

ON HALF-FACTORIALITY OF TRANSFER KRULL MONOIDS

WEIDONG GAO, CHAO LIU, SALVATORE TRINGALI, AND QINGHAI ZHONG

ABSTRACT. Let H be a transfer Krull monoid over a subset G_0 of an abelian group G with finite exponent. Then every non-unit $a \in H$ can be written as a finite product of atoms, say $a = u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_k$. The set $L(a)$ of all possible factorization lengths k is called the set of lengths of a , and H is said to be half-factorial if $|L(a)| = 1$ for all $a \in H$.

We show that, if $a \in H$ and $|L(a^{\lfloor (3 \exp(G)-3)/2 \rfloor})| = 1$, then the smallest divisor-closed submonoid of H containing a is half-factorial. In addition, we prove that, if G_0 is finite and $|L(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2^{\text{ord}(g)}})| = 1$, then H is half-factorial.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a monoid. If an element $a \in H$ has a factorization $a = u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_1, \dots, u_k$ are atoms of H , then k is called a factorization length of a , and the set $L(a)$ of all possible k is referred to as the set of lengths of a . The monoid H is said to be half-factorial (half-factorial) if $|L(a)| = 1$ for every $a \in H$. Half-factoriality has been a central topic in factorization theory since the early days of this field (e.g., see [3, 4, 15, 19, 5, 11, 16]).

Given $a \in H$, let $\llbracket a \rrbracket = \{b \in H \mid b \text{ divides some power of } a\}$ be the smallest divisor-closed submonoid of H containing a . Then $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is half-factorial if and only if $|L(a^n)| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and H is half-factorial if and only if $\llbracket c \rrbracket$ is half-factorial for every $c \in H$. It is thus natural to ask:

Does there exist an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if $a \in H$ and $|L(a^N)| = 1$, then $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is half-factorial? (Note that, if $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is half-factorial for some $a \in H$, then of course $|L(a^k)| = 1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.)

We answer this question affirmatively for transfer Krull monoids over finite abelian groups, and we study the smallest N having the above property (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2).

Transfer Krull monoids and transfer Krull domains are a recently introduced class of monoids and domains including, among others, all commutative Krull domains and wide classes of non-commutative Dedekind domains (see Section 2 and [8] for a survey).

Let H be a transfer Krull monoid over a subset G_0 of an abelian group G . Then H is half-factorial if and only if the monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ of zero-sum sequences over G_0 is half-factorial (in this case, we also say that the set G_0 is half-factorial). It is a standing conjecture that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B30, 11R27, 13A05, 13F05, 20M13.

Key words and phrases. Transfer Krull monoids, zero-sum sequences, sets of lengths, half-factorial.

The last-named author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF Project P28864-N35).

every abelian group has a half-factorial generating set, which implies that every abelian group can be realized as the class group of a half-factorial Dedekind domain ([9]).

Suppose now that H is a commutative Krull monoid with class group G and that every class contains a prime divisor. It is a classic result that H is half-factorial if and only if $|G| \leq 2$, and it turns out that, also for $|G| \geq 3$, half-factorial subsets (and minimal non-half-factorial subsets) of the class group G play a crucial role in a variety of arithmetical questions (see [10, Chapter 6.7], [14]). However, we are far away from a good understanding of half-factorial sets in finite abelian groups (see [21] for a survey, and [17, 18, 22]). To mention one open question, the maximal size of half-factorial subsets is unknown even for finite cyclic groups ([18]). Our results open the door to a computational approach to the study of half-factorial sets.

More in detail, denote by $\text{hf}(H)$ the infimum of all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property:

If $a \in H$ and $|\mathbf{L}(a^N)| = 1$, then $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is half-factorial.

(Here, as usual, we assume $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.) We call $\text{hf}(H)$ the *half-factoriality index* of H . If H is not half-factorial, then $\text{hf}(H)$ is the infimum of all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property that $|\mathbf{L}(a^N)| \geq 2$ for every $a \in H$ such that $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a transfer Krull monoid over a finite subset G_0 of an abelian group G with finite exponent. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) H is half-factorial.
- (b) $\text{hf}(H) = 1$.
- (c) G_0 is half-factorial.
- (d) $|\mathbf{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2^{\text{ord}(g)}})| = 1$.

We observe that in general if H is half-factorial, then $\text{hf}(H) = 1$. But if H is a transfer Krull monoid over a subset of a torsion free group, then $\text{hf}(H) = 1$ does not imply that H is half-factorial (see Example 2.4.1). Furthermore, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a Krull monoid H with finite class group such that $\text{hf}(H) = n$ (see Example 2.4.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let H be a transfer Krull monoid over an abelian group G .*

- 1. $\text{hf}(H) < \infty$ if and only if $\exp(G) < \infty$.
- 2. If $\exp(G) < \infty$ and $|G| \geq 3$, then $\exp(G) \leq \text{hf}(H) \leq \frac{3}{2}(\exp(G) - 1)$.
- 3. If G is cyclic or $\exp(G) \leq 6$, then $\text{hf}(H) = \exp(G)$.

We postpone the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to Section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Our notation and terminology are consistent with [10]. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers, let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the set of rational numbers. For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ the discrete, finite interval between a and b .

Atomic monoids. By a monoid, we mean an associative semigroup with identity, and if not stated otherwise we use multiplicative notation. Let H be a monoid with identity $1 = 1_H \in H$. An element $a \in H$ is said to be invertible (or a unit) if there exists an element

$a' \in H$ such that $aa' = a'a = 1$. The set of invertible elements of H will be denoted by $H^\times$, and we say that H is reduced if $H^\times = \{1\}$. The monoid H is said to be unit-cancellative if for any two elements $a, u \in H$, each of the equations $au = a$ or $ua = a$ implies that $u \in H^\times$. Clearly, every cancellative monoid is unit-cancellative.

Suppose that H is unit-cancellative. An element $u \in H$ is said to be irreducible (or an atom) if $u \notin H^\times$ and for any two elements $a, b \in H$, $u = ab$ implies that $a \in H^\times$ or $b \in H^\times$. Let $\mathcal{A}(H)$ denote the set of atoms of H . We say that H is atomic if every non-unit is a finite product of atoms. If H satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal left ideals and on principal right ideals, then H is atomic ([7, Theorem 2.6]). If $a \in H \setminus H^\times$ and $a = u_1 \dots u_k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathcal{A}(H)$, then k is a factorization length of a , and

$$\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \mathsf{L}(a) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is a factorization length of } a\}$$

denotes the set of lengths of a . It is convenient to set $\mathsf{L}(a) = \{0\}$ for all $a \in H^\times$.

A *transfer Krull monoid* is a monoid H having a weak transfer homomorphism (in the sense of [2, Definition 2.1]) $\theta: H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_0)$, where $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is the monoid of zero-sum sequences over a subset G_0 of an abelian group G . If H is a commutative Krull monoid with class group G and $G_0 \subset G$ is the set of classes containing prime divisors, then there is a weak transfer homomorphism $\theta: H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. Beyond that, there are wide classes of non-commutative Dedekind domains having a weak transfer homomorphism to a monoid of zero-sum sequences ([25, Theorem 1.1], [24, Theorem 4.4]). We refer to [8, 13] for surveys on transfer Krull monoids. If $\theta: H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is a weak transfer homomorphism, then sets of lengths in H and in $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ coincide ([2, Lemma 2.7]) and thus the statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be proved in the setting of monoids of zero-sum sequences.

Monoids of zero-sum sequences. Let G be an abelian group and let $G_0 \subset G$ be a non-empty subset. Then $\langle G_0 \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by G_0 . In Additive Combinatorics, a sequence (over G_0) means a finite unordered sequence of terms from G_0 where repetition is allowed, and (as usual) we consider sequences as elements of the free abelian monoid with basis G_0 . Let

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\mathbf{v}_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$$

be a sequence over G_0 . We call

$$\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G \mid \mathbf{v}_g(S) > 0\} \subset G \text{ the support of } S,$$

$$|S| = \ell = \sum_{g \in G} \mathbf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ the length of } S,$$

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i \text{ the sum of } S,$$

$$\text{and } \Sigma(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} g_i \mid \emptyset \neq I \subset [1, \ell] \right\} \text{ the set of subsequence sums of } S.$$

The sequence S is said to be

- *zero-sum free* if $0 \notin \Sigma(S)$,
- a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sigma(S) = 0$,
- a *minimal zero-sum sequence* if it is a nontrivial zero-sum sequence and every proper subsequence is zero-sum free.

The set of zero-sum sequences $\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) \mid \sigma(S) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ is a submonoid, and the set of minimal zero-sum sequences is the set of atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$. For any arithmetical invariant $*$ (H) defined for a monoid H , we write $*$ (G_0) instead of $*$ ($\mathcal{B}(G_0)$). In particular, $\mathcal{A}(G_0) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))$ is the set of atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ and $\text{hf}(G_0) = \text{hf}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))$.

Let G be an abelian group. We denote by $\exp(G)$ the exponent of G which is the least common multiple of the orders of all elements of G . Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$ be an r -tuple of elements of G . Then $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$ is said to be independent if $e_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [1, r]$ and if for all $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ an equation $m_1 e_1 + \dots + m_r e_r = 0$ implies that $m_i e_i = 0$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. Suppose G is finite. The r -tuple $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$ is said to be a basis of G if it is independent and $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by C_n an additive cyclic group of order n . Since $G \cong C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$, $r = \text{r}(G)$ is the rank of G and $n_r = \exp(G)$ is the exponent of G .

Let $G_0 \subset G$ be a non-empty subset. For a sequence $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$, we call

$$\mathbf{k}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{the cross number of } S, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{K}(G_0) = \max\{\mathbf{k}(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)\} \quad \text{the cross number of } G_0.$$

For the relevance of cross numbers in the theory of non-unique factorizations, see [18, 20, 23] and [10, Chapter 6].

The set G_0 is called

- *half-factorial* if the monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is half-factorial;
- *non-half-factorial* if the monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is not half-factorial;
- *minimal non-half-factorial* if G_0 is not half-factorial but all its proper subsets are;
- an *LCN-set* if $\mathbf{k}(A) \geq 1$ for all atoms $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$.

The following simple result ([10, Proposition 6.7.3]) will be used throughout the paper without further mention.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $G_0 \subset G$ a subset. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- G_0 is half-factorial.
- $\mathbf{k}(U) = 1$ for every $U \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$.
- $\mathbf{L}(B) = \{\mathbf{k}(B)\}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a finite group, let $G_0 \subset G$ be a subset, let S be a zero-sum sequence over G_0 , and let A be a minimal zero-sum sequence over G_0 .*

- If $\mathbf{k}(A) \neq 1$, then $|\mathbf{L}(A^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.
- If there exists a zero-sum subsequence T of S such that $|\mathbf{L}(T)| \geq 2$, then $|\mathbf{L}(S)| \geq 2$.

3. If $k(A) < 1$ and $k(A)$ is minimal over all minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0 , then

$$\left| \mathcal{L} \left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil} \right) \right| \geq 2, \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{supp}(A).$$

Proof. 1. Suppose $k(A) \neq 1$ and let $A = g_1 \cdots g_\ell$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in G_0$. Then

$$A^{\exp(G)} = (g_1^{\text{ord}(g_1)})^{\frac{\exp(G)}{\text{ord}(g_1)}} \cdots (g_\ell^{\text{ord}(g_\ell)})^{\frac{\exp(G)}{\text{ord}(g_\ell)}},$$

which implies that

$$\left\{ \exp(G), \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\exp(G)}{\text{ord}(g_i)} \right\} = \{ \exp(G), \exp(G)k(A) \} \subset \mathcal{L}(A^{\exp(G)}).$$

It follows by $k(A) \neq 1$ that $|\mathcal{L}(A^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

2. Suppose T is a zero-sum subsequence of S with $|\mathcal{L}(T)| \geq 2$. It follows by $\mathcal{L}(S) \supset \mathcal{L}(T) + \mathcal{L}(ST^{-1})$ that $|\mathcal{L}(S)| \geq |\mathcal{L}(T)| \geq 2$.

3. Suppose $k(A) < 1$ and $k(A)$ is minimal over all minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0 . Let $g \in \text{supp}(A)$. Then there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and minimal zero-sum sequences $W_1, \dots, W_s$ such that

$$A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil} = g^{\text{ord}(g)} \cdot W_1 \cdots W_s.$$

Since

$$k \left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil} \right) = \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil k(A) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^s k(W_i) > (1+s)k(A),$$

we have $\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil \neq s+1$ and hence $\left| \mathcal{L} \left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{v_g(A)} \right\rceil} \right) \right| \geq 2$. $\square$

For commutative and finitely generated monoids, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a commutative unit-cancellative monoid. If H_{red} is finitely generated, then $\text{hf}(H)$ is finite.*

Proof. We may assume that H is reduced and not half-factorial. Suppose H is finitely generated and suppose $\mathcal{A}(H) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $A_0 = \{\prod_{i \in I} u_i \mid \emptyset \neq I \subset [1, n]\}$. Then A_0 is finite and hence there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mathcal{L}(a_0^M)| \geq 2$ for all $a_0 \in A_0$ with $\llbracket a_0 \rrbracket$ not half-factorial. Let $a \in H \setminus H^\times$ such that $\llbracket a \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial. It suffices to show that $|\mathcal{L}(a^M)| \geq 2$. Suppose $a = u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n}$, where $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Set $I_0 = \{i \in [1, n] \mid k_i \geq 1\}$ and $a_0 = \prod_{i \in I_0} u_i$. Then a_0 divides a and $\llbracket a_0 \rrbracket = \llbracket a \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial, whence $|\mathcal{L}(a_0^M)| \geq 2$ and $|\mathcal{L}(a^M)| \geq 2$. $\square$

If G_0 is a finite subset of an abelian group, then $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is finitely generated ([10, Theorem 3.4.2]) and thus $\text{hf}(G_0) < \infty$. We refer to [6, Sections 3.2 and 3.3] and [12] for semigroups of ideals and semigroups of modules that are finitely generated unit-cancellative but not necessarily cancellative.

Examples 2.4. The following examples will help up to illustrate some important points.

1. Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and let $G_0 = \{e_1, -e_1, e_2, -e_2, e_1 + e_2, -e_1 - e_2\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(G_0) = \{e_1(-e_1), e_2(-e_2), (e_1 + e_2)(-e_1 - e_2), e_1e_2(-e_1 - e_2), (-e_1)(-e_2)(e_1 + e_2)\}$. Since $e_1(-e_1) \cdot e_2(-e_2) \cdot (e_1 + e_2)(-e_1 - e_2) = e_1e_2(-e_1 - e_2) \cdot (-e_1)(-e_2)(e_1 + e_2)$, we obtain G_0 is not half-factorial. Furthermore, we have G_1 is half-factorial for every nonempty proper subset $G_1 \subsetneq G_0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. If $\text{supp}(A) = G_0$, then $|\mathbf{L}(A)| \geq 2$ and $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is not half-factorial. If $\text{supp}(A) \subsetneq G_0$, then $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \mathcal{B}(\text{supp}(A))$ is half-factorial and $|\mathbf{L}(A)| = 1$. Therefore $\text{hf}(G_0) = 1$.
2. Let G be a cyclic group with order n and let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$. Set $G_0 = \{g, -g\}$. Then G_0 is not half-factorial. Let $A_0 = g(-g)$. Then $\llbracket A_0 \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial and $|\mathbf{L}(A_0^{n-1})| = 1$, whence $\text{hf}(G_0) \geq n$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ with $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial. Then $\text{supp}(A) = G_0$ and A_0 divides A , whence $|\mathbf{L}(A^n)| \geq 2$. Therefore $\text{hf}(G_0) = n$. Let $G \cong C_2^2$ and let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of G . Set $G_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2\}$. Then G_1 is not half-factorial. Let $A_1 = e_1e_2(e_1 + e_2)$. Then $\llbracket A_1 \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial and $|\mathbf{L}(A_1)| = 1$, whence $\text{hf}(G_1) \geq 2$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_1)$ with $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial. Then $\text{supp}(A) = G_1$ and A_1 divides A , whence $|\mathbf{L}(A^2)| \geq 2$. Therefore $\text{hf}(G_1) = 2$.
3. Let H be a bifurcus moniod (i.e. $2 \in \mathbf{L}(a)$ for all $a \in H \setminus (H^\times \cup \mathcal{A}(H))$). For examples, see [1, Examples 2.1 and 2.2]. Since for every $a \in H \setminus H^\times$, we have $\{2, 3\} \subset \mathbf{L}(a^3)$, it follows that $\text{hf}(H) \leq 3$ and $\text{hf}(H)$ is the minimal integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mathbf{L}(a^t)| \geq 2$ for all $a \in H \setminus H^\times$. Therefore $\text{hf}(H) = 3$ if and only if there exists $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ such that $\mathbf{L}(a_0^2) = \{2\}$.
4. Let $H \subset F = F^\times \times [p_1, \dots, p_s]$ be a non-half factorial finitely primary monoid of rank s and exponent α (see [10, Definition 2.9.1]). For every $a = \epsilon p_1^{t_1} \dots p_s^{t_s} \in F$, we define $\|a\| = t_1 + \dots + t_s$, where $t_1, \dots, t_s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon \in F^\times$. Let $a \in H \setminus H^\times$. Since H is primary, we have $H = \llbracket a \rrbracket$ is not half-factorial. Thus $\text{hf}(H)$ is the minimal integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mathbf{L}(a^t)| \geq 2$ for all $a \in H \setminus H^\times$. Suppose $a_0 \in H$ with $\|a_0\| = \min\{\|a\| : a \in H \setminus H^\times\}$. Then $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ and $\mathbf{L}(a_0^2) = \{2\}$, whence $\text{hf}(H) \geq 3$.
If $H \setminus H^\times = (p_1 \dots p_s)^\alpha F$ and $s \geq 2$, then H is bifurcus and hence $\text{hf}(H) = 3$. Suppose $s = 1$ and $H \setminus H^\times = (p_1)^\alpha F$. Let $b = \epsilon p^\beta \in H$. Then $p^{3\alpha}$ divides b^4 . It follows by $p^{3\alpha} = (p^\alpha)^3 = p^{\alpha+1}p^{2\alpha-1}$ that $|\mathbf{L}(b^4)| \geq 2$, whence $\text{hf}(H) \leq 4$. If $3\beta \geq 4\alpha$, then $p^{3\alpha}$ divides b^3 and hence $|\mathbf{L}(b^3)| \geq 2$. If $3\beta \leq 4\alpha - 2$, then b is an atom and $b^3 = \epsilon^3 p^{2\alpha-1} p^{3\beta-(2\alpha-1)}$, whence $|\mathbf{L}(b^3)| \geq 2$. If $3\beta = 4\alpha - 1$, then $\mathbf{L}(b^3) = \{3\}$. Put all together, if $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\text{hf}(H) = 4$. Otherwise $\text{hf}(H) = 3$.

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Proposition 3.1. *Let $G_0 \subset G$ be a non half-factorial subset and let S be a zero-sum sequence over G_0 with $\text{supp}(S) = G_0$.*

1. *If G_0 is an LCN-set, then $|\mathbf{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)})| \geq 2$.*
2. *If $|G_0| = 2$, then $|\mathbf{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)})| \geq 2$.*
3. *If G_0 is a minimal non half-factorial subset, then $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.*
4. *If $|\{g \in G_0 \mid \text{ord}(g)/\mathbf{v}_g(S) = \exp(G)\}| \leq 1$, then $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.*

Proof. 1. Suppose G_0 is an LCN-set. Since G_0 is not half-factorial, there exists a minimal zero-sum sequence T over G_0 such that $k(T) > 1$. Note that T is a subsequence of $\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)}$. Then there exists $W_1, \dots, W_l \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ such that

$$\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)} = T \cdot W_1 \cdot \dots \cdot W_l.$$

Thus $k(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)}) = |G_0| = k(T) + \sum_{i=1}^l k(W_i) > 1 + l$. The assertion follows by $\{|G_0|, 1 + l\} \subset \mathcal{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\text{ord}(g)})$.

2. Suppose $|G_0| = 2$ and let $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2\}$. If G_0 is an LCN-set, the assertion follows by 1.. Suppose there exists a minimal zero-sum sequence T over G_0 with $k(T) < 1$. Let $T_0 = g_1^{l_1} \cdot g_2^{l_2}$ be the minimal zero-sum sequence over G_0 such that $k(T_0)$ is minimal. If $\min\{\frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1}, \frac{\text{ord}(g_2)}{l_2}\} \leq 2$, say $\frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \leq 2$ then

$$T_0^2 = g_1^{\text{ord}(g_1)} \cdot W, \text{ where } W \text{ is non-empty zero-sum sequence.}$$

Thus $k(W) = 2k(T_0) - 1 < k(T_0)$, a contradiction to the minimality of $k(T_0)$. Therefore $\min\{\frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1}, \frac{\text{ord}(g_2)}{l_2}\} > 2$ and hence

$$g_1^{\text{ord}(g_1)} \cdot g_2^{\text{ord}(g_2)} = T_0^2 \cdot V \text{ where } V \text{ is non-empty zero-sum sequence.}$$

It follows that $|\mathcal{L}(g_1^{\text{ord}(g_1)} \cdot g_2^{\text{ord}(g_2)})| \geq 2$.

3. Suppose that G_0 is a minimal non-half-factorial set. If S has a minimal zero-sum subsequence A with $k(A) \neq 1$, then the assertion follows by Lemma 2.2. If G_0 is an LCN-set, then the assertion follows from 1. and Lemma 2.2.2. Therefore we can suppose $\mathcal{L}(S) = \{k(S)\}$ and suppose there exists a minimal zero-sum sequence T over G_0 with $k(T) < 1$.

Let $T_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{|G_0|} g_i^{l_i}$ be the minimal zero-sum sequence over G_0 such that $k(T_0)$ is minimal. The minimality of G_0 implies that $l_i \geq 1$ for all $i \in [1, |G_0|]$. After renumbering if necessary, we let

$$\frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} = \min\left\{\frac{\text{ord}(g_i)}{l_i} \mid i \in [1, |G_0|]\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2.3, $\left|\mathcal{L}\left(T_0^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil}\right)\right| \geq 2$. If $T_0^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil}$ divides $S^{\exp(G)}$, the assertion follows by

Lemma 2.2.2. Suppose $T_0^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil} \nmid S^{\exp(G)}$. Let

$$I = \left\{i \in [1, |G_0|] \mid \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil l_i > \exp(G) \nu_{g_i}(S)\right\}.$$

Thus for each $i \in I$, we have

$$2 \text{ord}(g_i) > l_i \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_i)}{l_i} \right\rceil \geq l_i \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil > \exp(G) \nu_{g_i}(S) \geq \exp(G),$$

which implies that $\text{ord}(g_i) = \exp(G)$, $\nu_{g_i}(S) = 1$, and $\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil > \frac{\text{ord}(g_i)}{l_i} = \frac{\exp(G)}{l_i}$.

Let $i_0 \in I$ such that $l_{i_0} = \max\{l_i \mid i \in I\}$. Therefore for every $j \in [1, |G_0|] \setminus I$, we have

$$l_j \leq \frac{\exp(G)\mathbf{v}_{g_j}(S)}{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil} \leq \frac{\exp(G)\mathbf{v}_{g_j}(S)}{\frac{\exp(G)}{l_{i_0}}} = l_{i_0}\mathbf{v}_{g_j}(S).$$

Note that for every $i \in I$, we have $l_i \leq l_{i_0} = l_{i_0}\mathbf{v}_{g_i}(S)$. It follows by $\mathbf{v}_{g_{i_0}}(T_0) = l_{i_0} = l_{i_0}\mathbf{v}_{g_{i_0}}(S) = \mathbf{v}_{g_{i_0}}(S^{l_{i_0}})$ that

$$S^{l_{i_0}} = T_0 \cdot W, \text{ where } W \text{ is a zero-sum sequence over } G_0 \setminus \{g_{i_0}\}.$$

By the minimality of G_0 , we have $G_0 \setminus \{g_{i_0}\}$ is half-factorial which implies that $\mathbf{k}(W) \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\mathbf{k}(T_0) = l_{i_0}\mathbf{k}(S) - \mathbf{k}(W)$ is an integer, a contradiction to $\mathbf{k}(T_0) < 1$.

4. Let $G_1 = \{g \in G_0 \mid \text{ord}(g) = \exp(G)\mathbf{v}_g(S)\}$. Suppose $G_0 \setminus G_1$ is not half-factorial. If $G_0 \setminus G_1$ is an LCN-set, then the assertion follows by Proposition 3.1.1 and Lemma 2.2.2. Otherwise there exists a minimal zero-sum sequence A over $G_0 \setminus G_1$ such that $\mathbf{k}(A) < 1$. We may assume that $\mathbf{k}(A)$ is minimal over all minimal zero-sum sequences over $G_0 \setminus G_1$ and that $\min\{\frac{\text{ord}(g)}{\mathbf{v}_g(A)} \mid g \in \text{supp}(A)\} = \frac{\text{ord}(g_0)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)}$ for some $g_0 \in \text{supp}(A) \subset G_0 \setminus G_1$. Thus by Lemma 2.2.3, we have $|\mathbf{L}\left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_0)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)} \right\rceil}\right)| \geq 2$. The definition of G_1 implies that

$$A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_0)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)} \right\rceil} \text{ divides } S^{\exp(G)}$$

and hence the assertion follows.

Suppose $G_0 \setminus G_1$ is half-factorial. Then G_1 is non-empty and hence $G_1 = \{g_0\}$ for some $g_0 \in G_0$. If G_0 is an LCN-set, then the assertion follows by Proposition 3.1.1 and Lemma 2.2.2. Otherwise there exists a minimal zero-sum sequence A over G_0 such that $\mathbf{k}(A) < 1$. We may assume that $\mathbf{k}(A)$ is minimal over all minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0 and that $\min\{\frac{\text{ord}(g)}{\mathbf{v}_g(A)} \mid g \in \text{supp}(A)\} = \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)}$ for some $g_1 \in \text{supp}(A) \subset G_0$. Thus by Lemma 2.2.3, we have $|\mathbf{L}\left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)} \right\rceil}\right)| \geq 2$. For every $g \in G_0 \setminus G_1$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{v}_g(A) \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)} \right\rceil \leq \mathbf{v}_g(A) \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{\mathbf{v}_g(A)} \right\rceil < 2 \text{ord}(g) \leq \exp(G)\mathbf{v}_g(S).$$

If $\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A) \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)} \right\rceil \leq \text{ord}(g_0) = \exp(G)$, then

$$A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)} \right\rceil} \text{ divides } S^{\exp(G)}$$

and hence $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

Otherwise for every $g \in G \setminus G_1$, we have

$$\frac{\exp(G)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)} < \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{\mathbf{v}_{g_1}(A)} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{\mathbf{v}_g(A)} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{\exp(G)\mathbf{v}_g(S)}{2\mathbf{v}_g(A)} \right\rceil \leq \frac{\exp(G)\mathbf{v}_g(S)}{\mathbf{v}_g(A)}.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{v}_g(A) < \mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)\mathbf{v}_g(S)$ for all $g \in G_0 \setminus G_1$ which implies that A divides $S^{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)}$. Thus there exists a zero-sum sequence W over $G_0 \setminus G_1$ such that $S^{\mathbf{v}_{g_0}(A)} = A \cdot W$. Since

$G_0 \setminus G_1$ is half-factorial, we obtain $k(A) = v_{g_0}(A)k(S) - k(W)$ is an integer, a contradiction to $k(A) < 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the definition of transfer Krull monoid, it suffices to prove the assertions for $H = \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ and hence H is half-factorial if and only if G_0 is half-factorial. If G_0 is half-factorial, it is easy to see that $\text{hf}(G_0) = 1$ and $|\mathcal{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2\text{ord}(g)})| = 1$. Therefore we only need to show that (b) implies (c) and that (d) implies (c).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Suppose $\text{hf}(G_0) = 1$ and assume to the contrary that G_0 is not half-factorial. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ such that $k(A) \neq 1$, whence $\text{supp}(A)$ is not half-factorial. Therefore $\text{hf}(\text{supp}(A)) \geq 2$, a contradiction.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Suppose $|\mathcal{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2\text{ord}(g)})| = 1$ and assume to the contrary that G_0 is not half-factorial. If G_0 is an LCN set, then Proposition 3.1.1 implies that $|\mathcal{L}(\prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2\text{ord}(g)})| \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus there exists an atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ with $k(A) < 1$ and we may assume that $k(A)$ is minimal over all atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$. Let $g_0 \in \text{supp}(A)$. Then by Lemma 2.2.3, we have $|\mathcal{L}\left(A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_0)}{v_{g_0}(A)} \right\rceil}\right)| \geq 2$, a contradiction to $A^{\left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_0)}{v_{g_0}(A)} \right\rceil} \mid \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{2\text{ord}(g)}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the definition of transfer Krull monoid, it sufficient to prove all assertions for $H = \mathcal{B}(G)$.

1. Suppose $\exp(G) < \infty$. If $|G| \geq 3$, then 2. implies that $\text{hf}(G) < \infty$. If $|G| \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is half-factorial and hence $\text{hf}(G) = 1$.

Suppose $\exp(G) = \infty$. If there exists an element $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = \infty$, then $A_n = ((n+1)g)(-ng)(-g)$ is an atom for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{(n+1)g, -ng, -g\}$ is not half-factorial and $|\mathcal{L}(A_n)| = 1$ for every $n \geq 2$, we obtain that $\text{hf}(G) \geq n$ for every $n \geq 2$, that is, $\text{hf}(G) = \infty$. Otherwise G is torsion. Then there exists a sequence $(g_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ with $g_i \in G$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{ord}(g_i) = \infty$. It follows by 1. that $\text{hf}(G) \geq \text{hf}(\langle g_i \rangle) \geq \text{ord}(g_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $\text{hf}(G) = \infty$.

2. If G is an elementary 2-group and e_1, e_2 are two independent elements, then $\{e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2\}$ is not a half-factorial set and $|\mathcal{L}(e_1 e_2 (e_1 + e_2))| = 1$ which implies that $\text{hf}(G) \geq 2 = \exp(G)$. Otherwise there exists an element $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = \exp(G) \geq 3$. Since $\{g, -g\}$ is not half-factorial and $|\mathcal{L}(g^{\text{ord}(g)-1}(-g)^{\text{ord}(g)-1})| = 1$, we obtain $\text{hf}(G) \geq \text{ord}(g) = \exp(G)$.

Let S be a zero-sum sequence over G such that $\text{supp}(S)$ is not half-factorial. In order to prove $\text{hf}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{3\exp(G)-3}{2} \right\rfloor$, we show that

$$|\mathcal{L}(S^{\left\lfloor \frac{3\exp(G)-3}{2} \right\rfloor})| \geq 2.$$

Set $G_0 = \text{supp}(S)$. If G_0 is an LCN-set, the assertion follows by Proposition 3.1.1. Suppose there exists an atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ with $k(A) < 1$. Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(\text{supp}(S))$ be such that $k(A_0)$ is minimal over all minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0 and set $A_0 = g_1^{l_1} \cdots g_y^{l_y}$, where $y, l_1, \dots, l_y \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_y \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct elements. If there exists $j \in [1, y]$ such that $2l_j \geq \text{ord}(g_j)$, then $g_j^{\text{ord}(g_j)}$ divides A_0^2 and hence $A_0^2 = g_j^{\text{ord}(g_j)} \cdot W$

for some non-empty sequence $W \in \mathcal{B}(\text{supp}(S))$. Thus $k(W) = 2k(A_0) - 1 < k(A_0)$, a contradiction to the minimality of $k(A_0)$. Therefore

$$2l_i \leq \text{ord}(g_i) - 1 \text{ for all } i \in [1, y].$$

After renumbering if necessary, we assume $\frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} = \min\{\frac{\text{ord}(g_i)}{l_i} \mid i \in [1, y]\}$. Then

$$l_i \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \right\rceil \leq l_i \left\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_i)}{l_i} \right\rceil \leq l_i \frac{\text{ord}(g_i) + l_i - 1}{l_i} \leq \text{ord}(g_i) + \frac{\text{ord}(g_i) - 1}{2} - 1 \leq \frac{3 \exp(G) - 3}{2},$$

which implies $A_0^{\lceil \frac{\text{ord}(g_1)}{l_1} \rceil}$ divides $S^{\lfloor \frac{3 \exp(G) - 3}{2} \rfloor}$. The assertion follows by Lemma 2.2.3.

3(a). Suppose that G is cyclic and that $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = |G| \geq 3$. We will show that $\text{hf}(G) = \exp(G)$.

Let S be a zero-sum sequence over G such that $\text{supp}(G)$ is not half-factorial. It suffices to show $|\mathcal{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$. If $|\{g \in \text{supp}(S) \mid \text{ord}(g) = |G|v_g(S)\}| \leq 1$, then the assertion follows from Proposition 3.1.4. Suppose $|\{g \in \text{supp}(S) \mid \text{ord}(g) = |G|v_g(S)\}| \geq 2$. Then there exist distinct $g_1, g_2 \in \text{supp}(S)$ such that $\text{ord}(g_1) = \text{ord}(g_2) = |G|$. We may assume that $g_1 = kg_2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ with $\gcd(k, |G|) = 1$. It follows by $k(g_1^{|G|-k} \cdot g_2) < 1$ that $G_0 = \{g_1, g_2\} = \{g_1, kg_1\}$ is not half-factorial. By Proposition 3.1.2, we obtain that $|\mathcal{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

3(b). Suppose G is a finite abelian group with $\exp(G) \leq 6$. We need to prove that $\text{hf}(G) = \exp(G)$. Let S be a zero-sum sequence over G such that $\text{supp}(G)$ is not half-factorial. It suffices to show $|\mathcal{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

If $\text{supp}(S)$ is an LCN-set, the assertion follows by Proposition 3.1.1. Thus there is a minimal zero-sum sequence W over $\text{supp}(S)$ such that

$$k(W) < 1.$$

By Proposition 3.1.2 and Lemma 2.2.2, we have

$$|\text{supp}(W)| \geq 3.$$

Suppose $W \mid S$. Since $k(W) < 1$, it follows by Lemma 2.2 that $|\mathcal{L}(W^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$ and hence $|\mathcal{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$. Therefore we may assume that $W \nmid S$, whence $|W| \geq |\text{supp}(W)| + 1 \geq 4$. It follows that $6 \geq \exp(G) \geq \frac{|W|}{k(W)} > |W| \geq 4$.

We distinguish two cases according to $\exp(G) \in \{5, 6\}$.

Case 1. $\exp(G) = 5$.

Then, $G \cong C_5^r$ and for all $W \in \mathcal{A}(\text{supp}(S))$ with $k(W) < 1$, we have that

$$W \nmid S, \quad |\text{supp}(W)| = 3, \quad \text{and} \quad |W| = 4.$$

Let W_0 be an atom over $\text{supp}(S)$ with $k(W_0) < 1$. Then W_0 and S must be of the forms

$$W_0 = g_1^2 g_2 g_3, \quad S = T g_1 g_2 g_3$$

where $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct and $T \in \mathcal{F}(\text{supp}(S) \setminus \{g_1\})$ with $\sigma(T) = g_1$.

We may assume T is zero-sum free. Otherwise $T = T_0 T'$ with T_0 is zero-sum and T' is zero-sum free and we can replace S by $T' g_1 g_2 g_3$, since $|\mathcal{L}((T' g_1 g_2 g_3)^5)| \geq 2$ implies that

$|\mathbf{L}(S^5)| \geq 2$. Therefore S is a product of at most three atoms and every term of S has order 5.

Assume to the contrary that $|\mathbf{L}(S^5)| = 1$, i.e., $\mathbf{L}(S^5) = \{|T| + 3\}$. Since $g_1^5 g_2^5 g_3^5 = W_0^2(g_1 g_2^3 g_3^3)$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S^5 , we obtain that $g_1 g_2^3 g_3^3$ is an atom. Note that

$$(g_1^2 g_2 g_3)^2 S = (g_1^4 T)(g_1 g_2^3 g_3^3) \text{ is a zero-sum subsequence of } S^5.$$

Suppose S is an atom. Then $\mathbf{L}(g_1^4 T) = \{2\}$ and hence $|g_1^4 T| \geq 2 \times 4 = 8$, i.e., $|T| \geq 4$. It follows by $\{5\} = \mathbf{L}(S^5) = \{|T| + 3\}$ that $|T| = 2$, a contradiction.

Suppose S is a product of two atoms. Then $\mathbf{L}(g_1^4 T) = \{3\}$ and hence $|g_1^4 T| \geq 3 \times 4 = 12$, i.e., $|T| \geq 8$. It follows by $\{10\} = \mathbf{L}(S^5) = \{|T| + 3\}$ that $|T| = 7$, a contradiction.

Suppose S is a product of three atoms. Then $\mathbf{L}(g_1^4 T) = \{4\}$ which implies that $T = T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4$ such that $g_1 T_i$ is zero-sum for all $i \in [1, 4]$. Since $g_1 T_i \mid S$, we obtain $\mathbf{k}(g_1 T_i) \geq 1$ and hence $|g_1 T_i| \geq 5$. Therefore $|g_1^4 T| \geq 4 \times 5 = 20$, i.e., $|T| \geq 16$. It follows by $\{15\} = \mathbf{L}(S^5) = \{|T| + 3\}$ that $|T| = 12$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\exp(G) = 6$.

Let W be an atom over $\text{supp}(S)$ with $\mathbf{k}(W) < 1$. If $|W| = 4$, then $|\text{supp}(W)| = 3$ and hence W must be of the form

$$W = g_1^2 g_2 g_3$$

where $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct. Since $(g_1^6)(g_2^6)(g_3^6) = W^3(g_2^3 g_3^3)$, we obtain that $|\mathbf{L}(g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6)| \geq 2$. It follows by $g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6$ divides $S^{\exp(G)}$ that $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

If $|W| = 5$, then $|\text{supp}(W)| = 3$ or 4 and hence W must be one of the following forms.

- i. $W = g_1^3 g_2 g_3$ with $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct.
- ii. $W = g_1^2 g_2^2 g_3$ with $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct.
- iii. $W = g_1^2 g_2 g_3 g_4$ with $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in \text{supp}(S)$ are pairwise distinct.

Suppose (i) holds. Then $0 = 2\sigma(W) = 6g_1 + 2g_2 + 2g_3 = 2g_2 + 2g_3$. Since $(g_1^6)(g_2^6)(g_3^6) = W^2(g_2^3 g_3^3)^2$, we obtain that $|\mathbf{L}(g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6)| \geq 2$. It follows by $g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6$ divides $S^{\exp(G)}$ that $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$.

Suppose (ii) holds. Then $0 = 3\sigma(W) = 6g_1 + 6g_2 + 3g_3 = 3g_3$. Thus $\text{ord}(g_3) = 2$ and hence $\mathbf{k}(W) \geq 1/2 + 4/6 > 1$, a contradiction.

Suppose (iii) holds. Then $0 = 3\sigma(W) = 6g_1 + 3g_3 + 3g_3 + 3g_4 = 3g_2 + 3g_3 + 3g_4$. Therefore $W_0 = g_2^3 g_3^3 g_4^3$ is zero-sum. If $W_0 = g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6 g_4^6 (W)^{-3}$ is not a minimal zero-sum sequence, then $|\mathbf{L}(g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6 g_4^6)| \geq 2$ and hence $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$. If W_0 is minimal zero-sum, then $g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6 g_4^6 = (g_1^6) W_0^2$ implies that $|\mathbf{L}(g_1^6 g_2^6 g_3^6 g_4^6)| \geq 2$ and hence $|\mathbf{L}(S^{\exp(G)})| \geq 2$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Adams, R. Ardila, D. Hannasch, A. Kosh, H. McCarthy, V. Ponomarenko, and R. Rosenbaum, *Bifurcus semigroups and rings*, *Involve. A Journal of Mathematics* **2** (2009), 351 – 356.
- [2] N.R. Baeth and D. Smertnig, *Factorization theory: From commutative to noncommutative settings*, *J. Algebra* **441** (2015), 475 – 551.
- [3] S.T. Chapman and J. Coykendall, *half-factorial domains, a survey*, *Non-Noetherian Commutative Ring Theory, Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 520, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000, pp. 97 – 115.

- [4] J. Coykendall, *Extensions of half-factorial domains: A survey*, Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 241, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2005, pp. 46 – 70.
- [5] J. Coykendall and W.W. Smith, *On unique factorization domains*, J. Algebra **332** (2011), 62 – 70.
- [6] Y. Fan, A. Geroldinger, F. Kainrath, and S. Tringali, *Arithmetic of commutative semigroups with a focus on semigroups of ideals and modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **11** (2017), 1750234 (42 pages).
- [7] Y. Fan and S. Tringali, *Power monoids: A bridge between factorization theory and arithmetic combinatorics*, J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252 – 294.
- [8] A. Geroldinger, *Sets of lengths*, Amer. Math. Monthly **123** (2016), 960 – 988.
- [9] A. Geroldinger and R. Göbel, *half-factorial subsets in infinite abelian groups*, Houston J. Math. **29** (2003), 841 – 858.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [11] A. Geroldinger, F. Kainrath, and A. Reinhart, *Arithmetic of seminormal weakly Krull monoids and domains*, J. Algebra **444** (2015), 201 – 245.
- [12] A. Geroldinger and A. Reinhart, *The monotone catenary degree of monoids of ideals*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218196719500097>.
- [13] A. Geroldinger and Q. Zhong, *Factorization theory in commutative monoids*, submitted, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09869>.
- [14] A. Geroldinger and Q. Zhong, *The set of minimal distances in Krull monoids*, Acta Arith. **173** (2016), 97 – 120.
- [15] P. Malcolmson and F. Okoh, *Power series extensions of half-factorial domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 493 – 495.
- [16] ———, *half-factorial subrings of factorial domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), 877 – 891.
- [17] A. Plagne and W.A. Schmid, *On large half-factorial sets in elementary p -groups: maximal cardinality and structural characterization*, Isr. J. Math. **145** (2005), 285 – 310.
- [18] ———, *On the maximal cardinality of half-factorial sets in cyclic groups*, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), 759 – 785.
- [19] M. Roitman, *A quasi-local half-factorial domain with an atomic non-half-factorial integral closure*, J. Commut. Algebra **3** (2011), 431 – 438.
- [20] W.A. Schmid, *Differences in sets of lengths of Krull monoids with finite class group*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. **17** (2005), 323 – 345.
- [21] ———, *half-factorial sets in finite abelian groups: a survey*, Grazer Math. Ber. **348** (2005), 41 – 64.
- [22] ———, *half-factorial sets in elementary p -groups*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **22** (2006), 75 – 114.
- [23] ———, *Arithmetical characterization of class groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via the system of sets of lengths*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb. **79** (2009), 25 – 35.
- [24] D. Smertnig, *Factorizations in bounded hereditary noetherian prime rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **62** (2019), 395 – 442.
- [25] ———, *Sets of lengths in maximal orders in central simple algebras*, J. Algebra **390** (2013), 1 – 43.

WEIDONG GAO, CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC-TJKLC NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: `wdgao@nankai.edu.cn`

CHAO LIU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BROCK UNIVERSITY, ST. CATHARINES, ONTARIO, CANADA L2S 3A1

E-mail address: `chaoliuac@gmail.com`

SALVATORE TRINGALI, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHIJIAZHUANG 050024, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: `salvo.tringali@gmail.com`

QINGHAI ZHONG, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: `qinghai.zhong@uni-graz.at`