

Structural Properties of Connected Domination Critical Graphs

Norah Almalki¹ and Pawaton Kaemawichanurat^{2,3*}

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Taif University, Taif City
Saudi Arabia
Email: norah@tu.edu.sa

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi
Bangkok, Thailand
Email: pawaton.kae@kmutt.ac.th

³Mathematics and Statistics with Applications (MaSA)

Abstract

A graph G is said to be k - γ_c -critical if the connected domination number $\gamma_c(G)$ is equal to k and $\gamma_c(G + uv) < k$ for any pair of non-adjacent vertices u and v of G . Let ζ be the number of cut vertices of G and let ζ_0 be the maximum number of cut vertices that can be contained in one block. For an integer $\ell \geq 0$, a graph G is ℓ -factor critical if $G - S$ has a perfect matching for any subset S of vertices of size ℓ . It was proved that, for $k \geq 3$, every k - γ_c -critical graph has at most $k - 2$ cut vertices and the graphs with maximum number of cut vertices were characterized. It was proved further that, for $k \geq 4$, every k - γ_c -critical graphs satisfies the inequality $\zeta_0(G) \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \right\rfloor, \zeta \right\}$. In this paper, we characterize all k - γ_c -critical graphs having $k - 3$ cut vertices. Further, we establish realizability that, for given $k \geq 4$, $2 \leq \zeta \leq k - 2$ and $2 \leq \zeta_0 \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \right\rfloor, \zeta \right\}$, there exists a k - γ_c -critical graph with ζ cut vertices having a block which contains ζ_0 cut vertices. Finally, we proved that every k - γ_c -critical graph of odd order with minimum degree two is 1-factor critical if and only if $1 \leq k \leq 2$. Further, we proved that every k - γ_c -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph of even order with minimum degree three is 2-factor critical if and only if $1 \leq k \leq 2$.

Keywords: Domination; Characterization; Matching; Realizability

1 Introduction

For a natural number n , we let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple (no loops or multiple edges). For a graph G , let $V(G)$ denote the set of vertices of G and let

*Research supported by Thailand Research Fund (MRG 6080139 and MRG 6280223)

$E(G)$ denote the set of edges of G . For $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by S . The *open neighborhood* $N_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is $\{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. Further, the *closed neighborhood* $N_G[v]$ of a vertex v in G is $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. For subsets X and Y of $V(G)$, $N_Y(X)$ is the set $\{y \in Y : yx \in E(G) \text{ for some } x \in X\}$. For a subgraph H of G , we use $N_Y(H)$ instead of $N_Y(V(H))$ and we use $N_H(X)$ instead of $N_{V(H)}(X)$. If $X = \{x\}$, we use $N_Y(x)$ instead of $N_Y(\{x\})$. The *degree* $\deg(x)$ of a vertex x in G is $|N_G(x)|$. The *minimum degree* of G is denoted by $\delta(G)$. When no ambiguity occur, we write $N(x), N(X)$ and $\delta(G)$ instead of $N_G(x), N_G(X)$ and δ , respectively. An *end vertex* is a vertex of degree one and a *support vertex* is the vertex which is adjacent to an end vertex. A *tree* is a connected graph with no cycle. A *star* $K_{1,n}$ is a tree containing one support vertex and n end vertices. The support vertex of a star is called the *center*. For a connected graph G , a vertex subset S of G is called a *cut set* if $G - S$ is not connected. We let $\omega_o(G - S)$ be the number of components of $G - S$ containing odd number of vertices. In particular, if $S = \{v\}$, then v is called a *cut vertex* of G . That is, $G - v$ is not connected. A *block* B of a graph G is a maximal connected subgraph such that B has no cut vertex. An *end block* of G is a block containing exactly one cut vertex of G . For graphs H and G , a graph G is said to be *H-free* if G does not contain H as an induced subgraph. For a connected graph G , a *bridge* xy of G is an edge such that $G - xy$ is not connected.

For a finite sequence of graphs G_1, \dots, G_l for $l \geq 2$, the *joins* $G_1 \vee \dots \vee G_l$ is the graph consisting of the disjoint union of G_1, \dots, G_l and joining edges from every vertex of G_i to every vertex of G_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. In particular, for a subgraph H of G_2 , the *join* $G_1 \vee_H G_2$ is the graph consisting of the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2 and joining edges from every vertex of G_1 to every vertex of H . As the join operation is run over vertices, for a vertex x and a set X of vertices, the *join* $x \vee X$ is the graph consisting of the disjoint union of $\{x\}$ and X and joining edges from x to every vertex in X . For a subgraph H of G , H is *maximal complete subgraph* of G if for any complete subgraph H' of G such that $V(H) \subseteq V(H')$, we have $V(H) = V(H')$.

The *distance* $d(u, v)$ between vertices u and v of G is the length of a shortest (u, v) -path in G . The *diameter* of G $\text{diam}(G)$ is the maximum distance of any two vertices of G . For a non-negative integer k , a graph G is *k-diameter critical* if $\text{diam}(G) = k$ and $\text{diam}(G - uv) > k$ for any edge $uv \in E(G)$. A *matching* of a graph G is a set of edges which are not incident to a common vertex. A matching M of a graph G is *perfect* if $V(M) = V(G)$. For a non-negative integer ℓ , a graph G is *ℓ -factor critical* if $G - S$ has a perfect matching where S is any set of ℓ vertices, in particular, a graph G is *factor critical* if $\ell = 1$ and is *bi-critical* if $\ell = 2$. For subsets D and X of $V(G)$, D *dominates* X if every vertex in X is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D . If D dominates X , then we write $D \succ X$ and we also write $a \succ X$ when $D = \{a\}$. Moreover, if $X = V(G)$, then D is a *dominating set* of G and we write $D \succ G$ instead of $D \succ V(G)$. A *connected dominating set* of a graph G is a dominating set D of G such that $G[D]$ is connected. If D is a connected dominating set of G , we then write $D \succ_c G$. A smallest connected dominating set is called a γ_c -set. The cardinality of a γ_c -set is called the *connected domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma_c(G)$. A graph G is said to be *k- γ_c -critical* if $\gamma_c(G) = k$ and $\gamma_c(G + uv) < k$ for any pair of non-adjacent vertices u and v of G .

In the structural characterizations of k - γ_c -critical graphs, Chen et al.[8] showed that every 1- γ_c -critical graph is a complete graph while every 2- γ_c -critical graph is the complement of the disjoint union of at least two stars. However, for $k = 3$, it turns out that the k - γ_c -critical graphs have no complete characterization in the sense of free graphs (see [16], Chapter 5). Interestingly, it was proved by Hanson and Wang [10] that, for a connected graph G , the graph G is 3- γ_c -critical if and only if the complement of G is 2-diameter critical. For a study on k -diameter critical graphs see Almalki [1]. In [2], Ananchuen proved that every 3- γ_c -critical graph contains at most one cut vertex and also established characterizations of 3- γ_c -critical graphs having a cut vertex. For more studies related with 3- γ_c -critical graphs see [5, 20, 21]. For $k = 4$, Kaemawichanurat and Ananchuen [13] proved that

every $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph contains at most two cut vertices and the characterization of the such graphs having two cut vertices was given. Further, Kaemawichanurat and Ananchuen [14] established that every $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs contains at most $k - 2$ cut vertices when $k \geq 5$. They also characterized that there is exactly one class of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs having $k - 2$ cut vertices. In the same paper, the authors established the maximum number of cut vertices that every block of the graph can have. That is:

Theorem 1 ([14]) *Let G be a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph containing ζ cut vertices and let $\zeta_0(G)$ be the maximum number of cut vertices of G that can be in a block of G . Then*

$$\zeta_0(G) \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \right\rfloor, \zeta \right\}.$$

Very recently, Henning and Kaemawichanurat [12] characterized all the eleven classes of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs satisfying the upper bound of Theorem 1.

In this paper, for $k \geq 5$, we characterize all $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs having $k - 3$ cut vertices. Further, we establish realizability that, for given $k \geq 4$, $2 \leq \zeta \leq k - 2$ and $2 \leq \zeta_0 \leq \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \right\rfloor, \zeta \right\}$, there exists a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph with ζ cut vertices having a block which contains ζ_0 cut vertices. We also proved that every $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph of odd order with $\delta \geq 2$ is factor critical if and only if $1 \leq k \leq 2$. We prove that every $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph of even order with $\delta \geq 2$ is factor critical if and only if $1 \leq k \leq 2$. All the main results are shown in Section 2 while their proofs are given in Section 4. We present some useful results that are used in the proofs in Section 3.

2 Main Results

First, we give constructions of two classes of such graphs which will be the characterizations of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs with $k - 3$ cut vertices. Let

$$\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$$

be a $k-3$ tuples such that $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{k-3} i_j = 1$ (there is exactly one $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-3\}$ such that $i_l = 1$ and $i_{l'} = 0$ for all $l' \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-3\} - \{l\}$).

The class $\mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$

For a $k-3$ tuples $\mathbf{i} = (0, 0, \dots, i_l, \dots, 0)$ where $i_l = 1$ and $i_{l'} = 0$ for $1 \leq l \neq l' \leq k-2$, a graph G in the class $\mathcal{G}_1 \mathbf{i}$ can be constructed from paths c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{l-1} and $c_l, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{k-4}$, a copy of a complete graph K_{n_l} and a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$ (the construction of the class $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}$ will be given in Section 3 as it was established earlier in [14]) by adding edges according the join operations :

- $c_{l-1} \vee K_{n_l} \vee c_l$ and
- $c_{k-4} \vee c$

where we call c the *head* of B . Examples of graphs in this case are illustrated by Figures 1 and 2. Further, for a $k-3$ tuples $\mathbf{i} = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ where $i_{k-3} = 1$ and $i_{l'} = 0$ for $1 \leq l' \leq k-2$, a graph G in the class $\mathcal{G}_1 \mathbf{i}$ can be constructed from paths c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-4} , a copy of a complete graph $K_{n_{k-3}}$ and a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$ by adding edges according the join operation :

$$\bullet c_{k-4} \vee K_{n_{k-3}} \vee c$$

where we call c the *head* of B . Example of a graph in this case is illustrated by Figure 3.




Figure 1: A graph G in the class $\mathcal{G}_1(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$




Figure 2: A graph G in the class $\mathcal{G}_1(0, 0, \dots, i_l = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$




Figure 3: A graph G in the class $\mathcal{G}_1(0, 0, \dots, 1)$

Next, we will construct another class of k - γ_c -critical graphs with $k - 3$ cut vertices. Before giving the construction, we introduce the following class of end blocks.

The class \mathcal{B}_3

An end block $B \in \mathcal{B}_3$ has b as the head. Let $N_B(b) = A$ and $\check{B} = G[V(B) - \{b\}]$. Moreover, B has the following properties

- (1) Every vertex $v \in V(\tilde{B})$, there exists a γ_c -set D_v of B of size 3 such that $v \in D_v$.
- (2) For every non-adjacent vertices x and y of \tilde{B} , there exists a γ_c -set D_{xy}^B of $B + xy$ such that $D_{xy}^B \cap \{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$, $|D_{xy}^B| = 2$ and $D_{xy}^B \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

It is worth noting that D_v in the property (1) satisfies $D_v \cap A \neq \emptyset$ in order to dominate b . We are ready to give the construction.

The class $\mathcal{G}_2(k)$

For $k \geq 5$, a graph G in this class can be constructed from a path c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-4} and an end block $B \in \mathcal{B}_3$ with the head b by adding the edge $c_{k-4}b$. For the sake of convenience, we may relabel b as c_{k-3} .

Then, we let

$\mathcal{Z}(k, \zeta)$: the class of k - γ_c -critical graphs containing ζ cut vertices.

Our first main result is:

Theorem 2 *For an integer $k \geq 4$, $\mathcal{Z}(k, k-3) = \mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3}) \cup \mathcal{G}_2(k)$.*

For our next main results, we let B be a block of G . We further define the following notation. We let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the set of all cut vertices of G . That is

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \{v \in V(G) : v \text{ is a cut vertex of } G\},$$

$$\zeta(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|,$$

$$\mathcal{C}(B) = V(B) \cap \mathcal{C}(G),$$

$$\zeta(B) = |\mathcal{C}(B)| \text{ and}$$

$$\zeta_0(G) = \max\{\zeta(B) : B \text{ is a block of } G\}.$$

We prove that :

Theorem 3 *For all $k \geq 4$, $2 \leq \zeta \leq k-2$ and $2 \leq \zeta_0 \leq \min\{\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor, \zeta\}$, there exists a k - γ_c -critical graph with ζ cut vertices having a block that contains ζ_0 cut vertices.*

Finally, we establish a constructive proofs to show that:

Theorem 4 *Every k - γ_c -critical graph of odd order with $\delta \geq 2$ is factor critical if and only if $k \in [2]$.*

Theorem 5 *Every k - γ_c -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph of even order with $\delta \geq 3$ is bi-critical if and only if $k \in [2]$.*

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we state a number of results that are used in establishing our theorems. We begin with a result of Favaron [9] which gives matching properties of graphs according to the toughness.

Theorem 6 [9] *For an integer $\ell \geq 0$, let G be a graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq \ell + 1$. Then G is ℓ -factor critical if and only if $\omega_o(G - S) \leq |S| - \ell$ for any cut set S of G such that $|S| \geq \ell$.*

In the context of k - γ_c -critical graphs, Ananchuen et al. [4] established some matching properties of the such graphs when $k = 3$.

Theorem 7 [4] *Every 3- γ_c -critical graph of even order with $\delta \geq 2$ has a perfect matching.*

However, for factor criticality, they found a 3- γ_c -critical graph of odd order with $\delta \geq 2$ which is not factor critical. The graph is constructed from a complete graph K_n , a star $K_{1,n}$ by joining every end vertex of the star to every vertex of K_n and then remove all edges of a perfect matching between these two graphs. The resulting graph is detailed in Figure 4.




Figure 4: A 3- γ_c -critical graph which is non-factor critical

Chen et al. [8] characterized all k - γ_c -critical graphs when $1 \leq k \leq 2$.

Theorem 8 *A graph G is 1- γ_c -critical graph if and only if G is a complete graph. Moreover, a graph G is 2- γ_c -critical graph if and only if $\overline{G} = \cup_{i=1}^n K_{1,n_i}$ where $n \geq 2$ and $n_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

We then obtain the following observations as a consequence of theorem 8.

Observation 1 *For $k \in [2]$ every k - γ_c -critical graph of odd order with minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$ is factor critical.*

Observation 2 *For $k \in [2]$ every k - γ_c -critical graph of even order with minimum degree $\delta \geq 3$ is bi-critical.*

By Theorem 8, we observe further that a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph does not contain a cut vertex when $1 \leq k \leq 2$.

Observation 3 *Let G be a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph with $1 \leq k \leq 2$. Then G has no cut vertex.*

Further, Chen et al.[8] established fundamental properties of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs for $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 1 [8] *Let G be a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph, x and y a pair of non-adjacent vertices of G and D_{xy} a γ_c -set of $G + xy$. Then*

- (1) $k - 2 \leq |D_{xy}| \leq k - 1$,
- (2) $D_{xy} \cap \{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) if $\{x\} = \{x, y\} \cap D_{xy}$, then $N_G(y) \cap D_{xy} = \emptyset$.

Ananchuen[2] established structures of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical with a cut vertex.

Lemma 2 [2] *For $k \geq 3$, let G be a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph with a cut vertex c and D a connected dominating set. Then*

- (1) $G - c$ contains exactly two components,
- (2) if C_1 and C_2 are the components of $G - c$, then $G[N_{C_1}(c)]$ and $G[N_{C_2}(c)]$ are complete,
- (3) $c \in D$.

All the following results of this section were established in [14]. The first result is the construction of a forbidden subgraph of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs. For a connected graph G , let X, Y, X_1 and Y_1 be disjoint vertex subsets of $V(G)$. The induced subgraph $G[X \cup X_1 \cup Y \cup Y_1]$ is called a *bad subgraph* if

- (i) $x_1 \succ X \cup X_1$ for any vertex $x_1 \in X_1$,
- (ii) $N[x] \subseteq X \cup X_1$ for any vertex $x \in X$,
- (iii) $y_1 \succ Y \cup Y_1$ for any vertex $y_1 \in Y_1$ and
- (iv) $N[y] \subseteq Y \cup Y_1$ for any vertex $y \in Y$.

An example of a bad subgraph is illustrated in Figure 5.

The authors showed, in [14], that :

Lemma 3 [14] *For $k \geq 3$, let G be a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph. Then G does not contain a bad subgraph.*

They also provided characterizations of some blocks of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs. Recall that

$\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the set of all cut vertices of G

and, for a block B of G ,




Figure 5: The induced subgraph $G[X \cup X_1 \cup Y \cup Y_1]$

$$\mathcal{C}(B) = V(B) \cap \mathcal{C}(G) \text{ and } \zeta(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|.$$

When no ambiguity occur, we write \mathcal{C} rather than $\mathcal{C}(G)$. In the same paper, the authors showed further that for a connected graph G and a pair of non-adjacent vertices x and y of G , $\mathcal{C}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G + xy)$ if x and y are in the same block of G .

Lemma 4 [14] *For a connected graph G , let B be a block of G and $x, y \in V(B)$ such that $xy \notin E(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G + xy)$.*

Let D be a γ_c -set of G . The followings are the characterization of four classes of end blocks of k - γ_c -critical graphs that contains at most 3 vertices from D . For vertices c, z_1 and z_2 , let

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \{c \vee K_{t_1} : \text{for an integer } t_1 \geq 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{c \vee K_{t_2} \vee z_1 : \text{for an integer } t_2 \geq 2\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2,1} = \{c \vee K_{t_3} \vee K_{t_4} \vee z_2 : \text{for integers } t_3, t_4 \geq 2\}.$$

The following is a part of the construction of $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}$. For integers $l \geq 2, m_i \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{S} = \cup_{i=1}^l K_{1,m_i}$ and $T = \mathcal{S} \cup \overline{K_r}$. When $r = 0$, we let $T = \mathcal{S}$. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, let $s_0^i, s_1^i, s_2^i, \dots, s_{m_i}^i$ be the vertices of a star K_{1,m_i} which s_0^i is the center. Further, let $S = \cup_{i=1}^l \{s_1^i, s_2^i, \dots, s_{m_i}^i\}$ and $S' = \cup_{i=1}^l \{s_0^i\}$, moreover, let $S'' = V(\overline{K_r})$ if $T = \mathcal{S} \cup \overline{K_r}$ and $S'' = \emptyset$ if $T = \mathcal{S}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{T} = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathcal{S}} & \text{or} \\ \overline{\mathcal{S}} \vee K_r. \end{cases}$$

That is, \overline{T} can be obtained by removing the edges in the stars of \mathcal{S} from a complete graph on $S \cup S' \cup S''$. Then the blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}$ are defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{B}_{2,2} = \{c \vee_{\overline{T[S]}} \overline{T} : \text{for integers } l \geq 2, r \geq 0 \text{ and } m_i \geq 1\}.$$

A graph in this class is illustrated by Figure 6. According to the figure, an *oval* denotes a complete subgraph, *double lines* between subgraphs denote all possible edges between the subgraphs and a *dash line* denotes a removed edge.




Figure 6: A block B in the class $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}$

For a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$, the vertex c is called the *head* of B . The following are the characterizations of an end block B such that $|D \cap V(B)| \leq 3$.

Lemma 5 [14] *Let G be a k - γ_c -critical graph with a γ_c -set D and let B be an end block of G . If $|(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}| = 0$, then $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$.*

Lemma 6 [14] *Let G be a k - γ_c -critical graph with a γ_c -set D and let B be an end block of G . If $|(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}| = 1$, then $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$.*

Lemma 7 [14] *Let G be a k - γ_c -critical graph with a γ_c -set D and let B be an end block of G . Suppose that $|(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}| = 2$. Then $B \in \mathcal{B}_{2,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$.*

Lemma 8 [14] *For $k \geq 3$, let G be a k - γ_c -critical graph. Then G contains at most one end block B such that $B \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$.*

Finally, we conclude this section by the following two lemmas which are structures of blocks of k - γ_c -critical graphs. Recall from Section 2 that

$\mathcal{Z}(k, \zeta)$: the class of k - γ_c -critical graphs containing ζ cut vertices.

Lemma 9 [14] *Let $G \in \mathcal{Z}(k, \zeta)$ where $\zeta \in \{k-3, k-2\}$. Then G has only two end blocks and another blocks contain exactly two cut vertices.*

Lemma 10 [14] *Let $G \in \mathcal{Z}(k, \zeta)$ with a γ_c -set D where $\zeta \in \{k-3, k-2\}$ and B be a block of G containing two cut vertices c and c' . If $(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. Then $B = cc'$.*

In [11], the authors established a construction of the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ which, for any graph $G \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ and integer $l \geq 1$, there exists a $(k+l)$ - γ_c -critical graph contains G as an induced subgraph. Recall that, for a subgraph H of G , H is maximal complete subgraph of G if for any complete subgraph H' of G such that $V(H) \subseteq V(H')$, we have $V(H) = V(H')$.

The class $\mathcal{P}(k)$

A k - γ_c -critical graph G is in this class if there exists a maximal complete subgraph H of order at least two of G satisfies the following properties :

- (i) for any vertex x of G , there exists a γ_c -set D of G such that $x \in D$ and $D \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset$ and
- (ii) for any non-adjacent vertices x and y of G , $D'_{xy} \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset$ where D'_{xy} is a connected dominating set of $G + xy$ such that $|D'_{xy}| < k$ (D'_{xy} need not be a γ_c -set of $G + xy$).

We next give a construction of a $(k+l)$ - γ_c -critical graph containing G in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ as an induced subgraph. Let H be a maximal complete subgraph of G having properties (i) and (ii). The graph $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$ can be constructed from a vertex x_0 , l copies of complete graph $K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_{l-1}}$ which $n_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and the graph G by adding edges according to the join operations :

$$x_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee K_{n_2} \vee \dots \vee K_{n_l} \vee_H G.$$

The graph is illustrated by Figure 7.




Figure 7: The graph $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$

Thus, they proved that

Theorem 9 [11] *For an integer $k \geq 3$, let $G \in \mathcal{P}(k)$. Then $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$ is a $(k+l)$ - γ_c -critical graph for all $l \geq 1$.*

4 Proofs

4.1 Connected Dominating Set of Blocks

Let

$\mathfrak{B}(G)$ be the family of all blocks of G .

When no ambiguity can occur, we use \mathfrak{B} to denote $\mathfrak{B}(G)$. For a k - γ_c -critical graph G with a cut vertex, let B be an end block of G containing non-adjacent vertices x and y . Clearly, $V(B + xy) = V(B)$. Let D be a γ_c -set of G .

Lemma 11 *Let B be a block of G and $x, y \in V(B)$ such that $xy \notin E(G)$. Then $D \cap \mathcal{C} = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$, in particular, $D \cap \mathcal{C}(B') = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}(B')$ for all $B' \in \mathfrak{B}(G + xy)$.*

Proof. We first show that $D \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$. Let $c \in D \cap \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 4, $c \in \mathcal{C}(G + xy)$. By the connectedness of $(G + xy)[D_{xy}]$, $c \in D_{xy}$. Thus $D \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$. We now show that $D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq D \cap \mathcal{C}$. Let $c \in D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$. That is $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Lemma 2(3) yields that $c \in D$. So $c \in D \cap \mathcal{C}$ and thus, $D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq D \cap \mathcal{C}$, as required.

In view of Lemma 4, $V(B') \cap \mathcal{C}(G + xy) = V(B') \cap \mathcal{C}$ for all $B' \in \mathfrak{B}(G + xy)$. Because $D \cap \mathcal{C} = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$, it follows that

$$D \cap \mathcal{C}(B') = D \cap \mathcal{C} \cap V(B') = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C} \cap V(B') = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}(B').$$

This completes the proof. \square

It is worth noting that, in [14], the similar result as Lemma 11 was proved but focused only end blocks. So, our result in Lemma 11 is more general. For non-adjacent vertices x and y of the block B , the following lemma gives the number of vertices of a γ_c -set of $G + xy$ in B .

Lemma 12 *For all $x, y \in V(B)$ such that $xy \notin E(G)$, $|D_{xy} \cap V(B)| < |D \cap V(B)|$.*

Proof. We first establish the following claim.

Claim : For all block B' which is not B , $|D \cap V(B')| \leq |D_{xy} \cap V(B')|$.

Suppose to the contrary that $|D \cap V(B')| > |D_{xy} \cap V(B')|$. Lemma 11 gives that $D \cap \mathcal{C}(B') = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}(B')$. Because $x, y \notin V(B')$, $G[(D - V(B')) \cup (D_{xy} \cap V(B'))]$ is connected. Moreover, $(D - V(B')) \cup (D_{xy} \cap V(B')) \succ_c G$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} k = |D| &= |(D - V(B')) \cup (D \cap V(B'))| = |D - V(B')| + |D \cap V(B')| \\ &> |D - V(B')| + |D_{xy} \cap V(B')| \\ &= |(D - V(B')) \cup (D_{xy} \cap V(B'))|, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the minimality of D . Thus establishing the claim.

We now prove Lemma 12. Suppose to the contrary that $|D_{xy} \cap V(B)| \geq |D \cap V(B)|$. Lemma 11 yields that $D \cap \mathcal{C} = D_{xy} \cap \mathcal{C}$. Clearly $D = \cup_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}} (D \cap V(\tilde{B}))$ and $D_{xy} = \cup_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}(G + xy)} (D_{xy} \cap V(\tilde{B}))$. Lemma 2(1) yields, further, that each cut vertex is contained in exactly two blocks. Thus each cut vertex is counted twice in $\sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}} |(D \cap V(\tilde{B}))|$ and $\sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}(G + xy)} |(D_{xy} \cap V(\tilde{B}))|$. Therefore, $|D| = \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}} |D \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}|$ and $\sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}(G + xy)} |D_{xy} \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}(G + xy)| = |D_{xy}|$. We note by Lemma

4 that $|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{C}(G + xy)|$. By the claim and the assumption that $|D_{xy} \cap V(B)| \geq |D \cap V(B)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k = |D| &= \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}} |D \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}| \\
&= |D \cap V(B)| + \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B} - \{B\}} |D \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}| \\
&\leq |D_{xy} \cap V(B)| + \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B} - \{B\}} |D \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}| \quad (\text{by the assumption}) \\
&\leq |D_{xy} \cap V(B)| + \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}(G+xy) - \{B\}} |D_{xy} \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}| \quad (\text{by the claim}) \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{B}(G+xy)} |D_{xy} \cap V(\tilde{B})| - |\mathcal{C}(G + xy)| = |D_{xy}|.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts Lemma 1(1). Thus $|D_{xy} \cap V(B)| < |D \cap V(B)|$ and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1 *For all block B of G and $x, y \in V(B)$ such that $xy \notin E(G)$, $|(D_{xy} \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}| < |(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}|$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 11, $D \cap V(B) \cap \mathcal{C} = D_{xy} \cap V(B) \cap \mathcal{C}$. Lemma 12 then implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(D_{xy} \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}| &= |D_{xy} \cap V(B)| - |D_{xy} \cap V(B) \cap \mathcal{C}| \\
&< |D \cap V(B)| - |D \cap V(B) \cap \mathcal{C}| \\
&= |(D \cap V(B)) - \mathcal{C}|
\end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

4.2 The k - γ_c -Critical Graphs with $\zeta(G) = k - 3$

In this subsection, we characterize k - γ_c -critical graphs with $k - 3$ cut vertices. We recall the classes $\mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(k)$ from Section 2. First, we will prove that all graphs in these two classes are k - γ_c -critical with $k - 3$ cut vertices.

Lemma 13 *Let G be a graph in the class $\mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$, then G is a k - γ_c -critical graph with $k - 3$ cut vertices.*

Proof. Clearly G has c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-4} and c as the $k - 3$ cut vertices. Observe that, for any $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$, a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_1 \mathbf{i}$ has the path $P = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}, a, c_l, \dots, c_{k-4}, c$ from c_0 to c where $a \in V(K_{n_i})$. To prove all cases of \mathbf{i} , we may relabel the path P to be x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} . Hence, $c_0 = x_1, c_1 = x_2, \dots, c_{k-4} = x_{k-2}$ and $c = x_{k-1}$. We see that $\{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, s_1^1, s_0^2\} \succ_c G$ where s_1^1, s_0^2 are vertices in $B \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$ defined in Section 2. Therefore $\gamma_c(G) \leq k$.

Let D be a γ_c -set of G . If $x_1 \notin D$, then, to dominate $x_1, x_2 \in D$. If $x_1 \in D$, then $x_2 \in D$ since $G[D]$ is connected. In both cases, $x_2 \in D$.

Suppose that $D \cap S'' = \emptyset$. Because $B \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$, to dominate B , $|D \cap (S \cup S')| \geq 2$. By the connectedness of $G[D]$, we have $\{x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\} \subseteq D$. Thus $\gamma_c(G) \geq k$ implying that $\gamma_c(G) = k$. Hence, suppose that $D \cap S'' \neq \emptyset$. Since $x_2 \in D$ and $G[D]$ is connected, it follows that $\{x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, y\} \subseteq D$ where $y \in D \cap S$. Thus $\gamma_c(G) = |D| \geq k$ implying that $\gamma_c(G) = k$.

Now, we will establish the criticality. Let u and v be a pair of non-adjacent vertices of G and let $S_1 = S \cup S' \cup S''$. We first assume that $|\{u, v\} \cap S_1| = 0$. Therefore $\{u, v\} \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\}$.

Thus $u = x_i$ and $v = x_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. Without loss of generality let $i < j$. Clearly $i+2 \leq j$. We see that

$$\{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_i, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_{k-1}, s_1^1, s_0^2\} \succ_c G + uv.$$

So $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq k-1$.

Hence, we assume that $|\{u, v\} \cap S_1| = 1$. If $\{u, v\} = \{x_{k-1}, s\}$ for some $s \in S_1$, then $s \notin S$ and, clearly, $\{x_{k-1}, s\} \succ S_1$. Thus $\{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k-1}, s_1\} \succ_c G + uv$. Therefore $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq k-1$. Let $v \in S_1$. Since $|S| \geq 2$, there exists $v' \in S - \{v\}$ such that $\{v, v'\} \succ_c S_1$. Suppose that $u \in \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k-2}\}$. Thus $\{x_2, x_3, \dots, u, \dots, x_{k-2}, v, v'\} \succ_c G + uv$. Hence $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq k-1$. If $u = x_1$, then $\{x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{k-2}, v, v'\} \succ_c G + uv$ implying that $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq k-1$.

Finally, we assume that $\{u, v\} \subseteq S_1$. Thus $\{u, v\} = \{s_j^i, s_0^i\}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, |S|\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m_i\}$. Clearly $\{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k-1}, s_j^i\} \succ_c G + uv$ and $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq k-1$. Thus G is a k - γ_c -critical graph and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 14 *Let G be a graph in the class $\mathcal{G}_2(k)$. Then G is a k - γ_c -critical graph with $k-3$ cut vertices.*

Proof. Choose $v \in V(\tilde{B})$. By (1) of \mathcal{B}_3 , there exists a γ_c -set D_v such that $|D_v| = 3$ and $D_v \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-4}, c_{k-3}\} \cup D_v \succ_c G$. Therefore $\gamma_c(G) \leq k$.

Let D be a γ_c -set of G . As c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3} are cut vertices, by Lemma 2(3), $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3} \in D$. Let $v \in V(\tilde{B}) \cap D$. Observe that $V(\tilde{B}) \cap D$ is a connected dominating set of B containing v . By (1) and the minimality of D_v , $|V(\tilde{B}) \cap D| \geq |D_v| = 3$. So $\gamma_c(G) \geq k$ and this implies that $\gamma_c(G) = k$.

We will prove the criticality. Let u and v be non-adjacent vertices of G . Suppose first that $c_0 \in \{u, v\}$, $c_0 = u$ say. If $v \in \{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{k-3}\}$, then $\{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D_v \succ_c G + uv$. If $v \in V(\tilde{B})$, then, by (1), there exists a γ_c -set D_v of size 3 of B such that $v \in D_v$ and $A \cap D_v \neq \emptyset$. So $\{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D_v \succ_c G + uv$. These imply that $\gamma_c(G + uv) < \gamma_c(G)$.

We then suppose that $c_0 \notin \{u, v\}$. If $\{u, v\} \subseteq \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\}$, then there exists i and j such that $c_i = u$ and $c_j = v$. Without loss of generality let $i < j$. Clearly, $i+2 \leq j$. So $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i, c_{i+2}, c_{i+3}, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D_v \succ_c G + uv$. For the case when $|\{u, v\} \cap \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\}| = 1$, we have $\{c_1, \dots, c_i, \dots, c_{k-4}\} \cup D_v \succ_c G + uv$. Finally, if $\{u, v\} \subseteq V(B)$, then, by (2), there exists a γ_c -set D_{uv}^B such that $D_{uv}^B \cap \{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$, $|D_{uv}^B| = 2$ and $D_{uv}^B \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D_{uv}^B \succ_c G + uv$. This implies that $\gamma_c(G + uv) < \gamma_c(G)$. Clearly, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3} are the $k-3$ cut vertices of G . This completes the proof. \square

In the following, we let $G \in \mathcal{Z}(k, k-3)$ having a γ_c -set D . In view of Lemma 9, G has only two end blocks and another blocks contain two cut vertices. Thus, we let B_1 and B_{k-2} be the two end blocks and another blocks B_2, B_3, \dots, B_{k-3} contain two cut vertices. Without loss of generality let $c_1 \in V(B_1)$, $c_{k-3} \in V(B_{k-2})$ and $c_{i-1}, c_i \in V(B_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-3$. Moreover, let $C_i = V(B_i) - C$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-2$. Let D' be a γ_c -set of G such that $D' \neq D$, by the minimality of k , we have $|V(B_i) \cap D| = |V(B_i) \cap D'|$ for all i . Thus, we can let

$$\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{k-2}) : \text{the class of a graph } G \in \mathcal{Z}(k, k-3) \text{ such that} \\ |V(C_i) \cap D| = b_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k-2.$$

Lemma 15 For a γ_c -set of G , either $|V(C_1) \cap D| \geq 2$ or $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $|V(C_1) \cap D| \leq 1$ and $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| \leq 1$. Lemmas 5 and 6 imply that $B_1, B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$. This contradicts Lemma 8. Thus either $|V(C_1) \cap D| \geq 2$ or $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| \geq 2$ and this completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 15, we may suppose without loss of generality that $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| \geq |V(C_1) \cap D|$.

Lemma 16 $\mathcal{Z}(k, k-3) = \mathcal{H}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 3) \cup \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$ where $b_i = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-3$ and $b_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq i \leq k-3$.

Proof. By the definition, $\mathcal{H}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 3) \cup \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(k, k-3)$.

Conversely, let $G \in \mathcal{Z}(k, k-3)$. Thus, by Lemma 9, G has only two end blocks B_1 and B_{k-2} and another blocks B_2, B_3, \dots, B_{k-2} contain two cut vertices. Moreover, $c_1 \in V(B_1)$, $c_{k-3} \in V(B_{k-1})$ and $c_{i-1}, c_i \in V(B_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-3$. In view of Lemma 2(3), $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3} \in D$. So $|D - \mathcal{C}| = 3$. Thus, $|V(C_i) \cap D| \leq 3$ for all $i \in \{1, k-2\}$. Recall that $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| \geq |V(C_1) \cap D|$. Lemma 15 implies that either $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| = 3$ or $|V(C_{k-2}) \cap D| = 2$. That is $G \in \mathcal{H}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 3) \cup \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$. This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 16, to characterize a graph G in the class $\mathcal{Z}(k, k-3)$, it suffices to consider when G is either in $\mathcal{H}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 3)$ or $\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$. We first consider the case when $G \in \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$. Let c_i and c_{i+1} be vertices and K_{n_i} a copy of a complete graph.

Lemma 17 Let $G \in \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$ with a block B_i containing two cut vertices c_{i-1} and c_i and $b_i = 1$. Then $B_i = c_{i-1} \vee K_{n_i} \vee c_i$ where $n_i \geq 2$.

Proof. As $G \in \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$ and $b_i = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-3$, we must have $b_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq i \leq k-3$. Because B_i contains two cut vertices, $i > 1$. Therefore, $b_1 = 0$. Lemma 5 then implies that

$$B_1 = K_{n_1} \vee c_1.$$

Let $B' = B_i - c_{i-1} - c_i$. We first show that B' is complete. Let x and y be non-adjacent vertices of B' . Consider $G + xy$. Lemma 1(2) implies that $|D_{xy} \cap \{x, y\}| \geq 1$. As $x, y \in V(B')$, we must have $|D_{xy} \cap (V(B_i) - \mathcal{C})| \geq 1 = b_i = |D \cap (V(B_i) - \mathcal{C})|$ contradicting Corollary 1. So B' is complete.

We will show that $c_{i-1}c_i \notin E(G)$. Hence, we may assume to the contrary that $c_{i-1}c_i \in E(G)$. We let

$$X_1 = N_{B_i}(\{c_{i-1}, c_i\}) \text{ and}$$

$$X = V(B') - X_1.$$

Since $|D \cap (V(B_i) - \{c_{i-1}, c_i\})| = 1$, it follows that $X \neq \emptyset$. Because B' is complete, $G[X_1 \cup X]$ is complete. In fact, X_1 and X satisfy (i) and (ii) of bad subgraphs. We then let

$$Y_1 = \{c_1\} \text{ and}$$

$$Y = V(K_{n_1}).$$

Thus G has X, X, Y and Y_1 as a bad subgraph. This contradicts Lemma 3. Hence, $c_{i-1}c_i \notin E(G)$.

We finally show that $N_{B_i}(c_i) = N_{B_i}(c_{i-1}) = V(B')$. We may assume to the contrary that there exists a vertex u of B' which is not adjacent to c_{i-1} . Consider $G + uc_{i-1}$. Corollary 1 gives that $|D_{uc_{i-1}} \cap V(B')| = 0$. Lemma 4 gives further that $\{c_{i-1}, c_i\} \subseteq D_{uc_{i-1}}$. Since $c_{i-1}c_i \notin E(G)$, it follows that $(G + uc_{i-1})[D_{uc_{i-1}}]$ is not connected, a contradiction. Hence, $N_{B_i}(c_{i-1}) = V(B')$ and, similarly, $N_{B_i}(c_i) = V(B')$. Since B_i is a block, $n_i \geq 2$ and this completes the proof. \square

We will prove the following two theorems, both of which give main contribution to the proof of our first main theorem, Theorem 2.

Theorem 10 For $k \geq 4$, $\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2) = \mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$ where $b_j = i_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-3$.

Proof. Let $b_j = i_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-3$. In views of Lemma 13, we have that $\mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3})$.

We will show that $B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$. Clearly, b_1 is either 0 or 1. If $b_1 = 0$, then Lemma 5 implies that $B_1 = K_{n_1} \vee c_1$. But if $b_1 = 1$, then Lemma 6 implies that $B_1 = c_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee c_1$. Thus, we let

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} \{c_1\} & \text{if } b_1 = 0 \text{ and} \\ V(K_{n_1}) & \text{if } b_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$X = \begin{cases} V(K_{n_1}) & \text{if } b_1 = 0 \text{ and} \\ \{c_0\} & \text{if } b_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $b_{k-2} = 2$, by Lemma 7, $B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$. If $B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,1}$, then $B_{k-2} = c_{k-3} \vee K_{n_1} \vee K_{n_2} \vee z_2$ where z_2 is given at the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{2,1}$. We then let

$$Y_1 = V(K_{n_2}) \text{ and } Y = \{z_2\}$$

Clearly, G has a bad subgraph, contradicting Lemma 3. Thus $B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}$.

We now consider the case when $b_1 = 1$. Thus $b_2 = b_3 = \dots = b_{k-3} = 0$. By Lemma 6, $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ implying that $B_1 = c_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee c_1$. Further, Lemma 10 implies also that $B_i = c_{i-1}c_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-3$. Thus $G \in \mathcal{G}_1(1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

We finally consider the case when $b_1 = 0$. Thus $b_j = 1$ for some $2 \leq j \leq k-3$ and $b_j = 0$ for $2 \leq j' \neq j \leq k-3$. Similarly, $B_{j'} = c_{j'-1}c_{j'}$ for all j' by Lemma 10. Moreover, Lemma 17 yields that $B_j = c_{j-1} \vee K_{n_j} \vee c_i$. Let $c_0 \in V(B_1) \setminus \{c_1\}$. We will show that $B_1 = c_0c_1$. We let a be a vertex in $V(B_2) - \{c_1, c_2\}$ if $j = 2$. Then, we let

$$x = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } j = 2 \text{ and} \\ c_2 & \text{if } j > 2. \end{cases}$$

Consider $G + c_0x$. Since c_2 is a cut vertex of $G + c_0x$, $c_2 \in D_{c_0x}$ by Lemma 2(3). That is $x \in D_{c_0x}$ when $j > 2$. When $j = 2$, by Lemma 17, $xc_2 \in E(G)$. Since $c_2 \in D_{c_0x}$, by Lemma 1(3), $x \in D_{c_0x}$. In both cases, $x \in D_{c_0x}$. If $|D_{c_0x} \cap (\cup_{i=2}^{k-2} V(B_i))| \leq k-2$, then $(D_{c_0x} \cap (\cup_{i=2}^{k-2} V(B_i))) \cup \{c_1\} \succ_c G$ contradicting $\gamma_c(G) = k$. Therefore $|D_{c_0x} \cap (\cup_{i=2}^{k-2} V(B_i))| = k-1$ by Lemma 1(1). Thus $c_1, c_0 \notin D_{c_0x}$ implying that $B_1 = c_0c_1$. So $G \in \mathcal{G}_1(0, 0, \dots, i_j = 1, \dots, 0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 11 For $k \geq 4$, $\mathcal{H}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 3) = \mathcal{G}_2(k)$.

Proof. By Lemma 14, $\mathcal{G}_2(k) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(0, 0, \dots, 3)$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{H}(0, 0, \dots, 3) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2(k)$.

As $b_i = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k-3$, by Lemma 10, $B_i = c_{i-1}c_i$. By Lemma 5 and similar arguments in Theorem 10, we have that $B_1 = c_0c_1$.

We will show that B_{k-2} satisfies (1) of the class \mathcal{B}_3 . Recall that $\check{B}_{k-2} = B_{k-2} - c_{k-3}$. Let D' be a γ_c -set of B_{k-2} . Suppose that $|D'| \leq 2$. To dominate c_{k-3} , we have $D' \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D' \succ_c G$. But $|\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D'| = k-1$ contradicting the minimality of k . Therefore, $|D'| = 3$. Thus, to prove that B_{k-2} satisfies (1), it suffices to give a connected dominating set of size 3 of B_{k-2} containing a chosen vertex from \check{B}_{k-2} . For a vertex v of \check{B}_{k-2} , consider $G + c_0v$. Lemma 1(2) implies that $\{c_0, v\} \cap D_{c_0v} \neq \emptyset$. Lemma 1(1) implies also that $|D_{c_0v}| \leq k-1$. We first show that $\{c_0\} \neq D_{c_0v} \cap \{c_0, v\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\{c_0\} = D_{c_0v} \cap \{c_0, v\}$. Since $(G + uv)[D_{uv}]$ is connected, there exists $w \in V(\check{B}_{k-2})$ which is adjacent to v . Because $D_{c_0v} \succ_c G + c_0v$, w is adjacent to a vertex of $D_{uv} \cap V(B_{k-2} - v)$. So

$$(D_{uv} - \{c_0\}) \cup \{w\} \succ_c G.$$

This contradicts the minimality of k . Thus, $\{c_0\} \neq D_{c_0v} \cap \{c_0, v\}$. Therefore $\{c_0, v\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$ or $\{v\} = D_{c_0v} \cap \{c_0, v\}$.

Case 1 : $\{c_0, v\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$

Let

$$i = \max\{1 \leq j \leq k-3 : G[\{c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_j\} \cap D_{c_0v}] \text{ is connected} \}.$$

We first consider the case when $i = k-3$. Thus $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$. As $|D_{c_0v}| \leq k-1$ and $\{c_0, v\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$, we must have

$$D_{c_0v} = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-3}, v\}.$$

So $v \succ V(B_{k-2}) - A$ and $N_A(v) = \emptyset$, otherwise $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-3}, w, v\} \succ_c G$ where $w \in N_A(v)$, contradicting the minimality of k . Let $u \in N_{B_{k-2}}(v)$ such that u is adjacent to a vertex a in A . Thus $\{v, u, a\} \succ_c B_{k-2}$ and so B_{k-2} satisfies (1).

We now consider the case when $i = k-4$. Let $D'_{c_0v} = D_{c_0v} \cap V(\check{B}_{k-2})$. Clearly, $v \in D'_{c_0v}$. Since $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-4}\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$ and $|D_{c_0v}| \leq k-1$, it follows that $|D'_{c_0v}| \leq 2$. If $|D'_{c_0v}| = 1$, then $D'_{c_0v} = \{v\}$ implying that $v \succ \check{B}_{k-2}$, in particular, $v \succ A$. Thus, $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-3}, w, v\} \succ_c G$ where $w \in N_A(v)$ contradicting the minimality of D . Hence, we let $D'_{c_0v} = \{v, v'\}$. Since $D_{c_0v} \succ_c G + c_0v$, $D'_{c_0v} \succ_c \check{B}_{k-2}$. Hence, for a vertex a in A , $D'_{c_0v} \cup \{a\} \succ_c B_{k-2}$. Therefore, B_{k-2} satisfies (1).

We now consider the case when $i = k-5$. Thus $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-5}\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$. So $|D'_{c_0v}| \leq 3$ and, $D'_{c_0v} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ to dominate c_{k-3} . So, B_{k-2} satisfies (1).

We finally consider the case when $i \leq k-6$. To dominate c_{i+2} , we have that $c_{i+3} \in D_{c_0v}$. By the connectedness of $(G + c_0v)[D_{c_0v}]$, $\{c_{i+3}, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$. Thus, $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_i\} \cup \{c_{i+3}, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \cup D'_{c_0v} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$ implying that

$$k - 4 + |D'_{c_0v}| = (i + 1) + ((k - 3) - (i + 3) + 1) + |D'_{c_0v}| \leq k - 1.$$

Therefore, $|D'_{c_0v}| \leq 3$. To dominate c_{k-3} , $D'_{c_0v} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus B_{k-2} satisfies (1) and this completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2 : $\{v\} = D_{c_0v} \cap \{c_0, v\}$

To dominate c_1 , we have that $c_2 \in D_{c_0v}$. By the connectedness of $(G + c_0v)[D_{c_0v}]$, $\{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \subseteq D_{c_0v}$ and $D_{c_0v} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. As $|D_{c_0v}| \leq k - 1$, we must have $|D_{c_0v} - \{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{k-3}\}| \leq 3$. So B_{k-2} satisfies (1). This completes the proof of Case 2.

We finally show that B_{k-2} satisfies (2) of the class \mathcal{B}_2 . Let x and y be non-adjacent vertices of B_{k-2} . Lemma 1(2) implies that $\{x, y\} \cap D_{xy} \neq \emptyset$. Lemma 1(1) implies also that $|D_{xy}| \leq k - 1$. To dominate c_0 , we have that $c_1 \in D_{xy}$. Let $D_{xy}^B = D_{xy} \cap V(\check{B}_{k-2})$. By the connectedness of $(G + xy)[D_{xy}]$, $D_{xy}^B \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\} \subseteq D_{xy}$. As $|D_{xy}| \leq k - 1$, we must have $|D_{xy}^B| = |D_{xy} \cap V(\check{B}_{k-2})| = |D_{xy} - \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-3}\}| \leq 2$. Hence, B_{k-2} satisfies (2). Therefore $B_{k-2} \in \mathcal{B}_3$. This completes the proof. \square

No, we are ready to prove our first main result, Theorem 2. For completeness, we restate the theorem

Theorem 2. For an integer $k \geq 4$, $\mathcal{Z}(k, k - 3) = \mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3}) \cup \mathcal{G}_2(k)$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 16, $\mathcal{Z}(k, k - 3) = \mathcal{H}(0, 0, 0, \dots, 3) \cup \mathcal{H}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-3}, 2)$ where $b_i = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k - 3$ and $b_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq i \leq k - 3$. Moreover, Theorems 10 and 11 imply that $\mathcal{Z}(k, k - 3) = \mathcal{G}_1(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-3}) \cup \mathcal{G}_2(k)$. This completes the proof. \square

4.3 k - γ_c -Critical Graphs with Prescribed Cut Vertices

In this section, we prove Theorem 3. First, we introduce structure of some subgraphs. For any block H of a graph G , H is call a *block* \mathcal{H}_ℓ for $\ell \geq 2$ if H consists of a vertex x and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_ℓ as vertex sets of order at least 2 and

- $G[\{x\} \cup U_1]$, $G[U_1 \cup U_2]$, $G[U_2 \cup U_3]$, ..., $G[U_{\ell-2} \cup U_{\ell-1}]$ and $G[U_\ell]$ are complete.
- For each $u \in V(U_{\ell-1})$, $|N_{U_\ell}(u)| = |U_\ell| - 1$.
- For each $u' \in V(U_\ell)$, there exists $u \in V(U_{\ell-1})$ such that $uu' \in E(G)$.

We say that x is the *head* of a block \mathcal{H}_ℓ .

Let

$\mathcal{D}(k, \zeta, \zeta_0)$: the class of all k - γ_c -critical graphs with ζ cut vertices containing a block B such that $\zeta(B) = \zeta_0$.

We next introduce the following class that we use to establish the existence of graphs in $\mathcal{D}(k, \zeta, \zeta_0)$.

The class $\mathcal{F}(p, q, r)$:

Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_p be p of \mathcal{H}_2 blocks. Further, we let H_{p+1} be P_q (a path of q vertices), and let H_{p+2} be an \mathcal{H}_r block. Let c_i be the head of H_i for $1 \leq i \leq p + 2$. A graph $G \in \mathcal{F}(p, q, r)$ is obtained from H_1, \dots, H_{p+2} by joining edges between vertices in $\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq p + 2\}$ to form a clique.

Lemma 18 *If a graph G is in the class $\mathcal{F}(p, q, r)$, then G is $(r + q + 3p)$ - γ_c -critical with $p + q$ cut vertices having a block that contains $p + 2$ cut vertices.*

Proof. For $i \in [p]$, let $U_1^i = N_{H_i}(c_i)$ and $U_2^i = V(H_i) - U_1^i$. We see that U_1^i and U_2^i are, respectively, the same as U_1 and U_2 of the block \mathcal{H}_2 . Let $a_i \in U_1^i$. By the construction of the block \mathcal{H}_2 , there exists $b_i \in U_2^i$ such that $a_i b_i \in E(G)$ and a_i is not adjacent to the vertex $a'_i \in U_2^i$. Let $H_{p+1} = d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{q-1}$ where $c_{p+1} = d_0$. For the block H_{p+2} , let \tilde{U}_i be a vertex subset of $V(H_{p+2})$ which is the same as U_i of the block \mathcal{H}_r and $u_i \in \tilde{U}_i$ for $i \in [r]$.

Lemma 2(3) implies that $c_i \in D$ for $i \in [p + 2]$. Consider H_{p+2} . By the connectedness of $G[D]$, $|\tilde{U}_i \cap D_c| \geq 1$ for $i \in [r - 1]$. Because every vertex in \tilde{U}_{r-1} does not dominate \tilde{U}_r , $|D \cap (\tilde{U}_{r-1} \cup \tilde{U}_r)| \geq 2$. Since $c_{p+2} \in D$, $|D \cap V(H_{p+2})| \geq r + 1$. By the same arguments, $|D \cap V(H_i)| \geq 3$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Finally, to dominate d_{q-1} , $\{c_{p+1}, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{q-2}\} \subseteq D$. So $|D| \geq (r + 1) + 3p + (q - 1) = r + q + 3p$. It is not difficult to see that $(\cup_{i=1}^p \{a_i, b_i\}) \cup \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{p+2}\} \cup \{d_1, \dots, d_{q-2}\} \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\} \succ_c G$. So $|D| \leq 2p + (p + 2) + (q - 2) + r = r + q + 3p$. Thus $\gamma_c(G) = r + q + 3p$.

Let $k = r + q + 3p$. For a pair of non adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$. Consider $G + uv$. To establish the criticality, it suffices to show that there exists a dominating set D_{uv} of $G + uv$ containing less than k vertices. For $i \in [p + 2]$, let $D_i = D \cap V(H_i)$. We distinguish 3 cases.

Case 1 : $\{u, v\} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^p H_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, let $u \in V(H_1)$.

Subcase 1.1 : $u = c_1$. Clearly, $v \notin \{c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{p+2}\}$.

- If $v \in U_2^1$, then let $D_{uv} = \{u, v\} \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{p+2} D_i)$.

For $j \geq 2$,

- if $v \in U_1^j$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq j} D_i) \cup \{v, w\}$ where $w \in N_{U_2^j}(v)$, and
- if $v \in U_2^j$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq j} D_i) \cup \{v, c_j\}$.

We now consider the case when $v \in V(H_{p+1})$. We let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{d_1, d_2, \dots, v, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$ if $v \neq d_{q-1}$ and let $D_{uv} = D - \{d_{q-2}\}$ if $v = d_{q-1}$. When $v \in V(H_{p+2})$, we let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+2} D_i) \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, v, \dots, u_r\}$ where $u_1, u_2, \dots, v, \dots, u_r$ is a path such that $v = u_j$ for some $j \in [r]$.

Subcase 1.2 : $u \in U_1^1$. Without loss of generality let $u = a_1$. So $ua'_1 \notin E(G)$ and $ub_1 \in E(G)$. Clearly, $v \neq c_1$. If $v = c_i$ for some $\{2, \dots, p\}$, then we can find D_{uv} by the same arguments as Subcase 1.1. Thus, we may consider when $v \neq c_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. If $v = a'_1$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1} D_i) \cup \{a_1, c_1\}$. For $j \geq 2$,

- if $v \in U_1^j$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1, j} D_i) \cup \{c_1, u, b_1, v, w\}$ where $w \in N_{U_2^j}(v)$, and
- if $v \in U_2^j$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1, j} D_i) \cup \{c_1, u, b_1, v, c_j\}$.

We now consider the case when $v \in V(H_{p+1}) \cup V(H_{p+2})$. If $v \in \{c_{p+1}, c_{p+2}\}$, then $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1} D_i) \cup \{u, b_1\}$. Thus, we may assume that $v \notin \{c_{p+1}, c_{p+2}\}$. We let $\tilde{D} = (\cup_{i=2}^p D_i) \cup \{c_1, u, b_1\}$. If $v = d_i$ for some $i \in [q - 2]$, then we let $D_{uv} = \tilde{D} \cup \{d_1, \dots, d_{q-2}\} \cup D_{p+2}$. If $v = d_{q-1}$, then we let

$D_{uv} = \tilde{D} \cup \{d_0, \dots, d_{q-3}\} \cup D_{p+2}$. Finally, if $v = u_i \in \tilde{U}_i$ for some $i \in [r]$, then we let $D_{uv} = \tilde{D} \cup D_{p+1} \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, v, \dots, u_r\}$.

Subcase 1.3 : $u \in U_2^1$. Without loss of generality let $u = b_1$. Clearly $ua_1 \in E(G)$. By the same arguments as Subcases 1.1 and 1.2, we consider only when $v \notin \cup_{i=2}^p (\{c_i\} \cup U_i^1)$. If $v \in U_1^1$, then let $D_{uv} = \{v, c_1\} \cup (\cup_{i \neq 1} D_i)$. If $v \in U_2^j$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1, j} D_i) \cup \{u, v, a_1, c_1\}$. If $v \in \{c_{p+1}, c_{p+2}\}$, then $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq 1} D_i) \cup \{u, c_1\}$. Thus, we may assume that $v \in V(H_{p+1}) \cup V(H_{p+2}) - \{c_{p+1}, c_{p+2}\}$. We let $\tilde{D} = D_{uv} = (\cup_{i=2}^p D_i) \cup \{c_1, u, a_1\}$ and we can find D_{uv} by same arguments as Subcase 1.2.

Case 2 : $\{u, v\} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^p V(H_i)) = \emptyset$ and $\{u, v\} \cap V(H_{p+1}) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality let $u \in V(H_{p+1})$.

Subcase 2.1 : $u = c_{p+1}$. Suppose first that $v \in V(H_{p+1})$. Thus $v = d_j$ for some $j > 2$. If $j = 3$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{c_{p+1}, d_3, d_4, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$. If $3 < j < q-1$, then $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{c_{p+1}, d_4, \dots, v, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$. If $j = q-1$, then let $D_{uv} = D - \{d_{q-2}\}$. If $v \in V(H_{p+2})$, then we let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+2} D_i) \cup \{u_1, u_2, \dots, v, \dots, u_r\}$.

Subcase 2.2 : $u \in V(H_{p+1}) - \{c_{p+1}\}$. Suppose that $v \in V(H_{p+1})$. By the same arguments as Subcase 2.1, $v \in V(H_{p+1}) - \{c_{p+1}\}$. Without loss of generality let $u = d_j$ and $v = d_{j'}$ such that $j < j'$. If $j' < q-1$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{c_{p+1}, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{j-1}, u, d_{j+2}, \dots, v, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$. If $j' = q-1$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{c_{p+1}, d_1, \dots, u, \dots, d_{q-3}\}$. Suppose that $v \in V(H_{p+2})$. If $v = c_{p+2}$ and $j < q-1$, then let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+1} D_i) \cup \{d_1, \dots, u, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$. If $v = c_{p+2}$ and $j = q-1$, then let $D_{uv} = D - \{d_{q-2}\}$. We now consider the case when $v \in V(H_{p+2}) - \{c_{p+2}\}$. Let $\tilde{D}_{p+2} = \{c_{p+2}, u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ and $\tilde{D}_{p+1} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{q-2}\}$. Let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i=1}^p D_i) \cup (\tilde{D}_{p+1} \cup \tilde{D}_{p+2})$ by the same arguments as Subcase 2.1.

Case 3 : $\{u, v\} \subseteq V(H_{p+2})$. Without loss of generality let $u \in \tilde{U}_j$ and $v \in \tilde{U}_{j'}$ where $j < j'$. Let $c_{p+2} = U_0$. If $u \notin \tilde{U}_{r-1}$, then there exists a path u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r such that $u = u_j$ and $v = u_{j'}$ where $u_i \in \tilde{U}_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Let $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+2} D_i) \cup \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u, u_{j+2}, \dots, v, \dots, u_r\}$. If $u \in \tilde{U}_{r-1}$, then v is the only one vertex in \tilde{U}_r which u is not adjacent. Thus $D_{uv} = (\cup_{i \neq p+2} D_i) \cup \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{r-2}, u\}$.

Finally, we see that $c_1, \dots, c_{p+2}, d_1, \dots, d_{q-2}$ are all the cut vertices of G . Thus, G has $p+q$ cut vertices. Further, the block $G[\{c_1, \dots, c_{p+2}\}]$ has c_1, \dots, c_{p+2} as the cut vertices of G . Therefore, there is a block containing $p+2$ cut vertices. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3. For completeness, we restate the theorem.

Theorem 3. For all $k \geq 4$, $2 \leq \zeta \leq k-2$ and $2 \leq \zeta_0 \leq \min \{\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor, \zeta\}$, there exists a k - γ_c -critical graph with ζ cut vertices having a block that contains ζ_0 cut vertices, namely, $\mathcal{D}(k, \zeta, \zeta_0) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 18, for all $k \geq 4$, $2 \leq \zeta \leq k-2$ and $2 \leq \zeta_0 \leq \min \{\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor, \zeta\}$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\zeta_0 - 2, \zeta - \zeta_0 + 2, k - \zeta - 2\zeta_0 + 4) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(k, \zeta, \zeta_0)$. This completes the proof. \square

4.4 Factor Criticality of k - γ_c -Critical Graphs

In this section, for $k \geq 3$, we will use the property of graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ which is given in Section 3. First, we may prove that the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is non-empty for $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 19 For all $k \geq 3$, $\mathcal{P}(k) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For an integer $k \geq 3$, we let $C_{k+2} = c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k+2}, c_1$ be a cycle of length $k+2$. It is well known that C_{k+2} is a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph. In this proof, all subscripts are taken modulo $k+2$. Observe that $C_{k+2}[\{c_j, c_{j+1}\}]$ is a maximal complete subgraph for all $1 \leq j \leq k+2$. Thus, without loss of generality, it suffices to show that complete subgraph $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of $\mathcal{P}(k)$.

For a vertex c_j where $1 \leq j \leq k+2$, let

$$S_j = \{c_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{k+2}, c_1, \dots, c_{j-3}\} \succ_c C_{k+2}.$$

Clearly, $S_j \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$ if $j \neq 3$. Thus we consider the case when $j = 3$. In this case $c_{k+2} \in S_3$. Let $S' = (S_3 - \{c_{k+2}\}) \cup \{c_2\}$. Hence, $S' \succ_c C_{k+2}$. Moreover, $S' \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (i).

We now let c_j and c_l be non-adjacent vertices of C_{k+2} . So $|j - l| \geq 2$. Consider $C_{k+2} + c_j c_l$. We partition $V(C_{k+2})$ to

$$C^1 = \{c_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{l-1}\} \text{ and } C^2 = \{c_l, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{j-1}\}.$$

As $k+2 \geq 5$, at least one of C^1 or C^2 must have at least three vertices. Without loss of generality let it be C^1 . We further let

$$D = \begin{cases} \{c_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{l-3}\} \cup \{c_l, c_{l+1}, \dots, c_{j-3}\}, & \text{if } |C^2| \geq 3 \text{ and} \\ \{c_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{l-2}\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly $D \succ_c C_{k+2}$ and $|D| < k$. We first consider the case when $|C^2| \geq 3$. Note that D in this case contains $k-2$ vertices. If $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is neither $\{c_{l-1}, c_{l-2}\}$ nor $\{c_{j-1}, c_{j-2}\}$, then $D \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (ii). Thus we may assume that $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{c_{l-1}, c_{l-2}\}$. As $|D| = k-2$, we must have $|D \cup \{c_{l-1}\}| < k$. Moreover $D \cup \{c_{l-1}\} \succ_c C_{k+2} + c_j c_l$ because $D \succ_c C_{k+2} + c_j c_l$. Hence $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (ii). The case $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{c_{j-1}, c_{j-2}\}$ can be proved by the same arguments.

We now consider the case when $|C^2| < 3$, in fact $C^2 = \{c_l, c_{l+1}\}$ and $c_{l+2} = c_j$. If $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is neither $\{c_{l-1}, c_l\}$ nor $\{c_l, c_{l+1}\}$, then $D \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$ and this implies that $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (ii). Thus we may assume that $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is $\{c_{l-1}, c_l\}$ or $\{c_l, c_{l+1}\}$. We observe that $c_1 = c_{l-1}$ and $c_2 = c_l$ when $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{c_{l-1}, c_l\}$, moreover, $c_1 = c_l$ and $c_2 = c_{l+1}$ when $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{c_l, c_{l+1}\}$. In both cases, $c_l \in \{c_1, c_2\}$. Let $D' = (D - \{c_{l-2}\}) \cup \{c_l\}$. We see that $D' \succ_c C_{k+2} + c_j c_l$. So $D' \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$ because $c_l \in \{c_1, c_2\}$ and $c_l \in D'$. Therefore $C_{k+2}[\{c_1, c_2\}]$ satisfies (ii). Hence $C_{k+2} \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ and this completes the proof. \square

In the following, we show how to apply the construction of some graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ to establish the existence of $(k+l)\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs with some property. For an integer $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 1$, we let

$\mathcal{Q}(k, \ell)$: the class of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs G with $\delta \geq \ell + 1$ such that G is not ℓ -factor critical.

Hence, we may rewrite Observation 1 in term of the class $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1)$.

Observation 4 $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) = \emptyset$ for $k \in [2]$.

For $k = 3$, Figure 4 shows that there exists a $3\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph of odd order and $\delta \geq 2$ which is non-factor critical. Thus $\mathcal{Q}(3, 1) \neq \emptyset$. In the following, for $k \geq 4$, we show further that there exists a $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph which is non-factor critical.

The class $\mathcal{X}(s)$

For an integer $s \geq 3$, let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ be two disjoint sets of vertices. We further let K_s be a copy of a complete graph of order s such that $V(K_s) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$. A graph G in the class $\mathcal{X}(s)$ can be constructed from A, B and K_s by adding edges according to the join operations that, for $1 \leq i \leq s$,

- $a_i \vee (B - \{b_i\})$ and
- $a_i \vee (K_s - y_i)$.

A graph in this class is illustrated by Figure 8.




Figure 8: A graph G in the class $\mathcal{X}(s)$

The following lemma gives that $\mathcal{X}(s) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(4) \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, 1)$ for integer $s \geq 3$.

Lemma 20 *For an integer $s \geq 3$, $\mathcal{X}(s) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(4) \cap \mathcal{Q}(4, 1)$.*

Proof. For a given $s \geq 3$, let G be in the class $\mathcal{X}(s)$. We first show that $\gamma_c(G) = 4$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a connected dominating set D of size less than 4. We first consider the case when $D \cap V(K_s) \neq \emptyset$. To dominate B , $|D \cap A| \geq 2$. Therefore $D = \{y_i, a_j, a_l\}$. By the connectedness of $G[D]$, $i \notin \{j, l\}$. Hence D does not dominate a_i , a contradiction. Thus, we consider the case when $D \cap V(K_s) = \emptyset$. So, to dominate K_s , $|D \cap A| \geq 2$. Since A is an independent set, by the connectedness of $G[D]$, $|D \cap B| \geq 1$. Therefore $D = \{a_j, a_l, b_i\}$. Similarly $i \notin \{j, l\}$ and this implies that D does not dominate a_i , a contradiction. Thus $\gamma_c(G) \geq 4$.

We observe that K_s is a maximal complete subgraph of G . We do not only show that $\gamma_c(G) \leq 4$ but we also show that, for a vertex a of G , there exists a connected dominating set D_a of G containing a and $D \cap V(K_s) \neq \emptyset$. That is we show that K_s satisfies (i) of graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$. For $1 \leq i \neq i' \neq i'' \leq s$, we have

$$D_{y_i} = D_{a_i} = \{y_i, y_{i'}, a_i, a_{i'}\} \text{ and}$$

$$D_{b_i} = \{b_i, a_{i'}, y_{i''}, a_i\}$$

Hence $\gamma_c(G) \leq 4$ and thus $\gamma_c(G) = 4$. Moreover, K_s satisfies (i).

We finally establish the criticality. Further, we show that, for non-adjacent vertices x and y of G , $D_{xy} \cap V(K_s) \neq \emptyset$. That is we will show that K_s satisfies (ii) of graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$. We first consider the case when $\{x, y\} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. If $\{x, y\} = \{b_i, b_j\}$, then $D_{xy} = \{b_i, a_j, y_l\}$. If $\{x, y\} = \{a_i, b_i\}$, then $D_{xy} = \{a_i, y_j, y_l\}$. If $\{x, y\} = \{b_i, y_j\}$ (in this case y_j could be y_i), then $D_{xy} = \{y_j, y_l, a_i\}$. We now consider the case when $\{x, y\} \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $\{x, y\}$ is either $\{a_i, a_j\}$ or $\{a_i, y_i\}$. In both cases, $D_{xy} = \{a_i, a_j, y_i\}$. Thus G is a $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph, in particular, $G \in \mathcal{P}(4)$.

Finally, let s be odd number and $S = A$. Thus, $\omega_o(G - S)$ has K_s and b_1, \dots, b_s as $s+1$ odd components. Thus $\omega_o(G - S) = s+1 > s-1 = |S|-1$. By Theorem 6, G is non-factor critical. Thus, $G \in \mathcal{Q}(4, 1)$ and this completes the proof. \square

We will use a graph in the class $\mathcal{P}(4)$ to show that $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) \neq \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 6$. For $k = 5$, we also provide a graph $G_5(l_1, l_2)$ in the class $\mathcal{Q}(5, 1)$ by the following construction. Let u, x, y, z and w be five different vertices. We also let $P_2 = x', y'$ be a path of length one and K_{l_1}, K_{l_2} be two copies of complete graphs of order $l_1 \geq 2$ and $l_2 \geq 2$ respectively, moreover, $l_1 + l_2$ is even number. The graph $G_5(l_1, l_2)$ is constructed by adding edges according to the join operations :

- $u \vee K_{l_1} \vee K_{l_2} \vee P_2$
- $x \vee x', y \vee y'$
- $w \vee P_2$ and
- $z \vee \{x, y, w\}$.

Figure 9 illustrates the graph $G_5(l_1, l_2)$.




Figure 9: The graph $G_5(l_1, l_2)$

It is not difficult to show that $G_5(l_1, l_2)$ is $5\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graph. Moreover, $G_5(l_1, l_2)$ has $S = \{x', y', z\}$ as a cut set such that $\omega_o(G_5(l_1, l_2) - S) = 4 = |S| + 1 > |S| - 1$. By Theorem 6, $G_5(l_1, l_2)$ is not factor critical.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 4. For completeness, we restate the theorem.

Theorem 4. *Every k - γ_c -critical graph of odd order with $\delta \geq 2$ is factor critical if and only if $k \in [2]$. Namely, $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) = \emptyset$ if and only if $k \in [2]$.*

Proof. Observation 4 implies that if $k = 1$ or 2 , then $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, Figure 4 in Section 3 yields that $\mathcal{Q}(3, 1) \neq \emptyset$. Lemma 20 yields that $\mathcal{Q}(4, 1) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, as $G_5(l_1, l_2) \in \mathcal{Q}(5)$, we must have $\mathcal{Q}(5, 1) \neq \emptyset$. We assume that $k \geq 6$. For an odd integer $s \geq 3$, we let $G \in \mathcal{X}(s)$ with $K_s = H$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$. We moreover let integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1} \geq 2$ and $n_l = 1$ be such that $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{l-1} + 1$ is odd number.

$$G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1) = x_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee K_{n_2} \vee \dots \vee K_{n_{l-1}} \vee K_{n_l} \vee_H G.$$

In view of Theorem 9, $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1)$ is a $(4 + l)$ - γ_c -critical graph. Let $V(K_{n_l}) = \{y\}$. Thus $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1)$ has $S = \{y, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$ as a cut set such that

$$\omega_o(G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1) - S) = |S| + 2 > |S| - 1.$$

Theorem 6 then gives that $G(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1)$ is non-factor critical. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) \neq \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 6$. These imply that if $\mathcal{Q}(k, 1) = \emptyset$, then $k = 1$ or 2 . This completes the proof. \square

In view of Theorem 4, it is natural to think of the bi-criticality of k - γ_c -critical graphs with $\delta \geq 3$. Although, we know that if a graph G is not factor critical, then there exists a cut set S such that

$$\omega_o(G - S) > |S| - 1 > |S| - 2.$$

By Theorem 6, regardless with the parity of the orders of graphs, it is most likely there exist k - γ_c -critical graphs that are not bi-critical. However, we notice that the graphs that are obtained from the construction in Figure 9 and Theorem 4 contain a claw, $K_{1,3}$, as an induced subgraphs. Hence, we may ask if every k - γ_c -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph with $\delta \geq 3$ is bi-critical. By Theorem 8, for $1 \leq k \leq 2$, every k - γ_c -critical graph is $K_{1,3}$ -free with $\delta \geq 3$ of even order is bi-critical. Therefore, we obtain the following corollary by Observation 2

Corollary 2 *If $k \in [2]$, then every k - γ_c -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph with $\delta \geq 3$ is bi-critical.*

When $k \geq 3$, it turns out that there exist k - γ_c -critical graph with $\delta \geq 3$ which is not bi-critical even they do not contain $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph.

Let

$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k, \ell)$: the class of k - γ_c -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs G with $\delta \geq \ell + 1$ such that G is not ℓ -factor critical.

The class $\mathcal{A}(t_1, t_2)$

For an odd number $t_1 \geq 2$ and an even number $t_2 \geq 2$, the graph G in this class is obtained from vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 and copies of complete graphs K_{t_1}, K_{t_2} by adding edges according to the join operations:

- $x_1 \vee K_{t_1} \vee x_2 \vee x_3$ and
- $x_1 \vee K_{t_2} \vee x_3$.

Lemma 21 *If $G \in \mathcal{A}(t_1, t_2)$, then $G \in \mathcal{P}(3) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(3, 2)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that all graphs in the class $\mathcal{A}(t_1, t_2)$ is $3\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical and $K_{1,3}$ -free with $\delta \geq 3$. Further, by removing x_1 and x_2 , the resulting graph has two odd components K_{t_1} and $G[V(K_{t_2}) \cup \{x_3\}]$. Thus, G is not bi-critical. Therefore, $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(3, 2)$. It is easy to see that every graph G in the class $\mathcal{A}(t_1, t_2)$ satisfies the Properties (i) and (ii) of the class $\mathcal{P}(k)$ by selecting $G[V(K_{t_2}) \cup \{x_3\}]$ as a maximal complete subgraph. Thus, $G \in \mathcal{P}(3) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(3, 2)$ and this completes the proof. \square

Finally, we will establish the existence of $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs with $\delta \geq 3$ which are not bi-critical for $k \geq 4$ by proving Theorem 5. For completeness, we restate theorem.

Theorem 5. *Every $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical $K_{1,3}$ -free graph of even order with $\delta \geq 3$ is bi-critical if and only if $k \in [2]$. Namely, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k, 2) = \emptyset$ if and only if $k \in [2]$.*

Proof. By Observation 2, we have that if $k \in [2]$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k, 2) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, we will show that if $k \geq 3$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(k, 2) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 21, we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(3, 2) \neq \emptyset$. For $k \geq 4$, we let $G \in \mathcal{A}(t_1, t_2)$ such that $t_1 \geq 3$ is an odd number and $t_2 \geq 2$ is an even number. Further, we let x_0 be a vertex and, for $n_1, \dots, n_{k-3} \geq 2$ such that $n_1 + \dots + n_{k-3}$ is an odd number, we let $K_{n_1}, \dots, K_{n_{k-3}}$ be $k-3$ copies of complete graphs of order n_1, \dots, n_{k-3} , respectively. Let $H = G[V(K_{t_2}) \cup \{x_3\}]$ and

$$G' = G'(n_1, \dots, n_{k-3}, t_1, t_2) = x_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee \dots \vee K_{n_{k-3}} \vee H.$$

By Theorem 9, we have that G' is $k\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical graphs. Clearly, G' is $K_{1,3}$ -free and $\delta(G') \geq 3$. By removing x_1 and x_2 from G' , we have that $G' - x_1 - x_2$ has K_{t_1} and $x_0 \vee K_{n_1} \vee \dots \vee K_{n_{k-3}} \vee H$ as the two odd components. Therefore,

$$\omega_o(G' - \{x_1, x_2\}) = 2 > 0 = |\{x_1, x_2\}| - 2$$

implying that G' is not bi-critical. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] N. Almalki, The Existence of Diameter-Edge-Critical Graphs. Ph.D. Thesis. PhD supervisor: Louis Caccetta. Curtin University (2016).
- [2] N. Ananchuen, On Domination Critical Graphs with Cut Vertices having Connected Domination Number 3, *Int. Math. Forum*, 2(2007) 3041–3052.
- [3] N. Ananchuen, On Local Edge Connected Domination Critical Graphs, *Utilitas Math.*, 82(2010) 11–23.
- [4] N. Ananchuen, W. Ananchuen and M. D. Plummer, Matching Properties in Connected Domination Critical Graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 38(2008) 1260–1267.
- [5] N. Ananchuen and W. Ananchuen, A Characterization of $3\text{-}(\gamma_c, 2)$ -Critical Claw-Free Graphs which are not $3\text{-}\gamma_c$ -Critical, *Graphs Combin.*, 26(3)(2010) 315–328.
- [6] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graphs theory with applications, The Macmillan Press, London, 1976.

- [7] G. Chatrand and O.R. Oellermann, Applied and Algorithmic Graph Theory, McGraw-Holl. Inc., New York 1993.
- [8] X. G. Chen, L. Sun and D.X. Ma, Connected Domination Critical Graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 17(2004) 503–507.
- [9] O. Favaron, On k -Factor Critical Graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory.*, 16 (1996) 41–51.
- [10] D. Hanson and P. Wang, A note on extremal total domination edge critical graphs. *Utilitas Math.*, 63(2003) 89–96.
- [11] M. A. Henning, N. Ananchuen and P. Kaemawichanurat, Traceability of connected domination critical graphs. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 386(2020) 125455.
- [12] M. A. Henning and P. Kaemawichanurat, Connected domination critical graphs with a block having maximum number of cut vertices, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 406(2021) 126248.
- [13] P. Kaemawichanurat and N. Ananchuen, On $4-\gamma_c$ -Critical Graphs with Cut Vertices, *Utilitas Math.*, 82(2010) 253–268.
- [14] P. Kaemawichanurat, N. Ananchuen, Connected Domination Critical Graphs with Cut Vertices, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory.*, 40(2020) 1035–1055.
- [15] P. Kaemawichanurat, L. Caccetta, and N. Ananchuen, Critical Graphs with respect to Total Domination and Connected Domination, *Australas. J. Combin.*, 65(1) (2016) 1–13.
- [16] P. Kaemawichanurat, Connected Domination Critical Graphs. Ph.D. Thesis. PhD supervisor: Louis Caccetta. Curtin University (2016).
- [17] P. Kaemawichanurat and L. Caccetta, Hamiltonicity of domination critical claw-free graphs. *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput.*, 103(2017), 39–62.
- [18] P. Kaemawichanurat and L. Caccetta, Bounds on the order of connected domination vertex critical graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 107(2018), 73–96.
- [19] P. Kaemawichanurat, L. Caccetta and W. Ananchuen, Hamiltonicity of connected domination critical graphs. *Ars Comb.*, 136(2018), 127–151.
- [20] M. Lemańska and A. Patyk, Weakly connected domination critical graphs. *Opuscula Math.*, 28(3)(2008), 325–330.
- [21] S. Mamart and N. Ananchuen, Some Structure of $3-(\gamma_c, 2)$ -Critical Graphs which are not $3-\gamma_c$ -Critical, *Utilitas Math.*, 97(2015) 3–21.
- [22] M. D. Plummer, On the structure of graphs vertex critical with respect to connected domination. *Research trends in combinatorial optimization* 303–315, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [23] E. Sampathkumar and H. B. Walikar, The connected domination number of a graph. *J. Math. Phys. Sci.*, 13(6) (1979), 607–613.
- [24] J. D. Taylor and L. C. van der Merwe, A note on connected domination critical graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 100(2017), 3–8.