

Weierstrass Semigroups From a Tower of Function Fields Attaining the Drinfeld-Vlăduț Bound [☆]

Shudi Yang^a, Chuangqiang Hu^{b,*}

^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Shandong 273165, P R China*

^b*Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Peking 100084, P R China*

Abstract

For applications in algebraic geometric codes, an explicit description of bases of Riemann-Roch spaces of divisors on function fields over finite fields is needed. We investigate the third function field $F^{(3)}$ in a tower of Artin-Schreier extensions described by Garcia and Stichtenoth reaching the Drinfeld-Vlăduț bound. We construct bases for the related Riemann-Roch spaces on $F^{(3)}$ and present some basic properties of divisors on a line. From the bases, we explicitly calculate the Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps at several places on $F^{(3)}$. All of these results can be viewed as a generalization of the previous work done by Voss and Høholdt (1997).

Keywords: Riemann-Roch space, tower of function fields, Weierstrass semigroup, pure gap.

2000 MSC: 11R58, 14H55, 94B27

1. Introduction

Goppa constructed error-correcting linear codes by using tools from algebraic geometry (AG): a non-singular, projective, geometrically irreducible, algebraic curve \mathcal{X} of genus g defined over \mathbb{F}_q , the finite field with q elements, and two rational divisors D and G on \mathcal{X} . These divisors are chosen in such a way that they have disjoint supports, and D is equal to a sum of pairwise distinct rational places, $D = P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_n$. The algebraic geometric code is defined as

$$C_{\mathcal{L}}(D, G) := \left\{ (f(P_1), f(P_2), \dots, f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(G)$ denotes the Riemann-Roch space associated to G , see [20] as general references for all facts concerning algebraic geometric codes.

There are several problems arising from the construction of AG codes with good parameters.

- Choose a function field F with many rational places and small genus.
- Describe the places (especially the rational places) of F explicitly.

[☆]This work is partly supported by the NSFC (11701317, 11531007, 11801303) and Tsinghua University Start-up fund. This work is also partly supported by Guangzhou Science and Technology Program (201607010144) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2016AM04, ZR2019QA016).

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: yangshudi@qfnu.edu.cn (Shudi Yang), huchq@tsinghua.edu.cn (Chuangqiang Hu)

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

March 13, 2024

- Determine a basis for the Riemann-Roch space of a given divisor.
- Determine the minimal distance of AG codes.

In 1995 and 1996, Garcia and Stichtenoth [9, 10] showed that two families of explicitly described curves also achieve the Drinfeld-Vlăduţ bound $A(q^2) = q - 1$. These curves are presented in the language of function fields, specifically as towers of extensions of the rational function field $K(x_1)$, where $K := \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. One example they gave in [10] is the tower $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n)$ that has description as the following sequence of Artin-Schreier extensions:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = K(x_1),$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = \mathcal{T}_k(x_{k+1}),$$

where

$$x_{k+1}^q + x_{k+1} = \frac{x_k^q}{x_k^{q-1} + 1}.$$

Identifying the generator matrix for one-point AG codes constructed on this tower requires the determination of a basis for the vector spaces $\{\mathcal{L}(rP) | 0 \leq r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which comprise functions having poles only at a specified point P . Let $P_\infty^{(1)}$ denote the unique pole of x_1 in \mathcal{T}_1 and $P_\infty^{(n)}$ the unique place in \mathcal{T}_n lying above $P_\infty^{(1)}$. In [1], the authors constructed basis for these spaces, using techniques borrowed from algebraic number theory. Later, Aleshnikov *et al.* [2] gave a description of how places split in this asymptotically optimal tower of function fields and they provided an exact count of the number of places of degree one.

Our interest here is in the second tower of Artin-Schreier extensions over K . According to [9], the AG codes derived from these function fields have better coefficients in excess of Weil bound. We introduce the function fields of this tower in the following way.

Definition 1.1 ([9], Definition 0.1). *Let $F^{(1)} := K(x_1)$ be the rational function field over K . For $i \geq 1$ let*

$$F^{(i+1)} := F^{(i)}(z_{i+1}),$$

where z_{i+1} satisfies the equation

$$z_{i+1}^q + z_{i+1} = x_i^{q+1},$$

with

$$x_{i+1} := \frac{z_{i+1}}{x_i} \in F^{(i+1)}.$$

Another construction of a recursive tower is due to Bassa, Beelen, Garcia and Stichtenoth [6]. Using this tower they obtained an improvement of the Gilbert-Varshamov (GV) bound for all non-prime fields \mathbb{F}_l with $l \leq 49$, except possibly $l = 125$ in [7]. The curve associated to the second function fields of this tower is called BBGS curve according to [6]. Recently, Hu [12] presented multi-point AG codes overstepping the GV bound from BBGS curves in [6].

In the work [9], the authors pointed out all the rational places on such function fields. However, it is really complicated to compute the bases of Riemann-Roch spaces related to these function fields. The second function field $F^{(2)}$ is the Hermitian function field, which is known to be the unique function field over K of genus $g = q(q - 1)/2$ that attains the Weil bound [19].

The basic fact about Hermitian function field is that there exists only one rational place P_∞ on the infinity. A basis of the Riemann-Roch space attached to this place can be given by the monomial polynomials, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}(rP_\infty) = \text{span} \left\{ x_1^i x_2^j \mid 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, iq + j(q+1) \leq r \right\}.$$

See Lemma 6.4.4 in [20] for more information. Now we come to the third function field $F^{(3)}$. Let us introduce two rational places $P^{(3)}$ and $Q^{(3)}$ and two divisors $S_0^{(3)}$ and $S_1^{(3)}$. They can be expressed formally as

1. $P^{(3)} = (x_1 = \infty)$,
2. $Q^{(3)} = (x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0)$,
3. $S_0^{(3)} = (x_1 = x_2 = 0, x_3 \neq 0)$, and
4. $S_1^{(3)} = (x_1 = 0, x_2 \neq 0)$.

Actually, the divisor $S_0^{(3)}$ (resp. $S_1^{(3)}$) contains $q-1$ places in $F^{(3)}$ denoted by $S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}$ (resp. $S_{1,\mu}^{(3)}$) for $1 \leq \mu \leq q-1$. This means that $S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}$ (resp. $S_{1,\mu}^{(3)}$) is the unique zero of $z_3 - \alpha_\mu$ (resp. $z_2 - \alpha_\mu$), where $\alpha_\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and $\alpha_\mu^{q-1} + 1 = 0$. In other words, we have the following decompositions used when we consider divisors on a line:

$$S_0^{(3)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}, \quad S_1^{(3)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} S_{1,\mu}^{(3)}.$$

In general, it is a hard problem to determine a basis for a Riemann-Roch space. However, Voss and Høholdt [21] gave a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$.

Proposition 1.2 ([21], Theorem 4.3). *The set*

$$B(t, u) := \left\{ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} z_3^j \mid (i_1, i_2, j) \in I(t, u) \right\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{L}(tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$ over K , where $I(t, u) := I_1(t, u) \cup I_2(t, u) \cup I_3(t, u)$ with

$$I_1(t, u) = \left\{ (i_1, i_2, j) \mid 0 \leq i_1, 0 \leq i_2, j \leq q-1, i_2q + j(q+1) \leq u - i_1q^2, i_2q + j(q+1) \leq t + i_1q \right\},$$

$$I_2(t, u) = \left\{ (i_1, -i_2, j) \mid 1 \leq i_2 \leq q, i_1 \geq i_2q, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, j(q+1) \leq u + i_2q - i_1q^2, \right.$$

$$\left. j(q+1) \leq t + i_2q + i_1q, (i_1 - 1, -i_2 + q, j) \notin I_1(t, u) \right\},$$

$$I_3(t, u) = \left\{ (-i_1, i_2, j) \mid 1 \leq i_1, 1 \leq i_2 \leq q-1, i_1 \geq i_2q, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, \right.$$

$$\left. i_2q + j(q+1) \leq u + i_1q^2, i_2q + j(q+1) \leq t - i_1q \right\}.$$

Voss and Høholdt [21] succeeded in counting the elements in the set $B(t, u)$, see Proposition 4.9 in [21]. The set $I(t, u)$ above is constructed explicitly, but it seems complicated and unnatural. So this work is intended as an attempt to motivate the result of [21]. Precisely speaking, we would give another natural and brief exposition of the bases for various Riemann-Roch spaces $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$ in $F^{(3)}$ and $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v S_{1,v}^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$. This is briefly described below.

Theorem 0. Let $w = x_1^q/x_2$ and $v = x_1^q x_2^{q-1}/x_3$.

1. The elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ with (i, j, k) satisfying certain conditions form a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$.
2. The elements $x_1^i \prod_{v=1}^{q-1} (z_2 - \alpha_v)^{j_v} \prod_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (z_3 - \alpha_\mu)^{k_\mu}$ with $(i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1})$ satisfying certain conditions form a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v S_{1,v}^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$.

It seems that the difficult part is to find enough linearly independent elements of a special form. Fortunately we can find them by considering a natural form of elements and counting these elements. Then we utilize these bases to calculate the Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gap sets at certain places on $F^{(3)}$. It should be noted that Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps are of vital use in finding AG codes with good parameters. We refer the reader to the works [3, 4, 5, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 23] for the technique to improve the parameters of AG codes using Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some arithmetic properties of the function fields of the second tower introduced in Definition 1.1 and construct a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$. Section 3 is devoted to investigating the properties of divisors on a line and finding a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(rQ^{(3)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v S_{1,v}^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$. Finally, we demonstrate the Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps at certain places on $F^{(3)}$ in Section 4.

2. The arithmetic properties of the tower

We start with some notations. Let q be a power of a prime p and $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ be a finite field of cardinality q^2 . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, F^{(3)}, \dots\}$ be the tower of function fields as defined in Definition 1.1. We denote by $P^{(1)}$ and $Q^{(1)}$ the pole and the zero of x_1 in $F^{(1)}$ respectively. Let $\mathbb{P}(F^{(n)})$ be the set of places of the function field $F^{(n)}/K$ for $n \geq 1$. The following diagram Figure 1 gives a classification of rational places over $P^{(1)}$ and $Q^{(1)}$ in $\mathbb{P}(F^{(n)})$ and distinguishes the ramifications of distinct rational places, where $P^{(n)}$ and $Q^{(n)}$ are rational places and the divisors $S_k^{(n)}$ are combined with some rational places for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. The integers in Figure 1 are the ramification indices of related places, for instance, the ramification index $e(P^{(2)}|P^{(1)}) = q$.




Figure 1: Ramifications for towers $F^{(n)}/K$

For the convenience of the reader we paraphrase several results in [9]. In the next proposition, we denote by

$$\operatorname{div}_n(x_n) = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(F^{(n)})} v_P(x_n) P$$

the principal divisor of x_n in the function field $F^{(n)}$, where v_P is the normalized discrete valuation associated with P .

Proposition 2.1 ([9], Lemma 2.9 and Theorem 2.10). *For $n \geq 1$, we have the following assertions.*

1. $\deg(Q^{(n)}) = 1$, $\deg(P^{(n)}) = 1$ and

$$\deg(S_i^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} q^i(q-1) & \text{for } 2i+3 \leq n, \\ q^{n-i-2}(q-1) & \text{for } 2i+3 > n. \end{cases}$$

2. The principle divisor of x_n in $F^{(n)}$ is

$$\operatorname{div}_n(x_n) = q^{n-1} Q^{(n)} - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} q^{n-2-2i} S_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}^{n-2} S_i^{(n)} - P^{(n)}.$$

3. The genus of $F^{(n)}$ is given by

$$g^{(n)} = \begin{cases} q^n + q^{n-1} - q^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - 2q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + 1 & \text{when } n \text{ is odd,} \\ q^n + q^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{n}{2}+1} - \frac{3}{2}q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + 1 & \text{when } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

With Figure 1 and Proposition 2.1 we get the principle divisors of x_1, x_2 and x_3 in $F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}$ and $F^{(3)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_1(x_1) &= Q^{(1)} - P^{(1)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_2(x_1) &= Q^{(2)} + S_0^{(2)} - qP^{(2)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_3(x_1) &= Q^{(3)} + S_0^{(3)} + qS_1^{(3)} - q^2P^{(3)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_2(x_2) &= qQ^{(2)} - S_0^{(2)} - P^{(2)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_3(x_2) &= qQ^{(3)} + qS_0^{(3)} - qS_1^{(3)} - qP^{(3)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_3(x_3) &= q^2Q^{(3)} - qS_0^{(3)} - S_1^{(3)} - P^{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = \frac{x_1^q}{x_2}$ and $v = \frac{x_1^q x_2^{q-1}}{x_3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_3(w) &= (q^2 + q)S_1^{(3)} - (q^3 - q)P^{(3)}, \\ \operatorname{div}_3(v) &= (q^2 + q)S_0^{(3)} + (q + 1)S_1^{(3)} - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)P^{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_3(x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k) &= iQ^{(3)} + (i + (q^2 + q)k)S_0^{(3)} + (qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q + 1)k)S_1^{(3)} \\ &\quad + (-q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k)P^{(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

For convenience, we denote by $\lceil x \rceil$ the smallest integer not less than x . Then $j = \lceil \alpha/\beta \rceil$ means that

$$j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \leq \beta j < \alpha + \beta.$$

Let us define a lattice point set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{r,s,t,u} = \left\{ (i, j, k) \mid \begin{aligned} &-r \leq i, -s \leq i + (q^2 + q)k < -s + (q^2 + q), \\ &-t \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q + 1)k < -t + (q^2 + q), \\ &-u \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \end{aligned} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{r,s,t,u} := \left\{ (i, j, k) \mid \begin{aligned} &-r \leq i, k = \left\lceil \frac{-s-i}{q^2+q} \right\rceil, j = \left\lceil \frac{-t-qi-(q+1)k}{q^2+q} \right\rceil, \\ &-u \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is useful in calculating bases of Riemman-Roch spaces in $F^{(3)}$, whose proof is very technical and so is given later after some preparations.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists a constant R depending on s, t, u , such that for all $r \geq R$,*

$$\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} = 1 - g^{(3)} + r + (q-1)s + (q-1)t + u.$$

Our main result is given in the next proposition, which states the bases of various Riemann-Roch spaces.

Proposition 2.3. *The elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ form a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$, where we denote $G := rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)}$.*

Proof. Let $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$. Then one easily gets $x_1^i w^j v^k \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. We have from (2.1) that $v_{Q^{(3)}}(x_1^i w^j v^k) = i$, which indicates that the valuation of $x_1^i w^j v^k$ at the rational place $Q^{(3)}$ uniquely depends on i . Since the lattice points in $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ provide distinct values of i , the elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ are linearly independent of each other, with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$. In order to show that they constitute a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$, the only thing is to prove that

$$\ell(G) = \#\Omega_{r,s,t,u},$$

where $\ell(G)$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. For the case of r sufficiently large, it follows from the Riemann-Roch Theorem and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(G) &= 1 - g^{(3)} + \deg(G) \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + r + (q-1)s + (q-1)t + u \\ &= \#\Omega_{r,s,t,u}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is spanned by the elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$.

For the general case, we choose $r' > r$ large enough and set $G' := r'Q^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)}$. From above argument, we know that the elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r',s,t,u}$ span the whole space of $\mathcal{L}(G')$. Remember that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(G')$, which can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}(G') \mid v_{Q^{(3)}}(f) \geq -r \right\}.$$

Thus, we choose $f \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ and suppose that

$$f = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \Omega_{r',s,t,u}} a_i x_1^i w^j v^k,$$

since $f \in \mathcal{L}(G')$ by definition. The valuation of f at $Q^{(3)}$ is $v_{Q^{(3)}}(f) = \min_{a_i \neq 0} \{i\}$. Then the inequality $v_{Q^{(3)}}(f) \geq -r$ gives that, if $a_i \neq 0$, then $i \geq -r$. Equivalently, if $i < -r$, then $a_i = 0$. From the definition of $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ and $\Omega_{r',s,t,u}$, we get that

$$f = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}} a_i x_1^i w^j v^k.$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is also spanned by the elements $x_1^i w^j v^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ and the theorem then follows. \square

Corollary 2.4. *The elements $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k$ with $(i, j, k) \in \Omega'_{r,s,t,u}$ form a basis of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$, where $G = rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'_{r,s,t,u} = \left\{ (i, j, k) \mid \begin{aligned} &-r \leq i + qj + q^2k, \\ &-s \leq i + qj - qk < -s + (q^2 + q), \\ &-t \leq qi - qj - k < -t + (q^2 + q), \\ &-u \leq -q^2i - qj - k \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$x_1^i w^j v^k = x_1^{i+qj+qk} x_2^{-j+(q-1)k} x_3^{-k}.$$

Let $I := i + qj + qk$, $J := -j + (q-1)k$, and $K := -k$. Then, we find that

$$\left\{ x_1^I x_2^J x_3^K \mid (I, J, K) \in \Omega'_{r,s,t,u} \right\} = \left\{ x_1^i w^j z^k \mid (i, j, k) \in \Omega_{r,s,t,u} \right\}.$$

Now the corollary follows from Proposition 2.3. \square

Remark 2.5. *When $r = 0$ and $s = 0$, we can obtain the bases of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)})$ by Proposition 2.3 and Corollary 2.4. Note that these are new bases different from the ones in Proposition 1.2.*

The proof of Proposition 2.2 will be given in several steps.

1. After transformation indicated in Lemma 2.7, we may assume that $s = 0$, $0 \leq t < q+1$ and $q^3 + q^2 \leq u < 2(q^3 + q^2)$.
2. Let $r^* := q^3 - q$ and $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. Lemma 2.9 tells us that the difference of $\#\Omega_{r,0,t,u}$ and $\#\Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*}$ is $(r - r^*) + (u - u^*)$. So it is enough to give a formula for $\#\Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*}$.
3. Lemma 2.12 is devoted to reduce our three-dimensional lattice point set $\Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*}$ to several two-dimensional lattice point sets.
4. Using Pick's theorem, one can easily solve the related two-dimensional counting problems, which is done in Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11.

In order to prove Proposition 2.2, we need to do some preparations.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $t - qs = \hat{t} + (q+1)\alpha$ with $0 \leq \hat{t} < q+1$, and $u + q^2s - (q+1)\alpha = (q^3 + q^2)\beta + \hat{u}$. Let $\hat{r} = r - s + (q^2 + q)\alpha + (q^3 + q^2)\beta$. Then*

$$rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)} \sim \hat{r}Q^{(3)} + \hat{t}S_1^{(3)} + \hat{u}P^{(3)}.$$

Proof. It follows from the divisors of x_1 , w and v that

$$\text{div}_3(x_1^{q^2+q} w^{-q} v^{-1}) = (q^2 + q)Q^{(3)} - (q+1)S_1^{(3)} - (q+1)P^{(3)}$$

and

$$\text{div}_3(x_1^{q^3+q^2} w^{1-q^2} v^{-q}) = (q^3 + q^2)Q^{(3)} - (q^3 + q^2)P^{(3)}.$$

Consider an element $f \in F^{(3)}$, say

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= x_1^{-s} \left(x_1^{q^2+q} w^{-q} v^{-1} \right)^\alpha \left(x_1^{q^3+q^2} w^{1-q^2} v^{-q} \right)^\beta \\ &= x_1^{-s+(q^2+q)\alpha+(q^3+q^2)\beta} w^{-q\alpha+(1-q^2)\beta} v^{-\alpha-q\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & rQ^{(3)} + sS_0^{(3)} + tS_1^{(3)} + uP^{(3)} + \text{div}_3(f) \\ &= (r-s)Q^{(3)} + (t-qs)S_1^{(3)} + (u+q^2s)P^{(3)} + (q^2+q)\alpha Q^{(3)} - (q+1)\alpha S_1^{(3)} \\ &\quad - (q+1)\alpha P^{(3)} + (q^3+q^2)\beta Q^{(3)} - (q^3+q^2)\beta P^{(3)} \\ &= (r-s+(q^2+q)\alpha+(q^3+q^2)\beta) Q^{(3)} + \widehat{t}S_1^{(3)} + \widehat{u}P^{(3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion then follows. \square

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that $t-qs = \widehat{t} + (q+1)\alpha$ with $0 \leq \widehat{t} < q+1$ and $u+q^2s - (q+1)\alpha = (q^3+q^2)\beta + \widehat{u}$. Let $\widehat{r} = r-s + (q^2+q)\alpha + (q^3+q^2)\beta$. Then we have $\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} = \#\Omega_{\widehat{r},0,\widehat{t},\widehat{u}}$ and

$$r + (q-1)s + (q-1)t + u = \widehat{r} + (q-1)\widehat{t} + \widehat{u}.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.6 leads us to define the transformation $I = i + s - (q^2+q)\alpha - (q^3+q^2)\beta$, $J = j + q\alpha - (1-q^2)\beta$ and $K = k + \alpha + q\beta$, then $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (I, J, K) \mid \right. & -\widehat{r} \leq I, 0 \leq I + (q^2+q)K < q^2+q, \\ & -\widehat{t} \leq qI + (q^2+q)J + (q+1)K < -\widehat{t} + (q^2+q), \\ & \left. -\widehat{u} \leq -q^2I - (q^3-q)J - (q^3+q^2-q-1)K \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.8. The lattice point set $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ is symmetric, i.e., $\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} = \#\Omega_{u,t,s,r}$.

Proof. Let $I = -q^2i - (q^3-q)j - (q^3+q^2-q-1)k$, $J = (q-1)i + (q^2-q-1)j + (q^2-1)k$, $K = i + qj + qk$, then $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (I, J, K) \mid \right. & -r \leq -q^2I - (q^3-q)J - (q^3+q^2-q-1)K, \\ & -s \leq qI + (q^2+q)J + (q+1)K < -s + (q^2+q), \\ & \left. -t \leq I + (q^2+q)K < -t + (q^2+q), -u \leq I \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is identical with $\Omega_{u,t,s,r}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. Let $r^* := q^3 - q$, and $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. For $r \geq r^*$, $u \geq u^*$ and $0 \leq s, t < q+1$, we have $\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} = \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u^*} + (r-r^*) + (u-u^*)$.

Proof. It is clearly that $\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u} \subseteq \Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ for $r \geq r^*$. We have by definition that the complement of $\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u}$ in $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ is given by

$$\Omega_{r,s,t,u} \setminus \Omega_{r^*,s,t,u} = \left\{ (i, j, k) \mid -r \leq i \leq -(r^* + 1), \right. \quad (A_1)$$

$$\left. -s \leq i + (q^2 + q)k < -s + (q^2 + q), \right. \quad (A_2)$$

$$\left. -t \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q + 1)k < -t + (q^2 + q), \right. \quad (A_3)$$

$$\left. -u \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \right\}. \quad (A_4)$$

Firstly, we shall show that Condition (A₄) is invalid under the other conditions. Let $N := qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q + 1)k$. We obtain by Condition (A₃) that

$$N < -t + (q^2 + q) \leq q^2 + q,$$

and by Condition (A₂) that

$$i < -s + (q^2 + q) - (q^2 + q)k \leq (q^2 + q)(1 - k).$$

The right hand side of (A₄) verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} & -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \\ &= -qi - (q - 1)N - (q^3 - q)k \\ &> (q^2 + q)(k - q + 1) - u^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from Conditions (A₁) and (A₂) that

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{-s - i}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{r^* - q + 1}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil = q - 1.$$

So we can omit Condition (A₄) according to Equation (2.3). Since Conditions (A₂) and (A₃) only tell us that j and k depend on i ; namely

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{-s - i}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil, \quad j = \left\lceil \frac{-t - qi - (q + 1)k}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil.$$

So we can omit them too. Therefore, the set $\Omega_{r,s,t,u} \setminus \Omega_{r^*,s,t,u}$ is equivalent to the lattice point set

$$\left\{ i \mid -r \leq i \leq -(r^* + 1) \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} - \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u} = \#(\Omega_{r,s,t,u} \setminus \Omega_{r^*,s,t,u}) = r - r^*. \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.8 we get

$$\#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} - \#\Omega_{r,s,t,u^*} = u - u^*. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining Equations (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} - \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u^*} &= \#\Omega_{r,s,t,u} - \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u} + \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u} - \#\Omega_{r^*,s,t,u^*} \\ &= (r - r^*) + (u - u^*), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the lemma. □

Lemma 2.10. Let a and u be two non-negative integers and let $\Psi_a(u)$ be a lattice point set

$$\Psi_a(u) := \left\{ (i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < q^2 + q, \right. \quad (B_1)$$

$$0 \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j < q^2 + q, \quad (B_2)$$

$$\left. q^2i + (q^3 - q)j \leq u - (q^3 + q^2)a \right\}.$$

Then for $u \geq u^* := q^3 + q^2$, we have

$$\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_a(u) = (-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + \left\lfloor \frac{u}{q} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. According to Condition (B_1) , we may assume $i = c(q + 1) + d$, with $0 \leq c < q$, and $0 \leq d < q + 1$. Then Condition (B_2) can be restated as

$$0 \leq qd + (q^2 + q)(j + c) < q^2 + q,$$

which is equivalent to $j = -c$. Hence, we get

$$\Psi_a(u) \cong \left\{ (c, d) \mid 0 \leq c < q, 0 \leq d < q + 1, (q^2 + q)(qa + c) + q^2d \leq u \right\}.$$

Let $e = qa + c$. We find that $\Psi_a(u)$ is equivalent to

$$\Psi'_a(u) := \left\{ (d, e) \mid qa \leq e < q + qa, \right. \quad (C_1)$$

$$0 \leq d < q + 1, \quad (C_2)$$

$$\left. (q^2 + q)e + q^2d \leq u \right\}. \quad (C_3)$$

For abbreviation we set $\Psi(u) := \bigcup_{a=0}^{\infty} \Psi'_a(u)$. Note that Conditions (C_2) and (C_3) are independent of a . So we can combine Condition (C_1) for $a \geq 0$. We can write down Condition (C_1) for various a as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq e < q & \quad \text{for } a = 0, \\ q \leq e < 2q & \quad \text{for } a = 1, \\ 2q \leq e < 3q & \quad \text{for } a = 2, \\ & \dots \end{aligned}$$

This gives a total condition $e \geq 0$ and a new expression for $\Psi(u)$

$$\Psi(u) := \left\{ (d, e) \mid 0 \leq e, 0 \leq d < q + 1, (q^2 + q)e + q^2d \leq u \right\}.$$

Using the fact that $\Psi(u^*)$ contains exactly the points of the triangle $\triangle OAB$ except the vertex B , one can easily show that

$$\#\Psi(u^*) = \#\triangle OAB - 1 = (q^2 + 3q + 2)/2. \quad (2.6)$$

Denote by M_λ the lattice point set

$$M_\lambda := \left\{ (d, e) \mid 0 \leq d < q + 1, (q^2 + q)e + q^2d = \lambda \right\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\Psi(u) = \Psi(u^*) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\lambda=u^*+1}^u M_\lambda \right).$$

The set M_λ is non-empty if and only if $q \mid \lambda$. For such λ , there exists only one lattice point on M_λ . So we have

$$\#M_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } q \mid \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{for } q \nmid \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then we get

$$\#\Psi(u) = \#\Psi(u^*) + \left\lfloor \frac{u-u^*}{q} \right\rfloor = (-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + \left\lfloor \frac{u}{q} \right\rfloor,$$

which finishes the proof. \square




Figure 2: the lattice point set $\Psi(u)$ with $q = 4$

Lemma 2.11. *Let b be an integer such that $0 \leq b < q - 1$ and let t be a non-negative integer. Suppose that $\Phi_b^{(1)}$ is a lattice point set*

$$\Phi_b^{(1)} := \left\{ (i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < q^2 + q, -t - (q+1)b \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j < 0 \right\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Phi_b^{(1)} = q^2(q-1)/2 + qt.$$

Proof. Denote by L_λ the lattice point set

$$L_\lambda := \left\{ (i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < q^2 + q, qi + (q^2 + q)j = -\lambda \right\}.$$

The set L_λ is non-empty if and only if $q \mid \lambda$. For such λ , there exist q lattice points on L_λ . So we have

$$\#L_\lambda = \begin{cases} q & \text{for } q \mid \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{for } q \nmid \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that

$$\Phi_b^{(1)} = \bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{t+(q+1)b} L_\lambda,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \# \Phi_b^{(1)} &= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^{t+(q+1)b} \# L_\lambda \right) \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{t+(q+1)b}{q} \right\rfloor q \\
&= q \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} b + q \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{t+b}{q} \right\rfloor \\
&= q^2(q-1)/2 + qt.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let $r^* = q^3 - q$, $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. Then we have for $0 \leq t < q + 1$*

$$\# \Omega_{r^*, 0, t, u^*} = 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + (q-1)t + u^*.$$

Proof. It is important to write $k = -qa + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq b < q$. We define

$$\Theta_k := \Theta_{a,b} := \left\{ (i, j) \mid (i, j, -qa + b) \in \Omega_{r^*, 0, t, u} \right\}.$$

Precisely speaking, Θ_k is given by

$$\Theta_k = \left\{ (i, j) \mid -r^* \leq i, \right. \quad (D_1)$$

$$0 \leq i + (q^2 + q)k < (q^2 + q), \quad (D_2)$$

$$-t \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q+1)k < -t + (q^2 + q), \quad (D_3)$$

$$-u \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \}. \quad (D_4)$$

So we have $k = \left\lceil \frac{-i}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \leq \left\lceil \frac{r^*}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil = q - 1$ and therefore Θ_k is empty for $k > q - 1$. While for $k \leq q - 1$, Condition (D₂) implies that

$$i \geq -(q^2 + q)(q - 1) = -r^*.$$

So Condition (D₁) is invalid. Then we have

$$\Omega_{r^*, 0, t, u} = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \Theta_k = \bigcup_{b=0}^{q-1} \bigcup_{a=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{a,b}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\tilde{i} := i + (q^2 + q)k$, $\tilde{j} := j - qk - a$, and $u = u^* + l(q^2 + q)$ with $0 \leq l < q$. Then $\Theta_{a,b}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{a,b,l} &= \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) \mid 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \right. \\
&\quad \left. -t - (q+1)b \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < (q^2 + q) - (t + (q+1)b), \right. \quad (E_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$q^2\tilde{i} + (q^3 - q)\tilde{j} \leq u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q + 1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \}.$$

By Equation (2.7) and Lemma 2.9 we obtain

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Phi_{a,b,l} = \#\Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*+(q^2+q)l} = \#\Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*} + (q^2 + q)l. \quad (2.8)$$

Condition (E_2) can be split into two parts; namely,

$$\text{Part (1): } -(t + (q + 1)b) \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < 0,$$

and

$$\text{Part (2): } 0 \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < (q^2 + q) - t - (q + 1)b.$$

Then we obtain

$$\Phi_{a,b,l} = \Phi_{a,b,l}^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{a,b,l}^{(2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{a,b,l}^{(1)} = \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) \mid \begin{aligned} &0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ &-t - (q + 1)b \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < 0, \\ &q^2\tilde{i} + (q^3 - q)\tilde{j} \leq u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q + 1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \end{aligned} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (F_3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{a,b,l}^{(2)} = \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) \mid \begin{aligned} &0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ &0 \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < (q^2 + q) - (t + (q + 1)b), \\ &q^2\tilde{i} + (q^3 - q)\tilde{j} \leq u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q + 1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{j} = \tilde{j} + 1$, then $\Phi_{a,b,l}^{(1)}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} = \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \hat{j}) \mid \begin{aligned} &0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ &(q^2 + q) - (t + (q + 1)b) \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\hat{j} < q^2 + q, \\ &q^2\tilde{i} + (q^3 - q)\hat{j} \leq u^* + (q^2 + q)(l + q - 1) + (q + 1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{a,b,l} = \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}) \mid \begin{aligned} &0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ &0 \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j} < (q^2 + q), \\ &q^2\tilde{i} + (q^3 - q)\tilde{j} \leq u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q + 1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can split the set $\Psi_{a,b,l}$ as follows

$$\Psi_{a,b,q-1} = \hat{\Phi}_{a,b,0}^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{a,b,q-1}^{(2)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{a,b,0} &= \widehat{\Phi}_{a+1,b,1}^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{a,b,0}^{(2)}, \\
\Psi_{a,b,1} &= \widehat{\Phi}_{a+1,b,2}^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{a,b,1}^{(2)}, \\
&\dots, \\
\Psi_{a,b,q-2} &= \widehat{\Phi}_{a+1,b,q-1}^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{a,b,q-2}^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} = \#\widehat{\Phi}_{a,b,0}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \#\widehat{\Phi}_{a+1,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \#\Phi_{a,b,l}^{(2)}.$$

Using this equation, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Phi_{a,b,l} &= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Phi_{a,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Phi_{a,b,l}^{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Phi}_{a,b,0}^{(1)} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \left(\#\widehat{\Phi}_{0,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Phi}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} \right) + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Phi_{a,b,l}^{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \#\Phi_{0,b,l}^{(1)}. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

In the following we give the explicit calculation of Equation (2.9). From the proof of Lemma 2.9, we see that when $a = 0$ the condition (F_3) is invalid. Applying Lemma 2.11 we get

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Phi_{0,b,l}^{(1)} = qt + q^2(q-1)/2,$$

and then we have

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \#\Phi_{0,b,l}^{(1)} = (q-1)qt + q^2(q-1)^2/2. \tag{2.10}$$

By Lemma 2.10 we have

$$\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} = (-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + \left\lfloor \frac{u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q+1)b}{q} \right\rfloor,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} &= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left((-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + \left\lfloor \frac{u^* + (q^2 + q)l + (q+1)b}{q} \right\rfloor \right) \\
&= q^2(-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} ((q+1)l + b) + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{u^* + b}{q} \right\rfloor \\
&= q^2(-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + q \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} b + (q+1)q \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} l + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} u^* \\
&= q^2(-q^2 + q + 2)/2 + (q^3 - q^2)/2 + (q^4 - q^2)/2 + qu^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$= q^3 + qu^*. \quad (2.11)$$

On the other hand, it follows from Equation (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \# \Phi_{a,b,l} &= \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} (\# \Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*} + (q^2 + q)l) \\ &= q \# \Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*} + (q^4 - q^2)/2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By Proposition 2.1, the genus is

$$g^{(3)} = q^3 - 2q + 1 = r^* - q + 1.$$

Using Equations (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \# \Omega_{r^*,0,t,u^*} &= q + (q-1)t + u^* \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + (q-1)t + u^*. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Now Proposition 2.2 follows from Lemmas 2.7, 2.9 and 2.12.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $r \geq R$. The cardinality of $\Omega_{r,s,t,u}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \# \Omega_{r,s,t,u} &= \# \Omega_{\widehat{r},0,\widehat{t},\widehat{u}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7}) \\ &= \# \Omega_{r^*,0,\widehat{t},u^*} + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + (q-1)\widehat{t} + u^* + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.12}) \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + \widehat{r} + (q-1)\widehat{t} + \widehat{u} \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + r + (q-1)s + (q-1)t + u. \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7}) \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 2.2. \square

3. Divisors on a line

Let α_μ be distinct elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $\alpha_\mu^{q-1} + 1 = 0$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq q-1$. Recall that the divisors $S_0^{(3)}$ and $S_1^{(3)}$ are decomposed as follows:

$$S_0^{(3)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}, \quad S_1^{(3)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} S_{1,\mu}^{(3)},$$

where $S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}$ and $S_{1,\mu}^{(3)}$ are rational places of $z_3 - \alpha_\mu$ and $z_2 - \alpha_\mu$, respectively. By Definition 1.1 that $z_2 = x_1 x_2$ and $z_3 = x_2 x_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_3(z_2) &= (q+1)Q^{(3)} + (q+1)S_0^{(3)} - (q^2 + q)P^{(3)}, \\ \text{div}_3(z_3) &= (q^2 + q)Q^{(3)} - (q+1)S_1^{(3)} - (q+1)P^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_2(z_2 - \alpha_\mu) &= (q+1)S_{1,\mu}^{(2)} - (q+1)P^{(2)}, \\
\operatorname{div}_3(z_2 - \alpha_\mu) &= (q^2+q)S_{1,\mu}^{(3)} - (q^2+q)P^{(3)}, \\
\operatorname{div}_3(z_3 - \alpha_\mu) &= (q^2+q)S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} - (q+1)S_1^{(3)} - (q+1)P^{(3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this section we denote $G := rQ^{(3)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v S_{1,v}^{(3)} + uP^{(3)}$ and define a lattice point set $\Omega_{r,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},u}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid -r \leq i, \right. \\
&\quad -s_\mu \leq i + (q^2+q)k_\mu < -s_\mu + (q^2+q) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad -t_v \leq qi + (q^2+q)j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu < -t_v + (q^2+q) \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad \left. -u \leq -q^2i - (q^2+q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently it is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid -r \leq i, \right. \\
&\quad k_\mu = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_\mu}{q^2+q} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad j_v = \left\lceil \frac{-qi - t_v}{q^2+q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\rceil \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad \left. -u \leq -q^2i - (q^2+q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\}. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

The main results of this section is given below.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a constant R depending on s_μ , t_v and u , such that for $r \geq R$,*

$$\#\Omega_{r,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},u} = 1 - g^{(3)} + r + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v + u.$$

We will prove Proposition 3.1 after some preparations. Before we do so, we can determine a basis for the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(G)$ applying Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *The elements $x_1^i \prod_{v=1}^{q-1} (z_2 - \alpha_v)^{j_v} \prod_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (z_3 - \alpha_\mu)^{k_\mu}$ with $(i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \in \Omega_{r,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},u}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{L}(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to compute the divisors of these elements in $F^{(3)}$:

$$\operatorname{div}_3 \left(x_1^i \prod_{v=1}^{q-1} (z_2 - \alpha_v)^{j_v} \prod_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (z_3 - \alpha_\mu)^{k_\mu} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= iQ^{(3)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} (i + (q^2 + q)k_\mu) S_{0,\mu}^{(3)} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \left(qi + (q^2 + q)j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right) S_{1,v}^{(3)} \\
&\quad + \left(-q^2i - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right) P^{(3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.3, we achieve the proof by using Proposition 3.1. \square

Now we give some lemmas describing the lattice point set $\Omega_{r,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},u}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Denote $s_0 := r$ and $t_0 := u$. The lattice point set $\Omega_{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},t_0}$ satisfies the following identities:*

$$\#\Omega_{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},t_0} = \#\Omega_{s'_0,s'_1,\dots,s'_{q-1},t'_1,\dots,t'_{q-1},t'_0},$$

and

$$\#\Omega_{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},t_0} = \#\Omega_{t'_0,t'_1,\dots,t'_{q-1},s'_1,\dots,s'_{q-1},s'_0},$$

where the sequences $(s'_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$ and $(t'_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$ are permutations of $(s_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$ and $(t_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$, respectively.

Proof. Recall that the lattice point set $\Omega_{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},t_0}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid -s_0 \leq i, \right. \\
&\quad k_\mu = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad -t_v \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
&\quad \left. -t_0 \leq -q^2i - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\}. \tag{H_4}
\end{aligned}$$

Write $-t_0 = qi + (q^2 + q)j_0 - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu - \eta$ for integers j_0 and η , where $0 \leq \eta < q^2 + q$. Then the last condition (H₄) gives that

$$i + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j_v \leq \frac{\eta}{q^2 + q} < 1,$$

and consequently

$$i + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j_v \leq 0.$$

Namely the set $\Omega_{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_{q-1},t_1,\dots,t_{q-1},t_0}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (i, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid -s_0 \leq i, \right. \\
&\quad k_\mu = \left\lceil \frac{-i - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -t_v \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 0, \dots, q-1, \\
& i + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j_v \leq 0 \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

So the number of the lattice points does not depend on the order of t_v with $0 \leq v \leq q-1$.

Further, we write $i = i' + (q^2 + q)l'$ with $0 \leq i' < q^2 + q$. Let $k_\mu = k'_\mu - l'$ and $j_v = j'_v - (q+1)l'$ for $\mu \geq 1$ and $v \geq 0$. The set $\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ in (3.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (i', l', j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_{q-1}, k'_1, \dots, k'_{q-1}) \mid -s_0 \leq i' + (q^2 + q)l', 0 \leq i' < q^2 + q, \right. \\
& k'_\mu = \left\lceil \frac{-i' - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
& -t_v \leq qi' + (q^2 + q)j'_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k'_\mu - (q+1)l' < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 0, \dots, q-1, \\
& i' + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j'_v \leq 0 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first inequality gives that $l' \geq k'_0 := \left\lceil \frac{-i' - s_0}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil$. By taking $l' = k'_0 + \mathfrak{l}'$ with $\mathfrak{l}' \geq 0$, we can rewrite $\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (i', \mathfrak{l}', j'_0, j'_1, \dots, j'_{q-1}, k'_0, k'_1, \dots, k'_{q-1}) \mid 0 \leq i' < q^2 + q, \mathfrak{l}' \geq 0, \right. \\
& k'_\mu = \left\lceil \frac{-i' - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \text{ for } \mu = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, \\
& -t_v \leq qi' + (q^2 + q)j'_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} k'_\mu - (q+1)\mathfrak{l}' < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 0, \dots, q-1, \\
& i' + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j'_v \leq 0 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that the number of the lattice points also does not depend on the order of s_μ with $0 \leq \mu \leq q-1$, which concludes the first assertion.

For the second assertion, the proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.8. The details are showed below. By taking

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= -q^2 i - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu, \\
K_\mu &:= i + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v + j_\mu \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\
J_v &:= qi + (q+1) \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} j_a + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu + k_v \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1,
\end{aligned}$$

the set $\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ in (3.1) becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left| (I, J_1, \dots, J_{q-1}, K_1, \dots, K_{q-1}) \right| - t_0 \leq I, \\ & -t_\mu \leq I + (q^2 + q)K_\mu < -t_\mu + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ & -s_v \leq qI + (q^2 + q)J_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} K_\mu < -s_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ & -s_0 \leq -q^2 I - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} J_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} K_\mu \}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

We find that this is just the set $\Omega_{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, s_0}$. So the second assertion follows directly from the first assertion. This finishes the whole proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $0 \leq s_\mu, t_v < q^2 + q$. Let $s_1 = \min_{1 \leq \mu \leq q-1} \{s_\mu\}$, $\hat{r} = r - (q^2 + q + 1)s_1$, $\hat{s}_\mu = s_\mu - s_1$, $t_v + s_1 = \hat{t}_v + (q^2 + q)l_v$ and $\hat{u} = u + (q^2 + q + 1)s_1 + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} l_v$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\Omega_{r, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} &= \#\Omega_{\hat{r}, 0, \hat{s}_2, \dots, \hat{s}_{q-1}, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{q-1}, \hat{u}}, \\ r + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v + u &= \hat{r} + \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \hat{s}_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \hat{t}_v + \hat{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that the set $\Omega_{r, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u}$ is defined by (3.1). By setting $I := i + (q^2 + q + 1)s_1$, $K_\mu := k_\mu - s_1$ and $J_v := j_v - (q+1)s_1 - l_v$, we find that $\Omega_{r, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u}$ becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left| (I, J_1, \dots, J_{q-1}, K_1, \dots, K_{q-1}) \right| - \hat{r} \leq I, \\ & 0 \leq I + (q^2 + q)K_1 < (q^2 + q), \\ & -\hat{s}_\mu \leq I + (q^2 + q)K_\mu < -\hat{s}_\mu + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } \mu = 2, \dots, q-1, \\ & -\hat{t}_v \leq qI + (q^2 + q)J_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} K_\mu < -\hat{t}_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ & -\hat{u} \leq -q^2 I - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} J_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} K_\mu \}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

which is exactly the set $\Omega_{\hat{r}, 0, \hat{s}_2, \dots, \hat{s}_{q-1}, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{q-1}, \hat{u}}$, giving the desired conclusion. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let $r^* := q^3 - q$, $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. Assume that $0 \leq s_\mu, t_v < q^2 + q$ and $u \geq u^*$, then we have

$$\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, s_2, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} = \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} + \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} s_\mu.$$

Proof. The proof is proceeded as that of Lemma 2.12. We start the proof by fixing the index k_1 . Then we define

$$\Theta_k := \left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1 = k, k_2, \dots, k_{q-1}) \in \Omega_{r^*, 0, s_2, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} \right\},$$

where $k = \left\lceil \frac{-i}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \leq q - 1$. So we obtain

$$\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, s_2, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \#\Theta_k. \quad (3.4)$$

For convenience we always assume the subscripts $v = 1, \dots, q - 1$, $\mu = 2, \dots, q - 1$ and $\kappa = 3, \dots, q - 1$.

Let $\tilde{i} := i + (q^2 + q)k$, $\tilde{k}_\mu = k_\mu - k$ and $\tilde{j}_v := j_v - (q + 1)k$. We find that Θ_k is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k := \Big\{ & (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}, \tilde{k}_2, \dots, \tilde{k}_{q-1}) \Big| 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ & -s_2 \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_2 < -s_2 + (q^2 + q), \\ & -s_\kappa \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_\kappa < -s_\kappa + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 3 \leq \kappa \leq q - 1, \\ & -t_v \leq \tilde{q}\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q + 1)k - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q - 1, \\ & -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu + (q + 1)k \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (G_2)$$

We decompose the set Φ_k by splitting Condition (G_2) , namely $\Phi_k = \Phi_k^{(1)} \cup \Phi_k^{(2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k^{(1)} := \Big\{ & (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}, \tilde{k}_2, \dots, \tilde{k}_{q-1}) \Big| 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ & -s_2 \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_2 < 0, \\ & -s_\kappa \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_\kappa < -s_\kappa + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 3 \leq \kappa \leq q - 1, \\ & -t_v \leq \tilde{q}\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q + 1)k - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q - 1, \\ & -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu + (q + 1)k \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k^{(2)} := \Big\{ & (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}, \tilde{k}_2, \dots, \tilde{k}_{q-1}) \Big| 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ & 0 \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_2 < -s_2 + (q^2 + q), \\ & -s_\kappa \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_\kappa < -s_\kappa + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 3 \leq \kappa \leq q - 1, \\ & -t_v \leq \tilde{q}\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q + 1)k - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q - 1, \\ & -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v - (q + 1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu + (q + 1)k \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{k}_2 = \tilde{k}_2 + 1$, then $\Phi_k^{(1)}$ becomes

$$\hat{\Phi}_k^{(1)} := \Big\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}, \hat{k}_2, \tilde{k}_3, \dots, \tilde{k}_{q-1}) \Big| 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^2 + q) - s_2 \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\widehat{k}_2 < q^2 + q, \\
& -s_\kappa \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_\kappa < -s_\kappa + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 3 \leq \kappa \leq q-1, \\
& -t_v \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q+1)(k+1) - (q+1)\widehat{k}_2 - (q+1) \sum_{\kappa=3}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\kappa < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \\
& \hspace{15em} \text{for } 1 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v - (q+1)\widehat{k}_2 - (q+1) \sum_{\kappa=3}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\kappa + (q+1)(k+1) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define the lattice point set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k := \Big\{ & (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}, \tilde{k}_2, \dots, \tilde{k}_{q-1}) \Big| 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\
& 0 \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_2 < q^2 + q, \\
& -s_\kappa \leq \tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{k}_\kappa < -s_\kappa + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 3 \leq \kappa \leq q-1, \\
& -t_v \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q+1)k - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \tilde{k}_\mu + (q+1)k \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\widehat{\Phi}_k^{(1)} \cup \Phi_{k+1}^{(2)} = \Psi_{k+1}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \#\Phi_k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \#\Phi_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \#\Phi_k^{(2)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{q-1} \#\Psi_k + \#\Phi_{q-1}^{(1)}. \quad (3.5)$$

By a similar argument as we have done in the proof of Lemma 2.9, we claim that

$$\#\Phi_{q-1}^{(1)} = s_2.$$

If we combine Equations (3.4) and (3.5), then

$$\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} = \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, s_3, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} + s_2. \quad (3.6)$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 and Equation (3.6), we get

$$\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} = \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} + \sum s_\mu.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $r^* := q^3 - q$, $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. If $r \geq r^*$, $u \geq u^*$ and $0 \leq s_\mu, t_v < q^2 + q$ for $1 \leq \mu, v \leq q-1$, we have*

$$\#\Omega_{r, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} = \#\Omega_{r^*, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{q-1}, u^*} + (r - r^*) + (u - u^*).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.9. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let*

$$\Gamma_b^{(1)} := \left\{ (i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < q^2 + q, -t_1 \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j + (q+1)b < 0 \right\}.$$

Then $\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Gamma_b^{(1)} = qt_1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.11. Let

$$H_t := \left\{ (i, j) \mid 0 \leq i < q^2 + q, qi + (q^2 + q)j + qb = -t \right\}.$$

Then one verifies that

$$\#H_t = \begin{cases} q & \text{for } q \mid t, \\ 0 & \text{for } q \nmid t. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Gamma_b^{(1)} = \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{t=b+1}^{t_1+b} \#H_t = \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{t_1+b}{q} \right\rfloor = qt_1,$$

completing the proof of this lemma. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Set $r^* := q^3 - q$, $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. Assume that $0 \leq t_v < q^2 + q$ for $1 \leq v \leq q-1$, then we have*

$$\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u^*} = \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5 and we give the details here. We consider the set $\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u}$, where $u = u^* + (q^2 + q)l$ for $0 \leq l < q$. Define

$$\Gamma_k := \left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}) \mid (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1 = \dots = k_{q-1} = k) \in \Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} \right\},$$

where $k = \left\lfloor \frac{-i}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor$. Plugging $\tilde{i} := i + (q^2 + q)k$ and $\tilde{j}_v := j_v - (q+1)k$ into Γ_k gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k = \left\{ (\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}_1, \dots, \tilde{j}_{q-1}) \mid \right. & 0 \leq \tilde{i} < q^2 + q, \\ & -t_v \leq q\tilde{i} + (q^2 + q)\tilde{j}_v + (q+1)k < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q-1, \\ & \left. -u \leq -q^2\tilde{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \tilde{j}_v + (q+1)k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $k = -qa + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq b < q$. Let $\bar{i} := \tilde{i}$ and $\bar{j}_v := \tilde{j}_v - a$. It then follows that Γ_k becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{a,b,l} := \left\{ (\bar{i}, \bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_{q-1}) \mid \right. & 0 \leq \bar{i} < q^2 + q, \\ & -t_1 \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_1 + (q+1)b < -t_1 + (q^2 + q), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -t_v \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_v + (q+1)b < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 2 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -(u^* + (q^2 + q)l) \leq -q^2\bar{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \bar{j}_v + (q+1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \}.
\end{aligned}$$

The set $\Gamma_{a,b,l}$ has a partition $\Gamma_{a,b,l} = \Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(1)} := \Big\{ (\bar{i}, \bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_{q-1}) \Big| & 0 \leq \bar{i} < q^2 + q, \\
& -t_1 \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_1 + (q+1)b < 0, \\
& -t_v \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_v + (q+1)b < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 2 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -(u^* + (q^2 + q)l) \leq -q^2\bar{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \bar{j}_v + (q+1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(2)} := \Big\{ (\bar{i}, \bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_{q-1}) \Big| & 0 \leq \bar{i} < q^2 + q, \\
& 0 \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_1 + (q+1)b < -t_1 + (q^2 + q), \\
& -t_v \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_v + (q+1)b < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 2 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -(u^* + (q^2 + q)l) \leq -q^2\bar{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \bar{j}_v + (q+1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{j}_1 := \bar{j}_1 + 1$, then $\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(1)}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Gamma}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} := \Big\{ (\bar{i}, \hat{j}_1, \bar{j}_2, \dots, \bar{j}_{q-1}) \Big| & 0 \leq \bar{i} < q^2 + q, \\
& -t_1 + (q^2 + q) \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\hat{j}_1 + (q+1)b < q^2 + q, \\
& -t_v \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_v + (q+1)b < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 2 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -(u^* + (q^2 + q)(l+1)) \leq -q^2\bar{i} - (q^2 + q)\hat{j}_1 - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=2}^{q-1} \bar{j}_v + (q+1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define a lattice point set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{a,b,l} := \Big\{ (\bar{i}, \bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_{q-1}) \Big| & 0 \leq \bar{i} < q^2 + q, \\
& 0 \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_1 + (q+1)b < q^2 + q, \\
& -t_v \leq q\bar{i} + (q^2 + q)\bar{j}_v + (q+1)b < -t_v + (q^2 + q) \text{ for } 2 \leq v \leq q-1, \\
& -(u^* + (q^2 + q)l) \leq -q^2\bar{i} - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \bar{j}_v + (q+1)b - (q^3 + q^2)a \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sets $\Psi_{a,b,l}$ are split into the following forms:

$$\Psi_{a,b,1} = \hat{\Gamma}_{a,b,0}^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_{a,b,1}^{(2)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{a,b,2} &= \widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,1}^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_{a,b,2}^{(2)}, \\
&\dots, \\
\Psi_{a,b,q-1} &= \widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,q-2}^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_{a,b,q-1}^{(2)}, \\
\Psi_{a,b,0} &= \widehat{\Gamma}_{a+1,b,q-1}^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_{a,b,0}^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} &= \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a+1,b,q-1}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=0}^{q-2} \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(2)}, \\
\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,q-1}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=0}^{q-2} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l} &= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(2)} \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l}^{(1)} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} - \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,q-1}^{(1)} - \sum_{l=0}^{q-2} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\widehat{\Gamma}_{a,b,l}^{(1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} + \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Gamma_{0,b,q-1}^{(1)}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Set $N(t_1) := \#\Omega_{r^*,0,\dots,0,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_{q-1},u^*}$. It follows from the definitions of $\Gamma_{a,b,l}$ and $\Psi_{a,b,l}$ that

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Gamma_{a,b,l} = \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} (N(t_1) + (q^2 + q)l) = qN(t_1) + (q^4 - q^2)/2, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \#\Psi_{a,b,l} = \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} (N(0) + (q^2 + q)l) = qN(0) + (q^4 - q^2)/2. \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 3.7,

$$\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} \#\Gamma_{0,b,q-1}^{(1)} = qt_1. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore we obtain from Equations (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that $N(t_1) = N(0) + t_1$, i.e.,

$$\#\Omega_{r^*,0,\dots,0,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_{q-1},u^*} = \#\Omega_{r^*,0,\dots,0,0,t_2,\dots,t_{q-1},u^*} + t_1. \tag{3.11}$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 and Equation (3.11) gives that

$$\#\Omega_{r^*,0,\dots,0,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_{q-1},u^*} = \#\Omega_{r^*,0,\dots,0,0,0,\dots,0,u^*} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.9. Set $r^* := q^3 - q$, $u^* := q^3 + q^2$. Then $\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} = 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + u^*$.

Proof. It follows from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} &:= \left\{ (i, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid -r^* \leq i, \right. \\ &\quad 0 \leq i + (q^2 + q)k_\mu < q^2 + q \text{ for } 1 \leq \mu \leq q-1, \\ &\quad 0 \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu < q^2 + q \text{ for } 1 \leq v \leq q-1, \\ &\quad \left. -u^* \leq -q^2i - (q^2 + q) \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} j_v - (q+1) \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{q-1}$ and $j_1 = j_2 = \dots = j_{q-1}$, we rewrite the set $\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} &= \left\{ (i, j, k) \mid -r^* \leq i, \right. \\ &\quad 0 \leq i + (q^2 + q)k < q^2 + q, \\ &\quad 0 \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j - (q^2 - 1)k < q^2 + q, \\ &\quad \left. -u^* \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j - (q^2 - 1)k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $j' = j - k$. Then the set $\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (i, j', k) \mid -r^* \leq i, \right. \\ &\quad 0 \leq i + (q^2 + q)k < q^2 + q, \\ &\quad 0 \leq qi + (q^2 + q)j' + (q+1)k < q^2 + q, \\ &\quad \left. -u^* \leq -q^2i - (q^3 - q)j' - (q^3 + q^2 - q - 1)k \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the set $\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, u^*}$ of (2.2). So we have from Lemma 2.12 that $\#\Omega_{r^*, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} = 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + u^*$. \square

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is given as follows.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. When $r \geq R$, the cardinality of $\Omega_{r, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\Omega_{r, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, u} &= \#\Omega_{\widehat{r}, 0, \widehat{s}_2, \dots, \widehat{s}_{q-1}, \widehat{t}_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_{q-1}, \widehat{u}} \quad (\text{by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4}) \\ &= \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, \widehat{s}_2, \dots, \widehat{s}_{q-1}, \widehat{t}_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_{q-1}, u^*} + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.6}) \\ &= \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \widehat{t}_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_{q-1}, u^*} + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + \sum_{v=2}^{q-1} \widehat{s}_v + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.5}) \\ &= \#\Omega_{r^*, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, u^*} + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \widehat{s}_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \widehat{t}_v + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.8}) \\ &= 1 - g^{(3)} + r^* + u^* + (\widehat{r} - r^*) + \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \widehat{s}_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \widehat{t}_v + (\widehat{u} - u^*) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.9}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 - g^{(3)} + \widehat{r} + \sum_{\mu=2}^{q-1} \widehat{s}_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \widehat{t}_v + \widehat{u} \\
&= 1 - g^{(3)} + r + \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} s_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} t_v + u. \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4})
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

4. Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps

In this section, we calculate the Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gap sets at the places $Q^{(3)}, S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}, S_{1,v}^{(3)}, P^{(3)}$, for $1 \leq \mu, v \leq q-1$.

We briefly introduce some preliminary notation and results before we begin. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_k be distinct rational places of a function field F . The Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$ is defined by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid \exists f \in F \text{ with } \text{div}_\infty(f) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i Q_i \right\},$$

and the Weierstrass gap set $G(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$ is defined by $\mathbb{N}_0^k \setminus H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$, where $\text{div}_\infty(f)$ is the pole divisor of f and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers. For more details, we refer [17].

Homma and Kim [11] introduced the concept of pure gap set with respect to a pair of rational places. It was generalized by Carvalho and Torres [8] to several rational places, denoted by $G_0(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$, which is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid \ell(G) = \ell(G - Q_j) \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k, \text{ where } G = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i Q_i \right\}.$$

In [8], they also proved that (s_1, \dots, s_k) is a pure gap at (Q_1, \dots, Q_k) if and only if

$$\ell(s_1 Q_1 + \dots + s_k Q_k) = \ell((s_1 - 1)Q_1 + \dots + (s_k - 1)Q_k).$$

There is a useful way to calculate the Weierstrass semigroups, which can be regarded as an easy generalization of Lemma 2.1 due to Kim [15].

Lemma 4.1 ([8], Lemma 2.2). *For k distinct rational places Q_1, \dots, Q_k , the set $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$ is given by*

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid \ell(G) \neq \ell(G - Q_j) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k, \text{ where } G = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i Q_i \right\}.$$

For the purpose of calculating Weierstrass semigroups and pure gaps, we require auxiliary results which are described below.

Lemma 4.2. *The following assertions hold.*

1. $\# \Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0} = \# \Omega_{s_0-1, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0} + 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{v=0}^{q-1} \left\lceil \frac{qs_0 - t_v}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} \left\lceil \frac{s_0 - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq s_0.$$

2. $\#\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0} = \#\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0-1} + 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{qt_0 - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{t_0 - t_v}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \leq t_0.$$

Proof. We only prove the first assertion. Consider two lattice point sets $\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ and $\Omega_{s_0-1, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$, which are given in Equation (3.3). We obtain the complementary set Φ of $\Omega_{s_0-1, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ in $\Omega_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t_1, \dots, t_{q-1}, t_0}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi := & \left\{ (i, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}, k_1, \dots, k_{q-1}) \mid i = -s_0, \right. \\ & k_\mu = \left\lfloor \frac{-i - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \text{ for } \mu = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ & j_v = \left\lfloor \frac{-qi - t_v}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu=1}^{q-1} k_\mu \right\rfloor \text{ for } v = 0, \dots, q-1, \\ & \left. i + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j_v \leq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that the set Φ is not empty if and only if the inequality $\sum_{v=0}^{q-1} j_v \leq s_0$ holds, which concludes the first assertion. \square

The main results of this section are given below dealing with the Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gap sets. For simplicity, we write $Q_1 := Q^{(3)}$, $\mathcal{P}_1 := P^{(3)}$ and $Q_{\mu+1} := S_{0,\mu}^{(3)}$, $\mathcal{P}_{v+1} := S_{1,v}^{(3)}$ for $1 \leq \mu, v \leq q-1$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 \leq k, l \leq q$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned} S_j(l, k) = & \sum_{v=1}^l \left\lfloor \frac{qs_j - t_v}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\ \mu \neq j}}^k \left\lfloor \frac{s_j - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor + \frac{q-k}{q} \left\lfloor \frac{s_j}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \\ & + (q-l) \left\lfloor \frac{s_j}{q+1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\ \mu \neq j}}^k \left\lfloor \frac{s_j - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor + \frac{q-k}{q} \left\lfloor \frac{s_j}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor, \\ T_i(k, l) = & \sum_{\mu=1}^k \left\lfloor \frac{qt_i - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i}}^l \left\lfloor \frac{t_i - t_v}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor + \frac{q-l}{q} \left\lfloor \frac{t_i}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \\ & + (q-k) \left\lfloor \frac{t_i}{q+1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i}}^l \left\lfloor \frac{t_i - t_v}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor + \frac{q-l}{q} \left\lfloor \frac{t_i}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

The Weierstrass semigroups and the pure gap sets are given as follows.

1. The Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid \left\lfloor \frac{s_j}{q+1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\ \mu \neq j}}^k \left\lfloor \frac{s_j - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor + \frac{q-k}{q} \left\lfloor \frac{s_j}{q^2 + q} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \leq \frac{s_j}{q} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k \right\}.$$

2. The Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k, P_1, \dots, P_l)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k+l} \mid \begin{aligned} &S_j(l, k) \leq s_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ &T_i(k, l) \leq t_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

3. The pure gap set $G_0(Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid \left[\frac{s_j}{q+1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\ \mu \neq j}}^k \left\lceil \frac{s_j - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil + \frac{q-k}{q} \left\lceil \frac{s_j}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right] > \frac{s_j}{q} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k \right\}.$$

4. The pure gap set $G_0(Q_1, \dots, Q_k, P_1, \dots, P_l)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_l) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+l} \mid \begin{aligned} &S_j(l, k) > s_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ &T_i(k, l) > t_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Proof. We only show the second assertion in details since the others are similarly proved. Let us consider a special divisor $G = \sum_{\mu=1}^q s_\mu Q_\mu + \sum_{v=1}^q t_v P_v$, where $s_{k+1} = \dots = s_q = 0$ and $t_{l+1} = \dots = t_q = 0$. From Proposition 3.2, Lemmas 3.3, 4.1 and 4.2, we know that $(s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{k+l}$ is in $H(Q_1, \dots, Q_k, P_1, \dots, P_l)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{v=1}^q \left\lceil \frac{qs_j - t_v}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\ \mu \neq j}}^q \left\lceil \frac{s_j - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq s_j$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, and

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^q \left\lceil \frac{qt_i - s_\mu}{q^2 + q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq i}}^q \left\lceil \frac{t_i - t_v}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq t_i$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. The desired conclusion then follows. \square

Corollary 4.4. *With notation as before, we have the following assertions.*

1. The Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q+1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq \frac{\alpha}{q} \right\}.$$

2. The pure gap set $G(Q_1)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (q^2 + q)m + r \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq r < q^2 + q, m \geq 0, \left\lceil \frac{r}{q+1} - \frac{m+1}{q} \right\rceil > \frac{r}{q} - 1 \right\}.$$

3. The Weierstrass semigroup $H(Q_1, P_1)$ is given by

$$\left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \mid \begin{aligned} &\left\lceil \frac{q\alpha - \beta}{q^2 + q} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil + (q-1) \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q+1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq \alpha, \\ &\left\lceil \frac{q\beta - \alpha}{q^2 + q} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil + (q-1) \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{q+1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil \leq \beta \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

4. The pure gap set $G_0(Q_1, \mathcal{P}_1)$ is given by

$$\left\{ ((q^2 + q)m_1 + r_1, (q^2 + q)m_2 + r_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 1 \leq r_1, r_2 < q^2 + q, m_1, m_2 \geq 0, \right. \\ \left. \left\lceil \frac{qr_1 - r_2}{q^2 + q} - \frac{m_1 + 1}{q} \right\rceil + (q - 1) \left\lceil \frac{r_1}{q + 1} - \frac{m_1 + 1}{q} \right\rceil > m_2 + r_1 - q \right. \\ \left. \left\lceil \frac{qr_2 - r_1}{q^2 + q} - \frac{m_2 + 1}{q} \right\rceil + (q - 1) \left\lceil \frac{r_2}{q + 1} - \frac{m_2 + 1}{q} \right\rceil > m_1 + r_2 - q \right\}.$$

Proof. The first assertion and the third assertion follow immediately from Theorem 4.3. For the second assertion, we note from Theorem 4.3 that

$$G(Q_1) = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \mid \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q + 1} + \frac{q - 1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil > \frac{\alpha}{q} \right\}.$$

By taking $\alpha = (q^2 + q)m + r$ for $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < q^2 + q$, the inequality in $G(Q_1)$ becomes

$$\left\lceil \frac{r}{q + 1} - \frac{m}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \left\lceil \frac{r}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{r}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil > \frac{r}{q}. \quad (4.1)$$

If $r = 0$, then it follows from (4.1) that

$$\left\lceil -\frac{m}{q} \right\rceil > 0,$$

contradicting the fact $m \geq 0$. This means that $1 \leq r < q^2 + q$ and so $\left\lceil \frac{r}{q^2 + q} \right\rceil = 1$. This gives the desired assertion as required. The fourth assertion is similarly proved. \square

Several examples for pure gaps are showed in the following.

Example 4.5. Let $q = 5$. From Corollary 4.4 there are 116 pure gaps in the set $G(Q_1)$ given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, \\ 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, \\ 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, \\ 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 82, 83, 84, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, \\ 101, 102, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109, 113, 114, 119, 121, 122, 123, 124, 127, \\ 128, 129, 133, 134, 139, 152, 153, 154, 158, 159, 164, 183, 184, 189, 214 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 4.6. Let $q = 3$. The 167 pure gaps are in the set $G_0(Q_1, Q_2)$ given below:

$$A \cup \widehat{A} \cup \left\{ (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (7, 7), (8, 8), (11, 11), (14, 14) \right\},$$

where

$$A := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 6), (1, 7), (1, 8), (1, 10), (1, 11), (1, 13), (1, 14), (1, 15), \\ (1, 16), (1, 17), (1, 19), (1, 20), (1, 23), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 6), (2, 7), (2, 8), (2, 10), \\ (2, 11), (2, 13), (2, 14), (2, 15), (2, 16), (2, 17), (2, 19), (2, 20), (2, 23), (2, 26), (2, 29), \\ (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6), (3, 7), (3, 8), (3, 10), (3, 11), (3, 13), (3, 14), (4, 5), (4, 6), (4, 7), \\ (4, 8), (4, 10), (4, 11), (4, 13), (4, 14), (5, 6), (5, 7), (5, 8), (5, 10), (5, 11), (5, 13), \\ (5, 14), (5, 17), (5, 19), (5, 20), (5, 23), (5, 26), (7, 8), (7, 10), (7, 11), (7, 13), (7, 14), \\ (7, 17), (8, 10), (8, 11), (8, 13), (8, 14), (8, 17), (11, 13), (11, 14), (11, 17), (14, 17) \end{array} \right\},$$

and the set \hat{A} associated with A is defined by $\hat{A} := \{(j, i) \mid (i, j) \in A\}$. Additionally the 182 pure gaps are in the set $G_0(Q_1, \mathcal{P}_1)$ given by

$$B \cup \hat{B} \cup \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 6), (7, 7), (10, 10), (13, 13), (15, 15)\},$$

where the set \hat{B} is associated with B and

$$B := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 6), (1, 7), (1, 8), (1, 10), (1, 11), (1, 13), (1, 14), \\ (1, 15), (1, 16), (1, 17), (1, 19), (1, 20), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 6), (2, 7), (2, 8), \\ (2, 10), (2, 11), (2, 13), (2, 14), (2, 15), (2, 16), (2, 17), (2, 19), (2, 20), (2, 25), \\ (2, 26), (2, 29), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6), (3, 7), (3, 8), (3, 10), (3, 11), (3, 13), (3, 14), \\ (3, 15), (3, 16), (3, 17), (3, 19), (3, 20), (3, 25), (3, 26), (4, 5), (4, 6), (4, 7), (4, 8), \\ (4, 10), (4, 11), (4, 13), (4, 14), (4, 15), (4, 16), (4, 17), (4, 19), (5, 6), (5, 7), (5, 8), \\ (5, 10), (6, 7), (6, 8), (6, 10), (6, 13), (6, 14), (6, 15), (6, 16), (6, 17), (6, 19), (6, 25), \\ (7, 8), (7, 10), (7, 13), (7, 14), (7, 15), (7, 16), (10, 13), (13, 15), (13, 16), (15, 16) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We can verify that these results coincide with Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4.

References

- [1] I. Aleshnikov, V. Deolalikar, P. V. Kumar, H. Stichtenoth, Towards a basis for the space of regular functions in a tower of function fields meeting the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Finite Fields and Applications, Augsburg, Germany, 1999, pp: 14–24.
- [2] I. Aleshnikov, P. V. Kumar, K. W. Shum, H. Stichtenoth, On the splitting of places in a tower of function fields meeting the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 47 (4) (2001) 1613–1619.
- [3] D. Bartoli, M. Montanucci, G. Zini, AG codes and AG quantum codes from the GGS curve, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 86 (2018) 2315–2344.
- [4] D. Bartoli, A. M. Masuda, M. Montanucci, L. Quoos, Pure gaps on curves with many rational places, Finite Fields and Their Applications 53 (2018) 287–308.
- [5] D. Bartoli, L. Quoos, G. Zini, Algebraic geometric codes on many points from Kummer extensions, Finite Fields and Their Applications 52 (2018) 319–335.
- [6] A. Bassa, P. Beelen, A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, Towers of function fields over non-prime finite fields, Mosc. Math. J. 15 (1) (2015) 1–29.
- [7] A. Bassa, P. Beelen, A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, An improvement of the Gilbert-Varshamov bound over nonprime fields, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 60 (7) (2014) 3859–3861.
- [8] C. Carvalho, F. Torres, On Goppa codes and Weierstrass gaps at several points, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 35 (2005) 211–225.
- [9] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, A tower of Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields attaining the Drinfeld-Vladut bound, Inventiones Mathematicae 121 (1995) 211–222.
- [10] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, On the asymptotic behaviour of some towers of function fields over finite fields, Journal of Number Theory 61 (1996) 248–273.
- [11] M. Homma, S. J. Kim, Goppa codes with Weierstrass pairs, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 162 (2001) 273–290.
- [12] C. Hu, Explicit construction of AG codes from a curve in the tower of Bassa-Beelen-Garcia-Stichtenoth, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 63 (11) (2017) 7237–7246.
- [13] C. Hu, S. Yang, Multi-point codes over Kummer extensions, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 86 (2018) 211–230.
- [14] C. Hu, S. Yang, Multi-point codes from the GGS curves, Advances in Mathematics of Communications (2019), to preprint.
- [15] S. J. Kim, On the index of the Weierstrass semigroup of a pair of points on a curve, Archiv der Mathematik 62 (1994) 73–82.
- [16] G. L. Matthews, Weierstrass pairs and minimum distance of Goppa codes, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 22 (2001) 107–121.

- [17] G. L. Matthews, The Weierstrass semigroup of an m -tuple of collinear points on a Hermitian curve, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* (2004) 12–24.
- [18] G. L. Matthews, Weierstrass semigroups and codes from a quotient of the Hermitian curve, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 37 (2005) 473–492.
- [19] H. G. Rück, H. Stichtenoth, A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 457 (1994) 185–188.
- [20] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [21] C. Voss, T. Høholdt, An explicit construction of a sequence of codes attaining the Tsfasman-Vlăduț-Zink bound—the first steps, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 43 (1) (1997) 128–135.
- [22] S. Yang, C. Hu, Weierstrass semigroups from Kummer extensions, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 45 (2017) 264–284.
- [23] S. Yang, C. Hu, Pure Weierstrass gaps from a quotient of the Hermitian curve, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 50 (2018) 251–271.