

THOM SPECTRA, HIGHER THH AND TENSORS IN ∞ -CATEGORIES

NIMA RASEKH, BRUNO STONEK, AND GABRIEL VALENZUELA

ABSTRACT. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be a map of E_∞ -groups, where $\text{Pic}(R)$ denotes the Picard space of an E_∞ -ring spectrum R . We determine the tensor $X \otimes_R Mf$ of the Thom E_∞ - R -algebra Mf with a space X ; when X is the circle, the tensor with X is topological Hochschild homology over R . We use the theory of localizations of ∞ -categories as a technical tool: we contribute to this theory an ∞ -categorical analogue of Day’s reflection theorem about closed symmetric monoidal structures on localizations, and we prove that for a smashing localization L of the ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories, the free L -local presentable ∞ -category on a small simplicial set K is given by presheaves on K valued on the L -localization of the ∞ -category of spaces.

If X is a pointed space, a map $g : A \rightarrow B$ of E_∞ -ring spectra *satisfies X -base change* if $X \otimes B$ is the pushout of $A \rightarrow X \otimes A$ along g . Building on a result of Mathew, we prove that if g is étale then it satisfies X -base change provided X is connected. We prove that, under some hypotheses, the Thom isomorphism of Mahowald cannot be an instance of S^0 -base change.

1. INTRODUCTION

Topological Hochschild homology (THH) of E_∞ -ring spectra R is equivalent to $S^1 \otimes R$, the tensor of R with the circle S^1 [MSV97]. Tensors of E_∞ -ring spectra with other spaces also give interesting invariants. For example, consider tensoring with S^n for $n \geq 2$. For ordinary rings, this was first considered by Pirashvili [Pir00], who called it “higher order Hochschild homology” and used it in relation to Hodge decompositions. One can also consider tensoring with tori T^n . In this case, the action of T^n on $T^n \otimes R$ leads to a higher version of topological cyclic homology. In analogy to the $n = 1$ case, it is expected to bear a connection to n -fold iterated algebraic K -theory. See [BCD10], [CDD11].

Sometimes, it is actually easier not to focus on the specific case of $THH(R)$ but instead look at the more general tensors $X \otimes R$. In this paper, we provide an example of this strategy. We will describe the tensors of a space X with Thom E_∞ -ring spectra Mf . Examples of the latter include various versions of cobordism spectra like complex cobordism MU or periodic complex cobordism MUP . More precisely, we will prove:

Theorem 4.11. *Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum. Let G be an E_∞ -group and $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be an E_∞ -map. Let X be a pointed space. There is an equivalence of E_∞ - R -algebras*

$$X \otimes_R Mf \simeq Mf \wedge S[X \odot G].$$

Here Pic denotes the Picard E_∞ -group of R , \wedge denotes the smash product of spectra, \otimes_R denotes the tensor of E_∞ - R -algebras over spaces, \odot denotes the tensor of E_∞ -groups (also known as grouplike E_∞ -spaces) over pointed spaces, and $S[X \odot G]$ denotes the suspension spectrum of $(X \odot G)_+$ considered as an E_∞ -ring spectrum. This reduces the calculation of the homotopy groups of $X \otimes_R Mf$ to that of the unreduced Mf -homology groups of $X \odot G$, which is often simpler. For example, in [BCS10] the authors determined $THH(Mf)$ as a spectrum, and used Hopkins–Mahowald’s interpretation of the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra $H\mathbb{Z}$ and $H\mathbb{F}_p$ as Thom spectra to give a new computation of their topological Hochschild homology groups, originally computed by Bökstedt in his foundational manuscript [Bök85].

As a consequence of the result above, we get a generalization of the Thom isomorphism theorem of Mahowald [Mah79], which would here take the form $Mf \wedge_R Mf \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[G]$. Indeed, that result is obtained by setting $X = *$ in the equivalence

$$Mf \wedge_R (X \otimes_R Mf) \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \otimes G]$$

of [Example 4.18](#). Here \otimes denotes the tensor of E_∞ -groups over spaces.

The proof of the theorem is divided in two parts. First, one proves that tensoring with a space and taking Thom spectrum are operations that commute in an adequate sense ([Proposition 4.10](#)). Using an ∞ -categorical version of the splitting lemma for short exact sequences in abelian categories, we obtain a splitting of E_∞ -groups

$$X \otimes G \simeq G \times (X \odot G)$$

([Proposition 3.5](#)) which we combine with the monoidality of the Thom spectrum construction to finish the proof. When one takes $X = S^1$, the above splitting becomes the well-known splitting of the cyclic bar construction of G as a product of G and the bar construction of G . Thus, our proof of the theorem relies on structural properties satisfied by the Thom construction and by tensors in ∞ -categories, and on a splitting result for tensors of E_∞ -groups.

Before saying a word about these tensors, we would like to note the differences between the theorem above and the main result of [Sch11], which is similar. On one hand, a version of the tensor which allows for coefficients in an Mf -module is considered by Schlichtkrull. On the other hand, he proves his results for maps $f : G \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$. Our result is more general in two different ways: first, we consider the whole Picard space instead of only BGL_1 . This is already an interesting extension, since it allows for non-connective Thom spectra such as MUP (see [Example 4.21](#)). Second, we allow the Picard space of any E_∞ -ring spectrum as a codomain for f , instead of only the one of the sphere spectrum. See [Remark 4.13](#), where we also recall the related result of [Kla18] on factorization homology of Thom spectra.

Note that, at the beginning of Section 4 of [Sch11], the author sketches a proof of his result, but then notes that “when trying to make this argument precise, one encounters several technical difficulties”, which he explains. Those technical difficulties are model-categorical in nature, and the author works around them model-categorically as well, using for example different models for E_∞ -monoids and introducing a model of the tensor which is homotopy invariant but combinatorially involved. We claim that these complications are mostly side-effects of the rigidity of the model-categorical framework, and in particular of the rigidity of the model for Thom spectra. Our determination of $X \otimes_R Mf$ uses the ∞ -categorical Thom spectra machinery introduced in [ABG⁺14] and further developed in [ABG18], as well as the universal property for Thom ring spectra of [ACB19].

Note as well that Schlichtkrull’s theorem features, in lieu of what we have denoted $X \odot G$, the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty(B^\infty G \wedge \Sigma^\infty X)$. We will prove in [Proposition 3.2](#) that the two constructions coincide: it will be a direct consequence of the formal properties of tensors. In [Remark 2.44](#), we also prove that $X \odot G$ is equivalent to the infinite symmetric product $SP^\infty(X, G)$, a construction studied in [Kuh04].

The presence of different tensors like $X \otimes_R Mf$ and $X \odot G$ interacting with each other makes it important to place them on a firm technical ground. Consequently, the first two sections are devoted to their study. The technical foundation for our paper will be that of ∞ -categories [Lur09]. As noted by Lurie [Lur17, 4.8], the ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories and left adjoint functors, \mathcal{Pr}^L , has a symmetric monoidal product \otimes . If \mathcal{R} is a commutative algebra in \mathcal{Pr}^L and \mathcal{C} is a module over it, we say that \mathcal{C} is *tensored* over \mathcal{R} . This generalizes the notion of a

category being enriched, tensored and cotensored over a symmetric monoidal category to an ∞ -categorical setting in a succinct way, at least in the presentable case.

As noted by Lurie, the ∞ -categories of pointed presentable ∞ -categories and of stable presentable ∞ -categories are (reflective) localizations of \mathcal{Pr}^L , and they are smashing: they are given by $- \otimes \mathcal{S}_*$ and by $- \otimes \mathcal{Sp}$, respectively, where \mathcal{S}_* denotes pointed spaces and \mathcal{Sp} denotes spectra. In [GGN15], the authors noted that both semiadditive (which they call preadditive) and additive presentable ∞ -categories are similarly smashing localizations of \mathcal{Pr}^L , given by $- \otimes \text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ and $- \otimes \text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ respectively; here $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ are E_∞ -monoids (also known as E_∞ -spaces or special Γ -spaces) and $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ are E_∞ -groups (very special Γ -spaces). We will use their developments as the grounding needed for the results presented above, and we will get mileage out of the realization that, if L, L' are two smashing localizations of \mathcal{Pr}^L such that $L'\mathcal{Pr}^L \subseteq L\mathcal{Pr}^L$, then any L' -local ∞ -category is not only tensored over $L'\mathcal{S}$, but also over $L\mathcal{S}$ by restriction of scalars along the map $L\mathcal{S} \rightarrow L'\mathcal{S}$ (Proposition 2.24).

Along the way, we will prove some other ∞ -categorical results of independent interest. For example, in Theorem 2.17, we will give an ∞ -categorical version of Day's reflection theorem [Day72], which gives equivalent conditions guaranteeing that the localization of a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is closed symmetric monoidal. Also, we prove that if L is a smashing localization of \mathcal{Pr}^L , then $L\mathcal{S}$ is freely generated under colimits in $L\mathcal{Pr}^L$ by the monoidal unit of the corresponding symmetric monoidal structure of $L\mathcal{S}$ (Proposition 2.29). More generally, we prove:

Theorem 2.35. *Let K be a small simplicial set. Let L be a smashing localization of \mathcal{Pr}^L . Then the ∞ -category of $L\mathcal{S}$ -valued presheaves on K , denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{L\mathcal{S}}(K)$, is the free object in $L\mathcal{Pr}^L$ on K . More precisely, composition with $v_* \circ j : K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{L\mathcal{S}}(K)$ induces an equivalence of functor ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{P}_{L\mathcal{S}}(K), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[(v_* \circ j)^*]{\sim} \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$$

for any $\mathcal{C} \in L\mathcal{Pr}^L$, where $v : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}$ is the localization map and $j : K \rightarrow \text{Fun}(K^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ is the Yoneda embedding. Here Fun^L denotes colimit-preserving functors.

Having determined $X \otimes Mf$ for a Thom E_∞ -ring spectrum Mf , we would like to extend this computation to other types of E_∞ -ring spectra B : for example, to those which admit a map $Mf \rightarrow B$. Thus, in the last two sections, we turn to the following more general question. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of E_∞ -ring spectra. For any space X , there is an induced map $X \otimes A \rightarrow X \otimes B$, and if X is pointed, we can form the square of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \otimes A & \longrightarrow & X \otimes B. \end{array}$$

When is this a pushout square? When it is, we say that $A \rightarrow B$ satisfies X -base change. Working over \mathbb{Z} , when $X = S^1$ and A and B are ordinary commutative rings, the question amounts to asking when is the natural map $HH(A) \otimes_A B \rightarrow HH(B)$ an isomorphism, where HH denotes Hochschild homology. In [WG91], this is proven to hold when $A \rightarrow B$ is étale. This result was generalized to étale extensions of E_∞ -ring spectra and topological Hochschild homology in [Mat17].

Note that a map $g : A \rightarrow B$ of E_∞ -ring spectra satisfies S^0 -base change if and only if $g \wedge \text{id} : A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge B$ is an equivalence. On the other hand, the Thom isomorphism theorem of Mahowald, mentioned above, takes the form $Mf \wedge Mf \simeq \mathbb{S}[G] \wedge Mf$. One could wonder if this equivalence is induced by a map $g : Mf \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[G]$ that satisfies S^0 -base change. In

Section 6 we will prove that this is hardly ever the case, under some reasonable hypotheses on g .

One can study the question of X -base change more generally for a map in a presentable ∞ -category: the definition is analogous. We will prove the following:

Theorem 5.7. *Let $f : c \rightarrow d$ be a map in a presentable ∞ -category. Let $n \geq 0$. Suppose f satisfies S^n -base change. Then f satisfies X -base change for any $(n - 1)$ -connected pointed space X .*

In particular, we deduce that étale extensions of E_∞ -ring spectra satisfy X -base change when X is connected (**Corollary 7.2**), and, following Mathew who proved it for $X = S^1$, we give a condition on algebraic K -theory which guarantees that a faithful G -Galois extension $A \rightarrow B$ satisfies X -base change for all connected X (**Corollary 7.15**). In general, if $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithful G -Galois extension, then the question of X -base change for a pointed space X is equivalent to $X \otimes A \rightarrow X \otimes B$ being a faithful G -Galois extension, and to $X \otimes A \rightarrow (X \otimes B)^{hG}$ being an equivalence (**Proposition 7.14**).

A class of examples of étale extensions is given by $R \rightarrow R[x^{-1}]$, i.e. the inversion of a homotopy element $x \in \pi_*(R)$ in an E_∞ -ring spectrum R . In particular, in **Corollary 7.4** we get an equivalence

$$(X \otimes R)[x^{-1}] \simeq X \otimes R[x^{-1}]$$

for any connected pointed space X . This generalizes [Sto19, 4.12], which was only for $X = S^1$.

Thus, from the knowledge of $X \otimes Mf$ we can determine $X \otimes (Mf)[x^{-1}]$ for any $x \in \pi_*(Mf)$. As an important example one can consider the presentation given by Snaith [Sna81] for KU , namely $KU \simeq \mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)][x^{-1}]$ for a certain $x \in \pi_2 \mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$. We obtain

$$X \otimes KU \simeq KU \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)],$$

a result related to [Sto19], see **Example 7.8**. Since the similar equivalence of Snaith $MUP \simeq \mathbb{S}[BU][x^{-1}]$ for a certain $x \in \pi_2 \mathbb{S}[BU]$ is not an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra [HY19], we cannot proceed as straightforwardly in this case; however, we can still conclude that $THH(MUP) \simeq MUP \wedge SU_+$ as spectra, see **Example 7.10**. On the other hand, considering MUP as an E_∞ -Thom spectrum gives us $THH(MUP) \simeq MUP \wedge U_+$. This gives an indirect proof that

$$MUP \wedge SU_+ \simeq MUP \wedge U_+$$

as spectra, i.e. U and SU have isomorphic unreduced MUP -homology.

1.1. Notations and conventions. Following [Lur09], we call ∞ -category a simplicial set such that every inner horn has a filler. Categories will be considered as ∞ -categories via the nerve functor. If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category and c, c' are objects in \mathcal{C} , then we denote by $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c')$ the space of arrows from c to c' in \mathcal{C} . An ∞ -category is *pointed* if it has a zero object. We will call a map that factors through the zero object a *trivial map*. A constant functor with value c will be denoted $\{c\}$.

We denote by \mathbb{S} the ∞ -category of spaces given by the homotopy coherent nerve of the simplicial category of Kan complexes, and by \mathbb{S}_* its pointed counterpart. Adding a disjoint basepoint gives a left adjoint $(-)_+ : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_*$ to the forgetful functor.

The ∞ -category of spectra will be denoted by Sp . It is a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category [Lur17, 4.8.2.19]. The internal mapping spectrum will be denoted by $\text{Sp}(A, B)$, the smash product of spectra will be denoted by $A \wedge B$ and its monoidal unit, the sphere spectrum, by \mathbb{S} .

An E_∞ -ring spectrum R is a commutative algebra object in Sp . The ∞ -category of left R -modules will be denoted by Mod_R ; it is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with monoidal product \wedge_R and monoidal unit R [Lur17, 4.5.2.1]. Commutative algebra objects therein are E_∞ - R -algebras and are the objects of the ∞ -category CAlg_R .

We reserve the notation \otimes for the monoidal product in the ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories (to be introduced below), and for tensors of spaces with objects of an ∞ -category. A monoidal product in a general monoidal ∞ -category will be denoted by \boxtimes .

1.2. Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Tobias Barthel and Hongyi Chu for our helpful conversations, and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for its hospitality and financial support.

2. PRESENTABLE ∞ -CATEGORIES AND TENSORS

The ∞ -category \mathcal{Pr}^L of presentable ∞ -categories [Lur09, 5.5] is a useful tool when it comes to formulating the idea of an ∞ -category tensored over a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. We review this theory, then we turn to (reflective) localizations of ∞ -categories. We prove an ∞ -categorical analogue of Day's reflection theorem, which gives equivalent conditions under which the localization of a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is closed symmetric monoidal: these conditions are automatically satisfied when the localization is smashing. We then turn our attention to smashing localizations of \mathcal{Pr}^L , reviewing the theory of [Lur17, 4.8] and [GGN15]. Some important examples of smashing localizations L of \mathcal{Pr}^L are given by the ∞ -categories of presentable ∞ -categories which are pointed, semiadditive, additive or stable: we look at the tensors appearing in these situations. Along the way, we make some contributions to the general theory, like proving that the free L -local presentable ∞ -category on a small simplicial set K is given by the category of LS -valued presheaves on K .

2.1. Generalities. Following [Lur17, 4.8], [GGN15] and [ABG18, 2.2], we will work with the closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{Pr}^L of presentable ∞ -categories. The colimit-preserving functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (since the ∞ -categories are presentable, they coincide with the left adjoint functors) are assembled into a presentable ∞ -category $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. These provide the internal homs to \mathcal{Pr}^L . The mapping spaces are given by their cores (maximal sub- ∞ -groupoids). The monoidal product of \mathcal{Pr}^L is denoted \otimes , and is characterized by the fact that left adjoint functors out of $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ are given by functors out of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ which preserve colimits separately in each variable. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is also canonically equivalent to $\text{Fun}^R(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ (where the R denotes that the functors are right adjoints). The monoidal unit of \mathcal{Pr}^L is \mathcal{S} , the ∞ -category of spaces. A commutative algebra in \mathcal{Pr}^L is equivalently a presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , whose monoidal product we will typically denote by $- \boxtimes -$, its monoidal unit by $\mathbf{1}$, and its internal hom by $\mathcal{C}(-, -)$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. A presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is *tensored over* \mathcal{R} if it is a module over \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{Pr}^L . In particular, there is a functor, the *tensor*,

$$- \otimes - : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

which preserves colimits separately in each variable.

Remark 2.2. Because we are working in \mathcal{Pr}^L , a functor preserves colimits if and only if it is a left adjoint. This means we also have a *cotensor*,

$$(-)^{(-)} : \mathcal{R}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

and an *enrichment* [GH15, 7.4.13],

$$\mathcal{R}(-, -) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}.$$

Thus, an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} tensored over \mathcal{R} is cotensored and enriched over \mathcal{R} . Since we will mostly work with tensors, we have chosen to emphasize them in the previous definition. Note that a morphism of \mathcal{R} -modules is a colimit-preserving functor that preserves tensors, cotensors and the enrichment.

We will denote the ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories enriched over \mathcal{R} by $(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{r}^{\mathcal{L}})^{\mathcal{R}}$. The previous remark gives us a fully faithful embedding

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{r}^{\mathcal{L}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{r}^{\mathcal{L}})^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Remark 2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category tensored over \mathcal{R} . Then for any objects u, v in \mathcal{R} and c in \mathcal{C} , by manipulating adjunctions we obtain a natural equivalence $(u \boxtimes v) \otimes c \simeq u \otimes (v \otimes c)$, where \boxtimes is the monoidal product of \mathcal{R} .

Example 2.4. In a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, any commutative algebra is canonically a module over itself, with action given by multiplication. In particular, any presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is tensored over itself, with tensor given by the monoidal product and cotensor and enrichment given by the internal hom.

Since \mathcal{S} is the monoidal unit in $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{r}^{\mathcal{L}}$, it is canonically a commutative algebra object in $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{r}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and every presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is uniquely a module over it. The action is given by a functor $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (it is an equivalence) whose adjoint is a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C})$ which takes the object c to a colimit-preserving functor $F_c : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $F_c(*) = c$. If X is a space, then

$$(2.5) \quad F_c(X) \simeq F_c(\mathrm{colim}(X \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S})) \simeq \mathrm{colim}(X \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{F_c} \mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{colim}(X \xrightarrow{\{c\}} \mathcal{C}).$$

Definition 2.6. [Lur09, 4.4.4.9] Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete ∞ -category and X be a space. We define $X \otimes c$, the *tensor* of c with X , as

$$(2.7) \quad X \otimes c := \mathrm{colim}(X \xrightarrow{\{c\}} \mathcal{C}).$$

In particular, $* \otimes c \simeq c$. In conclusion, the tensor of a presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} over \mathcal{S} is a functor

$$(2.8) \quad - \otimes - : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

which preserves colimits separately in each variable. It satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes c, d) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d))$$

for all spaces X and objects c, d in \mathcal{C} . Indeed, the right adjoint to $- \otimes c : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is immediately identified to be $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)$ by considering the adjunction equivalence for $X = *$. Observe that the notation \otimes is being used for two different notions, namely the monoidal product of two presentable ∞ -categories and the tensor of an object in a presentable ∞ -category with a space.

Remark 2.10. The colimit formula (2.7) makes sense for any simplicial set K , so one can define $K \otimes c$ for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$. In this case, considering $* \in \mathcal{S}$, we get that $K \otimes *$ is the Kan fibrant replacement of K , i.e. the left adjoint of the inclusion of Kan complexes into simplicial sets evaluated at K .

We will need the following result on the behavior of tensors in over-categories.

Lemma 2.11. *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category. Let $f : G \rightarrow K$ and $g : H \rightarrow K$ be morphisms in \mathcal{C} and let X be a space. The equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes G, H) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, H))$$

of spaces restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/K}}(X \otimes G, H) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/K}}(G, H))$$

where $X \otimes G$ is considered as an object in $\mathcal{C}_{/K}$ via the morphism $X \otimes G \xrightarrow{ \otimes \mathrm{id}} * \otimes G \simeq G \xrightarrow{f} K$.*

Proof. Let $\{f\} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/K}$ be the constant diagram mapping X to the object f in $\mathcal{C}_{/K}$. By definition, the colimit of $\{f\}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/K}}(\mathrm{colim}\{f\}, H) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/K}}(G, H)).$$

By [Lur09, 1.2.13.8], colimits in $\mathcal{C}_{/K}$ are created via the standard projection $\pi_K : \mathcal{C}_{/K} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which directly implies that

$$\pi_K(\mathrm{colim}\{f\}) \simeq \mathrm{colim}\pi_K\{f\} \simeq \mathrm{colim}\{G\} = X \otimes G.$$

To finish the proof we need to determine the map $X \otimes G \rightarrow K$.

Notice it suffices to do it for the case $K = G$. Indeed, we have a projection $\pi_f : (\mathcal{C}_{/K})_{/f} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/K}$, which is equivalent to the post-composition map $f_! : \mathcal{C}_{/G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/K}$. Moreover, the map $\{f\} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/K}$ lifts to the map $\{\mathrm{id}_G\} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/G}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{C}_{/G} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{C}_{/K})_{/f} \\ & \uparrow \{\mathrm{id}_G\} & \searrow f_! & \downarrow \pi_f \\ X & \xrightarrow{\{f\}} & \mathcal{C}_{/K} & \\ & \downarrow \{G\} & \swarrow \pi_K & \\ & \mathcal{C} & & \end{array}$$

Here the equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{/G} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{C}_{/K})_{/f}$ follows from [Lur09, 4.1.1.7]. Thus we want to show that the colimit of $\{\mathrm{id}_G\}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{/G}$ is the map $X \otimes G \rightarrow * \otimes G \simeq G$. However, this follows immediately from the fact that for any map $\Delta[n] \rightarrow G$ the precomposition map $\Delta[n] \otimes G \rightarrow X \otimes G \rightarrow * \otimes G$ has to be $* \otimes \mathrm{id}$ by definition of being a colimiting cocone. \square

We now finish this section with an observation about tensors with spaces which have an action of a topological group. If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category and G is a topological group, then one can consider the ∞ -category of objects of \mathcal{C} with G -action, which is $\mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. If \mathcal{C} is a presentable ∞ -category tensored over a presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{R} , then by functoriality of the tensor, whenever $X \in \mathcal{R}$ or $c \in \mathcal{C}$ have a G -action, then so does $X \otimes c \in \mathcal{C}$, and this is a functorial construction. For example, if $X : BG \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, then the composite $BG \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{- \otimes c} \mathcal{C}$ gives $X \otimes c : BG \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. To recover the underlying object of \mathcal{C} , precompose the functor with the unique arrow $e : * \rightarrow BG$.

Let us take \mathcal{R} to be \mathcal{S} . Consider G with its regular G -action: we can describe it as the left Kan extension of $* \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S}$ along $e : * \rightarrow BG$. To avoid confusion, let us denote the resulting functor by $\underline{G} : BG \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We now claim that, if $c \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\underline{G} \otimes c$ is the free object of \mathcal{C} with G -action on c . More precisely, we claim:

Proposition 2.12. *For any cocomplete ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and topological group G , there is an adjunction*

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathcal{C}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\underline{G} \otimes -} \\ \xleftarrow{e^*} \end{array} \mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}).$$

This is a generalization of [NS18, IV.2.2], which states (without proof) that, for an E_∞ -ring spectrum A , the map $A \rightarrow S^1 \otimes A$ is initial among maps from A to an E_∞ -ring spectrum with an S^1 -action.

To prove the proposition, it suffices to identify $\underline{G} \otimes -$ as the left Kan extension functor along e [Lur09, 4.3.3.7]. We first prove a general lemma:

Lemma 2.14. Consider the following diagram of left Kan extensions in cocomplete ∞ -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow g & \Downarrow \\
 & & \mathcal{E} \\
 \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_h g} & \mathcal{E} \\
 & \nearrow \tau & \nearrow \text{Lan}_g f
 \end{array}$$

Suppose that for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}$ the following composition is an equivalence of spaces:

$$(2.15) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathcal{F}}(h(c), x) \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_h g} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}(\text{Lan}_h g(h(c)), \text{Lan}_h g(x)) \xrightarrow{\tau_c^*} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}(g(c), \text{Lan}_h g(x)).$$

Then the universal natural transformation

$$\text{Lan}_h f \rightarrow \text{Lan}_g f \circ \text{Lan}_h g$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Using the colimit formula for left Kan extensions and the fact that colimits commute with colimits, we get, for $x \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\text{Lan}_h f(x) \simeq \text{colim}(h \downarrow x \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{D}), \text{ and}$$

$$(\text{Lan}_g f \circ \text{Lan}_h g)(x) \simeq \text{colim}(g \downarrow \text{Lan}_h g(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{D}).$$

The natural map $\text{Lan}_h f(x) \rightarrow (\text{Lan}_g f \circ \text{Lan}_h g)(x)$ is induced by the natural map between the comma ∞ -categories $h \downarrow x \rightarrow g \downarrow \text{Lan}_h g(x)$ described in (2.15). To see that the former is an equivalence, it suffices to see that the latter is cofinal. However, both comma ∞ -categories are the domain of a corresponding right fibration over \mathcal{C} . In this case, being cofinal is equivalent to being a fiber-wise equivalence of spaces [Lur09, 2.2.3.13, 4.1.2.5]. This is precisely the condition that (2.15) be an equivalence. \square

For a counterexample proving that $\text{Lan}_h f \rightarrow \text{Lan}_g f \circ \text{Lan}_h g$ is not always an equivalence without extra conditions like (2.15), take $\mathcal{C} = *$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}$, $f = \{*\}$, $g = \{S^0\}$, and $h = \{\emptyset\}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.12. It now suffices to observe that we have a diagram of left Kan extensions as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 * & \xrightarrow{\{c\}} & \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow e & \searrow \{*\} & \Downarrow \\
 & & \mathcal{S} \\
 BG & \xrightarrow{\underline{G}} & \mathcal{S} \\
 & \nearrow \underline{G} & \nearrow -\otimes c
 \end{array}$$

and that (2.15) amounts to $G \xrightarrow{\text{id}} G$ in this case. \square

2.2. Localizations. We quickly review some definitions and results about (reflective) localizations, mostly from [Lur09, 5.2.7], [Lur17, 4.8.2] and [GGN15]. Then we prove a version of Day's reflection theorem.

Definition 2.16. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. A functor $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a *localization* if it admits a fully faithful right adjoint i . The ∞ -category \mathcal{D} is equivalent via i to a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} denoted $L\mathcal{C}$, so we often write $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ (or even $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) and neglect to mention i . The objects of $L\mathcal{C}$ are *L-local*. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a *localization map* $c \rightarrow Lc$ given by the unit of the adjunction.

For any localization L , there are natural equivalences $Lc \rightarrow LLc$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. An object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is in $L\mathcal{C}$ if and only if the localization map $c \rightarrow Lc$ is an equivalence, if and only if for every $c' \in \mathcal{C}$ the localization map $c' \rightarrow Lc'$ induces an equivalence of spaces

$$\mathrm{Map}_e(Lc', c) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_e(c', c).$$

The following theorem is an ∞ -categorical analogue of Day's reflection theorem [Day72]. We remind the reader that $\mathcal{C}(c, d) \in \mathcal{C}$ denotes an internal hom.

Theorem 2.17. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Let $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ be a localization functor. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in L\mathcal{C}$, the localization map $\mathcal{C}(c, d) \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}(c, d)$ is an equivalence,*
- (2) *For all $c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in L\mathcal{C}$, the localization map $c \rightarrow Lc$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C}(Lc, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(c, d)$,*
- (3) *For all $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, the localization map $c \rightarrow Lc$ induces an equivalence $L(c \boxtimes c') \rightarrow L(Lc \boxtimes c')$,*
- (4) *For all $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, the localization maps of c and c' induce an equivalence $L(c \boxtimes c') \rightarrow L(Lc \boxtimes Lc')$.*

When these equivalent conditions are satisfied, $L\mathcal{C}$ admits a closed symmetric monoidal structure such that L is symmetric monoidal and its right adjoint i is lax symmetric monoidal and closed, i.e. the internal hom in $L\mathcal{C}$ is given by $\mathcal{C}(d, d')$ for all $d, d' \in L\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. (2 \Leftrightarrow 3) Let $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, d \in L\mathcal{C}$. The following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{L\mathcal{C}}(L(Lc \boxtimes c'), d) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{L\mathcal{C}}(L(c \boxtimes c'), d) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathrm{Map}_e(Lc \boxtimes c', d) & & \mathrm{Map}_e(c \boxtimes c', d) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathrm{Map}_e(c', \mathcal{C}(Lc, d)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_e(c', \mathcal{C}(c, d)) \end{array}$$

so the top arrow is an equivalence if and only if the bottom one is an equivalence, and the Yoneda lemma finishes the proof.

(1 \Rightarrow 3) Let $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \in L\mathcal{C}$. The following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{L\mathcal{C}}(L(Lc \boxtimes c'), d) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{L\mathcal{C}}(L(c \boxtimes c'), d) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_e(Lc \boxtimes c', d) & & \mathrm{Map}_e(c \boxtimes c', d) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_e(Lc, \mathcal{C}(c', d)) & & \mathrm{Map}_e(c, \mathcal{C}(c', d)) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_e(Lc, L\mathcal{C}(c', d)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Map}_e(c, L\mathcal{C}(c', d)) \end{array}$$

so the top horizontal map is an equivalence, and the Yoneda lemma finishes the proof.

(3 \Rightarrow 4) Let $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$. The following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(c \boxtimes c') & \longrightarrow & L(Lc \boxtimes Lc') \\ & \searrow \simeq & \nearrow \simeq \\ & L(Lc \boxtimes c') & \end{array}$$

so the horizontal map is an equivalence.

(4 \Rightarrow 1) Let us denote by η all localization maps. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in L\mathcal{C}$. We will construct an inverse to $\eta : \mathcal{C}(c, d) \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}(c, d)$. First, note that if $\nu : L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(c, d)$ is a left inverse to η ,

then it is also a right inverse to it. Indeed, in this case, both id and $\eta \circ \nu$ can play the role of the dotted arrow in the following diagram, making it commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(c, d) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \\ \eta \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ L\mathcal{C}(c, d) & & \end{array}$$

whence by the universal property of η we deduce that $\eta \circ \nu$ is equivalent to id .

By adjunction, constructing a left inverse ν to η is equivalent to constructing an arrow $\bar{\nu} : L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c \rightarrow d$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{e} & d \\ \eta \boxtimes \text{id} \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\nu} & \\ L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c & & \end{array}$$

Here $e : \mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c \rightarrow d$, the evaluation map, is adjoint to $\text{id} : \mathcal{C}(c, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(c, d)$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{e} & d & & \\ \eta \boxtimes \text{id} \downarrow & \searrow \eta & \uparrow u & & \\ L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{\eta \boxtimes \eta} & L(\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c) & \xrightarrow{L(\eta \boxtimes \eta)} & L(L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes Lc) \\ \text{id} \boxtimes \eta \downarrow & \searrow \eta & \downarrow L(\eta \boxtimes \eta) & & \\ L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes c & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \eta} & L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes Lc & \xrightarrow{\eta} & L(L\mathcal{C}(c, d) \boxtimes Lc) \end{array}$$

where u exists by the universal property of η . By hypothesis, the vertical map $L(\eta \boxtimes \eta)$ admits an inverse f . Define $\bar{\nu}$ to be $u \circ f \circ \eta \circ (\text{id} \boxtimes \eta)$: it is the $\bar{\nu}$ we were looking for.

We now prove the last assertion. Call an arrow $f : c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathcal{C} a *local equivalence* if Lf is an equivalence. Note that if f is a local equivalence, then $f \boxtimes \text{id} : c \boxtimes c'' \rightarrow c' \boxtimes c''$ is a local equivalence for any $c'' \in \mathcal{C}$. Indeed, in the following commutative diagram, the vertical arrows are equivalences by (3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(c \boxtimes c'') & \xrightarrow{L(f \boxtimes \text{id})} & L(c' \boxtimes c'') \\ L(\eta \boxtimes \text{id}) \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow L(\eta \boxtimes \text{id}) \\ L(Lc \boxtimes c'') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & L(Lc' \boxtimes c'') \\ & L(Lf \boxtimes \text{id}) & \end{array}$$

Now [GGN15, 3.4] applies to prove that $L\mathcal{C}$ has the desired symmetric monoidal structure, L is symmetric monoidal and i is lax symmetric monoidal.

We now prove that the symmetric monoidal structure on $L\mathcal{C}$ is closed with internal hom given by the internal hom of \mathcal{C} . Let $d \in L\mathcal{C}$. We have the following adjunctions

$$\mathcal{C} \xleftarrow[\mathcal{C}(d, -)]{-\boxtimes d} \mathcal{C} \xleftarrow[i]{L} L\mathcal{C}.$$

We now compose the adjunctions and notice that the right adjoint $\mathcal{C}(d, -) \circ i \simeq \mathcal{C}(d, -)$ takes values in the subcategory $L\mathcal{C}$ by (1), which gives us an adjunction

$$L\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L(-\boxtimes d)} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}(d, -)} \end{array} L\mathcal{C}.$$

Since d is local and L is symmetric monoidal, we get $L(-\boxtimes d) \simeq -\boxtimes_{L\mathcal{C}} d$, giving us the desired adjunction. \square

We now consider a strong condition one can impose on localizations:

Definition 2.18. A localization $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ is *smashing* if \mathcal{C} is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and L is of the form $-\boxtimes I$ for some *smashing object* I of \mathcal{C} .

Note that I is equivalent to the localization of the monoidal unit of \mathcal{C} , and that for any $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$(2.19) \quad c \boxtimes Lc' \simeq L(c \boxtimes c') \simeq Lc \boxtimes c'.$$

Proposition 2.20. *If $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ is a smashing localization functor of a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, then the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.17 are satisfied. Moreover, the monoidal product $\boxtimes_{L\mathcal{C}}$ of $L\mathcal{C}$ can be computed in \mathcal{C} , i.e. if i denotes the right adjoint to L , then*

$$i(d \boxtimes_{L\mathcal{C}} d') \simeq id \boxtimes id'.^1$$

Proof. In this situation, condition (3) of Theorem 2.17 is immediate, and the statement about $\boxtimes_{L\mathcal{C}}$ readily follows from (2.19). \square

2.3. Smashing localizations of \mathcal{Pr}^L . We now look at smashing localizations L of \mathcal{Pr}^L . From Proposition 2.20, we get that if A is a commutative algebra in \mathcal{Pr}^L , then we have a commutative algebra LA in \mathcal{Pr}^L . Recall that ∞ -categories of modules over a commutative algebra can be endowed with a closed symmetric monoidal structure [Lur17, 4.5.2].

Theorem 2.21. [Lur17, 4.8.2.10], [GGN15, 3.8, 3.9] *Let $L : \mathcal{Pr}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{Pr}^L$ be a smashing localization.*

- (i) *The underlying presentable ∞ -category of an object in $\text{Mod}_{L\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{Pr}^L)$ is L -local, and the forgetful functor $\text{Mod}_{L\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{Pr}^L) \rightarrow L\mathcal{Pr}^L$ is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, where $L\mathcal{Pr}^L$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category as in Theorem 2.17.*
- (ii) *For any presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category $L\mathcal{C}$ admits a unique closed symmetric monoidal structure such that the localization map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ gets a symmetric monoidal structure.*
- (iii) *Given a second smashing localization $L' : \mathcal{Pr}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{Pr}^L$ such that $L'\mathcal{Pr}^L \subseteq L\mathcal{Pr}^L$, the induced morphism $\eta_{\mathcal{C}} : L\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L'L\mathcal{C}$ admits a unique symmetric monoidal structure.*

In (iii), the morphism $L\mathcal{C} \rightarrow L'L\mathcal{C}$ is obtained as follows. Let $j : L'\mathcal{Pr}^L \rightarrow L\mathcal{Pr}^L$ denote the inclusion. The unit of the (L', i') adjunction gives a map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow i'L'\mathcal{C} = ijL'\mathcal{C}$ whose adjoint under the (L, i) adjunction is the desired map

$$(2.22) \quad \eta_{\mathcal{C}} : L\mathcal{C} \rightarrow jL'\mathcal{C} = L'L\mathcal{C}.$$

Remark 2.23. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Note that if $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor which is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, then it preserves the internal hom, i.e. if $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ then F gives an equivalence $F\mathcal{C}(c, c') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Fc, Fc')$. Indeed, let $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $d \simeq Fz$ for some $z \in \mathcal{C}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, \mathcal{D}(Fc, Fc')) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(Fz \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} Fc, Fc') \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} c), Fc') \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} c, c') \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(z, \mathcal{C}(c, c')) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, F\mathcal{C}(c, c')) \end{aligned}$$

¹We are not saying that i is symmetric monoidal: indeed, i typically does not preserve the monoidal unit.

as desired. Since the internal hom in $L\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L$ is given by Fun^L (Theorem 2.17), from Theorem 2.21(i) we deduce that for $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{LS}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)$, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{LS}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

Thus, any colimit-preserving functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ can naturally be given the structure of an LS -module map.

If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative algebras in a presentable closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , then there is a restriction of scalars functor $\mathrm{res}_f : \mathrm{Mod}_B(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ with right adjoint given by the extension of scalars functor $B \boxtimes_A - : \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_B(\mathcal{C})$ [Lur17, 4.5.3].

Proposition 2.24. *Let $L, L' : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L$ be two smashing localizations such that $L'\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L \subseteq L\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L$. The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}_{L'S}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & L'\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L \\ L'S \otimes - \uparrow & & \uparrow L' \\ \mathrm{Mod}_{LS}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & L\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}_{L'S}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & L'\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L \\ \mathrm{res}_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Mod}_{LS}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & L\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L. \end{array}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{C} \in L'\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L$, then \mathcal{C} is tensored over LS : the tensor of $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $A \in LS$ is given by

$$(2.25) \quad \eta_S(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}/L'S} c,$$

where $\eta_S : LS \rightarrow L'S$ was described in (2.22) and $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}/L'S}$ denotes the tensor of \mathcal{C} over $L'S$.

Proof. The vertical maps in the two squares are adjoints (where the ones on the left square are the left adjoints) and thus it suffices to prove the square on the left commutes. This follows immediately from the fact that L' is smashing and thus $L' \simeq L'S \otimes -$. \square

Remark 2.26. From (2.25) we deduce that the tensor with $A \in LS$ depends only on $\eta_S(A)$, in the sense that if $A, A' \in LS$ are such that $\eta_S(A) \simeq \eta_S(A')$, then the tensors with A or with A' are equivalent.

There is an analogous result for enrichments under the same hypotheses as the above proposition. First note that since the localization map $\eta : LS \rightarrow L'S$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, then its right adjoint $\bar{\eta} : L'S \rightarrow LS$ is lax monoidal [GH15, A.5.11], so it gives us a functor $(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{\bar{\eta}} : (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{L'S} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{LS}$ between ∞ -categories of presentable enriched ∞ -categories [GH15, 5.7.8].

Proposition 2.27. *In the situation of the previous proposition, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}_{L'S}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{L'S} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{res} & & \downarrow (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{\bar{\eta}} \\ \mathrm{Mod}_{LS}(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L) & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L)^{LS}. \end{array}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{C} \in L'\mathcal{P}\mathbf{r}^L$, then \mathcal{C} is enriched over LS : the enriched hom $(LS)(c, c')$ where $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ is given by $\bar{\eta}((L'S)(c, c'))$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be an $L'S$ -module. Then the desired result follows from the fact that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C} \times L'\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}, L'\mathcal{S}) \\ \downarrow (\mathrm{id} \times \eta)^* & & \downarrow \bar{\eta}_* \\ \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C} \times L\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}, L\mathcal{S}). \end{array}$$

Indeed, the enrichment map $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}$ is equivalent to the composition

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L'\mathcal{S}(-, -)} L'\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} L\mathcal{S}. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.28. Let \mathcal{P} be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$, \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category in \mathcal{P} and c be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then we say \mathcal{C} is *freely generated under colimits in \mathcal{P} by c* if for any presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{P} the following functor induced by $\{c\} : * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{ev}_c : \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\{c\}^*} \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{D}.$$

Note that, in this case, an inverse $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is given by left Kan extension of $* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along $\{c\} : * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ [Lur09, 4.3.3.7]. The quintessential example is given by \mathcal{S} , which is freely generated under colimits in $\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$ by any contractible space.

Proposition 2.29. Let L be a smashing localization of $\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$. Then $L\mathcal{S}$ is freely generated under colimits in $L\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$ by the monoidal unit of $L\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the unit of $L\mathcal{S}$ and let $\mathcal{D} \in L\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$. We can give two proofs. The first one follows from [Theorem 2.17\(2\)](#), using the localization map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}$:

$$\mathrm{ev}_1 : \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(L\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{D}.$$

For the second proof, first note that by [Remark 2.23](#), $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{L}}(L\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{L\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}})(L\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})$. In this way, ev_1 is equivalently given by a functor $\mathrm{Mod}_{L\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}})(L\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, which is the canonical equivalence obtained from the fact that $L\mathcal{S}$ is the monoidal unit of $\mathrm{Mod}_{L\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}})$. \square

Remark 2.30. Note that [Proposition 2.29](#) is not equivalent to saying that every object in $L\mathcal{S}$ is a colimit of a constant diagram with value the monoidal unit. One might have suspected this because of what happens in the case of \mathcal{S} : there, every object X is a colimit of a constant diagram with value the one-point space. Indeed,

$$X \simeq X \otimes * = \mathrm{colim}(X \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S}).$$

As we will explain in more detail in [Section 2.4](#), there is a smashing localization of $\mathcal{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}$ given by $- \otimes \mathcal{S}_*$. We will now observe that already in this simple case, the analogous statement fails, i.e. we will show that not every object in \mathcal{S}_* is the colimit of a constant diagram with value \mathcal{S}^0 .

Let K be a simplicial set. Then we have

$$\mathrm{colim}(K \xrightarrow{\{\mathcal{S}^0\}} \mathcal{S}_*) \simeq \mathrm{colim}(K \rightarrow * \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \mathcal{S}_*) \simeq \mathrm{colim}(K \rightarrow * \xrightarrow{\{*\}} \mathcal{S})_+ \simeq (K^{\mathrm{Kan}})_+,$$

where K^{Kan} is the Kan fibrant replacement of K (see [Remark 2.10](#)). Notice that we used that the functor $(-)_+$ is a left adjoint and thus commutes with colimits. Therefore, the colimit of any constant diagram with value \mathcal{S}^0 necessarily has a disjoint base point, which clearly does not hold for every pointed space.

Note that, at a first glance, one could have thought that for a given pointed space (Y, y) one could use the cofiber sequence

$$\{*\}_+ \xrightarrow{\{y\}_+} Y_+ \rightarrow Y$$

to construct Y as the colimit of a constant diagram with value S^0 , given that Y_+ and $\{*\}_+ \simeq S^0$ can both be constructed as such colimits. However, that cofiber sequence is a pushout along the map $S^0 \rightarrow *$, so in order to use this argument, we must first be able to construct this map as the colimit of a map of diagrams. More precisely, if $\text{colim}(I \xrightarrow{\{S^0\}} S_*) \simeq S^0$ and $\text{colim}(J \xrightarrow{\{S^0\}} S_*) \simeq *$, then we would need to express the map $S^0 \rightarrow *$ as the colimit of a map of diagrams $I \rightarrow J$. However, $\text{colim}(J \xrightarrow{\{S^0\}} S_*) \simeq *$ if and only if $J = \emptyset$ and so there cannot be any functor $I \rightarrow J$.

Proposition 2.29 can be generalized. If K is a small simplicial set, then the ∞ -category of space-valued presheaves $\mathcal{P}(K) := \text{Fun}(K^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ is the free cocomplete ∞ -category on K , in the sense that composition with the Yoneda embedding $j : K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K)$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$(2.31) \quad \text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}(K), \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$$

for any cocomplete ∞ -category \mathcal{C} [Lur09, 5.1.5.6]. Since $\mathcal{P}(K)$ is presentable [Lur09, 5.5.3.6], then $\mathcal{P}(K)$ can also be regarded as the free presentable ∞ -category on K .

Let L be a smashing localization of $\mathcal{P}\text{r}^{\text{L}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{P}_{LS}(K) := \text{Fun}(K^{\text{op}}, LS).$$

We will now prove that $\mathcal{P}_{LS}(K)$ is the free object in $L\mathcal{P}\text{r}^{\text{L}}$ (equivalently, the free LS -module) on K . First, we prove that $L\mathcal{P}(K) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{LS}(K)$.

Lemma 2.32. *Let K be a small simplicial set. Let L be a smashing localization of $\mathcal{P}\text{r}^{\text{L}}$. There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$(2.33) \quad L\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K, LS).$$

Moreover, this equivalence makes the following triangle commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{u} & L\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \\ & \searrow v_* & \downarrow \sim \\ & & \text{Fun}(K, LS) \end{array}$$

where $u : \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow L\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S})$ and $v : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow LS$ are the localization maps.

Proof. Recall that if $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{P}\text{r}^{\text{L}}$, then $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$. Using the equivalence of ∞ -categories $\text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ [Lur09, 5.2.6.2] and (2.31), we deduce:

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(K, LS) &\simeq \text{Fun}(K^{\text{op}}, (LS)^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}), (LS)^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{R}}((LS)^{\text{op}}, \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S})) \simeq LS \otimes \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \simeq L\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}). \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove that the diagram commutes. Note that u is equivalent to

$$\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{v \otimes \text{id}} LS \otimes \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \simeq L\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}).$$

Continuing the analysis in this fashion, it thus suffices to check that in the case where L is the identity, the equivalence (2.33) is the identity.

Let $F : K \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The first equivalence of (2.34) is actually an isomorphism of ∞ -categories that gives us $F^{\text{op}} : K^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}}$. The next equivalence extends F^{op} to a functor $\widehat{F}^{\text{op}} : \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}}$. In the next step, we associate to \widehat{F}^{op} a right adjoint functor $G : \mathcal{S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S})$. In the last step

we simply evaluate to get $G(*) : K \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We need to prove that $G(*) \simeq F : K \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Let $k \in K$, and let j be the Yoneda embedding of K^{op} . The chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} G(*) (k) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{S})}(j(k), G(*)) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}^{\text{op}}}(\widehat{F^{\text{op}}}(j(k)), *) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}^{\text{op}}}(F^{\text{op}}(k), *) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(*, F^{\text{op}}(k)) \simeq F^{\text{op}}(k) \simeq F(k) \end{aligned}$$

gives us the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.35. *Let K be a small simplicial set. Let L be a smashing localization of Pr^{L} . Then $\mathcal{P}_{LS}(K)$ is the free object in $L\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$ on K . More precisely, composition with $v_* \circ j : K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{LS}(K)$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{LS}(K), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[(v_* \circ j)^*]{\sim} \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$$

for any $\mathcal{C} \in L\text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$, where $v : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow LS$ is the localization map and $j : K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K)$ is the Yoneda embedding.

Proof. Let $u : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow L\mathcal{P}(K)$ be the localization map. We have equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(L\mathcal{P}(K), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[u^*]{\sim} \text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}(K), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[j^*]{\sim} \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$$

and the result now follows from [Lemma 2.32](#). \square

2.4. Four localizations of Pr^{L} . Let us start by fixing some notation. If \mathcal{C} is a presentable ∞ -category, let \mathcal{C}_* denote the category of pointed objects of \mathcal{C} (the undercategory $\mathcal{C}_{*/}$, where $*$ is a final object of \mathcal{C}), $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ the ∞ -category of E_∞ -monoids in \mathcal{C} (special Γ -objects), $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ the ∞ -category of E_∞ -groups in \mathcal{C} (grouplike E_∞ -monoids, or very special Γ -objects), and $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ the stabilization of \mathcal{C} . See [\[GGN15\]](#) or [\[Lur17, 2.4.2, 1.4\]](#) for more details. Note that $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$, where \mathcal{C} is given the cartesian monoidal structure.

In [\[GGN15\]](#), the authors consider the following smashing localizations of Pr^{L} : tensoring with \mathcal{S}_* , $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ and Sp , and determine descriptions for the corresponding local objects. These are pointed, semiadditive, additive and stable ∞ -categories, respectively. We display this in the following table, where \mathcal{C} is any presentable ∞ -category.

Categorical property	Full subcat. of Pr^{L}	Smashing object LS	$LS \otimes \mathcal{C}$	Unit of LS
No condition	Pr^{L}	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{C}	$*$
Pointed	$\text{Pr}_{\text{Pt}}^{\text{L}}$	\mathcal{S}_*	\mathcal{C}_*	\mathcal{S}^0
Semiadditive	$\text{Pr}_{\text{Sem}}^{\text{L}}$	$\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$	$\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$	$\bigsqcup_{n>0} B\Sigma_n$
Additive	$\text{Pr}_{\text{Add}}^{\text{L}}$	$\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$	$\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$	$\Omega^\infty \mathcal{S}$
Stable	$\text{Pr}_{\text{St}}^{\text{L}}$	Sp	$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$	\mathcal{S}

The categorical properties above are listed in increasing order of restrictiveness. We have adopted the terminology of [\[Lur17\]](#): in [\[GGN15\]](#), the authors use the adjective “preadditive” instead of “semiadditive”.

In [\[GGN15, 4.10, 5.1\]](#) the authors obtain the following as a corollary of the result we summarized in [Theorem 2.21](#). There is a chain of left adjoint functors

$$(2.36) \quad \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \mathcal{S}_* \longrightarrow \text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}$$

Σ^∞

where the maps are given by tensoring with the respective smashing object. The resulting functor $\mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ is Σ^∞ . Each of these ∞ -categories admits a unique closed symmetric monoidal structure which is uniquely determined by the requirement that the respective functor from

\mathcal{S} is symmetric monoidal. The symmetric monoidal structure in \mathcal{S}_* and in Sp is given by the standard smash product of pointed spaces or spectra. Moreover, each of the functors above uniquely extends to a symmetric monoidal functor.

Consider the table at the beginning of the section. [Theorem 2.21](#) also says that an ∞ -category satisfies the categorical property on the first column if and only if it is tensored over the ∞ -category in the third column, following [Definition 2.1](#). [Proposition 2.24](#) tells us that if we have an ∞ -category tensored over one of the ∞ -categories in (2.36), then it is also tensored over any ∞ -category which appears further to the left in the sequence, and that the action is obtained via restriction of scalars. We will now draw some consequences from this observation and from the analogous one for enrichments ([Proposition 2.27](#))

First, we set some notation:

Notation 2.37. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed presentable ∞ -category. By the above discussion, it is tensored over \mathcal{S}_* , so we denote the tensor by

$$- \odot - : \mathcal{S}_* \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

Note that since S^0 is the monoidal unit of \mathcal{S}_* , then $S^0 \odot c \simeq c$ for any object c in \mathcal{C} . The following result is a generalization of [[Kuh04](#), Corollary A.9], see also [Remark 2.43](#).

Corollary 2.38. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed presentable ∞ -category. If Y is a space, then for any object c in \mathcal{C} ,*

$$Y_+ \odot c \simeq Y \otimes c$$

naturally in Y and c . Moreover, if (X, x_0) is a pointed space, then

$$(2.39) \quad X \odot c \simeq \mathrm{cofib}(c \simeq * \otimes c \xrightarrow{x_0 \otimes \mathrm{id}} X \otimes c).$$

Proof. Only the second part needs comment. There is a cofiber sequence of pointed spaces

$$S^0 = \{*\}_+ \xrightarrow{(x_0)_+} X_+ \longrightarrow X.$$

Applying $- \odot c$ gives the desired cofiber sequence in \mathcal{C} . □

Corollary 2.40. *Let A be a spectrum, Y be a space and X be a pointed space. Then*

$$Y \otimes A \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty Y \wedge A, \quad X \odot A \simeq \Sigma^\infty X \wedge A.$$

This shows that in this case \odot recovers the familiar smash product of a pointed space with a spectrum, as in e.g. [[EKMM97](#), II.2.1].

Proof. By [Example 2.4](#), Sp is tensored over itself via the smash product, so the statements follow from [Proposition 2.24](#). □

We can apply [Proposition 2.27](#) to presentable pointed ∞ -categories and presentable stable ∞ -categories. We obtain that Ω^∞ of the mapping spectrum is the mapping pointed space:

Corollary 2.41. *Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable stable ∞ -category (such as Sp , for example). For any two objects A, B in \mathcal{C} we have an equivalence of pointed spaces*

$$\mathcal{S}_*(A, B) \simeq \Omega^\infty \mathrm{Sp}(A, B),$$

where Ω^∞ denotes the right adjoint to $\Sigma^\infty : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$.

In the following example, we will identify $S^1 \odot A$ with the more familiar bar construction on A , when A is an augmented commutative algebra.

Example 2.42. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with monoidal product \wedge and monoidal unit $\mathbf{1}$. The ∞ -category $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})_{/\mathbf{1}}$ of augmented commutative algebras in \mathcal{C} is pointed, the zero object being $\mathbf{1}$. Let A be an augmented commutative algebra in \mathcal{C} . The bar construction BA of A is the pushout of $\mathbf{1} \leftarrow A \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ [Lur17, 5.2.2.3/4] in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$. In fact,

$$S^1 \odot A \simeq BA.$$

To see this, first write S^1 as the pushout of $* \leftarrow * \sqcup * \rightarrow *$ in \mathcal{S} . Tensoring with A proves that $S^1 \otimes A$ is the pushout of $A \leftarrow A \wedge A \rightarrow A$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$; the two arrows are the multiplication map of A . Since $S^1 \odot A$ is the pushout of the unit $A \rightarrow S^1 \otimes A$ along the augmentation $A \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ (2.39), then $S^1 \odot A$ is the pushout of $\mathbf{1} \leftarrow A \wedge A \rightarrow A$.

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{1} & \leftarrow & A & \rightarrow & A \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{1} & \leftarrow & \mathbf{1} & \rightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{1} & \leftarrow & A & \rightarrow & A \end{array}$$

where all the arrows are either the unit or augmentation of A , or identities. Taking pushouts horizontally gives the diagram $\mathbf{1} \leftarrow A \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ whose pushout is BA , and taking pushouts vertically gives the diagram $\mathbf{1} \leftarrow A \wedge A \rightarrow A$ whose pushout is $S^1 \odot A$, as we have seen above. This proves the result, since colimits commute with colimits [Lur09, 5.5.2.3].

Using Remark 2.3, since $S^n \simeq (S^1)^{\wedge n}$ we deduce that $S^n \odot A$ is equivalent to the iterated bar construction $B^n A$.

As a particular example, we may take $(\mathcal{C}, \wedge, \mathbf{1})$ to be $(\mathrm{Sp}, \wedge, \mathcal{S})$, in which case $S^1 \odot A$ is $\mathrm{THH}(A, \mathcal{S})$, the topological Hochschild homology of A relative to \mathcal{S} , and $S^n \odot -$ gives iterated THH relative to \mathcal{S} . See also [Kuh04, 7.1].

To close the section, we will now give two remarks that compare the tensor construction to other constructions from the literature, namely, the Loday construction and the infinite symmetric product.

Remark 2.43. Let Fin denote the category of finite sets. If $X : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fin}$ is a finite simplicial set and R is an object of a presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , there is an alternative description of $X \otimes R^2$ whose roots go back to [Lod89], see also [Pir00]. In [Gla16, Section 3] this description is recast in an ∞ -categorical framework as follows:

$$X \otimes R \simeq \mathrm{colim}(\mathcal{L}_R \circ X),$$

where \mathcal{L}_R , the *Loday functor* for R , is the left Kan extension shown in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \{R\} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & * & \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ \{[1]\} & \downarrow & \nearrow \mathcal{L}_R \\ \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow{X} & \mathrm{Fin} \end{array}$$

Here $[1]$ denotes the one-point set. By the colimit formula for Kan extensions, $\mathcal{L}_R(U)$ is the coproduct of as many copies of R as there are elements in U , for any finite set U , so if \mathcal{C} has a cocartesian symmetric monoidal structure \vee , then $\mathcal{L}_R(U) = R^{\vee U}$. When X is the simplicial model for the circle S^1 given by $\Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$, then $S^1 \otimes R$ is the cyclic bar construction on R , $B^{\mathrm{cy}} R$. When $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ this recovers the equivalence $S^1 \otimes R \simeq \mathrm{THH}(R)$ [MSV97].

²In Remark 2.10 we noted that the colimit formula for tensors with spaces could be extended to arbitrary simplicial sets.

Let R be a commutative algebra in a presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{M} with monoidal product \wedge . Then if X is pointed, there is a version of the above construction of $X \otimes R$ relative to an R -module M , whose output is an object of \mathcal{M} (see [Pir00] for the classical case and [Gla16, Section 4] for the ∞ -categorical version of it).

Let us look at a particular case of this: If A is an augmented commutative R -algebra, then R becomes an A -module via the augmentation $A \rightarrow R$, and the corresponding Loday functor of A relative to R is called $\mathcal{L}_{R,A}$: it takes a finite pointed set U as input and returns the augmented commutative R -algebra $R \wedge A^{\wedge U_0}$, where U_0 is the set U stripped of its basepoint. We then get

$$R \wedge_A (X \otimes_R A) \simeq \operatorname{colim}(\mathcal{L}_{R,A} \circ X).$$

Here $X \otimes_R A$ denotes the tensor of A with X in the category of augmented commutative R -algebras; note that the forgetful functor from that category into commutative R -algebras is a left adjoint so the tensor can also be computed in the category of commutative R -algebras. Recalling that $R \wedge_A (X \otimes_R A)$ can be constructed as the pushout of $R \leftarrow A \rightarrow X \otimes_R A$ in $\operatorname{CAlg}(\mathcal{M})$ [Lur17, 5.2.2.4], then from (2.39) it follows that $R \wedge_A (X \otimes_R A) \simeq X \odot_R A$, where \odot_R denotes the \odot construction in the pointed ∞ -category of augmented commutative R -algebras. See [Kuh04, Section 4] for another model of $X \odot_R A$, similar to the one in the following remark.

Remark 2.44. Kuhn [Kuh04] has given a different description of the tensor of an E_∞ -monoid in spaces with a pointed space. We may rephrase his construction and recover it in this context. We will do it more generally for E_∞ -monoids in any presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .

First, note that $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C}_*) \simeq \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed,

$$(2.45) \quad \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C}_*) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{S}_* \otimes \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C}),$$

since $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ is pointed.

Let $G \in \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C}_*)$, so in particular G is a functor $\operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_*$. Let $m : \operatorname{Fin}_* \times \operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \operatorname{Fin}_*$ denote the multiplication map which takes $(\langle n \rangle, \langle p \rangle)$ to $\langle np \rangle$. Precomposing G with m gives a functor $m^*G : \operatorname{Fin}_* \times \operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_*$, whose adjoint we denote by $\overline{m^*G} : \operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Fin}_*, \mathcal{C}_*)$. Let $\mathbb{S} : \operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ denote $\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Fin}_*}(\langle 1 \rangle, -)$, which represents the sphere spectrum. In other words, it is the functor that considers a finite pointed set as a discrete pointed space. Taking the left Kan extension of $\overline{m^*G}$ along \mathbb{S} gives a functor

$$\operatorname{SP}^\infty(-, G) : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Fin}_*, \mathcal{C}_*)$$

which preserves colimits: this notation comes from [Kuh04] (in the $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$ case), who explains the connection to the infinite symmetric products of [McC69]. Note that $\mathbb{S}(\langle 1 \rangle) = S^0$ and $\overline{m^*G}(\langle 1 \rangle) = G(m(\langle 1 \rangle, -)) = G$, so $\operatorname{SP}^\infty(S^0, G) = G$. Both $\operatorname{SP}^\infty(-, G)$ and $- \odot G$ are colimit-preserving functors with value G at S^0 ; since \mathcal{S}_* is freely generated under colimits by S^0 in pointed presentable ∞ -categories (Proposition 2.29), the two functors are equivalent. Here we used that $\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Fin}_*, \mathcal{C}_*)$ is pointed: this follows from \mathcal{C}_* being pointed, and is the reason we are considering \mathcal{C}_* instead of just \mathcal{C} .

We have proven that

$$\operatorname{SP}^\infty(-, G) \simeq - \odot G,$$

recovering [Kuh04, 3.14] in this context (when $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$). Note that, in particular, $\operatorname{SP}^\infty(-, G)$ takes values in $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C}_*)$.

One can modify the construction above to obtain a similar expression for the tensor of $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ over $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$, which we denote by $\odot_{\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}}$. Indeed, a similar trick as (2.45) shows that $\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$, so a $G \in \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ can be presented by a functor $G : \operatorname{Fin}_* \rightarrow \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $F : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ be the localization map. The statement is that the Kan extension of G along $F \circ \mathbb{S}$ gives $- \odot_{\operatorname{Mon}_{E_\infty}} G$, and the proof is similar to the one above,

where we replace pointed ∞ -categories by semiadditive ones, and we use that F is symmetric monoidal, so it takes the monoidal unit of \mathcal{S}_* to the monoidal unit of $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$.

One cannot recover the tensor of $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ over \mathcal{S} similarly as above by taking the forgetful functor $\mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ in place of F , because that forgetful functor does not preserve the monoidal unit. However, instead of considering the multiplication functor m , one could consider the addition functor $a : \text{Fin}_* \times \text{Fin}_* \rightarrow \text{Fin}_*$ which takes $(\langle n \rangle, \langle p \rangle)$ to $\langle n + p \rangle$. In this case, if $G : \text{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is in $\text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$, then the Kan extension of $\overline{a^*G} : \text{Fin}_* \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Fin}_*, \mathcal{C})$ along the functor $\text{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which considers a finite pointed set as a discrete space is precisely $- \otimes G$, by a similar argument.

3. E_∞ -GROUPS

We now look at the case of E_∞ -groups G more closely. We prove an ∞ -categorical version of the splitting lemma of short exact sequences in abelian categories, and we use this to show that when X is a pointed space, then the tensor $X \otimes G$ splits as a product of G and $X \odot G$.

Remark 3.1. The inclusion functor $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ preserves colimits [Lur17, 5.2.6.9]. Therefore, if X is a space and G is a E_∞ -group, then $X \otimes G$ can be computed in either ∞ -category, and similarly for pointed X and $X \odot G$. This follows either from (2.7) and (2.39) or from Remark 2.23.

Let $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\infty]{B^\infty} \text{Sp}^{\text{cn}}$ denote the ∞ -categorical incarnation of the adjoint equivalence

between E_∞ -groups and connective spectra [Lur17, 5.2.6.26]. The symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ in (2.36) factors as the composition of the symmetric monoidal functor B^∞ followed by the inclusion $\text{Sp}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ [GGN15, 5.3(ii)].

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be an E_∞ -group and X be a pointed space. There is an equivalence of E_∞ -groups*

$$X \odot G \simeq \Omega^\infty(\Sigma^\infty X \wedge B^\infty G).$$

Proof. Let $F : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$ denote the functor in (2.36) and let \boxtimes denote the monoidal product of Grp_{E_∞} . By Proposition 2.24, $X \odot G \simeq FX \boxtimes G$. Applying B^∞ , we obtain equivalences of connective spectra

$$B^\infty(X \odot G) \simeq B^\infty(FX \boxtimes G) \simeq B^\infty FX \wedge B^\infty G \simeq \Sigma^\infty X \wedge B^\infty G.$$

Applying Ω^∞ to the above equivalence gives the result. \square

See also Remark 2.44 for another interpretation of $X \odot G$.

Remark 3.3. From Remark 2.26 we know that if we fix a spectrum E and X is a pointed space, then $X \odot E$ only depends on $\Sigma^\infty X$. In particular, if X and Y are pointed spaces such that for some $n > 0$ we have $\Sigma^n X \simeq \Sigma^n Y$, then $X \odot E \simeq Y \odot E$. Proposition 3.2 proves that we can extend this observation to E_∞ -groups: under the same hypotheses, if G is an E_∞ -group then $X \odot G \simeq Y \odot G$. This proves that the tensor of spectra or E_∞ -groups with pointed spaces is a stable invariant, in the sense of [LR19].

The following result is a version of the splitting lemma for short exact sequences in abelian categories.

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category and let $f : U \rightarrow V$ in \mathcal{C} . If there exists a map $g : V \rightarrow U$ such that $g \circ f \simeq \text{id}_U$, then $(g, p) : V \rightarrow U \times Z$ is an equivalence, where $p : V \rightarrow Z$ is the cofiber of f .*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & V & \xrightarrow{g} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & *. \end{array}$$

The left inner square is a pushout by definition, while the outer square is a pushout since $g \circ f \simeq \text{id}_U$. It follows that the inner right square is a pushout. As \mathcal{C} is stable, this is a pullback square as well, whence the conclusion follows. \square

We can now give a splitting for $X \otimes G$, when X is pointed:

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be an E_∞ -group and (X, x_0) be a pointed space. There is an equivalence of E_∞ -groups*

$$X \otimes G \simeq G \times (X \odot G)$$

which is natural in X and G . Explicitly, the equivalence is given by

$$(3.6) \quad X \otimes G \simeq X_+ \odot G \xrightarrow{(e_+ \odot \text{id}, p \odot \text{id})} G \times (X \odot G),$$

where e and p are defined below. This equivalence makes the following diagram commute, where π_1 denotes the projection.

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes G & \xrightarrow{* \otimes \text{id}} & G. \\ \simeq \downarrow & \nearrow \pi_1 & \\ G \times (X \odot G) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $e : X \rightarrow *$ denote the unique map. The split cofiber sequence of pointed spaces

$$*_+ \xleftarrow[e_+]{(x_0)_+} X_+ \xrightarrow{p} X$$

induces a split cofiber sequence of E_∞ -groups

$$G \longrightarrow X \otimes G \longrightarrow X \odot G$$

after applying the functor $- \odot G$. Let $i : \text{Sp}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ denote the inclusion functor, which is a left adjoint. Applying iB^∞ we obtain the following cofiber sequence of spectra:

$$iB^\infty G \longrightarrow iB^\infty(X \otimes G) \longrightarrow iB^\infty(X \odot G).$$

Since Sp is stable, by [Lemma 3.4](#) we have $iB^\infty(X \otimes G) \simeq iB^\infty G \times iB^\infty(X \odot G)$. Now note that i preserves finite products. To see this, first note that the right adjoint τ of i is such that $\tau \circ i \simeq \text{id}$. Moreover, if A and B are connective spectra, then $i(A) \times i(B)$ is connective since homotopy groups preserve products, so $i(A) \times i(B) \simeq i(C)$ for some connective spectrum C . Therefore,

$$C \simeq \tau i(C) \simeq \tau(i(A) \times i(B)) \simeq \tau i(A) \times \tau i(B) \simeq A \times B,$$

so $i(A) \times i(B) \simeq i(A \times B)$. In particular, $iB^\infty(X \otimes G) \simeq i(B^\infty G \times B^\infty(X \odot G))$, so applying τ we obtain

$$B^\infty(X \otimes G) \simeq B^\infty G \times B^\infty(X \odot G)$$

in Sp^{cn} . Applying Ω^∞ (which preserves products) finishes the proof. \square

Example 3.8. Let $X = S^1$. Since the monoidal unit (namely, the point) of the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of E_∞ -monoids is a final object, then an E_∞ -monoid is equivalently an augmented commutative algebra in \mathcal{S} . [Example 2.42](#) then implies that $S^1 \odot G \simeq BG$, so the equivalence of [Proposition 3.5](#) recovers the equivalence $B^{\text{cy}}G \simeq G \times BG$ where B^{cy} denotes the cyclic bar construction ([Remark 2.43](#)).

4. THOM SPECTRA

Having set up the formalism of tensors and having studied the case of E_∞ -groups in detail, we now turn our attention to tensors of spaces with Thom spectra Mf . Following [[ABG⁺14](#)], [[ABG18](#)], [[ACB19](#)] we define the latter in the ∞ -categorical framework. We then determine the tensor of Thom spectra with spaces, which is particularly simple when X is pointed. As a particular case, we recover the Thom isomorphism theorem of Mahowald. Finally, we look at concrete examples like suspension spectra of E_∞ -groups and the periodic complex cobordism spectrum MUP .

4.1. Generalities. Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum. An R -module M is *invertible* if there exists an R -module N such that $M \wedge_R N \simeq R$. We let $\text{Pic}(R)$ be the *Picard space* of R : this is the core (i.e. the maximal subspace) of the full subcategory of Mod_R on the invertible R -modules.

Definition 4.1. Let Z be a space and $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be a map of spaces: this is a *local system* of invertible R -modules on Z . The *Thom R -module* of f is defined as

$$Mf := \text{colim} \left(Z \xrightarrow{f} \text{Pic}(R) \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_R \right).$$

Note that this defines a functor $M : \mathcal{S}_{/\text{Pic}(R)} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$.

Example 4.2. Let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be the constant map at R . Then $Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty Z$. Indeed, Mf is the colimit of $Z \xrightarrow{\{S\}} \text{Sp} \xrightarrow{R \wedge -} \text{Mod}_R$, but $R \wedge -$ preserves colimits, so $Mf \simeq R \wedge (Z \otimes S) \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty(Z)$ using [Corollary 2.40](#).

As noted in [[ABG18](#), 7.7], [[ACB19](#), Section 3], $\text{Pic}(R)$ is an E_∞ -group. If G is an E_∞ -monoid and f is an E_∞ -map, then Mf gets an E_∞ -structure:

Proposition 4.3. [[ABG18](#), 8.1], [[ACB19](#), 3.2] *If G is an E_∞ -monoid and $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ is an E_∞ -map, then there is an E_∞ - R -algebra Mf such that its underlying R -module is given by the above colimit.*

Notation 4.4. Let $S[-] : \text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$ denote the functor induced on commutative algebras by the symmetric monoidal functor $\Sigma_+^\infty : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$. For R an E_∞ -ring spectrum, let $R[-] : \text{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_R$ denote the functor $R \wedge S[-]$.

Example 4.5. If $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ is the E_∞ -map constant at R , then $Mf \simeq R[G]$ as E_∞ - R -algebras. This follows e.g. from [[ACB19](#), 3.16] (see also [[ABG18](#), 8.4]), since f admits an obvious E_∞ R -orientation.

The proposition above is a consequence of the following theorem. First, recall that if \mathcal{C} is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $c \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$, then the over-category $\mathcal{C}_{/c}$ is also symmetric monoidal [[Lur17](#), 2.2.2.4]: if $f : x \rightarrow c$ and $g : y \rightarrow c$, then their monoidal product is given by the composition

$$x \wedge y \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} c \wedge c \xrightarrow{\mu} c$$

where μ is the multiplication map of c . A commutative algebra in $\mathcal{C}_{/c}$ is then a commutative algebra map $c' \rightarrow c$ from a commutative algebra c' in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 4.6. [ABG18, 8.1] *The Thom R -module functor*

$$M : \mathcal{S}/\mathrm{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R$$

is symmetric monoidal.

Since symmetric monoidal functors preserve commutative algebras, we get a functor

$$(4.7) \quad M : \mathrm{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})/\mathrm{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R,$$

thus recovering [Proposition 4.3](#). The functor (4.7) is also symmetric monoidal [Lur17, 3.2.4.3]. In particular, this says that if $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ and $g : H \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ are two E_∞ -maps, then

$$(4.8) \quad M(G \times H \xrightarrow{f \times g} \mathrm{Pic}(R) \times \mathrm{Pic}(R) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) \simeq Mf \wedge_R Mg$$

as E_∞ - R -algebras.

Let A be an E_∞ - R -algebra. Let us define the E_∞ -monoid $\mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A}$ as the following pullback of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Mod}_R)/A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Pic}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mod}_R. \end{array}$$

Antolín-Camarena and Barthel have given the following universal property for the E_∞ -structure on Mf :

Theorem 4.9. [ACB19, 3.5] *Let G be an E_∞ -monoid and $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ be an E_∞ -map. The E_∞ - R -algebra structure on Mf is characterized by the following universal property: the space of E_∞ - R -algebra maps $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R}(Mf, A)$ is equivalent to the space $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})/\mathrm{Pic}(R)}(G, \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A})$ of E_∞ -lifts of f indicated below:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A} & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{Pic}(R). \end{array}$$

4.2. Behavior with respect to the tensor. Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum. We will denote the tensor of CAlg_R over spaces by \otimes_R . Recall that $S^1 \otimes_R -$ is THH^R , topological Hochschild homology of E_∞ - R -algebras over the base R [MSV97]. Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ be a map of E_∞ -monoids. Let X be a space. We now prove that $X \otimes_R -$ and the Thom spectrum functor commute:

Proposition 4.10. *There is an equivalence of E_∞ - R -algebras*

$$X \otimes_R Mf \simeq M\left(X \otimes G \xrightarrow{* \otimes \mathrm{id}} * \otimes G \simeq G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)\right).$$

Proof. Let A be an E_∞ - R -algebra. Using the universal property of \otimes_R , [Theorem 4.9](#) and [Lemma 2.11](#) applied to $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})$, $K = \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ and $H = \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R}(X \otimes_R Mf, A) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R}(Mf, A)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})/\mathrm{Pic}(R)}(G, \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mon}_{E_\infty}(\mathcal{S})/\mathrm{Pic}(R)}(X \otimes G, \mathrm{Pic}(R)_{\downarrow A}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R}\left(M\left(X \otimes G \xrightarrow{* \otimes \mathrm{id}} * \otimes G \simeq G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)\right), A\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.11. *Suppose G is an E_∞ -group and X is pointed. There is an equivalence of E_∞ - R -algebras*

$$X \otimes_R Mf \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot G].$$

Proof. By [Proposition 4.10](#) and [Proposition 3.5](#), we have equivalences of E_∞ - R -algebras

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} X \otimes_R Mf &\simeq M \left(X \otimes G \xrightarrow{* \otimes \text{id}} * \otimes G \simeq G \xrightarrow{f} \text{Pic}(R) \right) \\ &\simeq M \left(G \times (X \odot G) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G \xrightarrow{f} \text{Pic}(R) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equivalence we have applied functoriality of M . Since R is the unit of $\text{Pic}(R)$, the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times (X \odot G) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \simeq (\text{id} \times *)} & G \times * \simeq G & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Pic}(R) \\ & \searrow f \times \{R\} & \downarrow f \times \{R\} & \nearrow \mu & \\ & & \text{Pic}(R) \times \text{Pic}(R) & & \end{array}$$

so (4.12) is equivalent to Thom E_∞ - R -algebra of $\mu \circ (f \times \{R\})$. By monoidality of M (4.8), this is equivalent to

$$Mf \wedge_R M \left(X \odot G \xrightarrow{\{R\}} \text{Pic}(R) \right).$$

By [Example 4.5](#), it is equivalent to $Mf \wedge_R R[X \odot G] \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot G]$. \square

Remark 4.13. Taking [Proposition 3.2](#) into account, [Theorem 4.11](#) is similar to [[Sch11](#), 1.1] in an ∞ -categorical setting. Note that whereas we consider Thom spectra of maps into $\text{Pic}(R)$ for any E_∞ -ring spectrum R , Schlichtkrull's result is for Thom spectra of maps into $BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$. Recall that the space $\text{Pic}(R)$ is equivalent to the product of $BGL_1(R)$ with $\pi_0(\text{Pic}(R))$; the latter is the classical Picard group of the homotopy category of Mod_R , i.e. the group of isomorphism classes of R -modules M such that there exists an R -module M' satisfying that $M \wedge_R M'$ is isomorphic to R in the homotopy category of R -modules.

Considering Thom spectra of maps into $\text{Pic}(R)$ as we do is therefore more general (for example, they may be non-connective whereas Thom spectra of maps into $BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$ are connective), and already taking maps into $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{S}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$ allows for a nice application, see [Example 4.21](#).

Note that the result of Schlichtkrull has also been generalized before in a different direction. In [[Kla18](#), 4.2], the author determined the factorization homology of Thom R -algebras. On one hand, it is more general, as it can be applied to Thom E_n - R -algebras instead of only E_∞ ; on the other hand, she only considers Thom spectra of maps into $BGL_1(R)$, whereas, more generally, we consider maps into $\text{Pic}(R)$. Moreover, factorization homology takes a manifold with extra structure as an input, whereas we consider tensors with completely general spaces X . The setting and the techniques are very different, and as a consequence, the expression of the result (of the factorization homology of structured manifolds with coefficients in Thom E_n - R -algebras in her case and of tensors of Thom E_∞ - R -algebras with spaces in our case) takes a very different form at a first glance.

Remark 4.14. In [Remark 3.3](#) we observed that $- \odot G$ is a stable invariant, i.e. if X and Y are pointed spaces such that $\Sigma^n X \simeq \Sigma^n Y$ for some $n > 0$, then $X \odot G \simeq Y \odot G$. From [Theorem 4.11](#) we deduce that $X \otimes_R Mf$ is a stable invariant as well. This was proven in [[LR19](#), 4.1], but since they use Schlichtkrull's result from [[Sch11](#)], they only consider maps to $BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$ as input for Thom spectra, instead of a more general $\text{Pic}(R)$ for an E_∞ -ring spectrum R .

Example 4.15. Let G be an E_∞ -group and let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be the constant map at R . By [Example 4.5](#), $Mf \simeq R[G]$. From [Theorem 4.11](#) we deduce that

$$X \otimes_R R[G] \simeq R[G] \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot G].$$

Example 4.16. Let $X = S^1$. Let G be an E_∞ -group and let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be an E_∞ -map. Since $S^1 \odot G \simeq BG$ ([Example 3.8](#)), then the formula of [Theorem 4.11](#) amounts to an equivalence

$$(4.17) \quad THH^R(Mf) \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[BG]$$

of E_∞ - R -algebras. The equivalence (4.17) has antecedents in the literature: besides the papers of Schlichtkrull and Klang mentioned in [Remark 4.13](#), there is [\[Blu10\]](#) for Thom E_∞ -ring spectra of E_∞ -maps into $BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$, [\[BCS10\]](#) for Thom spectra of E_3 -maps into $BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$, and [\[BSS17\]](#) for Thom E_∞ - R -algebras of E_∞ -maps into $BGL_1(R)$. These three papers take place in model-categorical contexts.

Example 4.18. Consider a pointed space of the form $X_+ = * \sqcup X$ where X is a space. Then [Theorem 4.11](#) amounts to an equivalence of E_∞ - R -algebras

$$(4.19) \quad Mf \wedge_R (X \otimes_R Mf) \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \otimes G],$$

essentially given by Thomifying the map $X \otimes G \rightarrow G \times (X \odot G)$ of (3.6). When $X = \{*\}$, the equivalence becomes

$$(4.20) \quad Mf \wedge_R Mf \simeq Mf \wedge \mathbb{S}[G],$$

which is the Thom isomorphism theorem going back to [\[Mah79\]](#), see also [\[ACB19, 3.16/17\]](#). Indeed, in this case, the equivalence is given by Thomifying the map $G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$, $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, y)$. Thus, we can think of the equivalence (4.19) for general X as a generalization of this Thom isomorphism.

Example 4.21. Consider the stable J -homomorphism $BU \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$, an E_∞ -map of E_∞ -groups. Its Thom E_∞ -ring spectrum is the periodic complex cobordism MUP . Note that $MUP \simeq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{2n} MU$ as spectra. [Theorem 4.11](#) gives an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$X \otimes MUP \simeq MUP \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot (BU \times \mathbb{Z})]$$

for all pointed spaces X . For example, for $X = S^1$, since $B(BU \times \mathbb{Z}) \simeq U$ this gives an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$THH(MUP) \simeq MUP \wedge \mathbb{S}[U].$$

This equivalence was briefly mentioned in [\[SS19, 8.6\]](#). See also [Example 7.10](#).

Example 4.22. Let HZP denote the periodic integral homology spectrum, which is the Thom E_∞ - $H\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of the E_∞ -map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(H\mathbb{Z})$ that sends n to $\Sigma^{2n} H\mathbb{Z}$ [\[HY19, 2.3\]](#). Note that $HZP \simeq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{2n} H\mathbb{Z}$ as $H\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. [Theorem 4.11](#) gives an equivalence of E_∞ - $H\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$X \otimes_{H\mathbb{Z}} HZP \simeq HZP \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot \mathbb{Z}].$$

For example, for $X = S^1$, we get $THH^{H\mathbb{Z}}(HZP) \simeq HZP \wedge \mathbb{S}[S^1]$.

Example 4.23. Let KU denote the periodic complex topological K -theory E_∞ -ring spectrum. We will see in [Example 7.8](#) that $S^1 \otimes KU \simeq KU \wedge \mathbb{S}[BK(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$. Formula (4.17) suggests that KU could be the Thom spectrum of an E_∞ -map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$. However, this is not the case. For if it were, then by the Thom isomorphism theorem ([Example 4.18](#)) we would have that $KU \wedge KU$ is equivalent to $KU \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)_+$, which is not the case, as recalled in [Example 7.8](#). In fact, KU is not even the Thom spectrum of an E_1 -map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$, since the Thom isomorphism holds for E_1 -maps. Note that in [\[AHL09\]](#) the authors prove that the connective complex K -theory ku is not the Thom spectrum of any E_3 -map $X \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$ where X is any grouplike E_3 -space.

5. X-BASE CHANGE

If $A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of E_∞ -ring spectra, for any space X there is an induced map $X \otimes A \rightarrow X \otimes B$. Sometimes, knowing $X \otimes A$ one can get to $X \otimes B$: the Weibel-Geller theorem [WG91], for example, asserts that if $A \rightarrow B$ is an étale extension of commutative rings, then $HH(B)$, the Hochschild homology of B , can be computed as $HH(A) \otimes_A B$; the topological analog of this theorem was proven by Mathew [Mat17]. We will now generalize this question to arbitrary tensors: see Definition 5.1 where we introduce the notion of X -base change. We will prove that S^n -base change is enough to guarantee X -base change for any $(n-1)$ -connected pointed X . In this section, we work in an arbitrary presentable ∞ -category; we will specialize to E_∞ -ring spectra in Section 7.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a pointed space and \mathcal{C} be a presentable ∞ -category. We say that a map $c \rightarrow d$ in \mathcal{C} satisfies X -base change if the diagram in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \longrightarrow & d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \otimes c & \longrightarrow & X \otimes d \end{array}$$

is a pushout, where the vertical maps are given by the inclusion of the basepoint in X . Equivalently, we are asking for the pushout map

$$(5.2) \quad (X \otimes c) \sqcup_c d \rightarrow X \otimes d$$

to be an equivalence. By Yoneda and the tensor - mapping space adjunction, this is equivalent to

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(d, z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(d, z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, z) \end{array}$$

being a pullback in \mathcal{S} for all $z \in \mathcal{C}$, where the horizontal maps are the evaluation maps at the basepoint of X .

Example 5.4. Any map $c \rightarrow d$ satisfies X -base change when X is contractible.

We will now prove that the base change property is closed under many operations; see also Remark 5.6 for some negative results. That remark and the following proposition completely settle the question of the closure of the base change property under limits and colimits in general.

Proposition 5.5. Let $f : c \rightarrow d$ be a map in a presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .

- (1) Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of pointed spaces. Suppose that f satisfies X_i -base change for all i . Then it satisfies $\prod_i X_i$ -base change.
- (2) Let $F : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ be a diagram of pointed spaces. Let $U : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the forgetful functor. Suppose that the natural map $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{S}}(UF) \rightarrow U(\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{S}_*} F)$ is an equivalence of spaces, and that f satisfies $F(i)$ -base change for all i . Then it satisfies $(\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{S}_*} F)$ -base change.
- (3) Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of pointed spaces. Suppose that f satisfies X_i -base change for all i . Then it satisfies $\bigvee_i X_i$ -base change.
- (4) Let X and Y be pointed spaces. Suppose that f satisfies X -base change and Y -base change. Then it satisfies $X \wedge Y$ -base change.

Proof. (1) Let us first prove that if f satisfies X -base change and Y -base change, then it satisfies $X \times Y$ -base change. Let $z \in \mathcal{C}$. Applying $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(Y, -)$ to the pullback diagram

(5.3) and using the product - mapping space adjunction in \mathcal{S} we get a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X \times Y, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(d, z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(Y, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(d, z)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(X \times Y, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(Y, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, z)). \end{array}$$

Pasting this pullback diagram with the pullback diagram (5.3) where X has been replaced with Y gives us the result.

For a general family $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ we use the same argument as before, with the sole difference that we are pasting as many pullback squares as there are elements in I .

- (2) The result follows from $- \otimes - : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserving colimits in the first variable and the fact that colimits commute with pushouts.
- (3) Note that $\bigvee_i X_i$ is the colimit of the diagram $\{ * \rightarrow X_i \}_{i \in I}$, so the result follows from (2).
- (4) Note that $X \wedge Y$ is the cofiber of $X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y$, so the result follows from the previous points. \square

Remark 5.6. (1) The condition that $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{S}_*} F \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{S}} F$ in the previous lemma is satisfied whenever the index category I is connected (e.g. for pushouts and sequential colimits). This condition does not hold for coproduct diagrams, and the conclusion of [Proposition 5.5\(2\)](#) for coproducts typically doesn't hold, either: $S^0 = * \sqcup *$, f always satisfies $*$ -base change, and f satisfies S^0 -base change if and only if $c \sqcup d \rightarrow d \sqcup d$ is an equivalence, which is not always true, see [Example 7.8](#).

- (2) Base change is not stable under pullbacks in general. Suppose it were, and let f be a map that satisfies X -base change for some given connected pointed space X . Then f would satisfy $\Omega X = * \times_X *$ -base change. In [Example 7.8](#) we will give an example of an f that satisfies X -base change for all connected pointed X but does not satisfy S^0 -base change. Now take X to be $\mathbb{R}P^\infty = B\mathbb{Z}/2$: we would have that f satisfies $\Omega B\mathbb{Z}/2 \simeq S^0$ -base change, getting a contradiction.

The following theorem is an application of the previous proposition:

Theorem 5.7. *Let $f : c \rightarrow d$ be a map in a presentable ∞ -category. Let $n \geq 0$. Suppose f satisfies S^n -base change. Then f satisfies X -base change for any $(n - 1)$ -connected pointed space X .³*

Proof. Let X be an $(n - 1)$ -connected pointed space: it is a sequential colimit in \mathcal{S} of its skeleta $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$. It suffices to prove that f satisfies X_i -base change for all i .

For $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ (there are no such i if $n = 0$ and in this case this step is skipped), since X is $(n - 1)$ -connected, we can assume that X_i is a point, so f satisfies X_i -base change for these values.

For $i = n$, using the above assumption we have that X_n is a wedge of copies of S^n , so f satisfies X_n -base change.

For $i \geq n$ we do induction. We have that X_{i+1} is the pushout of $\bigvee * \leftarrow \bigvee S^i \rightarrow X_i$. It now suffices to observe that f satisfies S^i -base change. Indeed, this follows by induction on $i \geq n$, by noting that S^{i+1} is the pushout of $* \leftarrow S^i \rightarrow *$. \square

See [Corollary 7.2](#) and [Corollary 7.15](#) for concrete applications of [Theorem 5.7](#).

6. THE THOM ISOMORPHISM IS NOT S^0 -BASE CHANGE

A map $g : c \rightarrow d$ satisfies S^0 -base change if and only if $g \sqcup \mathrm{id} : c \sqcup d \rightarrow d \sqcup d$ is an equivalence. Note that this is a stronger condition than $c \sqcup d \simeq d \sqcup d$: for an example in the category of sets, no function $g : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is such that $g \sqcup \mathrm{id}$ is an equivalence, but $\{0\} \sqcup \mathbb{N} \simeq \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}$.

³Recall that all pointed spaces are (-1) -connected, which just means non-empty.

In this section, we show that the Thom isomorphism is another example of this phenomenon. Let G be an E_∞ -group, let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum and let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be an E_∞ -map. The Thom isomorphism theorem of [Example 4.18](#) gives us an equivalence $R[G] \wedge_R Mf \simeq Mf \wedge_R Mf$, which suggests the possibility that there exists a map $R[G] \rightarrow Mf$ of E_∞ - R -ring spectra that satisfies S^0 -base change. We will show that this is not possible for maps of the form $M(h) : R[G] \rightarrow Mf$, under a certain naturality assumption for the maps $h : G \rightarrow G$, and with the hypothesis that f has non-torsion image (for example, $\text{Pic}(S)$ has no torsion, so any non-trivial f into it satisfies this hypothesis).

The gist of the proof is [Proposition 6.5](#). It is a statement about E_∞ -groups: it says that any natural collection of endomorphisms over a fixed group P (in the sense of [Definition 6.3](#); we will then take $P = \text{Pic}(R)$) has to be of the form $g \mapsto g^n$ for a fixed integer n . The statement about the Thom isomorphism not being S^0 -base change, [Theorem 6.7](#), follows from general properties of Thom spectra.

The proof of [Theorem 6.7](#) is similar in spirit to the proof of the Thom isomorphism of [Example 4.18](#), in the sense that both of them reduce to a statement about E_∞ -groups: in the Thom isomorphism case, the key observation is that the shearing map $G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$, $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, y)$ is an equivalence.

Definition 6.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. Define $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(B\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{C})$. Its objects are arrows $f : c \rightarrow c$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and its morphisms are the commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{h} & d \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ c & \xrightarrow{h} & d. \end{array}$$

The map $* \rightarrow B\mathbb{N}$ induces a projection map $\pi : \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 6.2. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Define $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{\downarrow c}$ as the following pullback of ∞ -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{\downarrow c} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{C}_{/c} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi_c \\ \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{C}. \end{array}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{\downarrow c}$ is not an over-category, and, in particular, is different from $\mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{/id_c}$.

Definition 6.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. A *natural collection of endomorphisms over c* is a section $H : \mathcal{C}_{/c} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{\downarrow c}$ of the projection map $\pi_1 : \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\mathcal{C})_{\downarrow c} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/c}$.

Notation 6.4. For a morphism $f : d \rightarrow c$, $H(f)$ is a commutative triangle of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & \xrightarrow{d_2H(f)} & d \\ & \searrow & \swarrow f \\ & c & \end{array}$$

However, the data of this commutative triangle is determined up to homotopy by the morphism $d_2H(f) : d \rightarrow d$. Indeed, the right leg of the triangle is always f (which follows from the fact that H is a section of π_1) and the left leg of the triangle is a composition of $d_2H(f)$ and f . Thus, in order to simplify notation, we will denote $d_2H(f)$ by $H(f) : d \rightarrow d$ as well.

With these definitions at hand we will now prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. *Let P be an E_∞ -group and*

$$H : \text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(S)_{/P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\text{nd}(\text{Grp}_{E_\infty}(S))_{\downarrow P}$$

be a natural collection of endomorphisms over P . There exists a fixed integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every E_∞ -map $f : G \rightarrow P$, we have $H(f)(g) \simeq g^n$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Fix an E_∞ -map $f : G \rightarrow P$. In order to show that $H(f) : G \rightarrow G$ is equivalent to a map $(-)^n : G \rightarrow G$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to prove that there exists an n such that $\pi_0(H(f)) = (-)^n : \pi_0(G) \rightarrow \pi_0(G)$ as group homomorphisms.

We will do so in two steps. First, we show that for every class $[g] \in \pi_0(G)$ there exists an $n_g \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi_0(H(f))([g]) = [g]^{n_g}$. Then, we show that for any two classes $[g], [h] \in \pi_0(G)$ we have $n_g = n_h$.

Fix $g \in G$. Let $\langle g \rangle : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G$ be the unique E_∞ -map induced by the element g . By functoriality of H , we get a commutative diagram of E_∞ -groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S} & \xrightarrow{\langle g \rangle} & G \\ H(f \langle g \rangle) \downarrow & & \downarrow H(f) \\ \mathbb{S} & \xrightarrow{\langle g \rangle} & G \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ P. \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ f \\ \\ \end{array}$$

We can apply π_0 to this square and use the fact that $\pi_0(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{Z}$ to get the following commutative diagram of abelian groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\langle g \rangle)} & \pi_0(G) \\ \pi_0(H(f \langle g \rangle)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_0(H(f)) \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\langle g \rangle)} & \pi_0(G) \end{array}$$

However, every group homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to itself is multiplication by a fixed integer, so there exists an $n_g \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi_0(H(f \langle g \rangle))$ is multiplication by n_g . Thus, the commutativity of the diagram implies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(H(f))([g]) &= (\pi_0(H(f)) \circ \pi_0(\langle g \rangle))(1) = (\pi_0(\langle g \rangle) \circ \pi_0(H(f \langle g \rangle)))(1) = \\ &= \pi_0(\langle g \rangle)(n_g) = [g]^{n_g} \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the first step.

We will now show that n_g does not depend on g . Let g, h be two elements in G and $\langle g, h \rangle : \mathbb{S} \vee \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G$ be the free map of E_∞ -groups induced by them. We can apply H to get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S} \vee \mathbb{S} & \xrightarrow{\langle g, h \rangle} & G \\ H(f \langle g, h \rangle) \downarrow & & \downarrow H(f) \\ \mathbb{S} \vee \mathbb{S} & \xrightarrow{\langle g, h \rangle} & G \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ P. \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ f \\ \\ \end{array}$$

Again, applying π_0 to the commutative square we get following diagram of abelian groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\langle g, h \rangle)} & \pi_0(G) \\ \pi_0(H(f \langle g, h \rangle)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_0(H(f)) \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\langle g, h \rangle)} & \pi_0(G), \end{array}$$

Let x_g, x_h be the two generators of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Using what we proved above, there exist integers n, m and k such that $\pi_0(H(f \langle g, h \rangle))$ maps $x_g \mapsto (x_g)^n$, $x_h \mapsto (x_h)^m$ and $x_g x_h \mapsto (x_g)^k (x_h)^k$, which implies that $n = m = k$ and finishes the proof. \square

We say that an E_∞ -group G is *torsion* if the abelian group $\pi_0(G)$ is torsion, and similarly for elements of G .

Proposition 6.6. *Let $f : G \rightarrow P$ be a map of E_∞ -monoids such that its image is not torsion. Let H be a natural collection of endomorphisms over P such that the following diagram commutes, where R denotes the unit of P .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\{R\}} & P \\ H(f) \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow f \\ G & & \end{array}$$

Then $H(f) \simeq \{e\} : G \rightarrow G$, where e denotes the unit of G .

Proof. Let $g \in G$ be such that $f(g)$ is not torsion. By [Proposition 6.5](#), there exists an integer n such that $H(f)$ is the n -th power map, so

$$R \simeq (f \circ H(f))(g) \simeq f(g^n) \simeq (f(g))^n.$$

However, $f(g)$ is not torsion, which implies that $n = 0$. □

We will now apply this result to the Thom isomorphism theorem.

Theorem 6.7. *Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum. Let $H : (\mathrm{Grp}_{E_\infty})_{/\mathrm{Pic}(R)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(\mathrm{Grp}_{E_\infty}(S))_{\downarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)}$ be a natural collection of endomorphisms over $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$. Suppose that for all $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$, we have that $f \circ H(f) \simeq \{R\}$, and additionally the induced map $M(H(f)) : R[G] \rightarrow M(f)$ satisfies S^0 -base change. Then any $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ that is not torsion is such that $\iota_2 : Mf \rightarrow Mf \wedge_R Mf$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(R)$ be a map with non-torsion image. By the previous proposition, the map $H(f) : G \rightarrow G$ is trivial.

By the results of [Section 4](#), we have equivalences

$$Mf \wedge_R Mf \simeq M(G \times G \xrightarrow{f \times f} \mathrm{Pic}(R) \times \mathrm{Pic}(R) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) \simeq M(G \times G \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)),$$


where the last step follows from f being a map of E_∞ -monoids. Similarly, we have equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} R[G] \wedge_R Mf &\simeq M(G \xrightarrow{\{R\}} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) \wedge_R M(G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) \simeq \\ &\simeq M(G \times G \xrightarrow{\{R\} \times f} \mathrm{Pic}(R) \times \mathrm{Pic}(R) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) \simeq M(G \times G \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)). \end{aligned}$$


Since $H(f) \simeq \{e\}$ and $M(H(f))$ satisfies S^0 -base change, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[G] \wedge_R Mf & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{M(\{e\}) \wedge_R \mathrm{id}} & Mf \wedge_R Mf \\ \Big| \simeq & & \Big| \simeq \\ M(G \times G \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)) & \xrightarrow{M(\{e\} \times \mathrm{id})} & M(G \times G \xrightarrow{\mu} G \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}(R)). \end{array}$$


The map $\{e\} \times \mathrm{id} : G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ factors as $\{e\} \times \mathrm{id} = \iota_2 \pi_2$. Thus, we get the following commutative diagram of E_∞ -monoids over $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$.



Applying the Thom construction to this diagram, we get



As $M(\{e\} \times \text{id})$ is an equivalence, there exists an equivalence $K : Mf \wedge_R Mf \rightarrow R[G] \wedge_R Mf$ such that $M(\{e\} \times \text{id}) \circ K \simeq \text{id}_{Mf \wedge_R Mf}$, which gives us the following commutative diagram:



whence we conclude that $M(\iota_2) : Mf \rightarrow Mf \wedge_R Mf$ is an equivalence. \square

Example 6.8. As $\pi_0(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})) = \mathbb{Z}$ [Str92, 2.2], any non-trivial map $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$ necessarily has non-torsion image. Assume there is a natural collection of endomorphisms H over $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$ such that for every f , we have that $f \circ H(f) \simeq \{\mathbb{S}\}$ and $M(H(f)) : \mathbb{S}[G] \rightarrow M(f)$ satisfies S^0 -base change. Then, according to the previous theorem, as soon as $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$ is non-trivial, the map $\iota_2 : Mf \rightarrow Mf \wedge Mf$ is an equivalence. However, this typically does not hold. For example, for $MU = M(BU \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{S}))$, the homology cooperations ring $MU_* MU$ is not isomorphic to the homotopy ring $\pi_*(MU)$ [Swi75, 17.16]. This proves that the Thom isomorphism for MU is not given by S^0 -base change of a map $M(h) : \mathbb{S}[G] \rightarrow Mf$, provided h is natural in the sense described above.

7. BASE CHANGE FOR MAPS OF E_∞ -RING SPECTRA

Following up on Section 5, where we introduced the notion of X -base change, we now specialize to the case where $\mathcal{C} = \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$ (similarly, we could take $\text{CAlg}(\text{Mod}_R)$ for R an E_∞ -ring spectrum), in which case for $f : A \rightarrow B$ the map (5.2) becomes

$$(X \otimes A) \wedge_A B \rightarrow X \otimes B.$$

We will now consider conditions on f which guarantee that the map displayed above is an equivalence, i.e. that f satisfies X -base change, for some class of pointed spaces X . Note, for example, that it is unlikely to hold for non-connected X : a map $A \rightarrow B$ satisfies S^0 -base change if and only if $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge B$ is an equivalence (a concrete counterexample is given in

Example 7.8). We first look at the case where f is an étale map. Then we look at the particular case of inversion of a homotopy element. Finally, we consider Galois extensions.

7.1. Étale maps. Following [Lur17, 7.5.0.1/2], a map of (ordinary) commutative rings $A \rightarrow B$ is *étale* if B is finitely presented as an A -algebra, B is flat as an A -module, and there exists an idempotent element $e \in B \otimes_A B$ such that the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ induces an isomorphism $(B \otimes_A B)[e^{-1}] \cong B$. For the following definition, we follow the terminology of [Lur17, 7.5.0.4] for étale maps, and that of [MM03] for THH -étale and TAQ -étale maps. Note that in [Rog08], the latter two are called “formally symmetrically étale” and “formally étale” maps, respectively.

Definition 7.1. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of E_∞ -ring spectra. We say it is:

- (1) *étale* if $\pi_0(A) \rightarrow \pi_0(B)$ is étale and B is flat as an A -module, i.e. the natural map

$$\pi_*(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(B) \rightarrow \pi_*(B)$$

is an isomorphism,

- (2) *THH-étale* if the natural map $A \rightarrow THH^A(B)$ is an equivalence,
(3) *TAQ-étale* if the E_∞ -cotangent complex $L_{B/A}$ is contractible.

The natural map $A \rightarrow THH^A(B) = S^1 \otimes_A B$ (where \otimes_A denotes the tensor of CAlg_A over spaces) comes from the inclusion of a basepoint into S^1 . As noted in [Mat17, Section 5], this map is an equivalence if and only if $A \rightarrow B$ is a *0-cotruncated* map in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$, meaning that the map of spaces $f^* : \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})}(A, C)$ is a covering space for all $C \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$, i.e. it has discrete homotopy fibers over any basepoint. This is easily proven using the tensor-internal hom adjunction of $\text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$. The cotangent complex in the ∞ -categorical context is defined in [Lur17, 7.3].

Any étale map is THH -étale [Lur17, 7.5.4.6] and any THH -étale map is TAQ -étale [Rog08, 9.4.4]. Note that if A and B are connective and satisfy some finiteness hypothesis (such as B being finitely presented as an A -algebra), then a TAQ -étale map is étale [Lur11, 8.9] and thus in this case all three étaleness conditions are equivalent.

Mathew has proven that if $A \rightarrow B$ is étale, then it satisfies S^1 -base change [Mat17, 1.3]. In light of **Theorem 5.7**, we get:

Corollary 7.2. *Let X be a connected pointed space. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an étale map of E_∞ -ring spectra. Then f satisfies X -base change.*

Note that in [Mat17, 5.2] Mathew also proved that if $A \rightarrow B$ is THH -étale, then it satisfies X -base change for all simply connected pointed spaces X . He stated it for faithful Galois extensions (see **Section 7.3**), but his proof only uses THH -étaleness, which is satisfied for Galois extensions [Rog08, 9.2.6].

7.2. Inversion of a homotopy element. Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum and $x \in \pi_0(R)$. Lurie proves [Lur17, 7.5.0.6/7] that there exists an E_∞ -ring spectrum $R[x^{-1}]$ with an étale map of E_∞ -ring spectra $R \rightarrow R[x^{-1}]$ which realizes the algebraic localization morphism $\pi_0(R) \rightarrow \pi_0(R)[x^{-1}]$. In [Lur18, 4.3.17], he generalizes the construction to $x \in \pi_n(R)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and he gives the following universal property for the E_∞ - R -algebra $R[x^{-1}]$. Consider x as a map $\Sigma^n R \rightarrow R$ in Mod_R . For any $A \in \text{CAlg}_R$,

$$\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_R}(R[x^{-1}], A) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{contractible} & \text{if } x \text{ induces an equivalence } A \wedge_R \Sigma^n R \xrightarrow{\sim} A \wedge_R R, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $A \in \text{CAlg}_R$, then the unit $R \rightarrow A$ allows us to consider x as an element of $\pi_*(A)$, and thus to consider $A[x^{-1}]$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum, $x \in \pi_*(R)$ and $A \in \text{CAlg}_R$. Then the canonical pushout map*

$$A \wedge_R R[x^{-1}] \rightarrow A[x^{-1}]$$

is an equivalence of E_∞ - A -algebras.

Proof. Let $B \in \text{CAlg}_A$. Note that $A \wedge_R R[x^{-1}]$ is in CAlg_A as the extension of scalars via $R \rightarrow A$ of $R[x^{-1}]$, so $\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_A}(A \wedge_R R[x^{-1}], B)$ is contractible if $B \wedge_R \Sigma^n R \rightarrow B \wedge_R R$ is an equivalence, and empty otherwise. The mapping space $\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_A}(A[x^{-1}], B)$ is contractible or empty under the same conditions, so the result follows. \square

As a direct corollary of [Corollary 7.2](#) and [Lemma 7.3](#), we obtain:

Corollary 7.4. *Let X be a connected pointed space. Let R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum and $x \in \pi_*(R)$. Then $R \rightarrow R[x^{-1}]$ satisfies X -base change, i.e. the canonical map*

$$(X \otimes R) \wedge_R R[x^{-1}] \rightarrow X \otimes R[x^{-1}]$$

is an equivalence, so we get an equivalence

$$(X \otimes R)[x^{-1}] \simeq X \otimes R[x^{-1}].$$

Remark 7.5. Let R and T be E_∞ -ring spectra. Let $x \in \pi_*(R)$. Applying [Lemma 7.3](#) to $A = R \wedge T$ where $R \wedge T$ is an E_∞ - R -algebra via the canonical map $R \rightarrow R \wedge T$, we get an equivalence of E_∞ - R -algebras $R[x^{-1}] \wedge T \simeq (R \wedge T)[x^{-1}]$.

Putting [Corollary 7.4](#), [Theorem 4.11](#) and [Remark 7.5](#) together, we deduce:

Corollary 7.6. *Let G be an E_∞ -group, R be an E_∞ -ring spectrum and $f : G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$ be an E_∞ -map. Let $x \in \pi_*(Mf)$. Let X be a connected pointed space. Then*

$$X \otimes_R ((Mf)[x^{-1}]) \simeq (Mf)[x^{-1}] \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot G]$$

as E_∞ - R -algebras.

Taking $R = \mathbb{S}$ and the Thom spectrum to be trivial, we get:

Corollary 7.7. *Let G be an E_∞ -group and $x \in \pi_*(\mathbb{S}[G])$. Let X be a connected pointed space. Then*

$$X \otimes (\mathbb{S}[G][x^{-1}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}[G][x^{-1}] \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot G]$$

as E_∞ -ring spectra.

Example 7.8. Let KU denote the periodic complex topological K -theory E_∞ -ring spectrum. Snaith [[Sna79](#)], [[Sna81](#)] proved that $KU \simeq \mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)][x^{-1}]$ as homotopy commutative ring spectra (i.e. commutative monoids in the homotopy category of spectra), where $x \in \pi_2 \mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$ is induced by the fundamental class in $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$. See [[Lur18](#), 6.5.1] for one improvement of such an equivalence to an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra. [Corollary 7.7](#) gives an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$X \otimes KU \simeq KU \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$$

for any connected pointed space X . In [[Sto19](#)], the second-named author worked in a model-categorical setting and got that description of $X \otimes KU$ when X is an n -sphere or an n -torus, $n \geq 1$ (an inductive proof similar to the one of [Corollary 7.2](#) would have allowed the author to obtain the above formula for $X \otimes KU$ in that same setting). A different description as a free E_∞ - KU -algebra was also given; that description involves properties special to KU which do not generalize to other Thom spectra with a homotopy element inverted, so they are not recovered here.

To conclude this example, let us remark that the map $\mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)] \rightarrow KU$ which inverts x does not satisfy S^0 -base change, even though it satisfies X -base change for connected X by

Corollary 7.4. Indeed, the spectra $S^0 \otimes KU \simeq KU \wedge KU$ and $KU \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)_+$ have different homotopy groups, see e.g. [Swi75, 17.34, 16.30]. This is the example used in Remark 5.6 to prove that base change is not closed under coproducts and pullbacks of spaces.

Example 7.9. In [Wes17, 1.2], Westerland gives a higher analog of Snaith's theorem. First, recall that if p is a prime and E_n denotes the n -th Morava E -theory spectrum at the prime p , then $E_1 \simeq KU_p$, the p -completed periodic complex K -theory spectrum. The extended Morava stabilizer group G_n acts on E_n , and $E_n^{hG_n} \simeq L_{K(n)}\mathbb{S}$, the $K(n)$ -local sphere; here $K(n)$ denotes the n -th Morava K -theory at the prime p . The extended Morava stabilizer group has a subgroup denoted SG_n^\pm by Westerland: he establishes an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$E_n^{hSG_n^\pm} \simeq (L_{K(n)}\mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}_p, n+1)])[\rho_n^{-1}]$$

where ρ_n is a higher analogue of the Bott element and p is odd. Corollary 7.4 gives a first modest step towards the calculation of $X \otimes E_n^{hSG_n^\pm}$ where X is a connected pointed space, i.e. its calculation reduces to the determination of $X \otimes L_{K(n)}\mathbb{S}[K(\mathbb{Z}_p, n+1)]$.

Example 7.10. Let MUP denote the periodic complex bordism E_∞ -ring spectrum. In [Sna81], Snaith proved that $MUP \simeq \mathbb{S}[BU][x^{-1}]$ as homotopy commutative ring spectra, where $x \in \pi_2\mathbb{S}[BU]$. This suggests a computation of $X \otimes MUP$ for X a connected pointed space using Corollary 7.7, but it turns out that one cannot proceed as straightforwardly as in Example 7.8 because this equivalence of homotopy commutative ring spectra *cannot* be lifted to an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra, though it can be lifted to an equivalence of E_2 -ring spectra [HY19]. Of course, Corollary 7.7 does give an equivalence of E_∞ -ring spectra

$$X \otimes \mathbb{S}[BU][x^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{S}[BU][x^{-1}] \wedge \mathbb{S}[X \odot BU].$$

Since THH is actually an invariant of E_1 -ring spectra and MUP and $\mathbb{S}[BU][x^{-1}]$ are equivalent as E_1 -ring spectra, then as $BBU \simeq SU$ we get an equivalence of spectra

$$THH(MUP) \simeq MUP \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty(SU).$$

In Example 4.21 we proved that $THH(MUP) \simeq MUP \wedge \mathbb{S}[U]$ as E_∞ -ring spectra. Putting these two results together, we conclude that there is an equivalence of spectra

$$MUP \wedge SU_+ \simeq MUP \wedge U_+.$$

In other words, the (unreduced) MUP -homology groups of SU and of U are abstractly isomorphic.

In fact, one can compute these groups. To compute $MU_*(U)$, one can use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence $E_{*,*}^2 = \tilde{H}_*(U, \pi_*(MU)) \Rightarrow \widetilde{MU}_*(U)$. Since $\pi_*(MU)$ is free over \mathbb{Z} , the E_2 -page is $\tilde{H}_*(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_*(MU) \cong \tilde{E}(y_1, y_3, \dots) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P(x_2, x_4, \dots)$ where $|y_i| = i$ and $|x_j| = j$; here \tilde{E} denotes a non-unital exterior algebra over \mathbb{Z} and P denotes a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{Z} . Thus, the E_2 -page has a checkerboard pattern and the spectral sequence collapses at that page. We get that $MU_*(U) \cong \widetilde{MU}_*(U) \oplus MU_* \cong E(y_1, y_3, \dots) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P(x_2, x_4, \dots)$ where E denotes a (unital) exterior algebra, and therefore,

$$MUP_*(U) \cong E(y_1, y_3, \dots) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P(x_2, x_4, \dots)[x_2^{-1}].$$

The description of $MUP_*(SU)$ is the same but without the y_1 generator. By inspection, both $MUP_*(U)$ and $MUP_*(SU)$ have a direct sum of countably many copies of \mathbb{Z} in each degree, so they are indeed abstractly isomorphic.

7.3. Galois extensions of E_∞ -ring spectra. Rognes [Rog08] generalized the theory of Galois extensions of commutative rings to the framework of E_∞ -ring spectra. This notion has numerous applications, with a considerable source of examples coming from chromatic homotopy theory. In what follows, we will establish base change for a large class of Galois extensions.

Let G be a topological group. Recall from the discussion before [Proposition 2.12](#) that the ∞ -category of objects of \mathcal{C} with G -action is given by $\text{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. Whenever X is a space and A is an E_∞ -ring spectrum with G -action, then $X \otimes A$ is also an E_∞ -ring spectrum with G -action.

Definition 7.11. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of E_∞ -ring spectra and G be a finite group. Suppose, in addition, that B has a G -action given by a functor $B : BG \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_A$. The map f is a G -Galois extension if

- (1) The canonical map $A \rightarrow B^{hG}$ is an equivalence.
- (2) The map of E_∞ - A -algebras $B \wedge_A B \rightarrow \prod_G B$ induced by the morphisms $\{B \wedge_A B \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge g} B \wedge_A B \xrightarrow{\mu} B\}_{g \in G}$ is an equivalence.

The map f is said to be a *faithful G -Galois extension* if the functor $- \wedge_A B : \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B$ is conservative, i.e. reflects equivalences.

The first condition is analogous to taking fixed fields in classical Galois theory, while the second corresponds to the requirement of the extension being unramified.

Mathew proved that when $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithful Galois extension, it satisfies descent:

Proposition 7.12. [Mat17, Corollary 4.2 and (6)] *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a faithful G -Galois extension. There is an adjoint equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Mod}_B(\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Sp})) \xrightleftharpoons[-\wedge_A B]{(-)^{hG}} \text{Mod}_A .$$

Moreover, it yields an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Fun}(BG, \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp}))_{B/} \simeq \text{CAlg}(\text{Mod}_B(\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Sp}))) \simeq \text{CAlg}_A .$$

Remark 7.13. The construction $- \wedge_A B$ gives, a priori, a functor from Mod_A (resp. CAlg_A) to Mod_B (resp. CAlg_B). Since $- \wedge_A B$ is functorial in B , we can thus see this as a functor to the corresponding category of G -equivariant objects.

Next, we remark that in the context of faithful G -Galois extensions, the X -base change property and the compatibility of homotopy fixed points with tensoring are equivalent statements. This is an adaptation of [Mat17, 4.3] which covers the case $X = S^1$; the same proof gives the following:

Proposition 7.14. *Let X be a pointed space and $A \rightarrow B$ a faithful G -Galois extension. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ satisfies X -base change, i.e. the comparison map $(X \otimes A) \wedge_A B \rightarrow X \otimes B$ is an equivalence of E_∞ - B -algebras,
- (2) $X \otimes A \rightarrow X \otimes B$ is a faithful G -Galois extension,
- (3) The map $X \otimes A \simeq ((X \otimes A) \wedge_A B)^{hG} \rightarrow (X \otimes B)^{hG}$ is an equivalence of E_∞ - A -algebras.

It is known that being a faithful G -Galois extension it is not sufficient to guarantee X -base change; see [Mat17, Section 5] for an explicit example where $X = S^1$. Nonetheless, Mathew shows in his Theorem 4.5 that S^1 -base change does hold for faithful G -Galois extensions satisfying an additional condition on rational algebraic K -theory. In light of [Theorem 5.7](#), we can extend his result to get X -base change for all connected pointed spaces X . In the following, L_n^f denotes Miller's finite L_n -localization [Mil92].

Corollary 7.15. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a faithful G -Galois extension such that $K_0(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is surjective. Suppose that the p -localization $A_{(p)}$ is L_n^f -local for some n (depending on p), for all primes p . Then f satisfies X -base change for all connected pointed spaces X .*

In particular, the other two equivalent conditions of [Proposition 7.14](#) are satisfied as well.

Remark 7.16. The surjectivity condition on K -theory is essential as it guarantees that the comparison map on homotopy fixed points is an L_n^f -local equivalence [[CMNN16](#), Theorem 5.6]. The additional assumption on A is there only so that $A \rightarrow B$ is already a map of L_n^f -local spectra.

Example 7.17. As pointed out in [[Mat17](#), 4.6], many Galois extensions satisfy the conditions of [Corollary 7.15](#), including:

- (1) The C_2 -Galois extension given by the complexification $KO \rightarrow KU$,
- (2) The C_{p-1} -Galois extension given by the p -adic completion of the inclusion of the p -local Adams summand $L \rightarrow KU_{(p)}$,
- (3) The G -Galois extension $E_n^{hG} \rightarrow E_n$, where E_n is n -th Morava E -theory and G is a finite subgroup of the extended Morava stabilizer group,
- (4) Any Galois extension of the different flavors of topological modular forms $TMF[1/n]$, $Tmf_0(n)$, or $Tmf_1(n)$.

REFERENCES

- [ABG⁺14] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. An ∞ -categorical approach to R -line bundles, R -module Thom spectra, and twisted R -homology. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):869–893, 2014.
- [ABG18] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner. Parametrized spectra, multiplicative Thom spectra and the twisted Umkehr map. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(7):3761–3825, 2018.
- [ACB19] Omar Antolín-Camarena and Tobias Barthel. A simple universal property of Thom ring spectra. *J. Topol.*, 12(1):56–78, 2019.
- [AHL09] Vigleik Angeltveit, Michael A. Hill, and Tyler Lawson. The spectra ko and ku are not Thom spectra: an approach using THH . In *New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry (BIRS 2008)*, volume 16 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 1–8. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2009.
- [BCD10] Morten Brun, Gunnar Carlsson, and Bjørn Ian Dundas. Covering homology. *Adv. Math.*, 225(6):3166–3213, 2010.
- [BCS10] Andrew J. Blumberg, Ralph L. Cohen, and Christian Schlichtkrull. Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):1165–1242, 2010.
- [Blu10] Andrew J. Blumberg. Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra which are E_∞ ring spectra. *J. Topol.*, 3(3):535–560, 2010.
- [Bök85] Marcel Bökstedt. Topological Hochschild homology, 1985. Universität Bielefeld. Unpublished.
- [BSS17] Samik Basu, Steffen Sagave, and Christian Schlichtkrull. Generalized Thom spectra and their topological Hochschild homology. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, page 1–44, 2017.
- [CDD11] Gunnar Carlsson, Christopher L. Douglas, and Bjørn Ian Dundas. Higher topological cyclic homology and the Segal conjecture for tori. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1823–1874, 2011.
- [CMNN16] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel. Descent in algebraic K -theory and a conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes. *arXiv:1606.03328 [math]*, June 2016.
- [Day72] Brian Day. A reflection theorem for closed categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2(1):1–11, 1972.
- [EKMM97] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, volume 47 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. With an appendix by M. Cole.
- [GGN15] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus. Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(6):3107–3153, 2015.
- [GH15] David Gepner and Rune Haugseng. Enriched ∞ -categories via non-symmetric ∞ -operads. *Adv. Math.*, 279:575–716, 2015.
- [Gla16] Saul Glasman. A spectrum-level Hodge filtration on topological Hochschild homology. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 22(3):1583–1612, 2016.

- [HY19] Jeremy Hahn and Allen Yuan. Exotic multiplications on periodic complex bordism, April 2019. [arXiv:1905.00072](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.00072).
- [Kla18] Inbar Klang. The factorization theory of Thom spectra and twisted nonabelian Poincaré duality. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(5):2541–2592, 2018.
- [Kuh04] Nicholas J. Kuhn. The McCord model for the tensor product of a space and a commutative ring spectrum. In *Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001)*, volume 215 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 213–236. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [Lod89] Jean-Louis Loday. Opérations sur l’homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives. *Invent. Math.*, 96(1):205–230, 1989.
- [LR19] Ayelet Lindenstrauss and Birgit Richter. Stability of Loday constructions, May 2019. [arXiv:1905.05619](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05619).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur11] Jacob Lurie. Derived algebraic geometry VII: Spectral schemes. <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-VII.pdf>, 2011.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, September 2017.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. Elliptic Cohomology II: Orientations. <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf>, April 2018.
- [Mah79] Mark Mahowald. Ring spectra which are Thom complexes. *Duke Math. J.*, 46(3):549–559, 1979.
- [Mat17] Akhil Mathew. THH and base-change for Galois extensions of ring spectra. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17(2):693–704, 2017.
- [McC69] M. C. McCord. Classifying spaces and infinite symmetric products. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146:273–298, 1969.
- [Mil92] Haynes Miller. Finite localizations. *Boletín de la Soc. Matemática Mex. Segunda Ser.*, 37(1-2):383–389, 1992.
- [MM03] Randy McCarthy and Vahagn Minasian. HKR theorem for smooth S -algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 185(1-3):239–258, 2003.
- [MSV97] J. McClure, R. Schwänzl, and R. Vogt. $THH(R) \cong R \otimes S^1$ for E_∞ ring spectra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 121(2):137–159, 1997.
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 221(2):203–409, 2018.
- [Pir00] Teimuraz Pirashvili. Hodge decomposition for higher order Hochschild homology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(2):151–179, 2000.
- [Rog08] John Rognes. Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. Stably dualizable groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 192(898):viii+137, 2008.
- [Sch11] Christian Schlichtkrull. Higher topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra. *J. Topol.*, 4(1):161–189, 2011.
- [Sna79] Victor P. Snaith. Algebraic cobordism and K -theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(221):vii+152, 1979.
- [Sna81] Victor Snaith. Localized stable homotopy of some classifying spaces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 89(2):325–330, 1981.
- [SS19] Steffen Sagave and Christian Schlichtkrull. Virtual vector bundles and graded Thom spectra. *Math. Z.*, 292(3-4):975–1016, 2019.
- [Sto19] Bruno Stonek. Higher topological Hochschild homology of periodic complex K -theory, January 2019. [arXiv:1801.00156v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00156v2).
- [Str92] N. P. Strickland. On the p -adic interpolation of stable homotopy groups. In *Adams Memorial Symposium on Algebraic Topology. Volume 2. Proceedings of a Symposium, Held in Manchester, UK, in July 1990*, pages 45–54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. MSC2010: 55P42 = Stable homotopy theory, spectra.
- [Swi75] Robert M. Switzer. *Algebraic topology—homotopy and homology*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 212.
- [Wes17] Craig Westerland. A higher chromatic analogue of the image of J . *Geom. Topol.*, 21(2):1033–1093, 2017.
- [WG91] Charles A. Weibel and Susan C. Geller. Étale descent for Hochschild and cyclic homology. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(3):368–388, 1991.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, SV BMI UPHESS, STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE,
SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: nima.rasekh@epfl.ch

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: bruno@stonek.com

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: gvalenzuelarg@gmail.com