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#### Abstract

In this article we classify compact Riemann surfaces of genus $1+q^{2}$ with a group of automorphisms of order $3 q^{2}$, where $q$ is a prime number. We also study decompositions of the corresponding Jacobian varieties.


## 1. Introduction and statement of the results

The study and classification of groups of automorphisms of compact Riemann surfaces (or complex projective algebraic curves) is a classical problem which has attracted considerable interest since a long time. Regarding this issue, in the late nineteenth century a fundamental result was obtained by Hurwitz, who succeeded in proving that the full automorphism group of a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geqslant 2$ is finite, and that its order is at most $84 g-84$. Later, this problem acquired a new relevance when its relationship with Teichmüller and moduli spaces was developed.

An interesting problem is to study and describe those compact Riemann surfaces whose automorphism groups share a common property. The most prominent example concerning that are Hurwitz curves; namely, those Riemann surfaces possessing the maximal possible number of automorphisms. Nowadays, it is classically known that Hurwitz curves correspond to regular covers of the projective line ramified over three values, marked with 2,3 and 7 . Another well-known example is the cyclic case, which was considered, among others, by Wiman. In [41, he showed that the largest cyclic group of automorphisms of a Riemann surface of genus $g \geqslant 2$ has order at most $4 g+2$. Furthermore, the so-called Wiman curve of type I given by

$$
y^{2}=x^{2 g+1}-1
$$

shows that this upper bound is attained for each $g$; see [15]. In the early nineties, the uniqueness problem was addressed by Kulkarni who proved in [23] that, for $g$ sufficiently large, the aforementioned curve is the unique Riemann surface of genus $g$ with an automorphism of order $4 g+2$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following [24], the sequence $a g+b$ for $g=2,3, \ldots$ is called admissible if for infinitely many values of $g$ there is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms of order $a g+b$.

[^0]In addition to the already mentioned admissible sequences $84 g-84$ and $4 g+2$, the classical case $8 g+8$ was considered by Accola [1], Maclachlan [25] and Kulkarni [23]. Very recently, the cases $4 g+4$ and $4 g$ have been studied by Bujalance, Costa and Izquierdo in [7] and by Costa and Izquierdo in [13] respectively; see also [34].

Let $\lambda$ be a positive integer. Belolipetsky and Jones in [3] studied the admissible sequence $\lambda(g-1)$ and succeeded in proving that, under the assumption that $g-1$ is a sufficiently large prime number and $\lambda \geqslant 7$, a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms of order $\lambda(g-1)$ lies in one of six infinite well-described sequences of examples (similar results but stated in a combinatorial point of view of regular maps can be found in [12]). Later, under the assumption that $g-1$ is prime, the case $\lambda=4$ was classified in [33] and the cases $\lambda=3,5,6$ were classified in [20]; see also [19] for a unified treatment of this case.

In this article we shall deal with the admissible sequence $3(g-1)$ and extend the results proved in [20] by providing a complete classification in the case that $g-1$ is assumed to be the square of a prime number, instead of a prime number. In other words, we study and classify all those compact Riemann surfaces of genus $1+q^{2}$ endowed with a group of automorphisms of order $3 q^{2}$, where $q$ is a prime number.

The main result of this paper is the following:
Theorem 1. Let $q \geqslant 7$ be a prime, set $g=1+q^{2}$ and let $S$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms of order $3 q^{2}$.

If $q \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ then $S$ belongs to the complex one-dimensional family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$ of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to

$$
G_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

acting with signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$.
If $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ then $S$ belongs to either:
(1) the family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$
(2) the complex one-dimensional family $\mathscr{U}_{g}$ of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle a, t: a^{q^{2}}=t^{3}=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{s}\right\rangle
$$

wheres is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^{2}}$, acting with signature ( $0 ; 3,3,3,3$ ), or
(3) the complex one-dimensional family $\mathscr{V}_{g}$ of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to

$$
G_{3}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}\right\rangle
$$

where $r$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$, acting with signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$.
It is worth mentioning that for $q=5$ the family $\mathscr{C}_{26}$ also exists. As a matter of fact, if $S$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus 26 with a group of automorphisms of order

75 then either $S$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{26}$ or admits a triangle action of $C_{5} \times C_{15}$ with signature $(0 ; 5,15,15)$; see [11]. By contrast, as the reader could expect, the behavior for $q=2$ and 3 is completely different. For instance, it is straghtforward to verify the existence of a one-dimensional family of Riemann surfaces of genus ten with action of $C_{9} \rtimes C_{3}$ with signature $(1 ; 3)$ and the existence of a one-dimensional family of Riemann surfaces of genus five with action of $\mathbf{D}_{6}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,6,6)$.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 together with the fact that each group of order $6 q^{2}$ has a subgroup of order $3 q^{2}$, for $q \geqslant 7$ prime.

Corollary 1. Let $q \geqslant 7$ be a prime and set $g=1+q^{2}$. If $S$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ with a group of automorphisms of order $6 q^{2}$ then $S$ belongs to one of the families introduced in Theorem 1 .

The following three results describe the full automorphism group of the Riemann surfaces lying in the before introduced families, and also the families themselves (seen as subvarieties of the moduli space) in terms of the number of equisymmetric strata.

Theorem 2. Let $q \geqslant 5$ be prime and set $g=1+q^{2}$. The family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$ consists of at most $\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q+1)$ strata. Moreover, up to finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$ is either:
(1) isomorphic to $G_{1}$,
(2) isomorphic to the group of order $6 q^{2}$ presented as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t, z: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=z^{2}=[a, b]=[z, t]=1,\right. \\
\left.t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}, z a z=a^{-1}, z b z=b^{-1}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

acting on $S$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$,
(3) isomorphic to the group of order $6 q^{2}$ presented as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{2}=\left\langle a, b, t, z: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=z^{2}=[a, b]=1\right. \\
\left.t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}, z a z=b, z b z=a, z t z=t^{-1}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

acting on $S$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$, or
(4) isomorphic to the group of order $12 q^{2}$ presented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{G}_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t, z, w: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=z^{2}=w^{2}=[a, b]=[z, w]=[z, t]=1\right. \\
& \left.t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}, z a z=a^{-1}, z b z=b^{-1}, w a w=b, w t w=t^{-1}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

acting with signature $(0 ; 2,2,2,3)$.
Theorem 3. Let $q \geqslant 5$ be prime and set $g=1+q^{2}$. The family $\mathscr{U}_{g}$ consists of at most $2 q^{2}-q+1$ strata. Moreover, up to finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of $S \in \mathscr{U}_{g}$ is isomorphic to $G_{2}$ or isomorphic to the group of order $6 q^{2}$ presented as

$$
\hat{G}_{2}=\left\langle a, t, z: a^{q^{2}}=t^{3}=z^{2}=[z, t]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{s}, z a z=a^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

where $s$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^{2}}$, acting with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$.

Theorem 4. Let $q \geqslant 5$ be prime and set $g=1+q^{2}$. The family $\mathscr{V}_{g}$ consists of only one stratum. Moreover, the action of $G_{3}$ on each $S \in \mathscr{V}_{g}$ extends to an action of the group of order $6 q^{2}$ presented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}_{3}=\left\langle a, b, t, z: a^{q}\right. & =b^{q}=t^{3}=z^{2}=[a, b]=[z, t]=1, \\
t a t^{-1} & \left.=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}, z a z=a^{-1}, z b z=b^{-1}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$. Furthermore, up to finitely many exceptions, the group $\hat{G}_{3}$ is isomorphic to the full automorphism group of $S$.

We point out that the phrase up to finitely many exceptions in the theorem above cannot be deleted. In fact, to evidentiate that and for the sake of completeness, we shall construct, for each genus, an explicit example of a Riemann surface lying in the family $\mathscr{U}_{g}$ and whose full automorphism group differs from the possibilities stated in the Theorem 3,

Let $S$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geqslant 2$. We denote by $\mathscr{H}^{1}(S, \mathbb{C})$ the $g$-dimensional complex vector space of 1-forms on $S$, and by $H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ the first integral homology group of $S$. We recall that the Jacobian variety of $S$, defined by

$$
J S=\mathscr{H}^{1}(S, \mathbb{C})^{*} / H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z})
$$

is an irreducible principally polarized abelian variety of dimension $g$. The relevance of the Jacobian variety lies in Torelli's theorem, which establishes that two Riemann surfaces are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding Jacobian varieties are isomorphic as principally polarized abelian varieties. See, for example, [4, Section 11].

Let $H$ be a group of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface $S$ and denote by $S_{H}$ the quotient compact Riemann surface given by the action of $H$ on $S$. The associated regular covering map $\pi: S \rightarrow S_{H}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\pi^{*}: J S_{H} \rightarrow J S
$$

between the corresponding Jacobian varieties. The image $\pi^{*}\left(J S_{H}\right)$ is an abelian subvariety of $J S$ which is isogenous to $J S_{H}$. Thereby, the well-known Poincaré's Reducibility theorem implies that there exists an abelian subvariety of $J S$, henceforth denoted by $\operatorname{Prym}\left(S \rightarrow S_{H}\right)$ and called the Prym variety associated to $\pi$, such that

$$
J S \sim J S_{H} \times \operatorname{Prym}\left(S \rightarrow S_{H}\right)
$$

where $\sim$ stands for isogeny.
In what follows, we keep the same notations as in Theorem 1 .
Theorem 5. Let $q \geqslant 5$ and set $g=1+q^{2}$.
If $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$ then the Jacobian variety $J S$ decomposes as

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \times J S_{\langle t\rangle}^{3}
$$

where $J S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ is an abelian surface. Moreover, the Jacobian $J S_{\langle t\rangle}$ admits a further decomposition in terms of $\frac{q+1}{3}$ Prym varieties of the same dimension; concretely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S_{\langle t\rangle} \sim \Pi_{n} \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ runs over a subset of $\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ which yields a maximal collection of pairwise non-conjugate subgroups of $G_{1}$ of the form $\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle$.

If $S \in \mathscr{U}_{g}$ then the Jacobian variety $J S$ decomposes as

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle a\rangle} \times J S_{\langle t\rangle}^{3}
$$

where $J S_{\langle a\rangle}$ is an abelian surface. Moreover, $J S_{\langle t\rangle}^{3}$ admits a further decomposition in terms of a Prym variety of dimension $q(q-1)$ and the third power of a Jacobian variety of dimension $\frac{q-1}{3}$ :

$$
J S_{\langle t\rangle}^{3} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S \rightarrow S_{\left\langle a^{q}\right\rangle}\right) \times J S_{\left\langle a^{q}, t\right\rangle}^{3} .
$$

If $S \in \mathscr{V}_{g}$ then the Jacobian variety $J S$ decomposes as

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \times \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\langle a\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \times \Pi_{n=0}^{q-1} \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle a^{n} b\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right)
$$

where $J S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ is an abelian surface. Moreover, each Prym variety above can be further decomposed as third power of a Jacobian. Concretely:

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \times J S_{\langle a, t\rangle}^{3} \times \Pi_{n=0}^{q-1} J S_{\left\langle a^{n} b, t\right\rangle}^{3}
$$

where the dimension of $J S_{\langle a, t\rangle}$ and of each $J S_{\left\langle a^{n} b, t\right\rangle}$ is $\frac{q-1}{3}$.
We recall that Recillas' trigonal construction ensures that the Jacobian variety of a tetragonal Riemann surface is isomorphic to the Prym variety of an unramified two-fold cover of a trigonal Riemann surface; see [30] and also [4, Section 12.7]. Very recently in [8], Lange, Rodríguez and the first author somehow generalized this fact by studying Riemann surfaces $Z$ with a group of automorphisms isomorphic to

$$
G=N \rtimes P \text { where } N \cong C_{2}^{p-1} \text { and } P \cong C_{p}
$$

such that $Z \rightarrow Z_{G} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ ramifies over only values marked with $p$, where $p$ is prime. Concretely, under this conditions, if $T$ denotes the $2^{p-1}$-gonal Riemann surface $Z_{P}$ then they proved that the Jacobian $J T$ is isogenous to the product of $\left(2^{p-1}-1\right) / p$ Prym varieties of unbranched two-fold regular covers of the $p$-gonal Riemann surface $Z_{N}$. In addition, it was proved that the dimension of the involved Prym varieties is the same and that the corresponding isogeny is induced by multiplication by $2^{p-2}$.

We recall that a Riemann surface $S$ lying in the family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$ admits the action of

$$
G_{1}=\langle a, b, t\rangle \cong C_{q}^{2} \rtimes C_{3} \quad \text { where } q \text { is prime, }
$$

and that Theorem 5 says that the Jacobian of the $q^{2}$-gonal Riemann surface $S_{\langle t\rangle}$ is isogenous to the product of $(q+1) / 3$ Prym varieties (of the same dimension) of unbranched $q$-fold regular covers of the trigonal Riemann surface $S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$. Note that our
case $q=2$ and the case $p=3$ in [8] agree and corresponds to the well-studied action of the alternating group of order 12 on genus $g=5$.

Here, we slightly modify the arguments employed in [8, Section 3] to prove the following theorem, which, in some sense, also constitutes a generalization of Recillas' trigonal construction.
Theorem 6. Let $q \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ be an odd prime number and set $g=1+q^{2}$. If $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$ then the isogeny

$$
\Pi_{n} \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \rightarrow J S_{\langle t\rangle}
$$

of Theorem 5 is induced by multiplication by $q$. In particular, its kernel is contained in the $q$-torsion points.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we shall briefly review the basic background: Fuchsian groups, group actions on Riemann surfaces, decomposition of Jacobian varieties and the equisymmetric stratification of the moduli space. The proof of the theorems will be given in Sections 3, 4, 5, and 6. Finally, we include a couple of remarks in Section 7
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## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Group actions and Fuchsian groups. By a Fuchsian group we mean a discrete group of automorphisms of the upper-half plane

$$
\mathbb{H}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im}(z)>0\}
$$

If $\Delta$ is a Fuchsian group and the orbit space $\mathbb{H}_{\Delta}$ given by the action of $\Delta$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is compact, then the algebraic structure of $\Delta$ is determined by its so-called signature; namely, the tuple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\Delta)=\left(h ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the genus of the quotient surface $\mathbb{H}_{\Delta}$ and $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}$ are the branch indices in the universal canonical projection $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Delta}$. If $l=0$ then $\Delta$ is called a surface Fuchsian group.

Let $\Delta$ be a Fuchsian group of signature (2.1). Then
(1) $\Delta$ has a canonical presentation, henceforth denoted by $\Delta\left(h ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$, given by generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{h}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{h}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}$ and relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{m_{1}}=\cdots=x_{l}^{m_{l}}=\Pi_{i=1}^{h}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \Pi_{j=1}^{l} x_{j}=1, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[u, v]$ stands for the commutator $u v u^{-1} v^{-1}$,
(2) the elements of $\Delta$ of finite order are conjugate to powers of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}$, and
(3) the Teichmüller space of $\Delta$ is a complex analytic manifold homeomorphic to the complex ball of dimension $3 h-3+l$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{H}$. If $\Delta$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma$ of finite index then $\Gamma$ is also Fuchsian and they are related by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$
2 h-2+\Sigma_{i=1}^{l}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)=[\Gamma: \Delta]\left(2 h^{\prime}-2+\Sigma_{i=1}^{s}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{i}}\right)\right),
$$

where $\sigma(\Gamma)=\left(h^{\prime} ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$.
Let $S$ be a compact Riemann surface and let $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ denote its full automorphism group. A group $G$ is said to act on $S$ if there is a group monomorphism $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S)$. The space of orbits $S_{G}$ of the action of $G$ on $S$ is endowed with a Riemann surface structure in such a way that the projection $\pi_{G}: S \rightarrow S_{G}$ is holomorphic.

Compact Riemann surfaces and group actions can be understood in terms of Fuchsian groups as follows. By uniformization theorem, there is a surface Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ such that $S$ and $\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, Riemann's existence theorem ensures that $G$ acts on $S \cong \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}$ if and only if there is a Fuchsian group $\Delta$ containing $\Gamma$ together with a group epimorphism

$$
\theta: \Delta \rightarrow G \text { such that } \operatorname{ker}(\theta)=\Gamma .
$$

In this case, it is said that $G$ acts on $S$ with signature $\sigma(\Delta)$ and that this action is represented by the surface-kernel epimorphism $\theta$. If $G$ is a subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ then the action of $G$ on $S$ is said to extend to an action of $G^{\prime}$ on $S$ if:
(1) there is a Fuchsian group $\Delta^{\prime}$ containing $\Delta$.
(2) the Teichmüller spaces of $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ have the same dimension, and
(3) there exists a surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\Theta: \Delta^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime} \text { in such a way that }\left.\Theta\right|_{\Delta}=\theta
$$

An action is called maximal if it cannot be extended in the previous sense. A complete list of signatures of Fuchsian groups $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ for which it may be possible to have an extension as before was determined by Singerman in [40].
2.2. Actions and stratification. Let $\operatorname{Hom}^{+}(S)$ denote the group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $S$. Two actions $\psi_{i}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ are termed topologically equivalent if there exist $\omega \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{+}(S)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}(g)=f \psi_{1}(\omega(g)) f^{-1} \quad \text { for all } g \in G \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each homeomorphism $f$ satisfying (2.3) yields an automorphism $f^{*}$ of $\Delta$ where $\mathbb{H}_{\Delta} \cong S_{G}$. If $\mathscr{B}$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ consisting of them, then $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \times \mathscr{B}$ acts on the set of epimorphisms defining actions of $G$ on $S$ with signature $\sigma(\Delta)$ by

$$
\left(\left(\omega, f^{*}\right), \theta\right) \mapsto \omega \circ \theta \circ\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Two epimorphisms $\Delta \rightarrow G$ define topologically equivalent actions if and only if they belong to the same $(\operatorname{Aut}(G) \times \mathscr{B})$-orbit (see [5]; also [16] and [26]).

Let $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ denote the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geqslant 2$. It is well-known that $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ is endowed with a structure of complex analytic space of dimension $3 g-3$, and that for $g \geqslant 4$ its singular locus $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathscr{M}_{g}\right)$ agrees with the set of points representing compact Riemann surfaces with non-trivial automorphisms.

According to Broughton [6] (see also [16]), the singular locus $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathscr{M}_{g}\right)$ admits an equisymmetric stratification, where each equisymmetric stratum, if nonempty, corresponds to one topological class of maximal actions. More precisely:
(1) the closure $\overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g}^{G, \theta}$ of the equisymmetric stratum $\mathscr{M}_{g}^{G, \theta}$ consists of those Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ with an action of $G$ with fixed topological class given by $\theta$,
(2) $\overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g}^{G, \theta}$ is a closed irreducible algebraic subvariety of $\mathscr{M}_{g}$,
(3) if $\mathscr{M}_{g}^{G, \theta} \neq \emptyset$ then it is a smooth, connected, locally closed algebraic subvariety of $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ which is Zariski dense in $\overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g}^{G, \theta}$,
(4) there are finitely many distinct strata, and

$$
\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathscr{M}_{g}\right)=\cup_{G \neq 1, \theta} \overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g}^{G, \theta}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ such that each of its members has a group of automorphisms isomorphic to $G$. Then

$$
\mathcal{F}=\cup_{\theta} \mathcal{F}_{g}^{G, \theta}
$$

where the stratum $\mathcal{F}_{g}^{G, \theta}$ consists of those members of the family admitting an action of $G$ with topological class $\theta$.
2.3. Decomposition of Jacobians with group action. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}$ be its rational irreducible representations. For each $W_{l}$ we denote by $V_{l}$ a complex irreducible representation of $G$ associated to it.

It is classically known that if the group $G$ acts on a compact Riemann surface $S$ then this action induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{Q}[G] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(J S)=\operatorname{End}(J S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
$$

from the rational group algebra of $G$ to the rational endomorphism algebra of $J S$.
For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ we define the abelian subvariety

$$
A_{\alpha}:=\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)=\Phi(n \alpha)(J S) \subset J S
$$

where $n$ is some positive integer chosen in such a way that $n \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$.
The decomposition of $1=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r} \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$, where each $e_{l}$ is a uniquely determined central idempotent (computed from $W_{l}$ ), yields an isogeny

$$
J S \sim A_{e_{1}} \times \cdots \times A_{e_{r}}
$$

which is $G$-equivariant. See [27].
Additionally, there are idempotents $f_{l 1}, \ldots, f_{l n_{l}}$ such that $e_{l}=f_{l 1}+\cdots+f_{l n_{l}}$ where $n_{l}=d_{V_{l}} / s_{V_{l}}$ is the quotient of the degree $d_{V_{l}}$ of $V_{l}$ and its Schur index $s_{V_{l}}$. These idempotents provide $n_{l}$ subvarieties of $J S$ which are isogenous between them; let $B_{l}$ be one of them, for every $l$. Thus, we obtain the following isogeny

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S \sim_{G} B_{1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{r}^{n_{r}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G$. See [10].
If the representations are labeled in such a way that $W_{1}\left(=V_{1}\right)$ denotes the trivial one (as we will do in this paper) then $n_{1}=1$ and $B_{1} \sim J S_{G}$.

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and consider the associated regular covering map $\pi_{H}$ : $S \rightarrow S_{H}$. It was proved in 10 that the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G$ induces the following isogeny decomposition of $J S_{H}$ :

$$
J S_{H} \sim B_{1}^{n_{1}^{H}} \times \cdots \times B_{r}^{n_{r}^{H}} \quad \text { with } \quad n_{l}^{H}=d_{V_{l}}^{H} / s_{V_{l}}
$$

where $d_{V_{l}}^{H}$ stands for the dimension of the vector subspace $V_{l}^{H}$ of $V_{l}$ consisting of those elements fixed under $H$.

The isogeny above provides a criterion to identify if a factor in the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G$ is isogenous to the Jacobian variety of a quotient of $S$ or isogenous to the Prym variety of an intermediate covering of $\pi_{G}$. More precisely, if two subgroups $H \leq H^{\prime}$ of $G$ satisfy

$$
d_{V_{i}}^{H}-d_{V_{i}}^{H^{\prime}}=s_{V_{i}}
$$

for some fixed $2 \leq i \leq r$ and

$$
d_{V_{l}}^{H}-d_{V_{l}}^{H^{\prime}}=0
$$

for all $l \neq i$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{l}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
B_{i} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{H} \rightarrow S_{H^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Furthermore if, in addition, the genus of $S_{H^{\prime}}$ is zero then $B_{i} \sim J S_{H}$. See also [22].
Assume that $\left(\gamma ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$ is the signature of the action of $G$ on $S$ and that this action is represented by the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\theta: \Delta\left(\gamma ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right) \rightarrow G
$$

with $\Delta\left(\gamma ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$ as in (2.2). Following [37, Theorem 5.12], for $2 \leq i \leq r$, the dimension of $B_{i}$ in (2.4) is given by

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{i}\right)=k_{V_{i}}\left[d_{V_{i}}(\gamma-1)+\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{k=1}^{l}\left(d_{V_{i}}-d_{V_{i}}^{\left\langle\theta\left(x_{k}\right)\right\rangle}\right)\right]
$$

where $k_{V_{i}}$ is the degree of the extension $\mathbb{Q} \leq L_{V_{i}}$ with $L_{V_{i}}$ denoting a minimal field of definition for $V_{i}$.

The decomposition of Jacobian varieties with group actions has been extensively studied, going back to contributions of Wirtinger, Schottky and Jung; see 39] and 42. For decompositions of Jacobians with respect to special groups, we refer to the articles [2], 9], [14], 17], 18], [21], [28], [29], [31], [35], [36] and [38].

Notation. We denote by $C_{n}$ the cyclic group of order $n$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1

Let $q \geqslant 7$ be a prime number.

Existence. Assume that $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and choose $r$ and $s$ to be primitive third roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{q^{2}}$ respectively. Then the groups

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{2}=\left\langle a, t: a^{q^{2}}=t^{3}=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{s}\right\rangle \\
G_{3}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

exist and do not depend on the choice of $r$ and $s$. Meanwhile, the group

$$
G_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

exists for each prime number $q$. Note that the equality

$$
2\left(\left(q^{2}+1\right)-1\right)=3 q^{2}\left(-2+4\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)
$$

shows that the Riemann-Hurwitz formula is satisfied for a $3 q^{2}$-fold covering map from a Riemann surface of genus $q^{2}+1$ onto the projective line with four branch values marked with 3 . Thus, by Riemann's existence theorem, the existence of the families $\mathscr{C}_{g}, \mathscr{U}_{g}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{g}$ follow directly after noticing that the correspondences sending $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ to

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, a t^{2}, b^{-1} t^{2}\right),\left(t, t, a t^{2}, a^{-s} t^{2}\right) \text { and }\left(t, a t, b t^{2}, a^{-1} b^{-r} t^{2}\right)
$$

define surface-kernel epimorphisms from the Fuchsian group

$$
\Delta(0 ; 3,3,3,3)=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}: x_{1}^{3}=x_{2}^{3}=x_{3}^{3}=x_{4}^{3}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}=1\right\rangle
$$

onto $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ respectively.
Signatures. Let $S$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $1+q^{2}$ endowed with an action of a group $G$ of order $3 q^{2}$. We claim that, if $q \geqslant 11$ then the possible signatures for action of $G$ on $S$ are $(1 ; 3)$ and $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. If $q=7$ then, in addition to the previous ones, the signature can be $(0 ; 7,7,21)$.

Suppose the signature of the action of $G$ on $S$ to be $\left(\gamma ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)$. Then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{3}=2 \gamma-2+l-\Sigma_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{m_{j}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $\gamma=0$ or 1 . In the latter case it is straightforward to see that $l=1$ and $m_{1}=3$. So, we assume $\gamma=0$ and therefore (3.1) turns into

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{m_{j}}=l-\frac{8}{3} .
$$

As each $m_{j} \geqslant 3$ we see that $l=3$ or 4 . For $l=4$ we have

$$
\Sigma_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{m_{j}}=\frac{4}{3} \text { and therefore the signature is }(0 ; 3,3,3,3) .
$$

For $l=3$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{m_{j}}=\frac{1}{3} \text { and therefore } m_{j} \geqslant q \text { for each } j . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
(1) if $q \geqslant 11$ then the left-hand side of (3.2) is at most $3 / 11$; a contradiction.
(2) if $q=7$ then the $m_{1}=m_{2}=7$ and $m_{3}=21$.

Uniqueness. Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order $3 q^{2}$. By the classical Sylow's theorems, $G$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product $Q \rtimes C_{3}$ where $Q$ has order $q^{2}$. Observe that if $Q$ is isomorphic to $C_{q^{2}}$ then $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $G$ is isomorphic $G_{2}$.

We now assume that $Q \cong C_{q}^{2}$ and therefore $G$ admits a presentation

$$
G=\left\langle a, b, t .: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{n} b^{m}, t b t^{-1}=a^{u} b^{v}\right\rangle
$$

for suitable $0 \leqslant n, m, u, v<q$. We have two cases to consider.
Case 1. Assume that $t a t^{-1} \in\langle a\rangle$. If $t a t^{-1}=a$ then, as $t$ has order three and as $[t, b] \neq 1$, we notice that $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $t b t^{-1}=a^{u} b^{r}$ for some $0 \leqslant u<q$. We can assume $u=0$; in fact, otherwise, define

$$
\hat{a}:=a, \hat{b}:=a^{u(r-1)^{-1}} b
$$

and note that $t \hat{a} t^{-1}=\hat{a}$ and $t \hat{b} t^{-1}=(\hat{b})^{r}$. It follows that $G$ is isomorphic to

$$
H_{2}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=[a, t]=1, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}\right\rangle
$$

provided that $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$.
If $t a t^{-1}=a^{r}$ then $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $t b t^{-1}$ equals either $b^{r}, a^{u} b$, or $a^{u} b^{r^{2}}$ for some $1 \leqslant u<q$. In the first case $G$ is isomorphic to $G_{3}$. In the second case, if

$$
\hat{a}:=a^{u(1-r)^{-1}} b, \hat{b}:=a
$$

then $t \hat{a} t^{-1}=\hat{a}$ and $t \hat{b} t^{-1}=(\hat{b})^{r}$; thus, $G$ is isomorphic to $H_{2}$. In the last case define

$$
\hat{a}:=a, \hat{b}:=a^{u\left(r^{2}-r\right)^{-1}} b
$$

and note that $t \hat{a} t^{-1}=(\hat{a})^{r}$ and $t \hat{b} t^{-1}=(\hat{b})^{r^{2}}$; thus, $G$ is isomorphic to

$$
H_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r^{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

Case 2. Assume $t a t^{-1} \notin\langle a\rangle$. Then we can assume $t a t^{-1}=b$ and therefore $t b t^{-1}=$ $(a b)^{-1}$, or $t b t^{-1}=a^{\epsilon} b^{-\epsilon^{2}}$ provided that $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, where $\epsilon$ is a primitive third root of -1 in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$. In the latter case write

$$
\hat{a}:=a^{\left(\epsilon^{2}-2 \epsilon\right) /(1+\epsilon)} b \text { and } \hat{b}:=a^{\epsilon} b^{(1-2 \epsilon) /(1+\epsilon)}
$$

and note that $t \hat{a} t^{-1}=\hat{b}$ and $t \hat{b} t^{-1}=(\hat{a} \hat{b})^{-1}$; thus, $G$ is isomorphic to $G_{1}$.
In brief, if $G$ has order $3 q^{2}$ and is non-abelian, then:
(a) if $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ then $G$ is isomorphic to either $H_{1}, H_{2}, G_{2}$ or $G_{3}$.
(b) if $q \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $G_{1}$.

Once the possible non-abelian abstract groups have been determined, we proceed to study each possible signature separately.

Signature ( $1 ; 3$ ). Clearly, an abelian group cannot act with this signature. Besides, the commutator subgroup of each of the aforementioned non-abelian groups does not have elements of order three. Thus, this signature cannot be realized.

Signature $(0 ; 7,7,21)$ for $q=7$. As $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}$ and $H_{2}$ cannot be generated by two elements of order seven, we see that $G$ is necessarily abelian; then, isomorphic to
$C_{3} \times C_{49}$ or $C_{3} \times C_{7}^{2}$. However, these groups cannot be generated by two elements of order 7 with product of order 21. Thus, this signature cannot be realized.

Signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. Note that $H_{2}$ and each abelian group of order $3 q^{2}$ cannot be generated by elements of order 3 . On the other hand, if $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ then the map

$$
a \mapsto a b^{-r}, b \mapsto a b^{-r^{2}}
$$

defines a group isomorphism between $H_{1}$ and $G_{1}$. Thus, if $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ then $S$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{g}, \mathscr{U}_{g}$ or $\mathscr{V}_{g}$, and if $q \equiv-1 \bmod 3$ then $S$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{g}$.

The proof of the theorem is done.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2, 3 and 4

For each surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\theta: \Delta(0 ; 3,3,3,3) \rightarrow G_{j} \text { for } j=1,2,3
$$

representing an action of $G_{j}$ on a compact Riemann surface $S$, we write

$$
g_{i}:=\theta\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \text { for each } \quad i=1,2,3,4,
$$

and, for the sake of simplicity, we identify $\theta$ with the 4 -uple or generating vector

$$
\theta=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right) .
$$

Note that the groups $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ have two conjugacy classes of elements of order three, represented by $t$ and $t^{2}$. Then, as $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$ have order three and as the product of them must be 1 , we see that among them there are exactly two that are conjugate to $t$. Moreover, after considering an inner automorphism of the group, we can assume $g_{1}=t$, as we shall do in the sequel.

We record here that, by classical results on inclusions of Fuchsian groups due to Singerman (see [40, Theorem 1]), the action of a group of order $d$ on a Riemann surface with signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$ can be possibly extended to an action of a group of order $2 d$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$, and this action, in turn, can be possibly extended to a maximal action of a group of order $4 d$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,2,3)$.

We shall use repeatedly this fact in what follows.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$. We recall that $S$ admits an action of

$$
G_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

with signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. Assume that the action of $G_{1}$ on $S$ is given by

$$
\theta=\left(t, a^{u} b^{v} t^{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}\right) \text { for some } u, v \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}
$$

and that $g_{4}$ is conjugate to $t$. We have three cases to consider:
Type 1: $u=v=0$. In this case $\theta$ is of the form

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, a^{n} b^{m} t^{2}, a^{m} b^{m-n} t\right) \text { for some } m, n \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\} .
$$

Note that if $m=0$ then $n \neq 0$ and therefore, by sending $a$ and $b$ to an appropriate power of themselves, we obtain that $\theta$ is equivalent to

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, a t^{2}, b^{-1} t^{2}\right)
$$

Analogously, if $m \neq 0$ then $\theta$ is equivalent to the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\left(t, t^{2}, a^{n} b t^{2}, a b^{1-n} t\right) \text { for some } n \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}
$$

Thus, there are at most $q+1$ pairwise non-equivalent surface-kernel epimorphisms of type 1.

Type 2: $u \neq 0$ and $v=0$ or $u=0$ and $v \neq 0$. In this case, we can assume $u=1$ and $v=1$ respectively and therefore $\theta$ is equivalent to either

$$
\text { type 2A : }\left(t, a t^{2}, a^{n} b^{m} t^{2}, a^{m+1} b^{m-n+1} t\right) \text { where } n, m \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\} .
$$

or
type 2B: $\left(t, b t^{2}, a^{n} b^{m} t^{2}, a^{m-1} b^{m-n} t\right)$ where $n, m \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$.
Thus, there are at most $2 q^{2}$ pairwise non-equivalent surface-kernel epimorphisms of type 2.

Type 3: $u, v \neq 0$. In this case we can assume $v=1$, and then $\theta$ is equivalent to

$$
\left(t, a^{l} b t^{2}, a^{n} b^{m} t^{2}, a^{l+m-1} b^{l-n+m} t\right)
$$

where $l \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$ and $n, m \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. Thus, there are at most $q^{2}(q-1)$ pairwise non-equivalent surface-kernel epimorphisms of type 3 .

All the above says that $\mathscr{C}_{q}$ consists of at most $q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$ strata.
We now prove that, up to finitely many exceptions, the full automorphism group of $S$ is one of the possibilities stated in the theorem.

Claim 1. There are actions of $G_{1}$ that extend to actions of $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $\hat{G}_{1}$.
Consider the surface-kernel epimorphism $\Theta_{i}: \Delta(0 ; 2,2,3,3) \rightarrow H_{i}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=a z, \Theta_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=z b, \Theta_{1}\left(y_{3}\right)=(a b)^{-1} t^{2} \text { and } \Theta_{1}\left(y_{4}\right)=t \\
& \Theta_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=a^{-1} b z, \Theta_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=z, \Theta_{2}\left(y_{3}\right)=a b^{-1} t^{2} \text { and } \Theta_{2}\left(y_{4}\right)=t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The subgroup of $\Delta(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$ generated by

$$
\hat{x}_{1}:=y_{3}, \hat{x}_{2}:=y_{4}, \hat{x}_{3}:=y_{1} y_{3} y_{1} \text { and } \hat{x}_{4}:=y_{1} y_{4} y_{1}
$$

is a Fuchsian group of signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. In addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)=(a b)^{-1} t^{2}, \Theta_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=t, \Theta_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)=a^{3} b^{2} t^{2} \text { and } \Theta_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)=a b^{-1} t, \\
& \Theta_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)=a b^{-1} t^{2}, \Theta_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=t, \Theta_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)=a^{-1} b^{4} t \text { and } \Theta_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)=a^{-3} t^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that the restriction

$$
\left.\Theta_{i}\right|_{\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle}:\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle \cong \Delta(0 ; 3,3,3,3) \rightarrow\langle a, b, t\rangle \cong G_{1}
$$

is equivalent to a surface-kernel epimorphism $\theta$ of type 2B for $i=1$ and of type 3 for $i=2$. It follows that there are two equisymmetric strata whose members admit an action of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ respectively, with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$.

Now, consider the surface-kernel epimorphism $\Theta: \Delta(0 ; 2,2,2,3) \rightarrow \hat{G}_{1}$ defined by

$$
\Theta\left(y_{1}\right)=a z, \Theta\left(y_{2}\right)=z w, \Theta\left(y_{3}\right)=w t \text { and } \Theta\left(y_{4}\right)=(a t)^{-1}
$$

The subgroup of $\Delta(0 ; 2,2,2,3)$ generated by

$$
\hat{x}_{1}:=y_{3} y_{4} y_{3}, \hat{x}_{2}:=y_{4}, \hat{x}_{3}:=y_{1} y_{3} y_{4} y_{3} y_{1} \text { and } \hat{x}_{4}:=y_{1} y_{4} y_{1}
$$

is a Fuchsian group of signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. In addition

$$
\Theta\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)=a^{-1} t, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=a b t^{2}, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)=a^{2} b^{-1} t \text { and } \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)=a t^{2}
$$

showing that the restriction

$$
\left.\Theta\right|_{\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle}:\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle \cong \Delta(0 ; 3,3,3,3) \rightarrow\langle a, b, t\rangle \cong G_{1}
$$

is equivalent to a surface-kernel epimorphism $\theta$ of type 3 . It follows that there is an equisymmetric stratum whose members admit an action of $\hat{G}_{1}$ with signature ( $0 ; 2,2,2,3$ ).

This proves the claim.
Claim 2. Assume that an action of $G_{1}$ on $S$ extends to an action of a group $H$ of order $6 q^{2}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$. Then $H \cong H_{1}$ or $H \cong H_{2}$.

Let $P=\langle t\rangle$ and let $K=\langle z\rangle$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H$. Observe that $P$ is a normal subgroup of $P K$ and therefore $z t z=t$ or $z t z=t^{-1}$.

Assume $z t z=t$. We write $z a z=a^{n} b^{m}$ and observe that the equalities

$$
t(z a z) t^{-1}=z\left(t a t^{-1}\right) z=z b z \text { and } t(z a z) t^{-1}=t\left(a^{n} b^{m}\right) t^{-1}=b^{n}(a b)^{-m}
$$

show that $z b z=a^{-m} b^{n-m}$. But, as $z$ has order two, we obtain that $n= \pm 1$ and $m=0$. It follows that either

$$
z a z=a, z b z=b \text { or } z a z=a^{-1}, z b z=b^{-1} .
$$

The former case must be disregarded since the corresponding group has only one involution and therefore cannot act with signature ( $0 ; 2,2,3,3$ ). The latter one is $H_{1}$.

Assume $z t z=t^{-1}$. We write $z a z=a^{n} b^{m}$ and -by arguing as in the previous casewe notice that $z b z=a^{m-n} b^{-n}$. In addition, as $z$ has order two, we obtain that

$$
m^{2}-m n+n^{2}=1
$$

Thus $(n, m) \in\{(1,0),(1,1),(0,1),(0,-1),(-1,0),(-1,-1)\}$. A routine computation shows that, for each case, it is possible to find elements $A$ and $B$ of order $q$ such that

$$
t A t^{-1}=B, t B t^{-1}=(A B)^{-1}, z A z=B \text { and } z B z=A .
$$

Hence, $H$ is isomorphic to $H_{2}$ and the proof of the claim is done.
Claim 3. Assume that the action of $G_{1}$ on $S$ extends to the action of a group $\hat{G}$ of order $12 q^{2}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,2,3)$. Then $\hat{G} \cong \hat{G}_{1}$.

Let $P=\langle t\rangle, Q=\langle a, b\rangle$ and $K$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\hat{G}$. Notice that $Q$ is a normal subgroup of $\hat{G}$. If $\hat{G} / Q$ is abelian or isomorphic to the alternating group then $Q K$ is a normal subgroup of $\hat{G}$ and therefore the involutions of $\hat{G}$ are contained in $Q K$; this
contradicts the fact that $\hat{G}$ can be generated by involutions. In addition, as $\hat{G} / Q$ is not isomorphic to the alternating group, we see that $G_{1}=Q P$ is a normal subgroup of $\hat{G}$.

Besides, we notice that $K \cong C_{2}^{2}$ since otherwise if we write $K=\langle d\rangle$ then the normal subgroup $\left\langle d^{2}\right\rangle G_{1}$ would contain all the involutions of $\hat{G}$. Let us write

$$
K=\left\langle z, w: z^{2}=w^{2}=(z w)^{2}=1\right\rangle .
$$

Note that, as $P$ is normal in $P K$ and $P K$ is non-abelian, we have that $\left|P K / \mathbf{C}_{P K}(P)\right| \neq$ 2, where $\mathbf{C}_{P K}(P)$ denotes the centralizer of $P$ in $P K$. Thus, we can write

$$
[z, t]=1 \text { and } w t w=t^{-1}
$$

We now argue as done in Claim 2 to ensure that:
(1) As $[z, t]=1$ we have that $z a z=a^{-1}, z b z=b^{-1}$ or $z a z=a, z b z=b$.
(2) As $w t w=t^{-1}$ we have that $w a w=b$ and $w b w=a$.

Finally, the latter possibility in (1) must be disregarded, since the subgroup $\langle a, b, t, z\rangle$ of order $6 q^{2}$ cannot act with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$. The proof of the claim is done.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $S \in \mathscr{U}_{g}$. We recall that $S$ admits an action of

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle a, t: a^{q^{2}}=t^{3}=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{s}\right\rangle
$$

where $s$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^{2}}$, with signature ( $0 ; 3,3,3,3$ ). Assume that the action of $G_{2}$ on $S$ is given by

$$
\theta=\left(t, a^{v} t, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)
$$

for some $v \in\left\{0, \ldots, q^{2}-1\right\}$. We have three cases to consider:
Type 1. If $v=0$ then $\theta$ is of the form

$$
\left(t, t, a^{n} t^{2}, a^{-n s} t^{2}\right) \text { where } 1 \leqslant n<q^{2}
$$

with $n$ and $q^{2}$ coprime (otherwise $\theta$ is not surjective). Then, by passing to an appropriate power of $a$ we can assume $n=1$ and therefore $\theta$ is equivalent to

$$
\theta_{1}=\left(t, t, a t^{2}, a^{-s} t^{2}\right)
$$

Type 2. If $v=k q$ for some $k \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ then $\theta$ is of the form

$$
\left(t, a^{k q} t, a^{n} t^{2}, a^{-n s-k q} t^{2}\right) \text { where } 1 \leqslant n<q^{2}
$$

with $n$ and $q^{2}$ are coprime. Then, by passing to an appropriate power of $a$ we can assume $k=1$ and therefore $\theta$ is equivalent to

$$
\theta_{2, m}=\left(t, a^{q} t, a^{m} t^{2}, a^{-m s-q} t^{2}\right) \text { for some } 1 \leqslant m<q^{2}
$$

with $m$ and $q^{2}$ coprime; then, there are at most $q^{2}-q$ non-equivalent surface-kernel epimorphisms of this type.

Type 3. If $v$ is different from 0 and is not a multiple of $q$ then we can assume $v=1$ and therefore $\theta$ is equivalent to the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\theta_{3, n}=\left(t, a t, a^{n} t^{2}, a^{-1-n s} t^{2}\right) \text { where } 0 \leqslant n<q^{2}
$$

Thus, there are at most $q^{2}$ non-equivalent surface-kernel epimorphisms of this type.
All the above says that there are at most $2 q^{2}-q+1$ strata.
Consider the surface-kernel epimorphism $\Theta: \Delta(0 ; 2,2,3,3) \rightarrow \hat{G}_{2}$ defined by

$$
\Theta\left(y_{1}\right)=a z, \Theta\left(y_{2}\right)=z, \Theta\left(y_{3}\right)=t \text { and } \Theta\left(y_{4}\right)=a^{-s^{2}} t^{2}
$$

The elements

$$
\hat{x}_{1}:=y_{3}, \hat{x}_{2}:=y_{4}, \hat{x}_{3}:=y_{1} y_{3} y_{1} \text { and } \hat{x}_{4}:=y_{1} y_{4} y_{1}
$$

generate a Fuchsian group of signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. In addition

$$
\Theta\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)=t, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=a^{-s^{2}} t^{2}, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)=a^{1-s} t \text { and } \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)=a t^{2}
$$

showing that the restriction

$$
\left.\Theta\right|_{\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle}:\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle \cong \Delta(0 ; 3,3,3,3) \rightarrow\langle a, t\rangle \cong G_{2}
$$

is equivalent to a surface-kernel epimorphism $\theta$ of type 3 . It follows that there is an equisymmetric stratum whose members admit an action of $\hat{G}_{2}$ with signature ( $0 ; 2,2,3,3$ ).

Claim 1. Assume that the action of $G_{2}$ on $S$ extends to the action of a group $\hat{G}$ of order $6 q^{2}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$. Then $\hat{G} \cong \hat{G}_{2}$.

Observe that $\hat{G}$ is isomorphic to

$$
G_{2} \rtimes\left\langle z: z^{2}=1\right\rangle \text { where } z a z=a^{ \pm 1}, z t z=a^{n} t
$$

for some $n$. Note that if $z a z=a$ then $z$ is the unique involution and, consequently, the group cannot act with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$. Now, consider $t^{\prime}=a^{n / 2} t$ to see that $n$ can be chosen to be zero. The proof of the claim is done.

Claim 2. Each action of $\hat{G}_{2}$ on $S$ does not extend to any action of a group of order $12 q^{2}$ with signature ( $0 ; 2,2,2,3$ ).

To prove the claim we proceed by contradiction; namely, we assume the existence of a group $H$ of order $12 q^{2}$ such that:
(1) $H$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\hat{G}_{2}$ and
(2) $H$ is generated by three involutions.

Observe that if $K$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H$ then $K \cong C_{2}^{2}$. Indeed, as $\hat{G}_{2}$ has elements of order two and is normal in $H$, if $K$ were cyclic then the involutions of $H$ would be pairwise conjugate and this, in turn, would imply that $H$ cannot be generated by involutions.

On the other hand, as $Q=\langle a\rangle$ is the unique Sylow $q$-subgroup of $H$, we see that $Q$ is normal in $H$ and the subset $Q K$ turns into a subgroup of $H$. Note that $Q K$ is non-normal in $H$ since otherwise all the involutions of $H$ would belong to $Q K$.

Let $P=\langle t\rangle$ and write $L=P K$. Then, up to a conjugation, we can assume $H / Q \cong L$. Note that $L$ has order 12 and that $P$ is normal in $L$ (otherwise, $K$ is normal in $L$ and therefore $Q K$ would be normal in $H$ ). Without loss of generality, we can assume that
$z \in K$. We now choose an involution $w \in H$ in such a way that $K=\langle z, w\rangle$. Note that $[t, w] \neq 1$, because $[t, z]=1$ and $K$ is non-normal in $L$. Moreover, as $P$ is normal in $L$, we see that

$$
w t w=t^{-1} .
$$

Finally, as $Q$ is normal in $H$, we note that either

$$
w a w^{-1}=a^{-1} \text { or } w a w^{-1}=a .
$$

As $t a t^{-1}=a^{s}$ we see that:
(1) in the first case $\operatorname{Aut}(\langle a\rangle)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\langle w, t\rangle \cong \mathbf{S}_{3}$;
(2) in the second case $(z w) a(z w)^{-1}=a^{-1}$ and therefore $\operatorname{Aut}(\langle a\rangle)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\langle z w, t\rangle \cong \mathbf{S}_{3}$.
The contradiction is obtained due to the fact that $\operatorname{Aut}(\langle a\rangle)$ is abelian.
Proof of Theorem 4. Let $S \in \mathscr{V}_{g}$. We recall that $S$ admits an action of

$$
G_{3}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}\right\rangle
$$

where $r$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$, acting with signature $(0 ; 3,3,3,3)$. Assume that the action of $G_{3}$ on $S$ is given by the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\theta=\left(t, a^{n} b^{m} t, g_{3}, g_{4}\right) \text { for some } n, m \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}
$$

We have two cases to consider:
(a) $n \neq 0$ and $m=0$ (this case is equivalent to the one in which $m \neq 0$ and $n=0$ by considering the automorphisms of $G$ given by $a \mapsto b, b \mapsto a$ )
(b) $m$ and $n$ both different from zero (otherwise $\theta$ is not surjective)

By sending $a$ and $b$ to appropriate power of themselves, we obtain that $\theta$ is equivalent to one of the following the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\left(t, a t, g_{3}, g_{4}\right) \text { or }\left(t, a b t, g_{3}, g_{4}\right)
$$

These two epimorphisms are, in turn, equivalent under the action of $a \mapsto a b, b \mapsto b$. So, we can assume $\theta$ to be equivalent to

$$
\left(t, a t, a^{u} b^{v} t^{2}, a^{-1-r u} b^{-r v} t^{2}\right) \text { for some } 0 \leqslant u, v \leqslant q-1
$$

As $v \neq 0$ (otherwise $\theta$ is not surjective), again, by replacing $b$ by an appropriate power of it, we can assume $\theta$ to be equivalent to

$$
\theta_{u}:=\left(t, a t, a^{u} b t^{2}, a^{-1-r u} b^{-r} t^{2}\right) \text { for some } u \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\} .
$$

Note that the automorphism of $G$ given by $a \mapsto a, b \mapsto a b$ identifies $\theta_{u}$ with $\theta_{u+1}$; hence each $\theta$ is equivalent to the surface-kernel epimorphism

$$
\theta_{0}=\left(t, a t, b t^{2}, a^{-1} b^{-r} t^{2}\right)
$$

Claim 1. The action of $G_{3}$ extends to an action of $\hat{G}_{3}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,3,3)$.
Consider the surface-kernel epimorphism $\Theta: \Delta(0 ; 2,2,3,3) \rightarrow \hat{G}_{3}$ defined by

$$
\Theta\left(y_{1}\right)=b z, \Theta\left(y_{2}\right)=a^{r} b z, \Theta\left(y_{3}\right)=t \text { and } \Theta\left(y_{4}\right)=a t^{2}
$$

and notice that

$$
\hat{x}_{1}:=y_{3}, \hat{x}_{2}:=y_{4}, \hat{x}_{3}:=y_{1} y_{3} y_{1} \text { and } \hat{x}_{4}:=y_{1} y_{4} y_{1}
$$

generate a Fuchsian group of signature ( $0 ; 3,3,3,3$ ). In addition

$$
\Theta\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)=t, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)=a t^{2}, \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)=b^{1-r} t \text { and } \Theta\left(\hat{x}_{4}\right)=a^{-1} b^{1-r^{2}} t^{2}
$$

showing that the restriction of $\Theta$ to $\left\langle\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}, \hat{x}_{4}\right\rangle$ defines a surface-kernel epimorphism which is, as the family consists of only one stratum, equivalent to $\theta_{0}$.

Claim 2. The action of $\hat{G}_{3}$ on $S$ does not extend to any action of a group of order $12 q^{2}$ with signature $(0 ; 2,2,2,3)$

To prove the claim we proceed by contradiction; namely, we assume the existence of a group $H$ of order $12 q^{2}$ such that:
(1) $H$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\hat{G}_{3}=\langle a, b, t, z\rangle$, and
(2) $H$ is generated by three involutions.

We now argue similarly as done in the case of the family $\mathscr{U}_{g}$. Let $K$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H$ and let $Q=\langle a, b\rangle$. Then $K \cong C_{2}^{2}$ and $Q K$ is a non-normal subgroup of $H$. If we write $P=\langle t\rangle$ and $L=P K$ then we can assume that $H / Q \cong L$ and that $P$ is normal in $L$. Up to conjugation, we can suppose that $z \in K$ and that $K=\langle z, w\rangle$ for some involution $w \in H$. In addition, as $P$ is normal in $L$ and $K$ is non-normal in $L$, we see that

$$
w t w=t^{-1} .
$$

As $Q$ is normal in $L$ and $w^{2}=1$, one of the following statements holds:

$$
[w, a]=1, \quad \text { waw }=a^{-1} \quad \text { or } \quad w a w \in Q \backslash\langle a\rangle .
$$

In the first case, we see that

$$
a^{r}=w a^{r} w=w\left(t a t^{-1}\right) w=(w t w) a\left(w t^{-1} w\right)=t^{-1} a t=a^{r^{2}} ;
$$

a contradiction. In the second case we proceed analogously but considering $\hat{w}:=w z$ instead of $w$; namely:

$$
a^{r}=\hat{w} a^{r} \hat{w}=\hat{w}\left(t a t^{-1}\right) \hat{w}=(\hat{w} t \hat{w}) a\left(\hat{w} t^{-1} \hat{w}\right)=t^{-1} a t=a^{r^{2}} .
$$

Finally, if we assume that waw $\notin\langle a\rangle$ then $x:=a(w a w)$ is non-trivial, belongs to $Q$ (and therefore $t x t^{-1}=x^{r}$ ) and commutes with $w$. Thus

$$
x^{r}=w x^{r} w=w\left(t x t^{-1}\right) w=t^{-1}(w x w) t=(w x w)^{r^{2}}=x^{r^{2}} ;
$$

a contradiction. The proof of the claim is done.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 5

Throughout this section we write $\omega_{l}=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{l}\right)$ for each $l \geqslant 2$ integer.

The family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$. Up to equivalence, the complex irreducible representations of

$$
G_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

are: three of degree 1 , given by

$$
\chi_{k}: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 1, t \mapsto \omega_{3}^{k} \text { for } k=0,1,2,
$$

and $\frac{q^{2}-1}{3}$ of degree 3 , given by

$$
\chi_{i, j}: a \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{q}^{i}, \omega_{q}^{j}, \omega_{q}^{-i-j}\right), b \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{q}^{j}, \omega_{q}^{-i-j}, \omega_{q}^{i}\right), t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
(i, j) \in\left(\{0, \ldots, q-1\}^{2}-\{(0,0)\}\right) / R
$$

where $R$ is the relation given by $(i, j) R(j,-i-j) R(-i-j, i)$.
We choose a set $\mathcal{P}$ of parameters $(i, j)$ in such a way that the set

$$
\left\{\chi_{i, j}:(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}\right\}
$$

consists of a maximal collection of pairwise non-Galois associated representations of degree 3 of $G_{1}$. Note that $G_{1}$ has $\frac{q+7}{3}$ conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups; thus, the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}$ is $\frac{q+1}{3}$.

Up to equivalence, the rational irreducible representations of $G_{1}$ are:

$$
W_{0}=\chi_{0}, W_{1}=\chi_{1} \oplus \chi_{2} \text { and } W_{i, j}=\oplus_{\sigma} \chi_{i, j}^{\sigma}
$$

for each $(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}$, where the sum $\oplus_{\sigma}$ runs over the Galois group associated to the character field of $\chi_{i, j}$, which has order $q-1$.

Let $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$. Then the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S \sim B_{W_{0}} \times B_{W_{1}} \times \Pi_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}} B_{W_{i, j}}^{3} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{W_{0}}=0$. We apply [37, Theorem 5.12] to ensure that, independently of the choice of the surface-kernel epimorphism representing the action of $G_{1}$ on $S$, the dimension of the abelian subvarieties in (5.1) are

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{1}}\right)=2 \text { and } \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{i, j}}\right)=q-1
$$

for each $(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}$. Now, by [10, Proposition 5.2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad J S_{\langle t\rangle} \sim \Pi_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}} B_{W_{i, j}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that (5.1) can be written as

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \times J S_{\langle t\rangle}^{3} .
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(J S_{\langle t\rangle}\right)=\Sigma_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}} \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{i, j}}\right)=\frac{q^{2}-1}{3}
$$

Now, if

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\{n: i n+j=0 \text { for some }(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}\}
$$

then $\left\{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle: n \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$ is a collection of maximal non-conjugate subgroups of $G_{1}$ of order $q$. Clearly, the cardinality of $\mathcal{N}$ is $\frac{q+1}{3}$. Again, by [10, Proposition 5.2], for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we see that

$$
J S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{i_{n}, j_{n}}}
$$

where $\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)$ in the unique element of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $i_{n}+n j_{n}=0$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{W_{i, j}} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \text { where } i+n j=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that each covering map $S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ in unbranched and $S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ is trigonal. The desired isogeny follows from the second isogeny of (5.2) together with (5.3).

The family $\mathscr{U}_{g}$. Up to equivalence, the complex irreducible representations of

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle a, t: a^{q^{2}}=t^{3}=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{s}\right\rangle
$$

are: three of degree 1 given by

$$
\chi_{k}: a \mapsto 1, t \mapsto w_{3}^{k} \text { for } k=0,1,2
$$

and $\frac{q^{2}-1}{3}$ of degree 3 given by

$$
V_{i}: a \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{q^{2}}^{i}, \omega_{q^{2}}^{i r^{2}}, \omega_{q^{2}}^{i r}\right), t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, q^{2}-1\right\} / R$ where $R$ is the relation given by $i \operatorname{Rir} \operatorname{Rir}^{2}$.
Up to equivalence, the rational irreducible representations of $G_{2}$ are

$$
W_{0}=\chi_{0}, W_{1}=\chi_{1} \oplus \chi_{2}, W_{2}=\oplus_{\sigma} V_{1}^{\sigma} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{3}=\oplus_{\sigma} V_{q}^{\sigma}
$$

where the sums $\oplus_{\sigma}$ are taken over the Galois group of the character field of $V_{1}$ and $V_{q}$, that have degree $\frac{q(q-1)}{3}$ and $\frac{q-1}{3}$ respectively.

If $S \in \mathscr{U}_{g}$ then the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S \sim B_{W_{0}} \times B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{2}}^{3} \times B_{W_{3}}^{3} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{W_{0}}=0$. We apply [37, Theorem 5.12] to ensure that, independently of the choice of the surface-kernel epimorphism representing the action of $G_{2}$ on $S$

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{1}}\right)=2, \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{2}}\right)=\frac{q(q-1)}{3} \text { and } \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{3}}\right)=\frac{q-1}{3}
$$

We now apply the results of [10, Proposition 5.2] to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{W_{1}} \sim J S_{\langle a\rangle} \text { and } B_{W_{2}} \times B_{W_{3}} \sim J S_{\langle t\rangle} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the desired decomposition is obtained. Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(J S_{\langle t\rangle}\right)=\frac{q(q-1)}{3}+\frac{q-1}{3}=\frac{q^{2}-1}{3}
$$

Furthermore, we notice that

$$
B_{W_{2}}^{3} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S \rightarrow S_{\left\langle a^{q}\right\rangle}\right) \text { and } B_{W_{3}} \sim J S_{\left\langle a^{q}, t\right\rangle}
$$

and therefore, by the second isogeny of (5.5), we obtain

$$
J S_{\langle t\rangle} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S \rightarrow S_{\left\langle a^{q}\right\rangle}\right) \times J S_{\left\langle a^{q}, t\right\rangle}^{3}
$$

The family $\mathscr{V}_{g}$. Up to equivalence, the complex irreducible representations of

$$
G_{3}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=a^{r}, t b t^{-1}=b^{r}\right\rangle
$$

are: three of degree 1 given by

$$
\chi_{k}: a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 1, t \mapsto w_{3}^{k} \text { for } k=0,1,2,
$$

and $\frac{q^{2}-1}{3}$ of degree 3 given by

$$
\chi_{i, j}: a \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{q}^{i}, \omega_{q}^{i r^{2}}, \omega_{q}^{i r}\right), b \mapsto \operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{q}^{j}, \omega_{q}^{j r^{2}}, \omega_{q}^{j r}\right), t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
(i, j) \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}^{2}-\{(0,0)\} / R
$$

where $R$ is the relation given by $(i, j) R(i r, j r) R\left(i r^{2}, j r^{2}\right)$.
Up to equivalence, the rational irreducible representations of $G_{3}$ are

$$
W_{0}=\chi_{0}, W_{1}=\chi_{1} \oplus \chi_{2}, W_{1,0}=\oplus_{\sigma} \chi_{1,0}^{\sigma}
$$

and

$$
W_{n, 1}=\oplus_{\sigma} \chi_{n, 1}^{\sigma} \text { for each } 0 \leqslant n \leqslant q-1
$$

where the sums $\oplus_{\sigma}$ are taken over the Galois group of the character field of $\chi_{1,0}$ and $\chi_{n, 1}$ (of order $\frac{q-1}{3}$ ). Note that $G_{3}$ has $q+3$ conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups.

If $S \in \mathscr{V}_{g}$ then the group algebra decomposition of $J S$ with respect to $G_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S \sim B_{W_{0}} \times B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{1,0}}^{3} \times \Pi_{n=0}^{q-1} B_{W_{n, 1}}^{3} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{W_{0}}=0$. We now apply [37, Theorem 5.12] to ensure that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{1}}\right)=2 \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{1,0}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{W_{n, 1}}\right)=\frac{q-1}{3}
$$

for each $0 \leqslant n \leqslant q-1$, and by [10, Proposition 5.2] we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
J S_{\langle a, b\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}}, \quad J S_{\langle b\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{1,0}}^{3}, \quad J S_{\langle a\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{0,1}}^{3} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
J S_{\left\langle a^{n} b\right\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1}} \times B_{W_{m, 1}}^{3}
$$

where $m$ is such that $m n+1=0$ for each $1 \leqslant n \leqslant q-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{W_{0,1}}^{3} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\langle a\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B_{W_{1,0}}^{3} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\langle b\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $n \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ and $m$ as before we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{W_{n, 1}}^{3} \sim \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle a^{m} b\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle a, b\rangle}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first isogeny decomposition of $J S$ follows from the first isogeny in (5.7) together with the isogenies (5.6), (5.8) and (5.9). The last statement follows the fact that

$$
J S_{\langle a, t\rangle} \sim B_{W_{0,1}}, J S_{\langle b, t\rangle} \sim B_{W_{1,0}} \text { and } J S_{\left\langle a^{n} b, t\right\rangle} \sim B_{W_{m, 1}}
$$

where $1 \leqslant n \leqslant q-1$ and $m$ as before.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 6

Let $\phi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ be a covering map between compact Riemann surfaces. We recall that $\phi$ induces two homomorphisms between the corresponding Jacobian varieties; namely, the norm and the pull-back

$$
N(\phi): J C \rightarrow J C^{\prime} \text { and } \psi^{*}: J C^{\prime} \rightarrow J C
$$

For later use, we also keep in mind the fact that if $\phi$ is regular, say given by the action of a group of automorphisms $H$ of $C$, then

$$
\phi^{*} \circ N(\phi): J C \rightarrow J C \text { is given by } z \mapsto \Sigma_{h \in H} h(z) .
$$

Let $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$. We recall that $S$ admits the action of

$$
G_{1}=\left\langle a, b, t: a^{q}=b^{q}=t^{3}=[a, b]=1, t a t^{-1}=b, t b t^{-1}=(a b)^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

Set $R:=S_{\langle a, b\rangle}$ and for each $n \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ consider the associated maps

$$
f_{n}: S \rightarrow R_{n}:=S_{\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle}, \pi_{n}: R_{n} \rightarrow R \text { and } \varphi: S \rightarrow T:=S_{\langle t\rangle} .
$$

Thus, analogously as done in [8], writing

$$
P_{n}:=\operatorname{Prym}\left(\pi_{n}\right), A_{n}:=f_{n}^{*}\left(P_{n}\right), C_{n}:=N(\varphi)\left(A_{n}\right) \text { and } B_{n}:=\varphi^{*}\left(C_{n}\right),
$$

we have that the following diagram

is commutative, where $D_{n}:=N\left(f_{n}\right)\left(B_{n}\right)$.
We apply [28, Proposition 2.2] to the commutative diagram

to ensure that $\varphi^{*}(J T)$ is an abelian subvariety of $\operatorname{Prym}(h)$. Then

$$
D_{n}=N\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\varphi^{*}\left(C_{n}\right)\right) \subset N\left(f_{n}\right)\left(\varphi^{*}(J T)\right) \subset N\left(f_{n}\right)(\operatorname{Prym}(h)) \subset P_{n},
$$

where the last inclusion follows from $N(h)=N\left(\pi_{n}\right) \circ N\left(f_{n}\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n} \circ f_{n}^{*}=f_{n}^{*} \circ\left(N\left(f_{n}\right) \circ \varphi^{*} \circ N(\varphi) \circ f_{n}^{*}\right), \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where where $\Phi_{n}: A_{n} \rightarrow A_{n}$ is defined by $\Phi_{n}(z)=\Sigma_{h \in\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle} h \circ\left(1+t+t^{2}\right)(z)$.
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Claim. The map

$$
N\left(f_{n}\right) \circ \varphi^{*} \circ N(\varphi) \circ f_{n}^{*}: P_{n} \rightarrow P_{n}
$$

is the multiplication by $q$.
To prove the claim we proceed analogously as done in the proof of [8, Proposition 3.3]. By the equality (6.1) and since $f_{n}^{*}$ is an isogeny, we only need to verify that $\Phi_{n}$ is the multiplication by $q$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(z)=\Sigma_{l=0}^{q-1}\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l}(z)+\Sigma_{l=0}^{q-1}\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l} t(z)+\Sigma_{l=0}^{q-1}\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l} t^{2}(z) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $z \in J S$. By [32, Corollary 2.7], we can write

$$
A_{n}=\left\{z \in J S: h(z)=z \text { for all } h \in\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle \text { and } \Sigma_{j=0}^{q-1} b^{j}(z)=0\right\}
$$

and therefore if $z \in A_{n}$ then the first summand in (6.2) equals $q z$. Besides

$$
\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l} t(z)=a^{l} b^{n l} t(z)=t a^{n l-l} b^{-l}(z)
$$

but $a b^{n}(z)=z$ implies that $\left(a b^{n}\right)^{n l-l}(z)=z$ and this, in turn, says that $a^{n l-l} b^{-l}(z)=$ $b^{-l\left(n^{2}-n+1\right)}(z)$; consequently

$$
\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l} t(z)=t b^{s}(z) \text { where } s:=-l\left(n^{2}-n+1\right)
$$

Due to the fact that the polynomial $x^{2}-x+1$ is irreducible provided that $q$ is not congruent to 1 modulo 3 , one sees that $s$ runs over $\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. Hence

$$
\Sigma_{l=0}^{q-1}\left(a b^{n}\right)^{l} t(z)=\Sigma_{s=0}^{q-1} t b^{s}(z)=t \Sigma_{s=0}^{q-1} b^{s}(z)=0
$$

where the latter equality follows from the fact that $z \in A_{n}$. Likewise, the third summand in the right-hand side of (6.2) equals zero, and the proof of the claim is done.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ which yields a maximal collection of pairwise non-conjugate subgroups of $G_{1}$ the form $\left\langle a b^{n}\right\rangle$, and consider the isogeny

$$
\alpha: \Pi_{n \in \mathcal{N}} P_{n} \rightarrow J T
$$

of Theorem 5 which is induced by the addition map. If we denote by $\beta=\left(N\left(f_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ and $\alpha_{n}=N(\varphi) \circ f_{n}^{*}$ then

$$
\beta \circ \varphi^{*} \circ \alpha=\Pi_{n \in \mathcal{N}}\left(N\left(f_{n}^{*}\right) \circ \varphi^{*} \circ \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

and therefore, by the claim, the map $\beta \circ \varphi^{*} \circ \alpha$ is the multiplication by $q$. It follows that the kernel of $\alpha$ is contained in the $q$-torsion points and the proof is done.

## 7. Some Remarks

7.1. A remark on the family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$. If $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $r$ is a primitive third root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ then the group $G_{1}$ of Theorem 1 is isomorphic to

$$
\left\langle\alpha, \beta, \tau: \alpha^{q}=\beta^{q}=\tau^{3}=1, \tau \alpha \tau^{-1}=\alpha^{r}, \tau \beta \tau^{-1}=\beta^{r^{2}}\right\rangle
$$

(see the proof of Theorem (1).
By using the same arguments employed to prove Theorems 1 and 2, the aforementioned presentation of $G_{1}$ permits us to prove that, if $q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, then:
(1) the family $\mathscr{C}_{g}$ actually consists of at most $q^{2}+2 q+1$ strata.
(2) if $S \in \mathscr{C}_{g}$ then

$$
J S \sim J S_{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle} \times J S_{\langle\tau\rangle}^{3}
$$

where $J S_{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}$ is an abelian surface and $J S_{\langle\tau\rangle}$ decomposes in terms of $\frac{q-1}{3}$ Prym varieties of the same dimension $q-1$ and two Jacobians of dimension $\frac{q-1}{3}$. Concretely

$$
J S_{\langle\tau\rangle} \sim J S_{\langle\alpha, \tau\rangle} \times J S_{\langle\beta, \tau\rangle} \times \Pi_{n} \operatorname{Prym}\left(S_{\left\langle\alpha \beta^{n}\right\rangle} \rightarrow S_{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}\right)
$$

where $n$ runs over a subset of $\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ which yields a maximal collection of pairwise non-conjugate subgroups of the form $\left\langle\alpha \beta^{n}\right\rangle$.
7.2. On the phrase up to finitely many exceptions in Theorem 2, 3 and 4. Let

$$
\Delta(0 ; 2,6,6)=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}: y_{1}^{2}=y_{2}^{6}=y_{3}^{6}=y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=1\right\rangle
$$

be a triangle Fuchsian group of signature $(0 ; 2,6,6)$, and consider the group

$$
\tilde{G}_{2}=\left\langle a, c, \omega: a^{q^{2}}=c^{6}=\omega^{2}=1, c a c^{-1}=a^{\epsilon},[\omega, a]=[\omega, c]=1\right\rangle
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a primitive sixth root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^{2}}$.
Note that $\tilde{G}_{2}$ has order $12 q^{2}$ and the rule $\eta: \Delta(0 ; 2,6,6) \mapsto \tilde{G}_{2}$ given by

$$
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\omega c^{3}, a^{-\epsilon^{2}} c^{2} \omega, a c\right)
$$

is a surface-kernel epimorphism of type $(0 ; 2,6,6)$. It follows that $\eta$ guarantees the existence of a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $1+q^{2}$ with an action of $\tilde{G}_{2}$ given by $\eta$.

To see that $X$ belongs to $\mathscr{U}_{g}$ it is enough to consider the action on $X$ of the subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{2}$ given by $\left\langle a, b:=c^{2}\right\rangle \cong G_{2}$. In conclusion, $X \in \mathscr{U}_{g}$ and $X$ has strictly more automorphisms than $6 q^{2}$.
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