

# Path-Connected Components of Affine Schemes and Algebraic K-Theory

Maysam Maysami Sadr\*

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences,  
Zanjan, Iran

## Abstract

We introduce a specific functor  $\pi$  that associates to any (noncommutative) algebra  $A$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ , a subalgebra  $\pi(A)$ . This functor is constructed by using the formal affine ind-scheme of all paths  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$  from affine line into the (noncommutative) affine scheme  $S$  underlying  $A$ . The subalgebra  $\pi(A)$  may be interpreted as the algebra of functions over the formal affine scheme of path-connected components of  $S$ . Some basic properties of  $\pi$  and of its ‘finite’ variant  $\pi^n$  are considered. Then, in the case that  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed, by using  $\pi$  and a construction of mapping ind-schemes in terms of pro-algebras, we introduce a bifunctor  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  that associates to any arbitrary pair  $(A, B)$  of algebras, a pro pro-bialgebra  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$ , i.e. a pro pro-object of category of unital commutative algebras together with cocommutative comultiplications and counits. The functor  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  may be interpreted as a (dual) bivariant algebraic K-theory. It is shown that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant, finite-matrix-stable at infinity, has a canonical co-bilinear Kasparov composition, transforms direct sums in second component to tensor product, and satisfies in a specific ‘exponential law’. It is shown that for any unital algebra  $B$ , there is a canonical homomorphism from  $\mathcal{K}_0(B)$  into Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid formed by  $\mathbb{K}$ -points of ind ind-scheme associated to  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{K}, B)$ . A similar result about a relation between finite-dimensional representations of an algebra  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, \mathbb{K})$  is proved.

**MSC 2010.** 14A22, 19K35, 19E08, 16T10.

**Keywords.** noncommutative affine scheme, algebraic homotopy, algebraic bivariant K-theory.

## 1 Introduction

It is a kind of accepted ‘rule’ in Algebraic Geometry that to consider and study analogues of various classical topological constructions like homotopy and singular homology groups, one must replace the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  with the affine line  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$  [2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 15]. Here, the points  $0, 1$  in some cases are given by evaluation homomorphisms  $x \mapsto 0, x \mapsto 1$  on  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ , and in some other cases as the points at infinity of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . One of our goals in this note, is to construct, following the mentioned rule and in dual formalism, a candidate for ‘the affine scheme’ of path-connected components of any arbitrary noncommutative affine scheme. (Here, by a noncommutative affine scheme [7], we mean the formal scheme underlying

---

\*sadr@iasbs.ac.ir

a noncommutative algebra.) Indeed, for an arbitrary algebra  $A$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ , with its underlying scheme  $S = \mathfrak{s}A$ , we introduce a subalgebra  $\pi(A)$  that may be interpreted as the subalgebra of those polynomial functions on  $S$  which are constant along any path  $\mathbb{A}^1 := \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{K}[x] \rightarrow S$ . For this aim, we construct explicitly a pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{K}[x])$  that may be interpreted as the algebra of polynomial functions on formal affine ind-scheme of all paths  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$ . Moreover, we also construct a bifunctor  $\mathfrak{M}$  that associates to any pair  $(A, B)$  of arbitrary algebras, a pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  that may be considered as the algebra of polynomial functions on the formal mapping ind-scheme of all mappings  $\mathfrak{s}B \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}A$ . Then, we may also consider  $\pi\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  as the algebra of functions on homotopy classes of mappings  $\mathfrak{s}B \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}A$ . This suggests that it may be possible to construct some types of ‘topological’ bundle-theories in a purely algebraic manner: As an application of the mentioned constructions, we build another bifunctor  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  that associate to any pair  $(A, B)$  of algebras over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$ , a pro pro-bialgebra  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$ , i.e. a pro pro-object of category of unital commutative algebras together with cocommutative comultiplications and counits. It turns out that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  may be considered as a dual bivariant K-theory. But, in comparison to Cortiñas-Thom K-theory [2] and almost all homotopical theories in Algebraic Geometry (e.g. [9, 15]), we do not use any homotopy theory of simplicial sets. We can also construct from  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  an abelian group valued functor  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}$  that associates to any pair  $(A, B)$  of algebras Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -points of ind ind-scheme  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$ . It is shown that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  (and hence  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}$ ) has the following properties: (i)  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant, that is if morphisms  $f_0, f_1$  are homotopic and also  $g_0, g_1$  are homotopic then  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(f_0, g_0) = \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(f_1, g_1)$ . (ii)  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  has finite-matrix-stability at infinity, that is  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, \mathcal{M}_n(B)) \cong \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, B)$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty = \varprojlim \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ . (iii)  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  has a canonical co-bilinear Kasparov composition  $\Delta$ . (iv)  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  transforms direct sums in second component to tensor product. (v)  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  satisfies in a specific ‘exponential law’. (vi) There is a natural group homomorphism  $\mathcal{K}_0(B) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, B)$  in the case that  $B$  is unital. (vii) There is a natural mapping from the set of conjugacy classes of finite-dimensional representations of  $A$  into  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, \mathbb{K})$ .

We remark that the construction of  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, B)$  is in some sense ‘adjoint’ to the construction of a representable K-theory group for C\*-algebras due to Phillips [12] by using ‘noncommutative Grassmannians’. However, our adjoint purely algebraic construction of  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, B)$  is seemingly very much simpler.

We also remark that although the constructions of bifunctors  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  in terms of pro pro-algebras may seemed to be complicated, but these functors are in some sense ‘computable’. For instance, if the algebra  $A$  is finitely presented then the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  has a model with finitely presented algebra-components, that is any component of  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  is given by an explicit finite sets of generators and relations. See the example of quantum group ind-scheme  $\mathfrak{M}(S, G)$  in [14, Sectin 3], and a computation about  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$  at the end of Section 5.

In the remainder of this section, we fix our notations. In Section 2, we introduce the bifunctor  $\mathfrak{M}$ . In Section 3, we consider the classical concept of algebraic homotopy. In Section 4, we introduce the functor  $\pi$ . Section 5, is devoted to the K-functor  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ .

**Notations & Conventions.** For an elementary introduction to pro-objects and pro-morphisms, we refer the reader to [1, Appendix]. For a category  $\mathbf{C}$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{pro}\mathbf{C}$  the category of pro-objects of  $\mathbf{C}$ . An object of  $\mathbf{pro}\mathbf{C}$  is an indexed family  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $\mathbf{C}$  over a directed set  $I$  together with structural morphisms  $\alpha_{i'i''} : C_{i'} \rightarrow C_i$  for  $i' \geq i$  which are compatible:  $\alpha_{ii} = \text{id}_{C_i}$  and  $\alpha_{ii''} = \alpha_{i'i''}\alpha_{i'i'}$ . For

pro-objects  $C = (I, C_i, \alpha_{ii'})$  and  $D = (J, D_j, \beta_{jj'})$ , the morphism set  $\mathbf{proC}(C, D)$  is defined to be  $\varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \mathbf{C}(C_i, D_j)$ . (The structure of  $\mathbf{proC}(C, D)$  may be explained as follows: A represented pro-morphism from  $C$  to  $D$  is distinguished by a function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  and a family  $\{\phi_j : C_{f(j)} \rightarrow D_j\}_j$  of morphisms with the property that if  $j' \geq j$  then there exists  $i \geq f(j), f(j')$  such that  $\phi_j \alpha_{f(j)i} = \beta_{jj'} \phi_{j'} \alpha_{f(j')i}$ . Two represented pro-morphisms  $(f, \phi_j)$  and  $(g, \psi_j)$  are equivalent if for every  $j$  there exists  $i \geq f(j), g(j)$  such that  $\phi_j \alpha_{f(j)i} = \psi_j \alpha_{g(j)i}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{proC}(C, D)$  may be identified with the set of equivalence classes of represented pro-morphisms.) We will use the canonical embeddings  $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{proC} \subset \mathbf{proproC}$ . Any functor  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  extends canonically to a functor (denoted by the same symbol)  $F : \mathbf{proC} \rightarrow \mathbf{proD}$ . The inverse limit  $\varprojlim$  (if exists) may be considered as functor from  $\mathbf{proC}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Throughout, we work over a fixed field  $\mathbb{K}$ ; all vector spaces, algebras and tensor products are understood over  $\mathbb{K}$ . The category of (not necessarily unital) algebras is denoted by  $\mathbf{A}$ . The subscripts c and u refer to ‘commutative’ and ‘unital’. Thus, for instance,  $\mathbf{proA}_c := \mathbf{pro}(\mathbf{A}_c)$  denotes the category of pro commutative-algebras (or by abuse of notion, commutative pro-algebras) and  $\mathbf{A}_u$  denotes the category of unital algebras together with unit preserving morphisms. The symbol  $\mathfrak{s}$  stands mutually for ‘space’, ‘scheme’ and ‘spectrum’, and denotes the formal duality functor from the category of (pro-) algebras to category of noncommutative (ind-) affine schemes [7]. (In the case that  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{uc}$ , one may interpret  $\mathfrak{s}A$  as the usual geometric prime-ideal spectrum of  $A$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed and  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{uc}$  is finitely generated and reduced, there exists a closed algebraic set or affine variety  $\mathfrak{s}A$  such that  $A$  is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{s}A$ .) However, we only use the formal cofunctor  $\mathfrak{s}$  in order to clarify our discussion in the language of algebras and algebra morphisms.

For two pro pro-algebras  $A, B$ , we denote by  $M(A, B)$  the set of all pro pro-morphisms from  $A$  to  $B$ . We call any member of  $\text{Pnt}(A) := M(A, \mathbb{K})$ , a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{s}A$ , or by abuse of notion, a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $A$ .

For any algebra  $A$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n(A)$  the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrixes with entries in  $A$ , and by  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(A)$  the direct limit  $\varinjlim \mathcal{M}_n(A)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{M}_\infty$  may be considered as functors on  $\mathbf{A}$ . For any algebra  $A$ ,  $A^+ := A \oplus \mathbb{K}$  denotes the canonical unitization of  $A$ . The operation  $+$  may be considered as a functor  $+: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_u$ . Note that if  $B$  is a unital algebra and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a morphism, then there is a unique morphism  $A^+ \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{A}_u$  extending  $f$ . We some times denote this morphism, by abuse of notations, with  $f^+$ . For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{uc}$  by reduction of  $A$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{r}(A)$ , we mean the quotient of  $A$  by the ideal of nilpotent elements.  $\mathfrak{r}$  may be considered as a functor  $\mathfrak{r} : \mathbf{A}_{uc} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{uc}$ . If  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed then  $\mathfrak{r}$  preserves tensor product.

Let  $\star$  denote coproduct in  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then, the coproduct object  $A \star B \in \mathbf{proA}$  of pro-algebras  $A = \{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $B = \{B_j\}_{j \in J}$  indexed by directed sets  $I, J$ , has a model of the form  $\{A_i \star B_j\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  such that its structural morphisms  $A_{i'} \star B_{j'} \rightarrow A_i \star B_j$  ( $i' \geq i, j' \geq j$ ) are induced by structural morphisms  $A_{i'} \rightarrow A_i$  and  $B_{j'} \rightarrow B_j$ , using the coproduct universal property of  $A_{i'} \star B_{j'}$ . One can similarly describe coproduct  $\star_c$  in  $\mathbf{proA}_c$ , product (direct sum)  $\oplus$  in  $\mathbf{proA}$ , and tensor product  $\otimes$  in  $\mathbf{proA}$  (that is also coproduct in  $\mathbf{proA}_{uc}$ ).

## 2 ind-Schemes of Morphisms

Let  $A, B$  be algebras. Let  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  be a family of subsets of  $B$  where  $G \subseteq A$  generates  $A$  as an algebra and for every  $a \in G$ ,  $\delta_a$  is a finite linearly independent subset of  $B$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$  the universal commutative algebra generated by the set  $\{z_{a,v}\}_{a \in G, v \in \delta_a}$  of symbols subject to the condition that the assignment  $a \mapsto \sum_{v \in \delta_a} v \otimes z_{a,v}$  defines a morphism  $\Upsilon\theta : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathfrak{M}$ . We call the elements  $z_{a,v}$  canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$ . For a commutative algebra  $C$  and any morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$ , we say that  $\varphi$  admits  $\theta$  if for every  $a \in G, v \in \delta_a$ , there is an element  $c_{a,v} \in C$  such that  $\varphi(a) = \sum_{v \in \delta_a} v \otimes c_{a,v}$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{M}\theta$  has the following universal property: For every commutative algebra  $C$  and any morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$  admitting  $\theta$  there exists a unique morphism  $\bar{\varphi}_\theta : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow C$  such that  $\varphi = (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_\theta)\Upsilon\theta$ . (For more details on this construction in unital and noncommutative cases see [13].) If  $M_\theta(A, B) \subset M(A, B)$  denotes the subset of all morphisms  $h : A \rightarrow B \cong B \otimes \mathbb{K}$  admitting  $\theta$ , then the assignment  $h \mapsto \bar{h}_\theta$  is a one-to-one correspondence from  $M_\theta(A, B)$  onto  $\text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}\theta)$ . This suggest that we may interpret  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{M}\theta$  as an affine scheme model for the set  $M_\theta(A, B)$ .

Let  $V \subset B$  be a vector basis for the underlying vector space of  $B$ . We denote by  $\Theta(A_G, B_V) = \Theta$  the set of all families  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  such that  $\delta_a \subseteq V$  for every  $a \in G$ . For  $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ , we write  $\theta \subseteq \theta'$  if  $\delta_a \subseteq \delta'_a$  for every  $a \in G$ . Then,  $\subseteq$  makes  $\Theta$  to a directed set. On the other hand, if  $\theta \subseteq \theta'$  then  $\Upsilon\theta$  admits  $\theta'$ . Thus, there is a unique surjective morphism  $\phi_{\theta'\theta} : \mathfrak{M}\theta' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta$  such that  $\Upsilon\theta = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_{\theta'\theta})\Upsilon\theta'$ . It is easily verified that  $\phi_{\theta\theta} = \text{id}$ , and if  $\theta \subseteq \theta' \subseteq \theta''$  then  $\phi_{\theta''\theta} = \phi_{\theta'\theta}\phi_{\theta''\theta'}$ . Thus, the data  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta, \phi_{\theta'\theta}\}$  distinguishes a pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A_G, B_V) = \mathfrak{M}$  indexed by  $\Theta$ . The family  $\{\Upsilon\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  defines a pro-morphism  $\Upsilon(A_G, B_V) = \Upsilon$  from the algebra  $A$  to the pro-algebra  $B \otimes \mathfrak{M}$ . If  $C = \{C_i\}_i$  is a commutative pro-algebra and  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i : A \rightarrow B \otimes C_i\}_i$  is a pro-morphism from  $A$  to  $B \otimes C$ , then for every  $i$  there exists  $\theta \in \Theta$  such that  $\varphi_i$  admits  $\theta$ . This shows that we have the following universal property: For any commutative pro-algebra  $C$  and every pro-morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$ , there exists a unique pro-morphism  $\bar{\varphi}_{A,B} : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow C$  satisfying  $\varphi = (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_{A,B})\Upsilon$ . Now, it is clear that the assignment  $h \mapsto \bar{h}_{A,B}$  is a one-to-one correspondence from  $M(A, B)$  onto  $\text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M})$ . Thus, one may interpret  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{M}$  as the affine ind-scheme of all mappings from  $\mathfrak{s}B$  to  $\mathfrak{s}A$ .

Let  $A', B'$  be algebras. Suppose that  $G' \subseteq A'$  is a generator for  $A'$ , and  $V' \subset B'$  is a vector basis for  $B'$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}' = \mathfrak{M}(A'_{G'}, B'_{V'})$  and  $\Upsilon' = \Upsilon(A'_{G'}, B'_{V'})$ . If  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  and  $g : B' \rightarrow B$  are morphisms then there is a unique pro-morphism  $\mathfrak{M}(f, g) : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}'$  such that  $(g \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon'f = (\text{id} \otimes \mathfrak{M}(f, g))\Upsilon$ . Note that with above notations:

$$\mathfrak{M}(f, g) = \overline{((g \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon'f)}_{A,B}.$$

Note also that if  $A' = A$  and  $B' = B$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{id}, \text{id})$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{proA}_c$ . From now on, whenever  $(G, V)$  are understood from our discussion, or whenever any specific choice of  $(G, V)$  changes nothing in our discussion, we omit  $G$  and  $V$  from our notations. Moreover, by ignoring some set theoretical difficulties, we may consider  $\mathfrak{M}$  as a functor

$$\mathfrak{M} : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{proA}_c,$$

and  $\Upsilon : (A, B) \mapsto \Upsilon(A, B)$  as a natural transformation. For more details see [14].

With some restrictions on  $A$  and  $B$ , we can find some ‘useful’, ‘simple’, or ‘computable’ models for  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ . For instance, consider the following four cases: (i) If  $A$  is finitely generated then  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  may be considered as a pro finitely-generated-algebra. (ii) If  $A$  is finitely presented then  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  may be considered as a pro finitely-presented-algebra. (iii) If  $B$  is finite dimensional then  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\varprojlim \mathfrak{M}\theta$ . (iv) Suppose that  $A$  is finitely generated and let  $G$  be a finite generator for  $A$ . Also, suppose that  $B$  has a countable and infinite vector basis, and let  $V := \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$  be an ordered vector basis for  $B$ . Let  $\theta_n \in \Theta(A_G, B_V)$  denote the family  $\{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  such that  $\delta_a := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for every  $a \in G$ . Then, the family  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  forms a pro-algebra which is a model for  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\theta = \{\delta_a\}_{a \in G} \in \Theta(A_G, B_V)$  and  $\theta' = \{\delta'_a\}_{a \in G} \in \Theta(A_G, B'_{V'})$ . Let  $\theta \cup \theta'$  denote the family  $\{\delta_a \cup \delta'_a\}_{a \in G} \in \Theta(A, B \oplus B')$ . We have a canonical isomorphism of commutative algebras:  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta') \cong \mathfrak{M}(\theta) \star_c \mathfrak{M}(\theta')$ . Similarly, there is a canonical isomorphism of commutative pro-algebras:

$$\mathfrak{M}(A, B \oplus B') \cong \mathfrak{M}(A, B) \star_c \mathfrak{M}(A, B')$$

*Proof.* It is easily checked that  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$  has the universal property of coproduct object. Indeed: Let  $\phi := (p \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon(\theta \cup \theta')$  where  $p : B \oplus B' \rightarrow B$  is the canonical projection onto the first component. Then  $\overline{\phi}_\theta : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$  plays the role of the coproduct structural morphism. Similarly, the structural morphism  $\overline{\phi}'_{\theta'}$  is defined. Suppose that  $C \in \mathbf{A}_c$  and  $\psi : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow C, \psi' : \mathfrak{M}\theta' \rightarrow C$  are morphisms. Let  $\varphi$  denote the morphism  $[(\text{id}_B \otimes \psi)\Upsilon\theta] \oplus [(\text{id}_{B'} \otimes \psi')\Upsilon\theta']$ . Then  $\overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} : \mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta') \rightarrow C$  is the unique morphism satisfying  $\psi = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} \overline{\phi}_\theta$  and  $\psi' = \overline{\varphi}_{\theta \cup \theta'} \overline{\phi}'_{\theta'}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{M}(\theta \cup \theta')$  is the coproduct object. The proof of the other part is similar.  $\square$

The above fact indeed asserts that the cofunctor  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \cdot)$  transform product of  $\mathbf{A}$  to coproduct of  $\mathbf{proA}_c$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** (Exponential Law) There is an isomorphism of pro-algebras:

$$\mathfrak{M}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \varprojlim \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B')$$

Here, the inverse limit is taken over the indexes of  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ . In particular, if  $B$  is a finite dimensional algebra there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{M}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B')$$

*Proof.* Let  $G \subseteq A$  generates  $A$ , and  $V, V'$  be respectively vector basis for  $B, B'$ . Then,  $V \otimes V' := \{v \otimes v' : v \in V, v' \in V'\}$  is a vector basis for  $B \otimes B'$ . For finite subsets  $S \subseteq V, S' \subseteq V'$ , let  $\theta_{SS'} \in \Theta(A, B \otimes B'), \theta_S \in \Theta(A, B)$  denote respectively the families  $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\delta'_g\}_{g \in G}$  where  $\delta_g = S \otimes S', \delta'_g = S$ . Also, let  $GS$  denote the set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{M}\theta_S$ , and  $\theta_{S'|S} \in \Theta(\mathfrak{M}\theta_S, B')$  denote the family  $\{\delta_h^\dagger\}_{h \in GS}$  where  $\delta_h^\dagger = S'$ . Then, a proof similar to the proof of [13, Theorem 2.10], shows that there is a canonical isomorphism  $\phi_{S,S'} : \mathfrak{M}\theta_{SS'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta_{S'|S}$ . As we have defined in the above, the pro-algebras  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B \otimes B')$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  are given respectively

by  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_{SS'}\}_{\theta_{SS'}}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_S\}_{\theta_S}$ . Using the functoriality of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B')$  is a pro pro-algebra given by  $\{\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}\theta_S, B')\}_{\theta_S}$ . Also, for every fixed  $S$ , the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}\theta_S, B')$  is given by  $\{\mathfrak{M}\theta_{S'|S}\}_{\theta_{S'|S}}$ . Thus, for any  $S$ , the family  $\{\phi_{S,S'}\}_{S'}$  defines a pro-morphism  $\psi_S$  from  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B \otimes B')$  to  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}\theta_S, B')$ . It is not hard to see that the pair  $(\mathfrak{M}(A, B \otimes B'), \{\psi_S\}_S)$  has the properties needed for being inverse limit  $\varprojlim_S \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}\theta_S, B')$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We will need the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a finitely generated algebra and  $B$  be an algebra with a filter  $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B$  of subalgebras such that  $B = \cup_n B_n$ . Then, the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  is canonically isomorphic to inverse limit of the pro pro-algebra  $\{\mathfrak{M}(A, B_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  with structural pro-morphisms  $\mathfrak{M}(A, e_{n,m}) : \mathfrak{M}(A, B_m) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A, B_n)$  where  $e_{n,m} : B_n \rightarrow B_m$  denotes embedding.

*Proof.* We show that the pair  $(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), \{\mathfrak{M}(A, e_n)\}_n)$  where  $e_n : B_n \rightarrow B$  denotes embedding, has required universal property for being direct limit object. Let  $G \subset A$  be a finite generator for  $A$ , and let  $V_n$  be a vector basis for  $B_n$  such that  $V_n \subseteq V_{n+1}$  for every  $n$ . Then,  $V := \cup_n V_n$  is a basis for  $B$ . As above, we suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B) = \{\mathfrak{M}\theta\}_\theta$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B_n) = \{\mathfrak{M}\theta_n\}_{\theta_n}$  where  $\theta$  and  $\theta_n$  vary respectively in  $\Theta(A_G, B_V)$  and  $\Theta(A_G, B_{V_n})$ . Let  $C = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a pro-algebra and  $\{\phi_n : C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A, B_n)\}_n$  be a compatible family of pro-morphisms. Suppose that  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, B_V)$ . Since  $G$  is finite, there is a number  $n(\theta)$  such that  $\theta$  is also belongs to  $\Theta(A_G, B_{V_{n(\theta)}})$ . Thus, there exists  $i(\theta) \in I$  and a morphism  $\psi(\theta) : C_{i(\theta)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\theta$  associated by a represented pro-morphism in the class of  $\phi_{n(\theta)}$ . It is not hard to verify that the family  $\{\psi(\theta)\}_\theta$  defines a pro-morphism  $\psi : C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  such that  $\phi_n = \mathfrak{M}(A, e_n)\psi$  for every  $n$ .  $\psi$  is also unique. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3 Classical Algebraic Homotopy of Morphisms

Let  $A, B$  be algebras and  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  be morphisms.  $f$  and  $g$  are called elementary (algebraically) homotopic [2, 4, 5], denoted by  $f \sim_{\text{eh}} g$ , if there is a morphism

$$H : A \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \cong B[x],$$

called homotopy, such that  $p_0 H = f$  and  $p_1 H = g$ , where  $p_0, p_1 : B[x] \rightarrow B$  are morphisms defined by  $x \mapsto 0, x \mapsto 1$ .  $f$  and  $g$  are called (algebraically) homotopic, denoted by  $f \sim_{\text{h}} g$ , if there is a finite chain  $h_0, \dots, h_n$  of elementary homotopic morphisms as follows:

$$f = h_0 \sim_{\text{eh}} h_1 \sim_{\text{eh}} \dots \sim_{\text{eh}} h_n = g$$

For  $f, g$  in  $\mathbf{A}_u$  the concepts of unital homotopy and unitally homotopic is defined similarly. We have also similarly the notions of homotopic pro-morphisms and pro pro-morphisms. It is clear that  $\sim_{\text{h}}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ . We let  $[A, B]_{\text{h}} := \mathfrak{M}(A, B) / \sim_{\text{h}}$ . It is easily seen that for morphisms  $f, f' : A \rightarrow B, g, g' : B \rightarrow C$  if  $f \sim_{\text{h}} f'$  and  $g \sim_{\text{h}} g'$  then  $gf \sim_{\text{h}} g'f'$  ([5, Lemma 1.1]). So, we may form

the category  $\text{Hot}\mathbf{A}$  such that its objects are the objects of  $\mathbf{A}$  and such that the morphism set  $\text{Hot}\mathbf{A}(A, B)$  is defined to be  $[A, B]_{\text{h}}$ . Then, we have also the functor

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{h}} : \text{Hot}\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Hot}\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $C \in \mathbf{pro}\mathbf{A}_c$  and  $f, g : A \rightarrow B \otimes C$  be pro-morphisms. Then,  $f, g$  are (elementary) algebraic homotopic iff  $\overline{f}_{A,B}, \overline{g}_{A,B}$  are so.

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that if  $H : A \rightarrow B \otimes C \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$  is a pro-morphism then  $H$  is an homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  iff  $\overline{H}_{A,B} : \mathfrak{M}(A, B) \rightarrow C \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$  is an homotopy between  $\overline{f}_{A,B}$  and  $\overline{g}_{A,B}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence:

$$[A, B]_{\text{h}} \cong \text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B)) / \sim_{\text{h}}$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 3.1 when  $C = \mathbb{K}$ .  $\square$

We have also the following result:

**Theorem 3.3.** The functor  $\mathfrak{M}$  preserves homotopy of morphisms.

*Proof.* Let  $f_0, f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$  and  $g_0, g_1 : B' \rightarrow B$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $f_0 \sim_{\text{h}} f_1$  and  $g_0 \sim_{\text{h}} g_1$ . Then, the pro-morphisms

$$(g_0 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(A', B')})\Upsilon(A', B')f_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (g_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(A', B')})\Upsilon(A', B')f_1$$

are homotopic. Thus, by Lemma 3.1,  $\mathfrak{M}(f_0, g_0) \sim_{\text{h}} \mathfrak{M}(f_1, g_1)$ .  $\square$

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  be morphisms. Then,

- (i) If  $f, g$  are homotopic then  $f^+, g^+$  are unittally homotopic.
- (ii) If  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed and  $f, g$  are unittally homotopic morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{uc}}$  then  $\mathfrak{r}(f), \mathfrak{r}(g)$  are also unittally homotopic.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \sim_{\text{eh}} g$  through the homotopy  $H$ . Let  $\hat{H}$  be the composition of  $H$  with the canonical embedding  $B \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \rightarrow B^+ \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$ . Then  $\hat{H}$  extends uniquely to a morphism  $\hat{H}^+ : A^+ \rightarrow B^+ \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{u}}$  that is a unital homotopy between  $f^+$  and  $g^+$ . (ii) If  $H$  is a unital homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$  then  $\mathfrak{r}(H)$  is so between  $\mathfrak{r}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{r}(g)$ .  $\square$

We end this section by a remark on algebraic homotopy of set-valued functors:

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be a (covariant) functor from an appropriate category  $\mathbf{C}$  of algebras to category of sets. For any  $B \in \mathbf{C}$  and every  $x, x' \in F(B)$ , write  $x \sim x'$  if there exist sequences  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  in  $F(B)$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in  $F(B \otimes \mathbb{K}[x])$  such that  $x = x_0, x' = x_n$  and  $F(p_0)(z_i) = x_{i-1}, F(p_1)(z_i) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It is clear that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $F(B)$ , and also  $F$  respects  $\sim$ , that is if  $\alpha : B \rightarrow C$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}$  and for  $x, x' \in F(B)$  we have  $x \sim x'$ , then

$F(\alpha)(x) \sim F(\alpha)(x')$ . Thus, one may define the functor  $\pi_0(F) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  by  $\pi_0 F(B) := F(B)/\sim$ , and consider  $\pi_0(F)$  as an algebraic homotopy of  $F$ . Specific examples for  $F$  are functorially defined schemes [3], i.e. sheaves of sets on  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{uc}}$  with Zariski topology. So, in particular, if  $F = \mathfrak{s}A$  be the affine scheme associated to  $A \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{uc}}$ , i.e.  $F : \mathbf{A}_{\text{uc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}, B \mapsto \mathbf{M}(A, B)$ , then one may consider  $\pi_0(F)$  as a potential candidate for the ‘affine scheme of path-connected component of  $\mathfrak{s}A$ ’. But, the obvious difficulty with this approach to homotopy of (affine) schemes is that in general  $\pi_0(F)$  is not a functorially defined scheme or even algebraic space [1].

## 4 Path-Connected Components of Affine Schemes

Let  $X$  be a compact finite dimensional topological manifold, and let  $\mathcal{P}X$  and  $\pi_0 X$  denote respectively path space and space of path-connected components of  $X$  with compact-open and quotient topologies. (For any space  $Y$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  denote the algebra of real-valued continuous functions on  $Y$ .) Then, one may identify  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$  with the subalgebra of those functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  such that for any continuous curve  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \circ \gamma$  is constant. On other hand, consider the following canonical morphism between algebras:

$$\mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times \mathcal{P}X), \quad f \mapsto [(t, \gamma) \mapsto f(\gamma(t))].$$

Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$  if the above canonical morphism takes  $f$  to

$$1 \otimes \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}X) \subseteq \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times \mathcal{P}X)$$

for some  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}X)$ . (One can extend the above inclusion of real algebras to an isomorphism, if instead of the algebraic tensor product use a type of spatial tensor product of pro- $C^*$ -algebras introduced by Phillips [11].) In this section, we try to define a pure algebraic analogue of  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$  for an arbitrary noncommutative affine scheme  $X = \mathfrak{s}A$ . For this aim, in the above discussion, we replace  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  with the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  of polynomials, and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}X)$  by an appropriate model of the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{K}[x])$ . Indeed,  $[0, 1]$  is replaced by the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1 := \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{K}[x]$ .

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary algebra. Choose a generator  $G \subseteq A$  for  $A$  and a vector basis  $V$  for  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ , and consider the pro-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V) = \{\mathfrak{M}\theta\}_\theta$  and the canonical pro-morphism  $\Upsilon(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V) = \{\Upsilon\theta\}_\theta$  indexed by  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V)$ . We let  $\pi(A) \subseteq A$  be the subset of those elements  $a$  such that for every  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V)$  there is  $b_{a,\theta} \in \mathfrak{M}\theta$  satisfying  $\Upsilon\theta(a) = 1 \otimes b_{a,\theta}$ . It is clear that  $\pi(A)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ , and by the fact explained in Section 2,  $\pi(A)$  is independent from specific choice of  $G$  and  $V$ . Also, for any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$ , if  $a \in \pi(A)$  then  $f(a) \in \pi(A')$ . Thus, by setting  $\pi(f) = f|_{\pi(A)}$ , we may consider  $\pi$  as a functor

$$\pi : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

By the results of Section 2, we know that  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{K}[x])$  may be interpreted as formal ind-scheme of all paths  $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}A$ . Thus, following the above discussion, we may also interpret  $\mathfrak{s}\pi(A)$  as the formal affine scheme of path-connected components of  $\mathfrak{s}A$ , and  $\pi(A)$  as the algebra of those functions on  $\mathfrak{s}A$  that are constant on every



path-connected components of  $\mathfrak{s}A$ . Now, it seems that the following definition is reasonable:

**Definition 4.1.** For a unital algebra  $A$  we say that  $\mathfrak{s}A$  is path-connected if  $\pi(A) = \mathbb{K}1_A$ , and  $\mathfrak{s}A$  is totally path-disconnected if  $\pi(A) = A$ .

Let us now introduce a ‘finite’ version of  $\pi$ . First of all, let  $\mathbf{B}$  denote a category whose objects are pairs  $A_G = (A, G)$  where  $A$  is an algebra and  $G \subseteq A$  a generator for  $A$ . A morphism  $f : A_G \rightarrow A'_{G'}$  in  $\mathbf{B}$  is an ordinary algebra morphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $f(G) \subseteq G' \cup \{0\}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the vector basis  $\{x^n : n \geq 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ . Let  $A_G \in \mathbf{B}$ . For every  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\theta_{A,G}^n$  denote the family in  $\Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_{\mathcal{V}})$  given by  $\{\delta_a\}_{a \in G}$  where  $\delta_a := \{x^i : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$  for every  $a \in G$ . We let

$$\pi^n(A_G) := \{b \in A : \Upsilon\theta_{A,G}^n(b) = 1 \otimes c \text{ for some } c \in \mathfrak{M}\theta_{A,G}^n\}.$$

It is clear that  $\pi^n(A_G)$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{M}\theta_{A,G}^0 = A/[A, A] \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon\theta_{A,G}^0 : b \mapsto 1 \otimes (b + [A, A]).$$

Thus,  $\pi^0(A_G) = A$ . For every  $n$ , since  $\Upsilon\theta_{A,G}^n$  admits  $\theta_{A,G}^{n+1}$ , there is a morphism  $\phi_{n+1,n}$  from  $\theta_{A,G}^{n+1}$  to  $\theta_{A,G}^n$  such that  $\Upsilon\theta_{A,G}^n = (\text{id} \otimes \phi_{n+1,n})\Upsilon\theta_{A,G}^{n+1}$ . Thus,

$$\pi^\infty(A_G) := \bigcap_n \pi^n(A_G) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi^{n+1}(A_G) \subseteq \pi^n(A_G) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi^0(A_G) = A$$

If  $f : A_G \rightarrow A'_{G'}$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{B}$  then  $\pi(f) := f|_{\pi^n(A_G)}$  is a well-defined morphism from  $\pi^n(A_G)$  to  $\pi^n(A'_{G'})$ . Thus, we may consider the functors

$$\pi^n, \pi^\infty : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

Note that if  $A$  is an algebra and  $G$  is an arbitrary generator for  $A$ , then

$$\pi(A) \subseteq \pi^\infty(A_G),$$

and if  $A$  is finitely generated (and  $G$  still an arbitrary generator), then

$$\pi(A) = \pi^\infty(A_G)$$

For a compact manifold  $X$ , one may consider the algebra  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_0 X)$  as the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  generated by idempotent elements. We have the following similar fact:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $A$  be an algebra and  $b \in A$ . Suppose that  $b^k = b$  for some  $k \geq 2$  such that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) \nmid (k-1)$ . Then,  $b \in \pi(A)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_{\mathcal{V}})$  be an arbitrary family. Suppose that  $\Upsilon\theta(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x^i \otimes c_i$ . Since  $[\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x^i \otimes c_i]^k = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x^i \otimes c_i$ , we have  $c_0^k = c_0$  and  $kc_0^{k-1}c_1 = c_1$ . Thus,  $kc_0c_1 = c_0c_1$ . This implies that  $c_0c_1 = 0$  and therefore  $c_1 = 0$ . Analogously and respectively, it is proved that  $c_2 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Note that it follows from Theorem 4.2 that for any unital algebra  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathbb{K}[x])$  and  $\Upsilon(A, \mathbb{K}[x])$  belong to  $\mathbf{proA}_u$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $\mathbb{K}[X_n]$  and  $\mathbb{K}[X_n]_{\text{nc}}$  denote the algebras of polynomials in commuting and noncommuting indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . The commutative and noncommutative affine  $n$ -spaces  $\mathbb{A}^n := \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{K}[X_n]$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{nc}}^n := \mathfrak{s}\mathbb{K}[X_n]_{\text{nc}}$  are path-connected. Since the matrix algebras  $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{K})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbb{K})$  are generated by their idempotents, Theorem 4.2 implies that the noncommutative affine schemes  $\mathfrak{s}\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{K})$  and  $\mathfrak{s}\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbb{K})$  are totally path-disconnected.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\{(A_i, G_i)\}_i$  be an arbitrary family in  $\mathbf{B}$ . Then,

$$\pi^n(\oplus_i A_i, \cup_i G_i) = \oplus_i \pi^n(A_i, G_i)$$

The similar statement is satisfied for  $\pi^\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B$  denote the subalgebra  $\pi^n(\oplus_i A_i, \cup_i G_i)$  of  $\oplus_i A_i$ . Let  $e_i : A_i \rightarrow \oplus_i A_i$  and  $p_i : \oplus_i A_i \rightarrow A_i$  denote the canonical embedding and projection. Since  $\pi^n(e_i)$  is the restriction of  $e_i$  to  $\pi^n(A_i, G_i)$ , we have  $\pi^n(A_i, G_i) \subseteq B$ . Let  $\{b_i\}_i \in B$ . Since  $\pi^n(p_i)$  is the restriction of  $p_i$  to  $B$ , we have  $b_i \in \pi^n(A_i, G_i)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following result is similar to Theorem 4.4 and its proof is omitted.

**Theorem 4.5.** The functor  $\pi$  preserves arbitrary direct sums.

It follows from Theorem 4.5 that  $\pi(\oplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{K}) = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{K}$ . Thus, any set  $I$  without any structure as an affine scheme is totally path-disconnected.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $A_G, A_{G'} \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $A, A'$  are unital algebras. Then,

$$\pi^n(A, G) \otimes \pi^n(A', G') \subseteq \pi^n(A \otimes A', (G \otimes 1) \cup (1 \otimes G'))$$

The similar statement is satisfied for  $\pi^\infty$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that  $\pi^n$  takes the canonical morphisms  $A, A' \rightarrow A \otimes A'$  given by  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1, a' \mapsto 1 \otimes a'$ , to restriction morphisms.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $A, B$  be unital algebras. Then,

$$\pi(A) \otimes \pi(B) \subseteq \pi(A \otimes B).$$

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

For the proof of the next result, we shall need the following fact from elementary Linear Algebra: Let  $W, W'$  be vector spaces and  $W'_0 \subseteq W'$  be a vector subspace. Let  $x \in W \otimes W'$  be such that for every linear functional  $f : W' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  with  $f|_{W'_0} = 0$ ,  $(\text{id}_W \otimes f)(x) = 0$ . Then,  $x$  belongs to  $W \otimes W'_0$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $A, B$  be unital commutative and reduced algebras. Then,

$$\pi(A \otimes B) = \pi(A) \otimes \pi(B)$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are finitely generated. Let  $c \in \pi(A \otimes B)$ . There are a polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X_m]$  and a radical ideal  $I$  such that  $A \cong \mathbb{K}[X_m]/I$ . Indeed, if  $Z$  denote the zero locus of  $I$  in affine space  $\mathbb{A}^m$ , then we identify  $A$  with the algebra of polynomial functions on  $Z$ . For any  $\zeta \in Z$ , let  $\tilde{\zeta} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  denote the associated evaluation morphism at  $\zeta$ . Since  $\pi(\tilde{\zeta} \otimes \text{id})$  is restriction,  $(\tilde{\zeta} \otimes \text{id})(c) \in \pi(B)$ . Let  $p = \sum_i b_i X_m^i$  be a polynomial in  $B[X_m] \cong \mathbb{K}[X_m] \otimes B$  such that its canonical image in  $A \otimes B$  is equal to  $c$ . Suppose that  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a linear functional with  $f|_{\pi(B)} = 0$ . Thus, for every  $\zeta \in Z$ ,  $\sum_i f(b_i) \zeta^i = 0$ . This implies that the polynomial  $\sum_i f(b_i) X_m^i$  belongs to  $I$ . Therefore,  $(\text{id}_A \otimes f)(c) = 0$ . Thus, by the fact mentioned before the theorem,  $c \in A \otimes \pi(B)$ . Similarly, it is proved that  $c \in \pi(A) \otimes B$ . Thus,  $c$  belongs to  $\pi(A) \otimes \pi(B)$ . Therefore, it was proved that  $\pi(A \otimes B) \subseteq \pi(A) \otimes \pi(B)$ . The reverse inclusion follows from Theorem 4.7.  $\square$

Let  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V)$ . Denote by  $1^+$  the attached unit of  $A^+$  and let  $G^+ := G \cup \{1^+\}$ . Consider the morphism  $f := (\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\Upsilon\theta$  from  $A$  to  $\mathbb{K}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}^+\theta$  where  $\alpha : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^+\theta$  denotes the canonical embedding. Then,

$$f^+ : A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x] \otimes \mathfrak{M}^+\theta$$

admits  $\theta^+ := \theta \cup \{\delta_{1^+}\}$  where  $\delta_{1^+} := \{1\}$ . This implies the following two theorems. The proofs are omitted.

**Theorem 4.9.**  $\pi^n(A_G)^+ = \pi^n(A_{G^+}^+)$  and  $\pi^\infty(A_G)^+ = \pi^\infty(A_{G^+}^+)$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}$ , we have  $\pi(A)^+ = \pi(A^+)$ .

Recall that an affine group variety or affine algebraic group ([8]) is an affine variety (over an algebraically closed field) which has a group structure such that both of the multiplication and inverse are regular mappings between affine varieties. In a dual formulation, an affine group variety is just given by a Hopf-algebra such that its underlying algebra is unital commutative finitely generated and reduced. The following result indeed says that the path-connected components of an affine group variety has a canonical structure of an affine group scheme. (See [6, Chapter 2] for a discussion on path-connected components of algebraic groups.)

**Theorem 4.11.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $A$  be a commutative Hopf-algebra with multiplication  $\Delta$ , antipode  $S$ , and counit  $e$ . If  $A$  is reduced, then,  $(\pi(A), \pi(\Delta), \pi(S), \pi(e))$  is a Hopf-algebra.

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 4.8 and the fact that  $\pi$  is a functor.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** Let  $A \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{A}_c$ , and let  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow B$  be morphisms. Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are homotopic. Then,  $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha \sim_{\text{eh}} \beta$  and let  $H : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x] \otimes B$  be a homotopy between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then there exists  $\theta \in \Theta(A_G, \mathbb{K}[x]_V)$  such that  $H$  admits  $\theta$ , and hence, for a morphism  $\overline{H}_\theta : \mathfrak{M}\theta \rightarrow B$ , we have  $H = (\text{id} \otimes \overline{H}_\theta)\Upsilon\theta$ . This implies that if  $a \in \pi(A)$  then  $\alpha(a) = \beta(a)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following is a direct corollary of Lemma 4.12. The proof is omitted.

**Theorem 4.13.** The functor  $\pi : \mathbf{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_c$  is homotopy invariant, i.e. if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are homotopic morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}_c$  then  $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$ .

The following is a corollary of Theorem 4.13.

**Corollary 4.14.** For any  $A \in \mathbf{A}_c$  and every  $m$ , there exists a natural isomorphism:

$$\pi(A[X_m]) \cong \pi(A)$$

*Proof.* Let  $B = A[X_m]$  and  $e : A \rightarrow B$  denote the canonical embedding. Let  $p : B \rightarrow A$  be defined by  $X_m \mapsto 0$ . Then  $pe = \text{id}_A$ , and thus  $\pi(p)\pi(e) = \text{id}_{\pi(A)}$ . On the other hand,  $H : B \rightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$  defined by  $x_i \mapsto x_i x$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) is an homotopy between  $ep$  and  $\text{id}_B$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.13,  $\pi(e)\pi(p) = \text{id}_{\pi(B)}$ . Therefore,  $\pi(B) \cong \pi(A)$ .  $\square$

We remark that for any functor  $F$  from an appropriate subcategory  $\mathbf{A}_0$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  to another arbitrary category, the homotopy invariance of  $F$  is equivalent to the existence of a natural isomorphism between  $F(A)$  and  $F(A[x])$  for every  $A \in \mathbf{A}_0$ .

As a corollary of Theorems 3.3 and 4.13,  $\pi\mathfrak{M}$  may be considered as a functor:

$$\pi\mathfrak{M} : \text{Hot}\mathbf{A} \times \text{Hot}\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{pro}\mathbf{A}_c \quad (2)$$

The functor (2) is a dual for (1) in Section 3.

**Theorem 4.15.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$ . The assignment  $\alpha \mapsto \pi(\bar{\alpha}_{A,B})$  from  $\text{M}(A, B)$  to the  $\mathbb{K}$ -points of  $\pi\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$  induces a well-defined mapping:

$$[A, B]_{\text{h}} \rightarrow \text{Pnt}(\pi\mathfrak{M}(A, B)) \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{M}(A, B)$  and suppose that  $\alpha \sim_{\text{h}} \beta$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\bar{\alpha}_{A,B} \sim_{\text{h}} \bar{\beta}_{A,B}$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.12,  $\pi(\bar{\alpha}_{A,B}) = \pi(\bar{\beta}_{A,B})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

If the mapping (3) is one-to-one and surjective, then the set  $[A, B]_{\text{h}}$  has the structure of ind affine-scheme  $\mathfrak{s}\pi\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ . We mention the following problem.

**Problem 4.16.** Give some relevant conditions on  $A$  and  $B$  under which the mapping (3) is injective or surjective.

The idea of the proof of the following lemma is due to Gersten [5, Lemma 1.3].

**Lemma 4.17.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $A, B$  be algebras and let  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(B)$  be two morphisms such that there exists a matrix  $W \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$  with the property that  $\beta(a) = W^{-1}\alpha(a)W$  for every  $a \in A$ . Then,  $\alpha \sim_{\text{eh}} \beta$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\det(W) = 1$ . Then,  $W$  is a product of shear matrixes of the form:  $W = \prod_{i \neq j} E_{ij}(\lambda_{ij})$  where  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$ . Note that  $W^{-1}$  is the product of  $E_{i,j}(-\lambda_{ij})$  with the reverse order. Let  $W(x)$  denote the matrix  $\prod_{i \neq j} E_{ij}(\lambda_{ij}x)$  with entries in  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ . Then, the assignment

$$a \mapsto W^{-1}(x)\alpha(a)W(x), \quad (a \in A)$$

defines an homotopy between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If  $\det(W) \neq 1$  then we may replace the matrix  $W$  by  $W' = \lambda^{-1}W$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  is such that  $\lambda^n = \det(W)$ .  $\square$

The following result is based on an idea of Gersten [5, Proposition 1.2]. See also [10] for a related discussion. (For an algebra  $A$ , any morphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$  is called a finite dimensional representation of  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{Rep}_{\text{fnt}}(A)$  the set of conjugacy classes of such representations of  $A$ .)

**Theorem 4.18.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed and let  $A$  be a finitely generated algebra. We have a chain of two canonical mappings:

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fnt}}(A) \rightarrow [A, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})]_{\text{h}} \rightarrow \text{Pnt}(\pi\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})))$$

The first mapping is surjective and transforms the conjugacy class of a representation  $\alpha$  to the homotopy equivalence class of  $\alpha$ . The second mapping is given by (3).

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$  be conjugate representations. Then, there is a  $M \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$  such that  $\alpha(a) = M^{-1}\beta(a)M$  for every  $a \in A$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.17,  $\alpha \sim_{\text{h}} \beta$ . Also, since  $A$  is finitely generated, any morphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(A)$  reduces to a finite dimensional representation. The proof is complete.  $\square$

We saw in Remark 3.5 that how the concept of classical algebraic homotopy may interact with functorially-defined  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes. Now, we give an analogous little remark for the functor  $\pi$

**Remark 4.19.** If  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a pre-sheaf of algebras on a topological space  $X$  then it is clear that the assignment  $U \mapsto \pi\mathcal{O}(U)$  ( $U$  open in  $X$ ) is also defines a pre-sheaf  $\pi(X, \mathcal{O})$  on  $X$ . Moreover,  $\pi$  may be considered as a functor on the category of pre-sheaves in the obvious way. Composition of  $\pi$  with sheafification functor gives rise to a functor  $\hat{\pi}$  on the category of sheaves. So, if  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is an ordinary geometrically-defined  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme, we have a candidate  $\hat{\pi}(X, \mathcal{O})$  for ‘space of path-connected components’ of  $(X, \mathcal{O})$ . I do not know under which conditions  $\hat{\pi}(X, \mathcal{O})$  is itself a  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme.

We end this section by a natural question:

**Question 4.20.** Does there exist a model structure on  $\mathbf{A}$  as in [4, 9] such that its weak equivalences to be the algebra morphisms  $f$  such that  $\pi(f)$  is an isomorphism.

## 5 K-Theory and the functors $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\pi$

Throughout this section, our ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  is supposed to be algebraically closed. Let  $B$  be a unital algebra. The usual K-group  $\mathcal{K}_0(B)$  of  $B$  is the Grothendieck group of the abelian semigroup  $\mathcal{S}(B)$  of all isomorphisms classes of finitely generated projective modules on  $B$  together with the direct sum as semigroup operation. The semigroup  $\mathcal{S}(B)$  may be identified by the set of all conjugate classes of idempotents of  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)$  under the conjugate action of  $\text{GL}_{\infty}(B)$ . The set of idempotents of  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)$  is identified with the morphism-set  $\text{M}(\mathbb{K}, B)$ . On the other hand, Gersten [5, Lemma 1.8] has shown that if two idempotents of  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)$  are conjugate then, as morphisms  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B)$ , they are algebraically homotopic. Thus, By Theorem 4.15, they define same  $\mathbb{K}$ -points of the pro-algebra  $\pi\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}(B))$ . This suggests

that may be  $\pi\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_\infty(B))$  is a good candidate for the dual object of  $\mathcal{S}(B)$ . In the following we show that this is the case provided that we fix in some way the structure of pro-algebra  $\pi\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_\infty(B))$ . Moreover, we shall see that  $\mathbb{K}$  may be replaced by any arbitrary algebra  $A$  and also  $B$  does not need to be unital. By analogy with bivariant K-theory [2], we denote the resulting object associated to  $A$  and  $B$  by  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$ .

Let us begin with some notations: Let  $A, B$  be arbitrary algebras. For natural numbers  $n, m$  with  $n \leq m$ , we denote by  $\phi_{n,m}^B$  the canonical embedding  $\mathcal{M}_n(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m(B)$ . Similarly,  $\phi_{n,\infty}^B : \mathcal{M}_n(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$  denotes the canonical embedding. We also denote the pro-morphism  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \phi_{n,m}^B)$  from  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_m(B))$  to  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_n(B))$  with  $g_{n,m}^{A,B}$ . Similarly,  $g_{n,\infty}^{A,B}$  is defined. We have a pro pro-algebra with components  $\{\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_n(B))\}_{n \geq 1}$  and structural pro-morphisms  $\{g_{n,m}^{A,B}\}_{m \geq n}$ .

Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$  be arbitrary algebras. We let

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, B) := \pi\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_n(B)), \quad \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, B) := \pi\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_\infty(B)).$$

If  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A', \beta : B' \rightarrow B$  are morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined to be the pro-morphism  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(\alpha, \mathcal{M}_n(\beta))$ . The pro-morphism  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined similarly. Then,  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty$  may be considered as functors

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n, \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{proA}_{\text{uc}}.$$

For  $n \leq m$ ,  $\pi\mathfrak{r}g_{n,m}^{A,B+} := \pi\mathfrak{r}(g_{n,m}^{A,B})^+$  is a pro-morphism from  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^m(A, B)$  to  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, B)$ . Similarly, we have the pro-morphism  $\pi\mathfrak{r}g_{n,\infty}^{A,B+}$  from  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, B)$  to  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, B)$ . We let  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  be the pro pro-algebra defined by the families

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B) := (\{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, B)\}_{n \geq 1}, \{\pi\mathfrak{r}g_{n,m}^+\}_{m \geq n})$$

In our dual language,  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  may be called:

‘algebra of functions on the scheme of KK-cycles from  $A$  to  $B$ ’

$\alpha : A \rightarrow A', \beta : B' \rightarrow B$  are morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$ , the family  $\{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(\alpha, \beta)\}_n$  defines a pro pro-morphism denoted by  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta)$ , from  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  to  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A', B')$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  may be considered as a functor

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K} : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{proproA}_{\text{uc}}.$$

Moreover, we have:

**Theorem 5.1.**  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  is homotopy invariant. Thus, we may consider the functor

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K} : \mathbf{HotA} \times \mathbf{HotA}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{proproA}_{\text{uc}}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \alpha' : A \rightarrow A', \beta, \beta' : B' \rightarrow B$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\alpha \sim_h \alpha'$  and  $\beta \sim_h \beta'$ . Thus, we have also  $\mathcal{M}_n(\beta) \sim_h \mathcal{M}_n(\beta')$ . Theorem 3.3 implies  $\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \mathcal{M}_n(\beta)) \sim_h \mathfrak{M}(\alpha', \mathcal{M}_n(\beta'))$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(\alpha, \mathcal{M}_n(\beta)) \sim_h \mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(\alpha', \mathcal{M}_n(\beta'))$ , by Lemma 3.4. Now, it follows from Theorem 4.13 that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(\alpha, \beta) = \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(\alpha', \beta')$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** For any finitely generated algebra  $A$  and any algebra  $B$ ,

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, B) = \varprojlim \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B).$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 2.3. Note that the structural pro-morphisms of the inverse limit are given by the family  $\{\pi_{rg_{n,\infty}^{A,B+}}\}_n$ .  $\square$

The functor  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  has finite matrix stability in limit; that is:

**Theorem 5.3.** For every  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $n$ , there is a natural isomorphism of pro-algebras:

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, \mathcal{M}_n(B)) \cong \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^\infty(A, B).$$

*Proof.* It follows from functoriality of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and isomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_\infty \mathcal{M}_n(B) \cong \mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$ .  $\square$

We need some notations: For every  $k, k' \geq 1$  we denote by  $\psi_{k,k'}^B$  the morphism from  $\mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)$  into  $\mathcal{M}_{k+k'}(B)$  that transforms any pair  $(M, M')$  to the diagonal-block matrix  $(a_{ij})$  which its first block (occurring at  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ ) is  $M$  and its second block (occurring at  $i, j = k+1, \dots, k+k'$ ) is  $M'$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $B$  be an algebra and  $k, k', \ell, \ell' \geq 1$ .

(i) Let  $\alpha = \phi_{k+k', k+k'+\ell+\ell'}^B \psi_{k,k'}^B$  and  $\beta = \psi_{k+\ell, k'+\ell'}^B (\phi_{k,k+\ell}^B \oplus \phi_{k', k'+\ell'}^B)$ . Then,

$$\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+k'+\ell+\ell'}(B)$$

are elementary homotopic.

(ii) Let  $F : \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_k(B)$  denote the flip  $(M, M') \mapsto (M', M)$ . Then, the morphisms

$$\psi_{k,k'}, \psi_{k',k} F : \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+k'}(B)$$

are elementary homotopic.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  switch to each other by using a rearrangement matrix  $W$  i.e. a matrix  $W \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$  such that every of its entries is zero excepts that in every row and every column has exactly one 1. Thus, by Lemma 4.17, (i) is satisfied. The proof of (ii) is similar.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** The family  $\{\psi_{k,k'}^B\}_{k,k'}$  induces a pro pro-morphism

$$\Psi_{A,B} : \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B) \otimes \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$$

which is coassociative and cocommutative in the sense that:

$$(\Psi_{A,B} \otimes \text{id})\Psi_{A,B} = (\text{id} \otimes \Psi_{A,B})\Psi_{A,B} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{A,B} = F\Psi_{A,B},$$

where  $F$  denotes flip between tensor components.

*Proof.* For every  $k, k' \geq 1$ ,  $\psi_{k,k'}^B$  induces the pro-morphism

$$f_{k,k'} = f_{k,k'}^{A,B} = \mathfrak{M}(A, \psi_{k,k'}^B) : \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{k+k'}(B)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)). \quad (4)$$

Then, by functoriality of  $+$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}$ , and  $\pi$ , we have the pro-morphism

$$\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+ : \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k+k'}(B)) \rightarrow \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)).$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have a canonical isomorphism of pro-algebras:

$$\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)) \cong \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \star_c \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)) \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, the canonical pro-morphism

$$\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \star_c \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))$$

induced by the structure of  $\star_c$ , extends uniquely to a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \star_c \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)))^+ \cong \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)) \quad (6)$$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is algebraically closed, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))) \cong \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))$$

Also, by Theorem 4.8, we have equality

$$\pi(\mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))) = \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))$$

By composition of the above isomorphisms, we find the canonical isomorphism

$$\pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)) \cong \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B)) \otimes \pi \mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{M}^+(A, \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B))$$

Thus, we may consider  $\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+$  canonically as a pro-morphism

$$\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+ : \mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}^{k+k'}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}^k(A, B) \otimes \mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}^{k'}(A, B) \quad (7)$$

We want to show that the family  $\{\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+\}_{k,k' \geq 1}$  defines the desired pro pro-morphism  $\Psi_{A,B}$ . For this aim, it is enough to show that the pro-morphisms  $\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+$  commute with the structural pro-morphisms of  $\mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}(A, B)$  and  $\mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}(A, B) \otimes \mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{R}(A, B)$ . That is, for every  $\ell \geq k$  and  $\ell' \geq k'$ :

$$(\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+) (\pi \mathfrak{r} g_{k+k', \ell+\ell'}^+) = [(\pi \mathfrak{r} g_{k,\ell}^+) \otimes (\pi \mathfrak{r} g_{k',\ell'}^+)] (\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{\ell,\ell'}^+) \quad (8)$$

where  $g_{n,m} = g_{n,m}^{A,B}$ . By the functoriality of  $\pi$  and its homotopy invariance (Theorem 4.13), to prove equality (8), it is enough to show that

$$(\mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^+) (\mathfrak{r} g_{k+k', \ell+\ell'}^+) \sim_h [(\mathfrak{r} g_{k,\ell}^+) \otimes (\mathfrak{r} g_{k',\ell'}^+)] (\mathfrak{r} f_{\ell,\ell'}^+) \quad (9)$$

Similarly, by functoriality of  $\mathfrak{r}$ , to show (9) it is enough to show that

$$f_{k,k'}^+ g_{k+k', \ell+\ell'}^+ \sim_h (g_{k,\ell}^+ \otimes g_{k',\ell'}^+) f_{\ell,\ell'}^+ \quad (10)$$

Now, (10) follows from Lemma 5.4(i) and the canonical isomorphism (6).

The coassociativity of  $\Psi_{A,B}$  follows from the fact that the morphisms

$$\psi_{k+k',k''}^B (\psi_{k,k'}^B \oplus \text{id}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{k,k'+k''}^B (\text{id} \oplus \psi_{k',k''}^B)$$

from  $\mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k''}(B)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{k+k'+k''}(B)$  are identical. The cocommutativity of  $\Psi_{A,B}$  follows from Lemma 5.4(ii).  $\square$



For any algebra  $A$ , the attached unit of  $A^+ = A \oplus \mathbb{K}$  defines a morphism  $(a, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda$  from  $A^+$  to  $\mathbb{K}$ . This is also satisfied for any pro-algebra and pro pro-algebra.

**Proposition 5.6.** The attached unit of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  defines a pro pro-morphism

$$\epsilon_{A,B} : \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$$

satisfying counit properties:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \epsilon_{A,B})\Psi_{A,B} = \text{id} = (\epsilon_{A,B} \otimes \text{id})\Psi_{A,B}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : \mathcal{M}_k(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(B)$  be defined by  $M \mapsto (M, 0)$ . Then,  $\psi_{k,k'}^B \alpha$  is equal to  $\phi_{k,k+k'}^B$ . Thus, by notations of the proof of Proposition 5.5,  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \alpha) f_{k,k'} = g_{k,k+k'}$ . Using the identification (5), we find that  $(\text{id} \star_c 0) f_{k,k'} = g_{k,k+k'}$ . It is not hard to see that this latter identity implies that  $(\text{id} \otimes \epsilon_{A,B})\Psi_{A,B} = \text{id}$ . The second identity follows from the first and Lemma 5.4(ii).  $\square$

We denote by **PBA** the category of commutative cocommutative pro pro-bialgebras. An object of **PBA** is a pro pro-algebra  $A \in \mathbf{proproA}_{\text{uc}}$  together with two pro morphisms  $\psi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  satisfying conditions similar to Propositions 5.5 and 5.6. A morphism  $\Gamma : (A, \psi, \alpha) \rightarrow (B, \phi, \beta)$  in **PBA** is a morphism in  $\mathbf{proA}_{\text{u}}$  which commutes with comultiplications and counits, i.e.  $\phi\Gamma = (\Gamma \otimes \Gamma)\psi$  and  $\beta\Gamma = \alpha$ .

By Propositions 5.5 and 5.6,  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  belongs to **PBA**. Moreover,

**Theorem 5.7.**  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  may be considered as a functor

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K} : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{PBA}, \quad (A, B) \mapsto (\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B), \Psi_{A,B}, \epsilon_{A,B})$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A'$  and  $\beta : B' \rightarrow B$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$ . To show that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta)$  preserves comultiplications of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A', B')$  we must prove that

$$(\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta))\Psi_{A,B} = \Psi_{A',B'}\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta)$$

For this aim, by (7) it is enough to show that for every  $k, k'$ :

$$(\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^k(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^{k'}(\alpha, \beta))(\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^{A,B+}) = (\pi \mathfrak{r} f_{k,k'}^{A',B'+})\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^{k+k'}(\alpha, \beta) \quad (11)$$

Using the definitions of  $f_{k,k'}^{A,B}$  and  $f_{k,k'}^{A',B'}$  given by (4), (11) is equivalent to

$$\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \mathcal{M}_k(\beta) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k'}(\beta))\mathfrak{M}(A, \psi_{k,k'}^B) = \mathfrak{M}(A', \psi_{k,k'}^{B'})\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \mathcal{M}_{k+k'}(\beta)) \quad (12)$$

But (12) follows immediately from functoriality of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Similarly, it is proved that  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\alpha, \beta)$  preserves the counits. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Our bivariant K-theory has a Kasparov composition:

**Theorem 5.8.** There exists a natural transformation  $\Delta$  that associates to every three algebras  $A, B, C$  a pro pro-morphism

$$\Delta_B^{A,C} : \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, C) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(B, C) \otimes \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B),$$

satisfying two identities:

$$(i) (\Psi_{A,B} \otimes \text{id})\Delta_B^{A,C} = (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \mu_{A,B})(\text{id} \otimes F \otimes \text{id})(\Delta_B^{A,C} \otimes \Delta_B^{A,C})\Psi_{A,C}$$

$$(ii) (\text{id} \otimes \Psi_{B,C})\Delta_B^{A,C} = (\mu_{B,C} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes F \otimes \text{id})(\Delta_B^{A,C} \otimes \Delta_B^{A,C})\Psi_{A,C}$$

where the pro pro-morphisms  $\mu_{A,B}$  and  $\mu_{B,C}$  denote respectively the multiplications of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(B, C)$ , and  $F$  denotes flip between two tensor components.

*Proof.* For algebras  $A, B$  and any number  $k$ , let  $\Upsilon_k^{A,B}$  denote the pro-morphism  $\Upsilon(A, \mathcal{M}_k(B))$ . Similarly, we have the pro-morphisms  $\Upsilon_k^{A,C}, \Upsilon_k^{B,C}$ .

By the universal property of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , for every  $m, n$ , there is a unique pro-morphism

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m} : \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_{nm}(C)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(B, \mathcal{M}_n(C)) \otimes \mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_m(B))$$

satisfying

$$(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{nm}(C)} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_{n,m})\Upsilon_{nm}^{A,C} = (\mathcal{M}_m(\Upsilon_n^{B,C}) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_m(B))})\Upsilon_m^{A,B}.$$

For  $n \leq n', m \leq m'$ , we show that:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m}g_{nm,n'm'}^{A,C} \sim_h (g_{n,n'}^{B,C} \otimes g_{m,m'}^{A,B})\tilde{\Delta}_{n',m'} \quad (13)$$

The left hand side of (13) is the unique pro-morphism satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi_{nm,n'm'}^C \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{M}_m(\Upsilon_n^{B,C}) \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon_m^{A,B} \\ & = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{n'm'}(C)} \otimes [\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m}g_{nm,n'm'}^{A,C}])\Upsilon_{n'm'} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The right hand side of (13) is the unique pro-morphism satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{n'm'}(C)} \otimes g_{n,n'}^{B,C} \otimes g_{m,m'}^{A,B})(\mathcal{M}_{m'}(\Upsilon_{n'}^{B,C}) \otimes \text{id})\Upsilon_{m'}^{A,B} \\ & = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{n'm'}(C)} \otimes [(g_{n,n'}^{B,C} \otimes g_{m,m'}^{A,B})\tilde{\Delta}_{n',m'}])\Upsilon_{n'm'} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is not hard to see that the left hand sides of (14) and (15) switch to each other through a rearrangement matrix; thus by Lemma 4.17, those pro-morphisms are homotopic. Hence, Lemma 3.1 shows that (13) is satisfied. Now, (13) implies that

$$(\pi\mathfrak{r}\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m}^+) (\pi\mathfrak{r}g_{nm,n'm'}^{A,C+}) = (\pi\mathfrak{r}g_{n,n'}^{B,C+} \otimes \pi\mathfrak{r}g_{m,m'}^{A,B+}) (\pi\mathfrak{r}\tilde{\Delta}_{n',m'}^+) \quad (16)$$

We let  $\Delta_B^{A,C}$  be defined by the family  $\{\pi\mathfrak{r}\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m}^+\}_{n,m}$  of pro-morphisms. The identity (16) shows that  $\Delta_B^{A,C}$  is well-defined. Naturality of  $\Delta$  follows from functoriality of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ .

(i) and (ii) are proved by using the above method of reducing identities in terms of pro pro-structure of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$  to identities in terms of pro-structure of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n$ 's, and the fact that the diagonal morphisms  $\mathcal{M}_n(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(B) \oplus \mathcal{M}_n(B)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(C) \oplus \mathcal{M}_n(C)$  induce respectively through the functors  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \cdot)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(B, \cdot)$  the multiplications of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, B)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(B, C)$ .  $\square$

The proof of the following is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.5, and is omitted.

**Theorem 5.9.** For every  $A, B, B' \in \mathbf{A}$ , we have a natural isomorphism in **PBA**:

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B \oplus B') \cong \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B) \otimes \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B')$$

The following may be called ‘exponential law’ for  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** For every  $A, B, B' \in \mathbf{A}$ , we have a natural isomorphism in **PBA**:

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \varprojlim \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B')$$

(Here, the inverse limit is taken over the indexes of  $\mathfrak{M}(A, B)$ .) In particular, if  $B$  is a finite dimensional algebra there is a natural isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B')$$

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 2.2 and functoriality of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ .  $\square$

For any object  $(A, \psi, \alpha) \in \mathbf{PBA}$ , the set  $\text{Pnt}(A)$  has a canonical abelian monoid structure: For  $\mathbb{K}$ -points  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \text{Pnt}(A)$ , let  $\gamma * \gamma' \in \text{Pnt}(A)$  be defined by  $(\gamma \otimes \gamma')\psi$  where  $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  are identified through the ordinary multiplication of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then,  $(\text{Pnt}(A), *)$  is an abelian monoid with unit  $\alpha$ . This monoid has a ind ind affine-scheme structure  $\mathfrak{s}A$ . We may also form the Grothendieck group  $\text{GrPnt}(A)$ . Thus, if  $\mathbf{Ab}$  denotes the category of abelian groups, then we may consider the functor

$$\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}} : \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab} \quad (A, B) \mapsto \text{GrPnt}(\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, B))$$

We know that  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}$  is homotopy invariant and has a bilinear composition

$$\overline{\Delta} : \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, B) \times \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(B, C) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, C)$$

induced by the natural transformation  $\Delta$ . Also, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, B \oplus B') \cong \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, B) \times \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, B')$$

and in the case that  $B$  is finite dimensional, a natural isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, B \otimes B') \cong \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathfrak{M}(A, B), B').$$

Let  $B$  be a unital algebra, and let  $\mathcal{S}(B)$  denote the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective (left) modules on  $B$ , or equivalently, the abelian monoid of conjugacy classes of idempotents in  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$  under conjugacy action of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_\infty(B)$ , together with the semigroup operation  $[p] + [q] := [p \oplus q]$  where  $p \oplus q$  denotes the idempotent  $\psi_{m,n}^B(p, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{m+n}$  for idempotents  $p \in \mathcal{M}_m(B), q \in \mathcal{M}_n(B)$ . Thus, the usual K-group of  $B$  of order zero is  $\mathcal{K}_0(B) := \text{Gr}\mathcal{S}(B)$ . Let  $[p] \in \mathcal{S}(B)$  and suppose that  $p \in \mathcal{M}_m(B) \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$ . The assignment  $1 \mapsto p$  defines a morphism  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m(B)$ . Then,  $\tilde{p}$  induces the  $\mathbb{K}$ -point  $\overline{p} := \overline{p}_{\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_m}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_m(B))$ . Now,  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\overline{p}^+$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M}_m(B)) = \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^m(\mathbb{K}, B)$ , that may be obviously considered also as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{K}, B)$ . If  $r$  is a idempotent in  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$  such that  $[p] = [r]$ , then by [5, Lemma 1.8],  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{r}$  as morphisms from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_k(B)$  for some large enough  $k$ , are homotopic. Thus,

by Lemma 3.1,  $\bar{p} \sim_h \bar{r}$ , and then by Lemma 4.12,  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+ = \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{r}^+$ . Therefore, the assignment  $[p] \mapsto \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+$  from  $\mathcal{S}(B)$  into  $\text{Pnt}(\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{K}, B))$  is well-defined. Also, it is not hard to check that for idempotent  $s = \psi_{m,n}^B(p, q)$  we have

$$\pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{s}^+ = (\pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+ \otimes \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+) \Psi_{\mathbb{K}, B}$$

This shows that the assignment  $[p] \mapsto \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+$  preserves the semigroup operations. Also, it is clear under this assignment the idempotent 0 in  $\mathcal{M}_\infty(B)$  transforms to the  $\mathbb{K}$ -point  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{K}, B}$ . Hence, we have proved that:

**Theorem 5.11.** For any unital algebra  $B$  there is a natural homomorphism of groups:

$$\mathcal{K}_0(B) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, B) \quad (17)$$

defined by the assignment  $[p] \mapsto \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{p}^+$  as above.

We do not know for which algebras  $B$ , (17) is injective or surjective. See Problem 4.16.

Now, suppose that  $A$  is an arbitrary algebra and  $[\alpha] \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fmt}}(A)$ . Thus, for some  $n$ ,  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$  is a morphism. Then, if  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes the canonical  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{M}(A, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K}))$  associated to  $\alpha$ , then,  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{\alpha}^+$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}^n(A, \mathbb{K})$  and hence of  $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}(A, \mathbb{K})$ . As we saw in Theorem 4.18, if  $[\alpha] = [\beta]$  then  $\pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{\alpha}^+ = \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{\beta}^+$ . Hence, it was shown that:

**Theorem 5.12.** For any algebra  $A$  there is a natural mapping of sets:

$$\text{Rep}_{\text{fmt}}(A) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, \mathbb{K})$$

defined by the assignment  $[\alpha] \mapsto \pi\mathfrak{r}\bar{\alpha}^+$  as above.

In comparison with bivariant K-theories of operator algebras, any member of  $\text{Rep}_{\text{fmt}}(A)$  may be considered as a type of odd Fredholm module on  $A$ , and the abelian group  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(A, \mathbb{K})$  as a type of K-homology group for  $A$ .

At the end of this note, we show that our bivariant K-theory is not trivial. For this aim it is enough to find a nontrivial member of  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ :

For every  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $e_{ij} \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$  denote the canonical matrix which its  $ij$ 'th entry is one and every other its entry is zero. We know that  $A = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{M})$  is the algebra generated by the family  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j}$  of its elements subject to the condition that the assignment  $1 \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}$  defines a morphism from  $\mathbb{K}$  into  $\mathcal{M} \otimes A$ . Let  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  denote the polynomial algebra in  $n^2$  indeterminates  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ . Thus, there is an ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  such that the assignments

$$a_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij} + I \quad \text{and} \quad 1^+ \mapsto 1 + I$$

define an isomorphism from  $A^+$  onto  $\mathbb{K}[X]/I$ . Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$  denote the zero-locus of  $I$ . If  $(z_{ij})_{i,j} \in Z$  then the assignment  $a_{i,j} \mapsto z_{ij}$  defines a  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $A^+$  and viceversa, that is every  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $A^+$  is constructed from an element of  $Z$ . Thus, by the one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{K}$ -points of  $A$  and morphisms  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , if  $(z_{ij})_{i,j} \in Z$  then  $z_{11}$  must be 0 or 1. Hence, Hilbert's Nullstellensatz implies that  $x_{11}^2 - x_{11} \in \sqrt{I}$ .

This shows that  $a_{11}$  is an idempotent in  $\mathfrak{r}A^+$  which is different from  $1^+$  and  $0$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.2,  $a_{11}$  is also a nontrivial idempotent of  $\pi\mathfrak{r}A^+ = \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}^n(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ . Hence, the  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}^n(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$  defined by

$$z_{11} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad ij \neq 11$$

and the trivial  $\mathbb{K}$ -point of  $\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}^n(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$  defined by  $z_{ij} = 0$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) are different. This also show that the homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$  of (17) is injective. I do not know if this homomorphism is surjective.

## References

- [1] M. Artin, B. Mazur, Etale homotopy, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 100, SpringerVerlag, 1969.
- [2] G. Cortiñas, A. Thom, Bivariant algebraic K-theory, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), no. 610 (2007): 71–123. (arXiv:math/0603531 [math.KT])
- [3] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, Introduction to algebraic geometry and algebraic groups, Vol. 39. Elsevier, 1980.
- [4] G. Garkusha, Homotopy theory of associative rings, Advances in Mathematics 213, no. 2 (2007): 553–599. (arXiv:math/0608482 [math.KT])
- [5] S.M. Gersten, Homotopy theory of rings, Journal of Algebra 19, no. 3 (1971): 396–415.
- [6] J.F. Jardine, Algebraic homotopy theory, groups, and K-theory, Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia, 1981.
- [7] M. Kontsevich, A.L. Rosenberg, Noncommutative smooth spaces, In the Gelfand mathematical seminars, 1996-1999, pp. 85–108, Birkhuser Boston, 2000. (arXiv:math/9812158 [math.AG])
- [8] J.S. Milne, Algebraic groups: The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field, Vol. 170, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [9] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky,  $A^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS 90 (1999): 45–143.
- [10] K. Morrison, The scheme of finite-dimensional representations of an algebra, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 91 no. 1 (1980): 199–218.
- [11] N.C. Phillips, Inverse limits of  $C^*$ -algebras and applications, Operator algebras and applications 1 (1988): 127–185.
- [12] N.C. Phillips, Classifying algebras for the K-theory of  $\sigma$ - $C^*$ -algebras, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 41 no. 6 (1989): 1021–1089.
- [13] M.M. Sadr, On the quantum groups and semigroups of maps between noncommutative spaces, Czechoslovak Math. J. 67 no. 1 (2017): 97–121. (arXiv:1506.06518 [math.QA])
- [14] M.M. Sadr, On the structure of noncommutative mapping schemes, preprint. (arXiv:1907.09969 [math.AG])
- [15] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties, Inventiones mathematicae 123 no. 1 (1996): 61–94.