

Hamiltonicity of Domination Vertex-Critical Claw-Free Graphs

Pawaton Kaemawichanurat*

Theoretical and Computational Science Center
and Department of Mathematics
King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi
Bangkok, Thailand

Email: pawaton.kae@kmutt.ac.th

Abstract

A graph G is said to be k - γ -vertex critical if the domination numbers $\gamma(G)$ of G is k and $\gamma(G - v) < k$ for any vertex v of G . Similarly, A graph G is said to be k - γ_c -vertex critical if the connected domination numbers $\gamma_c(G)$ of G is k and $\gamma_c(G - v) < k$ for any vertex v of G . The problem of interest is to determine whether or not 2-connected k - γ -vertex critical graphs are Hamiltonian. In this paper, for all $k \geq 3$, we provide a 2-connected k - γ -vertex critical graph which is non-Hamiltonian. We prove that every 2-connected 3- γ -vertex critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian and the condition claw-free is necessary. For k - γ_c -vertex critical graphs, we present a new method to prove that every 2-connected 3- γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian. Moreover, for $4 \leq k \leq 5$, we prove that every 3-connected k - γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian. We show that the condition claw-free is necessary by giving k - γ_c -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graphs containing a claw as an induced subgraph for $3 \leq k \leq 5$.

Keywords: domination, critical, Hamiltonian.

AMS subject classification: 05C69, 05C45

*This work was funded by Development and Promotion of Science Technology Talents (DPST) Research Grant 031/2559.

1 Introduction

In this paper, let G denote a finite graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by S . Throughout this paper all graphs are simple (no loops or multiple edges) and connected. The *neighborhood* $N_G(x)$ of a vertex x in G is the set of vertices of G which are adjacent to x . For vertex subsets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, $N_Y(X)$ denotes the set of vertices $y \in Y$ which $yx \in E(G)$ for some $x \in X$. For a subgraph H of G , we use $N_Y(H)$ instead of $N_Y(V(H))$ and we use $N_H(X)$ instead of $N_{V(H)}(X)$. If $X = \{x\}$, we use $N_Y(x)$ instead of $N_Y(\{x\})$. A vertex v of G is an *isolated vertex* if $N_G(v) = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $N_G(v) = \{u\}$, then v is called an *end vertex* and u is called a *support vertex*. A *tree* is a connected graph having no cycle. A *star* $K_{1,n}$ is a tree of order $n+1$ having n end vertices. Let $u_1u_2u_3\dots u_{s_1+1}, v_1v_2v_3\dots v_{s_2+1}$ and $w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{s_3+1}$ be three disjoint paths of length s_1, s_2 and s_3 respectively. A *net* N_{s_1, s_2, s_3} is constructed by adding edges so that the vertices in $\{u_{s_1+1}, v_{s_2+1}, w_{s_3+1}\}$ form a cycle of length three. For a finite family \mathcal{G} of graphs, a graph G is *\mathcal{G} -free* if G does not contain H as an induced subgraph for any graph H in \mathcal{G} .

An *independent set* of G is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices and the *independence number* of G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set. Let $\omega(G)$ be the number of components of G . For a connected graph G , a *cut set* S is a subset of $V(G)$ such that $\omega(G - S) > 1$, moreover, if $S = \{v\}$, then v is called a *cut vertex*. The *connectivity* $\kappa(G)$ of G is the minimum s for which G has a cut set of s vertices. If G has no cut set, then we define $\kappa(G)$ to be $|V(G)| - 1$. A graph G is *l -connected* if $l \leq \kappa(G)$. A *Hamiltonian cycle(path)* is a cycle(path) containing every vertex of a graph. A graph G is *Hamiltonian* if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

For subsets $D, X \subseteq V(G)$, D *dominates* X if every vertex in X is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D . If D dominates X , then we write $D \succ X$, further, we write $a \succ X$ when $D = \{a\}$. If $X = V(G)$, then D is a *dominating set* of G and we write $D \succ G$ instead of $D \succ V(G)$. A smallest dominating set is called a *γ -set*. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the cardinality of a γ -set of G . A *connected dominating set* of a graph G is a dominating set D of G such that $G[D]$ is connected. If D is a connected dominating set of G , we then write $D \succ_c G$. The minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set of G is called the *connected domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma_c(G)$. A *total dominating set* of a graph G is a subset D of $V(G)$ such that every vertex in G is adjacent to a vertex in D . The minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of G is called the *total domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma_t(G)$.

A graph G is said to be *k - γ -edge critical* if $\gamma(G) = k$ and $\gamma(G + uv) < k$ for any pair of non adjacent vertices u, v of G . We define a *k - γ_c -edge critical graph* and a *k - γ_t -edge critical* similarly.

A graph G is said to be k - γ -vertex critical if $\gamma(G) = k$ and $\gamma(G - v) < k$ for every vertex v of G . We define a k - γ_c -vertex critical graph similarly. Obviously, a disconnected graph does not have a connected dominating set. If a graph has connectivity one, then it is disconnected after removing a cut vertex. Thus, when we study on k - γ_c -vertex critical graphs, we always focus on 2-connected graphs. A graph G is said to be k - γ_t -vertex critical if $\gamma_t(G) = k$ and $\gamma_t(G - v) < k$ for any vertex v of G which is not a support vertex.

The classical work on the Hamiltonicity of k - γ -edge critical graphs has been discussed since 1983 by Sumner and Blitch [16]. Then, Wojcicka [19] proved that every connected 3- γ -edge critical graph of at least 7 vertices has a Hamiltonian path. Further, Flandrin et al. [8], Favaron et al. [7] together with Tian et al. [17] proved that all connected 3- γ -edge critical graph with $\delta \geq 2$ are Hamiltonian. In 1998, Sumner and Wojcicka ([10] Chapter 16) conjectured that: “For $k \geq 4$, all $(k - 1)$ -connected k - γ -edge critical graphs are Hamiltonian.” In 2005, Yuansheng et al. [21] disproved this conjecture by giving a 3-connected 4- γ -edge critical non-Hamiltonian graph. However, Kaemawichanurat and Caccetta [13] proved that this conjecture is true if $k = 4$ and the graphs are claw-free. That is : every 3-connected 4- γ -edge critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian.

In the context of k - γ_c -edge critical graphs, Kaemawichanurat et al. [15] showed that, for $1 \leq k \leq 3$, every 2-connected k - γ_c -edge critical graph is Hamiltonian. They, further, gave a construction of k - γ_c -edge critical non-Hamiltonian graphs when $k \geq 4$. Very recently, Kaemawichanurat and Caccetta [13] proved that every 2-connected 4- γ_c -edge critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian. When $k \geq 5$, they found 2-connected k - γ_c -edge critical claw-free graphs which are non-Hamiltonian. For $5 \leq k \leq 6$, they proved that every k - γ_c -edge critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian when it is 3-connected. So far, the studies on Hamiltonicities of k - γ -vertex critical graphs or k - γ_c -vertex critical graphs have not been done.

In this paper, we study Hamiltonicities of k - γ -vertex critical graphs. The results are given in Section 2. We set up notation that are used of in establishing our results in the first subsection. Then, we prove that all 2-connected 3- γ -vertex critical claw-free graphs are Hamiltonian. For $k \geq 3$, we provide a 2-connected k - γ -vertex critical $K_{1,4}$ -free graph containing a claw as an induced subgraph which is non-Hamiltonian. That is the condition claw-free is necessary to prove that 2-connected 3- γ -vertex critical graphs are Hamiltonian. In Section 3, we present a new method to prove Hamiltonicities of 3-connected k - γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graphs for $3 \leq k \leq 5$. Thus, we set up notation that are used of in establishing our results in the first subsection. We also give k - γ_c -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graphs containing a claw as an induced subgraph for $3 \leq k \leq 5$.

2 Hamiltonicity of k - γ -vertex critical graphs

In this section, we prove Hamiltonicities of k - γ -vertex critical graphs. In the first subsection, we introduce a classical method of studying Hamiltonian properties of graphs.

2.1 Set up and known results

Suppose G is a 2-connected non-Hamiltonian graph. Let C be a longest cycle of G . We write \vec{C} to indicate the clockwise orientation of C . Similarly, we denote the anticlockwise orientation of C by \overleftarrow{C} . In particular, for vertices u and v of C we denote the (u, v) -directed segment of \vec{C} (\overleftarrow{C}) by $u\vec{C}v$ ($u\overleftarrow{C}v$). The successor (predecessor) of a vertex v of C in \vec{C} is denoted by $v^+(v^-)$. Furthermore, for $i \geq 1$, $v^{(i+1)+} = (v^{i+})^+$ and $v^{(i+1)-} = (v^{i-})^-$ where $v^{1+} = v^+$ and $v^{1-} = v^-$. This notation is illustrated by the following figure. Note that we always use an orientation \vec{C} when we mention about the successor and the predecessor of any vertex of C .




Figure 1 : The i th successor and predecessor of v .

Let H be a component of $G - C$ and $X = N_C(H)$. Suppose $|X| = d$. We may order the vertices of the set X as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d according to \vec{C} . We, further, let

$$X^+ = \{x_1^+, x_2^+, \dots, x_d^+\} \text{ and } X^- = \{x_1^-, x_2^-, \dots, x_d^-\}.$$

For any vertices u and v of C , if $|u\vec{C}v| = t$, then we can order the vertices in $u\vec{C}v$ as $u, u^+, u^{2+}, \dots, u^{(t-1)+}$ such that $u^{(t-1)+} = v$. We let $\vec{C}[u, v] = \{u, u^+, u^{2+}, \dots, u^{(t-1)+}\}$. All subscripts are taken modulo d throughout. Favaron et al. [7] provided some structural properties described in Lemmas 1 and 2. Note that, for any two vertices u, v and subgraph H of G , uP_Hv denotes a path from u to v which the internal vertices are in $V(H)$.

Lemma 1 [7] $X^+ \cap X = \emptyset$ and $X^- \cap X = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2 [7] For any two vertices $u, v \in X^+$ (or $u, v \in X^-$), then there is no $uP_{G-C}v$ path, in particular, $uv \notin E(G)$.

Lemmas 3 and 5 are well known (see Brousek [4]) and proved under the condition that G is claw-free non-Hamiltonian graph.

Lemma 3 [4] For all $1 \leq i \leq d$, $x_i^+ x_i^- \in E(G)$.

Lemma 4 [4] For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d$, $\{x_i x_j^+, x_i x_j^{2+}, x_i^+ x_j^{2+}, x_i x_j^-, x_i x_j^{2-}, x_i^- x_j^{2-}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 5 [4] For all $1 \leq i \leq d$, $|\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_{i+1}^-]| \geq 3$.

The study k - γ -vertex critical graphs has been started by Brigham et al. [3] and continued by Fulman et al. [9]. Moreover, Ananchuen and Plummer [1] established some observations on these graphs.

Lemma 6 [1] For $k \geq 2$, let G be a k - γ -vertex critical graph. Moreover, for a vertex v of G , we let D_v be a γ -set of $G - v$. Then

(i) $|D_v| = k - 1$ and

(ii) every vertex in D_v is not adjacent to v .

2.2 Main results

In this subsection, we prove that all 3- γ -vertex critical claw free graphs are Hamiltonian. We first give a construction of k - γ -vertex critical graphs G_k which are non-Hamiltonian for $k \geq 3$. Let $C_k = b_1 b_2 \dots b_k b_1$ be a cycle of length k . We construct the graph S_k by subdividing the edge $b_i b_{i+1}$ with a vertex a_{i+1} for every $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, subdividing the edge $b_k b_1$ with a vertex a_1 and then, joining a_i to a_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and joining a_k to a_1 . Our graph G_k is constructed from S_k by subdividing the edge $a_i a_{i+1}$ with a vertex c_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, subdividing the edge $a_k a_1$ with a vertex c_k and finally, joining c_i to c_{i+1} for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and joining c_k to c_1 . The following figures illustrated G_3 and G_8 for examples. It is worth noting that, when $k = 3$, the graph G_3 has been found earlier by Ananchuen and Plummer [1].




Figure 2 : The graph G_3




Figure 3 : The graph G_8

In the following, we let

$$A_i = \{a_i, b_i, c_i\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\} \text{ and } B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}.$$

The following lemma gives that $\gamma(G_k) = k$.

Lemma 7 *For a positive integer $k \geq 3$, we have that $\gamma(G_k) = k$.*

Proof. Clearly, $A \succ G_k$. By the minimality of $\gamma(G_k)$, we have $\gamma(G_k) \leq k$.

We will show that $\gamma(G_k) \geq k$. Suppose that $\gamma(G_k) < k$ and let D be a γ -set such that $|D \cap A|$ is maximum.

If $D \cap A = \emptyset$, then $B \subseteq D$ to dominate B . Thus $\gamma(G_k) = |D| \geq |B| = k$, a contradiction. Hence, $D \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since $|D| < k$, it follows that $A \not\subseteq D$. Thus, there exists i such that $a_{i-1} \in D$ but $a_i \notin D$. To dominate a_i , we have that $\{b_{i-1}, c_{i-1}, b_i, c_i\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$. If $b_{i-1} \in D$, then $D' = (D - \{b_{i-1}\}) \cup \{a_i\} \succ G_k$ but $|D' \cap A| > |D \cap A|$, contradicting the maximality of $|D \cap A|$. Similarly, if $c_{i-1} \in D$, then $D' = (D - \{c_{i-1}\}) \cup \{a_i\} \succ G_k$ but $|D' \cap A| > |D \cap A|$, a contradiction. Hence, $b_i \in D$ or $c_i \in D$.

We first assume that $c_i \in D$. To dominate b_i , we have $b_i \in D$ or $a_{i+1} \in D$. If $a_{i+1} \in D$, then $D' = (D - \{c_i\}) \cup \{a_i\} \succ G_k$ but $|D' \cap A| > |D \cap A|$, a contradiction. Hence, $b_i \in D$. In this case, $D' = (D - \{b_i\}) \cup \{a_i\} \succ G_k$ but $|D' \cap A| > |D \cap A|$ which is a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that $c_i \notin D$. Therefore, $b_i \in D$. To dominate c_i , we have $a_{i+1} \in D$ or $c_{i+1} \in D$. In both cases, $D' = (D - \{b_i\}) \cup \{a_i\} \succ G_k$ but $|D' \cap A| > |D \cap A|$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\gamma(G_k) \geq k$ implying that $\gamma(G_k) = k$. This completes the proof. \square

The next lemma shows that, for $k \geq 3$, the graph G_k is k - γ -vertex critical which is non-Hamiltonian.

Lemma 8 *For $k \geq 3$, the graph G_k is k - γ -vertex critical $K_{1,4}$ -free containing $K_{1,3}$ which is non-Hamiltonian.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 7, we have $\gamma(G_k) = k$. We will establish the criticality. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$D_{a_i} = A - \{a_i\}, D_{b_i} = (A - \{a_i, a_{i+1}\}) \cup \{c_i\} \text{ and } D_{c_i} = (A - \{a_i, a_{i+1}\}) \cup \{b_i\}$$

which the subscripts are taken modulo k . We see that $|D_v| = k - 1$ for all $v \in V(G_k)$. Hence, G_k is a k - γ -vertex critical. It is not difficult to see that G_k is a $K_{1,4}$ -free graph containing a claw $G_k[\{a_1, b_k, b_1, c_1\}]$ centered at a_1 as an induced subgraph.

Finally, suppose that the graph G_k is Hamiltonian. We observe that the edges incident with the degree 2 vertices, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k must be in a Hamiltonian cycle. These edges induce a cycle which does not contain the vertices c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , a contradiction. Hence, G_k is non-Hamiltonian and this completes the proof. \square

We will show that every 3- γ -vertex critical graph is Hamiltonian when it is claw-free.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a 2-connected 3- γ -vertex critical claw-free graph. Then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G does not contain a Hamiltonian cycle. Let C be a longest cycle of G . Thus there exists a component H of $G - C$. We let, further, that $X = N_C(H)$. We may order the vertices in X as x_1, \dots, x_d according to \vec{C} . Because G is 2-connected, it follows that $|X| \geq 2$. We note by Lemma 5 that $|\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_{i+1}^-]| \geq 3$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. We need to establish the following claims.

Claim 1 : For a vertex $y \in V(C)$, we have that $D_y \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $y \in V(C)$. Suppose to the contrary that $D_y \cap V(C) = \emptyset$. To dominate H , $D_y \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in D_y \cap V(H)$. Thus, $|D_y - \{u\}| = 1$ by Lemma 6(i). Let $\{v\} = D_y - \{u\}$. Lemma 1 yields that u is not adjacent to any vertex in $X^+ \cup X^-$. Since $D_y \succ G - y$, it follows that $vx_1^+, vx_2^+ \in E(G)$ when $y \in \{x_1^-, x_2^-\}$ or $vx_1^-, vx_2^- \in E(G)$ otherwise. This contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore $D_y \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and thus, establishing Claim 1.

Claim 2 : For a vertex $y \in V(C)$, we have that $|D_y \cap X| \leq 1$.

Suppose to the contrary that $|D_y \cap X| \geq 2$. By Lemma 6(i), $|D_y \cap X| = 2$. Let $D_y = \{x_i, x_j\}$. Without loss of generality, let $y \notin \vec{C}[x_i, x_j]$. Thus $\{x_i, x_j\} \succ \vec{C}[x_i, x_j]$. We note by Lemma 4 that x_i does not dominate $\vec{C}[x_i, x_j^{2-}]$. Let $|\vec{C}[x_i, x_j^{2-}]| = t_0$. We can order the vertices in $\vec{C}[x_i, x_j^{2-}]$ to be $x_i, x_i^+, \dots, x_i^{(t_0-1)+}$ where $x_i^{(t_0-1)+} = x_j^{2-}$. Then, we let $r_0 = \max\{r : 1 \leq r < t_0 \text{ and } x_i x_i^{r+} \in E(G)\}$. Thus $x_j x_i^{(r_0+1)+} \in E(G)$. We see that

$$x_i x_i^{r_0} \overleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \overleftarrow{C} x_j^+ x_j^- \overleftarrow{C} x_i^{(r_0+1)+} x_j P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Hence, $|D_y \cap X| \leq 1$ and we establish Claim 2.

Consider $G - x_1$. In view of Lemma 6(i), $|D_{x_1}| = 2$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 : $D_{x_1} \cap X = \emptyset$.

This implies by Claim 1 that $D_{x_1} \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. To dominate H , $D_{x_1} \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $|D_{x_1} \cap (V(C) - X)| = 1$ and $|D_{x_1} \cap V(H)| = 1$. Let $\{a\} = D_{x_1} \cap (V(C) - X)$ and $\{b\} = D_{x_1} \cap V(H)$. Clearly, b is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) - (X \cup (V(H)))$. Therefore, $a \succ V(G) - (X \cup (V(H)))$.

Consider $G - a$. We have by Claim 1 that $D_a \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Since $a \succ V(G) - (X \cup (V(H)))$, by Lemma 6(ii), $D_a \cap (V(C) - X) = \emptyset$. Thus $D_a \subseteq X \cup V(H)$. If $D_a \subseteq V(H)$, then, by Lemma 1, D_a does not dominate X^+ , a contradiction. Thus, $D_a \cap X \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 2, $|D_a \cap X| = 1$, moreover, $|D_a \cap V(H)| = 1$. Let $\{x_i\} = D_a \cap X$ and $\{h\} = D_a \cap V(H)$. By Lemma 1, h is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(C) - X$. This implies that $x_i \succ V(C) - X$. In particular, $x_i x_j^+ \in E(G)$ for some $x_j \in X - \{x_i\}$. This contradicts Lemma 4. Hence, Case 1 cannot occur.

Case 2 : $D_{x_1} \cap X \neq \emptyset$.

This implies by Claim 2 that $|D_{x_1} \cap X| = 1$. Thus $|D_{x_1} - X| = 1$. Let $\{x_i\} = D_{x_1} \cap X$ and $\{u\} = D_{x_1} - X$. In view of Lemma 4, x_i is not adjacent to any vertex in $W = \{x_1^+, x_1^{2+}, x_1^-, x_1^{2-}\}$. Thus $u \succ W$. This implies by Lemma 1 that $u \notin V(H)$. In the following, we assume by Lemma 3 that $x_i^+ x_i^-, x_1^+ x_1^- \in E(G)$.

Suppose that $u \notin V(C)$. Let $|\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]| = t_1$. The vertices in $\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]$ can be ordered as $x_i^+, x_i^{2+}, \dots, x_i^{t_1+} = x_1^-$. Then we let $r_1 = \max\{r : 1 \leq r < t_1 \text{ and } x_i x_i^{r+} \in E(G)\}$. Therefore, $u x_i^{(r_1+1)+}$. Clearly,

$$x_i x_i^{r_1+} \xleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \xleftarrow{C} x_1^+ u x_i^{(r_1+1)+} \xrightarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Therefore, $u \in V(C)$. Thus either $u \in \vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]$ or $u \in \vec{C}[x_1^+, x_i^-]$.

Subcase 2.1 : $u \in \vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]$.

Recall that $u x_1^+, u x_1^{2+} \in E(G)$ but $x_i x_1^+, x_i x_1^{2+} \notin E(G)$. Thus, Lemma 2 implies that $u \neq x_1^+$. Let $|\vec{C}[x_1^+, x_i^-]| = t_2$. We can order the vertices in $\vec{C}[x_1^+, x_i^-]$ as $x_1^+, x_1^{2+}, \dots, x_1^{t_2+} = x_i^-$. Then we let $r_2 = \min\{r : 1 < r \leq t_2 \text{ and } x_i x_1^{r+} \in E(G)\}$. By Lemma 4, $r_2 > 2$. We then have that $u x_1^{(r_2-1)+} \in E(G)$.

because $\{x_i, u\} \succ G - x_1$. If $u = x_1^-$, then

$$x_i x_1^{r_2+} \vec{C} x_i^- x_i^+ \vec{C} u x_1^{(r_2-1)+} \overleftarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Hence, suppose that $u \neq x_1^-$. We distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 2.1.1 : $u^+ u^- \in E(G)$.

Since $u \succ W$, $u x_1^- \in E(G)$. Thus,

$$x_i x_1^{r_2+} \vec{C} x_i^- x_i^+ \vec{C} u^- u^+ \vec{C} x_1^- u x_1^{(r_2-1)+} \overleftarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus this subcase cannot occur.

Subcase 2.1.2 : $u^+ u^- \notin E(G)$

By claw-freeness of G , $x_1^{(r_2-1)+}$ is adjacent to u^- or u^+ . If $x_1^{(r_2-1)+} u^- \in E(G)$, then

$$x_i x_1^{r_2+} \vec{C} x_i^- x_i^+ \vec{C} u^- x_1^{(r_2-1)+} \overleftarrow{C} x_1^+ u \vec{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus $x_1^{(r_2-1)+} u^+ \in E(G)$. We see that

$$x_i x_1^{r_2+} \vec{C} x_i^- x_i^+ \vec{C} u x_1^+ \vec{C} x_1^{(r_2-1)+} u^+ \vec{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Therefore, Subcase 2.1 cannot occur.

Subcase 2.2 : $u \in \vec{C}[x_1^+, x_i^-]$.

Since $u \succ W$, we have that $u x_1^-, u x_1^{2-} \in E(G)$. By Lemma 2, $u \neq x_i^-$. Let $|\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]| = t_3$. The vertices in $\vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]$ can be ordered as $x_i^+, x_i^{2+}, \dots, x_i^{t_3+}$ where $x_i^{t_3+} = x_1^-$. Then we let $r_3 = \max\{r : 1 \leq r < t_3 \text{ and } x_i x_i^{r+} \in E(G)\}$. Because $\{u, x_i\} \succ G - x_1$ and $x_1 \notin \vec{C}[x_i^+, x_1^-]$, it follows that $u x_i^{(r_3+1)+}$. If $u = x_1^+$, then

$$x_i x_i^{r_3+} \overleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \overleftarrow{C} u x_i^{(r_3+1)+} \vec{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus, $u \neq x_1^+$. We distinguish two more subcases.

Subcase 2.2.1 : $u^+ u^- \in E(G)$.

As $u \succ W$, we must have $ux_1^+ \in E(G)$. Thus,

$$x_i x_1^{r_3+} \overleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \overleftarrow{C} u^+ u^- \overleftarrow{C} x_1^+ u x_i^{(r_3+1)+} \overrightarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus this subcase cannot occur.

Subcase 2.2.2 : $u^+ u^- \notin E(G)$

By claw-freeness of G , $x_i^{(r_3+1)+}$ is adjacent to u^- or u^+ . If $x_i^{(r_3+1)+} u^- \in E(G)$, then

$$x_i x_i^{r_3+} \overleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \overleftarrow{C} u x_1^- \overleftarrow{C} x_i^{(r_3+1)+} u^- \overleftarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus $x_i^{(r_3+1)+} u^+ \in E(G)$. We see that

$$x_i x_i^{r_3+} \overleftarrow{C} x_i^+ x_i^- \overleftarrow{C} u^+ x_i^{(r_3+1)+} \overrightarrow{C} x_1^- u \overleftarrow{C} x_1 P_H x_i$$

is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Therefore, Subcase 2.2 cannot occur. Hence, G is Hamiltonian and this completes the proof. \square

In view of Lemma 8, we see that the condition claw-free in Theorem 1 is best possible.

3 Hamiltonicity of k - γ_c -vertex critical graphs

In this section we present a new method (without using the classical method) to prove that every 2-connected 3- γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graphs is Hamiltonian. Moreover, for $4 \leq k \leq 5$, we prove that every 3-connected k - γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graph is Hamiltonian. For $3 \leq k \leq 5$, we find some 2-connected k - γ_c -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graphs containing a claw as an induced subgraph. We first give some related results that we use in the first subsection.

3.1 Set up and known results

In this subsection, we begin with a result of Chvátal [6] which is a property of a graph when it is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 9 *Let G be a Hamiltonian graph and $S \subseteq V(G)$ a cut set of G . Then $\frac{|S|}{\omega(G-S)} \geq 1$.*

In the study on Hamiltonicity of claw-free graphs, there is a technique the so called *local completion* introduced by Ryjáček [11]. The purpose of this technique is to find the closure $cl(G)$ of a claw-free graph G . As we use only the results of this operation, we may omit the detail of finding the closure of a graph. However, it is worth noting that $V(G) = V(cl(G))$ and $E(G) \subseteq E(cl(G))$. Brousek et al. [5] used this closure operation to establish the Hamiltonian properties of $\{K_{1,3}, N_{s_1, s_2, s_3}\}$ -free graphs. The following graphs were found in [5].

The Class \mathcal{F}_1 :

Let Q_1, \dots, Q_5 be complete graphs of order at least three. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, let q_i, z_i be two different vertices of Q_i . Moreover, let q'_1, q'_2, q'_3 be three different vertices of Q_4 and z'_1, z'_2, z'_3 be three different vertices of Q_5 . A graph in this class is constructed from Q_1, \dots, Q_5 by identifying q'_i with q_i and z'_i with z_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. A graph in this class is illustrated by Figure 4(a). Note that, an *oval* in the figure denotes a complete graph.

The Class \mathcal{F}_2 :

Let $c_1c_2c_3c_1$ and $f_1f_2f_3f_1$ be two disjoint triangles. We also let R_1 and R_2 be two complete graphs of order at least three and R_3 a complete graph of order at least two. Let c'_i, f'_i be two different vertices of R_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and let c'_3, r be two different vertices of R_3 . A graph in this class is obtained by identifying c'_i with c_i and f'_i with f_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and identifying c'_3 with c_3 and adding an edge rf_3 . A graph in this class is illustrated by Figure 4(b).

The Class \mathcal{F}_3 :

Let $y_1y_2\dots y_6y_1$ be a cycle of six vertices and K a complete graph of order at least three. Let w and w' be two different vertices of K . We define a graph G in the class \mathcal{F}_3 by adding edges $wy_1, wy_6, w'y_3, w'y_4$. A graph in this class is illustrated by Figure 4(c).




Figure 4(a) : The Class \mathcal{F}_1




Figure 4(b) : The Class \mathcal{F}_2




Figure 4(c) : The Class \mathcal{F}_3

Let $P = u_1u_2u_3$, $P' = v_1v_2v_3$ and $P'' = w_1w_2w_3$ be three paths of length two. The graph $P_{3,3,3}$ is constructed from P, P' and P'' by adding edges so that $\{u_1, v_1, w_1\}$ and $\{u_3, v_3, w_3\}$ form two complete graphs of order three. Brousek et al. [5] proved :

Theorem 2 [5] *Let G be a 2-connected $\{K_{1,3}, N_{1,2,2}, N_{1,1,3}\}$ -free graph. Then either G is Hamiltonian, or G is isomorphic to $P_{3,3,3}$ or $cl(G) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$.*

Xiong et al.[20] generalized Theorem 2 into 3-connected graphs by establishing the following theorem.

Theorem 3 [20] *Let G be a 3-connected $\{K_{1,3}, N_{s_1, s_2, s_3}\}$ -free graph. If $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \leq 9$ and $s_i \geq 1$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

In the context of k - γ_c -vertex critical graphs, Ananchuen et al. [2] established a basic property of these graphs.

Lemma 10 [2] *For $k \geq 2$, if G is a k - γ_c -vertex critical graph and $v \in V(G)$, then $\gamma_c(G - v) = k - 1$.*

They, further, established a class of 3- γ_c -vertex critical graphs. These graphs will be shown in this paper that they are 3- γ_c -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graphs containing a claw as an induced subgraph. For an integer $l \geq 6$, let H_l be a copy of complete graph with the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l and remove a Hamiltonian cycle $v_1v_2\dots v_l$. Moreover, let J be a graph of $\frac{l(l-3)}{2} - l$ isolated vertices $v_{i,j}$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ except the cases when $j = i + 1$ and $j = i + 2$ modulo l . The graph

J_l is constructed by H_l and J by adding edges from H_l to J except the edges $v_i v_{i,j}$ and $v_j v_{i,j}$.

Recently, Kaemawichanurat and Caccetta [13] established relationship between cardinalities of independent set and connected dominating set of claw free graphs.

Lemma 11 [13] *Let G be a claw-free graph, X be a vertex subset and I be an independent set of G such that $X \succ_c I$. Then $|I| \leq |X| + 1$.*

In [12], the author found a $5\text{-}\gamma_c$ -vertex critical graph as illustrated by Figure 5. It is not difficult to see that this graph contains a claw as an induced subgraph and is non-Hamiltonian.




Figure 5 : A $5\text{-}\gamma_c$ -vertex critical graph containing claw which is non-Hamiltonian.

Next, we will give a construction of some $k\text{-}\gamma_t$ -vertex critical graphs from Wang and Wang [18]. These graphs will be shown in this paper that they are $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graphs containing a claw as an induced subgraph. Let

$$U_1 = \{u_{1,1}, u_{1,2}, \dots, u_{1,l}\}, U_2 = \{u_{2,1}, u_{2,2}, \dots, u_{2,l}\}, U_3 = \{u_{3,1}, u_{3,2}, \dots, u_{3,l}\}, \\ U_4 = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}.$$

A graph G in the class \mathcal{T}_l has the vertex set $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_4 \cup \{u\}$ and the edge set

$$\{uu_{i,j} : i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \cup \{y_1 u_{1,i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \\ \cup \{y_2 u_{2,i} : u_{2,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \cup \{y_3 u_{i,j} : i = 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \\ \cup \{y_4 u_{3,i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \cup \{y_1 y_2, y_2 y_3, y_3 y_4, y_4 y_1\}$$

$$\{u_{1,i}u_{2,j} : i \neq j \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \cup \{u_{1,i}u_{3,j} : i \neq j \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l\}.$$

We conclude this section with a result concerning the relationship between $4-\gamma_c$ -vertex critical graphs and $4-\gamma_t$ -vertex critical graphs. This result was proved by Kaemawichanurat et al. [14].

Theorem 4 [14] *Let G be a 2-connected graph. Then G is $4-\gamma_c$ -vertex critical if and only if G is $4-\gamma_t$ -vertex critical.*

3.2 Main results

We are ready to prove the following theorems. For a graph G containing a vertex v , we let D_v^c be a smallest connected dominating set of $G - v$.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a 2-connected $3-\gamma_c$ -vertex critical claw-free graph. Then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. We first show that G is $\{N_{1,2,2}, N_{1,1,3}\}$ -free graph. Suppose to the contrary that G contains $N_{1,2,2}$ as an induced subgraph. Consider $G - w_1$. Clearly, the graph $G - w_1$ is claw free, moreover, $I_1 = \{w_2, v_1, v_3, u_1\}$ is an independent set of $G - w_1$. Thus $|D_{w_1}^c| \succ_c I_1$. This implies by Lemma 11 that $|D_{w_1}^c| + 1 \geq |I_1| \geq 4$. So $|D_{w_1}^c| \geq 3$ contradicting Lemma 10. Therefore, G is an $N_{1,2,2}$ -free graph. Similarly, suppose to the contrary that G contains $N_{1,1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. Consider $G - u_1$. Thus, the graph $G - u_1$ is claw free and $I_2 = \{u_2, v_1, w_1, w_3\}$ is an independent set of $G - u_1$. So $|D_{u_1}^c| \succ_c I_2$. Lemma 11 then gives that $|D_{u_1}^c| + 1 \geq |I_2| \geq 4$. Thus $|D_{u_1}^c| \geq 3$ contradicting Lemma 10. Therefore, G is an $N_{1,1,3}$ -free graph.

Clearly, $\gamma_c(P_{3,3,3}) = 5$. Therefore, G is not $P_{3,3,3}$. To show that G is Hamiltonian, by using Theorem 2, it remains to show that $cl(G) \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$. Suppose to the contrary that $cl(G) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$. We first consider the case when $cl(G) \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Consider $G - q_1$. Thus $|D_{q_1}^c| = 2$ by Lemma 10. To dominate $V(Q_2) \cup V(Q_3)$, we have $D_{q_1}^c \subseteq V(Q_2) \cup V(Q_3)$. So $D_{q_1}^c$ does not dominate $V(Q_1) - \{q_1, z_1\}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $cl(G) \notin \mathcal{F}_1$. We now consider the case when $cl(G) \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Consider $G - c_3$. Similarly, $D_{c_3}^c \subseteq V(R_1) \cup V(R_2)$. We see that $D_{c_3}^c$ does not dominate r . Thus $cl(G) \notin \mathcal{F}_2$. We finally consider the case when $cl(G) \in \mathcal{F}_3$. Consider $G - w$. Thus, $D_w^c \subseteq \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_6\}$ to dominate $\{y_2, y_5\}$. We see that D_w^c does not dominate $K - \{w, w'\}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $cl(G) \notin \mathcal{F}_3$. In view of Theorem 2, G is Hamiltonian. \square

Recall the graph J_l which is defined by Ananchuen et al. [2] in Subsection 3.1. Observe that when $l \geq 8$, $|V(J)| > |V(H_l)|$. Clearly, $V(H_l)$ is a cut set of J_l

such that $J_l - V(H_l)$ has the isolated vertices of J as the $|J|$ components. Thus $\frac{|V(H_l)|}{\omega(J_l - V(H_l))} < 1$. By Lemma 9, J_l is non-Hamiltonian when $l \geq 8$. Therefore, the condition claw-free in Theorem 5 is necessary.

Theorem 6 *For $4 \leq k \leq 5$, let G be a 3-connected k - γ_c -vertex critical claw-free graph. Then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. We will show that G is an $N_{3,3,3}$ -free graph. Suppose to the contrary that G contains $N_{3,3,3}$ as an induced subgraph. Consider $G - w_2$. Clearly, the graph $G - w_2$ is claw-free and $I_3 = \{w_1, w_3, u_1, u_3, v_1, v_3\}$ is an independent set. Since $D_{w_2}^c \succ_c I_3$, by Lemma 11, $|D_{w_2}^c| \geq 5$. This implies by Lemma 10 that $k \geq 6$, a contradiction. Hence, G is an $N_{3,3,3}$ -free graph. In view of Theorem 3, G is Hamiltonian. \square

Recall the graph \mathcal{T}_l which is defined by Wang and Wang [18]. in Subsection 3.1. Theorem 4 implies that \mathcal{T}_l is also a class of 4 - γ_c -vertex critical graphs. For a graph G in this class, G contains a claw as an induced subgraph and $U_1 \cup U_4 \cup \{u\}$ is a cut set of $l+5$ vertices such that $G - (U_1 \cup U_4 \cup \{u\})$ has isolated vertices in $U_2 \cup U_3$ as the $2l$ components. Thus, when $l \geq 6$, we have that $\frac{|U_1 \cup U_4 \cup \{u\}|}{\omega(G - (U_1 \cup U_4 \cup \{u\}))} < 1$. By Lemma 9, G is non-Hamiltonian. Hence, the condition claw-free in Theorem 6 is necessary.

Finally, The graph in Figure 5 is a 5 - γ_c -vertex critical non-Hamiltonian graph containing a claw. Therefore, the condition claw-free and 3-connected in Theorem 6 are necessary.

Acknowledgement: The author thanks to assistant professor Thiradet Jiara-suksakun for his valuable advice. The author also express sincere thanks to the reviewer for greatly improving this paper.

References

- [1] N. Ananchuen and M. D. Plummer, Matching in 3-vertex-critical: The odd case, Discrete Mathematics 307(2007) 1651 - 1658.
- [2] W. Ananchuen, N. Ananchuen and M. D. Plummer, Vertex criticality for connected domination, Utilitas Mathematica 86(2011) 45 - 64.
- [3] R. C. Brigham, P. Z. Chinn and R. D. Dutton, Vertex domination-critical graphs, Networks 18(1988) 173 - 179.
- [4] J. Brousek, Minimal 2-connected non-hamiltonian claw-free graphs, Discrete Mathematics 191 (1998) 57 - 64.
- [5] J. Brousek, Z. Ryjáček and O. Favaron, Forbidden subgraphs, hamiltonicity and closure in claw-free graphs, Discrete Mathematics 196 (1999) 29 - 50.
- [6] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits, Discrete Mathematics 306(2006) 910 - 917(reprinted from Discrete Mathematics 5(1973) 215 - 228).

- [7] O. Favaron, F. Tian and Lei. Zhang, Independence and hamiltonicity in 3-dominion-critical graphs, *J Graph Theory* 25(1997) 173 - 184.
- [8] E. Flandrin, F. Tian, B. Wei and L. Zhang, Some properties of 3-domination-critical graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 205(1999) 65 - 76.
- [9] J. Fulman, D. Hanson and G. MacGillivray, Vertex domination-critical graphs, *Networks* 25(1995) 41 - 43.
- [10] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Domination in graphs advanced topics*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [11] Z. Ryjáček, On a closure concept in claw-free graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory(B)* 70 (1997) 217 - 224.
- [12] P. Kaemawichanurat, Connected domination critical graphs. Ph.D. Thesis. PhD supervisor: Louis Caccetta. Curtin University (2016).
- [13] P. Kaemawichanurat and L. Caccetta, Hamiltonicity of domination critical claw-free graphs, accepted by *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*.
- [14] P. Kaemawichanurat, L. Caccetta and N. Ananchuen, Critical graphs with respect to total domination and connected domination, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 65(1)(2016) 1 - 13.
- [15] P. Kaemawichanurat, L. Caccetta and W. Ananchuen, Hamiltonicity of connected domination critical graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*. 136(2018) 127 - 151.
- [16] D. P. Sumner and P. Blitch, Domination critical graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory(B)* 34(1983) 65 - 76.
- [17] F. Tian, B. Wei and L. Zhang, Hamiltonicity in 3-domination critical graphs with $\alpha = \delta + 2$, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 92(1999) 57 - 70.
- [18] Y. Wang and C. Wang, On $4-\gamma_t$ -critical graphs of diameter two, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161(2013) 1660 - 1668.
- [19] E. Wojcicka, Hamiltonian properties of domination critical graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* 14(1990) 205 - 215.
- [20] W. Xiong, H. J. Lai, X. Ma, K. Wang and M. Zhang, Hamilton cycles in 3-connected claw-free and net-free Graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 313 (2013) 784 - 795.
- [21] Y. Yuansheng, Z. Chengye, L. Xiaohui, J. Yongsong and H. Xin, Some 3-connected 4-edge critical non-Hamiltonian graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* 50: 316 - 320, 2005.