

Characterizing Exoplanet Habitability

Ravi kumar Kopparapu

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

Eric T. Wolf

University of Colorado, Boulder

Victoria S. Meadows

University of Washington

Habitability is a measure of an environment’s potential to support life, and a habitable exoplanet supports liquid water on its surface. However, a planet’s success in maintaining liquid water on its surface is the end result of a complex set of interactions between planetary, stellar, planetary system and even Galactic characteristics and processes, operating over the planet’s lifetime. In this chapter, we describe how we can now determine which exoplanets are most likely to be terrestrial, and the research needed to help define the habitable zone under different assumptions and planetary conditions. We then move beyond the habitable zone concept to explore a new framework that looks at far more characteristics and processes, and provide a comprehensive survey of their impacts on a planet’s ability to acquire and maintain habitability over time. We are now entering an exciting era of terrestrial exoplanet atmospheric characterization, where initial observations to characterize planetary composition and constrain atmospheres is already underway, with more powerful observing capabilities planned for the near and far future. Understanding the processes that affect the habitability of a planet will guide us in discovering habitable, and potentially inhabited, planets.

There are countless suns and countless earths all rotating around their suns in exactly the same way as the seven planets of our system. We see only the suns because they are the largest bodies and are luminous, but their planets remain invisible to us because they are smaller and non-luminous. The countless worlds in the universe are no worse and no less inhabited than our earth.

—Giordano Bruno, 1584 A.D.

1. INTRODUCTION

Statistical studies of the thousands of known exoplanets suggest that the majority of stars host planetary systems (Cassan et al. 2012; Dressing and Charbonneau 2015; Gaidos et al. 2016; Winn 2018), and so it seems inconceivable that the Earth is the only habitable world in the Universe, even though that may indeed be true. One of the primary goals of both exoplanet science and astrobiology is to search for and identify a potentially habitable, and possibly inhabited planet orbiting another star. For an exoplanet, habitability is defined as the ability to support and maintain liquid water on the planetary surface. There are several extrasolar planets that are currently considered to be prime candidates for follow-up observations to determine their habitability potential, and future discoveries may yield even more candidate habitable worlds, increasing the odds of finding life outside our Solar system. New NASA mission concepts currently under consideration are designed to

have the capability to characterize the most promising planets for signs of habitability and life. We are at an exhilarating point in human history where the answer to the question “Are we alone?” lies within our scientific and technological grasp.

To understand habitability more broadly for exoplanets, however, we need to better understand how stars both like and unlike the Sun impact planetary environments. The habitability potential of a planet critically depends upon the host stars characteristics, which can include: stellar spectral energy distribution, activity, stellar winds, age, X-ray/UV emission, magnetic field, and stellar multiplicity. Several of these factors may also change with the age of the star, consequently affecting habitability of a planet over time. Many of these factors become particularly critical for M dwarf habitable zone planets, which orbit much closer to their parent stars than the Earth does to the Sun.

In addition to host star properties, habitability of a planet is also influenced by the properties and processes of the planet itself, which include but are not limited to atmospheric composition, atmospheric escape/retention, volatile inventory and delivery, cycling of elements between surface and interior, planetary magnetic field, planet mass and size, orbital architecture of planets in the system, and the presence of giant planets. Life itself may also have an influence on the habitability of a planet (Nisbet et al. 2007, see also Chapter 4 by Stüeken et al in this volume). Within our Solar

system there is a diversity of planetary environmental conditions, with Earth as the only known planet with surface liquid water. Our closest neighbors, Mars and Venus, seem to have taken different evolutionary paths than the Earth, primarily in response to the influence of our changing Sun over the last 4.5 billion years, but also due to geological factors. There is evidence that Mars had flowing water on its surface 3.5 Gyr ago (Fassett and Head 2008), and it is hypothesized that Venus may have had liquid water, however the evidence remains unclear (Donahue et al. 1982; Grinspoon 1993; Kulikov et al. 2006; Hamano et al. 2013; Way et al. 2016). Nevertheless, the implication that the Solar system may have had at least two planets with liquid water on their surface (and so perhaps potentially habitable) at some point in ancient times, raises an interesting possibility of similar history on planets around other stars.

In this chapter, we will address some of the requirements for understanding and assessing planetary habitability, emphasizing that this assessment is specifically focused on exoplanets. Consequently, the surveys and measurements needed to explore the habitability potential of a planet are quite different than solar system planets, which are discussed in earlier chapters. Without a great technological leap forward, we cannot send satellites and landers to study exoplanets at close range, as has been done for virtually all of the major objects in our Solar System. All knowledge of habitable exoplanets must be obtained via astronomical observations, and our understanding of the planetary and stellar factors that control habitability must be used to interpret these data.

2. IDENTIFYING POTENTIALLY HABITABLE EXOPLANETS

For exoplanets, a habitable planet is defined as one that can support liquid water on its surface. This “surface liquid water” criterion has been used to define the Habitable Zone (HZ; Hart (1978, 1979)) as that range of distances from a parent star in which an Earth-like planet could maintain liquid water on its surface (Kasting et al. 1993; Kopparapu et al. 2013) and so potentially host a surface biosphere. Although subsurface liquid water is entirely possible and may even be common—as suggested by the interior oceans of the icy moons in our Solar System—detecting that water, and any subsurface biosphere supported by it, is far less likely with remote-sensing telescopic observations (for more detailed discussions of the habitability potential of the surface and subsurface environments of Mars and the Solar System’s icy moons see Chapters 6-9 by Amador et al., Davila et al., Schmidt and Cable et al., in this volume). Consequently, the search for habitability and life on exoplanets will focus on telescopic observations of planetary atmospheres and surfaces, where a surface biosphere will be more apparent.

The habitable zone is therefore designed as a useful concept to identify that region around a star where an orbiting planet has the highest probability of being *detectably* hab-

itable, for remote-sensing studies. Although we do not currently have a means of observing markers of surface habitability on exoplanets, these capabilities are expected in the near future (see Section 7). Arguments that the habitable zone is somehow too limited, because it does not encompass the subsurface habitability exemplified by the Solar System’s icy moons (e.g. Stevenson (2018), Tasker et al. (2017)), do not take into account the definition and purpose of the habitable zone.

In the search for habitable exoplanets, it is an important first step to be able to identify those planets that are most likely to be habitable. These planets will become the highest priority targets for future telescopes that will be able to observationally confirm whether or not a planet supports liquid surface water. A first order assessment of potential habitability would be to 1) find a planet that has the solid surface needed to support an ocean, and 2) that resides within the habitable zone, so that liquid surface water is more likely to be possible. This initial assessment can be made with three readily observable characteristics: the planet’s mass or size, the type of star it orbits, and its distance from that star.

However, as the field of astrobiology develops, it is becoming clearer that multiple factors, characteristics and processes, can impact whether a planet is able to acquire and maintain liquid water on its surface. These include the properties of the planet, star and planetary system, and how these interact over time (Meadows and Barnes 2018). Finding a terrestrial-type rocky planet in the habitable zone can then be thought of as a two-dimensional slice through a far more complex, interdisciplinary and multi-dimensional parameter space. Moreover, a planet’s position in the HZ does not guarantee habitability, because aspects of its formation or evolution may preclude habitability. For example, the planet could have formed with little or no water (Raymond et al. 2004, 2007), or lost that water in the first billion years of the star’s evolution (Ramirez and Kaltenegger 2014; Luger et al. 2015; Tian and Ida 2015). In the rest of this section we discuss the larger context of types of exoplanets, and how we now feel confident we can identify those planets most likely to be terrestrial, and also expand our discussion of how the habitable zone is defined. In subsequent sections we review the many factors that can impact exoplanet habitability more broadly, and conclude with a discussion of future work in this area.

2.1. The Search for Terrestrial Exoplanets

Exoplanet discoveries have revealed a diversity of exoplanets that span a broad range of mass/radius and orbital distance, exceeding the types of planets seen in our Solar System, and arrayed in planetary system architectures that are often completely unlike our own (Fulton et al. 2017; Winn 2018). While direct analogs of gas giants, ice giants and terrestrial planets likely exist in other systems, the exoplanet population has also revealed hot Jupiters, Jovian planets in extremely short orbital periods (~few hours to




Fig. 1.— Classification of exoplanets into different categories (Kopparapu et al. 2018). The boundaries of the boxes represent the regions where different chemical species are condensing in the atmosphere of that particular sized planet at that stellar flux, according to equilibrium chemistry calculations. The radius division is from Fulton et al. (2017) for super-Earths and sub-Neptunes, and from Chen and Kipping (2017) for the upper limit on Jovians..

days); hot Earths, planets that are likely rocky, and that receive many more times the insolation received by Mercury (e.g. Berta-Thompson et al. (2015)); and warm Neptunes that have ice giant sizes and densities, but reside within the inner planetary system. Perhaps the most unexpected discovery has been that of the sub-Neptune population of exoplanets. These planets are smaller than Neptune and often larger than Earth, and are currently seen in relatively close orbits (~ 200 days or less). The sub-Neptunes are a type of planet that has no analog in our Solar System, and they are extremely common, currently comprising the largest fraction of the known population of exoplanets. These sub-Neptunes appear to consist of two sub-groups divided by composition, and potentially formation mechanisms: mini-Neptunes that are ice-dominated, and super-Earths that have densities more consistent with rock, and so may well be terrestrial exoplanets (Rogers 2015; Fulton et al. 2017).

To date, the vast majority of exoplanets have been discovered by indirect detection, that is, the presence of the planet is inferred from the behaviour of the star—which may dim, brighten or move under the influence of its orbiting planet. For a review of the four principal indirect detection techniques, including radial velocity, transit, astrometry and microlensing, see Fischer et al. (2014); Wright (2018)). Here we will only further discuss the two current principal indirect detection techniques—radial velocity and transit—as well as direct detection techniques that isolate photons from the planet itself, such as direct imaging and

secondary eclipse.

Radial velocity was the first exoplanet detection technique to discover multiple planets orbiting main sequence stars. The radial velocity technique detects the presence of the planet when the planet and star orbit a mutual center of mass, which causes the star to appear to move towards and away from the observer. To detect the star’s radial motion (towards and away), high-resolution spectroscopy is used with ultrastable spectral reference frames, e.g. iodine gas cells, to detect the star’s tiny shifts in radial velocity. Because the radial velocity amplitude is proportional to the mass of the planet and inversely proportional to the planet’s orbital distance and the mass of the star, the RV technique is particularly sensitive to large planets close to small parent stars. RV measurements can reveal planetary orbital period, eccentricity, and put constraints on planetary mass, deriving a minimum mass—which is uncertain due to the often unknown orbital inclination of the planet with respect to the observer. Radial velocity was initially the most successful planet detection technique, and in 1995 it was used to detect the first exoplanet around a main sequence star, 51 Pegasus b (Mayor and Queloz 1995). Given the detection sensitivity biases of this technique, it should be no surprise that 51 Peg b was a hot Jupiter, a large planet close to its parent star. Many other hot Jupiters were initially discovered by the RV technique, again due to the sensitivity bias, even though we now know that systems housing hot Jupiters are rare, comprising only approximately 1% of

planetary systems (Wright et al. 2012). The RV technique has continued to push down to smaller masses, and Earth-sized planets were eventually discovered orbiting M dwarfs using this technique, perhaps the most notable being Proxima Centauri b, a $1.3 M_{\oplus}$ minimum mass planet orbiting the nearest star to our Sun (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016). Radial velocity currently lacks the sensitivity to be able to detect an Earth-like planet orbiting a Sun-like star, but new community initiatives in Extreme Precision Radial Velocity (EPRV) will tackle this challenge in the coming decade.

The launch of the *Kepler* spacecraft in 2009 ushered in the heyday of planet detection using the transit technique (Borucki et al. 2010). Unlike the RV technique which looks for tiny motions of the star, the transit technique detects planets via observation of periodic dimming of the parent star as a planet passes in front of it along our line of sight. Like the RV technique, transit is most sensitive to larger planets (that can block more light) orbiting smaller stars (so that a larger percentage of light is blocked) and it also favors planets that are closer to the parent star. The latter attribute is valuable both because closer planets have a higher probability of appearing to transit their star relative to the observer, and because closer planets have shorter orbital periods and so multiple transits, which make for a more robust detection, occur in shorter intervals of time. The transit technique can measure the orbital period and size of the planet. If the transiting planet can also be detected with RV, then the orbital inclination of the planet with respect to the observer, as determined by the transit geometry, removes the ambiguity on the RV mass, allowing a true mass to be inferred. With mass from RV and size from transit, density can be calculated for the planet, which can be used to help constrain planetary bulk composition. In multi-planet transiting systems, gravitational interactions between planets can delay or accelerate the time of subsequent transits, resulting in Transit Timing Variations (TTVs) that can also be used to infer planetary mass (Agol et al. 2005; Holman and Murray 2005; Winn 2010). The first detection of a transiting planet was made in 1999, the hot Jupiter HD209458 b (Charbonneau et al. 2000). In the subsequent decade, ground-based telescopes continued to make tens of transiting planet discoveries, detecting planets ranging in size from hot Jupiters to the mini-Neptune GJ1214b (Charbonneau et al. 2009). The launch of the dedicated transit-detection space telescope, *Kepler* in 2009, has pushed exoplanet detection into the thousands, rapidly eclipsing the planets detected by the RV technique. The transit technique can also find terrestrial-sized planets orbiting in the habitable zone of their parent M dwarfs, with the TRAPPIST-1 system of seven Earth-like planets orbiting a late type (the smaller and cooler end of the spectral class) M dwarf as a key example (Gillon et al. 2016; Gillon et al. 2017; Luger et al. 2017). However, transit also finds detecting Earth-like planets orbiting more Sun-like stars more challenging, although the upcoming PLATO mission has a main objective to determine the bulk properties and ages of small planets, including those in the habitable zone of Sun-like stars

(Rauer et al. 2014)

Direct detection provides another suite of techniques that can be used to both detect and characterize exoplanets, and that can study planets beyond the inner planetary regions favored by RV and transit measurements. In direct detection, photons from the planet are separated from the star either spatially, with direct imaging, or temporally, using secondary eclipse, where a planet passes behind its parent star. In direct imaging, telescopes of sufficient size have the ability to angularly separate the planet from the star on the sky, and some form of starlight suppression technique is used to reduce the glare from the parent star so that the planet and star can be seen as two separate points of light. This allows studies of the planet using both direct reflected light photometry and spectroscopy of the planet’s atmosphere and surface, if it has one. To date, direct imaging has been successful only for tens of young (and so still hot and self-luminous) Jovian planets in the outer regions of planetary systems (Marois et al. 2008, 2010; Rajan et al. 2017). Future observations of Neptune-sized objects closer to the star may be possible with coronagraphs on board *James Webb Space telescope* (JWST) (Beichman et al. 2019). Direct imaging of terrestrial planets in the habitable zone of M dwarfs may be possible for a handful of the nearest M dwarf planets in the near term (Quanz et al. 2015; Crossfield 2016; López-Morales et al. 2019). On longer timescales, the imaging of terrestrial planets in the habitable zones of more Sun-like stars, and of the cool Jovians that characterize our own planetary system will require large aperture space-based telescopes like the HabEx and LUVOIR mission concepts¹.

Secondary eclipse is a means of separating a transiting planet’s emitted photons from the parent star’s, without planet and star needing to be spatially resolved. The secondary eclipse technique uses observations of the unresolved star and planet, and then subtracts an observation of the star alone, taken when the planet is behind the star. This isolates radiation from the planet, and this technique is most effective at mid-infrared wavelengths where the emitted contrast between planet and star is relatively larger, and thus easier to differentiate. Because emitted radiation is being measured, secondary eclipse is sensitive to planetary temperature, and emission spectroscopy can also be used to measure atmospheric molecules.

The statistics provided by the many exoplanet detection techniques, and by *Kepler* in particular, have enabled many seminal exoplanet discoveries. The majority of planets detected by *Kepler* are larger than our Earth, but smaller than Neptune, and reside close-in to their host stars (Winn 2018)). Note that this does not necessarily mean that sub-Neptunes larger than Earth are the most common type of planet in the Galaxy, as the *Kepler* survey is not sensitive enough to detect smaller terrestrial planets that are potentially more numerous. We have also learned that many planetary systems are not like our Solar System, either be-

¹<https://www.greatobservatories.org/>

cause they contain hot Jupiters or sub-Neptunes close to the star, or because they are systems where multiple planets are packed much closer to the star than Mercury is to the Sun (Lissauer et al. 2011).

In the past few years, astronomers have made significant progress in understanding the nature of the sub-Neptunes, and most importantly for astrobiology, in identifying likely terrestrial planets in this population. Using the sample of small *Kepler* planets that also have RV measurements, such that the mass, radius and density were known, researchers have applied Bayesian statistics to help identify the dividing line in radius that corresponds to a higher likelihood that a planet smaller than that radius has a rocky composition, whereas one larger is likely to be dominated by ice or gas (Weiss and Marcy 2014; Rogers 2015; Wolfgang et al. 2016; Chen and Kipping 2017). The radius below which an exoplanet is more likely to be composed of rock and metal, and so could support a surface ocean, is between 1.5-1.7 Earth radii (Rogers 2015). This upper limit for terrestrial planet size was supported by more precise ground-based measurements of stellar, and therefore planetary, radii for over 1000 *Kepler* planet-hosting stars. This more precise dataset found a gap in the radius distribution that had previously been washed out by the larger errors on planetary radii, that now divided sub-Neptune planets into two populations with $R < 1.5 R_{\oplus}$ and $R=2.0-3.0 R_{\oplus}$, (Fulton et al. 2017).

These two populations are inferred to be terrestrial, rocky planets, and a cohort of larger planets that have rocky cores augmented by significant gas envelopes. This inference is due in part to the previous research that showed that planets smaller than $1.5 R_{\oplus}$ had densities consistent with terrestrial planets, but also because a gap in the radius distribution of sub-Neptune planets was predicted as a result of photoevaporation of planetary envelopes by X-ray and extreme ultraviolet (XUV) radiation (Fortney et al. 2013; Owen and Wu 2013; Jin et al. 2014; Chen and Rogers 2016). The observed gap therefore also lends credibility to the idea that photoevaporation is a key process that sculpts the population of sub-Jovian class planets, although core powered mass loss—where the luminosity of a cooling rocky core erodes thin H_2 envelopes, but preserves thicker ones—is a feasible alternative explanation (Ginzburg et al. 2018, e.g.). Subsequent research has also shown that for lower-mass stars, this bimodal distribution for sub-Neptune-sized planets has a gap that shifts to smaller sizes, consistent with smaller stars producing smaller planet cores (Fulton and Petigura 2018). These studies also indicate that there are a comparable number of worlds in the terrestrial and mini-Neptune classes, with the proviso that we do not have sensitivity to detect the smallest component of the terrestrial class, or completeness for planets on orbits longer than 200 days. Nonetheless, *Kepler* has shown that the Universe is indeed teeming with terrestrial-sized worlds, most of which are likely to have the higher densities associated with terrestrial worlds in our Solar System.

The suite of different types of exoplanets have expanded our knowledge beyond the subset seen in our Solar System. Fig. 1 shows a schematic for a potential classification scheme for these worlds, based on planetary radius, stellar flux received, and the corresponding boundaries for which condensates will form clouds in these atmospheres (Kopparapu et al. 2018). The planetary radius bins start with a lower limit for terrestrial atmospheric retention (Zahnle and Catling 2017), and end with the radius past which planets transition to brown dwarf stars (Chen and Kipping 2017), with sub-categories for terrestrials including super-Earths, mini-Neptunes (following the Fulton et al., (2017) distributions), Neptunes, and Jovians. The incident stellar flux then divides them into hot, warm or cold examples of their size class, with corresponding condensates. In hot exoplanet atmospheres, ZnS mineral clouds have been considered as possible condensates (Morley et al. 2012; Charnay et al. 2015). Moving further away from the star, H_2O starts condensing in the atmosphere of more temperate worlds. At lower incident stellar fluxes, CO_2 and CH_4 condensates bracket the final boundaries. In this broader scheme the habitable zone can be thought of as that region between instillations (stellar incident flux) at which liquid water clouds (~ 1 stellar flux) and carbon dioxide clouds (~ 0.3 stellar flux) form (Abe et al. 2011). Terrestrial planets found within this instillation range are more likely to be habitable than planets in other regions of this diagram. In the next section, we discuss the calculation of the circumstellar habitable zone in more detail.

2.2. Predicting The Habitable Zone

As discussed in the introduction, the habitable zone (HZ) of a star is the circumstellar region where a terrestrial radius and mass planet can maintain liquid water on its surface. In other words, the habitable zone identifies a range of orbital distances where a planet is more likely to support habitable conditions on its surface, and thus can be detectable and characterizable by astronomical observations. While numerous studies have sought to understand the impacts of planetary properties and other factors on the limits of the habitable zone, the most useful region is still likely to be where all habitable zone estimates overlap for a given stellar type, as that will indicate our best understanding of the region of highest probability for surface liquid water. However, all of these models, despite their commonality in many cases, are still predictions, and based on our understanding of processes working on Earth. These theoretical predictions of the habitable zone will be subject to revision once observational data on the atmospheric compositions and habitability of terrestrial exoplanets are obtained over the next five years to decades.

Traditionally, one dimensional (1-D) climate models were used to estimate the position of the HZs around different stars (Huang 1959; Hart 1978; Kasting et al. 1993; Selsis et al. 2007; Pierrehumbert et al. 2011; Kopparapu et al. 2013; Zsom et al. 2013; Ramirez and Kaltenegger

2017a). These models assume Earth-like planets with CO₂, H₂O and N₂ atmospheres, and an active carbonate-silicate cycle, which provides a negative feedback to buffer atmospheric CO₂ as a function of surface temperature (Walker et al. 1981). This latter assumption will result in “Earth-like” planets that have less CO₂ than Earth near the inner boundary, and significantly more at the outer boundary of the HZ. The 1-D nature of the model comes from the atmosphere being approximated as a single column extending from the surface to about ~ 100 km in altitude (~ 0.1 millibar), divided into numerous levels where radiative transfer calculations are performed. Such 1-D column models are meant to capture planet-wide average conditions, in a simple and efficient package. They are often run cloud-free, or with simplistic approximations for the radiative effect of clouds (Kasting et al. 1993), and with atmospheres where H₂O and CO₂ are the only greenhouse gases.

The width of the HZ is defined by inner and outer edges, which are bounded by climate catastrophes. The models simulate where surface liquid water is no longer stable if one pushes the planet closer to the star, increasing the incident stellar flux (inner HZ, IHZ), or away from the star decreasing the stellar flux (outer HZ, OHZ; see Fig 2. The IHZ proposes two habitability limits: (1) A moist greenhouse limit, where the stellar radiation warms the atmosphere sufficiently so that the stratospheric water vapor volume mixing ratio becomes $> 10^{-3}$ (Earth’s H₂O mixing ratio is $\sim 10^{-6}$ at 1 milli bar), causing the planet to lose water by photolysis and then subsequent escape of free hydrogen to space; (2) A runaway greenhouse limit, whereby surface water is vaporized, and the atmosphere becomes opaque to outgoing thermal radiation due to excess amounts of H₂O in the atmosphere, heating uncontrollably perhaps to beyond 1500 K (Ingersoll 1969; Goldblatt et al. 2013). While the runaway greenhouse is the more violent and catastrophic end, the moist greenhouse is the more proximal. Habitability could potentially be terminated via the moist greenhouse process long before a thermal runaway occurs. However, some studies found that moist-greenhouse may be inhibited under certain conditions, because of the sub-saturation resulting in cooler stratospheres (Leconte et al. 2013). The moist greenhouse limit depends entirely on a planet’s inventory of non-condensing gases. A planet with negligible N₂ and Ar would enter the moist greenhouse limit even if it were in a Snowball state (Wordsworth and Pierrehumbert 2014).

The OHZ is defined by the maximum greenhouse limit, where the warming provided by the build up of atmospheric CO₂ (due to the active carbonate-silicate cycle) is maximum. Models indicate that this occurs with ~ 6 to 10 bars of CO₂ in the atmosphere. For thick CO₂ atmospheres, the enhancement of the greenhouse effect from adding more CO₂ begins to saturate, while the reflectivity of the atmosphere due to Rayleigh scattering from a thick atmosphere continues to increase. Beyond a certain amount of atmospheric CO₂, increases in scattering win out over the increases to the greenhouse effect, causing the planet to ex-

perience cooling instead of warming. This turning point marks the maximum CO₂ greenhouse outer edge limit to the HZ.

To guide our search for liquid water on a planetary surface, the conservative habitable zone uses the runaway greenhouse inner limit and the maximum greenhouse outer limit, but a more optimistic habitable zone can be defined empirically based on phenomena in our Solar System. For the optimistic IHZ one can define a recent Venus limit, based on geological evidence that Venus has not had liquid water on its surface for at least the past 1 billion years (Solomon & Head 1991). If we assume Venus was habitable right up until 1 billion years ago, then the recent Venus limit is the equivalent distance from our modern Sun that would have matched the insolation at Venus 1 billion years ago under a fainter Sun. For the outer edge, there is a corresponding early Mars empirical estimate, based on geological evidence that suggests that Mars had liquid water on its surface 3.8 billion years ago. These optimistic empirical limits, and the conservative limits calculated using climate models can be used as a first order means of identifying habitable planet candidates for follow-up observations. All the currently known terrestrial exoplanets that are in their host stars HZs are shown in Fig. 2.

Although 1-D climate models are relatively fast to run and can include reasonable physics and chemistry (Lincowski et al. (2018), there are instances where complex 3-D climate models are particularly needed to understand the impacts of planetary circulation, rotation rate and cloud formation on climate and habitability. In particular 3D GCMs can address impacts of ice-albedo feedback (Joshi et al. 1997; Joshi 2003; Shields et al. 2013) planetary volatile abundance, circulation and climate (Abe et al. 2011; Wordsworth et al. 2010; Edson et al. 2011; Pierrehumbert et al. 2011; Pierrehumbert and Ding 2016; Way et al. 2016) the impacts of cloud formation on the inner edges of the habitable zone (Leconte et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2014; Wolf and Toon 2015; Way et al. 2015; Godolt et al. 2015; Kopparapu et al. 2016, 2017; Haqq-Misra et al. 2018) and the effect of feedbacks between ice formation, atmospheric composition and surface temperature for the outer edge (Turbet et al. 2017; Turbet et al. 2017a).

Estimates of the HZ for Sun-like stars (F,G,K dwarfs) from 3-D climate models are within ~ 5 to 7% of the predictions of 1-D models. However, 3-D models for ocean-covered planets orbiting late K and M dwarf stars predict significantly expanded HZs, which is due in part to planetary dynamical spin states. Planets near and within the IHZ of these later-type stars are close enough to the star that tidal locking is a likely dynamical outcome (Ribas et al. 2016). If the planet’s orbital eccentricity is small, this can result in synchronous rotation, where the rotation period of the planet equals its orbital period (Dole 1964; Peale 1977; Dobrovolskis 2009; Leconte et al. 2015; Barnes 2017), producing permanent day and night sides. Tidally-locked planets are more likely to have slower rotational periods than the Earth. This slower rotation diminishes the atmospheric




Fig. 2.— This figure shows the HZ limits for an Earth-like planet around stars with different stellar temperatures (vertical axis) in terms of incident stellar flux (horizontal axis) on the planet, from a 1-D climate model. The ‘conservative HZ’ is the region between the runaway greenhouse and maximum greenhouse limits. The ‘optimistic HZ’ is the region between recent Venus and early Mars limits. See text for HZ definitions. Currently confirmed terrestrial exoplanets, along with the Solar system ones, are also shown.

Coriolis force and changes atmospheric circulation, affecting relative humidity, clouds, heat transport and ultimately the climate. On more rapidly rotating planets, like the Earth, the Coriolis force deflects air parcels to the right in the northern hemisphere, and to the left in the southern hemisphere, producing latitudinally-banded cloud patterns with a cloudy (reflective) equator and clearer sub-tropics. However, for slowly rotating planets the Coriolis force is too weak, and instead strong and persistent convection occurs at the sub-stellar region, creating a stationary and optically thick cloud deck. This causes a strong increase in the planetary albedo, cooling the planet, and stabilizing the climate against a thermal runaway for large incident stellar fluxes. Thus, an ocean covered planet may be able to maintain clement global-mean surface temperatures (~ 280 K) around M-dwarf stars at much higher stellar fluxes than predicted by 1-D models. This in turn extends the inner-edge of the HZ closer to the star, increasing the width of the HZ (Yang et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2014; Kopparapu et al. 2017).

Modeling to better understand limits at the outer edge of the habitable zone have also been undertaken. GCM simulations indicate that planets at the outer edge of the HZ around M-dwarfs are less susceptible to snowball climates due to the lower snow/ice albedo at near-IR wavelengths, which, interacting with the M dwarf’s red/NIR incident spectrum, which causes surface ice to melt more easily compared to under a Sun-like incident spectra (Shields et al. 2013). This may extend the outer edge of the HZ

around M-dwarfs to lower stellar fluxes compared to models that do not include the ice-albedo feedback. However, oscillations between ice-free and globally glaciated states, called limit cycles, could occur on planets with volcanic outgassing rates that are too low to sustain a CO_2 -warmed climate (Kadoya and Tajika 2014, 2015; Menou 2015; Haqq-Misra et al. 2016). Planets orbiting Sun-like stars, and F-stars in particular, may be more susceptible to limit cycles due to the stronger ice-albedo feedback with the strongly blue spectrum of the F-star, reducing the extent of the HZ for Sun-like stars. However, for cases where volcanic outgassing is more pronounced, and/or the atmosphere includes various cocktails of other greenhouse gases such as H_2 and CH_4 in addition to high amounts of CO_2 , then the OHZ may be extended (Pierrehumbert and Gaidos 2011; Wordsworth and Pierrehumbert 2013; Seager 2014; Ramirez and Kaltenegger 2017b). Determining which of the above processes, if any, actually govern climates near the edges of the habitable zone awaits near-term observations of terrestrial atmospheres with JWST and ground-based telescopes that may be able to identify greenhouse gas compositions and search for signs of runaway greenhouse processes or ocean loss (Morley et al. 2017; Lincowski et al. 2018; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019; Turbet et al. 2019).

2.2.1. Habitable Zones Around Binary Stars

Single stars are the primary focus in the search for habitable planets, but exploration of the habitable zone for bina-

ries is being undertaken, in anticipation of the eventual discovery of terrestrial planet candidates orbiting binary stars. Binary stars are common, with nearly half of all Sun-like stars residing in binary (and higher multiple star) systems. At the time of writing there are six confirmed planets orbiting one member of a sub-20 AU binary stellar system (i.e. circumpriary planets or S-type systems, Kley and Haghighipour (2014)) and 12 confirmed planets orbiting within 3 AU of both members of sub-AU binary star systems (circumbinary planets or P-type systems, e.g. Welsh et al. (2015); Kostov et al. (2016)). Based on known circumbinary systems, estimates suggest a 1–10% occurrence rate of Neptune- to Jupiter-sized planets (e.g. Armstrong et al. (2014); Kostov et al. (2016) WanWelsh et al. 2015). Almost half of known circumbinary planets (planets orbiting both the stars of a binary stellar system) reside in the HZ (Doyle et al. 2011; Orosz et al. 2012a,b; Welsh et al. 2015; Kostov et al. 2013, 2016), but these planets are not terrestrials and so are likely not habitable. Discovering transiting planets orbiting binaries is challenging, and is usually done by eye, because their transits are strongly aperiodic. Promising new techniques are being developed to automate the search, and increase our chances of eventually finding smaller terrestrial planets (Windemuth et al. 2019).

Meanwhile, there has been some progress in predicting the HZs of Earth-like planets around binary stars (Kaltenegger and Haghighipour 2013; Haghighipour and Kaltenegger 2013; Eggl et al. 2012, 2013; Forgan 2014; Kane and Hinkel 2013; Forgan 2016; Popp and Eggl 2017; Wang and Cuntz 2019), although this is also challenging. Stellar insolation recieved by habitable zone planets in circumbinary systems can change by up 50% due to the oscillations of the host stars. Theses changes in stellar insolation occur on timescales of ~ 10 s to ~ 100 Earth days, and can drive extreme weather and seasonality on circumbinary planets. This could affect prospects for habitability on such worlds. Currently, there are efforts by various groups to simulate such systems using hierarchical models of 1-D, energy balance model (EBM) and GCMs.

2.3. Occurrence Rates for Potentially Habitable Worlds

Now that we understand the size range most likely associated with a terrestrial-type world, and have a working estimate for the limits of the habitable zone, we can identify those known planets that are more likely to be habitable (see Fig. 2), and calculate initial estimates of the occurrence rate of potentially habitable planets (likely terrestrials in the habitable zone). This quantity, η_{\oplus} , is defined as the fraction of stars that have at least one planet in the HZ. Current estimates of η_{\oplus} from the *Kepler* data for Sun-like stars range from 0.22 ± 0.08 (Petigura et al. 2013) to 0.36 ± 0.14 (Mulders et al. 2018), with some estimates as low as 0.02 (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014). However, realizing this large variation, and to help achieve a useful community-wide consensus of occurrence rates for FGK stars, the NASA funded Exoplanet Exploration Program Analysis

Group (ExoPAG) led Study Analysis Group 13 (SAG13). SAG13 standardized a grid of period and planet radius, interpolated most published occurrence rates to this grid, collected additional contributions from the community, and compiled the results to form a community-wide average with uncertainties. Integrating the SAG13 occurrence rates over the boundaries of stellar and planetary parameters that the community agreed to, gives $\eta_{\oplus} = 0.24^{+0.46}_{-0.16}$ (Stark et al. 2019). This value of η_{\oplus} was used to calculate the FGK exo-Earth yields for mission concept studies LUVOR and HabEX. For M-dwarf stars η_{\oplus} is estimated to be $0.16^{+0.17}_{-0.07}$ for conservative HZ, and $0.24^{+0.18}_{-0.08}$ for the optimistic HZ (Dressing and Charbonneau 2015).

Another potential source of habitable worlds are exomoons of HZ Jovian planets. Since the launch of Kepler telescope, exomoon candidates have received increased attention (Kipping et al. 2012; Szabó et al. 2013; Simon et al. 2015; Agol et al. 2015). Particularly, the habitability of exomoon candidates has been explored both with theoretical models (Heller 2012; Hinkel and Kane 2013; Forgan and Kipping 2013; Heller and Barnes 2013, 2015; Lammer et al. 2014; Forgan and Dobos 2016; Dobos et al. 2017; Haqq-Misra and Heller 2018) and observational efforts to discover exomoon candidates in the HZ of their host stars (Kipping et al. 2013, 2014, 2015; Forgan 2017). Recent occurrence rate estimates of giant planets ($3 - 25 R_E$) within the optimistic HZ of Kepler stars find a frequency of $(6.5 \pm 1.9)\%$ for G stars, $(11.5 \pm 3.1)\%$ for K stars, and $(6 \pm 6)\%$ for M stars (Hill et al. 2018). If one assumes that each giant planet has one large terrestrial moon, then these moons are less likely to exist in the HZ than terrestrial planets. However, if each giant planet holds more than one moon, then the occurrence rates of moons in the HZ would be comparable to that of terrestrial planets, and could potentially exceed them. Although there is no robust detection of exomoons presently, there are tentative detections (Teachey and Kipping 2018; Rodenbeck et al. 2018) indicating that a confirmed exomoon discovery is imminent.

2.4. Moving Beyond the Habitable Zone: Factors Affecting Habitability

Although the HZ provides an excellent first-order means to quickly assess the potential habitability of a newly discovered planet, and will be even more powerful if observationally confirmed, there is a growing realization that the habitable zone is in many ways too simplistic. Although it provides a zeroth order assessment of whether the planet may be able to support liquid water on its surface now, it does not take into account the formation and subsequent evolution of the planet, or the diversity of characteristics or interactions between planet, star and planetary system that can shape whether or not the planet was able to acquire or maintain liquid on its surface. Planetary habitability is now recognized as the interdisciplinary, multi-factorial outcome of a planet’s evolution and planetary system environment.

Factors—characteristics and processes—that impact

habitability can be identified in three major areas: Planetary characteristics, stellar characteristics, and planetary system characteristics. Habitability is influenced by these properties, but also by the interactions that occur between these components as a function of time, that allow a planet to acquire and maintain liquid water on its surface (Fig. 3). While each of these factors is important, only a subsample are potentially observable (denoted by the blue text in Figure 3), and so could be used in the near-term to help characterize habitability. Nonetheless, continued theoretical work into understanding how each of these factors impacts habitability will better prepare us to search for habitable planets and life, and to interpret upcoming data on terrestrial exoplanet environments.

3. PLANETARY CHARACTERISTICS FOR HABITABILITY

The planet’s environment, its mass, radius, orbit, interior, surface and atmosphere set the stage for habitability. Once life has evolved on a habitable world, it becomes a planetary process that can also impact its environment (Lovelock and Margulis 1974; Goldblatt et al. 2009; Tziperman et al. 2011; Lenton et al. 2012). A detailed discussion of life as a planetary process on Earth, and its co-evolution with our environment over Earth’s history can be found in Chapter 4 of this book. Below we describe several of the key planetary characteristics and processes that support habitability, and briefly describe our likely ability to observe these characteristics on exoplanets.

3.1. Effect of Mass and Radius on Habitability

While true limits on planetary radii or masses for habitable planets are currently unknown, the radius/mass range within which a planet is more likely to be habitable can be constrained. As discussed above, observations of small *Kepler* planets, for which the mass, radius and density are known, have suggested that $1.5 R_{\oplus}$ radii is the upper limit for an exoplanet to be more likely to have a predominantly rocky composition (Weiss et al. 2016; Rogers 2015; Fulton et al. 2017). Above this limit, planetary densities drop significantly, suggesting rocky cores with thick hydrogen envelopes, mini-Neptunes, which would be much less likely to be habitable (Owen and Mohanty 2016). The lower mass limit for which a planet is likely to have sufficient radiogenic heating to drive plate tectonics and atmospheric replenishment via outgassing has been theoretically calculated as 0.3 Earth masses for an Earth-like composition (0.7 Earth radii for an object of Earth’s density) (Raymond et al. 2007; Williams et al. 1997).

A planet’s mass impacts planetary habitability in multiple ways. It provides radiogenic heating from long-lived radionuclides to drive internal heating and tectonics (Lenardic and Crowley 2012), as well as generation of a magnetic field (Driscoll and Barnes 2015), which is a key parameter that determines atmospheric retention (Chassefière et al. 2007; Lammer 2012; Egan et al. 2019). Planetary mass, via

planetary gravity, also controls atmospheric scale height, which can change the rate the planet radiates to space, and modify its climate and the limits of the HZ (Kopparapu et al. 2014). Mass is also a key parameter in atmospheric retention, which is dependent on the interplay of planetary mass, radius and insolation (Zahnle and Catling 2017). Even though Mars lies within the HZ, at 0.1 Earth masses it has not been able to retain or replenish a sufficiently large atmosphere to maintain liquid water on its surface, and so is below the habitable mass limit. If the locations of Venus and Mars were swapped, it is possible that the Solar System might have supported two habitable planets.

Planetary radii and masses can be relatively straightforward to measure, depending on the technique used to detect the planet. Exoplanet radius is straightforward to measure if the planet transits and the stellar radius is well-known, since planet size is derived from the drop in measured flux as the planet passes in front, and the size of the star (Borucki et al. 2010; Batalha et al. 2011). Size is extremely challenging to observe if the planet does not transit, due to an inherent size-albedo degeneracy at visible-NIR wavelengths, that can be broken with observations in the thermal infrared (Des Marais et al. 2002). However, exoplanet masses can be measured using transit timing variations (Deck and Agol 2015; Agol and Fabrycky 2017), astrometry (Benedict et al. 2006), or radial velocity measurements (Mayor and Queloz 2012) combined with planetary system inclinations derived from transit duration observations (Borucki et al. 2010) or high-resolution spectroscopy measurements of exoplanet orbital velocity (Snellen et al. 2010; Luger et al. 2017a).

3.2. Planetary Orbit, Obliquity, and Rotation Rate

The planetary orbital parameters, such as semi-major axis, eccentricity, obliquity, and rotation rate, have a significant influence on planetary habitability through their control on the stellar radiation received by a planet over its orbit, and associated feedbacks on the climate system. Fundamentally, the time-averaged amount of stellar radiation received by a planet is determined by its distance from the host star, and thus its semi-major axis. Stellar radiation is the primary source of energy for planetary atmospheres. First-order assessments of planetary habitability and the habitable zone typically rely on the received stellar flux as a primary metric (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). However, the combination of eccentricity, obliquity, and planet rotation rate contribute to complicated temporal and spatially dependent variations of the stellar radiation received by a planet (Berger et al. 1993; Shields et al. 2016). Orbital system parameters may also evolve over time (Armstrong et al. 2014). These characteristics of orbital systems can affect the prospects for habitability, sometimes strongly.

Planets on eccentric orbits receive significant variations in stellar radiation over the course of their orbits as the star-planet distance changes between aphelion and perihelion. If the eccentricity is large, this can result in seasonal changes to a planet’s surface temperature. However Earth-




Fig. 3.— *Factors Affecting Habitability.* This diagram shows currently understood planetary, stellar and planetary system properties that may impact planetary habitability. The larger the number of these factors that can be determined for a given habitable zone candidate, the more robust our assessment of habitability will be. Font color denotes characteristics that could be observed directly with sufficiently powerful telescopes (blue), those that require modeling interpretation, possibly constrained by observations (green), and the properties or processes that are accessible primarily through theoretical modeling (orange). From Meadows and Barnes (2018)

like planet's, those with significant oceans and atmospheres, have a large thermal inertia and thus can buffer time-varying changes in the stellar radiation. The long-term climate stability of eccentric planets is determined primarily by the average stellar flux received over the course of their orbit, and not by the extremes received at aphelion and perihelion respectively (Williams and Pollard 2002; Bolmont et al. 2016; Dressing et al. 2010; Way and Georgakarakos 2017; Adams et al. 2019). Still, seasonal temperature extremes and fluctuating environmental conditions could pose significant challenges for the evolution and adaptation of complex life (Sherwood and Huber 2010). Generally, planets with thicker and wetter atmospheres are better able to buffer time-dependent changes in stellar radiation, while planets with thinner and drier atmospheres will be more susceptible to climate oscillations driven by time-varying stellar radiation.

Earth has a small but non-zero eccentricity. However Earth's seasons are not driven by its eccentricity, but rather by its obliquity. Obliquity is the axial tilt of the planet's rotational axis relative to the star-planet plane. A planet's obliquity determines the latitudinal variation in the received stellar radiation. As the planet orbits the star, a non-zero obliquity results in a meridional migration of the sub-stellar point north and south of the equator. Still, for low obliquity planets, like Earth, the annually averaged stellar radiation remains centered at the equator, with meridional excursions

of the sub-stellar point creating the seasons. However, for high obliquity planets (>54 degrees), the time-averaged pattern of the stellar radiation reverses, with a maximum flux received at polar regions and a minimum at the equator (Jenkins 2000). This peculiar pattern of stellar radiation creates unique climate states where ice belts may accumulate around the equator while the poles remain temperate and habitable (Spiegel et al. 2009, 2010; Kilic et al. 2017). For high obliquity planets bistability thresholds between habitable temperate climates and uninhabitable snowball climates are notably altered compared to thresholds identified for low obliquity worlds (Linsenmeier et al. 2015; Rose et al. 2017; Colose et al. 2019).

Planetary rotation rate controls the diurnal period (the length of day). Uniquely among orbital parameters, the planetary rotation rate imparts a significant impact on the circulation state of the atmosphere through the action of the Coriolis effect. The Coriolis effect is a fictitious force which arises due to Earth's rotation, and deflects large scale atmospheric motions relative to Earth's surface. Changes to planet's rotation rate, and thus Coriolis effect, can trigger a different atmospheric circulation regimes to emerge (Carone et al. 2015; Noda et al. 2017; Haqq-Misra et al. 2018). The atmospheric circulation state affects horizontal heat transport and the spatial distribution of clouds, each of which can significantly affect the climate and habitability

of a planet (Yang et al. 2013; Kopparapu et al. 2017; Wolf et al. 2019; Komacek and Abbot 2019).

For slowly rotating planets, like Venus or planets found around M dwarf stars where tidally-locking is expected, the Coriolis effect is weak and the atmospheric circulation regime supports the creation of thick stationary clouds at the sub-stellar point which effectively reflect sunlight and permit a planet to be habitable at higher insolation levels (Yang et al. 2013; Kopparapu et al. 2016; Way et al. 2016). For more rapidly rotating planets, like the Earth, a stronger Coriolis effect leads to predominately zonal circulation and the creation of zonally banded cloud decks which are less efficient at reflecting sunlight (Yang and Abbot 2014; Kopparapu et al. 2017).

For planets that are tidally-locked and synchronously rotating (in a 1:1 spin-orbit resonance), one side of the planet always faces the star, and the other side of the planet is in permanent darkness. On such worlds, the day-night temperature differences can be enhanced leading to the possibility of the atmosphere freezing out or “collapsing” on to the night side (Joshi et al. 1997; Joshi 2003; Turbet et al. 2016; Leconte et al. 2013). This is true especially for colder and thinner Mars-like atmospheres, however modeling has shown that thicker Earth-like atmospheres can maintain sufficient day-to-night heat transport to prevent collapse (Joshi et al. 1997; Wordsworth 2015; Kopparapu et al. 2016; Wolf et al. 2019). Note that synchronous rotation is not guaranteed for tidally-locked planets around M dwarf stars, however, as trapping into spin-orbit resonances (like Mercury’s) are also possible (Hut 1981; Rodríguez et al. 2012; Ribas et al. 2016). A large atmosphere may also prevent synchronization (Gold and Soter 1969; Leconte et al. 2015). The tidal damping of the rotation rate into a synchronous state is model dependent (Ferraz-Mello et al. 2008; Barnes 2017) and depends on the planet’s structure (Henning and Hurford 2014) and, if present, the tidal dissipation in a planet’s ocean (Egbert and Ray 2000; Green et al. 2017).

Planetary orbital properties are generally amenable to observations. Semi-major axes can be observationally determined using transit, radial velocity and astrometry. Eccentricity is also straightforward to measure with radial velocity and astrometry but more challenging with transit, and in the latter case more accurate if both the primary and secondary eclipse can be observed Demory et al. (2007). However, determining obliquity and rotation rate for a terrestrial planet will require time-dependent mapping using direct imaging observations (Fujii et al. 2017; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2017; Kawahara and Fujii 2010; Cowan et al. 2009). By observing the thermal emission as a function of orbital phase, different climate states may be discernable (Leconte et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Haqq-Misra et al. 2018; Wolf et al. 2019; Adams et al. 2019)

3.3. Planetary Interior and Geological Activity

The interior of a planet plays a critical role in determining the habitability of a planet. An active and dynamic inte-

rior can drive the generation of a magnetic field (Olson and Christensen 2006; Driscoll and Bercovici 2013) and outgassing (Driscoll and Bercovici 2014), which are key for producing and maintaining a secondary atmosphere.

The atmospheres of terrestrial planets in our solar system are a product of outgassing. Primordial atmospheres, if they ever existed for terrestrial planets, are dominated by H_2 and He with traces of Ar and Ne accumulated during the formation of the Solar system from the gaseous nebular disk (Sekiya et al. 1980; Lammer et al. 2018). However, such an atmosphere will have only a fleeting existence on a newly formed terrestrial planet, because the temperatures are hot enough for the lighter gases (H_2 and He) to escape the low gravity well of the planet (a simple relation between mean kinetic energy and the internal energy due to the temperature of the gas). The more heavy icy materials (H_2O , CH_4 , NH_3), on the other hand, combine with the rocky materials (like iron, olivine) and get integrated into the crust and the mantle. If the terrestrial planet is big enough to maintain the formation heat, it can sustain an active tectonic activity, which results in volcanism, which in turn releases these trapped icy materials producing secondary atmospheres.

Tectonic activity on a planet can influence the habitability of a terrestrial planet through cycles of volcanic outgassing and consequent weathering of the released gases. Tectonic activity also creates weatherable topography which has a long-term impact on the evolution of the climate (Lenardic et al. 2016) in terms of negative feedback between silicate weathering (the loss process for atmospheric CO_2) and surface temperature (Walker et al. 1981). Furthermore, tectonic activity similar to that of the Earth facilitates an efficient water cycling between the surface and the interior, sustaining oceans on the planet (Sandu et al. 2011; Cowan and Abbot 2014; Schaefer and Sasselov 2015; Komacek and Abbot 2016). Tectonic and volcanic activity cycles that operate beyond the characteristics of the Earth may occur on exoplanets of varying mass and composition, such as stagnant lid (Solomatov and Moresi 2000), episodic tectonics (Lenardic et al. 2016) and heat pipe (Moore and Webb 2013; Moore et al. 2017). On the other hand, coupling melt models (Katz et al. 2003) with mantle convection models can simulate volcanic outgassing, which depends upon the composition and the internal temperature of the planet.

Augmenting a planet’s primordial internal heat for extended periods of time can be possible by radionuclide decay (Dye 2012) or tidal stress (Jackson et al. 2008; Barnes et al. 2009). Radionuclide decay releases high energy particles, which can be absorbed in the planetary interior. The nature of a planet’s radiogenic sources is predetermined during the formation of the system. Different radio isotopes decay at different rates. For example, ^{26}Al is a short-lived isotope whose half-life is just $\sim 700,000$ years, which is suspected to have been present during the Solar Systems formation. ^{26}Al is produced in supernova explosions of massive stars, which provide the ingredients and the initial ‘fuse’ (through shock waves) for forming a planetary

system. Short-lived isotopes drive the differentiation of elements inside a planet through their radiogenic heating during the primordial stages of their formation. Once the differentiation begins, the frictional heat of partitioning elements sustains the internal heat deep inside the planet.

On the other hand, ^{40}K is a long-lived radio isotope with a half life of more than a billion years old. Long-lived isotopes generally concentrate near the crust and the mantle, providing heat at these layers, due to their large size preventing dense packing deep within the Earth.

The internal heat energy needed for tectonic activity can also be generated by tidal heating, where the differential gravity of the planet due to a companion (usually the host star or nearby planet) causes internal stress, and energy is deposited by friction (Jackson et al. 2008; Driscoll and Barnes 2015). A key area of future research for the impact of planetary interiors on terrestrial planet evolution and habitability will be understanding degassing from terrestrial planets of different composition, including the potentially volatile-rich migrated terrestrial planets found orbiting M dwarfs (Gillon et al. 2017; Luger et al. 2017; Grimm et al. 2018).

Planetary interior properties will be challenging to determine observationally. However, precise characterization of the planetary system’s orbital state could theoretically be used to yield constraints on planetary interior structure—including determination of the rigidity of the planetary body and its susceptibility to tidal deformation (Buhler et al. 2016; Becker and Batygin 2013). Constraints on the planet’s interior structure and composition could also be gleaned from a combination of knowledge of the star’s composition, the planet’s mass and radius, and planet formation models (Dorn et al. 2015; Unterborn et al. 2016). For multi-planet transiting systems, density measurements to constrain interior composition could also be obtained from observations of planetary radius from transit, and planetary mass from radial velocity, astrometry or transit timing variations. Hints of the planet’s interior composition may also be obtained from measurements of atmospheric and cloud composition, which may point to a steady-state volcanic outgassing source, as it does for the clouds of Venus Bullock (1997). Transmission or direct imaging observations may also reveal transient compositional changes in atmospheric gases (Kaltenegger and Sasselov 2009; Kaltenegger et al. 2010) or aerosols (Misra et al. 2015) that are indicative of ongoing volcanic activity.

The redox state of a planet also influences its habitability. The escape of hydrogen is important as it can drive water loss, and can also alter the planets surface redox state to more oxidizing (Catling et al. 2001; Catling and Claire 2005; Kump 2008; Armstrong et al. 2019). Similarly, the degree of iron segregation to the core and/or iron redox disproportionation sets the redox state of the mantle (Frost and McCammon 2008), which determines whether reducing or oxidizing gases get outgassed by volcanoes. These gases have a large impact on the composition of the secondary terrestrial planetary atmosphere (Wordsworth and Pierrehum-

bert 2013). Highly reducing conditions have climate consequences but they are also fundamental to driving prebiotic chemistry, particularly when abundant HCN is present (Ferris and Hagan Jr 1984; Orgel 2004). Conversely, highly oxidizing surface conditions are not only a roadblock to the origin of life (see the chapters by Hoehler et al. and Baross et al. in this volume), they can also make conditions toxic for complex life altogether if O_2 levels are high enough (Baker et al. 2017).

3.4. Magnetic Fields

Magnetic fields are an important factor when considering the habitability of a planet, as they may protect planets from losing volatiles (such as water) through stellar wind interactions (Chassefière et al. 2007; Lundin et al. 2007; Lammer 2012; Driscoll and Bercovici 2013; do Nascimento et al. 2016; Driscoll 2018). However, this “magnetic umbrella” hypothesis is still debated, as the magnetic field may also increase the interaction area with the solar wind, which could drive increased escape (Brain et al. 2013; Egan et al. 2019). Although atmospheric escape was often assumed to be due to thermal processes, and independent of the magnetic field (Hunten and Donahue 1976; Watson et al. 1981; Lammer et al. 2008, e.g.) modeling had suggested that the magnetic-limited escape rate does indeed decrease with increasing planetary magnetic moment (Driscoll and Bercovici 2013). However, recent modeling suggests that the situation is more complex, and that whether a magnetic field decreases or increases atmospheric escape is dependent on multiple factors including the strength of the planet’s intrinsic magnetic field and the incoming solar wind pressure (Egan et al. 2019).

Convection in the iron-rich core maintains the planetary magnetic field, and is tied to the interior thermal evolution which can reveal the energetic state and history of the interior (Stanley and Glatzmaier 2010; Stevenson 2010; Schubert and Soderlund 2011). A magnetic dynamo is generated via convection in the outer core (Olson and Christensen 2006). This process is influenced by the planetary rotation rate and core material properties, and enhanced by buoyancy driven by the core cooling rate, which is in turn controlled by the overlying mantle. Plate tectonics cool the planet’s interior, and Venus’ lack of plate tectonics may explain its lack of a magnetic field (Nimmo 2002), although as a counterpoint Mercury and Ganymede maintain dynamos below their stagnant lids (Ness 1978; Kivelson et al. 1997). Observations and models of volatile loss rates for planets and satellites with or without magnetic fields will provide additional insight into the generation of magnetic dynamos and the extent of magnetoprotection of atmospheres. Detecting the presence of a magnetic field on an exoplanet will be challenging, however recent observations have inferred magnetic fields around hot-Jupiters (Cauley et al. 2019). Magnetic star-planet interactions involve the release of energy stored in the stellar and planetary magnetic fields. These signals thus offer indirect detections

of exoplanetary magnetic fields. Large planetary magnetic field strengths may produce observable electron cyclotron maser radio emission by preventing the maser from being quenched by the planet's ionosphere (Ergun et al. 2000). Intensive radio monitoring of exoplanets will help to confirm these fields and inform the generation mechanism of magnetic fields. Constraints on magnetospheric strength might be gained in the near future with the detection of auroral lines in high-resolution spectra of exoplanet such as Proxima Centauri b (Luger et al. 2017b), although caution will be needed in discriminating these from more diffuse, globally-prevalent airglow lines. Radio emission may also indicate a planetary magnetic field, with coherent emission frequency providing a constraint on the strength of the field itself (Zarka 2007; Hess and Zarka 2011) and characteristic radio emission from the star due to its interaction with a magnetized planet (Driscoll and Olson 2011; Turnpenney et al. 2018).

3.5. Atmospheric Properties

While the atmosphere of a terrestrial planet generally constitutes only a minuscule fraction of the planet's overall mass and radius, atmospheric properties play an out-sized role in determining habitability. For example, while the Earth and Moon each receive the same amount of stellar radiation, their surface environments are decidedly different because Earth has an atmosphere and the Moon does not. An atmosphere is an envelope of gas that surrounds a planet, and is retained due to the force of gravity. An atmosphere is a necessary condition for a planet to have liquid water at its surface because water can only remain stable as a liquid under a relatively narrow range of temperatures at a given pressure. Without adequate pressure, surface liquid water would irreversibly evaporate or sublime away, as it would on Mars and the Moon despite each residing within the habitable zone (Kopparapu et al. 2013). Adequate atmospheric pressure is particularly important for synchronously rotating planets where day-night temperature differences can grow large, potentially resulting in atmospheric collapse onto the night-side (Wordsworth 2015; Turbet et al. 2016; Turbet et al. 2017b). However atmospheric collapse can be countered by denser atmospheres which promote efficient heat transport. Of course, atmospheres that are too dense may negatively impact habitability, by becoming opaque to stellar radiation. This may be particularly problematic for planets that do not lose their primordial H_2 atmospheres (Owen and Mohanty 2016), and for habitable planets near the outer edge of the habitable zone which require thick atmospheres to stay warm.

Appropriate surface temperatures for liquid water are maintained through a delicate balance between absorbed incoming radiation from the star, emitted thermal radiation from the planet, and horizontal heat transports (Trenberth et al. 2009). The constituents of planetary atmospheres, including gases, clouds, and aerosols, critically modulate a planet's energy balance and thus its climate and ultimately

its local surface temperatures (Read et al. 2015). Maintaining the right surface temperatures for liquid water to exist requires having just the right amount and combination of atmospheric gases. CO_2 is perhaps the most familiar greenhouse gas, and helps maintain clement temperatures on Earth. The longterm regulation of CO_2 in the atmosphere via the silicate weathering cycle is thought to keep planets habitable despite large differences in their received stellar flux (Walker et al. 1981). Planets at large distances from their star may be kept habitable by the strong greenhouse effect provided by several bars of CO_2 (Kasting et al. 1993; Kopparapu et al. 2013; Selsis et al. 2007). However, as the atmosphere becomes thick, Rayleigh (molecular) scattering increases, reflecting stellar energy away from the planet. Other greenhouse gases may also help keep planets sufficiently warm in the habitable zone, including CH_4 , N_2O , NH_3 , and also collision-induced absorption of N_2 and H_2 (Wordsworth and Pierrehumbert 2013; Pierrehumbert and Gaidos 2011; Ramirez and Kaltenegger 2018; Koll and Cronin 2019).

With respect to the climate of habitable planets, H_2O is perhaps the most interesting atmospheric constituent, and not because it is a prerequisite for life. On a robustly habitable planet, like Earth, the expected surface and atmospheric temperature variations allow water to exist in all three thermodynamic phases simultaneously in the atmosphere, oceans, and on the surface. Each phase of water contributes strong competing feedbacks on a planet's climate. Water vapor is a strong greenhouse gas and near-infrared absorber and acts to warm a planet. High-altitude ice water clouds (i.e. cirrus clouds) act also as strong greenhouse agents and warm a planet. Liquid water clouds (e.g. stratus clouds) are highly reflective, raising the albedo and cooling a planet. Finally, water that condenses on the surface as snow and ice also raises the albedo and cools a planet. The water vapor greenhouse feedback and ice-albedo feedbacks are both positive climate feedbacks, meaning that they will amplify climate perturbations, potentially leading to climate catastrophes of a runaway greenhouse and runaway glaciation and the end of habitability. While water is of course critical for the existence of life, water has an inherently destabilizing force on the climate system.

Beyond the regulation of climate, atmospheres play other important roles that factor into habitability. For instance, for oxygen-rich planets, stratospheric ozone plays a major role in maintaining surface habitability by shielding harmful UV fluxes from reaching the surface (Segura et al. 2003, 2005; Rugheimer et al. 2015). Alternatively, anoxic planets may form Titan-like photochemical hazes that are created in the upper atmosphere and also constitute a significant UV shield that could protect life on the surface (Sagan and Chyba 1997; Wolf and Toon 2010; Arney et al. 2016, 2017). Although, while O_3 has little effect on a planet's surface temperature, if sufficiently thick a photochemical haze layer could significantly cool a planet's surface and threaten habitability (McKay et al. 1999; Haqq-Misra et al. 2008).

Absorbing species in the atmosphere can also affect habitability by modifying the atmospheric thermal structure, which in turn can either help or hinder water loss via photolysis in the stratosphere (Wordsworth and Pierrehumbert 2014; Fujii et al. 2017). Planetary surface characteristics such as the presence of global oceans and the locations of continents, also can affect habitability through the modulation of ocean heat transports and their effect on the overall climate (Hu and Yang 2014; Del Genio et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019).

Atmospheric properties will be probed via transit transmission spectroscopy, thermal phase curves, secondary eclipse and direct imaging spectroscopy (Meadows et al. 2018). Transit transmission spectroscopy can help us identify gas species in the upper atmosphere of planets (Morley et al. 2017; Lincowski et al. 2018; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019). The detectability of a molecule depends on its atmospheric abundance, and the strength of spectral features, as well as the wavelength range observed, with some molecules more likely to be observed than others (Schwieterman et al. 2015). However, the presence of condensates, which generally present featureless spectra, may sharply obscure our ability to observe underlying gases with transmission spectroscopy (Kreidberg et al. 2015; Lincowski et al. 2018; Morley et al. 2017; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019). Thermal emission and reflected light phase curves may yield clues to atmospheric composition, the presence of clouds and aerosols, and allow temperature mapping (Yang et al. 2014; Koll and Abbot 2016; Wolf et al. 2019; Kreidberg et al. 2019). The temperature structure of atmospheres is more challenging to observe, but could be derived from thermal infrared spectroscopy that encompasses the 15 μm CO_2 band.

4. STELLAR CHARACTERISTICS FOR HABITABILITY

The host star’s characteristics have a huge influence on a planet’s environment and habitability. Stellar mass and radius determine many of the star’s fundamental characteristics, such as temperature and lifetime. Stellar luminosity evolution drives strong climate change and may result in atmospheric or ocean loss, which is a compositional change and often a threat to habitability. The stellar spectrum and activity levels influence atmospheric escape and climate, provide the most abundant surface energy source for the majority of HZ planets, and photochemically modify the planet’s atmospheric composition.

4.1. Luminosity, Age, and SED

The energy emitted by the host star, and received by the planet, plays a primary role in determining whether a planet can be habitable. The luminosity of the star is a measure of the total energy it emits per unit time, and it depends on the star’s size and emitting temperature. The stellar luminosity controls the energy received by a planet, and in large part determines the semi-major axis of its HZ.

Stars have a finite lifetime, determined by their rate of fuel consumption. Smaller, cooler stars like M dwarfs have much lower luminosities than larger, hotter F dwarfs, and have nearly fully convective interiors that can deliver more fuel to the reacting core, so they burn at a low rate, but for longer. More massive stars support their higher luminosities by burning their atomic fuel at a much higher rate, but can’t convect additional fuel to the core as efficiently as smaller stars, and so have significantly shorter life spans. While our Sun, a G dwarf, may live for 10 billion years, an A dwarf that is twice as massive as the Sun would remain on the main sequence for only 2 billion years. This is significantly less time than it took for oxygen to rise to even 10% of the current atmospheric level on our planet (Lyons et al. 2014) and so produce a detectable biosphere. M dwarf stars are small and dim, and can spend 100s of billions of years on the main sequence (Rushby et al. 2013), far longer than the 13.8 Gy age of the Universe.

Stars brighten over their main sequence lifetimes, thus the radiation received by an orbiting planet increases over time. For instance, in Earth’s early history it received 25% less stellar energy than it does today. Still, other factors controlling the atmospheric composition and the greenhouse effect allowed Earth to maintain continuously habitable surface temperatures despite this change in stellar irradiance over time (Robert and Chaussidon 2006). Our Sun will continue brightening at a rate of 1% every 100 million years (Gough 1981). The rate of luminosity evolution depends on stellar mass, with larger hotter stars naturally brightening more rapidly than smaller, cooler stars.

Lastly, the spectral energy distribution (SED) is the amount of radiation emitted by the star as a function of wavelength. The SED is strongly dependent on the emitting temperature of the stellar photosphere. The wavelength of peak stellar emission is inversely proportional to the emitting temperature. G dwarfs, like our Sun, have peak emission at visible light wavelengths, while cooler stars, like M dwarfs, emit primarily near infrared radiation. The relative SED of the star in turn can strongly influence the climate system, as surface reflectivity, near infrared gas absorption, and Rayleigh scattering are all sensitive to changes in the SED. The relative SED may also strongly influence biology, as photosynthesis plays a dominate role in Earth’s biosphere.

Luminosity Evolution Stars evolve in luminosity, from a super-luminous phase as they collapse down to their main sequence sizes, through gradual brightening as they fuse hydrogen into helium in their cores, increasing the core temperature and accelerating fusion. For lower mass stars the pre-main sequence phase can be as long as 2.5 Gy, but is only 10My for a G dwarf like our Sun (Baraffe et al. 2015). This super-luminous pre-main sequence phase would subject planets that form in what will become the M dwarf’s main sequence habitable zone to very large amounts of radiation early on, increasing the chance that these planets will

experience ocean and atmospheric loss (Luger and Barnes 2015; Ramirez et al. 2014; Tian and Ida 2015). For more Sun-like stars, the pre-main-sequence phase is relatively short, and the stars luminosity then increases strongly during its main sequence phase (the Sun will undergo an 80% increase in luminosity in its lifetime). For the smaller M dwarf stars, the long pre-main-sequence phase fades to an almost constant main-sequence luminosity over trillions of years. While a star’s current luminosity can be straightforwardly measured, its luminosity evolution is determined primarily using models that are validated against observed luminosities as a function of spectral type, mass and age (Baraffe et al. 2015).

4.2. Activity Levels

The stellar mass and age of the star will also affect the level of stellar activity, which can produce UV and shorter wavelength radiation that is potentially damaging to planetary atmospheres, ozone layers and surface life (Wheatley et al. 2019; Tilley et al. 2017; Segura et al. 2010). Stellar activity, including sunspots and flares, is produced by stellar magnetic field interactions, which are a function of the internal convection of the star and its rotation rate. For solar-type stars a stellar magnetic field is generated via shearing due to differential rotation at the boundary between the radiative inner zone and the convective outer zone of the star’s interior. The field generated at this boundary rises buoyantly through the star’s convective zone to emerge as magnetic loops on the stellar surface, which eventually release their magnetic energy in stellar flares. For high-mass F dwarfs, the outer convective layer is too shallow for much field to be generated, but by M3V (stars $\leq 0.3 M_{\odot}$) stars become fully convective, and the magnetic field is generated instead by a turbulent dynamo, which can produce large-scale fields and strong stellar activity. The generation of the magnetic field is intimately linked to the stellar rotation, and this evolves as the star ages. Stars form with relatively high angular momentum and spin down over the course of their lifetimes so that young stars are more magnetically active than older stars, up until a characteristic saturation spin velocity at which the observed activity appears to level out (White et al. 2007; Güdel 2004; West and Basri 2009). While early M dwarfs have solar-like spindown times and are inactive in just under a Gyr (West et al. 2008), fully-convective later-type M dwarfs have much longer spindown times, extending up to 8 Gyr for M7V stars (Hawley et al. 2000; Gizis et al. 2002). Stellar activity levels and frequency are relatively easily measured using broadband photometry, but spectral information on the flares requires UV spectroscopy from space-based platforms such as GALEX or HST. There is a need for more EUV observations by future space missions to fully understand the impact of stellar activity on planetary habitability.

5. PLANETARY SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS FOR HABITABILITY

In addition to the star-planet interaction, the planet may also interact with other components of the planetary system, during formation and subsequent evolution. When assessing habitability, these planetary system components will need to be inventoried to better understand planet formation, volatile delivery and orbital modification.

5.1. Planetary System Architecture

Other components of a planetary system, such as Jovian planets, asteroid and Kuiper belts, and nearby sibling planets can all impact the potential habitability of terrestrial planet, and provide clues to its formation and evolutionary history (Raymond et al. 2008). The masses and orbits of Jovian planets in particular should be characterized, as they can affect volatile delivery to forming terrestrial planets. Eccentric Jovians could result in the formation of water-poor terrestrials (Raymond et al. 2004), whereas Jovians that remain on wide orbits protect terrestrial planet formation in the inner planetary system while potentially enriching it with volatiles (Raymond and Izidoro 2017)(see Chapters 12 and 13 in this book for an in depth discussion of the impacts of planet formation on terrestrial planet characteristics and habitability). The presence of debris disks may indicate that an eccentric Jovian is not present Raymond et al. (2012). Nearby sibling planets can also modify orbital parameters including eccentricity and obliquity, and help maintain tidally-locked planets in 3:2 resonances, rather than the 1:1 resonance of synchronous rotation, although this may also occur if the planet has a very low “triaxiality” and is more truly spherical (Ribas et al. 2016). Sibling perturbation from circular orbits and synchronous rotation may occur even for the closely packed systems seen orbiting M dwarfs, of which TRAPPIST-1 is a well known example (Gillon et al. 2016; Gillon et al. 2017; Luger et al. 2017). The 7 TRAPPIST-1 planets are found closely packed together in a resonant chain that implies migration from more distant birth orbits (Luger et al. 2017), and their mutual gravitational interactions produce transit timing variations (TTVs) that have been used to determine their masses and densities, and constrain their orbital eccentricities to be less than 0.08 in most cases (Gillon et al. 2017).

Other planets in the planetary system can be observed in transit, via TTVs, with radial velocity, astrometry or direct imaging. Belts of minor planets analogous to our asteroid and Kuiper belts serve as a reservoir for water-rich bodies and the disk’s dust distribution can reveal collisions among these smaller bodies, or the gravitational signature of unseen planets. These features of the planetary system may be detectable as infrared excesses or directly imaged (Kraus et al. 2017). Exomoons can also influence planetary habitability by damping large obliquity oscillations for habitable worlds, but remain challenging to detect. Future observations may look for changes in the center of the planet-exomoon composite image (Agol et al. 2015), or additional

transit timing signals (Kipping 2011)

6. STAR-PLANET-PLANETARY SYSTEM INTERACTIONS AND HABITABILITY

The intrinsic properties of planets, stars, and planetary systems described above exert significant controls on planetary habitability. However, the interactions among the planet, its host star, and its planetary system constitute another category of factors that in part determine whether a planet is and can remain habitable. Radiative interactions with the host star can modify planetary atmospheric compositions by driving the photochemical production of aerosols or gas species. These modifications of the atmosphere subsequently affect planetary climate and the UV flux incident at the planet's surface, both of which directly affect habitability. In more extreme cases, oceans of water vapor and/or the atmosphere itself can be stripped away to space by EUV/XUV radiation and the stellar wind. Gravitational interactions between the host star, planet, and system can modify orbital properties which in turn modulate insolation levels and therefore climate. Gravitational interactions also may be responsible for late volatile deliveries from comets deflected into the inner part of stellar systems. Tidal interactions between bodies in the system can influence planetary interiors, controlling the magnetic dynamo and plate tectonics, both of which play significant roles in the maintenance and retention of secondary atmospheres on terrestrial planets. These processes will be better understood with an interdisciplinary systems approach to modeling terrestrial exoplanet environments. Below we describe the significant planetary processes that are impacted by these interactions in more detail.

6.1. Atmospheric and Ocean Loss and Replenishment

Unlike the gas giants, none of the four terrestrial planets in our Solar System have retained their primordial H_2 -dominated atmospheres. Instead they exhibit secondary atmospheres, composed of fractionated remnants of their primordial atmospheres augmented by outgassed volatiles from their interiors and volatiles delivered from comets and asteroids (Pepin 2006). The loss of a primordial H_2 dominated atmosphere is probably beneficial for planetary habitability (Luger et al. 2015; Owen and Mohanty 2016). For instance, the gas giants in our Solar system have retained their primordial atmospheres, and as a consequence have dense and opaque atmospheres, immense pressures, and no true surfaces. Any extant life on a gas giant would probably need to live among the clouds (e.g. Morowitz and Sagan (1967). Still, the retention of a planet's secondary atmosphere remains of significant concern for the long term habitability of terrestrial planets. In our own Solar system, we observe that Mars' secondary atmosphere has been stripped away over time (Jakosky et al. 2011), leaving insufficient atmospheric pressure remaining to keep Mars warm today despite it being located within the habitable zone. On the other hand, Venus has retained a thick secondary atmosphere of

outgassed CO_2 , but it has lost its water to space over time (Kasting and Pollack 1983; Donahue et al. 1982), rendering it water-poor and thus uninhabitable.

Atmospheric escape can be driven by several processes including XUV/EUV radiation from the star, stellar wind interactions, and erosion by impact events (Ahrens 1993; Quintana et al. 2016; Genda and Abe 2005). Smaller planets, hotter planets, and planets without a protective magnetic fields will be more prone to atmospheric loss processes. An analysis of the planets and moons in our Solar System, along with known exoplanets, suggests that bodies with and without atmospheres may be divided as a function of stellar insolation and escape velocity (Zahnle and Catling 2017). Large mass planets have stronger gravity and higher escape velocities, and thus are better able to resist atmospheric escape to space due to stellar EUV/XUV radiation and impacts. Planets with magnetic fields are able to shield their atmospheres from stripping by the solar wind by deflecting charge particles around the planet.

Planets around M dwarf stars may be particularly vulnerable to atmospheric loss via hydrodynamic escape processes due to their long ($>Gy$) super-luminous pre-main sequence phase and high activity levels (Lammer et al. 2008; Luger and Barnes 2015; Meadows et al. 2018; Barnes et al. 2018) as well as ion pickup (Ribas et al. 2016). The strong stellar magnetic fields of M dwarfs also can reduce the size of planetary magnetospheres, exposing more of the planet's atmosphere to erosion by the stellar wind (Vidotto et al. 2013). For magnetized planets orbiting M dwarfs, the calculated polar wind losses for a 1-bar Earth-like atmosphere suggested a lifetime for that atmosphere of less than 400 Myr, although the calculated loss rate of H_2^+ and O_2^+ did not exceed Earth's current replenishment rate via outgassing and volatile delivery, so that maintenance of the atmosphere might be possible (Garcia-Sage et al. 2017). However, planets without a magnetic field will be more vulnerable to atmospheric loss, as may be the case for older synchronously-rotating M dwarf planets in the habitable zone (Driscoll and Barnes 2015). If enough atmosphere is lost via interaction with the star, then the entire atmosphere could potentially condense onto the cold nightside (Joshi et al. 1997; Leconte et al. 2013; Turbet et al. 2017b) or at the poles of the planet (Turbet et al. 2017a). Planets that have completely lost their atmospheres could be identifiable by the presence of extreme day-night temperature differences (Kreidberg et al. 2019).

Venus, on the other hand, poses the scenario where many oceans of water can be lost to space over time, even when other atmospheric constituents remain. D/H ratios suggest that Venus has lost significant amounts of water to space over its lifetime (Donahue et al. 1982; Donahue 1999), while climate modeling studies suggest that Venus could have had clement surface conditions during the early history of our Solar System when the Sun was dimmer (Yang et al. 2014; Way et al. 2016, and see chapter by Arney and Kane in this volume). Independent of the bulk stripping of the atmosphere, water loss to space for Venus and other

planets occurs when the climate enters a moist or runaway greenhouse state (Kasting 1988), both of which allow large quantities of water vapor to permeate a planet’s stratosphere where it can be photolyzed and the freed H atoms then irreversibly escape to space. H atoms, being the lightest of all atoms, can escape to space most easily while heavier molecular gases like N_2 and CO_2 remain bound to the planet. Habitable planets around M dwarf stars may be at greater risk of water loss during moist greenhouse states due to their particular patterns of atmospheric circulation (Kopparapu et al. 2017; Fujii et al. 2017); however, ultimately water loss rates may depend on the level of stellar activity (Chen et al. 2019).

The loss of oceans to space could significantly modify the composition of a planet’s atmosphere (Luger and Barnes 2015). Photolysis of H_2O and the subsequent removal of H results in the build up of approximately 250 bars of O_2 for each Earth ocean equivalent of water lost. The amount of O_2 that remains in an atmosphere over long time scales depends on further loss processes, including atmospheric loss, and losses to sequestration in a magma ocean or via other surface processes (Schaefer et al. 2016; Wordsworth et al. 2018). This potential build up of abiotic O_2 is a potential false positive biosignature (Harman et al. 2015).

While ocean loss processes are themselves irreversible, the effects of ocean loss during moist and runaway greenhouse climate states may be mitigated by planets forming with higher initial water abundances (Tian and Ida 2015), planetary migration from beyond the snowline (Luger et al. 2017), the presence of a dense protective H_2 atmospheres early on (Luger et al. 2015; Barnes et al. 2018), and subsequent cometary delivery or outgassing (Albarede 2009; Meadows et al. 2018). For example, despite the Earth having lost 80-95% of its volatiles within the first 50-500 Myr (Turner 1989), large quantities of water may have remained sequestered in the mantle and were then slowly outgassed over time (Sleep et al. 2012). Volatile cycling between the mantle and atmosphere may take billions of years to reach a steady-state, allowing exoplanets to regain surface volatiles that were lost during the early phases in their history (Komacek and Abbot 2016). If M dwarf terrestrial exoplanets can similarly acquire volatiles after an initial loss of water and atmosphere, they may, over billions of years, accumulate a surface ocean and atmosphere from volcanic outgassing or volatile delivery, after the M dwarf has settled into its more benign main sequence phase.

6.2. Photochemistry

The composition of terrestrial exoplanet atmospheres can be strongly affected by photochemistry (Segura et al. 2005; Rugheimer et al. 2015; Meadows et al. 2018; Lincowski et al. 2018). Photochemistry refers to gas-phase chemistry that occurs in a planet’s atmosphere and is driven by light of the host star. Photochemistry is driven by the stellar UV spectrum, and the resultant gases and aerosols depend on the initial atmospheric composition and tem-

perature structure, the total amount of UV emitted by the star, and the wavelength dependence of the star’s UV output (Rugheimer et al. 2015). Upper-atmospheric chemistry can also be driven by stellar energetic particle precipitation from coronal mass ejections (Airapetian et al. 2016). Some molecules can be directly photolyzed, as is the case for CH_4 , N_2O , and H_2O , while the abundance of other molecules (including CH_4) can be highly sensitive to the presence of catalysts. The concentration of catalysts may be independently controlled by both photochemical (Segura et al. 2005) and dynamical processes (Schoeberl and Hartmann 1991).

Cooler main sequence stars often emit less UV and thus are often not as efficient as the Sun at photolyzing water vapor. This has a variety of consequences including, the reduced production of the $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ catalyst that is efficient at chemically destroying CH_4 (Segura et al. 2005), and the reduced production of OH radicals required for CO_2 recombination (Harman et al. 2015). Planets around quiet M dwarf stars may experience only limited water loss from moist greenhouse climate states due to inefficient photolysis, however water loss rates may be critically dependent on the level of stellar activity (Chen et al. 2019). Photochemically produced O_3 in oxygen-rich atmospheres and Titan-like hydrocarbon hazes in methane-rich atmospheres can both provide UV shielding of the planetary surface by absorbing UV radiation high in the atmosphere (Arney et al. 2016). However, note that some photochemical modifications to the atmosphere can be harmful. For instance, planets around M dwarf stars might build up significant abundances of CO in their atmospheres, which is poisonous gas to complex life as we know it (Schwieterman et al. 2019).

6.3. Climate

As discussed above, if water is to remain liquid at the surface, a planet must maintain a climate state that supports surface temperatures that lie in a fairly narrow range. A planet’s climate is determined through a delicate balance between absorbed incoming stellar radiation and emitted thermal radiation, modulated by the presence of greenhouse gases, clouds, and aerosols (Trenberth et al. 2009). However, star, planet, and planetary system interactions can have important influences on both patterns of stellar insolation and on the composition of planetary atmospheres.

Gravitational interactions can also drive changes to planetary climate via orbital modifications including migration and synchronous rotation. Long term gravitational interactions can drive planet migration which changes the mean insolation received by a planet. Giant planet migration may also be responsible for disturbing the orbits of comets and asteroids, triggering a bombardment and delivery of fresh volatiles to the inner terrestrial planets, shaping the composition of their secondary atmospheres (Mojzsis et al. 2019). Gravitational interactions among neighboring bodies can drive oscillations in planetary eccentricity and obliquity (Spiegel et al. 2010; Armstrong et al. 2014; Brasser et al.

2014; Deitrick et al. 2018) which can affect the seasonal variability of planetary surface temperatures (Bolmont et al. 2016; Rose et al. 2017; Kilic et al. 2017; Way and Georgakarakos 2017; Adams et al. 2019; Colose et al. 2019). While the time-mean climates of highly eccentric planets may be stable (Bolmont et al. 2016), dramatic seasonal swings in surface temperature or ice cover could make sustained surface habitability challenging (Sherwood and Huber 2010). Furthermore, planets in the habitable zones of M dwarf stars likely experience tidal locking where the planet maintains a synchronous or resonant orbit, thus governing the planet’s rotation rate, and significantly changing atmospheric circulation patterns and climate (Yang et al. 2014).

Photochemistry, driven by the stellar energy distribution and stellar activity level of the host star, can cause for the chemical modification of terrestrial planet atmospheres (Segura et al. 2010; Arney et al. 2017), which will impact temperature structure, climate and water loss. Photochemistry impacts planetary climate, and thus habitability, by destroying or creating greenhouse gases, by creating absorbing aerosols species, and by driving planetary water loss process by removing H from high altitude water vapor (thus permitting H to escape to space). The photolysis and ultimate destruction of water vapor in terrestrial planet atmospheres can yield a dessicated planet which has very different climate dynamics compared to water-rich planets (Abe et al. 2011; Leconte et al. 2013; Kodama et al. 2015). Photochemistry can also drive the creation or destruction of different novel greenhouse gases species in a planetary atmosphere such as CH₄, C₂H₆, NH₃ or N₂O which all can act as greenhouse gases and cause warming of the planet Segura et al. (2005); Haqq-Misra et al. (2008); Airapetian et al. (2016); Meadows et al. (2018). Conversely, photochemically produced upper atmospheric hazes can have a potentially significant cooling effect on climate (McKay et al. 1999; Haqq-Misra et al. 2008; Arney et al. 2016). The addition of greenhouse gases or atmospheric hazes can either help or hinder planetary habitability depending upon the initial climate state.

6.4. Tidal Effects

Planets close to their host stars are expected to be affected by the differential gravitational force, and experience tides which can affect a planet’s habitability (Rasio et al. 1996; Jackson et al. 2008). In particular, HZ planets around low-mass stars, such as M-dwarfs, may experience tidal forces which can deform their solid bodies, in addition causing changes in angular momenta (rotation) and energy that affect their atmospheric dynamics (Yang et al. 2013a; Kopparapu et al. 2016; Wolf 2017; Haqq-Misra et al. 2018).

In addition to tidal locking (Dole 1964; Barnes 2017), which can result in synchronous rotation, tides may also impact habitability via orbital circularization, orbital migration, obliquity erosion, and tidal heating. Tides will also drive planetary obliquities towards 0 or 180 (Goldre-

ich 1966; Heller et al. 2011), which changes the insolation pattern and may lead to atmospheric collapse at the poles (Joshi et al. 1997). Near the end state of tidal evolution, planets may become tidally-locked, and once their eccentricity and obliquity are both near zero they may synchronously rotate with one side of the planet always facing the star. However, close-in planets can also be found in non-circular orbits, because either they have not yet been tidally circularized, or they have had their eccentricity or obliquity maintained over long time periods via perturbation by another planet—which counteracts the tendency of tides to damp eccentricity and obliquity to zero (Barnes et al. 2010). Planets in non-circular orbits can be heated by friction induced by the changing deformation of the planet (Jackson et al. 2008; Barnes et al. 2009). Planets in the habitable zones of M dwarfs may experience Io-like levels of surface heat flux, which could significantly change their internal properties and outgassing rates, and potentially induce a runaway greenhouse (Barnes et al. 2013). Tidal heating can also induce a prolonged magma ocean stage for young, close-in planets before they circularize (Driscoll and Barnes 2015), and dense atmospheres may prolong this magma ocean phase (Hamano et al. 2013). This tidal heating of the mantle can promote core cooling and generation of an early magnetic dynamo, which is maintained as the planet circularizes and loses its tidal heating component. However, if eccentricity is maintained for prolonged periods then the mantle cannot cool, which results in core solidification and loss of the magnetic dynamo, exposing the planet to stellar wind erosion. For these hotter eccentric planets, massive melt eruptions may also render them uninhabitable (Driscoll and Barnes 2015).

6.5. Galactic Effects

The planetary system that a habitable planet forms in also interacts with the Galaxy, and these interactions may affect the composition of the host star and protoplanetary disk, the dynamical stability of the planetary system, and the radiation received by the planet (for a more detailed review of Galactic impacts on habitability, see Kaib (2018)). Initial observations of a correlation between stars with enhanced metallicity (elements heavier than hydrogen) and the formation of giant planets (Fischer and Valenti 2005) implied that perhaps planets would be more frequent in the inner, higher metallicity regions of the Galaxy. However, subsequent studies showed no such correlation for planets with $R < 4 R_{\oplus}$ (Buchhave et al. 2012; Buchhave and Latham 2015), which are found around stars with a range of metallicities that are much wider than expected, based on the hot Jupiter results. Consequently there is no clear constraint on the location of terrestrial planet formation in our Galaxy as a function of stellar metallicity, and by extension, the metallicity of the interstellar medium.

Galactic metallicity may also be a less important factor in supporting a planet with active plate tectonics, which is part of the carbonate-silicate cycle that buffers planetary cli-

mate (Walker et al. 1981). Planet formation in a region of the galaxy that does not have recent star formation and regular type-II supernovae, which generate the $^{235,238}\text{U}$, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K unstable isotopes thought to drive radiogenic internal energy for tectonics, was also thought to limit the formation of terrestrial planets with sufficient long-lived energy for plate tectonics. However, neutron star mergers, which are less tied to very recent star formation, and for which gravitational wave signatures were recently detected (Abbott et al. 2017), are now recognized to be a dominant contributor to the production of a more constant supply of unstable isotopes of U and Th. In addition, there is still uncertainty as to the relative contributions to Earth’s current internal heat, and radiogenic decay may produce a heat flux that is roughly equal to the Earth’s primordial heat of formation (Korenaga 2008; Dye 2012), such that even a complete lack of heating from radionuclides may not significantly drop the surface heat flow.

Gravitational interactions with the Galactic environment can perturb planetary systems, and possibly contribute to significant migration of the host star from its birth environment, ultimately affecting habitability. While still in their formation clusters, stars are closer to each other and have lower relative velocities than they will out in the field, and so gravitational encounters between stars are more likely to perturb planetary orbits, including ejecting planets (Laughlin and Adams 1998; Spurzem et al. 2009). However, this is unlikely to be an issue for most habitable zone planets, as an extremely close pass by another star would be needed to eject an inner planet, and most star-forming clusters disperse on 10 My timescale, which lowers the probability of such an encounter. Although it would be challenging to eject a habitable zone planet, outer planets are more likely to be perturbed and the modification to their orbits could be transferred to inner planets via planet-planet interactions (Malmberg et al., 2011). After the open cluster phase, stellar interactions would normally be less frequent, but if the host star has a distant stellar companion, that companion can act as an antenna for gravitational encounters with other stars. This can ultimately destabilize the planetary system, and make habitability for planets in widely space binaries less likely over long time periods (Kaib et al. 2013). On an even larger scale, stars on nearly circular orbits co-rotating with the Galaxy’s spiral structure may be susceptible to large radial migrations (Sellwood and Binney 2002; Roškar et al. 2012). Sun-like stars could have migrated up to 6 kiloparsecs (kpc) within the galactic disk, from the inner Galaxy outward, significantly changing the radiation environment over time, and the stellar encounter frequency (Wielen et al. 1996; Roškar et al. 2011). Increased stellar encounters when the Sun was younger and closer to the center of the Galaxy could have influenced the volatile delivery and impact history of Earth by modifying the Oort Cloud and the flux of comets through the inner Solar System (Kaib et al. 2011). These interactions could have reduced or destroyed reservoirs of distant icy bodies or injected outer planetary system icy bodies into habitable

orbits.

Finally, the galactic environment can affect the planet directly, via interaction with radiation and particles from highly energetic events. Proposed mechanisms include the action of gamma ray bursts (Melott et al. 2004; Atri et al. 2014), supernovae (Gehrels et al. 2003) or kilonovae (Abbott et al. 2017) which could erode the ozone layer on a habitable planet. However, models of the effect of a nearby (8pc distant) supernova suggest that surface UV flux would only increase by a factor of 2, which may not be catastrophic. Moreover, such a nearby supernova would likely only happen once every 8 Gy (Gehrels et al. 2003). Gamma ray bursts are far more energetic, and could indeed produce mass extinction events if a habitable planet were exposed to one within 1-2 kpc (Thomas et al. 2005). However, GRBs are most often observed in metal poor (less than 10% of the Sun’s metallicity) galaxies (Piran and Jimenez 2014), and if their progenitors are similarly metal poor, very few stars would have been subjected to these GRBs, and those would be in the more metal poor outskirts of the Galaxy (Gowanlock 2016). Consequently, the impact of gamma ray bursts and supernovae on planetary habitability may be relatively modest, especially when compared to processes that are likely to have a higher impact, e.g. stellar activity, within a planetary system.

7. Current and Near-Term Observations of Habitable Zone Planets

We are now entering a new era of terrestrial exoplanet characterization that is providing a glimpse into the environments of possibly habitable worlds. Although models may provide valuable information about the probability of habitability, it is observations, albeit often interpreted by models, that will ultimately be used to assess whether or not a planet is habitable. In the past few years, the very first attempts at determining planetary bulk composition, and observing the atmospheres of likely terrestrial exoplanets in the habitable zones of M dwarfs have been undertaken. A handful of good targets are known, including the HZ transiting planets TRAPPIST-1 e, f and g in the 7-planet TRAPPIST-1 system (Gillon et al. 2016; Gillon et al. 2017) and LHS 1140 b (Dittmann et al. 2017) and the RV-detected Proxima Centauri b (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016), and Ross 128 b (Bonfils et al. 2018). To complement these HZ planets, other likely terrestrials include the exo-Venuses TRAPPIST-1 b, c and d (Gillon et al. 2016; Gillon et al. 2017) and GJ1132 b (Berta-Thompson et al. 2015). For the transiting planets, observations of both the size and inclination from transit, combined with masses from RV or TTVs have produced densities, that provide our first steps towards characterizing habitability. The densities of nearby M dwarf terrestrial planets (e.g., GJ1132 b, $6.0 \pm 2.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, (Berta-Thompson et al. 2015); and LHS1140 b, $12.5 \pm 3.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, (Dittmann et al. 2017) are comparable to the densities of Earth (5.5 g cm^{-3}) or Venus (5.3 g cm^{-3}), consistent with mixtures of silicate rock and iron. Initial estimates sug-

gested that TRAPPIST-1 planets have densities that span 0.6 to 1.0 times Earth's density (i.e., $3.3 - 5.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) (Grimm et al. 2018), and innovative techniques that used data from the seven planets together to probe their interiors suggested that the measurement errors were constant with a consistent or increasing water mass fraction with semi-major axis (Dorn et al. 2018). Although new measurements with better precision suggest that the densities of most of the TRAPPIST-1 planets are in fact similar to each other, and are closer in value, albeit with higher water fractions, to our Solar System terrestrials. The generally lower densities of the TRAPPIST-1 planets (Gillon et al. 2017; Grimm et al. 2018), along with their resonant orbits, suggest that the planets have formed at larger distances from the star in a more volatile-rich birth environment and migrated inward (Luger et al. 2017a; Unterborn et al. 2018). So despite predictions of extreme atmospheric and ocean loss for these planets, it is likely that their interiors remain volatile rich.

Spectra and photometry have also been obtained for several of the TRAPPIST-1 planets, and although these spectra appear to be featureless, they do help in attempts to constrain the planetary atmospheric properties. HST Wide Field Camera 3 transmission spectroscopy has ruled out H₂-dominated atmospheres for the innermost six TRAPPIST-1 planets (de Wit et al. 2016; de Wit et al. 2018). Additionally, laboratory data and models suggest that it is unlikely that the flat spectra observed are due to suspended aerosols, and instead may be high mean-molecular-weight secondary outgassed atmospheres (Moran et al. 2018), of as yet unconstrained composition (Delrez et al. 2018; Lincowski et al. 2018). However, whether the planets have high molecular weight atmospheres (e.g. CO₂- or O₂-dominated) or no atmospheres requires observations with future facilities

In the next 5-10 years, JWST, which is scheduled for launch early in 2021, and next-generation ground-based extremely large telescopes will provide additional capabilities to study the atmospheres of HZ terrestrial exoplanets. The best targets for JWST will likely come from ground-based exoplanet detection surveys that focus on late-type M dwarfs, as these small stars produce excellent atmospheric signals for transiting planets (Morley et al. 2017; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019). Planets discovered by the TESS mission are more likely to be orbiting brighter, earlier type M dwarfs that produce relatively weak differential signals from planetary atmospheres and so will not be the best targets for JWST. However, for systems like TRAPPIST-1, which is orbiting a late-type M8 dwarf, JWST can likely detect the presence of a terrestrial atmosphere (containing CO₂ but no aerosols) for each of the planets using transmission spectroscopy, by coadding data from fewer than 10 transits for each planet (Morley et al. 2017; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019). For cloudy atmospheres the integration time will be up to 20-30 transits (Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019). For characterizing the nature of the atmosphere, and the a planet's habitability, JWST will be much more adept at proving ocean loss, or a loss of habitability, than confirming

that an ocean is present. This is because signs of ocean loss, such as strong O₂-O₂ collisional absorption from a massive O₂ atmosphere (Meadows 2017; Lincowski et al. 2018), as well as potential signatures from enhanced D/H from atmospheric loss are potentially detectable in 2-11 transits for the inner planets. The presence of gases that are normally soluble in water, such as SO₂, or the presence of an H₂SO₄-H₂O haze layer with SO₂ gas (Lincowski et al. 2018; Loftus et al. 2019) are more observationally challenging (Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019; Loftus et al. 2019) but would point to a surface environment almost entirely devoid of water. On the other hand, water vapor, at the relatively desiccated altitudes that transit probes, will take upwards of 60 transits for the HZ TRAPPIST-1 planets (Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019; Lincowski et al. 2019), and even if detected it is not definitive proof that surface liquid water exists. Biosignatures like O₂ will be extremely challenging for JWST and unlikely to be detected due to the poor sensitivity of the instruments at wavelengths shortward of $1.3 \mu\text{m}$.

Ground-based extremely large telescopes (ELTs), may also be capable of probing M dwarf planetary atmospheres, starting in 2025. The ELTs can probe a handful of HZ planets using high-resolution spectroscopy for transiting (Rodler and López-Morales 2014) and reflected light observations (Snellen et al. 2015; Lovis et al. 2017). These telescopes may also be able to take direct imaging mid infrared (MIR) observations of planets orbiting G dwarf stars (Quanz et al. 2015). For the best targets, these facilities may have the precision required to undertake the first spectroscopic search for atmospheric water vapor and biosignature gases, such as O₂ and CH₄ (Lovis et al. 2017; Rodler and López-Morales 2014; López-Morales et al. 2019), but these ground-based measurements will also be unable to directly detect surface water on an exoplanet.

In the more distant future, large space-based coronagraphic direct imaging mission concepts currently under consideration by NASA may obtain spectra of 100s of habitable zone planets. These planets will be orbiting stars of spectral type from F down through M and will provide a large statistical sample for observational determination of the habitable zone, and detection of biosignature gases. Constraints on CO₂ levels on the larger samples of planets obtained by these telescopes could be used to test for evidence of the carbonate-silicate cycle directly (Bean et al. 2017). These telescopes may also have the capability to map the planetary surface as it rotates under the observer, and directly detect glint from exoplanet oceans (Robinson et al. 2010; Cowan et al. 2012; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2018), providing a definitive detection of habitability. For a more detailed discussion of how to observationally determine exoplanet habitability, see Chapter by Robinson & Reinhard in this volume.

8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The characteristics and processes relevant to the maintenance of surface liquid water on a terrestrial planet are

broad, interdisciplinary and interconnected, and both modeling and observations will be needed to understand them. To date, our best first-order assessment method for whether or not a planet is likely to be habitable has been to check whether a newly discovered exoplanet is in the size range that is likely to be terrestrial, and is in the habitable zone of its parent star. However, we now know that habitability is maintained via the interplay of planetary, stellar and planetary system characteristics over the planet's lifetime. Within this new framework, the habitable zone can be seen as a 2-dimensional slice in stellar type and semi-major axis through a multi-dimensional parameter space. Planets that form in the HZ may also not be habitable due to either a low initial volatile inventory, or loss of volatiles over time. Atmosphere and ocean loss is of particular concern for M dwarf terrestrial planets which orbit close to a star that spent its early life in a super-luminous state and maintains high stellar activity for an extended period of time. On the other hand, terrestrial planetary atmospheres are most likely secondary, outgassed from the interior. Understanding how the balance between outgassing and atmospheric escape sculpts the resulting terrestrial planet atmosphere, and potentially replenishes an ocean, will be an important new frontier in terrestrial exoplanet evolution and habitability. While some of the characteristics and processes that inform planetary habitability may be observable in the coming decades, many will instead be explored via modeling, or a combination of modeling and observations. An interdisciplinary system science approach will be needed to fully explore the depth and complexity of planetary habitability. An improved understanding the factors that affect habitability will enable identification of those exoplanets that are most likely to be habitable, and inform our interpretation of upcoming exoplanet data to be used to search for life beyond the Earth.

9. Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank reviewers Dorian Abbot and Robin Wordsworth for their constructive comments and suggestions, which greatly improved the review chapter. This chapter benefited from discussions with Andrew Lincowski, and the assistance of Evan Davis. This work was performed by NASA's Virtual Planetary Laboratory, a member of the Nexus for Exoplanet System Science Research Coordination Network, and funded by the NASA Astrobiology Program under grant 80NSSC18K0829.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R. X., Adya, V. B., and et al. (2017). GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral. *Physical Review Letters*, 119(16):161101.
- Abe, Y., Abe-Ouchi, A., Sleep, N. H., and Zahnle, K. J. (2011). Habitable Zone Limits for Dry Planets. *Astrobiology*, 11:443–460.
- Adams, A., Boos, W., and Wolf, E. (2019). Aquaplanet Models on Eccentric Orbits: Effects of the Rotation Rate on Observables. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 157(189):19.
- Agol, E., Jansen, T., Lacy, B., Robinson, T. D., and Meadows, V. (2015). The center of light: Spectroastrometric detection of exomoons. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 812(1):5.
- Agol, E., Jansen, T., Lacy, B., Robinson, T. D., and Meadows, V. (2015). The Center of Light: Spectroastrometric Detection of Exomoons. *Astrophys. J.*, 812(1):5.
- Agol, E., Steffen, J., Sari, R., and Clarkson, W. (2005). On detecting terrestrial planets with timing of giant planet transits. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 359(2):567–579.
- Ahrens, T. J. (1993). Impact erosion of terrestrial planetary atmospheres. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 21(1):525–555.
- Airapetian, V. S., Gloer, A., Gronoff, G., Hebrard, E., and Danchi, W. (2016). Prebiotic chemistry and atmospheric warming of early Earth by an active young Sun. *Nature Geoscience*, 9:452–455.
- Albarede, F. (2009). Volatile accretion history of the terrestrial planets and dynamic implications. *Nature*, 461(7268):1227.
- Anglada-Escudé, G., Amado, P. J., Barnes, J., Berdiñas, Z. M., Butler, R. P., Coleman, G. A., de La Cueva, I., Dreizler, S., Endl, M., Giesers, B., et al. (2016). A terrestrial planet candidate in a temperate orbit around proxima centauri. *Nature*, 536(7617):437–440.
- Armstrong, J., Barnes, R., Domagal-Goldman, S., Breiner, J., Quinn, T., and Meadows, V. (2014). Effects of extreme obliquity variations on the habitability of exoplanets. *Astrobiology*, 14(4):277–291.
- Armstrong, K., Frost, D. J., McCammon, C. A., Rubie, D. C., and Boffa Ballaran, T. (2019). Deep magma ocean formation set the oxidation state of Earth's mantle. *Science*, 365(6456):903–906.
- Arney, G., Domagal-Goldman, S. D., Meadows, V. S., Wolf, E. T., Schwieterman, E., Charnay, B., Claire, M., Hébrard, E., and Trainer, M. G. (2016). The pale orange dot: the spectrum and habitability of hazy Archean Earth. *Astrobiology*, 16(11):873–899.
- Arney, G. N., Meadows, V. S., Domagal-Goldman, S. D., Deming, D., Robinson, T. D., Tovar, G., Wolf, E. T., and Schwieterman, E. (2017). Pale Orange Dots: The Impact of Organic Haze on the Habitability and Detectability of Earthlike Exoplanets. *Astrophys. J.*, 836(1):49.
- Atri, D., Melott, A. L., and Karam, A. (2014). Biological radiation dose from secondary particles in a Milky Way gamma-ray burst. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 13:224–228.
- Baker, S. J., Hesselbo, S. P., Lenton, T. M., Duarte, L. V., and Belcher, C. M. (2017). Charcoal evidence that rising atmospheric oxygen terminated Early Jurassic ocean anoxia. *Nature Communications*, 8:15018.
- Baraffe, I., Homeier, D., Allard, F., and Chabrier, G. (2015). New evolutionary models for pre-main sequence and main sequence low-mass stars down to the hydrogen-burning limit. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 577:A42.
- Barnes, R. (2017). Tidal Locking of Habitable Exoplanets. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*.
- Barnes, R., Deitrick, R., Luger, R., Driscoll, P. E., Quinn, T. R., Fleming, D. P., Guyer, B., McDonald, D. V., Meadows, V. S., Arney, G., Crisp, D., Domagal-Goldman, S. D., Lincowski, A.,

- Lustig-Yaeger, J., and Schwieterman, E. (2018). The Habitability of Proxima Centauri b I: Evolutionary Scenarios. *Astrobiology*. arXiv preprint: 1608.06919v2.
- Barnes, R., Jackson, B., Greenberg, R., and Raymond, S. N. (2009). Tidal limits to planetary habitability. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 700(1):L30.
- Barnes, R., Mullins, K., Goldblatt, C., Meadows, V. S., Kasting, J. F., and Heller, R. (2013). Tidal Venuses: Triggering a Climate Catastrophe via Tidal Heating. *Astrobiology*, 13(3):225–250.
- Barnes, R., Raymond, S. N., Greenberg, R., Jackson, B., and Kaib, N. A. (2010). CoRoT-7b: super-Earth or super-Io? *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 709(2):L95.
- Bean, J., Abbot, D., and Kempton, E. M.-R. (2017). A statistical comparative planetology approach to the hunt for habitable exoplanets and life beyond the solar system. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 841:L24.
- Becker, J. C. and Batygin, K. (2013). Dynamical Measurements of the Interior Structure of Exoplanets. *Astrophys. J.*, 778:100.
- Beichman, C., Barrado, D., Belikov, R., Biller, B., Boccaletti, A., Burrows, A., Danielski, C., Choquet, E., Doyon, R., Fortney, J., Gaspar, A., Glasse, A., Hinkley, S., Hu, R., Kataria, T., Krist, J., Lafrenière, D., Lagage, P.-O., Lunine, J., Marley, M., Mawet, D., Meshkat, T., Meyer, M., Oppenheimer, R., Perrin, M., Pueyo, L., Ressler, M., Rieke, G., Rieke, M., Roellig, T., Serabyn, E., Schlieder, J. E., Skemer, A., Soummer, R., Su, K., Tremblin, P., Venot, O., and Ygouf, M. (2019). Direct Imaging and Spectroscopy of Exoplanets with the James Webb Space Telescope. *Bull. Am. Astron. Soc.*, 51(3):58.
- Berger, A., Loutre, M.-F., and Tricot, C. (1993). Insolation and earth's orbital periods. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 98(D6):10341–10362.
- Berta-Thompson, Z. K., Irwin, J., Charbonneau, D., Newton, E. R., Dittmann, J. A., Astudillo-Defru, N., Bonfils, X., Gillon, M., Jehin, E., Stark, A. A., et al. (2015). A rocky planet transiting a nearby low-mass star. *Nature*, 527(7577):204–207.
- Bolmont, E., Libert, A.-S., Leconte, J., and Selsis, F. (2016). Habitability of planets on eccentric orbits: the limits of the mean flux approximation. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 591:A106.
- Bonfils, X., Astudillo-Defru, N., Díaz, R., Almenara, J. M., Forveille, T., Bouchy, F., Delfosse, X., Lovis, C., Mayor, M., Murgas, F., Pepe, F., Santos, N. C., Ségransan, D., Udry, S., and Wülsche, A. (2018). A temperate exo-Earth around a quiet M dwarf at 3.4 parsec. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 613:A25.
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., Batalha, N., Brown, T., Caldwell, D., Caldwell, J., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Cochran, W. D., DeVore, E., et al. (2010). Kepler planet-detection mission: introduction and first results. *Science*, 327(5968):977–980.
- Brain, D. A., Leblanc, F., Luhmann, J. G., Moore, T. E., and Tian, F. (2013). *Planetary Magnetic Fields and Climate Evolution*, page 487.
- Brasser, R., Ida, S., and Kokubo, E. (2014). A dynamical study on the habitability of terrestrial exoplanets - II The super-Earth HD 40307 g. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 440:3685–3700.
- Buchhave, L. A. and Latham, D. W. (2015). The Metallicities of Stars with and without Transiting Planets. *Astrophys. J.*, 808(2):187.
- Buchhave, L. A., Latham, D. W., Johansen, A., Bizzarro, M., Torres, G., Rowe, J. F., Batalha, N. M., Borucki, W. J., Brugamyer, E., Caldwell, C., Bryson, S. T., Ciardi, D. R., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., Esquerdo, G. A., Ford, E. B., Geary, J. C., Gilliland, R. L., Hansen, T., Isaacson, H., Laird, J. B., Lucas, P. W., Marcy, G. W., Morse, J. A., Robertson, P., Shporer, A., Stefanik, R. P., Still, M., and Quinn, S. N. (2012). An abundance of small exoplanets around stars with a wide range of metallicities. *Nature*, 486(7403):375–377.
- Buhler, P. B., Knutson, H. A., Batygin, K., Fulton, B. J., Fortney, J. J., Burrows, A., and Wong, I. (2016). Dynamical Constraints on the Core Mass of Hot Jupiter HAT-P-13b. *Astrophys. J.*, 821:26.
- Bullock, M. A. (1997). *The Stability of Climate on Venus*. PhD thesis, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AT BOULDER.
- Carone, L., Keppens, R., and Decin, L. (2015). Connecting the dots - II. Phase changes in the climate dynamics of tidally locked terrestrial exoplanets. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 453(3):2412–2437.
- Cassan, A., Kubas, D., Beaulieu, J. P., Dominik, M., Horne, K., Greenhill, J., Wambsganss, J., Menzies, J., Williams, A., Jørgensen, U. G., Udalski, A., Bennett, D. P., Albrow, M. D., Batista, V., Brilliant, S., Caldwell, J. A. R., Cole, A., Coutures, C., Cook, K. H., Dieters, S., Dominis Prester, D., Donatowicz, J., Fouqué, P., Hill, K., Kains, N., Kane, S., Marquette, J. B., Martin, R., Pollard, K. R., Sahu, K. C., Vinter, C., Warren, D., Watson, B., Zub, M., Sumi, T., Szymański, M. K., Kubiak, M., Poleski, R., Soszynski, I., Ulaczyk, K., Pietrzyński, G., and Wyrzykowski, Ł. (2012). One or more bound planets per Milky Way star from microlensing observations. *Nature*, 481(7380):167–169.
- Catling, D. C. and Claire, M. W. (2005). How Earth's atmosphere evolved to an oxic state: A status report. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 237(1-2):1–20.
- Catling, D. C., Zahnle, K. J., and McKay, C. P. (2001). Biogenic Methane, Hydrogen Escape, and the Irreversible Oxidation of Early Earth. *Science*, 293(5531):839–843.
- Cauley, P. W., Shkolnik, E. L., Llama, J., and Lanza, A. F. (2019). Magnetic field strengths of hot Jupiters from signals of star-planet interactions. *Nature Astronomy*, page 408.
- Charbonneau, D., Berta, Z. K., Irwin, J., Burke, C. J., Nutzman, P., Buchhave, L. A., Lovis, C., Bonfils, X., Latham, D. W., Udry, S., Murray-Clay, R. A., Holman, M. J., Falco, E. E., Winn, J. N., Queloz, D., Pepe, F., Mayor, M., Delfosse, X., and Forveille, T. (2009). A super-Earth transiting a nearby low-mass star. *Nature*, 462(7275):891–894.
- Charbonneau, D., Brown, T. M., Latham, D. W., and Mayor, M. (2000). Detection of planetary transits across a sun-like star. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 529(1):L45–L48.
- Charnay, B., Meadows, V., Misra, A., Leconte, J., and Arney, G. (2015). 3D Modeling of GJ1214b's Atmosphere: Formation of Inhomogeneous High Clouds and Observational Implications. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 813(1):L1.
- Chassefière, E., Leblanc, F., and Langlais, B. (2007). The combined effects of escape and magnetic field histories at mars. *Planetary and Space Science*, 55(3):343–357.
- Chen, H. and Rogers, L. A. (2016). Evolutionary Analysis of Gaseous Sub-Neptune-mass Planets with MESA. *Astrophys. J.*, 831(2):180.

- Chen, H., Wolf, E. T., Zhan, Z., and Horton, D. E. (2019). Habitability and spectroscopic observability of warm m-dwarf exoplanets with a 3d chemistry-climate model. *arxiv preprint:1907.10048*.
- Chen, J. and Kipping, D. (2017). Probabilistic Forecasting of the Masses and Radii of Other Worlds. *Astrophys. J.*, 834(1):17.
- Colose, C., Del Genio, A., and Way, M. (2019). Enhanced habitability of high obliquity bodies near the outer edge of the habitable zone of sun-like stars. *arxiv preprint:1905.09398*.
- Cowan, N. B. and Abbot, D. S. (2014). Water cycling between ocean and mantle: Super-Earths need not be waterworlds. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 781(1):27.
- Cowan, N. B., Abbot, D. S., and Voigt, A. (2012). A False Positive for Ocean Glint on Exoplanets: The Latitude-Albedo Effect. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 752(1):L3.
- Cowan, N. B., Agol, E., Meadows, V. S., Robinson, T., Liven-good, T. A., Deming, D., Lisse, C. M., A'Hearn, M. F., Wellnitz, D. D., Seager, S., et al. (2009). Alien maps of an ocean-bearing world. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 700(2):915.
- Crossfield, I. J. (2016). Exoplanet atmospheres and giant ground-based telescopes. *arxiv preprint:1604.06458*.
- de Wit, J., Wakeford, H. R., Gillon, M., Lewis, N. K., Valenti, J. A., Demory, B.-O., Burgasser, A. J., Burdanov, A., Delrez, L., Jehin, E., et al. (2016). A combined transmission spectrum of the earth-sized exoplanets trappist-1 b and c. *Nature*.
- de Wit, J., Wakeford, H. R., Lewis, N. K., Delrez, L., Gillon, M., Selsis, F., Leconte, J., Demory, B.-O., Bolmont, E., Bourrier, V., Burgasser, A. J., Grimm, S., Jehin, E., Lederer, S. M., Owen, J. E., Stamenković, V., and Triaud, A. H. M. J. (2018). Atmospheric reconnaissance of the habitable-zone Earth-sized planets orbiting TRAPPIST-1. *Nature Astronomy*, 2:214–219.
- Deitrick, R., Barnes, R., Quinn, T. R., Armstrong, J., Charnay, B., and Wilhelm, C. (2018). Exo-Milankovitch Cycles. I. Orbits and Rotation States. *Astron. J.*, 155:60.
- Del Genio, A. D., Way, M. J., Amundsen, D. S., Aleinov, I., Kelley, M., Kiang, N. Y., and Clune, T. L. (2019). Habitable climate scenarios for proxima centauri b with a dynamic ocean. *Astrobiology*, 19(2):1–27.
- Delrez, L., Gillon, M., Triaud, A. H. M. J., Demory, B. O., de Wit, J., Ingalls, J. G., Agol, E., Bolmont, E., Burdanov, A., Burgasser, A. J., Carey, S. J., Jehin, E., Leconte, J., Lederer, S., Queloz, D., Selsis, F., and Van Grootel, V. (2018). Early 2017 observations of TRAPPIST-1 with Spitzer. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 475(3):3577–3597.
- Demory, B.-O., Gillon, M., Barman, T., Bonfils, X., Mayor, M., Mazeh, T., Queloz, D., Udry, S., Bouchy, F., Delfosse, X., et al. (2007). Characterization of the hot neptune gj 436 b with spitzer and ground-based observations. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 475(3):1125–1129.
- Dittmann, J. A., Irwin, J. M., Charbonneau, D., Bonfils, X., Astudillo-Defru, N., Haywood, R. D., Berta-Thompson, Z. K., Newton, E. R., Rodriguez, J. E., Winters, J. G., et al. (2017). A temperate rocky super-earth transiting a nearby cool star. *Nature*, 544(7650):333–336.
- do Nascimento, J. D., J., Vidotto, A. A., Petit, P., Folsom, C., Castro, M., Marsden, S. C., Morin, J., Porto de Mello, G. F., Meibom, S., Jeffers, S. V., Guinan, E., and Ribas, I. (2016). Magnetic Field and Wind of Kappa Ceti: Toward the Planetary Habitability of the Young Sun When Life Arose on Earth. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 820(1):L15.
- Dobos, V., Heller, R., and Turner, E. L. (2017). The effect of multiple heat sources on exomoon habitable zones. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 601:A91.
- Dobrovolskis, A. R. (2009). Insolation patterns on synchronous exoplanets with obliquity. *Icarus*, 204(1):1–10.
- Dole, S. H. (1964). *Habitable planets for man*. Rand Corp.
- Donahue, T. M. (1999). New Analysis of Hydrogen and Deuterium Escape from Venus. *Icarus*, 141(2):226–235.
- Donahue, T. M., Hoffman, J. H., Hodges, R. R., and Watson, A. J. (1982). Venus Was Wet: A Measurement of the Ratio of Deuterium to Hydrogen. *Science*, 216:630–633.
- Dorn, C., Khan, A., Heng, K., Connolly, J. A., Alibert, Y., Benz, W., and Tackley, P. (2015). Can we constrain the interior structure of rocky exoplanets from mass and radius measurements? *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 577:A83.
- Dorn, C., Mosegaard, K., Grimm, S. L., and Alibert, Y. (2018). Interior Characterization in Multiplanetary Systems: TRAPPIST-1. *Astrophys. J.*, 865(1):20.
- Doyle, L. R., Carter, J. A., Fabrycky, D. C., Slawson, R. W., Howell, S. B., Winn, J. N., Orosz, J. A., Prša, A., Welsh, W. F., Quinn, S. N., Latham, D., Torres, G., Buchhave, L. A., Marcy, G. W., Fortney, J. J., Shporer, A., Ford, E. B., Lissauer, J. J., Ragozzine, D., Rucker, M., Batalha, N., Jenkins, J. M., Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Middour, C. K., Hall, J. R., McCauliff, S., Fanelli, M. N., Quintana, E. V., Holman, M. J., Caldwell, D. A., Still, M., Stefanik, R. P., Brown, W. R., Esquerdo, G. A., Tang, S., Furesz, G., Geary, J. C., Berlind, P., Calkins, M. L., Short, D. R., Steffen, J. H., Sasselov, D., Dunham, E. W., Cochran, W. D., Boss, A., Haas, M. R., Buzasi, D., and Fischer, D. (2011). Kepler-16: A Transiting Circumbinary Planet. *Science*, 333(6049):1602.
- Dressing, C. D. and Charbonneau, D. (2015). The occurrence of potentially habitable planets orbiting m dwarfs estimated from the full kepler dataset and an empirical measurement of the detection sensitivity. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 807(1):45.
- Dressing, C. D., Spiegel, D. S., Scharf, C. A., Menou, K., and Raymond, S. N. (2010). Habitable climates: the influence of eccentricity. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 721(2):1295.
- Driscoll, P. and Bercovici, D. (2013). Divergent evolution of Earth and Venus: Influence of degassing, tectonics, and magnetic fields. *Icarus*, 226:1447–1464.
- Driscoll, P. and Bercovici, D. (2014). On the thermal and magnetic histories of Earth and Venus: Influences of melting, radioactivity, and conductivity. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 236:36–51.
- Driscoll, P. and Olson, P. (2011). Optimal dynamos in the cores of terrestrial exoplanets: Magnetic field generation and detectability. *Icarus*, 213:12–23.
- Driscoll, P. E. (2018). *Planetary Interiors, Magnetic Fields, and Habitability*, page 76.
- Driscoll, P. E. and Barnes, R. (2015). Tidal Heating of Earth-like Exoplanets around M Stars: Thermal, Magnetic, and Orbital Evolutions. *Astrobiology*, 15:739–760.

- Dye, S. T. (2012). Geoneutrinos and the radioactive power of the Earth. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 50(3):RG3007.
- Edson, A., Lee, S., Bannon, P., Kasting, J. F., and Pollard, D. (2011). Atmospheric circulations of terrestrial planets orbiting low-mass stars. *Icarus*, 212(1):1–13.
- Egan, H., Jarvinen, R., Ma, Y., and Brain, D. (2019). Planetary magnetic field control of ion escape from weakly magnetized planets. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 488(2):2108–2120.
- Egbert, G. D. and Ray, R. D. (2000). Significant dissipation of tidal energy in the deep ocean inferred from satellite altimeter data. *Nature*, 405:775–778.
- Eggl, S., Pilat-Lohinger, E., Funk, B., Georgakarakos, N., and Haghighipour, N. (2013). Circumstellar habitable zones of binary-star systems in the solar neighbourhood. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 428(4):3104–3113.
- Eggl, S., Pilat-Lohinger, E., Georgakarakos, N., Gyergyovits, M., and Funk, B. (2012). An Analytic Method to Determine Habitable Zones for S-Type Planetary Orbits in Binary Star Systems. *Astrophys. J.*, 752(1):74.
- Ergun, R. E., Carlson, C. W., McFadden, J. P., Delory, G. T., Strangeway, R. J., and Pritchett, P. L. (2000). Electron-Cyclotron Maser Driven by Charged-Particle Acceleration from Magnetic Field-aligned Electric Fields. *Astrophys. J.*, 538(1):456–466.
- Fassett, C. I. and Head, J. W. (2008). Valley network-fed, open-basin lakes on Mars: Distribution and implications for Noachian surface and subsurface hydrology. *Icarus*, 198:37–56.
- Ferraz-Mello, S., Rodríguez, A., and Hussmann, H. (2008). Tidal friction in close-in satellites and exoplanets: The Darwin theory re-visited. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 101:171–201.
- Ferris, J. P. and Hagan Jr, W. J. (1984). Hcn and chemical evolution: the possible role of cyano compounds in prebiotic synthesis. *Tetrahedron*, 40(7):1093–1120.
- Fischer, D. A., Howard, A. W., Laughlin, G. P., Macintosh, B., Mahadevan, S., Sahlmann, J., and Yee, J. C. (2014). Exoplanet Detection Techniques. In Beuther, H., Klessen, R. S., Dullemond, C. P., and Henning, T., editors, *Protostars and Planets VI*, page 715.
- Fischer, D. A. and Valenti, J. (2005). The Planet-Metallicity Correlation. *Astrophys. J.*, 622(2):1102–1117.
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., and Morton, T. D. (2014). Exoplanet Population Inference and the Abundance of Earth Analogs from Noisy, Incomplete Catalogs. *Astrophys. J.*, 795(1):64.
- Forgan, D. (2014). Assessing circumbinary habitable zones using latitudinal energy balance modelling. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 437(2):1352–1361.
- Forgan, D. (2016). Milankovitch cycles of terrestrial planets in binary star systems. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 463(3):2768–2780.
- Forgan, D. and Dobos, V. (2016). Exomoon climate models with the carbonate-silicate cycle and viscoelastic tidal heating. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 457(2):1233–1241.
- Forgan, D. and Kipping, D. (2013). Dynamical effects on the habitable zone for Earth-like exomoons. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 432(4):2994–3004.
- Forgan, D. H. (2017). On the feasibility of exomoon detection via exoplanet phase curve spectral contrast. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 470(1):416–426.
- Fortney, J. J., Mordasini, C., Nettelmann, N., Kempton, E. M. R., Greene, T. P., and Zahnle, K. (2013). A Framework for Characterizing the Atmospheres of Low-mass Low-density Transiting Planets. *Astrophys. J.*, 775(1):80.
- Frost, D. J. and McCammon, C. A. (2008). The Redox State of Earth’s Mantle. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 36:389–420.
- Fujii, Y., Angerhausen, D., Deitrick, R., Domagal-Goldman, S., Grenfell, J. L., Hori, Y., Palle, E., Siegler, N., Stapelfeldt, K., and Rauer, H. (2017). Exoplanet biosignatures: Observational prospects. *arxiv preprint:1705.07098*.
- Fujii, Y., Del Genio, A., and Amundsen, D. (2017). NIR-driven Moist Upper Atmospheres of Synchronously Rotating Temperate Terrestrial Exoplanets. *Astrophys. J.*, 848:13.
- Fulton, B. J. and Petigura, E. A. (2018). The California-Kepler Survey. VII. Precise Planet Radii Leveraging Gaia DR2 Reveal the Stellar Mass Dependence of the Planet Radius Gap. *Astron. J.*, 156(6):264.
- Fulton, B. J., Petigura, E. A., Howard, A. W., Isaacson, H., Marcy, G. W., Cargile, P. A., Hebb, L., Weiss, L. M., Johnson, J. A., Morton, T. D., Sinukoff, E., Crossfield, I. J. M., and Hirsch, L. A. (2017). The California-Kepler Survey. III. A Gap in the Radius Distribution of Small Planets. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- Gaidos, E., Mann, A. W., Kraus, A. L., and Ireland, M. (2016). They are small worlds after all: revised properties of Kepler M dwarf stars and their planets. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 457(3):2877–2899.
- Garcia-Sage, K., Gloer, A., Drake, J., Gronoff, G., and Cohen, O. (2017). On the magnetic protection of the atmosphere of proxima centauri b. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 844(1):L13.
- Gehrels, N., Laird, C. M., Jackman, C. H., Cannizzo, J. K., Mattson, B. J., and Chen, W. (2003). Ozone Depletion from Nearby Supernovae. *Astrophys. J.*, 585:1169–1176.
- Genda, H. and Abe, Y. (2005). Enhanced atmospheric loss on protoplanets at the giant impact phase in the presence of oceans. *Nature*, 433(7028):842–844.
- Gillon, M., Jehin, E., Lederer, S. M., Delrez, L., de Wit, J., Burdanov, A., Van Grootel, V., Burgasser, A. J., Triaud, A. H. M. J., Opitom, C., Demory, B.-O., Sahu, D. K., Bardalez Gagliuffi, D., Magain, P., and Queloz, D. (2016). Temperate Earth-sized planets transiting a nearby ultracool dwarf star. *Nature*, 533(7602):221–224.
- Gillon, M., Triaud, A. H., Demory, B.-O., Jehin, E., Agol, E., Deck, K. M., Lederer, S. M., De Wit, J., Burdanov, A., Ingalls, J. G., et al. (2017). Seven temperate terrestrial planets around the nearby ultracool dwarf star TRAPPIST-1. *Nature*, 542(7642):456–460.
- Ginzburg, S., Schlichting, H. E., and Sari, R. (2018). Core-powered mass-loss and the radius distribution of small exoplanets. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 476(1):759–765.
- Gizis, J. E., Reid, I. N., and Hawley, S. L. (2002). The palomar/msu nearby star spectroscopic survey. iii. chromospheric activity, m dwarf ages, and the local star formation history. *The Astronomical Journal*, 123(6):3356.

- Godolt, M., Grenfell, J. L., Hamann-Reinus, A., Kitzmann, D., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., von Paris, P., Patzer, A. B. C., Rauer, H., and Stracke, B. (2015). 3D climate modeling of Earth-like extrasolar planets orbiting different types of host stars. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 111:62–76.
- Gold, T. and Soter, S. (1969). Atmospheric Tides and the Resonant Rotation of Venus. *Icarus*, 11:356–366.
- Goldblatt, C., Matthews, A. J., Claire, M., Lenton, T. M., Watson, A. J., and Zahnle, K. J. (2009). There was probably more nitrogen in the Archean atmosphere and This would have helped resolve the Faint Young Sun paradox. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta Supplement*, 73:446.
- Goldblatt, C., Robinson, T. D., Zahnle, K. J., and Crisp, D. (2013). Low simulated radiation limit for runaway greenhouse climates. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(8):661–667.
- Goldreich, P. (1966). Final spin states of planets and satellites. *The Astronomical Journal*, 71:1.
- Gowanlock, M. G. (2016). Astrobiological Effects of Gamma-ray Bursts in the Milky Way Galaxy. *Astrophys. J.*, 832(1):38.
- Green, J., Huber, M., Waltham, D., Buzan, J., and Wells, M. (2017). Explicitly modelled deep-time tidal dissipation and its implication for Lunar history. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 461:46 – 53.
- Grimm, S. L., Demory, B.-O., Gillon, M., Dorn, C., Agol, E., Burdanov, A., Delrez, L., Sestovic, M., Triaud, A. H., Turbet, M., et al. (2018). The nature of the trappist-1 exoplanets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.01377*.
- Grinspoon, D. H. (1993). Implications of the high D/H ratio for the sources of water in Venus’ atmosphere. *Nature*, 363:428–431.
- Güdel, M. (2004). X-ray astronomy of stellar coronae. *The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review*, 12(2-3):71–237.
- Haghighipour, N. and Kaltenegger, L. (2013). Calculating the Habitable Zone of Binary Star Systems. II. P-type Binaries. *Astrophys. J.*, 777(2):166.
- Hamano, K., Abe, Y., and Genda, H. (2013). Emergence of two types of terrestrial planet on solidification of magma ocean. *Nature*, 497:607–610.
- Haqq-Misra, J. and Heller, R. (2018). Exploring exomoon atmospheres with an idealized general circulation model. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 479(3):3477–3489.
- Haqq-Misra, J., Kopparapu, R. K., Batalha, N. E., Harman, C. E., and Kasting, J. F. (2016). Limit cycles can reduce the width of the habitable zone. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 827(2):120.
- Haqq-Misra, J., Wolf, E. T., Joshi, M., Zhang, X., and Kumar, R. (2018). Demarcating circulation regimes of synchronously rotating terrestrial planets within the habitable zone. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 852(67):16.
- Haqq-Misra, J. D., Domagal-Goldman, S. D., Kasting, P. J., and Kasting, J. F. (2008). A Revised, Hazy Methane Greenhouse for the Archean Earth. *Astrobiology*, 8:1127–1137.
- Harman, C. E., Schwieterman, E. W., Schottelkotte, J. C., and Kasting, J. F. (2015). Abiotic O₂ Levels On Planets Around F, G, K, and M Stars: Possible False Positives For Life? *The Astrophysical Journal*, 812(2):137.
- Hart, M. H. (1978). The evolution of the atmosphere of the earth. *Icarus*, 33(1):23–39.
- Hart, M. H. (1979). Habitable zones about main sequence stars. *Icarus*, 37(1):351–357.
- Hawley, S. L., REID, I. N., and Tourtellot, J. (2000). Properties of m dwarfs in clusters and the field. *Very Low-Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs*, page 109.
- Heller, R. (2012). Exomoon habitability constrained by energy flux and orbital stability. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 545:L8.
- Heller, R. and Barnes, R. (2013). Exomoon Habitability Constrained by Illumination and Tidal Heating. *Astrobiology*, 13(1):18–46.
- Heller, R. and Barnes, R. (2015). Runaway greenhouse effect on exomoons due to irradiation from hot, young giant planets. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 14(2):335–343.
- Heller, R., Leconte, J., and Barnes, R. (2011). Tidal obliquity evolution of potentially habitable planets. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 528:A27.
- Henning, W. G. and Hurford, T. (2014). Tidal Heating in Multi-layered Terrestrial Exoplanets. *Astrophys. J.*, 789:30.
- Hess, S. and Zarka, P. (2011). Modeling the radio signature of the orbital parameters, rotation, and magnetic field of exoplanets. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 531:A29.
- Hill, M. L., Kane, S. R., Seperuelo Duarte, E., Kopparapu, R. K., Gelino, D. M., and Wittenmyer, R. A. (2018). Exploring Kepler Giant Planets in the Habitable Zone. *Astrophys. J.*, 860(1):67.
- Hinkel, N. R. and Kane, S. R. (2013). Habitability of Exomoons at the Hill or Tidal Locking Radius. *Astrophys. J.*, 774(1):27.
- Holman, M. J. and Murray, N. W. (2005). The Use of Transit Timing to Detect Terrestrial-Mass Extrasolar Planets. *Science*, 307(5713):1288–1291.
- Hu, Y. and Yang, J. (2014). Role of ocean heat transport in climates of tidally locked exoplanets around M dwarf stars. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 111(2):629–34.
- Huang, S.-S. (1959). The Problem of Life in the Universe and the Mode of Star Formation. *PASP*, 71:421.
- Hunten, D. and Donahue, T. M. (1976). Hydrogen loss from the terrestrial planets. *Annual review of earth and planetary sciences*, 4(1):265–292.
- Hut, P. (1981). Tidal evolution in close binary systems. *Astro. & Astrophys.*, 99:126–140.
- Ingersoll, A. P. (1969). The Runaway Greenhouse: A History of Water on Venus. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 26(6):1191–1198.
- Jackson, B., Barnes, R., and Greenberg, R. (2008). Tidal heating of terrestrial extrasolar planets and implications for their habitability. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 391:237–245.
- Jakosky, B. M., Brain, D., Chaffin, M., Curry, S., Deighan, J., Grebowsky, J., Halekas, J., Leblanc, F., Lillis, R., Luhmann, J., Andersson, L., Andre, N., Andrews, D., Baird, D., Baker, D., Bell, J., Benna, M., Bhattacharyya, D., and et al. (2011). Loss of the Martian atmosphere to space: Present-day loss rates determined from MAVEN observations and integrated loss through time. *Icarus*, 315:146–157.
- Jenkins, G. (2000). Global climate model high-obliquity solutions to the ancient climate puzzles of the faint-young sun paradox and low-latitude proterozoic glaciation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105:7357–7370.

- Jin, S., Mordasini, C., Parmentier, V., van Boekel, R., Henning, T., and Ji, J. (2014). Planetary Population Synthesis Coupled with Atmospheric Escape: A Statistical View of Evaporation. *Astrophys. J.*, 795(1):65.
- Joshi, M. (2003). Climate Model Studies of Synchronously Rotating Planets. *Astrobiology*, 3(2):415–427.
- Joshi, M., Haberle, R., and Reynolds, R. (1997). Simulations of the atmospheres of synchronously rotating terrestrial planets orbiting m dwarfs: conditions for atmospheric collapse and the implications for habitability. *Icarus*, 129(2):450–465.
- Kadoya, S. and Tajika, E. (2014). Conditions for Oceans on Earth-like Planets Orbiting within the Habitable Zone: Importance of Volcanic CO₂ Degassing. *Astrophys. J.*, 790(2):107.
- Kadoya, S. and Tajika, E. (2015). Evolutionary Climate Tracks of Earth-like Planets. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 815(1):L7.
- Kaib, N. A. (2018). *Galactic Effects on Habitability*, page 59.
- Kaib, N. A., Quinn, T., et al. (2011). Sedna and the oort cloud around a migrating sun. *Icarus*, 215(2):491–507.
- Kaib, N. A., Raymond, S. N., and Duncan, M. (2013). Planetary system disruption by galactic perturbations to wide binary stars. *Nature*, 493(7432).
- Kaltenegger, L. and Haghighipour, N. (2013). Calculating the Habitable Zone of Binary Star Systems. I. S-type Binaries. *Astrophys. J.*, 777(2):165.
- Kaltenegger, L., Henning, W., and Sasselov, D. (2010). Detecting volcanism on extrasolar planets. *The Astronomical Journal*, 140(5):1370.
- Kaltenegger, L. and Sasselov, D. (2009). Detecting planetary geochemical cycles on exoplanets: atmospheric signatures and the case of so₂. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 708(2):1162.
- Kane, S. R. and Hinkel, N. R. (2013). On the Habitable Zones of Circumbinary Planetary Systems. *Astrophys. J.*, 762(1):7.
- Kasting, J. F. (1988). Runaway and moist greenhouse atmospheres and the evolution of Earth and Venus. *Icarus*, 74(3):472–494.
- Kasting, J. F. and Pollack, J. B. (1983). Loss of water from Venus. I - Hydrodynamic escape of hydrogen. *Icarus*, 53:479–508.
- Kasting, J. F., Whitmire, D. P., and Reynolds, R. T. (1993). Habitable Zones Around Main Sequence Stars. *Icarus*, 101:108–128.
- Katz, R. F., Spiegelman, M., and Langmuir, C. H. (2003). A new parameterization of hydrous mantle melting. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 4:1073.
- Kawahara, H. and Fujii, Y. (2010). Global mapping of earth-like exoplanets from scattered light curves. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 720(2):1333.
- Kilic, C., Raible, C., and Stocker, T. (2017). Multiple climate states of habitable exoplanets: The role of obliquity and irradiance. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 844(2):13.
- Kipping, D. M. (2011). Transit timing effects due to an exomoon. In *The Transits of Extrasolar Planets with Moons*, pages 127–164. Springer.
- Kipping, D. M., Bakos, G. Á., Buchhave, L., Nesvorný, D., and Schmitt, A. (2012). The Hunt for Exomoons with Kepler (HEK). I. Description of a New Observational project. *Astrophys. J.*, 750(2):115.
- Kipping, D. M., Forgan, D., Hartman, J., Nesvorný, D., Bakos, G. Á., Schmitt, A., and Buchhave, L. (2013). The Hunt for Exomoons with Kepler (HEK). III. The First Search for an Exomoon around a Habitable-zone Planet. *Astrophys. J.*, 777(2):134.
- Kipping, D. M., Nesvorný, D., Buchhave, L. A., Hartman, J., Bakos, G. Á., and Schmitt, A. R. (2014). The Hunt for Exomoons with Kepler (HEK). IV. A Search for Moons around Eight M Dwarfs. *Astrophys. J.*, 784(1):28.
- Kipping, D. M., Schmitt, A. R., Huang, X., Torres, G., Nesvorný, D., Buchhave, L. A., Hartman, J., and Bakos, G. Á. (2015). The Hunt for Exomoons with Kepler (HEK): V. A Survey of 41 Planetary Candidates for Exomoons. *Astrophys. J.*, 813(1):14.
- Kivelson, M., Khurana, K., Coroniti, F., Joy, S., Russell, C., Walker, R., Warnecke, J., Bennett, L., and Polanskey, C. (1997). The magnetic field and magnetosphere of ganymede. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 24(17):2155–2158.
- Kley, W. and Haghighipour, N. (2014). Modeling circumbinary planets: The case of Kepler-38. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 564:A72.
- Kodama, T., Genda, H., Abe, Y., and Zahnle, K. J. (2015). Rapid water loss can extend the lifetime of planetary habitability. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 812(2):165.
- Koll, D. D. and Abbot, D. S. (2016). Temperature structure and atmospheric circulation of dry tidally locked rocky exoplanets. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 825(2):99.
- Koll, D. D. B. and Cronin, T. W. (2019). Hot Hydrogen Climates Near the Inner Edge of the Habitable Zone. *Astrophys. J.*, 881(2):120.
- Komacek, T. D. and Abbot, D. S. (2016). Effect of Surface-mantle Water Exchange Parameterizations on Exoplanet Ocean Depths. *Astrophys. J.*, 832(1):54.
- Komacek, T. D. and Abbot, D. S. (2016). Effect of surface-mantle water exchange parameterizations on exoplanet ocean depths. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 832(1):54.
- Komacek, T. D. and Abbot, D. S. (2019). The Atmospheric Circulation and Climate of Terrestrial Planets Orbiting Sun-like and M Dwarf Stars over a Broad Range of Planetary Parameters. *Astrophys. J.*, 871(2):245.
- Kopparapu, R. K., Hébrard, E., Belikov, R., Batalha, N. M., Mulders, G. D., Stark, C., Teal, D., Domagal-Goldman, S., and Mandell, A. (2018). Exoplanet Classification and Yield Estimates for Direct Imaging Missions. *Astrophys. J.*, 856:122.
- Kopparapu, R. K., Ramirez, R., Kasting, J. F., Eymet, V., Robinson, T. D., Mahadevan, S., Terrien, R. C., Domagal-Goldman, S., Meadows, V., and Deshpande, R. (2013). Habitable Zones Around Main-Sequence Stars: New Estimates. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 765:16.
- Kopparapu, R. K., Ramirez, R. M., SchottelKotte, J., Kasting, J. F., Domagal-Goldman, S., and Eymet, V. (2014). Habitable Zones Around Main-Sequence Stars: Dependence on Planetary Mass. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 787(2):L29.
- Kopparapu, R. K., Wolf, E. T., Arney, G., Batalha, N. E., Haqq-Misra, J., Grimm, S. L., and Heng, K. (2017). Habitable moist atmospheres on terrestrial planets near the inner edge of the habitable zone around m-dwarfs. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 819. In Press.

- Kopparapu, R. K., Wolf, E. T., Haqq-Misra, J., Yang, J., Kasting, J. F., Meadows, V., Terrien, R., and Mahadevan, S. (2016). The inner edge of the habitable zone for synchronously rotating planets around low-mass stars using general circulation models. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 819(1):84.
- Korenaga, J. (2008). Urey ratio and the structure and evolution of earth's mantle. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 46(2).
- Kostov, V. B., McCullough, P. R., Hinse, T. C., Tsvetanov, Z. I., Hébrard, G., Díaz, R. F., Deleuil, M., and Valenti, J. A. (2013). A Gas Giant Circumbinary Planet Transiting the F Star Primary of the Eclipsing Binary Star KIC 4862625 and the Independent Discovery and Characterization of the Two Transiting Planets in the Kepler-47 System. *Astrophys. J.*, 770(1):52.
- Kostov, V. B., Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., Doyle, L. R., Fabrycky, D. C., Haghighipour, N., Quarles, B., Short, D. R., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., Ford, E. B., Gregorio, J., Hinse, T. C., Isaacson, H., Jenkins, J. M., Jensen, E. L. N., Kane, S., Kull, I., Latham, D. W., Lissauer, J. J., Marcy, G. W., Mazeh, T., Müller, T. W. A., Pepper, J., Quinn, S. N., Ragozzine, D., Shporer, A., Steffen, J. H., Torres, G., Windmiller, G., and Borucki, W. J. (2016). Kepler-1647b: The Largest and Longest-period Kepler Transiting Circumbinary Planet. *Astrophys. J.*, 827(1):86.
- Kraus, S., Kreplin, A., Fukugawa, M., Muto, T., Sitko, M. L., Young, A. K., Bate, M. R., Grady, C., Harries, T. T., Monnier, J. D., et al. (2017). Dust-trapping vortices and a potentially planet-triggered spiral wake in the pre-transitional disk of v1247 orionis. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 848(1):L11.
- Kreidberg, L., Koll, D. B. D., C., M., R., H., Schaefer, L., Deming, D., Stevenson, K. B., Dittman, J., Vanderburg, A., Berardo, D., Guo, X., Stassun, K., Crossfield, I., Charbonneau, D., Latham, D. W., Loeb, A., Ricker, G., Seager, S., and Vanderpek, R. (2019). Absence of a thick atmosphere on the terrestrial exoplanet lhs 3844b. *Nature*, 573:87–90.
- Kreidberg, L., Line, M. R., Bean, J. L., Stevenson, K. B., Désert, J.-M., Madhusudhan, N., Fortney, J. J., Barstow, J. K., Henry, G. W., Williamson, M. H., and Showman, A. P. (2015). A Detection of Water in the Transmission Spectrum of the Hot Jupiter WASP-12b and Implications for Its Atmospheric Composition. *Astrophys. J.*, 814:66.
- Kulikov, Y. N., Lammer, H., Lichtenegger, H. I. M., Terada, N., Ribas, I., Kolb, C., Langmayr, D., Lundin, R., Guinan, E. F., Barabash, S., and Biernat, H. K. (2006). Atmospheric and water loss from early Venus. *Planetary and Space Science*, 54:1425–1444.
- Kump, L. R. (2008). The rise of atmospheric oxygen. *Nature*, 451(7176):277–278.
- Lammer, H. (2012). *Origin and evolution of planetary atmospheres: Implications for habitability*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Lammer, H., Kasting, J. F., Chassefière, E., Johnson, R. E., Kulikov, Y. N., and Tian, F. (2008). Atmospheric escape and evolution of terrestrial planets and satellites. *Space Science Reviews*, 139(1-4):399–436.
- Lammer, H., Schiefer, S.-C., Juvan, I., Odert, P., Erkaev, N. V., Weber, C., Kislyakova, K. G., Güdel, M., Kirchengast, G., and Hanslmeier, A. (2014). Origin and Stability of Exomoon Atmospheres: Implications for Habitability. *Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere*, 44(3):239–260.
- Lammer, H., Zerkle, A. L., Gebauer, S., Tosi, N., Noack, L., Scherf, M., Pilat-Lohinger, E., Güdel, M., Grenfell, J. L., Godolt, M., and Nikolaou, A. (2018). Origin and evolution of the atmospheres of early Venus, Earth and Mars. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.*, 26(1):2.
- Laughlin, G. and Adams, F. C. (1998). The Modification of Planetary Orbits in Dense Open Clusters. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 508:L171–L174.
- Leconte, J., Forget, F., Charnay, B., Wordsworth, R., and Pottier, A. (2013). Increased insolation threshold for runaway greenhouse processes on Earth-like planets. *Nature*, 504(7479):268–271.
- Leconte, J., Forget, F., Charnay, B., Wordsworth, R., Selsis, F., Millour, E., and Spiga, A. (2013). 3d climate modeling of close-in land planets: Circulation patterns, climate moist bistability, and habitability. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 544:A69.
- Leconte, J., Wu, H., Menou, K., and Murray, N. (2015). Asynchronous rotation of Earth-mass planets in the habitable zone of lower-mass stars. *Science*, 347:632–635.
- Lenardic, A. and Crowley, J. W. (2012). On the notion of well-defined tectonic regimes for terrestrial planets in this solar system and others. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 755(2):132.
- Lenardic, A., Jellinek, A., Foley, B., O'Neill, C., and Moore, W. (2016). Climate-tectonic coupling: Variations in the mean, variations about the mean, and variations in mode. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 121(10):1831–1864.
- Lenton, T. M., Crouch, M., Johnson, M., Pires, N., and Dolan, L. (2012). First plants cooled the Ordovician. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(2):86–89.
- Lincowski, A. P., Lustig-Yaeger, J., and Meadows, V. S. (2019). Observing Isotopologue Bands in Terrestrial Exoplanet Atmospheres with the James Webb Space Telescope: Implications for Identifying Past Atmospheric and Ocean Loss. *Astron. J.*, 158(1):26.
- Lincowski, A. P., Meadows, V. S., Crisp, D., Robinson, T., and Luger, R. (2018). Evolved Climates and Observational Discriminants for the TRAPPIST-1 Planetary System. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 867:34.
- Linsenmeier, M., Pascale, S., and Lucarini, V. (2015). Climate of earth-like planets with high obliquity and eccentric orbits: Implications for habitability conditions. *Planetary and Space Sciences*, 105:43–59.
- Lissauer, J. J., Fabrycky, D. C., Ford, E. B., Borucki, W. J., Fressin, F., Marcy, G. W., Orosz, J. A., Rowe, J. F., Torres, G., Welsh, W. F., Batalha, N. M., Bryson, S. T., Buchhave, L. A., Caldwell, D. A., Carter, J. A., Charbonneau, D., Christiansen, J. L., Cochran, W. D., Desert, J.-M., Dunham, E. W., Fanelli, M. N., Fortney, J. J., Gautier, Thomas N., I., Geary, J. C., Gilliland, R. L., Haas, M. R., Hall, J. R., Holman, M. J., Koch, D. G., Latham, D. W., Lopez, E., McCauliff, S., Miller, N., Morehead, R. C., Quintana, E. V., Ragozzine, D., Sasselov, D., Short, D. R., and Steffen, J. H. (2011). A closely packed system of low-mass, low-density planets transiting Kepler-11. *Nature*, 470(7332):53–58.
- Loftus, K., Wordsworth, R. D., and Morley, C. V. (2019). Sulfate Aerosol Hazes and SO₂ Gas as Constraints on Rocky Exoplanets' Surface Liquid Water. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1908.02769.

- López-Morales, M., Ben-Ami, S., Gonzalez-Abad, G., García-Mejía, J., Dietrich, J., and Szentgyorgyi, A. (2019). Optimizing Ground-based Observations of O₂ in Earth Analogs. *Astron. J.*, 158(1):24.
- Lovelock, J. E. and Margulis, L. (1974). Homeostatic tendencies of the Earth's atmosphere. *Origins of Life*, 5(1-2):93–103.
- Lovis, C., Snellen, I., Mouillet, D., Pepe, F., Wildi, F., Astudillo-Defru, N., Beuzit, J. L., Bonfils, X., Cheetham, A., Conod, U., Delfosse, X., Ehrenreich, D., Figueira, P., Forveille, T., Martins, J. H. C., Quanz, S. P., Santos, N. C., Schmid, H. M., Ségransan, D., and Udry, S. (2017). Atmospheric characterization of Proxima b by coupling the SPHERE high-contrast imager to the ESPRESSO spectrograph. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 599:A16.
- Luger, R. and Barnes, R. (2015). Extreme Water Loss and Abiotic O₂ Buildup on Planets Throughout the Habitable Zones of M Dwarfs. *Astrobiology*, 15:119–143.
- Luger, R., Barnes, R., Lopez, E., Fortney, J. J., Jackson, B., and Meadows, V. (2015). Habitable Evaporated Cores: Transforming Mini-Neptunes into Super-Earths in the Habitable Zones of M Dwarfs. *Astrobiology*, 15(1):57.
- Luger, R., Kruse, E., Foreman-Mackey, D., Agol, E., and Saunders, N. (2017a). An update to the EVEREST K2 pipeline: Short cadence, saturated stars, and Kepler-like photometry down to K_p= 15. *arXiv preprint:1702.05488*.
- Luger, R., Lustig-Yaeger, J., Fleming, D. P., Tilley, M. A., Agol, E., Meadows, V. S., Deitrick, R., and Barnes, R. (2017b). The pale green dot: a method to characterize proxima centauri b using exo-aurores. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 837(1):63.
- Luger, R., Sestovic, M., Kruse, E., Grimm, S. L., Demory, B.-O., Agol, E., Bolmont, E., Fabrycky, D., Fernandes, C. S., Van Grootel, V., Burgasser, A., Gillon, M., Ingalls, J. G., Jehin, E., Raymond, S. N., Selsis, F., Triaud, A. H. M. J., Barclay, T., Barentsen, G., Howell, S. B., Delrez, L., de Wit, J., Foreman-Mackey, D., Holdsworth, D. L., Leconte, J., Lederer, S., Turbet, M., Almléay, Y., Benkhaldoun, Z., Magain, P., Morris, B. M., Heng, K., and Queloz, D. (2017). A seven-planet resonant chain in TRAPPIST-1. *Nature Astronomy*, 1:0129.
- Lundin, R., Lammer, H., and Ribas, I. (2007). Planetary magnetic fields and solar forcing: implications for atmospheric evolution. *Space Science Reviews*, 129(1-3):245–278.
- Lustig-Yaeger, J., Meadows, V. S., and Lincowski, A. P. (2019). The Detectability and Characterization of the TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanet Atmospheres with JWST. *Astron. J.*, 158(1):27.
- Lustig-Yaeger, J., Meadows, V. S., Tovar Mendoza, G., Schwieterman, E. W., Fujii, Y., Luger, R., and Robinson, T. D. (2018). Detecting Ocean Glint on Exoplanets Using Multiphase Mapping. *Astron. J.*, 156(6):301.
- Lustig-Yaeger, J., Tovar, G., Schwieterman, E., Fujii, Y., and Meadows, V. (2017). Detecting Oceans on Exoplanets using Phase-Dependent Mapping. in preparation.
- Lyons, T. W., Reinhard, C. T., and Planavsky, N. J. (2014). The rise of oxygen in earth's early ocean and atmosphere. *Nature*, 506(7488):307–315.
- Marois, C., Macintosh, B., Barman, T., Zuckerman, B., Song, I., Patience, J., Lafrenière, D., and Doyon, R. (2008). Direct Imaging of Multiple Planets Orbiting the Star HR 8799. *Science*, 322(5906):1348.
- Marois, C., Zuckerman, B., Konopacky, Q. M., Macintosh, B., and Barman, T. (2010). Images of a fourth planet orbiting HR 8799. *Nature*, 468(7327):1080–1083.
- Mayor, M. and Queloz, D. (1995). A Jupiter-mass companion to a solar-type star. *Nature*, 378(6555):355–359.
- McKay, C. P., Lorenz, R. D., and Lunine, J. I. (1999). Analytic Solutions for the Antigreenhouse Effect: Titan and the Early Earth. *Icarus*, 137(1):56–61.
- Meadows, V. S. (2017). Reflections on o₂ as a biosignature in exoplanetary atmospheres. *Astrobiology*.
- Meadows, V. S., Arney, G. N., Schwieterman, E. W., Lustig-Yaeger, J., Lincowski, A. P., Robinson, T., Domagal-Goldman, S. D., Barnes, R. K., Fleming, D. P., Deitrick, R., Luger, R., Driscoll, P. E., Quinn, T. R., and Crisp, D. (2018). The Habitability of Proxima Centauri b: Environmental States and Observational Discriminants. *Astrobiology*. in press.
- Meadows, V. S. and Barnes, R. K. (2018). *Factors Affecting Exoplanet Habitability*, page 57.
- Melott, A. L., Lieberman, B. S., Laird, C. M., Martin, L. D., Medvedev, M. V., Thomas, B. C., Cannizzo, J. K., Gehrels, N., and Jackman, C. H. (2004). Did a gamma-ray burst initiate the late Ordovician mass extinction? *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 3:55–61.
- Menou, K. (2015). Climate stability of habitable Earth-like planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 429:20–24.
- Misra, A., Krissansen-Totton, J., Koehler, M. C., and Sholes, S. (2015). Transient Sulfate Aerosols as a Signature of Exoplanet Volcanism. *Astrobiology*, 15(6):462–77.
- Mojzsis, S. J., Brasser, R., Kelly, N. M., Abramov, O., and Werner, S. C. (2019). Onset of giant planet migration before 4480 million years ago. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 881(44):13pp.
- Moore, W. B., Simon, J. I., and Webb, A. A. G. (2017). Heat-pipe planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 474:13–19.
- Moore, W. B. and Webb, A. A. G. (2013). Heat-pipe Earth. *Nature*, 501:501–505.
- Moran, S. E., Hörst, S. M., Batalha, N. E., Lewis, N. K., and Wakeford, H. R. (2018). Limits on Clouds and Hazes for the TRAPPIST-1 Planets. *Astron. J.*, 156(6):252.
- Morley, C. V., Fortney, J. J., Marley, M. S., Visscher, C., Saumon, D., and Leggett, S. K. (2012). Neglected Clouds in T and Y Dwarf Atmospheres. *Astrophys. J.*, 756(2):172.
- Morley, C. V., Kreidberg, L., Rustamkulov, Z., Robinson, T., and Fortney, J. J. (2017). Observing the Atmospheres of Known Temperate Earth-sized Planets with JWST. *Astron. J.*, 850:18.
- Morowitz, H. and Sagan, C. (1967). Life in the clouds of venus? *Nature*, 215:1259–1260.
- Mulders, G. D., Pascucci, I., Apai, D., and Ciesla, F. J. (2018). The Exoplanet Population Observation Simulator. I. The Inner Edges of Planetary Systems. *Astron. J.*, 156(1):24.
- Ness, N. F. (1978). Mercury: Magnetic field and interior. *Space Science Reviews*, 21(5):527–553.
- Nimmo, F. (2002). Why does venus lack a magnetic field? *Geology*, 30(11):987–990.

- Nisbet, E., Zahnle, K., Gerasimov, M. V., Helbert, J., Jaumann, R., Hofmann, B. A., Benzerara, K., and Westall, F. (2007). *Creating Habitable Zones, at all Scales, from Planets to Mud Micro-Habitats, on Earth and on Mars*, volume 24, page 79.
- Noda, S., Ishiwatari, M., Nakajima, K., Takahashi, Y. O., Takehiro, S., Onishi, M., Hashimoto, G. L., Kuramoto, K., and Hayashi, Y. Y. (2017). The circulation pattern and day-night heat transport in the atmosphere of a synchronously rotating aquaplanet: Dependence on planetary rotation rate. *Icarus*, 282:1–18.
- Olson, P. and Christensen, U. R. (2006). Dipole moment scaling for convection-driven planetary dynamos. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 250:561–571.
- Orgel, L. E. (2004). Prebiotic chemistry and the origin of the rna world. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 39:99–123.
- Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., Carter, J. A., Brugnauer, E., Buchhave, L. A., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., Ford, E. B., MacQueen, P., Short, D. R., Torres, G., Windmiller, G., Agol, E., Barclay, T., Caldwell, D. A., Clarke, B. D., Doyle, L. R., Fabrycky, D. C., Geary, J. C., Haghighipour, N., Holman, M. J., Ibrahim, K. A., Jenkins, J. M., Kinemuchi, K., Li, J., Lissauer, J. J., Prša, A., Ragozzine, D., Shporer, A., Still, M., and Wade, R. A. (2012a). The Neptune-sized Circumbinary Planet Kepler-38b. *Astrophys. J.*, 758(2):87.
- Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., Carter, J. A., Fabrycky, D. C., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., Ford, E. B., Haghighipour, N., MacQueen, P. J., Mazeh, T., Sanchis-Ojeda, R., Short, D. R., Torres, G., Agol, E., Buchhave, L. A., Doyle, L. R., Isaacson, H., Lissauer, J. J., Marcy, G. W., Shporer, A., Windmiller, G., Barclay, T., Boss, A. P., Clarke, B. D., Fortney, J., Geary, J. C., Holman, M. J., Huber, D., Jenkins, J. M., Kinemuchi, K., Kruse, E., Ragozzine, D., Sasselov, D., Still, M., Tenenbaum, P., Uddin, K., Winn, J. N., Koch, D. G., and Borucki, W. J. (2012b). Kepler-47: A Transiting Circumbinary Multiplanet System. *Science*, 337(6101):1511.
- Owen, J. E. and Mohanty, S. (2016). Habitability of terrestrial-mass planets in the hz of m dwarfs–i. h/he-dominated atmospheres. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 459(4):4088–4108.
- Owen, J. E. and Wu, Y. (2013). Kepler Planets: A Tale of Evaporation. *Astrophys. J.*, 775(2):105.
- Peale, S. J. (1977). Rotation Histories of the Natural Satellites. In *IAU Colloq. 28: Planetary Satellites*, page 87.
- Pepin, R. O. (2006). Atmospheres on the terrestrial planets: Clues to origin and evolution. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 252(1-2):1–14.
- Petigura, E. A., Howard, A. W., and Marcy, G. W. (2013). Prevalence of Earth-size planets orbiting Sun-like stars. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 110(48):19273–19278.
- Pierrehumbert, R., Abbot, D., Voigt, A., and Koll, D. (2011). Climate of the neoproterozoic. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 39:417–460.
- Pierrehumbert, R. and Gaidos, E. (2011). Hydrogen greenhouse planets beyond the habitable zone. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 734(1):L13.
- Pierrehumbert, R. T. and Ding, F. (2016). Dynamics of atmospheres with a non-dilute condensable component. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 472:20160107.
- Piran, T. and Jimenez, R. (2014). Possible Role of Gamma Ray Bursts on Life Extinction in the Universe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113(23):231102.
- Popp, M. and Eggli, S. (2017). Climate variations on Earth-like circumbinary planets. *Nature Communications*, 8:14957.
- Quanz, S. P., Crossfield, I., Meyer, M. R., Schmalzl, E., and Held, J. (2015). Direct detection of exoplanets in the 3–10 μm range with e-elt/metis. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 14(02):279–289.
- Quintana, E. V., Barclay, T., Borucki, W. J., Rowe, J. F., and Chambers, J. E. (2016). The frequency of giant impacts on earth-like worlds. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 821(2):126.
- Rajan, A., Rameau, J., De Rosa, R. J., Marley, M. S., Graham, J. R., Macintosh, B., Marois, C., Morley, C., Patience, J., Pueyo, L., Saumon, D., Ward-Duong, K., Ammons, S. M., Arriaga, P., Bailey, V. P., Barman, T., Bulger, J., Burrows, A. S., Chilcote, J., Cotten, T., Czekala, I., Doyon, R., Duchêne, G., Esposito, T. M., Fitzgerald, M. P., Follette, K. B., Fortney, J. J., Goodsell, S. J., Greenbaum, A. r. Z., Hibon, P., Hung, L.-W., Ingraham, P., Johnson-Groh, M., Kalas, P., Konopacky, Q., Lafrenière, D., Larkin, J. E., Maire, J., Marchis, F., Metchev, S., Millar-Blanchaer, M. A., Morzinski, K. M., Nielsen, E. L., Oppenheimer, R., Palmer, D., Patel, R. I., Perrin, M., Poyneer, L., Rantakyro, F. T., Ruffio, J.-B., Savransky, D., Schneider, A. C., Sivaramakrishnan, A., Song, I., Soummer, R., Thomas, S., Vasisth, G., Wallace, J. K., Wang, J. J., Wiktorowicz, S., and Wolff, S. (2017). Characterizing 51 Eri b from 1 to 5 μm : A Partly Cloudy Exoplanet. *Astron. J.*, 154(1):10.
- Ramirez, R. and Kaltenegger, L. (2018). A methane extension to the classical habitable zone. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 858(72):10.
- Ramirez, R. M. and Kaltenegger, L. (2014). The Habitable Zones of Pre-main-sequence Stars. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 797(2):L25.
- Ramirez, R. M. and Kaltenegger, L. (2017a). A Volcanic Hydrogen Habitable Zone. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 837(1):L4.
- Ramirez, R. M. and Kaltenegger, L. (2017b). A Volcanic Hydrogen Habitable Zone. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 837(1):L4.
- Ramirez, R. M., Kopparapu, R. K., Lindner, V., and Kasting, J. F. (2014). Can Increased Atmospheric CO₂ Levels Trigger a Run-away Greenhouse? *Astrobiology*, 14(8):714–731.
- Rasio, F. A., Tout, C. A., Lubow, S. H., and Livio, M. (1996). Tidal Decay of Close Planetary Orbits. *Astrophys. J.*, 470:1187.
- Rauer, H., Catala, C., Aerts, C., Appourchaux, T., Benz, W., Brandeker, A., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Deleuil, M., Gizon, L., Goupil, M.-J., et al. (2014). The plato 2.0 mission. *Experimental Astronomy*, 38(1-2):249–330.
- Raymond, S. N., Armitage, P. J., Moro-Martin, A., Booth, M., Wyatt, M. C., Armstrong, J. C., Mandell, A. M., Selsis, F., and West, A. A. (2012). Debris disks as signposts of terrestrial planet formation II. Dependence of exoplanet architectures on giant planet and disk properties. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 541:A11.
- Raymond, S. N., Barnes, R., and Mandell, A. M. (2008). Observable consequences of planet formation models in systems with close-in terrestrial planets. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 384(2):663–674.

- Raymond, S. N. and Izidoro, A. (2017). Origin of water in the inner Solar System: Planetesimals scattered inward during Jupiter and Saturn's rapid gas accretion. *Icarus*, 297:134–148.
- Raymond, S. N., Quinn, T., and Lunine, J. I. (2004). Making other earths: dynamical simulations of terrestrial planet formation and water delivery. *Icarus*, 168(1):1–17.
- Raymond, S. N., Scalo, J., and Meadows, V. S. (2007). A Decreased Probability of Habitable Planet Formation around Low-Mass Stars. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 669(1):606–614.
- Read, P., Barstow, J., Charnay, B., Chelvaniththilan, S., Irwin, P., Knight, S., Lebonnois, S., Lewis, S., Mendonça, J., and Montabone, L. (2015). Global energy budgets and trenberth diagrams for the climates of terrestrial and gas giant planets. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*.
- Ribas, I., Bolmont, E., Selsis, F., Reiners, A., Leconte, J., Raymond, S. N., Engle, S. G., Guinan, E. F., Morin, J., Turbet, M., Forget, F., and Anglada-Escudé, G. (2016). The habitability of Proxima Centauri b. I. Irradiation, rotation and volatile inventory from formation to the present. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 596:A111.
- Robinson, T. D., Meadows, V. S., and Crisp, D. (2010). Detecting Oceans on Extrasolar Planets Using the Glint Effect. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 721(1):L67–L71.
- Rodenbeck, K., Heller, R., Hippke, M., and Gizon, L. (2018). Revisiting the exomoon candidate signal around Kepler-1625 b. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 617:A49.
- Rodler, F. and López-Morales, M. (2014). Feasibility Studies for the Detection of O₂ in an Earth-like Exoplanet. *Astrophys. J.*, 781(1):54.
- Rodríguez, A., Callegari, N., Michtchenko, T. A., and Hussmann, H. (2012). Spin-orbit coupling for tidally evolving super-Earths. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 427:2239–2250.
- Rogers, L. A. (2015). Most 1.6 Earth-radius Planets are Not Rocky. *Astrophys. J.*, 801:41.
- Rose, B. E., Cronin, T. W., and Bitz, C. M. (2017). The stability of equatorial ice belts at high obliquity. In review.
- Roškar, R., Debattista, V., Loebman, S., Ivezić, Ž., and Quinn, T. (2011). Implications of radial migration for stellar population studies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1101.1202*.
- Roškar, R., Debattista, V. P., Quinn, T. R., and Wadsley, J. (2012). Radial migration in disc galaxies. I. transient spiral structure and dynamics. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 426(3):2089–2106.
- Rugheimer, S., Kaltenegger, L., Segura, A., Linsky, J., and Mohanty, S. (2015). Effect of Uv Radiation on the Spectral Fingerprints of Earth-Like Planets Orbiting M Stars. *Astrophys. J.*, 809(1):57.
- Sagan, C. and Chyba, C. (1997). The early faint sun paradox: Organic shielding of ultraviolet-labile greenhouse gases. *Science*, 276(5316):1217–1221.
- Sandu, C., Lenardic, A., and McGovern, P. (2011). The effects of deep water cycling on planetary thermal evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 116(B12).
- Schaefer, L. and Sasselov, D. (2015). The Persistence of Oceans On Earth-like Planets: Insights from the Deep-water Cycle. *Astrophys. J.*, 801(1):40.
- Schaefer, L., Wordsworth, R. D., Berta-Thompson, Z., and Sasselov, D. (2016). Predictions of the atmospheric composition of gj 1132b. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 829(2):63.
- Schoeberl, M. and Hartmann, D. L. (1991). The dynamics of the stratospheric polar vortex and its relation to springtime ozone depletions. *Science*, 251(4989):46–52.
- Schubert, G. and Soderlund, K. (2011). Planetary magnetic fields: Observations and models. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 187(3-4):92–108.
- Schwieterman, E., Binder, B., Tremmel, M., Garofali, K., Agol, E., and Meadows, V. (2015). Promoting Diversity in STEM through Active Recruiting and Mentoring: The Pre-Major in Astronomy Program (Pre-MAP) at the University of Washington. In *AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts*, volume 47 of *AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts*, page 202.08.
- Schwieterman, E. W., Reinhard, C. T., Olson, S. L., Harman, C. E., and Lyons, T. W. (2019). A limited habitable zone for complex life. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 878(1):19.
- Seager, S. (2014). The future of spectroscopic life detection on exoplanets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 111(35):12634–12640.
- Segura, A., Kasting, J. F., Meadows, V., Cohen, M., Scalo, J., Crisp, D., Butler, R. A. H., and Tinetti, G. (2005). Biosignatures from Earth-like Planets Around M Dwarfs. *Astrobiology*, 5(6):706–725.
- Segura, A., Krelove, K., Kasting, J. F., Sommerlatt, D., Meadows, V., Crisp, D., Cohen, M., and Mlawer, E. (2003). Ozone concentrations and ultraviolet fluxes on Earth-like planets around other stars. *Astrobiology*, 3(4):689–708.
- Segura, A., Walkowicz, L. M., Meadows, V., Kasting, J., and Hawley, S. (2010). The Effect of a Strong Stellar Flare on the Atmospheric Chemistry of an Earth-like Planet Orbiting an M Dwarf. *Astrobiology*, 10:751–771.
- Sekiya, M., Nakazawa, K., and Hayashi, C. (1980). Dissipation of the rare gases contained in the primordial Earth's atmosphere. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 50(1):197–201.
- Sellwood, J. A. and Binney, J. J. (2002). Radial mixing in galactic discs. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 336:785–796.
- Selsis, F., Chazelas, B., Bordé, P., Ollivier, M., Brachet, F., Decaudin, M., Bouchy, F., Ehrenreich, D., Grießmeier, J.-M., Lammer, H., Sotin, C., Grasset, O., Moutou, C., Barge, P., Deleuil, M., Mawet, D., Despois, D., Kasting, J. F., and Léger, A. (2007). Could we identify hot ocean-planets with CoRoT, Kepler and Doppler velocimetry? *Icarus*, 191:453–468.
- Selsis, F., Kasting, J. F., Levrard, B., Paillet, J., Ribas, I., and Delfosse, X. (2007). Habitable planets around the star Gliese581? *Astron. Astrophys.*, 476(3):1373–1387.
- Sherwood, S. C. and Huber, M. M. (2010). An adaptability limit to climate change due to heat stress. *PNAS*, 107(21):9552–9555.
- Shields, A. L., Barnes, R., Agol, E., Charnay, B., Bitz, C., and Meadows, V. S. (2016). The effect of orbital configuration on the possible climates and habitability of Kepler-62f. *Astrobiology*, 16(6).
- Shields, A. L., Meadows, V. S., Bitz, C. M., Pierrehumbert, R. T., Joshi, M. M., and Robinson, T. D. (2013). The Effect of Host Star Spectral Energy Distribution and Ice-Albedo Feedback on the Climate of Extrasolar Planets. *Astrobiology*, 13:715–739.

- Simon, A. E., Szabó, G. M., Kiss, L. L., Fortier, A., and Benz, W. (2015). CHEOPS Performance for Exomoons: The Detectability of Exomoons by Using Optimal Decision Algorithm. *PASP*, 127(956):1084.
- Sleep, N. H., Bird, D. K., and Pope, E. (2012). Paleontology of earth's mantle. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 40:277–300.
- Snellen, I., de Kok, R., Birkby, J. L., Brandl, B., Brogi, M., Keller, C., Kenworthy, M., Schwarz, H., and Stuik, R. (2015). Combining high-dispersion spectroscopy with high contrast imaging: Probing rocky planets around our nearest neighbors. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 576:A59.
- Solomatov, V. S. and Moresi, L.-N. (2000). Scaling of time-dependent stagnant lid convection: Application to small-scale convection on Earth and other terrestrial planets. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105:21795–21818.
- Spiegel, D. S., Menou, K., and Scharf, C. A. (2009). Habitable climates: the influence of obliquity. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 691(1):596.
- Spiegel, D. S., Raymond, S. N., Dressing, C. D., Scharf, C. A., and Mitchell, J. L. (2010). Generalized milankovitch cycles and long-term climatic habitability. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 721(2):1308.
- Spurzem, R., Giersz, M., Heggie, D. C., and Lin, D. N. C. (2009). Dynamics of Planetary Systems in Star Clusters. *Astrophys. J.*, 697:458–482.
- Stanley, S. and Glatzmaier, G. A. (2010). Dynamo models for planets other than earth. *Space science reviews*, 152(1-4):617–649.
- Stark, C. C., Belikov, R., Bolcar, M. R., Cady, E., Crill, B. P., Ertel, S., Groff, T., Hildebrandt, S., Krist, J., Lisman, P. D., Mazoyer, J., Mennesson, B., Nemati, B., Pueyo, L., Rauscher, B. J., Riggs, A. J., Ruane, G., Shaklan, S. B., Sirbu, D., Soummer, R., Laurent, K. S., and Zimmerman, N. (2019). ExoEarth yield landscape for future direct imaging space telescopes. *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems*, 5:024009.
- Stevenson, D. J. (2010). Planetary magnetic fields: achievements and prospects. *Space science reviews*, 152(1-4):651–664.
- Stevenson, D. J. (2018). Commentary: The habitability mantra: Hunting the snark. *Physics Today*, 71(11):10–12.
- Szabó, R., Szabó, G. M., Dálya, G., Simon, A. E., Hodosán, G., and Kiss, L. L. (2013). Multiple planets or exomoons in Kepler hot Jupiter systems with transit timing variations? *Astron. Astrophys.*, 553:A17.
- Tasker, E., Tan, J., Heng, K., Kane, S., Spiegel, D., Brasser, R., Casey, A., Desch, S., Dorn, C., Hernlund, J., Houser, C., Lauenville, M., Lasbleis, M., Libert, A.-S., Noack, L., Unterborn, C., and Wicks, J. (2017). The language of exoplanet ranking metrics needs to change. *Nature Astronomy*, 1:0042.
- Teachey, A. and Kipping, D. M. (2018). Evidence for a large exomoon orbiting Kepler-1625b. *Science Advances*, 4(10):eaav1784.
- Thomas, B. C., Jackman, C. H., Melott, A. L., Laird, C. M., Stolarski, R. S., Gehrels, N., Cannizzo, J. K., and Hogan, D. P. (2005). Terrestrial Ozone Depletion due to a Milky Way Gamma-Ray Burst. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 622(2):L153–L156.
- Tian, F. and Ida, S. (2015). Water contents of Earth-mass planets around M dwarfs. *Nature Geoscience*, 8:177–180.
- Tilley, M. A., Segura, A., Meadows, V., Hawley, S., and Davenport, J. (2017). Modeling Repeated M-dwarf Flaring at an Earth-like Planet in the Habitable Zone: I. Atmospheric Effects for an Unmagnetized Planet. in preparation.
- Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T., and Kiehl, J. (2009). Earth's Global Energy Budget. *BAMS*, 90:311–324.
- Turbet, M., Ehrenreich, D., Lovis, C., Bolmont, E., and Fauchez, T. (2019). The runaway greenhouse radius inflation effect. An observational diagnostic to probe water on Earth-sized planets and test the habitable zone concept. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 628:A12.
- Turbet, M., Forget, F., Head, J. W., and Wordsworth, R. (2017). 3D modelling of the climatic impact of outflow channel formation events on early Mars. *Icarus*, 288:10–36.
- Turbet, M., Forget, F., Leconte, J., Charnay, B., and Tobie, G. (2017a). CO₂ condensation is a serious limit to the deglaciation of Earth-like planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 476:11–21.
- Turbet, M., Forget, F., Leconte, J., Charnay, B., and Tobie, G. (2017b). CO₂ condensation is a serious limit to the deglaciation of Earth-like planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 476:11–21.
- Turbet, M., Leconte, J., Selsis, F., Bolmont, E., Forget, F., Ribas, I., Raymond, S. N., and Anglada-escudé, G. (2016). Astrophysics The habitability of Proxima Centauri b. 112.
- Turner, G. (1989). The outgassing history of the earth's atmosphere. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 146(1):147–154.
- Turnpenney, S., Nichols, J. D., Wynn, G. A., and Burleigh, M. R. (2018). Exoplanet-induced radio emission from m-dwarfs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01324*.
- Tziperman, E., Halevy, I., Johnston, D. T., Knoll, A. H., and Schrag, D. P. (2011). Biologically induced initiation of Neoproterozoic snowball-Earth events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 108(37):15091–15096.
- Unterborn, C. T., Desch, S. J., Hinkel, N. R., and Lorenzo, A. (2018). Inward migration of the TRAPPIST-1 planets as inferred from their water-rich compositions. *Nature Astronomy*, 2:297–302.
- Unterborn, C. T., Dismukes, E. E., and Panero, W. R. (2016). Scaling the earth: a sensitivity analysis of terrestrial exoplanetary interior models. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 819(1):32.
- Vidotto, A., Jardine, M., Morin, J., Donati, J.-F., Lang, P., and Russell, A. (2013). Effects of m dwarf magnetic fields on potentially habitable planets. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 557:A67.
- Walker, J. C., Hays, P., and Kasting, J. F. (1981). A negative feedback mechanism for the long-term stabilization of earth's surface temperature. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 86(C10):9776–9782.
- Wang, Z. and Cuntz, M. (2019). S-type and P-type Habitability in Stellar Binary Systems: A Comprehensive Approach. III. Results for Mars, Earth, and Super-Earth Planets. *Astrophys. J.*, 873(2):113.
- Watson, A. J., Donahue, T. M., and Walker, J. C. G. (1981). The dynamics of a rapidly escaping atmosphere - Applications to the evolution of earth and Venus. *Icarus*, 48:150–166.

- Way, M. J., Del Genio, A. D., Kelley, M., Aleinov, I., and Clune, T. (2015). Exploring the Inner Edge of the Habitable Zone with Fully Coupled Oceans. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1511.07283.
- Way, M. J., Del Genio, A. D., Kiang, N. Y., Sohl, L. E., Grinspoon, D. H., Aleinov, I., Kelley, M., and Clune, T. (2016). Was Venus the first habitable world of our solar system? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43:8376–8383.
- Way, M. J. and Georgakarakos, N. (2017). Effects of Variable Eccentricity on the climate of an Earth-like World. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 835:6pp.
- Weiss, L. M. and Marcy, G. W. (2014). The Mass-Radius Relation for 65 Exoplanets Smaller than 4 Earth Radii. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 783:L6.
- Weiss, M. C., Sousa, F. L., Mrnjavac, N., Neukirchen, S., Roettger, M., Nelson-Sathi, S., and Martin, W. F. (2016). The physiology and habitat of the last universal common ancestor. *Nature Microbiology*, 1:16116.
- Welsh, W. F., Orosz, J. A., Short, D. R., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., Brugamyer, E., Haghighipour, N., Buchhave, L. A., Doyle, L. R., Fabrycky, D. C., Hinse, T. C., Kane, S. R., Kostov, V., Mazeh, T., Mills, S. M., Müller, T. W. A., Quarles, B., Quinn, S. N., Ragozzine, D., Shporer, A., Steffen, J. H., Tal-Or, L., Torres, G., Windmiller, G., and Borucki, W. J. (2015). Kepler 453 b - The 10th Kepler Transiting Circumbinary Planet. *Astrophys. J.*, 809(1):26.
- West, A. A. and Basri, G. (2009). A first look at rotation in inactive late-type m dwarfs. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 693(2):1283.
- West, A. A., Hawley, S. L., Bochanski, J. J., Covey, K. R., Reid, I. N., Dhital, S., Hilton, E. J., and Masuda, M. (2008). Constraining the age-activity relation for cool stars: the sloan digital sky survey data release 5 low-mass star spectroscopic sample. *The Astronomical Journal*, 135(3):785.
- Wheatley, D. F., Chan, M. A., and Okubo, C. H. (2019). Clastic pipes and mud volcanism across Mars: Terrestrial analog evidence of past Martian groundwater and subsurface fluid mobilization. *Icarus*, 328:141–151.
- White, R. J., Gabor, J. M., and Hillenbrand, L. A. (2007). High-dispersion optical spectra of nearby stars younger than the sun. *The Astronomical Journal*, 133(6):2524.
- Wielen, R., Fuchs, B., and Dettbarn, C. (1996). On the birth-place of the Sun and the places of formation of other nearby stars. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 314:438.
- Williams, D. M., Kasting, J. F., and Wade, R. A. (1997). Habitable moons around extrasolar giant planets. *Nature*, 385(6613):234.
- Williams, D. M. and Pollard, D. (2002). Earth-like worlds on eccentric orbits: excursions beyond the habitable zone. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 1(1):61–69.
- Windemuth, D., Agol, E., Ali, A., and Kiefer, F. (2019). Modelling Kepler eclipsing binaries: homogeneous inference of orbital and stellar properties. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 489(2):1644–1666.
- Winn, J. N. (2010). *Exoplanet Transits and Occultations*, pages 55–77.
- Winn, J. N. (2018). *Planet Occurrence: Doppler and Transit Surveys*, page 195.
- Wolf, E. and Toon, O. (2010). Fractal organic hazes provided an ultraviolet shield for early earth. *Science*, 328(5983):1266–1268.
- Wolf, E. T., Kopparapu, R. K., and Haqq-Misra, J. (2019). Simulated phase-dependent spectra of terrestrial aquaplanets in m dwarf systems. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 877(35):18.
- Wolf, E. T. and Toon, O. B. (2015). The evolution of habitable climates under the brightening Sun. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)*, 120:5775–5794.
- Wolfgang, A., Rogers, L. A., and Ford, E. B. (2016). Probabilistic Mass-Radius Relationship for Sub-Neptune-Sized Planets. *Astrophys. J.*, 825(1):19.
- Wordsworth, R. (2015). Atmospheric heat redistribution and collapse on tidally locked rocky planets. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 806(2):180.
- Wordsworth, R., Forget, F., Selsis, F., Madeleine, J.-B., Millour, E., and Eymet, V. (2010). Is gliese 581d habitable? some constraints from radiative-convective climate modeling. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 522:A22.
- Wordsworth, R. and Pierrehumbert, R. (2013). Hydrogen-nitrogen greenhouse warming in Earth’s early atmosphere. *science*, 339(6115):64–67.
- Wordsworth, R. and Pierrehumbert, R. (2014). Abiotic Oxygen-Dominated Atmospheres on Terrestrial Habitable Zone Planets. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 785(2):L20.
- Wordsworth, R. D. and Pierrehumbert, R. T. (2013). Water Loss from Terrestrial Planets with CO₂-rich Atmospheres. *Astrophys. J.*, 778:154.
- Wordsworth, R. D., Schaefer, L. K., and Fischer, R. A. (2018). Redox Evolution via Gravitational Differentiation on Low-mass Planets: Implications for Abiotic Oxygen, Water Loss, and Habitability. *Astron. J.*, 155(5):195.
- Wright, J., Marcy, G., Howard, A., Johnson, J. A., Morton, T., and Fischer, D. (2012). The frequency of hot jupiters orbiting nearby solar-type stars. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 753(2):160.
- Wright, J. T. (2018). *Radial Velocities as an Exoplanet Discovery Method*, pages 619–631. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Yang, J. and Abbot, D. S. (2014). A low-order model of water vapor, clouds, and thermal emission for tidally locked terrestrial planets. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 784(2):155.
- Yang, J., Abbot, D. S., Koll, D. B. D., Hu, Y., and Showman, A. P. (2019). Ocean Dynamics and the Inner Edge of the Habitable Zone for Tidally Locked Terrestrial Planets. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 871(29):17.
- Yang, J., Boué, G., Fabrycky, D. C., and Abbot, D. S. (2014). Strong dependence of the inner edge of the habitable zone on planetary rotation rate. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 787(1):L2.
- Yang, J., Cowan, N. B., and Abbot, D. S. (2013). Stabilizing Cloud Feedback Dramatically Expands the Habitable Zone of Tidally Locked Planets. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 771:L45.
- Zahnle, K. J. and Catling, D. C. (2017). The Cosmic Shoreline: The Evidence that Escape Determines which Planets Have Atmospheres, and what this May Mean for Proxima Centauri B. *Astrophys. J.*, 843(2):122.
- Zahnle, K. J. and Catling, D. C. (2017). The cosmic shoreline: the evidence that escape determines which planets have atmospheres, and what this may mean for Proxima Centauri b. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 843(122):23.

- Zarka, P. (2007). Plasma interactions of exoplanets with their parent star and associated radio emissions. *Planetary and Space Science*, 55(5):598–617.
- Zsom, A., Seager, S., de Wit, J., and Stamenković, V. (2013). Toward the Minimum Inner Edge Distance of the Habitable Zone. *Astrophys. J.*, 778(2):109.