

# MONOIDAL ABELIAN ENVELOPES AND A CONJECTURE OF BENSON - ETINGOF

KEVIN COULEMBIER, INNA ENTOVA-AIZENBUD, AND THORSTEN HEIDERSDORF

ABSTRACT. We give several criteria to decide whether a given tensor category is the abelian envelope of a fixed symmetric monoidal category. Benson and Etingof conjectured that a certain limit of finite symmetric tensor categories is tensor equivalent to the finite dimensional representations of  $SL_2$  in characteristic 2. We use our results on the abelian envelopes to prove this conjecture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Monoidal abelian envelopes.** If a given category  $\mathcal{D}$  is not abelian, a natural question is whether  $\mathcal{D}$  admits an embedding into an abelian category  $\mathcal{V}$  in a minimal or universal way. While there are some general results about the existence of such *abelian envelopes* under some assumptions on  $\mathcal{D}$  (e.g.  $\mathcal{D}$  exact), little seems to be known if we require that  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are monoidal.

Assume now that  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a fully faithful symmetric monoidal functor from an additive Karoubian  $k$ -linear rigid symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{D}$  to a tensor category  $\mathcal{V}$  over a field  $k$ . A pair  $(\mathcal{V}, I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V})$  is the *abelian envelope* of  $\mathcal{D}$  if the functor  $I$  induces for any tensor category  $\mathcal{A}$  an equivalence of the following categories

- $\text{Fun}^{ex}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ , the category of exact symmetric monoidal  $k$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,
- $\text{Fun}^{faith}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A})$ , the category of faithful symmetric monoidal  $k$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

The existence of such monoidal abelian envelopes is non-trivial: in [De07], an example was given of a category  $\mathcal{D}$  for which there exists no monoidal abelian envelope. Existence of monoidal abelian envelopes has so far only been proven in some examples, see [BE19, CO14, EHS15].

We give a convenient criterion in Proposition 3.2.1 to decide whether a given tensor category is the abelian envelope of a fixed embedded monoidal subcategory.

As an application, we prove in Theorem 3.3.1 that the category of tilting modules  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Tilt}(G)$ , where  $G$  is a semisimple simply connected algebraic group over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , admits as abelian envelope the category of finite dimensional algebraic representations  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

**1.2. A conjecture of Benson and Etingof.** Monoidal abelian envelopes also play a crucial role in a construction by Benson and Etingof [BE19].

A celebrated theorem of Deligne [De02] asserts that any tensor category of subexponential growth over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 admits a super fibre functor.

It is easy to come up with counterexamples in positive characteristic  $p$ : taking the quotient of the category of finite dimensional representations of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  by the tensor ideal of negligible morphisms defines the Verlinde category  $Ver_p$ . This is a tensor category of subexponential growth which does not admit any super fibre functor for  $p > 3$ . In [Os15], Ostrik conjectured that any tensor category of subexponential growth admits an analogue of a fibre functor into  $Ver_p$  and proved it for symmetric fusion categories.

For  $p = 2$ , the category  $Ver_2$  is the category  $Vec_k$  of finite dimensional vector spaces. In this case there are counterexamples to Ostrik's conjecture. In fact, Benson and Etingof

[BE19] showed that there exists an infinite ascending chain of finite symmetric tensor categories over  $k$

$$Vec_k = \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \subset \dots$$

with fully faithful symmetric tensor embeddings such that  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  does not admit a tensor functor to a finite tensor category of smaller Frobenius-Perron dimension. Each of these categories  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is the abelian envelope of the quotient of  $Tilt(SL_2)$  by the tensor ideal of morphisms which factor through an object in the thick ideal generated by the  $n$ -th Steinberg representation.

A new question asked in [BE19] is whether any finite tensor category admits a fibre functor to  $\mathcal{C}_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_n$ .

Another interesting limit of the categories  $\mathcal{C}_n$  which has been suggested in [BE19] is the following. Instead of the naive big limit one can consider - mimicking a construction of Entova-Aizenbud, Hinich and Serganova in [EHS15] - a refined limit: one considers a filtration of  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  by full abelian subcategories  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ ,  $r \geq 0$  such that there is some kind of partial tensor functor  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  which becomes an equivalence for  $n \gg r$ . If so, one can define

$$\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r.$$

With the obvious embeddings

$$\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{r+1}(\infty)$$

one can define the colimit

$$\mathcal{C}(\infty) := \varinjlim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}^r(\infty).$$

One can easily check that  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is a tensor category with a distinguished object  $\bar{X}_0$ .

Our main result is the following theorem that was conjectured in [BE19, Remark 3.14].

**Theorem 1.2.1.** *(Lemma 4.4.2, Theorem 4.4.3) There exists a fully faithful symmetric monoidal functor  $I' : Tilt(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  sending the standard 2-dimensional  $SL_2$  representation  $V$  to  $\bar{X}_0$ . It factors through the functor  $I : Tilt(SL_2) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(SL_2)$  and induces an equivalence of symmetric tensor categories*

$$\text{Rep}(SL_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\infty).$$

**1.3. Structure of the article.** Section 2 contains some preliminary definitions. Section 3 discusses the notion of a monoidal abelian envelope and gives certain criteria to check whether a tensor category  $\mathcal{V}$  is the abelian envelope of a given monoidal category. Section 4 discusses the construction of the limit  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  and proves the equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\infty) \cong \text{Rep}(SL_2)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. SM categories.** Let  $k$  be any field. We adopt the same notion of a  $k$ -linear symmetric monoidal (SM) category as [DM82]. In particular in a such a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $- \otimes -$  is  $k$ -linear in both variables. Furthermore we have a binatural family of braiding morphisms  $\gamma_{XY} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$  which satisfy the constraints of [DM82]. For an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , a dual  $X^*$  is an object equipped with morphisms  $\text{co}_X : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$  and  $\text{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$  satisfying the relations in [De02, (0.1.4)]. If every object has a dual, we call  $\mathcal{C}$  rigid.

**2.2. SM functors.** A  $k$ -linear functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  between two SM categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is symmetric monoidal if it is equipped with natural isomorphisms  $c_{XY}^F : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim}$

$F(X \otimes Y)$  and  $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\mathbb{1})$  satisfying the usual compatibility conditions [DM82, Definition 1.8]. In particular we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) \otimes F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{F(X)F(Y)}} & F(Y) \otimes F(X) \\ \downarrow c_{XY}^F & & \downarrow c_{YX}^F \\ F(X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{F(\gamma_{XY})} & F(Y \otimes X). \end{array}$$

If  $F$  is a monoidal functor and  $X^*$  the dual of  $X$ , then  $F(X^*)$  is a dual of  $F(X)$ .

**2.3. Tensor ideals.** A tensor ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in a  $k$ -linear SM category  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of submodules  $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \text{Hom}(X, Y)$  for all objects  $X, Y$ , such that  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed under arbitrary compositions or tensor products with morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For such a tensor ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , the  $k$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}$  has the same objects as  $\mathcal{C}$  but morphism spaces given by  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)/\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}$  admits a unique SM structure so that the canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}$  is an SM functor.

**2.4. Tensor categories.** We say that a  $k$ -linear SM category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a tensor category if

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is abelian;
- (ii) the canonical morphism  $k \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{1})$  is an isomorphism;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is rigid (every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is dualisable);
- (iv) every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  has finite length.

Under these assumptions the functor  $-\otimes-$  is bi-exact and the unit object  $\mathbb{1}$  is simple, see for instance Sections 4.2-4.3 in [EGNO15]. Note that finite length implies that every morphism space is finite dimensional, [De02, Proposition 1.1]. Our tensor categories are therefore locally finite in the sense of [EGNO15, Definition 1.8.1] and the Jordan-Hölder Theorem and Krull-Schmidt Theorem hold. An SM functor between two tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is called a tensor functor if it is exact. Such a functor is automatically faithful (see [DM82, Proposition 1.19]).

We note that what we refer to as ‘tensor categories’ are called ‘symmetric tensor categories’ in [BE19, EGNO15] and as ‘pre-tannakian categories’ in [CO14, Os15]. Also note that in the terminology of [Co18, De02, DM82] tensor categories need not satisfy (iv) above.

**2.5. Frobenius twist in characteristic 2.** Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . In any tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $k$ , the Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr}(X)$  of an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is the cohomology of the operator  $d = 1 + \gamma_{XX} : X \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes X$ . This functor is additive and exact in the middle (as in Definition 4.3.3). For more background on Frobenius twists in arbitrary positive characteristic see [Co18, Section 4]. We just need that [Co18, Remark 4.1.9] the functor  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a canonical structure of an additive SM functor without being  $k$ -linear. It should not be confused with usual Frobenius twist as in [Ja03], but for  $V \in \text{Vec}_k$  and with  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}_k(GL(V))$ , the category of finite dimensional algebraic representations of  $GL(V)$  over  $k$ , we have  $\text{Fr}(V) \cong V^{(1)}$  [Co18, Example 4.1.5].

### 3. ABELIAN ENVELOPE

Fix an arbitrary field  $k$ .

#### 3.1. Definition of the abelian envelope.

3.1.1. In what follows  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a  $k$ -linear SM functor from an additive Karoubian  $k$ -linear rigid SM category  $\mathcal{D}$  to a tensor category  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $k$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.** For a fixed  $\mathcal{D}$ , a pair  $(\mathcal{V}, I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V})$  as above is an *abelian envelope* of  $\mathcal{D}$  if for any  $k$ -linear tensor category  $\mathcal{A}$  the functor

$$-\circ I : \text{Fun}^{ex}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{faith}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A})$$

is an equivalence of categories between

- $\text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ , the category of exact SM  $k$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,
- $\text{Fun}^{\text{faith}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A})$ , the category of faithful SM  $k$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

It follows immediately from the definition that an abelian envelope, when it exists, is unique up to equivalence. Henceforth we thus speak of ‘the’ abelian envelope.

For this section, we focus on the following assumption.

**Condition 3.1.3.**

- (1)  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is fully faithful.
- (2) Any  $X \in \mathcal{V}$  can be presented as the image of a map  $I(f)$  for some  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- (3) For any epimorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  there exists a nonzero  $T \in \mathcal{D}$  such that the epimorphism  $X \otimes I(T) \rightarrow Y \otimes I(T)$  splits.

**Theorem 3.1.4.** *Under the assumptions of Condition 3.1.3, the functor  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  yields an abelian envelope of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, under the equivalence in Definition 3.1.2, full functors correspond to full functors.*

*Proof.* Except for the statement about the full functors, the theorem is proven in [EHS15, Theorem 9.2.2]. Assume now that  $F$  is a fully faithful SM  $k$ -linear functor  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and let  $F' : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the induced tensor functor. Then  $F'$  is a faithful exact symmetric monoidal functor. By rigidity (see also the proof of Proposition 4.3.7) it is enough to check that for any  $M \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$F' : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'M)$$

is an isomorphism.

It follows from condition (2) that there exists  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  and an embedding  $i : M \hookrightarrow T$ . We then have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, M) & \xrightarrow{i \circ -} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, T) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, T/M) \\ & & \downarrow F' & & \downarrow F' & & \downarrow F' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'M) & \xrightarrow{i \circ -} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'T) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'T/F'M). \end{array}$$

All the vertical arrows are monomorphisms. By the assumption that  $F = F' \circ I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is fully faithful, the vertical arrow

$$F' : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, T) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'T)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence by the five-lemma,

$$F' : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{1}, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}, F'M)$$

is an epimorphism, and thus an isomorphism (as required).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.5.** *If a triple  $(I, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{D})$  satisfies Condition 3.1.3, it also satisfies the “weak ideal condition”, that is: For any object  $X \in \mathcal{V}$ , there exists  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  so that  $X \otimes T \in I(\mathcal{D})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{V}$ . By 3.1.3(2), there exists  $T' \in I(\mathcal{D})$  with an epimorphism  $q : T' \twoheadrightarrow X$ . By (3), there exists  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$\text{id}_T \otimes q : T \otimes T' \twoheadrightarrow T \otimes X$$

splits. Hence  $T \otimes X$  is a direct summand of  $T \otimes T' \in I(\mathcal{D})$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is idempotent complete (Karoubian), we conclude that  $T \otimes X \in I(\mathcal{D})$ , as required.  $\square$

**Example 3.1.6.** Let  $k$  be algebraically closed of characteristic 0. Deligne [De07] constructed families of rigid SM categories  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$ ,  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$ ,  $\text{Rep}(O_t)$  interpolating the finite dimensional representations of the symmetric, the general linear and the orthogonal groups. These

categories are not abelian if  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  (the  $S_t$ -case) or  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  (the  $GL_t$  and  $O_t$ -case). Deligne conjectured that in each case there exists a universal tensor category admitting an embedding of the Deligne category, and suggested a construction of such a tensor category. In the  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$ -case Comes-Ostrik [CO14] constructed this tensor category as the heart of a suitable  $t$ -structure on the homotopy category of  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$  and proved that it is the abelian envelope in the sense of Definition 3.1.2. The existence of abelian envelopes was also proven in the  $GL_t$ -case [EHS15] and for the periplectic Deligne category [ES18].

**3.2. Alternative criterion.** Consider again  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  as in 3.1.1

**Proposition 3.2.1.** *Assume that  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is fully faithful (Condition 3.1.3(1)) and that*

$$\forall T_1, T_2 \in I(\mathcal{D}), \text{ we have } \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(T_1, T_2) = 0.$$

*Then, Conditions (2), (3) in 3.1.3 are equivalent to the following condition:*

**Condition 3.2.2.** For any simple object  $X \in \mathcal{V}$ , there exists  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  so that  $X \otimes T \in I(\mathcal{D})$ .

*Proof.* That conditions (2), (3) in 3.1.3 imply 3.2.2 is a special case of Lemma 3.1.5, so we only prove the other direction.

First of all, recall that all objects in  $\mathcal{V}$  have finite length, so, under our assumption of vanishing of  $\text{Ext}^1$  in the proposition, Condition 3.2.2 implies the weak ideal condition in Lemma 3.1.5. Let  $X \in \mathcal{V}$ . By this weak ideal condition, there exists  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $T \otimes X \in I(\mathcal{D})$ . Set  $T' = T^* \otimes T \otimes X$ . Then we have maps

$$T' \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_T \otimes \text{id}_X} X, \quad X \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_{T^*} \otimes \text{id}_X} T'$$

These maps are respectively an epimorphism and a monomorphism, hence Condition (2) holds.

Next, consider an epimorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Set  $K = \text{Ker}(f)$ , so that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow 0.$$

By the weak ideal condition, there exist  $T \in I(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $T \otimes (K \oplus Y) \in I(\mathcal{D})$ , and hence  $T \otimes K, T \otimes Y \in I(\mathcal{D})$ . This implies that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \otimes K \rightarrow T \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_T \otimes f} T \otimes Y \rightarrow 0$$

splits by assumption. Hence Condition (3) holds, as required. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.3.** A very minor adaptation of the proof of Proposition 3.2.1 also shows that under Condition 3.1.3(1) and the assumption that ‘for any complex  $\Sigma : 0 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  the sequence  $I(\Sigma)$  splits whenever it is exact in  $\mathcal{V}$ ’, we have that Conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent to the weak ideal condition in Lemma 3.1.5.

**3.3. Example: Algebraic groups in positive characteristic.** Let  $G$  denote a semisimple simply connected algebraic group or  $GL_n$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $\text{Rep}(G)$  denote the tensor category of finite dimensional rational representations of  $G$ . Its ind-completion is a highest weight category (note that the injective objects are typically of infinite dimension). The standard  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and costandard objects  $\nabla(\lambda)$  are parametrised by the dominant integral weights  $\lambda \in X^+$ . A module  $M \in \text{Rep}(G)$  is called tilting if it has a good filtration (a filtration with every quotient a costandard object) and a Weyl filtration (a filtration with every quotient a standard object). We denote by  $\text{Tilt}(G)$  the full subcategory of tilting modules. It is a rigid monoidal subcategory of  $\text{Rep}(G)$ , see [Ja03, Proposition E.7]. Its indecomposable objects are (up to isomorphism) parametrised by the dominant integral weights, see [Ja03, Proposition E.6], and we denote by  $T(\lambda)$  the corresponding tilting module.

Of particular importance are the Steinberg modules. We define

$$St_r := L((p^r - 1)\rho) = T((p^r - 1)\rho), \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 3.3.1.** *The category  $\text{Rep}(G)$  with the natural embedding  $\text{Tilt}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$  satisfies Condition 3.1.3, in particular it is the abelian envelope of  $\text{Tilt}(G)$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.2.*

*Proof.* By [Ja03, Corollary E.2], the assumptions of Proposition 3.2.1 hold, so it is enough to verify Condition 3.2.2.

For  $L(\lambda)$  with  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \leq 2p^r - 1$  the module  $St_r \otimes L(\lambda)$  has a good filtration by [BNPS18, Proposition 4.3.1]. Its dual is  $(St_r)^* \otimes L(\lambda)^* \cong St_r \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ . The highest weight  $-w_0\lambda$  of  $L(\lambda)^*$  satisfies again the inequality  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \leq 2p^r - 1$ , so  $(St_r \otimes L(\lambda))^*$  also has a good filtration. Dualising shows that  $St_r \otimes L(\lambda)$  has a Weyl filtration as well and is therefore tilting. Hence for any irreducible module  $L$ , the module  $St_r \otimes L$  is tilting for  $r \gg 0$ . This proves the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.2.** In particular (by Condition 2) every object  $M \in \text{Rep}(G)$  can be embedded in a tilting module. This can be seen directly as follows: Analogous to the proof of theorem 3.3.1,  $M \otimes St_r$  is a tilting module for  $r \gg 0$ . Then  $M$  embeds as submodule into the tilting module  $M \otimes St_r \otimes St_r^*$ .

#### 4. ON A CONJECTURE OF BENSON AND ETINGOF

Let  $k$  denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.

**4.1. The abelian envelopes  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ .** As before let  $\text{Tilt}(SL_2)$  denote the symmetric rigid monoidal category of  $SL_2$ -tilting modules over  $k$ . The indecomposable tilting modules are the  $T_m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , the tilting modules with highest weight  $m\omega$ . Clearly  $T_0 = k$  and  $T_1 = V$ , the 2-dimensional standard representation of  $SL_2$ . For  $n > 0$ , denote by  $\mathcal{I}_n$  the tensor ideal generated by the identity morphism of  $T_{2n-1}$ . This gives a strictly descending infinite chain

$$\text{Tilt}(SL_2) =: \mathcal{I}_0 \supset \mathcal{I}_1 \supset \mathcal{I}_2 \supset \dots, \quad \text{with } \cap_i \mathcal{I}_i = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}_n = \text{Tilt}(SL_2)/\mathcal{I}_n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.1.** [BE19, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 4.14] *There exists an ascending chain of tensor categories over  $k$*

$$\text{Vec}_k = \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \dots$$

*with fully faithful tensor embeddings such that  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is the abelian envelope of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.2.*

The categories  $\mathcal{C}_{2i}$  are equipped with a distinguished self dual simple object  $X_i$ . Under the fully faithful embedding  $F_n : \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ ,  $X_n$  is the image of  $T_1$  [BE19, Corollary 3.6]. The object  $X_n \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  satisfies  $\dim(X_n) = 0$ ,  $\wedge^2 X_n = \mathbb{1}$ ,  $\wedge^3 X_n = 0$  [BE19, Section 3.8]. The simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  are [BE19, Theorem 2.1]  $X_S := \otimes_{i \in S} X_i$  where  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  and we view  $X_i$  as an object in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  via the embedding  $\mathcal{C}_{2i} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ . By convention, we have  $X_\emptyset = \mathbb{1}$ .

The category  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is filtered by the full topologising subcategories  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , which consists of subquotients of direct sums of  $X_n^{\otimes j}$ ,  $j \leq r$ .

**Remark 4.1.2.** Recall that  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is an additive symmetric monoidal functor, but not  $k$ -linear. In fact, it follows easily that  $\text{Fr}(\lambda f) = \lambda^2 \text{Fr}(f)$ , for  $\lambda \in k$  and  $f$  some morphism, since  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . It is therefore possible to interpret  $\text{Fr}$  as  $k$ -linear by twisting the  $k$ -linear structure on the target category via the Frobenius morphism  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$  of  $k$  (while keeping the same abelian monoidal category), see also [Os15, §3.3]. Since we assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed and hence perfect, the Frobenius morphism is an isomorphism and in particular this twist does not change the dimensions of the morphism spaces.

Alternatively, we can twist the  $k$ -linear structure on the source category via the inverse of the Frobenius morphism:  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{1/2}$ . That would be our preferred method in this paper.

By [BE19, Remark 3.14] the Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  actually factors via a functor  $\mathcal{C}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$ . We will therefore henceforth ‘normalise’ or ‘twist’ our categories *such that  $\mathcal{C}_{2n-2} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  is not  $k$ -linear, but  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}$  is  $k$ -linear* (symmetric monoidal).

Note that any such ‘twist’ of  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ , via  $k \rightarrow k : \lambda \mapsto \lambda^{p^i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is (non-canonically) equivalent to the original, for instance because the same is true for  $\text{Tilt}(SL_2)$  and we can define  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  as ‘the’ algebraic envelope of a quotient of the former.

**4.2. The tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ .** We start by some observations concerning the Grothendieck rings of  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  that will be needed later on. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $[[a, b]]$  the set of integers  $x$  with  $a \leq x \leq b$ . For any  $S \subset [[2, n]]$  we denote by  $S'$  the subset of  $[[1, n-1]]$  obtained by subtracting 1 from each element of  $S$ . In particular, we have  $\emptyset' = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4.2.1.** *Consider  $2 < j \leq n$  and  $T \subset [[j, n]]$ . For any  $S \subset [[1, n]]$  we have*

$$[X_n \otimes X_T : X_S] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S \not\subset [[j-1, n]], \\ [X_{n-1} \otimes X_{T'} : X_{S'}] & \text{if } 1 \notin S. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of [BE19, Corollary 2.3]. Indeed, with notation as *loc. cit.*, by assumption we have  $k_T(n) \geq j-1 > 1$  and  $k_{T'}(n-1) > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *Consider  $i < n$  and  $S \subset [[1, n]]$ . We have*

$$[\otimes^i X_n : X_S] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S \not\subset [[n-i+1, n]], \\ [\otimes^i X_{n-1} : X_{S'}] & \text{if } 1 \notin S. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 1$  the claim is a tautology. Assume that  $i > 1$  and the claim is true for  $i-1$ . Since  $X_n \otimes -$  is exact, we have

$$[\otimes^i X_n : X_S] = \sum_{T \subset [[1, n]]} [\otimes^{i-1} X_n : X_T][X_n \otimes X_T : X_S]. \quad (4.1)$$

By the induction hypothesis for  $i-1$ , we know that in equation (4.1) it suffices to sum over  $T \subset [[n-i+2, n]]$ . Lemma 4.2.1 therefore implies that the left-hand side of (4.1) is zero unless  $S \subset [[n-i+1, n]]$ . Furthermore, applying the second part of the induction hypothesis for  $i-1$  and Lemma 4.2.1 shows, if  $1 \notin S$ , that (4.1) is equal to

$$\sum_{U \subset [[1, n-1]]} [\otimes^{i-1} X_{n-1} : X_U][X_{n-1} \otimes X_U : X_S] = [\otimes^i X_{n-1} : X_S].$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

It will be convenient to adopt a different notation for the simple objects  $X_S$ , which in particular keeps track of in which  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  they are considered. For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote its 2-adic expansion by

$$(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\times \mathbb{N}}, \quad \text{where} \quad m = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_i 2^i.$$

For any  $0 \leq m < 2^n$ , we set

$$X(n; m) = X_S, \quad \text{with } i \in S \text{ if and only if } m_{n-i} = 1.$$

For example, we have

$$X(n; 0) = \mathbb{1}, \quad X(n; 1) = X_n, \quad X(n; 2) = X_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad X(n; 2^n - 1) = X_{[[1, n]]}.$$

**Corollary 4.2.3.** *If  $0 < r < n$ , the simple objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$  are precisely the simple objects  $X(n; m)$  with  $m \leq r$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ .*

*Proof.* Rewriting [BE19, Corollary 2.3] in the new labelling of simple objects yields in particular

$$[X_n \otimes X(n; m)] = [X(n; m+1)] + \sum_{l < m} [X(n; l)]^{c_l},$$

for some multiplicities  $c_l$ , if  $m < 2^n - 1$ . This demonstrates the corollary.  $\square$

### 4.3. Stabilisation of the categories $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ .

**Theorem 4.3.1.** *The Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr}$  restricts to a  $k$ -linear equivalence  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  for  $n > 4r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof will be done in several steps. We will prove in Corollary 4.3.5 that  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  is faithful, in Proposition 4.3.7 that it is full, and in Corollary 4.3.11 that it is essentially surjective.  $\square$

We begin by describing the effect of  $\text{Fr}$  on simple objects.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** *We have  $\text{Fr}(X_k) = X_{k-1}$  if  $k > 1$ . More generally, for any simple object  $X_S = \otimes_{i \in S} X_i$  with  $S \subset \llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$ , we have  $\text{Fr}(X_S) = X_{S'}$ . Equivalently, we have  $\text{Fr}(X(n; m)) = X(n-1; m)$  if  $m < 2^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1(xi) in [BE19] and the fact that  $\text{Fr}$  is monoidal in characteristic 2.  $\square$

We now proceed to show that  $\text{Fr}$  is faithful and exact.

**Definition 4.3.3.** We say that a functor  $F$  between abelian categories is “exact in the middle” if it maps every short exact sequence  $X \hookrightarrow Y \twoheadrightarrow Z$  to an exact sequence  $F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z)$ .

We denote the length of an object  $X$  in an abelian category by  $\ell(X) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.4.** *Consider two abelian categories  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , an additive functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  which is exact in the middle, and a set  $\{C_i\}$  of objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite length. If  $F$  sends simple constituents of the  $C_i$  to simple objects and we have  $\ell(F(C_i)) = \ell(C_i)$  for every  $i$ , then  $F|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is exact and faithful, with  $\mathcal{C}$  the topologising subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\{C_i\}$ .*

*Proof.* The assumption on the action of  $F$  on simple objects and the exactness in the middle imply that  $\ell(F(M)) \leq \ell(M)$ , for each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ . Now let  $C$  be a finite direct sum of objects  $C_i$ , and consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  (and hence in  $\mathcal{C}$ ). By using  $\ell(FC) = \ell(C) = \ell(X) + \ell(Y)$  and exactness in the middle, we find

$$\ell(FX) + \ell(FY) \geq \ell(X) + \ell(Y).$$

Since we have already established that  $F$  can only decrease the length of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  we find that  $F$  preserves the length of each subobject or quotient of an object  $C$  as above. It now also follows easily that the action of  $F$  on the short exact sequence must have been exact.

We can now proceed in the exact same way (first by replacing  $C$  by an arbitrary subobject and in a second step by an arbitrary subquotient) to prove that  $F$  acts exactly on each short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ . That  $F$  is faithful on  $\mathcal{C}$  then follows from the fact it is exact and preserves length.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.5.** *If  $0 < r < n$ , the Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  is an exact faithful functor.*



*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3.2 and the fact that  $\text{Fr}$  is monoidal in characteristic 2 we have, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , that  $\text{Fr}(\otimes^i X_n) \cong \otimes^i X_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 4.3.4, it thus suffices to show that

$$\ell(\otimes^i X_n) = \ell(\otimes^i X_{n-1}), \quad \text{for } 0 < i < n.$$

This is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2.2.  $\square$

We will need the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 4.3.6.** *Consider  $2s < n$  and let  $L$  be a simple object in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^s \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2s}$ . Then  $\text{Fr}$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2s}}^1(L, \mathbb{1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{2s}}^1(\text{Fr}L, \mathbb{1}).$$

*Proof.* By [BE19, Proposition 4.12], for any  $S \subset \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$  we have:

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}}^1(X_S, \mathbb{1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S = \{j\} \text{ for some } 1 \leq j < n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It therefore suffices to prove that any extension in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  of  $\mathbb{1}$  and  $X_j$ , with  $X_j \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^s$  and  $j < n$ ,

- (a) exists in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2s}$ ;
- (b) is not split by  $\text{Fr}$ .

We let  $E$  be the unique (up to isomorphism) non-split extension of  $\mathbb{1}$  and  $X_j$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ . We claim that  $E$  is a subobject of  $X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$ . Indeed, by [BE19], for any  $j < n$ ,  $X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2j+2} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n}$  has simple constituents  $\mathbb{1}, X_j, \mathbb{1}$ , with  $\mathbb{1}$  appearing both as a subobject and a quotient. The composition

$$\mathbb{1} \rightarrow X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

is zero, since the categorical dimension of  $X_{j+1}$  is zero, by [BE19, Corollary 3.6]. Furthermore,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}}^1(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) = 0$ , so we conclude that  $\text{soc}(X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2}) = \mathbb{1}$  and  $X_j$  constitutes the next layer of the socle filtration.

This implies that  $E \subset X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2}$ . If  $X_j \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^s$  then our construction thus shows in particular that  $E \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2s}$ . The functor

$$\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2s} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{2s}$$

is exact, and by Lemma 4.3.2,

$$\text{Fr}(X_{j+1}^{\otimes 2}) = X_j^{\otimes 2}.$$

Hence  $\text{Fr}(E) \subset X_j^{\otimes 2}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{4r}$ . By the above discussion  $X_j^{\otimes 2}$  has simple socle  $\mathbb{1}$  which implies in particular that  $\text{Fr}(E)$  does not split.  $\square$

We now prove that  $\text{Fr}$  is full.

**Proposition 4.3.7.** *If  $0 < 4r < n$ , the Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  is full.*

*Proof.* We use a variation of the proof of [ES18, Proposition 5.2.1].

We have already established that  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  is faithful. So we only need to check that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r}(N, N') = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r}(\text{Fr}(N), \text{Fr}(N'))$$

for any  $N, N' \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r}(N, N') &= \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2r}}(\mathbb{1}, N^* \otimes N'), \\ \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r}(\text{Fr}(N), \text{Fr}(N')) &= \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{2r}}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}(N^* \otimes N')). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2r < n$ , it is enough to prove that the following statement holds:

$$\forall M \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2r}, \quad \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2r}}(\mathbb{1}, M) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{2r}}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}(M)).$$

We will prove this by induction on the length of  $M$ . If  $\ell(M) = 1$ , the statement follows from Lemma 4.3.2. Now we assume the equation holds for all modules of length strictly smaller than  $\ell(M)$  and consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0,$$

with  $M'$  simple. The functor  $\text{Fr}$  yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, M') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, M'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{4r}}^1(\mathbb{1}, M') \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}M') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}M'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^{4r}}^1(\mathbb{1}, \text{Fr}M'), \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Note that we could have taken the extension groups in any abelian subcategory which contains all objects, but we chose  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{4r}$  for convenience. By the induction hypothesis, the first and third vertical arrow from the left are isomorphisms, and we already know that the second arrow from the left is a monomorphism. It hence suffices to prove that the right morphism is a monomorphism as well. The equivalent claim about extensions of the form  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{2n}^{4r}}^1(M', \mathbb{1})$ , for simple  $M' \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^{2r}$ , is proved in Lemma 4.3.6 for  $s = 2r$ .

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

We now prove a general statement about essential surjectivity. In order to do so, we will use the following notion:

**Definition 4.3.8.** A full subcategory  $\mathcal{B}$  of an abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  will be called *generating* if every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subquotient of an object in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example 4.3.9.** The full subcategory of finite direct sums of tensor powers  $X_n^{\otimes j}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq r$  is a generating subcategory of the category  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ .

**Proposition 4.3.10.** Let  $F : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a full exact functor between finite length abelian categories. Assume the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $F$  sends simple objects in  $\mathcal{C}'$  to simple or zero objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) There exists a generating full subcategory  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \subset F(\mathcal{C}')$ .

Then  $F$  is essentially surjective.

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the essential image of  $\mathcal{C}$  under  $F$ . Since  $F$  is full and exact,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. it is full, and closed under taking kernels and cokernels of morphisms. We claim that the conditions (1) and (2) imply that

(1)' Every simple object in  $\mathcal{C}$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Indeed, by assumption (2) every simple object  $L \in \mathcal{C}$  is a subquotient of an object  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  for which there exists  $B' \in \mathcal{C}'$  with  $B = F(B')$ . Taking a Jordan-Hölder filtration of  $B'$  yields, through the exact functor  $F$ , an exhaustive filtration of  $B$ . By assumption (1), the quotients of the filtration are simple and hence this is a Jordan-Hölder filtration of  $B$ . Consequently  $L$  is of the form  $F(L')$  for some simple  $L' \in \mathcal{C}'$ .

We also note that any object in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be presented as the cokernel of a morphism  $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ , where  $M_1, M_2$  are subobjects of an object in  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is therefore enough to prove that  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now, consider a subobject  $M$  of an object  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ . We will prove that  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  by induction on the length of  $M$ .

**Base:** The case  $M = 0$  is obvious.

**Step:** Let  $0 \neq M \subset T$  with  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ . We denote by  $i : M \hookrightarrow T$  the corresponding monomorphism.

Let  $M \xrightarrow{q} L$  be a simple quotient of  $M$  and let  $N := \text{Ker}(q)$ . Then we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{q} & L \cong M/N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T/N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We now have:  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  (by induction assumption),  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  by (1)' and  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  by assumption (2). Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under taking cokernels,  $T/N \in \mathcal{A}$  as well. Now, by standard diagram chasing (e.g. the Snake Lemma), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow T/N \rightarrow T/M \rightarrow 0$$

where  $L \rightarrow T/N$  is the map determined by  $i$  and  $q$ . Since both  $L, T/N \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $T/M \in \mathcal{A}$ , and hence  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  (since it is the kernel of the map  $T \rightarrow T/M$ ).

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.11.** *If  $0 < 4r < n$  the Frobenius twist  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  is essentially surjective.*

*Proof.* We only need to check that the assumptions of Proposition 4.3.10 are satisfied.

That  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  sends simple objects to simple objects follows from Lemma 4.3.2.

Second, consider the full subcategory  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}^r$  of finite direct sums of tensor powers  $X_{n-1}^{\otimes i}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . For each  $i \leq r$ ,

$$X_{n-1}^{\otimes i} = \text{Fr}(X_n^{\otimes i})$$

hence  $\mathcal{B} \subset \text{Fr}(\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r)$ , as required.  $\square$

**4.4. The category  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ .** Given  $r > 0$ , Theorem 4.3.1 allows us to define a (stabilizing) limit of the system of categories and functors  $(\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r, \text{Fr})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This limit will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r.$$

In particular, we have  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$  if  $n > 4r$ , so  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$  is a  $k$ -linear abelian category, and we have obvious (fully faithful, exact) embeddings

$$\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{r+1}(\infty)$$

and hence we can define a colimit

$$\mathcal{C}(\infty) := \varinjlim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$$

The abelian category  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  inherits from the categories  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}$  a rigid symmetric monoidal structure, given by bifunctors

$$- \otimes - : \mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{r'}(\infty) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{r+r'}(\infty).$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is a tensor category in the sense of Section 2.4. It possesses a distinguished object  $\bar{X}_0$  which is the limit of the objects  $X_n \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is tensor-generated by  $\bar{X}_0$ : namely, any object  $M \in \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of tensor powers of  $\bar{X}_0$ .

For  $i > 0$  we define the simple object  $\bar{X}_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$ , with  $2^i \leq r$ , as the limit of  $X_{n-i} \in \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$  and use the same notation for the corresponding simple object in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ . More generally, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , with 2-adic expansion  $(m_i)$ , we define  $\bar{X}(m) = \otimes_{m_i=1} \bar{X}_i$ . In other words,  $\bar{X}(m)$  is the limit of the objects  $X(n; m)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{2n}^r$  and we have for example  $\bar{X}(0) = \mathbb{1}$  and  $\bar{X}(1) = \bar{X}_0$ .

**Lemma 4.4.1.** *The objects  $\bar{X}(m)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are simple and mutually non-isomorphic, and every simple object in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is isomorphic to one of them.*

*Proof.* By construction, every object  $\bar{N}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is an object  $N$  in some  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2r}^r$ . Moreover  $N$  is simple if and only if  $\bar{N}$  is. Also the question of whether two objects in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is equivalent to whether they are isomorphic in a category  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{2r}^r$  that contains them both. The conclusion thus follows from Corollary 4.2.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.2.** *There exists a fully faithful  $k$ -linear SM functor  $I' : \text{Tilt}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  sending the standard 2-dimensional  $SL_2$ -representation  $V$  to  $\bar{X}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the object  $\bar{X}_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\infty)$ . By theorem 4.3.1 we have for  $n \gg r$  an exact faithful functor

$$F_n : \mathcal{C}_{2n}^r \cong \mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$$

which maps  $X_n$  to  $\bar{X}_0$ . Since the monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is given by the bifunctors  $-\otimes - : \mathcal{C}^r(\infty) \times \mathcal{C}^{r'}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{r+r'}(\infty)$ ,  $X_n^{\otimes 2}$  is sent to  $\bar{X}_0^{\otimes 2}$ . By definition of  $\wedge^2(\bar{X}_0)$  we have in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$

$$\wedge^2(\bar{X}_0) = \text{Im}(\gamma_{\bar{X}_0 \bar{X}_0} - 1 : \bar{X}_0^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \bar{X}_0^{\otimes 2}).$$

Since  $\bar{X}_0 \cong F_n(X_n)$  and  $\bar{X}_0^{\otimes 2} \cong F_n(X_n^{\otimes 2})$  we get

$$\gamma_{\bar{X}_0 \bar{X}_0} = \gamma_{F_n(X_n) F_n(X_n)} = F_n(\gamma_{X_n X_n}),$$

the latter by construction of the symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ . This shows that

$$F_n(\wedge^2(X_n)) \cong \wedge^2(F_n(X_n)) \cong \wedge^2(\bar{X}_0)$$

and therefore that  $\wedge^2(X_n)^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathbb{1}$  implies  $\wedge^2(\bar{X}_0) \cong \mathbb{1}$ . In a similar way one can show  $\wedge^3(\bar{X}_0) \cong 0$  and  $\dim(\bar{X}_0) = 0 = 2$ .

Therefore, by [BE19, Proposition 3.4], we have an additive symmetric monoidal functor  $I' : \text{Tilt}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  with  $I'(V) \cong \bar{X}_0$ . To see that it is fully faithful, recall that it is enough to check this on tensor powers of  $V$  (these generate  $\text{Tilt}(SL_2)$  under taking finite direct sums and direct summands).

For any  $r \geq 1$ , denote by  $\text{Tilt}^r(SL_2)$  the full subcategory generated by objects  $V^{\otimes k}$ ,  $k \leq r$ , under taking finite direct sums and direct summands.

Then the essential image of  $\text{Tilt}^r(SL_2)$  under  $I'$  clearly lies in  $\mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$ , and the functor  $I' : \text{Tilt}^r(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$  is the restriction of the additive symmetric monoidal functor

$$F_n : \text{Tilt}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2n}, \quad V \mapsto X_n, \quad n \gg r$$

described in [BE19, Section 3.8] (there it is denoted by  $F$ ). In particular  $F_n$  is full and its kernel is the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{n+1}$  from Section 4.1. Since we are considering the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that the functor  $I' : \text{Tilt}^r(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^r(\infty)$  is fully faithful for every  $r \geq 1$ . The statement of the lemma now follows.  $\square$

We can now prove a conjecture of Benson and Etingof:

**Theorem 4.4.3.** *The functor  $I' : \text{Tilt}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  factors through the functor  $I : \text{Tilt}(SL_2) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(SL_2)$  and induces an equivalence of tensor categories*

$$\text{Rep}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty).$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.1.4, the functor  $I'$  factors through the functor  $I$ . The induced functor  $\Phi : \text{Rep}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  is a faithful exact symmetric monoidal functor sending the standard  $SL_2$ -representation  $V$  to  $\bar{X}_0$ . Since  $I'$  is full, Theorem 3.1.4 implies that  $\Phi$  is full as well.

We now prove that  $\Phi$  is essentially surjective. First, recall that the full subcategory of finite direct sums of tensor powers of  $\bar{X}_0$  is a generating subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ . It clearly lies in the essential image.

Now consider again the natural  $SL_2$ -module  $V$ . It is straightforward to see (and well-known) that the socle filtration of  $\otimes^2 V$  in  $\text{Rep}(SL_2)$  is given by  $k, V^{(1)}, k$ . Taking the

(classical) Frobenius twist of this shows that the socle filtration of  $\otimes^2 V^{(j)}$  is  $k, V^{(j+1)}, k$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, we find that  $\otimes^2 \bar{X}_j$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$  has socle filtration  $\mathbb{1}, \bar{X}_{j+1}, \mathbb{1}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is monoidal and exact, and  $\Phi(V) = \bar{X}_0$ , it follows iteratively that  $\Phi(V^{(j)}) \simeq \bar{X}_j$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Lemma 4.4.1 now shows that  $\Phi$  sends simple objects to simple objects.

Now, by Proposition 4.3.10, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is essentially surjective. Thus it is an equivalence, and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.4.** By the proof, the  $j$ -th Frobenius twist  $V^{(j)} = L_1^{(j)} = L_{2^j}$  is sent to  $\bar{X}_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Steinberg's tensor product theorem [Ja03, Corollary 3.17] states that  $L_m \cong \otimes_{m_i=1} L_1^{(i)}$ . Hence the equivalence  $\text{Rep}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\infty)$  satisfies  $L_m \mapsto \bar{X}(m)$ , for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of K. C. was supported by ARC grant DE170100623. The research of I. E. and T. H. was supported by the ISF grant 711/18. We thank the organisers of the conference "Representations Theory in Venice" (September 2019), where much of the work on this paper was done, for their hospitality. I. E. would like to thank N. Harman and V. Ostrik for helpful discussions. T. H. would like to thank W. Hardesty and P. Sobaje for background on tilting modules.

#### REFERENCES

- [BNPS18] C. P. Bendel and D. K. Nakano and C. Pillen and P. Sobaje, *On tensoring with the Steinberg representation.*, arXiv: 1804.00613, to appear in 'Transformation Groups', 2018.
- [BE19] D. Benson and P. Etingof, *Symmetric tensor categories in characteristic 2.*, Advances in Mathematics, **351**, p. 967–999. Elsevier 2019.
- [CO14] J. Comes and V. Ostrik, *On Deligne's category  $\text{Rep}^{\text{ab}}(S_d)$ .*, Algebra Number Theory, 8, 2, 2014.
- [Co18] K. Coulembier, *Tannakian categories in positive characteristic.*, arXiv: 1812.02452, 2018.
- [De02] P. Deligne, *Catégories tensorielles.* Mosc. Math. J. 2, no. 2, 2002.
- [De07] P. Deligne, *La catégorie des représentations du groupe symétrique  $S_t$ , lorsque  $t$  n'est pas un entier naturel.*, Mehta, V. B. (ed.), Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces. Proceedings of the international colloquium, Mumbai, India, January 6–14, 2004. 209–273 (2007)., 2007.
- [DM82] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, *Tannakian categories.*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lect. Notes Math. 900, 1982.
- [EHS15] I. Entova-Aizenbud and V. Hinich and V. Serganova, *Deligne Categories and the Limit of Categories  $\text{Rep}(GL(m|n))$ .*, International Mathematics Research Notices rny144 (2018), arXiv:1511.07699.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **205**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [ES18] I. Entova-Aizenbud, V. Serganova. *Deligne categories and the periplectic Lie superalgebra.* arXiv:1807.09478 (2018).
- [Ja03] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups. 2nd ed.*, Math. Surv. Monogr., **107**, 2nd ed., 2003.
- [Os15] V. Ostrik, *On symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1503.01492.

K. C.: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* kevin.coulembier@sydney.edu.au

I. E.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* inna.entova@gmail.com

T. H.: MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* heidersdorf.thorsten@gmail.com