

# EXPLICIT DECOMPOSITION OF CERTAIN INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUP

EREZ LAPID

**ABSTRACT.** We provide some experimental results on the decomposition of the parabolic induction of  $\pi \otimes \pi$  in the Grothendieck group where  $\pi$  is an irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  over a local non-archimedean field.

The purpose of this short note is to report on some experimental results in the representation theory of the general linear group over a non-archimedean local field  $F$ . This theory was developed in the fundamental work of Bernstein–Zelevinsky [2, 3].

The direct sum over  $n \geq 0$  of the categories of finite length, smooth, complex representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ , forms a ring category<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to parabolic induction, which we denote by  $\times$ . (The unit object is the one-dimensional representation of the trivial group  $\mathrm{GL}_0(F)$ .)

For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \leq b$  we call  $[a, b]$  a *segment* and denote by  $Z([a, b])$  the character  $|\det|^{(a+b)/2}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{b-a+1}(F)$ . By definition, a *multisegment* is a formal finite sum of segments  $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i$ . The set of multisegments forms a commutative monoid. Writing  $\Delta_i = [a_i, b_i]$  with  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$ , the induced representation

$$Z(\Delta_1) \times \dots \times Z(\Delta_k)$$

admits an irreducible socle which depends only on  $\mathbf{m}$ , up to equivalence. We denote it by  $Z(\mathbf{m})$ . By Zelevinsky classification [13], the map  $\mathbf{m} \mapsto Z(\mathbf{m})$  is injective. Its image consists of the irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ ,  $n \geq 0$  whose Jacquet module with respect to the Borel subgroup contains a character of the diagonal torus of the form  $\mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n |t_i|^{\lambda_i}$  where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i$ . One of the nice properties of this classification is that for any multisegments  $\mathbf{m}_1$  and  $\mathbf{m}_2$ , the representation  $Z(\mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2)$  occurs with multiplicity one in the Jordan–Hölder sequence of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_1) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_2)$ . In particular, if  $Z(\mathbf{m}_1) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_2)$  is irreducible, then it is necessarily equivalent to  $Z(\mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2)$ .

The irreducible representations of the form  $Z(\mathbf{m})$  generate a Serre subcategory<sup>2</sup>  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  that is closed under parabolic induction. In fact, one can decompose  $\mathcal{C}$  as a direct sum of categories, each of which is equivalent (as a ring category) to  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Thus, as far as understanding the decomposition of parabolic induction of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  is concerned, the restriction to  $\mathcal{C}'$  entails no loss in generality.

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The group  $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$  of grading preserving automorphisms of  $V$  acts with finitely many orbits on the space  $E_+(V)$

<sup>1</sup>i.e., a locally finite abelian monoidal category with bilinear and biexact tensor product

<sup>2</sup>i.e., a full subcategory closed under subobjects, quotients and extensions

of degree 1 (nilpotent) graded endomorphisms of  $V$ . By a graded version of the Jordan normal form, the orbits are indexed by multisegments whose support (in the obvious sense) is the graded dimension of  $V$  [12]. Similarly, we may consider the space  $E_-(V)$  of degree  $-1$  graded endomorphisms of  $V$ . It is the dual space to  $E_+(V)$  under the trace pairing, which is  $\text{Aut}(V)$ -invariant. By a general principle [11], the orbits in  $E_+(V)$  (as well as those of  $E_-(V)$ ) correspond bijectively to the irreducible components of the commuting variety

$$\mathcal{X}(V) = \{(A, B) \in E_+(V) \times E_-(V) : AB = BA\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{m}}$  the irreducible component corresponding to (the orbit indexed by) a multisegment  $\mathbf{m}$ . Note that  $\text{Aut}(V)$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}(V)$  and preserves its irreducible components.

An interesting class of irreducible representations of  $\text{GL}_n(F)$  are those  $\pi$  for which  $\pi \times \pi$  is irreducible. They were called  $\square$ -irreducible in [10] and studied there following the work of Kang–Kashiwara–Kim–Oh [6, 7] (in a broader context which will not be discussed here). In particular, the reducibility properties of  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$  are more tractable if at least one of  $\pi_1$  or  $\pi_2$  is  $\square$ -irreducible. (We refer to [10] for more details.)

In their work (again, in a much more general context), Geiß–Leclerc–Schröer highlighted the property that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{m}}$  admits an open  $\text{Aut}(V)$ -orbit [5]. (We will refer to it as the *GLS condition*.) A variant of a their conjecture, formulated in [10], states that for a multisegment  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $Z(\mathbf{m})$  is  $\square$ -irreducible if and only if the GLS condition is satisfied.

Let  $S_k$  denote the symmetric group on  $k$  elements. For any permutation  $\sigma \in S_k$  consider the multisegment

$$\mathbf{m}_{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^k [i, 2k - \sigma(i)].$$

A special case of the main result of [10] is that the representation  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$  is  $\square$ -irreducible if and only if  $\sigma$  is smooth, namely  $\sigma$  is 3412 and 4231 avoiding. The terminology is motivated by a result of Lakshmibai–Sandhya which asserts that  $\sigma$  is smooth if and only if the corresponding Schubert variety of type  $A_{k-1}$  is smooth [8]. Another equivalent condition is that the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial  $P_{e,\sigma}(q)$  with respect to  $S_k$  is 1 [4]. It turns out that this is also equivalent to the GLS condition in the case at hand, although we are unaware of a direct relation between the smoothness condition for Schubert varieties and the GLS condition.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{m}_1$  and  $\mathbf{m}_2$  are two multisegments. Using the Arakawa–Suzuki functor [1], it is possible to compute the decomposition of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_1) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_2)$  in the Grothendieck group. The computation involves Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials with respect to  $S_k$  where  $k$  is the number of segments in  $\mathbf{m}_1 + \mathbf{m}_2$ . We refer to [10, §10] and the references therein for more details.

In December 2017 I computed all Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for each pair of permutations in  $S_{12}$ . (In reality, “only” about  $46 \times 10^9$  pairs need to be considered.) This computation was carried out on a 1 terabyte RAM machine at the Weizmann Institute and resulted in about  $4.3 \times 10^9$  different polynomials. More details about this month-long computation (on a single core) can be found in [9].

Following up on this computation, we calculated the decomposition of  $\pi \times \pi$  for any  $\pi = Z(\mathbf{m})$  where  $\mathbf{m}$  consists of at most 6 segments.

The most striking feature of the calculation, which was discovered following a question by David Kazhdan, is that there appears to be a correlation between the length  $l$  of  $Z(\pi) \times Z(\pi)$  and the minimal codimension  $d$  of an orbit in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{m}}$ . We will call the latter the *deficiency* of  $\mathbf{m}$ . In particular, the GLS condition is that  $d = 0$  and by the above, it is conjectured to be equivalent to  $l = 1$ . (This was verified in all examples checked.) It is also the case, in all the checked examples that  $d = 1 \iff l = 2$  and moreover this condition is equivalent to  $\pi \times \pi$  being multiplicity free. The only other possible values of  $d$  in these examples are 2, 3, 4 in which cases the ranges for  $l$  are 7–9, 39–53 and 251–257 respectively.

For simplicity, let us say that  $\sigma \in S_k$  is *almost smooth* if  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$  has length two. We say that  $\sigma$  is *wild* if it is neither smooth nor almost smooth.

Among the 354 non-smooth permutations in  $S_6$ , 230 are almost smooth. For 224 of these,  $P_{e,\sigma}(1) = 2$ , the 6 exceptions being

$$\sigma = 463152, 465132, 526413, 546213, 632541, 653421$$

where  $P_{e,\sigma}(1) = 3$ .

In Table 1 we list for each almost smooth permutations  $\sigma$  in  $S_6$  the  $w$  such that

$$Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) = Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma} + \mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \oplus Z(\mathbf{n}_w).$$

Here  $w$  is a two-to-one function from  $\{1, \dots, 12\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, 6\}$  and

$$\mathbf{n}_w = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \left[ \left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil, 12 - w(i) \right].$$

In Table 2 we list for each wild  $\sigma \in S_6$ , the length  $l$  of  $\Pi = Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$ , the number  $t$  of distinct constituents in the Jordan–Hölder decomposition of  $\Pi$  and the deficiency  $d$  of  $\mathbf{m}$ .

In order to avoid repetition, in both tables we only list one representative for each equivalence class of the equivalence relation  $\sigma \sim \sigma^{-1} \sim \sigma^{w_0} \sim (\sigma^{-1})^{w_0}$  where  $\sigma^{w_0}$  is the conjugation of  $\sigma$  by the longest permutation  $w_0 \in S_k$ . (The representation  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma^{-1}})$  is essentially the contragredient of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$ . The relation between the decompositions of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$  and  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma^{w_0}}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma^{w_0}})$  is more mysterious but follows from the abovementioned computation using Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.)

In all the cases where  $d < 4$ , i.e., when  $\sigma \neq (456123), (562341), (634512)$ , any constituent of  $\Pi$  occurs with multiplicity one or two. For  $\sigma = (456123)$  where  $l = 251$ , there are 59 constituents occurring with multiplicity one, 81 with multiplicity two, 4 with multiplicity three and 2 with multiplicity 9. For  $\sigma = (562341)$  or  $(634512)$  where the length is 257, there are 61 constituents occurring with multiplicity one, 83 with multiplicity two, 4 with multiplicity three and 2 with multiplicity 9.

One can recover from the decomposition of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma}) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma})$ ,  $\sigma \in S_k$ , the decomposition of  $Z(\mathbf{m}) \times Z(\mathbf{m})$  for any multisegment  $\mathbf{m}$  consisting of up to  $k$  segments. We will not describe the results obtained in this generality (for  $k = 6$ ) but remark that although more values of  $l$  occur in these cases, the correlation between  $l$  and the deficiency of  $\mathbf{m}$  continues to hold.

$\sigma$	$w$	$\sigma$	$w$	$\sigma$	$w$
125634	112235463546	126453	112246354635	135624	113325462546
136452	113346254625	145236	112435243566	145263	112435246635
146253	112436254635	146352	114436253625	146523	112466352435
153426	113524352466	153462	113524356624	153624	113524362546
153642	113524663524	154623	115524362436	156423	112546352436
163542	113625463524	164352	114635243625	164532	114635463522
215634	221135463546	216453	221146354635	235614	223315461546
236451	223346154615	245163	221435146635	246135	221436143655
246153	221436154635	246351	224436153615	246513	221466351435
251634	223511463546	253461	223514356614	253614	223514361546
253641	223514663514	254613	225514361436	256143	221546154633
256314	223546351146	256413	221546351436	261453	224611354635
263415	223614361455	263514	223615361544	263541	223615463514
264153	224635114635	264351	224635143615	264531	224635463511
265341	226635143514	325614	332215461546	326451	332246154615
346251	334426152615	351642	132514663524	352641	332514662514
356214	332546251146	361542	132615463524	362514	332615261544
362541	332615462514	364251	334625142615	364521	334625462511
365142	136625143524	365241	336625142514	365412	136655241324
426153	241326154635	426513	241366251435	426531	241366552413
436512	441366251325	463152	443625113625	463251	443625132615
463521	443625362511	465132	146635143522	465213	246635241135
465312	146635241325	564312	154635241326	625431	261546352413
632541	362513462514	635421	362546352411	645321	463546352211
653421	663524352411				

TABLE 1. Decomposition of  $Z(\mathbf{m}_\sigma) \times Z(\mathbf{m}_\sigma)$  in the almost smooth case

$\sigma$	$l$	$t$	$d$	$\sigma$	$l$	$t$	$d$	$\sigma$	$l$	$t$	$d$
145623	8	7	2	156342	8	7	2	163452	9	8	2
245613	8	7	2	256134	8	7	2	256341	8	7	2
263451	9	8	2	264513	8	7	2	345612	36	26	3
346152	7	6	2	346512	8	7	2	351624	9	8	2
354612	9	8	2	356124	39	28	3	356142	7	6	2
356241	8	7	2	356412	7	6	2	361452	8	7	2
362451	9	8	2	364152	9	8	2	364512	44	31	3
426351	7	6	2	456123	251	146	4	456132	9	8	2
456231	44	31	3	456312	7	6	2	462351	46	33	3
462513	7	6	2	462531	7	6	2	463512	9	8	2
465231	9	8	2	562341	257	150	4	562431	7	6	2
563412	53	37	3	563421	9	8	2	564231	7	6	2
623451	48	35	3	623541	7	6	2	624351	7	6	2
624531	8	7	2	634521	9	8	2	635241	9	8	2
645231	53	37	3								

TABLE 2. Length, number of distinct constituents of  $Z(\mathfrak{m}_\sigma) \times Z(\mathfrak{m}_\sigma)$  and deficiency in the wild case

## REFERENCES

- [1] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Takeshi Suzuki, *Duality between  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbf{C})$  and the degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, J. Algebra **209** (1998), no. 1, 288–304. MR1652134
- [2] I. N. Bernšteĭn and A. V. Zelevinskii, *Induced representations of the group  $GL(n)$  over a  $p$ -adic field*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **10** (1976), no. 3, 74–75. MR0425031 (54 #12989)
- [3] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. I*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 4, 441–472. MR0579172 (58 #28310)
- [4] Vinay V. Deodhar, *Local Poincaré duality and nonsingularity of Schubert varieties*, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), no. 6, 1379–1388. MR788771 (86i:14015)
- [5] Christof Geiß, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer, *Kac-Moody groups and cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 1, 329–433. MR2822235
- [6] Seok-Jin Kang, Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, and Se-jin Oh, *Simplicity of heads and socles of tensor products*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 2, 377–396. MR3314831
- [7] ———, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), no. 2, 349–426. MR3758148
- [8] V. Lakshmibai and B. Sandhya, *Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in  $Sl(n)/B$* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **100** (1990), no. 1, 45–52. MR1051089
- [9] Erez Lapid, *Conjectures about certain parabolic Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials*, Geometric aspects of the trace formula, 2018, pp. 267–298. arXiv:1705.06517.
- [10] Erez Lapid and Alberto Mínguez, *Geometric conditions for  $\square$ -irreducibility of certain representations of the general linear group over a non-archimedean local field*, Adv. Math. **339** (2018), 113–190. MR3866895
- [11] V. S. Pjaseckii, *Linear Lie groups that act with a finite number of orbits*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **9** (1975), no. 4, 85–86. MR0390138 (52 #10964)
- [12] A. V. Zelevinskii, *The  $p$ -adic analogue of the Kazhdan–Lusztig conjecture*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **15** (1981), no. 2, 9–21, 96. MR617466 (84g:22039)
- [13] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of  $GL(n)$* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), no. 2, 165–210. MR584084 (83g:22012)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 7610001, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address*: erez.m.lapid@gmail.com