

Tilting complexes for group graded self-injective algebras

ANDREI MARCUS^a and SHENGYONG PAN^{b,*}

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Babeş-Bolyai University,
Cluj-Napoca, Romania
E-mail: marcus@math.ubbcluj.ro

^b Department of Mathematics,
Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044,
People's Republic of China
E-mail: shypan@bjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

We construct derived equivalences between group graded self-injective algebras, starting from equivalences between their 1-components, obtained via a construction of J. Rickard and S. Al-Nofayee.

1 Introduction

Construction of tilting complexes for group graded algebras was primarily motivated by the problem of finding reduction methods for Broué's Abelian Defect Group Conjecture. In [6] two-sided tilting complexes are discussed, while in [7], Okuyama's work prompted the need for one-sided group graded tilting complexes. The paper [8] starts from a method, due to Rickard [11], to lift stable equivalences to derived equivalences by characterizing objects that correspond to simple modules. The context in [11] is that of symmetric algebras. This result has been generalized to self-injective algebras by Al-Nofayee [2], and then further extended by Rickard and Rouquier [12].

In this paper we obtain group graded derived equivalences between self-injective algebras starting from the main results of [2] and [12]. Thus we generalize here the main result of [8], and for this, we rely on the properties of the Nakayama functor in the group graded setting. In Section 2 we recall the definition and characterization of group graded tilting complexes, and we point out that it is no need to assume the finiteness of the group G . Our main results in Section 3 are group graded versions of [2, Theorem 4] and of [12, Theorem 3.9]. One of the applications in Section 4 is the combination of these results with Okuyama's strategy to lift stable equivalences. Another application is related to a construction of tilting complexes by Abe and Hoshino [1].

* Corresponding author. Email: shypan@bjtu.edu.cn

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18E30,16G10;16S10,18G15.

Keywords: self-injective algebra, graded derived equivalence, strongly graded algebra.

In this paper, rings are associative with identity, and modules are left, unless otherwise specified. We denote by $A\text{-Mod}$ the category of left A -modules, and by $A\text{-mod}$ its full category consisting of finitely generated A -modules. If X is an object of an additive category \mathcal{A} , $\text{add}(X)$ denotes the full subcategory of \mathcal{A} whose objects are direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of X . The notations $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ stand for the (unbounded) homotopy, respectively derived category of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . We freely use basic facts from [6], [7], [8].

2 Preliminaries

Let k be a commutative ring and G be a group (not necessarily finite). Suppose that $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ and $S = \bigoplus_{g \in G} S_g$ are G -graded k -algebras such that R is k -flat. Throughout we denote by $A = R_1$ and $B = S_1$ the identity components of R and S , respectively. We denote by $R\text{-Gr}$ the category of G -graded R -modules, and by $R\text{-gr}$ the category of finitely generated G -graded R -modules.

2.1. The group G acts on G -graded R -modules $M \in R\text{-Gr}$ by letting $M(g) = \bigoplus_{h \in G} M(g)_h$ be the g -suspension of M , where $M(g)_h = M_{hg}$ for all $g, h \in G$. If R is strongly graded, then G acts on A -modules $X \in A\text{-Mod}$ by conjugation $X \mapsto R_g \otimes_A X$. Note that $(R \otimes_A X)(g)$ is naturally isomorphic to $R \otimes_A (R_g \otimes_A X)$ in $R\text{-Gr}$.

2.2. A G -graded (R, S) -bimodule M can be regarded as an $R \otimes S^{\text{op}}$ -module graded by the $G \times G$ -set $G \times G/\delta(G)$, where $\delta(G)$ is the diagonal subgroup of $G \times G$, with 1-component M_1 a module over the diagonal subalgebra

$$\Delta(R \otimes S^{\text{op}}) := (R \otimes S^{\text{op}})_{\delta(G)} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g \otimes S_{g^{-1}}.$$

If R and S are strongly graded, then M and $(R \otimes S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta(R \otimes S^{\text{op}})} M_1$ are naturally isomorphic G -graded (R, S) -bimodules.

2.3. Recall that an object \tilde{T} of $\mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called a G -graded tilting complex if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\tilde{T} \in R\text{-grperf}$; this means that, regarded as a complex of R -modules, $\tilde{T} \in R\text{-perf}$, that is \tilde{T} is bounded, and its terms are finitely generated projective R -modules.
- (ii) $\bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}(g)[n]) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.
- (iii) $\text{add}\{\tilde{T}(g) \mid g \in G\}$ generates $R\text{-grperf}$ as a triangulated category.

The following result was proved in [7, Theorem 2.4] and [6, Theorem 4.7], based on Keller's approach [4], but note that the assumption that G is finite is not needed.

Theorem 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a G -graded tilting complex $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ and an isomorphism $S \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$ of G -graded algebras.*

(2) There is a complex \tilde{U} of G -graded (R, S) -bimodules such that the functor

$$\tilde{U} \otimes_S^{\mathbf{L}} - : \mathcal{D}(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$$

is an equivalence.

(3) There are equivalences

$$F : \mathcal{D}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(S) \quad \text{and} \quad F^{\text{gr}} : \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr})$$

of triangulated categories such that F^{gr} is G -graded functor and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr}) & \xrightarrow{F^{\text{gr}}} & \mathcal{D}(S\text{-Gr}) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{U} & & \downarrow \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{D}(R) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D}(S), \end{array}$$

is commutative.

(4) There are equivalences

$$F_{\text{perf}} : \mathcal{D}(R\text{-perf}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(S\text{-perf}) \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\text{perf}}^{\text{gr}} : \mathcal{D}(R\text{-grperf}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(S\text{-grperf})$$

of triangulated categories such that $F_{\text{perf}}^{\text{gr}}$ is G -graded functor and $\mathcal{U} \circ F_{\text{perf}}^{\text{gr}} = F_{\text{perf}} \circ \mathcal{U}$.

(5) (provided that R and S are strongly graded) There are (bounded) complexes U of $\Delta(R \otimes S^{\text{op}})$ modules and V of $\Delta(S \otimes R^{\text{op}})$ -modules, and isomorphisms $U \otimes_B^{\mathbf{L}} V \simeq A$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(R \otimes R^{\text{op}}))$ and $V \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} U \simeq B$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Delta(S \otimes S^{\text{op}}))$.

Proof. We have an isomorphism $S \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$ of G -graded algebras for any group G , because \tilde{T} is bounded, and each component of \tilde{T} is finitely generated. It follows that the proofs of [7, Theorem 2.4] and [6, Theorem 4.7] carry over to the general situation. \square

2.5. A complex $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is called G -invariant if $\tilde{T}(g) \simeq \tilde{T}$ in $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ for all $g \in G$. More generally, \tilde{T} is called *weakly G -invariant* if $\tilde{T}(g) \in \text{add}(\tilde{T})$ in $\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ for all $g \in G$.

If R is strongly G -graded, then a complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(A)$ is called G -invariant if $R_g \otimes_A T \simeq T$ in $\mathcal{H}(A)$ for all $g \in G$, and T is called *weakly G -invariant* if $R_g \otimes_A T \in \text{add}(T)$ in $\mathcal{H}(A)$ for all $g \in G$.

Note that if R is strongly G -graded, then the functor $R \otimes_A - : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Gr}$ is an equivalence, hence a complex $T \in \mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})$ is G -invariant (weakly G -invariant) if and only if its identity component $T \in \mathcal{H}(A)$ is G -invariant (weakly G -invariant).

The following statement is also true for arbitrary G . It is essentially proved in [8, Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2], but for convenience, we include a proof here.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that R is strongly G -graded. Let \tilde{T} be a weakly G -invariant object in $\mathcal{H}^b(R\text{-Gr})$. Denote by T the identity component of \tilde{T} , and let $S = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$. Then, T is a tilting complex for A if and only if \tilde{T} is a G -graded tilting complex for R . Moreover, in this case, S is strongly G -graded, and it is a crossed product if and only if \tilde{T} is G -invariant.*

Proof. Since R is strongly graded, the functor $R \otimes_A - : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Gr}$ is an equivalence, and a G -graded R -module is projective in $R\text{-Gr}$ if and only if it is projective in $A\text{-Mod}$. It follows that \tilde{T} is a bounded complex of finitely generated projective R -modules if and only if T is a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A -modules. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R)}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}[m]) \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}[m](g))$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}[m](g)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T, R_g \otimes T[m]).$$

Since \tilde{T} is weakly G -invariant, we have that for $m \neq 0$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T, R_g \otimes T[m]) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}[m](g)) = 0$. If A is in the triangulated subcategory generated by $\text{add}(T)$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$, then R is in the triangulated subcategory generated by $\text{add}(\tilde{T})$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(R\text{-Gr})$. Conversely, if R belongs to the triangulated subcategory generated by $\text{add}(\tilde{T})$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(R\text{-Gr})$, then ${}_A R$ is in the triangulated subcategory generated by $\text{add}({}_A \tilde{T})$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$. Since ${}_A R$ is a finite direct sum of copies of A , and ${}_A \tilde{T}$ is a finite direct sum of copies of T , A is in the triangulated subcategory generated by $\text{add}(T)$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$. The last statement is clear, and also note that for the identity component of S we have the isomorphism

$$S_1 = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(R\text{-Gr})}(\tilde{T}) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(T)^{\text{op}}$$

of k -algebras. □

3 G -graded self-injective algebras

In this section we assume that R is a strongly G -graded algebra over the field k , where G is a finite group, and the identity component $A := R_1$ is a finite dimensional algebra. For simplicity, we also assume that the field k is algebraically closed, but the results below easily generalize to arbitrary fields (see [11, Section 8]).

Proposition 3.1. *Let T be a G -invariant object in $\mathcal{H}(A)$, and denote $\tilde{T} = R \otimes_A T$ and $S = \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(\tilde{T})^{\text{op}}$. If T is a tilting complex for A and A is self-injective, then S is a strongly G -graded self-injective algebra.*

Proof. We know by Proposition 2.6 that \tilde{T} is a G -graded tilting complex for R and that S is strongly G -graded. It is easy to see that R is self-injective if and only if A is self-injective (see, for instance, [6, 5.1]). Finally, self-injectivity is preserved by derived equivalences by [1, Lemmas 1.7 and 1.8] (see also [12, Corollary 3.12]). □

Next we extend S. Al-Nofayee's construction [2] to the case of strongly G -graded algebras.

3.2. If there is a derived equivalence between the self-injective k -algebras A and B , then the set $\mathcal{S} = \{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ of objects corresponding to the simple B -modules, must satisfy the following conditions.

- (a) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j[m]) = 0$ for $m < 0$.
- (b) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_j) = k$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

(c) The objects $X_i, i \in I$, generate $\mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ as a triangulated category.

(d) The Nakayama functor ν permutes the set \mathcal{S} , that is, there is a permutation σ on I such that $\nu(X_i) = X_{\sigma(i)}$.

In order to obtain a graded derived equivalence, we need to consider the conjugation action of G on A -modules. Assume that I is a finite G -set, and that the objects X_i also satisfy the condition:

(e) $R_g \otimes_A X_i \simeq X_{gi}$ for all $g \in G$ and $i \in I$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod}), i \in I$, be objects satisfying conditions 3.2 (a) to (e). There exist bounded complexes $T_i = I_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)$ of finitely generated injective modules, and bounded complexes $T'_i = P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)$ of finitely generated projective modules, such that*

$$\text{Hom}(T_i, X_j[m]) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } m = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases},$$

$$\text{Hom}(X_j[m], T'_i) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } m = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases},$$

and moreover,

$$R_g \otimes_A T_i \simeq T_{gi}, \quad R_g \otimes_A T'_i \simeq T'_{gi},$$

for all $g \in G$ and $i, j \in I$.

Proof. The proof given in [7, Theorem 2.4] is based on [11, Section 5], and it works for self-injective algebras. For convenience, we give a brief proof. Let $g \in G$ and $i \in I$. The construction of the complexes T_i go by induction as follows.

Set $X_i^{(0)} := X_i$, then $R_g \otimes_A X_i^{(0)} = X_{gi}^{(0)}$. By induction on n , we shall construct a sequence

$$X_i^{(0)} \rightarrow X_i^{(1)} \rightarrow X_i^{(2)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_i^{(n)} \rightarrow \dots$$

of objects and maps in $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$. Assuming that $X_i^{(n-1)}$ and $X_{gi}^{(n-1)}$ are constructed such that $R_g \otimes_A X_i^{(n-1)} = X_{gi}^{(n-1)}$, we may construct that $X_i^{(n)}$ and $X_{gi}^{(n)}$ such that $R_g \otimes_A X_i^{(n)} = X_{gi}^{(n)}$ and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_g \otimes_A X_i^{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & X_{gi}^{(n-1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot x \\ R_g \otimes_A X_i^{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & X_{gi}^{(n-1)} \end{array}$$

Finally, let $T_i = \text{hocolim}(X_i^{(n)})$, so it follows that $R_g \otimes_A T_i \simeq T_{gi}$. □

Lemma 3.4. *The permutation induced by the Nakayama functor ν commutes with the conjugation action of G on A -modules.*

Proof. Recall that $\nu = D\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$, where $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$. Since for any $g \in G$, the bimodule R_g induces a Morita auto-equivalence of $A\text{-mod}$ with quasi-inverse $R_{g^{-1}}$, for any $g \in G$ and $i \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(R_g \otimes_A X_i) &\simeq D\text{Hom}_A(R_g \otimes_A X_i, A) \simeq D\text{Hom}_A(X_i, \text{Hom}_A(R_g, A)) \\ &\simeq D\text{Hom}_A(X_i, R_{g^{-1}}) \simeq D(\text{Hom}_A(X_i, A) \otimes_A R_{g^{-1}}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(R_{g^{-1}}, D\text{Hom}_A(X_i, A)) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(R_{g^{-1}}, \nu(X_i)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_A(R_{g^{-1}}, A) \otimes \nu(X_i) \simeq R_g \otimes_A \nu(X_i) \\ &\simeq X_{g\sigma(i)}, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\nu(R_g \otimes_A X_i) \simeq \nu(X_{gi}) \simeq X_{\sigma(gi)},$$

so $\sigma(gi) = g\sigma(i)$ for all $g \in G$ and $i \in I$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a strongly G -graded self-injective algebra with $R_1 = A$, let I be a finite G -set, and let $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, $i \in I$, be objects satisfying conditions 3.2 (a) to (e).*

Then there is another self-injective crossed product G -algebra S , and a G -graded derived equivalence between R and S , whose restriction to A sends X_i , $i \in I$, to the simple S_1 -modules.

Proof. By [2, Lemma 5], there is a tilting complex $T = \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_i$ for A such that

$$\text{Hom}(T_i, X_j[m]) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } \sigma(i) = j \text{ and } m = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows by Lemma 3.4 and by the definition of the homotopy colimit that $\nu(T_i) \simeq T_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ (see [2, Lemma 9]). By Lemma 3.3, the summands T_i can be constructed to satisfy the additional condition

$$R_g \otimes_A T_i \simeq T_{gi}.$$

for all $i \in I$ and $g \in G$. Consequently, T is G -invariant, and Proposition 2.6 applies □

3.6. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$, and let $(\mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{T}^{> 0})$ be the bounded t -structure on \mathcal{T} as in [12, Proposition 3.4]. Denote by \mathcal{A} the heart of this t -structure, and by ${}^t H^0$ the H^0 -functor associated to this t -structure. Then the set of the simple objects of \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{S} . Let $T_i = I_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)$ and $T'_i = P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)$, $i \in I$, be the complexes defined in Lemma 3.3, and let $T' = \bigoplus_{i \in I} T'_i$.

Consider the finite dimensional G -graded DG algebra (see [7, 2.3])

$$S = \text{End}_R^{\bullet}(R \otimes_A \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i))$$

with 1-component

$$B = \text{End}_A^{\bullet}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)) = \bigoplus_m \text{Hom}_A(\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i), \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_{\mathcal{S}}(X_i)[m]).$$

We may now extend [12, Theorem 3.9] to strongly G -graded algebras.

Theorem 3.7. *We have:*

- 1) $H^m(S) = 0$ for $m > 0$ and for $m \ll 0$.
- 2) There is a G -graded derived equivalence $\mathcal{D}^b(S\text{-mod}) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(R\text{-mod})$.
- 3) There is a G -equivalence $H^0(B)\text{-mod} \simeq \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. 1) By [12, Theorem 3.9], we have that $H^m(B) = 0$ for $m > 0$ and for $m \ll 0$. Note that

$$\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A P_S(X_j), R \otimes_A P_S(X_i)[m]) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(P_S(X_j), \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g \otimes_A P_S(X_i)[m]),$$

and, by Lemma 3.3, $\text{Hom}(P_S(X_j), R_g \otimes_A P_S(X_i)[m]) = 0$ for all $i, j \in I$ if and only if $\text{Hom}(P_S(X_j), P_S(X_i)[m]) = 0$ for all $i, j \in I$. Consequently, $H^i(S) = 0$ for $m > 0$ and for $m \ll 0$.

2) We also know that the functor

$$\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_S(X_i), -) : \mathcal{D}^b(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(B)$$

is an equivalence. Since $R \otimes_A - : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Gr}$ is an equivalence, and a G -graded R -module is projective in $R\text{-Gr}$ if and only if it is projective in $A\text{-Mod}$, it is clear that $R_A \otimes_A (\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_S(X_i))$ is perfect object in $\mathcal{D}(R\text{-Gr})$ if and only if $\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_S(X_i)$ is a perfect complex of A -modules. Therefore, we get the G -graded derived equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_R^\bullet(R \otimes_A \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_S(X_i), -) : \mathcal{D}^b(R\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(S\text{-mod}).$$

3) By [12, Theorem 3.9], ${}^t H^0(T')$ is a progenerator for \mathcal{A} with endomorphism algebra $H^0(B)$. As in Lemma 3.3, T' is G -invariant, hence ${}^t H^0(T')$ is also G -invariant, and the statement follows. \square

4 Applications and examples

Okuyama's strategy to lift a stable equivalence to a derived equivalence also generalizes to strongly G -graded self-injective algebras. We assume that k is a field, and here we need to assume in addition that the order of G is invertible in k , that is, the characteristic of k does not divide $|G|$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let R and S be strongly G -graded self-injective algebras. Assume that $|G|$ is invertible in k , and let M be a G -graded R - S -bimodule inducing a stable equivalence of Morita type between R and S .*

If there are objects $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$, $i \in I$, be objects satisfying conditions 3.2 (a) to (e), and such that X_i is stably isomorphic to $M_1 \otimes_B S_i$, for all $i \in I$, then there is a G -graded derived equivalence between R and S .

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 there is a self-injective crossed product R' and a G -graded derived equivalence between R and R' . By [7, Remark 3.4], we obtain a G -graded stable equivalence of Morita type between R and R' . Consequently, we have a stable Morita equivalence between R' and S induced by a G -graded $R' \otimes S^{\text{op}}$ -bimodule M' . Since simple A' -modules are sent to simple B -modules, a theorem

of Linckelmann [5, Theorem 2.1] says that a direct $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ -summand M of M'_1 induces a Morita equivalence between A and B . Since $|G|$ is invertible in k , we have that M is a $\Delta(R' \otimes S^{\text{op}})$ -summand of M'_1 , so by [6, Theorem 3.4], $(R' \otimes S^{\text{op}}) \otimes_{\Delta(R' \otimes S^{\text{op}})} M$ induces a G -graded Morita equivalence between R' and S . By composing this equivalence with the G -graded derived equivalence between R and R' , we obtain a G -graded derived equivalence between R and S . \square

Remark 4.2. By [2, Section 4] and [11, Section 6.3], we must have

$$X_i \simeq \Omega^{n_i}(M_1 \otimes_B S_i)[n_i].$$


Here we only have to verify condition 3.2 (e). But this follows immediately since $R_g \otimes_A M \otimes_B S_{g^{-1}} \simeq M$ as (A, B) -bimodules, and the syzygy functor Ω also commutes with the G -conjugation functor $R_g \otimes_A -$.

Another construction of tilting complexes for self-injective algebras is given in [1, Section 3] in the case of representation-finite algebras. Here we adapt [1, Theorem 3.6] in order to obtain a G -invariant tilting complex, so that Proposition 2.6 can be applied. Here G is not assumed to be finite.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that A is representation-finite. Let P be a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A -modules such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(A)}(P, R_g \otimes_A P[m]) = 0$ for all $m \neq 0$ and $g \in G$, and $\text{add } P = \text{add } \nu P$. Then there exists a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A -modules Q such that $Q \oplus P$ is a G -invariant tilting complex.*

Proof. By adding G -conjugates of P , we may assume that P is G -invariant. The complex P defines a torsion theory $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{T} = {}^\perp H^0(P)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}^\perp$ are invariant under the Nakayama functor ν . Since P is G -invariant, we have that \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F} are also closed under G -conjugation. Let $\{e_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a basic set of orthogonal local idempotents in A . The G -conjugation action of G on A -modules induces a G -set structure on J , such that then the subsets $J_1 = \{j \in J \mid Ae_j \in \mathcal{T}\}$ and $J_2 = \{j \in J \mid Ae_j \in \mathcal{F}\}$ are G -stable. One can easily deduce from these observations and 3.4 that the tilting complex T constructed in [1, Lemma 3.4] and the complex Q from the proof of [1, Theorem 3.6] are G -invariant. \square

Example 4.4. This example is related to [3, Example 9.5]. Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra given by the quiver



with relations

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = 0.$$

Let $P = P_2 \oplus P_3$. Then $\text{add } P = \text{add } \nu(P)$. Then there is a complex

$$Q := 0 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow 0$$

with P_2 in degree 0 such that $P \oplus Q$ is a tilting complex for A , and the endomorphism algebra B of $P \oplus Q$ is the algebra given by the quiver



with relations

$$\alpha_1\beta_1 = \beta_2\alpha_2 = 0, \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_1 = \beta_2\beta_1\beta_2 = \alpha_2\alpha_1 - \beta_1\beta_2 = 0.$$

Moreover, consider the infinite cyclic group $G = \langle g, g^{-1} \mid gg^{-1} = g^{-1}g = 1 \rangle$ acting on A and B as follows: The element g fixes all the vertices and the edge α_1 in A , and $g(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i + \alpha_i\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_{i+2}\alpha_i \pmod{3}$ for $i \neq 1$, while g fixes all the vertices and all α_i in B , and $g(\beta_i) = \beta_i + \beta_i\beta_{i+1}\beta_i \pmod{2}$ for $i \neq 1$. Then the complexes P and Q are G -invariant, and $R \otimes_A (P \oplus Q)$ induces a G -graded derived equivalence between the skew group algebras $R = A * G$ and $S = B * G$.

Example 4.5. Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra given by the quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} 3 \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} 2$$

(see [10, 1.4] and [9, Example (2)]). Let $G = \{1, g\}$, with g acting on A by interchanging vertices 1 and 2, and consider the skew group algebra $R = A * G$. Let $T = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus I_3$. Then $R \otimes_A T$ is a G -graded tilting complex for R .

Acknowledgements. Shengyong Pan is funded by China Scholarship Council.

The authors thank the referee for his/her observations which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] H. ABE and M. HOSHINO, On derived equivalences for selfinjective algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 4441–4452.
- [2] S. AL-NOFAYEE, Equivalences of derived categories for self-injective algebras, *J. Algebra* **313** (2007), 897–904.
- [3] H. ASASHIBA, A generalization of Gabriel’s Galois covering functors and derived equivalences, *J. Algebra* **334** (2011), 109–149.
- [4] B. KELLER, On the construction of triangle equivalences. In: *Derived equivalences for group rings* (Springer-Verlag, 1998), pp. 155–176.
- [5] M. LINCKELMANN, Stable equivalences of Morita type for self-injective algebras and p -groups, *Math. Z.* **223** (1996) 87–100.
- [6] A. MARCUS, Equivalences induced by graded bimodules, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 713–731.
- [7] A. MARCUS, Tilting complexes for group graded algebras, *Journal of Group Theory* **6** (2003), 175–193.
- [8] A. MARCUS, Tilting complexes for group graded algebras II, *Osaka J. Math.* **42** (2005), no.2, 453–462.
- [9] J. I. MIYACHI, Extensions of rings and tilting complexes, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **105** (1995), 183–194.
- [10] I. REITEN and C. RIEDTMANN, Skew group algebras in the representation theory of Artin algebras, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985), 224–282.
- [11] J. RICKARD, Equivalences of derived categories for symmetric algebras, *J. Algebra* **257** (2002), 460–481.
- [12] J. RICKARD and R. ROUQUIER, Stable categories and reconstruction, *J. Algebra* **475** (2017), 287–307.