)$ . Thus we may consider the following subfunctor of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}}$ :

$$\mathfrak{P}^* : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}.$$

(We say that a functor  $F : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*$  is a subfunctor of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_*}$  if for any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_*$ ,  $F(A)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$  (in unital cases,  $F(A)$  is required to have the unit of  $A$ ) and for any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $F(f) = f|_{F(A)}$ .) For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{P}^{\text{nc}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{c}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}(A), \quad \mathfrak{P}^{\text{u}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cur}}(A),$$

and for any unital algebra  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{nc}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{u}}(A)$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{c}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cur}}(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.**  $\mathfrak{P}^* : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*$  for  $*$  in  $\{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$  is homotopy invariant.

*Proof.* For morphisms  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}_*$  suppose  $H : A \rightarrow B[x]$  is an elementary homotopy in  $\mathbf{A}_*$  from  $f$  to  $g$ . For any  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^*(A)$ ,  $H(a)$  is constant and hence  $f(a) = p_0 H(a) = p_1 H(a) = g(a)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{P}^*(f) = \mathfrak{P}^*(g)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** For any algebra  $A$ , we have  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{nc}}(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The morphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(A)[x]$  defined by

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x$$

transforms any nonzero  $a$  to a nonconstant polynomial. The proof is complete.  $\square$

It follows easily from Theorems 2.5 and 4.4 that for any algebra  $A$ ,

$$\mathfrak{P}^{\text{u}}(A^+) = \mathbb{F}1.$$

**Theorem 4.5.** For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_u$  we have  $\mathfrak{P}^c(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cur}}(A)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\mathfrak{P}^c(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$ . Let  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$ . For  $C \in \mathbf{A}_c$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow C[x]$  it is easily verified that  $\phi(1) \in C$  and  $\phi(A) \subseteq \hat{C}[x]$  where  $\hat{C} := \{c \in C : \phi(1)c = c\}$ . Thus  $\phi : A \rightarrow \hat{C}[x]$  is a unital morphism and hence  $\phi(a) \in \hat{C} \subseteq C$ . So  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^c(A)$ . The other case is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** For any algebra  $A$  we have  $\mathfrak{P}^c(A) = p^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^c(A_{\text{com}})$  where  $p : A \rightarrow A_{\text{com}}$  denotes the canonical projection.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.2(ii) and the fact that any morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow C[x]$  where  $C$  is a commutative algebra factors through  $p$ .  $\square$

In general, it is not easy to determine the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{P}^*(A)$ . We shall consider some other homotopy invariant subfunctors of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_*}$  which are computable and may be applied for our proposes instead of  $\mathfrak{P}^*$ . In § 5 we will show that  $\mathfrak{P}^*$  is the largest subfunctor among all homotopy invariant subfunctors of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_*}$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $C$  be an algebra and  $p = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$  be a polynomial in  $C[x]$ .

- (i) If  $C$  is commutative and if  $p^k = p$  for some  $k \geq 2$  with the property  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid (k-1)$ , then  $p$  is constant.
- (ii) If  $C$  is unital and has no nonzero nilpotent element and if  $p$  is a root of a nonzero polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ , then  $p$  is constant.

*Proof.* (i) We have  $c_0^k = c_0$  and  $kc_0^{k-1}c_1 = c_1$ . Thus  $kc_0c_1 = c_0c_1$ . This implies that  $c_0c_1 = 0$  and therefore  $c_1 = 0$ . Analogously and respectively, it is proved that  $c_2 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$ . (ii) There are  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $k \geq 1$  such that  $p^k + \lambda_{k-1}p^{k-1} + \dots + \lambda_0 = 0$ . Thus  $c_n^k = 0$  and then, by the assumption, we have  $c_n = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $A$  be an algebra and let  $a \in A$ .

- (i) If  $a^k = a$  for some  $k \geq 2$  with  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid (k-1)$  then  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^c(A)$ .
- (ii) If  $a$  is an idempotent then  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^c(A)$ .
- (iii) If  $A$  is unital and  $a$  is integral over  $\mathbb{F}$  then  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cur}}(A)$ .

*Proof.* (i) and (iii) follow from Lemma 4.7 and the definition of  $\mathfrak{P}^c$  by the analogue of Lemma 4.2(ii). (ii) follows from (i).  $\square$

For any algebra  $A$  and every  $k \geq 2$  let  $\mathfrak{I}_k\mathfrak{P}(A) \subseteq A$  denote the subalgebra generated by all elements  $a$  with the property  $a^k = a$ . Let also  $\mathfrak{IP}(A) \subseteq A$  denote the subalgebra generated by all elements  $a$  such that  $a^k = a$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . For any commutative unital algebra  $A$  let  $\text{Int}(A) \subseteq A$  denote integral closure of  $\mathbb{F}$  in  $A$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{I}_k\mathfrak{P}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{IP}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{IP}(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(A)$ . We have also the subfunctors

$$\mathfrak{IP}, \mathfrak{I}_k\mathfrak{P} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Int} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}.$$

**Theorem 4.9.**



- (i) For any algebra  $A$ , if  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid (k-1)$  then  $\mathcal{I}_k \mathfrak{P}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^c(A)$ , and if  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{I} \mathfrak{P}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^c(A)$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  is commutative and unital then  $\text{Int}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cur}}(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}(A)$ .
- (iii) If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid (k-1)$  then  $\mathcal{I}_k \mathfrak{P} : \mathbf{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_c$  is homotopy invariant. If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{I} \mathfrak{P} : \mathbf{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_c$  is homotopy invariant.
- (iv) The functor  $\text{Int} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}$  is homotopy invariant.

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) follow from Proposition 4.8. Proofs of (iii) and (iv) are similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 and follow from Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

It is easily verified that any subfunctor of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_*}$  for  $*$  in  $\{\text{nc}, c, \text{cr}\}$  preserves arbitrary direct sums. Thus we have,

**Theorem 4.10.**  $\mathcal{I}_k \mathfrak{P}, \mathcal{I} \mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}, \mathfrak{P}^c : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  preserve arbitrary direct sums.

For proof of the next lemma we shall need the following fact from elementary Linear Algebra: Let  $W, W'$  be vector spaces and  $W_0 \subseteq W$  be a vector subspace. Let  $x \in W' \otimes W$  be such that for every linear functional  $\alpha : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  with  $\alpha|_{W_0} = 0$  we have  $(\text{id}_{W'} \otimes \alpha)(x) = 0$ . Then  $x$  belongs to  $W' \otimes W_0$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{curfg}}$ ,  $W$  be a vector space, and  $W_0 \subseteq W$  be a vector subspace. For any  $c \in A \otimes W$  if  $h \otimes \text{id}_W(c) \in W_0$  for every  $h \in \text{Pnt}(A)$ , then  $c \in A \otimes W_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m$  be the zero-locus of a radical ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{F}[X_m]$  with  $A \cong \mathbb{F}[X_m]/I$ . We know  $Z$  may be identified with  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(A)$  through  $\zeta \mapsto (p(X_m) \mapsto p(\zeta))$ , and by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz,  $A \otimes W$  may be identified with vector space of all functions  $f : Z \rightarrow W$  such that  $f(\zeta) = \sum_i \zeta^i w_i$  for some polynomial  $\sum_i w_i X_m^i$  with  $w_i \in W$ . So, suppose that  $f$  be such a representation for  $c$ . By assumptions we have  $f(\zeta) \in W_0$  for every  $\zeta \in Z$ . Thus for any linear functional  $\alpha : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  with  $\alpha|_{W_0} = 0$  we have  $\text{id}_A \otimes \alpha(c) = \alpha \circ f = 0$ . Hence  $c \in A \otimes W_0$ .  $\square$

We have the following corollary of Lemma 4.11.

**Proposition 4.12.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed and let  $F : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}$  be any subfunctor of  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}}$ . Then for every  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{curfg}}$  we have  $F(A \otimes B) = F(A) \otimes F(B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c \in F(A \otimes B) \subseteq A \otimes B$ . For any  $h \in \text{Pnt}(A)$ ,  $h \otimes \text{id}_B : A \otimes B \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}$  and hence  $h \otimes \text{id}_B(c) \in F(B) \subseteq B$ . Thus it follows from Lemma 4.11 that  $c \in A \otimes F(B)$ . Similarly,  $c \in F(A) \otimes B$ . Thus  $c \in F(A) \otimes F(B)$ . Since  $x \mapsto x \otimes 1_B$  and  $y \mapsto 1_A \otimes y$  define two morphisms  $A \rightarrow A \otimes B$  and  $B \rightarrow A \otimes B$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}$ , we have  $a \otimes 1_B \in F(A \otimes B)$  and  $1_A \otimes b \in F(A \otimes B)$  for every  $a \in F(A)$  and  $b \in F(B)$ . Thus  $F(A) \otimes F(B) \subseteq F(A \otimes B)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem follows directly from Proposition 4.12.

**Theorem 4.13.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed. Then the functors

$$\mathfrak{P}^c, \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}, \mathcal{I} \mathfrak{P}, \mathcal{I}_k \mathfrak{P}, \text{Int} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{curfg}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{cur}}$$

preserve tensor product.

For any affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  ( $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$ ) the affine scheme of path-connected components of  $S$  is defined to be the affine scheme

$$\pi_0(S) := \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)). \quad (7)$$

Thus  $\pi_0$  may be considered as a  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant functor

$$\pi_0 : \mathbf{Aff} \rightarrow \mathbf{Aff}.$$

Recall that an affine group-scheme is a group object  $G$  in  $\mathbf{Aff}$ . Suppose that  $G = \mathcal{S}(A)$ . Then the group structure of  $G$  is induced by a Hopf algebra structure on  $A$ . In case  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed and  $G$  is an affine variety,  $G$  is called affine group-variety. Note that in this case  $A$  is a finitely generated integral domain.

**Theorem 4.14.** For any affine group-variety  $G$ ,  $\pi_0(G)$  is an affine group-scheme.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 4.13.  $\square$

For a discussion on path-connected components of algebraic groups see [13, Chapter 2]. The following important theorem and its proof have been kindly offered to me by Professor Cortiñas.

**Theorem 4.15.** Suppose that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ . Then

$$\pi_0(S) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^0(S) \quad (S \in \mathbf{Aff}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$ . Consider the universal derivation  $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$  as described in §3. We must show that  $\ker(d) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$ . Let  $\bar{A}$  denote the commutative unital algebra with underlying vector space  $A \oplus \Omega^1(A)$  and multiplication given by  $(a, \omega)(a', \omega') = (aa', a\omega' + a'\omega)$  for  $a, a' \in A$  and  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega^1(A)$ . Then the assignment  $a \mapsto a + d(a)x$  defines a morphism from  $A$  into  $\bar{A}[x]$ . Thus if  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$  then  $d(a) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A) \subseteq \ker(d)$ . Suppose that  $a \in \ker(d)$ . Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B[x]$  be an arbitrary unit preserving morphism for a commutative unital algebra  $B$ .  $B[x]$  can be considered as a unital  $A$ -module through  $\phi$ . Let  $D : B[x] \rightarrow B[x]$  denote the ordinary derivation given by  $D(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i) = \sum_{i=1}^n i b_i x^{i-1}$ . Then  $D\phi : A \rightarrow B[x]$  is a derivation into the  $A$ -module  $B[x]$ . Thus  $D\phi(a) = 0$ . This means that  $\phi(a)$  must be a constant polynomial and hence  $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(A)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5 Costrict homotopization

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an admissible category of algebras and  $\mathbf{C}$  be an arbitrary category. The costrict homotopization of a functor  $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is defined to be a homotopy invariant functor  $[F] : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  coming with a natural transformation  $\alpha_F : F \rightarrow [F]$  such that the following universal property is satisfied: For any homotopy invariant functor  $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and any natural transformation  $\beta : F \rightarrow G$  there is a unique natural transformation  $\underline{\beta} : [F] \rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta = \underline{\beta}\alpha_F$ .

It is clear that if  $[F]$  exists then it is unique up to a natural isomorphism. Also, for any homotopy invariant functor  $F$  we have  $[F] = F$ .

Note that Definition 5.1 is a simple generalization of Weibel's concept of strict homotopization ([21, 7]). It seems that this concept at the first time has been considered by Gersten [9].

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  has finite coequalizers. Then any functor  $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  has a costrict homotopization.

*Proof.* For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}$  let  $\alpha_F(A) : F(A) \rightarrow [F](A)$  be a coequalizer of

$$F(p_0), F(p_1) : F(A[x]) \rightarrow F(A)$$

in  $\mathbf{C}$ . For any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ , the coequalizer property of  $[F](A)$  implies the existence of a unique morphism  $[F](f)$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(A[x]) & \xrightleftharpoons[F(p_1)]{F(p_0)} & F(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_F(A)} & [F](A) \\ F(f[x]) \downarrow & & F(f) \downarrow & & [F](f) \downarrow \\ F(B[x]) & \xrightleftharpoons[F(p_1)]{F(p_0)} & F(B) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_F(B)} & [F](B) \end{array}$$

commutative. Thus  $[F]$  may be considered as a functor. Suppose that  $H : A \rightarrow B[x]$  is an elementary homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_F(B)F(f) &= \alpha_F(B)(F(p_0)F(H)) = (\alpha_F(B)F(p_0))F(H) \\ &= (\alpha_F(B)F(p_1))F(H) = \alpha_F(B)(F(p_1)F(H)) = \alpha_F(B)F(g) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[F](f) = [F](g)$ , and therefore  $[F]$  is homotopy invariant. Suppose  $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is homotopy invariant and  $\beta : F \rightarrow G$  is a natural transformation. By Lemma 3.6(iii),  $G(p_0) = G(p_1)$ , and hence universality of the coequalizer  $[F](A)$  implies the existence of a morphism  $\underline{\beta}(A)$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(A[x]) & \xrightleftharpoons[F(p_1)]{F(p_0)} & F(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_F(A)} & [F](A) \\ \beta(A[x]) \downarrow & & \beta(A) \downarrow & \swarrow \underline{\beta}(A) & \\ G(A[x]) & \xrightleftharpoons[G(p_1)]{G(p_0)} & G(A) & & \end{array}$$

□

It can be seen easily from the proof of Theorem 5.2 that for the identity functor  $\text{id} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  we have  $[\text{id}] = 0$ . (Indeed, it follows from the fact that for any  $A \in \mathbf{A}$  and every  $a, b \in A$ ,  $p_0(a + (b - a)x) = a$  and  $p_1(a + (b - a)x) = b$ .) Also, for any affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  regarded as the functor

$$S = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}}(A, ?) : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad (8)$$

we have

$$[S] = [A, ?]. \quad (9)$$

A cotype for the concept of strict homotopization may be defined as follows.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an admissible category of algebras and  $\mathbf{C}$  be an arbitrary category. The costrict homotopization of a functor  $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is defined to be a homotopy invariant functor  $\lceil F \rceil : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  coming with a natural transformation  $\alpha^F : \lceil F \rceil \rightarrow F$  such that the following universal property is satisfied: For any homotopy invariant functor  $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and any natural transformation  $\beta : G \rightarrow F$  there is a unique natural transformation  $\bar{\beta} : G \rightarrow \lceil F \rceil$  such that  $\beta = \alpha^F \bar{\beta}$ .

It is clear that if  $\lceil F \rceil$  exists then it is unique up to a natural isomorphism. Also, for any homotopy invariant functor  $F$  we have  $\lceil F \rceil = F$ .

The following theorem is one of the main results of this note.

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  has finite equalizers and arbitrary inverse limits. Then any functor  $F : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , where  $*$   $\in \{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$ , has a costrict homotopization.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathfrak{M}, \Upsilon$  denote  $\mathbf{A}_*, \mathfrak{M}^*, \Upsilon^*$ . For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}$ , let  $\alpha^F(A) : \lceil F \rceil(A) \rightarrow F(A)$  denote the equalizer of the morphisms

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0, \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 : F(A) \rightarrow \varprojlim F(\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}),$$

given (similarly to  $\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_1$  in Definition 4.1) by

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 := \varprojlim F[(x \mapsto 0) \otimes \text{id}] \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 := \varprojlim F[(x \mapsto 1) \otimes \text{id}] \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}.$$

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ , by the universal property of the equalizer  $\lceil F \rceil(B)$ , there is a unique morphism  $\lceil F \rceil(f)$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lceil F \rceil(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^F(A)} & \\ \lceil F \rceil(f) \downarrow & & \\ \lceil F \rceil(B) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^F(B)} & \end{array} \quad \varprojlim F \left( \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}} & \mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]} \xrightarrow[x \mapsto 1]{x \mapsto 0} \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{M}(f, \mathbb{F}[x]) \\ B & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{B, \mathbb{F}[x]}} & \mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{B, \mathbb{F}[x]} \xrightarrow[x \mapsto 1]{x \mapsto 0} \mathfrak{M}_{B, \mathbb{F}[x]} \end{array} \right)$$

Thus  $\lceil F \rceil$  may be considered as a functor. Suppose that  $H : A \rightarrow B[x]$  is an elementary homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Let  $\overline{H} : \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]} \rightarrow B$  denote the associated pro-morphism of  $H$ . We have

$$f = \overline{H}((x \mapsto 0) \otimes \text{id}) \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \overline{H}((x \mapsto 1) \otimes \text{id}) \Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}.$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varprojlim F(f)) \alpha^F(A) &= (\varprojlim F(\overline{H})) \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 \alpha^F(A) \\ &= (\varprojlim F(\overline{H})) \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \alpha^F(A) \\ &= (\varprojlim F(g)) \alpha^F(A). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\lceil F \rceil(f) = \lceil F \rceil(g)$ , and hence  $\lceil F \rceil$  is homotopy invariant. Suppose  $G : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is homotopy invariant and  $\beta : G \rightarrow F$  is a natural transformation. We

have the following commutative diagram in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(\Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]})} & F(\mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}) & \xrightleftharpoons[F(x \mapsto 1)]{F(x \mapsto 0)} & F(\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}) \\
\uparrow \beta(A) & & & & \uparrow \beta(\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}) \\
G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(\Upsilon_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]})} & G(\mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}) & \xrightleftharpoons[G(x \mapsto 1)]{G(x \mapsto 0)} & G(\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]})
\end{array}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.6(iii), the pro-morphisms

$$G(\mathbb{F}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]}) \xrightleftharpoons[G(x \mapsto 1)]{G(x \mapsto 0)} G(\mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[x]})$$

are (componentwise) equal. Hence we have

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 \beta(A) = \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \beta(A).$$

Now, it follows from the universal property of the equalizer  $[F](A)$  that there is a unique morphism  $\bar{\beta}(A) : G(A) \rightarrow [F](A)$  satisfying  $\beta(A) = \alpha^F(A) \bar{\beta}(A)$ .  $\square$

The following is seen directly from Definition 4.1 and the proof of Theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 5.5.** The functor  $\mathfrak{P}^* : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*$  is a costrict homotopization of the identity functor  $\text{id} : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*$ . Therefore, in a sense,  $\mathfrak{P}^*$  is the greatest homotopy invariant subfunctor of  $\text{id}$ .

More generally, the following result is also seen from the above theorem and the proof of Theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category with arbitrary limits and  $F : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a functor that preserves arbitrary limits. Then  $[F] = F \circ \mathfrak{P}^*$ .

As a direct corollary of the above theorem we have,

**Corollary 5.7.** Let  $S$  denote an ordinary scheme over  $\mathbb{F}$  regarded as a Zariski-sheaf  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  [6]. Then  $[S] = S \circ \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}$ .

In particular in case  $S$  is an affine scheme regarded as the functor (8) we have

$$[S] = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}}(A, \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cu}}(?)). \quad (10)$$

Thus for any affine scheme  $S$  we have defined three types  $\pi_0(S)$ ,  $[S]$ ,  $[S]$  of homotopizations given by (7),(9),(10).

**Remark 5.8.** It is clear that the concept of (co)strict homotopization can be defined for any functor  $F : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  where  $\mathbf{B}$  is a category with a compatible relation as defined in §3, or more generally, for any category  $\mathbf{B}$  with a class of weak equivalences. Also it seems that the analogues of Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 can be stated for model categories  $\mathbf{B}$  with natural cylinder and path objects [2, §I.3 and §I.3a].

## 6 Intrinsic Singular Cohomology

Let  $\Delta := \{\mathbf{n}\}$  denote the category of finite ordinals  $\mathbf{n} := \{0 < \dots < n\}$ . The standard simplicial algebra [4, 7]  $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] \in \text{sim-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$  is given by the following assignments:

$$\mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n] := \mathbb{F}[x_0, \dots, x_n] / \langle 1 - \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \rangle, \quad (\alpha : \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}) \mapsto ([x_i] \mapsto \sum_{j \in \alpha^{-1}(i)} [x_j]).$$

For  $*$   $\in \{\text{nc}, \text{c}, \text{cr}, \text{u}, \text{cu}, \text{cur}\}$ , consider the functors

$$\mathfrak{S}^* := \mathfrak{M}^*(?, \mathbb{F}[\Delta]) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^* := \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}^*(?, \mathbb{F}[\Delta])$$

given by:

$$\mathfrak{S}^* : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \text{cosim-pro-}\mathbf{A}_* \quad A \mapsto (\mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathfrak{M}^*(A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]))$$

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^* : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \text{cosim-}\mathbf{A}_* \quad A \mapsto (\mathbf{n} \mapsto \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}^*(A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]))$$

Let  $F : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be an arbitrary functor. For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ , we have the cosimplicial abelian groups  $F\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^*(A)$  and  $\varprojlim F\mathfrak{S}^*(A)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{N} : \text{cosim-}\mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{coChain}$  denote the normalization functor. We let (see [20, § 8.4]):

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(A) := H^n \mathfrak{N} F \underline{\mathfrak{S}}^*(A) \cong \pi^n(F\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^*(A), 0)$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(A) := H^n \mathfrak{N} \varprojlim F \mathfrak{S}^*(A) \cong \pi^n(\varprojlim F \mathfrak{S}^*(A), 0)$$

It is clear that  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  may be considered as the functors

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n, \underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}/\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

We have a canonical natural transformation  $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^*$  induced by the inverse limits. This, in turn, induces the canonical natural transformations

$$F\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^* \rightarrow \varprojlim F\mathfrak{S}^* \quad \text{and (hence)} \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** The functors  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  are homotopy invariant.

The proof is an adaptation of the usual proof of homotopy invariance of singular cohomology of topological spaces by using prism operators. See [12, Theorem 2.10].

*Proof.* For every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\phi_i^n : \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n] \otimes \mathbb{F}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+1}]$  be defined by  $[x_j] \mapsto [x_j]$  if  $j < i$ ;  $[x_i] \mapsto [x_i + x_{i+1}]$ ;  $[x_j] \mapsto [x_{j+1}]$  if  $j > i$ ; and  $x \mapsto [x_{i+1} + \dots + x_{n+1}]$ . For every algebra  $B$  let

$$\Gamma_n : \mathfrak{M}(B \otimes \mathbb{F}[x], \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n] \otimes \mathbb{F}[x]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(B, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n])$$

be the unique pro-morphism satisfying

$$(\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \otimes \text{flip})(\Upsilon_{B, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}[x]}) = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}[x]} \otimes \Gamma_n) \Upsilon_{B \otimes \mathbb{F}[x], \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n] \otimes \mathbb{F}[x]}.$$

Suppose that  $H : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{F}[x]$  is an elementary homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Let

$$\hat{\phi}_i^n : \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+1}]) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}(B, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n])$$

be given by

$$\hat{\phi}_i^n := \varprojlim (\Gamma_n \mathfrak{M}(H, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n] \otimes \mathbb{F}[x]) \mathfrak{M}(A, \phi_i^n)),$$

and let the prism operator

$$P^n : F(\varprojlim \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+1}])) \rightarrow F(\varprojlim \mathfrak{M}(B, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]))$$

be given by  $P^n := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i F(\hat{\phi}_i^n)$ . Then, it can be checked that  $(P^n)_n$  is a cochain homotopy between  $\mathfrak{N}F \underline{\mathfrak{S}}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{N}F \underline{\mathfrak{S}}(g)$ , and hence  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(f) = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(g)$ . Thus  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  is homotopy invariant. Homotopy invariance of  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n$  is obtained similarly.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** We have the following natural isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^0(A) \cong [F](A) \quad (A \in \mathbf{A}_*)$$

*Proof.* It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.4 and definition of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^0(A)$ . (Note that we are required to have  $A \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_0]}^*$ . Thus  $A$  must be in  $\mathbf{A}_*$ .)  $\square$

So, the restricted functors

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

may be interpreted as a sort of *higher* costrict homotopizations of  $F : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ .

In case  $F$  is the identity functor  $\text{id} : \mathbf{A}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*$ , we put

$$\mathcal{H}_*^n(A) := \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(A) = \underline{\mathcal{H}}_F^n(A).$$

Thus we have defined the homotopy invariant functors

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{nc}}^n, \mathcal{H}_{\text{c}}^n, \mathcal{H}_{\text{cr}}^n : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{u}}^n, \mathcal{H}_{\text{cu}}^n, \mathcal{H}_{\text{cur}}^n : \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}.$$

From (3) we have the natural transformations

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{nc}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{c}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{cr}}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{u}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{cu}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{cur}}^n.$$

For any algebra  $A$  it can be easily checked that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{nc}}^0(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{nc}}(A) = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{c}}^0(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{c}}(A_{\text{com}}), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{cr}}^0(A) = \mathfrak{P}^{\text{cr}}((A_{\text{com}})_{\text{red}}) \quad (11)$$

Analogous identities are satisfied for unital cases. By Theorem 4.5 we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{c}}^0(A) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{cu}}^0(A), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{cr}}^0(A) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{cur}}^0(A) \quad (A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}). \quad (12)$$

We now define a cup product between the cocycles:

Let the morphisms  $\phi_{n,m}, \psi_{n,m}$  be given by

$$\phi_{n,m} : \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+m}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n], \quad [x_i] \mapsto [x_i] \text{ if } 0 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } [x_i] \mapsto 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$\psi_{n,m} : \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+m}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Delta_m], \quad [x_{n+i}] \mapsto [x_i] \text{ if } 0 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } [x_i] \mapsto 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

We let the cup product of the cochains

$$c_n \in \varprojlim_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \quad \text{and} \quad c_m \in \varprojlim_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_m]} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_m]}$$

be given by

$$c_n \smile c_m := (\varprojlim_{A, \phi_{n,m}} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \phi_{n,m}}(c_n)) (\varprojlim_{A, \psi_{n,m}} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \psi_{n,m}}(c_m)) \in \varprojlim_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+m}]} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_{n+m}]}.$$

It can be checked that  $\smile$  makes the graded vector space  $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \varprojlim_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]} \mathfrak{M}^*_{A, \mathbb{F}[\Delta_n]}$  to a DGCA. Hence,  $\smile$  can be considered as a multiplication on

$$\mathcal{H}_*(A) := \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_*^n(A),$$

making it to a GCA.

For any affine scheme  $S = \mathcal{S}(A)$  ( $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}$ ) the *intrinsic singular cohomology algebra* of  $S$  is defined to be the GCA given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{sing}}(S) = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\text{sing}}^n(S) := \mathcal{H}_{\text{cu}}(A).$$

Thus we have defined a  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant cohomology theory

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{sing}} : \mathbf{Aff}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}.$$

We end this section by the following result.

**Theorem 6.3. de Rham Theorem at degree zero.** Suppose  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ . For any affine scheme  $S$  the graded-algebra morphism  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{sing}}(S)$  induced by the universal property of de Rham complex is an isomorphism at degree zero:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{deR}}^0(S) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\text{sing}}^0(S).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 4.15, and identities (11) and (12).  $\square$

## 7 Generalized Morphisms Between Algebras

For any algebra  $A$  denote by  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}_{\ell}(A), \mathcal{I}_r(A)$ ) the set of all ideals (resp. left, right ideals) of  $A$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{I}_*(A) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$  where  $*$   $\in$   $\{\text{prpr}, \text{fcodim}, \text{prm}, \text{max}\}$  denote respectively the subset of proper, proper with finite codimension, prime, and maximal ideals of  $A$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  may be considered as a functor  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  in the obvious way. Similarly, we have the functors  $\mathcal{I}_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_r : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{prpr}}, \mathcal{I}_{\text{fcodim}} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{prm}} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , and in case  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed, the functor  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{max}} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . Thus by the canonical extension we have also the functors

$$\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_{\ell}, \mathcal{I}_r : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{Set}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{\text{prpr}}, \mathcal{I}_{\text{fcodim}} : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{prm}} : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{cu}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{Set}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{\text{max}} : \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{Set}.$$

For  $A \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  we call any member of the set  $\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(A)$  a pro-ideal of  $A$ . We need some facts and definitions: Let  $A = (A_i, \phi_{i'i})$  be a pro-algebra. (i) Any pro-ideal  $T$  of  $A$  is a class  $[T_i]$  of the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\dot{\cup}_i \mathcal{I}(A_i)$  defined by

$$(T_i \sim T_{i'}) \Leftrightarrow (\exists i'' \geq i', i : \phi_{i''i}^{-1}(T_i) = \phi_{i''i'}^{-1}(T_{i'})) \quad \text{for } T_i \in \mathcal{I}(A_i), T_{i'} \in \mathcal{I}(A_{i'}).$$



(ii) For any pro-morphism  $f$  from  $A$  into an algebra  $B$  we let  $\ker(f)$  denote the pro-ideal  $[\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(f)](0)$  of  $A$  where  $0$  denotes the zero-ideal of  $B$ . (Note that the notion of zero pro-ideal is meaningless.) (iii) For pro-ideals  $T = [T_i], T' = [T'_{i'}]$  of  $A$  we let the pro-ideal  $T \cap T'$  be defined by  $[\phi_{i''i}^{-1}(T_i) \cap \phi_{i''i'}^{-1}(T'_{i'})]$  where  $i''$  is an arbitrary index greater than  $i$  and  $i'$ . It is easily verified that the *intersection*  $T \cap T'$  is well-defined. We write  $T \subseteq T'$  and say that  $T'$  includes  $T$  if there exists  $i'' \geq i, i'$  such that  $\phi_{i''i}^{-1}(T_i) \subseteq \phi_{i''i'}^{-1}(T'_{i'})$ . It can be checked that *inclusion* is a well-defined partial ordering on pro-ideals.

In (iv)-(vii) below suppose  $A = (A_i, \phi_{i'i}), B = (B_j, \psi_{j'j})$  are pro-algebras such that all structural morphisms  $\phi_{i'i}, \psi_{j'j}$  are surjective: (iv) If  $T_i \in \mathcal{I}(A_i)$  and  $T'_{i'} \in \mathcal{I}(A_{i'})$  represent the same pro-ideal  $T$  of  $A$ , then

$$A_i/T_i \cong A_{i''}/\phi_{i''i}^{-1}(T_i) = A_{i''}/\phi_{i''i'}^{-1}(T'_{i'}) \cong A_{i'}/T'_{i'} \quad (\text{for some } i'' \geq i, i').$$

Thus we can define the associated *quotient algebra* up to isomorphism by  $A/T := A_i/T_i$ . Note that we have a canonical quotient pro-morphism  $q_T : A \rightarrow A/T$  represented by the quotient morphism  $q_{T_i} : A_i \rightarrow A_i/T_i$ . Also,  $T = \ker(q_T)$ . (v) It is clear that if for every indexes  $i, i'$  the algebras  $A_i, A_{i'}$  are considered as ideals in themselves then  $[A_i] = [A_{i'}]$ . We denote the pro-ideal  $[A_i]$  of  $A$  by  $A_{\text{ideal}}$ . (vi) For pro-ideals  $T = [T_i], T' = [T'_{i'}]$  of  $A$  we let the pro-ideal  $T + T'$  be defined by  $[\phi_{i''i}^{-1}(T_i) + \phi_{i''i'}^{-1}(T'_{i'})]$  where  $i''$  is an arbitrary index with  $i'' \geq i, i'$ . The *sum*  $T + T'$  is well-defined. (vii) For pro-ideals  $T = [T_i], S = [S_j]$  of  $A, B$  we associate a pro-ideal of  $A \otimes B$  given by

$$T \otimes B_{\text{ideal}} + A_{\text{ideal}} \otimes S := [T_i \otimes B_j + A_i \otimes S_j]$$

It is easily checked that this is well-defined. ( $T \otimes S := [T_i \otimes S_j]$  is not well-defined.)

We define a category  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$  as follows: The objects of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$  are those of  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , and

$$\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B) := \varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}).$$

The composition  $\circ : \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(A, C)$  is given by

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{B,C}) \times \varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}) \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{B,C} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}) \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,C})$$

where the first map is given by

$$(T, S) \mapsto T \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})_{\text{ideal}} + (\mathfrak{M}_{B,C})_{\text{ideal}} \otimes S$$

and the second map is  $\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\Phi_{A,B,C})$ . Equivalently, we may let

$$T \circ S := \ker[(q_T \otimes q_S)\Phi_{A,B,C}].$$

Associativity of  $\circ$  follows from (4). For any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  denote by  $\bar{f} \in \text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})$  the point associated with  $f$ . By Corollary 2.4 the assignment  $f \mapsto \ker(\bar{f})$  defines an embedding of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B)$  into  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B)$ . We have

$$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}} = \left( \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A,A,B}} \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,A} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}} \otimes \bar{\text{id}}_A} \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \otimes \mathbb{F} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A,B} \right)$$

where  $\overline{\text{id}}_A \in \text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,A})$  denotes the pro-morphism associated to  $\text{id}_A$ . Thus for any pro-ideal  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$ ,  $T \circ \ker(\overline{\text{id}}_A) = T$ , and similarly,  $\ker(\overline{\text{id}}_B) \circ T = T$ . For morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  the identity  $(\overline{g} \otimes \overline{f})\Phi_{A,B,C} = \overline{g}\overline{f}$  implies that  $\ker(\overline{g}) \circ \ker(\overline{f}) = \ker(\overline{g}\overline{f})$ . Hence  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$  is a category containing  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . Similarly, we define generalized categories of algebras listed below:

- For  $* \in \{\ell, r\}$ :  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^* \supset \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  with

$$\text{Obj}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^*) := \text{Obj}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}) \text{ and } \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^*}(A, B) := \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_*(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}).$$

- For  $* \in \{\text{prpr}, \text{fcodim}\}$ :  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^* \supset \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  with

$$\text{Obj}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^*) := \text{Obj}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}) \text{ and } \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^*}(A, B) := \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_*(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}).$$

- $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prm}} \supset \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  with

$$\text{Obj}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prm}}) := \text{Obj}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}) \text{ and } \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prm}}}(A, B) := \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_{\text{prm}}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}).$$

- In case  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed:  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ufg}}^{\text{max}} \supset \mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}}$  with

$$\text{Obj}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ufg}}^{\text{max}}) := \text{Obj}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}}) \text{ and } \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ufg}}^{\text{max}}}(A, B) := \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_{\text{max}}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}).$$

In the following theorem we record some additional properties of these categories.

**Theorem 7.1.**

- (i)  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^{\ell}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^r$  are equivalent categories.
- (ii)  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}} \supset \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{fcodim}}$ . Also  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}}$  is equivalent to a subcategory of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prm}}$  is equivalent to a subcategory of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}}$ .
- (iv)  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ufg}}^{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}}$  are equivalent ( $\mathbb{F}$  is supposed to be algebraically closed).

*Proof.* (i) It follows from Theorem 2.14 that  $\mathbf{op} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  extends to an equivalence between  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^{\ell}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}^r$ . (ii) For every  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  let  $\psi_{A,B} : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}$  denote the pro-morphism satisfying  $\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{u}} = (\text{id}_B \otimes \psi_{A,B})\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{nc}}$ . The functor given by  $A \mapsto A$  and

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}) \supset \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_{\text{prpr}}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}) \xrightarrow{\varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\psi_{A,B})} \varinjlim \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{nc}})$$

embeds  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}}$  into  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$ . The proof of (iii) is similar to that of (ii). (iv) We know that  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}} \subset \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ufg}}^{\text{max}}$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{ufg}}$ . By Theorem 2.6(iii), all components of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}$  are in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{cufg}}$ . Thus if  $T$  is a maximal pro-ideal of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}$  then  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}/T \cong \mathbb{F}$  and hence the canonical quotient pro-morphism  $q : \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}}/T$  can be considered as a member of  $\text{Pnt}_{\neq 0}(\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{cu}})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We now consider some properties of the extended categories of algebras.

**Theorem 7.2.** Intersection (resp. sum) and inclusion of pro-ideals induce enriched category structure on  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}$  by partially ordered idempotent semigroups.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\cap$  and  $\subseteq$  make  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{nc}}}(A, B)$  into a partially ordered idempotent semigroup. Suppose that  $S = [S_i], S' = [S'_{i'}]$  are pro-ideals of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}$  and  $T = [T_j]$  be a pro-ideal of  $\mathfrak{M}_{B,C}$ . Without loss of generality assume  $i = i'$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{M}_{B,C})_j \otimes (S_i \cap S'_i) + T_j \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})_i \\ &= ((\mathfrak{M}_{B,C})_j \otimes S_i + T_j \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})_i) \cap ((\mathfrak{M}_{B,C})_j \otimes S'_i + T_j \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})_i) \end{aligned}$$

in the algebra  $(\mathfrak{M}_{B,C})_j \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A,B})_i$ . It follows that  $T \circ (S \cap S') = (T \circ S) \cap (T \circ S')$ . Similarly,  $(T \cap T') \circ S = (T \circ S) \cap (T' \circ S)$ . Thus  $\circ$  is a bihomomorphism with respect to the semigroup operation  $\cap$ . Also, it is clear that if  $T \subseteq T', S \subseteq S'$  then  $T \circ S \subseteq T' \circ S'$ . The sum case is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.3.** Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic in  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}}$ . Then they are also isomorphic in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ .

We shall need the following elementary fact: Let  $\phi : V \rightarrow W \otimes V', \psi : W \rightarrow V \otimes W'$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{Vec}$ . Suppose for some  $x \in V', y \in W'$  we have that  $(\psi \otimes \text{id})\phi(v) = v \otimes y \otimes x$  and  $(\phi \otimes \text{id})\psi(w) = w \otimes x \otimes y$ . Then there are isomorphisms  $\hat{\phi} : V \rightarrow W$  and  $\hat{\psi} : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $\phi(v) = \hat{\phi}(v) \otimes x$  and  $\psi(w) = \hat{\psi}(w) \otimes y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S, T$  be proper pro-ideals respectively in  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}, \mathfrak{M}_{B,A}^{\text{u}}$  such that

$$\ker[(q_T \otimes q_S)\Phi_{A,B,A}] = \ker(\overline{\text{id}}_A) \quad \ker[(q_S \otimes q_T)\Phi_{B,A,B}] = \ker(\overline{\text{id}}_B) \quad (13)$$

Let  $\phi := (\text{id}_B \otimes q_S)\Upsilon_{A,B}^{\text{u}}$  and  $\psi := (\text{id}_A \otimes q_T)\Upsilon_{B,A}^{\text{u}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,A}^{\text{u}}/\ker(\overline{\text{id}}_A) \cong \mathbb{F}$ , the left side of (13) implies that image of  $(q_T \otimes q_S)\Phi_{A,B,A}$  is the subalgebra generated by  $1_{\mathfrak{M}_{B,A}^{\text{u}}/T} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}/S}$ . Thus, it follows from the identity

$$(\psi \otimes \text{id})\phi = [\text{id}_A \otimes ((q_T \otimes q_S)\Phi_{A,B,A})]\Upsilon_{A,A}^{\text{u}}$$

that  $(\psi \otimes \text{id})\phi(a) = a \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{B,A}^{\text{u}}/T} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}/S}$ . Similarly, it is shown that  $(\phi \otimes \text{id})\psi(b) = b \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}/S} \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{M}_{B,A}^{\text{u}}/T}$ . Now, the desired result follows from the mentioned fact.  $\square$

Every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  induces a fundamental functor  $\hat{f}$  between categories of unital left modules of  $A$  and  $B$  given by

$$\hat{f} : \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(B) \quad \hat{f}(M) := B \otimes_A M$$

where  $B$  is considered as a right  $A$ -module with module multiplication  $b \cdot a := bf(a)$ . The assignment  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is simply extended to morphisms in  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{u}}^{\text{prpr}}$  as follows: Let  $S$  be a proper pro-ideal of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}$  and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}/S$  be as in the proof of Theorem 7.3.  $\hat{S}(M)$  is defined to be the  $B$ -submodule of  $B \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^{\text{u}}/S$  with the underlying vector space  $\hat{\phi}(M)$ . The action of  $\hat{S}$  on morphisms of  $\text{Mod}(A)$  is defined to be that of  $\hat{\phi}$ .

**Theorem 7.4.** The K-group functor  $K_0$  on  $\mathbf{A}_u$  extends canonically to a functor

$$K_0 : \bar{\mathbf{A}}_u^{\text{fcodim}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a proper finite-codimensional pro-ideal of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^u$ . We show that  $\hat{S}(M)$  is a finitely-generated projective  $B$ -module for any finitely-generated projective  $A$ -module  $M$ : Let  $C = \mathfrak{M}_{A,B}^u/S$ ,  $D = B \otimes C$ ,  $N = D \otimes_A M$ . We know that  $C$  is a finite-dimensional algebra and  $N$  as a  $D$ -module is finitely-generated and projective. Suppose that  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is a vector basis for  $C$ . If  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$  generate  $N$  as a  $D$ -module then  $\{(1_B \otimes c_i)x_j\}$  generates  $N$  as a  $B$ -module. Thus  $N$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module. We know that there exists a  $D$ -module isomorphism  $\Gamma : N \oplus N' \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^n D$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and some  $D$ -module  $N'$ . It is clear that  $\Gamma$  is also a  $B$ -module isomorphism. On the other hand,  $D$  is a free  $B$ -module with  $B$ -basis  $\{1_B \otimes c_i\}$ . Thus  $\oplus_{i=1}^n D$  is also a free  $B$ -module. So,  $N$  as a  $B$ -module is projective.  $K_0(S)$  is defined to be the group-morphism  $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$  induced by the assignment  $M \mapsto \hat{S}(M)$  on finitely-generated projective modules.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.5.** Products exist in  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_u^{\text{prm}}$  and (hence) coincide with products of  $\mathbf{A}_u$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_1, B_2$  be unital algebras and let  $p_1, p_2$  denote the canonical projections from  $B_1 \oplus B_2$  respectively onto  $B_1, B_2$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be morphisms in  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_u^{\text{prm}}$  from  $A$  respectively to  $B_1, B_2$ . By a result similar to Theorem 2.11 we have the canonical isomorphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{A, B_1 \oplus B_2}^{\text{cu}} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{A, B_1}^{\text{cu}} \otimes \mathfrak{M}_{A, B_2}^{\text{cu}}$ . So, we may consider

$$I := S_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{M}_{A, B_2}^{\text{cu}})_{\text{ideal}} + (\mathfrak{M}_{A, B_1}^{\text{cu}})_{\text{ideal}} \otimes S_2$$

as a pro-ideal of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A, B_1 \oplus B_2}^{\text{cu}}$ . Then  $I$  is the only morphism in  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_u^{\text{prm}}$  satisfying

$$S_1 := \ker(\bar{p}_1) \circ I \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 := \ker(\bar{p}_2) \circ I.$$

$\square$

## 8 Classifying Algebras for Algebraic K-Groups

In this section we consider a bivariate K-theory  $\Omega\Omega$  which is a pure-algebraic version of Cuntz's interpretation [5] of the Kasparov bivariate K-theory of  $C^*$ -algebras [15]. Using the functor  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}$  and following a method introduced by Phillips [17] we prove the existence of *classifying homotopy pro-algebras* for  $\Omega\Omega$ , Cortiñas-Thom's KK-groups [4], and Weibel's homotopy K-groups [19].

In this section we denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the matrix in  $M_2(\mathbb{F}[x])$  given by

$$\mathcal{R} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x^2 & x^3 - 2x \\ x & 1 - x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{R}$  is invertible. For a story about  $\mathcal{R}$  in Algebraic Homotopy see [4, §3.4]. We begin with some well-known lemmas.

**Lemma 8.1.** For any  $B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , the morphism  $M_2(B) \rightarrow M_2(B[x])$  given by  $M \mapsto \mathcal{R}^{-1}M\mathcal{R}$  is an elementary homotopy between  $\text{id}_{M_2(B)}$  and the morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 8.2.** Let  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow B$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . Then the morphisms

$$(a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(a) \end{pmatrix}) \text{ and } (a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \beta(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix})$$

from  $A$  into  $M_2(B)$  are elementary homotopic.

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** With the assumptions of Lemma 8.2, suppose  $B$  is an ideal of a unital algebra  $C$ , and there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $\beta(a) = c\alpha(a)c^{-1}$ . Then the morphisms

$$(a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \text{ and } (a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \beta(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

from  $A$  into  $M_2(B)$  are homotopic.

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.2, we have

$$(a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \approx (a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix}).$$

Hence, the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta(a) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

from  $A$  into  $M_2(B)$  are elementary homotopic. Now, applying Lemma 8.2 another time, we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.4.** Let  $\alpha_i : A \rightarrow M_{k_i}(B)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . Suppose  $\sigma$  denotes a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(a) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2(a) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\sigma(1)}(a) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{\sigma(2)}(a) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{\sigma(n)}(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

from  $A$  into  $M_{2k}(B)$ , where  $k := \sum_i k_i$ , are homotopic.

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 8.3.  $\square$

For any algebra  $B$ , let  $M_\bullet(B)$  denote the ind-algebra indexed over  $\mathbb{N}$  with components  $M_n(B)$  and structural morphisms  $M_n(B) \rightarrow M_{n+1}(B)$  given by

$$M \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

For any  $m, n$ , consider the morphism

$$\Gamma_{m,n} : M_m(B) \oplus M_n(B) \rightarrow M_{m+n}(B) \quad (M, N) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Then it follows from Lemma 8.4 that the image of the family  $\{\Gamma_{m,n}\}$  in  $\text{ind-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  defines an ind-morphism

$$\Gamma : M_\bullet(B) \oplus M_\bullet(B) \rightarrow M_\bullet(B).$$

(Note that  $\{\Gamma_{m,n}\}$  does not define a morphism in  $\text{ind-A}_{\text{nc}}$  except for  $B = 0$ .) It also follows from Lemma 8.4 that  $M_\bullet(B)$  is an abelian monoid in  $\text{ind-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  with comultiplication  $\Gamma$  and null element given by the ind-morphism  $0 \rightarrow M_\bullet(B)$ . It is not hard to see that all the above statements hold if the algebra  $B$  is replaced by any ind-algebra. Also, we may consider  $M_\bullet$  as the wh preserving functor

$$M_\bullet : \text{ind-A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-A}_{\text{nc}}.$$

The following result is obvious.

**Proposition 8.5.** For  $A, B \in \text{ind-A}_{\text{nc}}$ , the set  $[A, M_\bullet(B)]$  has a canonical abelian monoid structure induced by  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, this structure is functorial in  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Lemma 8.6.** Suppose there exists a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $\tilde{f} \neq 0$  where

$$\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow M_2(A) \quad a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f(a) & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the monoid  $[A, M_\bullet(B)]$  is a group.

*Proof.* It is easily verified that for every ind-morphism  $g : A \rightarrow M_\bullet(B)$ ,  $g \circ f$  is an inverse for  $g$  in  $[A, M_\bullet(B)]$ .  $\square$

Consider the coproduct  $A \star A$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . For any  $a \in A$ , let  $a_1, a_2$  denote the two copies of  $a$  in  $A \star A$ . The algebra  $qA$ , originally introduced by Cuntz, is defined to be the kernel of the codiagonal morphism  $A \star A \rightarrow A$  ( $a_1, a_2 \mapsto a$ ) [5], [4, §4.11]. The key property of  $qA$  is that for any two morphisms  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow B$  if  $I$  is an ideal of  $B$  such that  $\alpha(a) - \beta(a) \in I$ , then the restriction to  $qA$  of the morphism  $A \star A \rightarrow B$  given by  $a_1 \mapsto \alpha(a)$  and  $a_2 \mapsto \beta(a)$ , takes its values in  $I$ , and thus may be regarded as a morphism  $qA \rightarrow I$ . We may consider  $q$  as a functor  $q : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  in the obvious way. Then, it is easily verified that  $q$  is homotopy preserving. The proof of the next lemma is an adapted version of that of [17, Proposition 1.15].

**Lemma 8.7.**  $qA$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 8.6.

*Proof.* Consider the morphisms  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow M_2(A \star A)[x]$  given by

$$\alpha : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : a \mapsto \mathcal{R}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}.$$

It is easily verified that  $\alpha(a) - \beta(a) \in M_2(qA)[x]$ , and thus we have the canonical morphism  $\varphi : qA \rightarrow M_2(qA)[x]$  induced by  $\alpha, \beta$ . Let  $s : A \star A \rightarrow A \star A$  denote switch i.e. the morphism defined by  $a_1 \mapsto a_2$  and  $a_2 \mapsto a_1$ . Put  $f := s|_{qA}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an elementary homotopy from  $\tilde{f}$  to 0.  $\square$

The following result is a version of [5, Proposition 1.4].

**Theorem 8.8.** For any two algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , the set

$$\Omega\Omega(A, B) := [qA, M_\bullet(B)]$$

has a canonical abelian group structure. Moreover, we may consider the following homotopy invariant functor in the obvious way:

$$\Omega\Omega : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 8.5, and Lemmas 8.6 and 8.7.  $\square$

For any algebra  $A$ , let the *evaluation* morphisms  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2 : qA \rightarrow A$  be defined respectively by  $(a_1 \mapsto a, a_2 \mapsto 0)$  and  $(a_1 \mapsto 0, a_2 \mapsto a)$ .

**Remark 8.9.** It is proved by Cuntz that for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $qA$  and  $q^2A$  are analytically homotopic up to stabilization by  $M_2$  [5, Theorem 1.6]. Using this result, the triple  $(qA, \text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2)$  can be regarded as an analogue of the triple  $([0, 1], 0, 1)$ , homology theories of  $C^*$ -algebras which are stable with respect to a tensor with compact operators. Unfortunately, it seems that there is no way to restate a pure-algebraic version of this result.

We let

$$\Omega(B) := \Omega\Omega(\mathbb{F}, B).$$

Thus we have the following homotopy invariant functor:

$$\Omega : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

Let  $C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$ . Denote by  $\text{Idmp}_n(C)$  the set of idempotent matrixes in  $M_n(C)$ . Then (14) makes the family  $(\text{Idmp}_n(C))_{n \geq 1}$  into an ind-set; write  $\text{Idmp}(C)$  for its direct limit. The group  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{GL}_n(C)$  acts on  $\text{Idmp}(C)$  by conjugation. Write  $[\text{Idmp}(C)]$  for the set of conjugate classes. The morphisms (15) induce an abelian monoid structure on  $[\text{Idmp}(C)]$ . Its Grothendieck group is  $K_0(C)$ , the usual K-group of  $C$ . It is clear that any  $M \in \text{Idmp}_n(C)$  is exactly distinguished by the morphism  $\alpha_M : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow M_n(C)$  given by  $1 \mapsto M$ . By Lemma 8.3, if the idempotents  $M, M' \in \text{Idmp}_n(C)$  are conjugate then  $\alpha_M, \alpha_{M'}$ , considered canonically as morphisms into  $M_{2n}(C)$ , are homotopic. Thus the assignment  $M \mapsto \alpha_M$  induces a natural monoid-morphism

$$[\text{Idmp}(C)] \rightarrow [\mathbb{F}, M_\bullet(C)]. \quad (16)$$

The morphism  $\text{ev}_1 : q\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  induces the monoid-morphism

$$[\mathbb{F}, M_\bullet(C)] \rightarrow [q\mathbb{F}, M_\bullet(C)] = \Omega(C). \quad (17)$$

The composition of (16) and (17) gives rise to the group-morphism

$$K_0(C) \rightarrow \Omega(C). \quad (18)$$

We need the following Yoneda lemma.

**Lemma 8.10.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category with finite coproducts and cofinal object. Let  $C \in \text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$ , and suppose that for every  $D \in \mathbf{C}$  the set  $\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}}(C, D)$  has an abelian group structure such that these structures make  $\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}}(C, ?)$  to a functor from  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{Ab}$ . Then  $C$  has a cocommutative cogroup structure in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$  whose induces the group structures of  $\text{Hom}_{\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}}(C, D)$  in the obvious way.

**Theorem 8.11.** For any algebra  $A$ , there exists a pro-algebra  $\overline{\Omega\Omega}_A$  with a cocommutative cogroup structure as an object in  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  such that the abelian groups  $[\overline{\Omega\Omega}_A, B]$  and  $\Omega\Omega(A, B)$  are naturally isomorphic for every algebra  $B$ . In particular, there exists a pro-algebra  $\overline{\Omega}$  with a natural abelian group-morphism

$$[\overline{\Omega}, B] \cong \Omega(B) \quad (B \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4, for every algebra  $B$  we have

$$\Omega\Omega(A, B) = [qA, M_\bullet(B)] = [qA, M_\bullet(\mathbb{F}) \otimes B] \cong [\mathfrak{M}_{qA, M_\bullet(\mathbb{F})}^{\text{nc}}, B].$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 8.10 that  $\mathfrak{M}_{qA, M_\bullet(\mathbb{F})}^{\text{nc}}$  is the desired pro-algebra  $\square$

Following Phillips [17],  $\overline{\Omega\Omega}_A$  may be called classifying pro-algebra. For any algebra  $B$ , we put

$$M_\infty(B) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_\infty(B) := M_\bullet M_\infty(B).$$

It is clear that we have the wh-preserving functor

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty : \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}.$$

As explained in [4, §4.1], the abelian monoid structure on  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$ , may be induced also by the direct sum of infinite matrixes in  $M_\infty(B)$ . For any algebra  $B$ , we denote by  $B[\Delta]$  the ind-algebra  $B \otimes \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ . For any simplicial set  $S \in \text{sim-Set}$ , let

$$\mathfrak{Z}(S, B) := \text{Hom}_{\text{sim-Set}}(S, B[\Delta])$$

where  $B[\Delta]$ , by the forgetful functor  $\text{sim-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{sim-Set}$ , is considered as a simplicial set. Then  $\mathfrak{Z}(S, B)$  can be considered as an algebra with pointwise operations. Thus we have the functor

$$\mathfrak{Z} : (\text{sim-Set})^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}.$$

We extend  $\mathfrak{Z}$  canonically to the functor

$$\mathfrak{Z} : (\text{pro-sim-Set})^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}},$$



and then again to the functor

$$\mathfrak{Z} : (\text{pro-sim-}\mathbf{Set})^{\text{op}} \times \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}.$$

Let  $\text{sd} : \text{sim-}\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \text{sim-}\mathbf{Set}$  denote the simplicial subdivision functor [10, §III.4]. For any  $S \in \text{sim-}\mathbf{Set}$  let  $\text{sd}^\bullet S \in \text{pro-sim-}\mathbf{Set}$  be given by the inverse system

$$S = \text{sd}^0 S \leftarrow \text{sd}^1 S \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \text{sd}^n S \leftarrow \cdots$$

where the structural morphisms are given by a natural transformation  $\text{sd} \rightarrow \text{id}$  called last vertex map. Let  $\Delta^1$  denote standard 1-simplex i.e. the simplicial set given by

$$\mathbf{n} \mapsto \text{Hom}_\Delta(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}).$$

For any ind-algebra  $B$  let  $B^{\mathcal{S}^1}$  ([4, §3.3]) denote the kernel of ind-morphism

$$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^1, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\text{sd}^\bullet \partial \Delta^1, B)$$

induced by the canonical morphism  $\partial \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$  in  $\text{sim-}\mathbf{Set}$ . So we have the functor

$$?^{\mathcal{S}^1} : \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$$

The  $n$  times iteration of this functor is denoted by  $?^{\mathcal{S}^n}$ . It is not hard to see that for any two algebras  $A, B$  we have the following canonical isomorphisms of ind-algebras:

$$(A \otimes B)^{\mathcal{S}^n} \cong A^{\mathcal{S}^n} \otimes B \quad (\mathcal{M}_\infty(B))^{\mathcal{S}^n} \cong \mathcal{M}_\infty(B^{\mathcal{S}^n})$$

(When the ground ring is not a field, the proof of these latter identities follow from the nontrivial result [4, Proposition 3.1.3].) In particular, we have

$$(B[x])^{\mathcal{S}^n} \cong B^{\mathcal{S}^n}[x],$$

and hence the functor  $?^{\mathcal{S}^n}$  is homotopy preserving. By [4, Theorem 3.3.2] the set

$$[A, B^{\mathcal{S}^n}] \quad (A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}, B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$$

has a canonical group structure, functorial in  $A, B$ , and abelian for  $n \geq 2$ .

By an extension [4, Definition 4.2.1] we mean a sequence

$$C \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} A \tag{19}$$

of ind-morphisms between ind-algebras such that  $g = \ker(f)$  and  $f = \text{coker}(g)$ . For  $B \in \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  the canonical morphism  $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$  in  $\text{sim-}\mathbf{Set}$  induces an ind-morphism

$$\mathfrak{Z}(\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^1, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\text{sd}^\bullet \Delta^0, B) \cong B.$$

Denote its kernel by  $\mathcal{P}(B)$ . The canonical factorization

$$\Delta^0 \rightarrow \partial \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$$

of  $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$  gives us the so-called loop extension [4, §4.5]:

$$B^{\mathcal{S}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B) \rightarrow B. \quad (20)$$

For  $V \in \mathbf{Vec}$  let  $T(V) := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}$  denote the tensor algebra associated to  $V$ . Then

$$T : \text{ind-}\mathbf{Vec} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$$

is a left adjoint for the forgetful functor  $\text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{Vec}$ . For any ind-algebra  $A$  let  $\eta_A : T(A) \rightarrow A$  denote the adjoint of  $\text{id}_A$  and put  $J(A) := \ker \eta_A$ . Let  $\iota_A : J(A) \rightarrow T(A)$  denote the embedding. Then we have the following extension:

$$J(A) \xrightarrow{\iota_A} T(A) \xrightarrow{\eta_A} A.$$

It is not hard to see that  $J$  can be considered as a homotopy preserving functor

$$J : \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \text{ind-}\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}.$$

Suppose the ind-morphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{ind-}\mathbf{Vec}$  is a splitting for extension (19) i.e.  $fh = \text{id}_A$ . Let  $\gamma_h$  denote the adjoint of  $h$ . Then there is a unique morphism  $\xi_h$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & T(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & A \\ \downarrow \xi_h & & \downarrow \gamma_h & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

If  $h' : A \rightarrow B$  is another splitting for (19) then by [4, Proposition 4.4.1] we have  $\xi_h \sim_h \xi_{h'}$ . So  $\xi = \xi_h$  is just called a classifying map for (19). For more details on the constructions of  $J(A), \mathcal{P}(A), A^{\mathcal{S}^n}$  see [4] or [8].

For any two algebras  $A, B$  we let

$$kk^{(n)}(A, B) := [J^n(A), (\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B))^{\mathcal{S}^n}] = [J^n(A), \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B^{\mathcal{S}^n})]. \quad (21)$$

It is clear that  $kk^{(n)}$  may be considered as a homotopy invariant functor

$$kk^{(n)} : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ . Then let  $j(f) \in kk^{(1)}(A, B)$  be the composit

$$J(A) \xrightarrow{J(f)} J(B) \longrightarrow J(\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B^{\mathcal{S}^1}),$$

where the second arrow is induced by the canonical embedding  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)$  and where  $\xi$  is a classifying map for the extension induced by (20). Similarly, for any  $n \geq 1$  and any homotopy class  $[f] \in kk^{(n)}(A, B)$  of a ind-morphism  $f$  let

$$\Phi_{A,B}^n([f]) \in kk^{(n+1)}(A, B)$$

denote the homotopy class of the ind-morphism defined by the composit

$$J^{n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{J(f)} J((\mathcal{M}_\infty(B))^{\mathcal{S}^n}) \xrightarrow{\xi} ((\mathcal{M}_\infty(B))^{\mathcal{S}^n})^{\mathcal{S}^1} \cong (\mathcal{M}_\infty(B))^{\mathcal{S}^{n+1}}.$$

Then, as it is explained in [4, §6.1],  $\Phi_{A,B}^n$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{Ab}$ , and moreover, the assignment  $(A, B) \mapsto \Phi_{A,B}^n$  defines a natural transformation

$$\Phi^n : kk^{(n)} \rightarrow kk^{(n+1)}.$$

Thus, we have the following direct system of abelian groups:

$$kk^{(1)}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A,B}^1} kk^{(2)}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A,B}^2} \dots$$

The Cortiñas-Thom bivariate K-group is defined to be the abelian group

$$kk(A, B) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} kk^{(n)}(A, B).$$

It is clear that  $kk$  may be considered as a homotopy invariant functor

$$kk : \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

It is proved that there is a triangulated category  $kk$  whose objects are those of  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  and whose morphism-sets are  $kk(A, B)$ . Also, the assignment  $f \mapsto j(f)$  defines a functor  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}} \rightarrow kk$  which is universal among all excisive, homotopy invariant and  $M_\infty$ -stable homology theories on  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  [4, Theorem 6.6.2].

After the above long review of Cortiñas-Thom's theory, we build a comparison morphism between  $\Omega(C)$  and  $kk(\mathbb{F}, C)$ : For  $C \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$ , consider the composit

$$\Omega(C) = [q\mathbb{F}, M_\bullet(C)] \longrightarrow [q\mathbb{F}, M_\infty(C)] \xrightarrow{j} kk(q\mathbb{F}, M_\infty(C)) \cong kk(q\mathbb{F}, C) \quad (22)$$

where the first arrow is induced by the canonical ind-morphism  $M_\bullet(C) \rightarrow M_\infty(C)$ . As it is noted in [4, §6.1] the sum operation in the  $kk$ -groups is the same as the operation induced by the abelian monoid  $M_\bullet$  in (21). Thus the composite (22) is a group-morphism. For every surjective morphism  $f$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}}$  it follows from [4, Corollary 6.3.4] that  $j(f)$  has a right inverse in  $kk$ . So, we have the following group-morphism induced by the inverse of  $j(\text{ev}_1 : q\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F})$ :

$$kk(q\mathbb{F}, C) \rightarrow kk(\mathbb{F}, C) \quad (23)$$

Composition of (22) and (23) is the canonically defined group-morphism

$$\Omega(C) \rightarrow kk(\mathbb{F}, C). \quad (24)$$

For Weibel's homotopy algebraic K-theory  $KH$  we refer the reader to the original paper [19] or [21, 3]. By [4, Theorem 8.2.1], for any algebra  $C$ , we have a natural isomorphism between abelian groups  $KH_0(C)$  and  $kk(\mathbb{F}, C)$ . Thus, (24) gives rise to the natural group-morphism

$$\Omega(C) \rightarrow KH_0(C). \quad (25)$$

In case  $C$  is a unital algebra, it can be seen from the proof of [4, Theorem 8.2.1] that the canonical morphism (see [3, §5]),

$$K_0(C) \rightarrow KH_0(C) \quad (26)$$

is equal to the composition of (18) and (25).

**Theorem 8.12.** Let  $C$  be a unital  $K_0$ -regular algebra. Then (18) is injective and (25) is surjective.

*Proof.* The theorem follows from the fact that by [3, Proposition 5.2.3], (26) is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 8.13.** Let  $C = (C_i)_i$  be in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$ . Suppose that for every  $i$ ,  $C_i$  is a cocommutative cogroup object in  $\mathbf{C}$  and all structural morphisms of  $C$  preserve the cogroup structures. Then  $C$  is a cocommutative cogroup in  $\text{pro-}\mathbf{C}$  with comultiplication, counit, and coinverse induced by those of  $C_i$ 's in the obvious way.

**Theorem 8.14.** For any algebra  $A$ , there exists an object  $\overline{kk}_A \in \text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  with a cocommutative cogroup structure such that the abelian groups  $[\overline{kk}_A, B]$  and  $kk(A, B)$  are naturally isomorphic for every algebra  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\overline{kk}_A^{(n)} = \mathfrak{M}^{\text{nc}}(\mathbf{J}^n(A), \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{S}^n})).$$

Then by Theorem 3.4 we have the natural bijection

$$kk^{(n)}(A, B) \cong [\overline{kk}_A^{(n)}, B]. \quad (27)$$

Thus by Lemma 8.10,  $\overline{kk}_A^{(n)}$  has a cocommutative cogroup structure as an object in  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  that makes (27) into a natural isomorphism of groups. By the Yoneda Lemma there exists a morphism

$$\alpha_n : \overline{kk}_A^{(n+1)} \rightarrow \overline{kk}_A^{(n)}$$

in  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  that induces the natural transformation  $\Phi_{A,?}^n$ . Thus,  $\alpha_n$  preserves the cogroup structures. Now, it follows from 8.13 that the inverse system

$$(\overline{kk}_A^{(n)}, \alpha_n)_n$$

defines the desired object  $\overline{kk}_A$ .  $\square$

Following Phillips [17],  $\overline{kk}_A$  may be called classifying homotopy pro-algebra. The following theorem is one of the main results of this note.

**Theorem 8.15.** There exists an object  $\overline{KH}_0$  in  $\text{pro-Hot}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{nc}})$  with a cocommutative cogroup structure such that the abelian groups  $[\overline{KH}_0, B]$  and  $KH_0(B)$  are naturally isomorphic for every algebra  $B$ .

*Proof.* It follows directly from [4, Theorem 8.2.1] and Theorem 8.14.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Artin, B. Mazur, *Etale homotopy*.
- [2] H.J. Baues, *Algebraic homotopy*.
- [3] G. Cortiñas, *Algebraic v. topological K-theory: A friendly match*, in: *Topics in algebraic and topological K-theory*.
- [4] G. Cortiñas, A. Thom, *Bivariant algebraic K-theory*. (arXiv:math/0603531 [math.KT])
- [5] J. Cuntz, *A new look at KK-theory*.
- [6] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Introduction to algebraic geometry and algebraic groups*.
- [7] G. Garkusha, Homotopy theory of associative rings. (arXiv:math/0608482 [math.KT])
- [8] G. Garkusha, *Algebraic Kasparov K-theory I*. (arXiv:1004.0918 [math.KT])
- [9] S.M. Gersten, *Homotopy theory of rings*.
- [10] P.G. Goerss, J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*.
- [11] J.M. Gracia-Bondía, J.C. Várilly, H. Figueroa, *Elements of noncommutative geometry*.
- [12] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*.
- [13] J.F. Jardine, *Algebraic homotopy theory, groups, and K-theory*.
- [14] T. Kambayashi, M. Miyanishi, *On two recent views of the Jacobian conjecture*.
- [15] G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras*.
- [16] L. Mdzinarishvili, *Continuous singular cohomology*.
- [17] N.C. Phillips, *Classifying algebras for the K-theory of  $\sigma$ - $C^*$ -algebras*.
- [18] M.M. Sadr, *On the quantum groups and semigroups of maps between noncommutative spaces*. (arXiv:1506.06518 [math.QA])
- [19] C.A. Weibel, *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*.
- [20] C.A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*.
- [21] C.A. Weibel, *The K-book: An introduction to algebraic K-theory*.