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#### Abstract

We prove a group graded Morita equivalences version of the "butterfly theorem" on character triples. This gives a method to construct an equivalence between block extensions from another related equivalence.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The Butterfly theorem, as stated by B. Späth in [3, Theorem 2.16], gives the possibility to construct certain relations between character triples. The result is very useful in obtaining reduction methods for the local-global conjectures in modular representation theory of finite groups. In this paper we consider group graded Morita equivalences between block extensions, and we obtain an analogue of [3, Theorem 2.16]. Our main result Theorem 4.3 shows how to construct a group graded Morita equivalence from a given one, under very similar assumptions to those in [3].

In general, our notations and assumptions are standard and follow [2]. To introduce our context, let $G$ be a finite group, $N$ a normal subgroup of $G$, and denote by $\bar{G}$ the factor group $G / N$. Let $A=\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} A_{\bar{g}}$ be a strongly $\bar{G}$ graded $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with the identity component $B:=A_{1}$, where $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K})$ is a $p$-modular system. For a subgroup $\bar{H}$ of $\bar{G}$ we denote by $A_{\bar{H}}:=\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{H}} A_{\bar{g}}$ the truncation of $A$ from $\bar{G}$ to $\bar{H}$.

For the sake of simplicity, in this article we will mostly consider only crossed products, also because the generalization of the statements to the case of strongly graded algebras is a mere technicality. Recall that if $A$ is a crossed product, we can chose an invertible homogeneous element $u_{\bar{g}}$ in the component $A_{\bar{g}}$, for all $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$.

Our main example for a $\bar{G}$-graded crossed product is obtained as follows: Regard $\mathcal{O} G$ as a $\bar{G}$-graded algebra with the 1-component $\mathcal{O N}$. Let $b \in$ $Z(\mathcal{O N})$ be a $\bar{G}$-invariant block idempotent. We denote:

$$
A:=b \mathcal{O} G, \quad B:=b \mathcal{O} N .
$$

Then the block extension $A$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded crossed product, with 1-component $B$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section $\mathbb{\$ 2}$ we recall from [2] the main facts on group graded Morita equivalences, and we state a graded variant of the second Morita Theorem [1. Theorem 12.12]. In Section $\S 3$ we show that there is a natural map, compatible with Morita equivalences, from the
centralizer $C_{A}(B)$ of $B$ in $A$ to the endomorphism algebra of a $\bar{G}$-graded $A$ module induced from a $B$-module. In the last Section, Section $\mathbb{4}$, we prove that a Morita equivalence between the 1 -components of two block extensions always lift to a graded equivalence between certain centralizer algebras. This is the main ingredient in the proof of our main result, Theorem 4.3.

## 2. Group graded Morita equivalences

Let $A=\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} A_{\bar{g}}$ and $A^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} A_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}$ be strongly $\bar{G}$-graded algebras, with the 1 -components $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ respectively.
2.1. It is clear that $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A^{\prime \mathrm{op}}$ is a $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$-graded algebra. Let

$$
\delta(\bar{G}):=\{(\bar{g}, \bar{g}) \mid \bar{g} \in \bar{G}\}
$$

be the diagonal subgroup of $\bar{G} \times \bar{G}$, and let $\Delta$ be the diagonal subalgebra of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A^{\prime \mathrm{op}}$

$$
\Delta:=\left(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A^{\prime \mathrm{op}}\right)_{\delta(\bar{G})}=\bigoplus_{\bar{g} \in \bar{G}} A_{\bar{g}} \otimes A_{\bar{g}^{-1}}^{\prime}
$$

Then $\Delta$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded algebra, with 1-component $\Delta_{1}=B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B^{\prime o p}$.
2.2. Let $M$ be a ( $B, B^{\prime}$ )-bimodule, or equivalently $M$ is a $B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B^{\prime \text { op }}$-module, thus a $\Delta_{1}$-module. Let $M^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}(M, B)$ be its $B$-dual. Note that if $B$ is a symmetric algebra, then we have the isomorphism

$$
M^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{B}(M, B) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, \mathcal{O}),
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, \mathcal{O})$, is the $\mathcal{O}$-dual of $M$.
Definition 2.3. We say that the $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule $\tilde{M}$ induces a $\bar{G}$ graded Morita equivalence between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, if $\tilde{M} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} \tilde{M}^{*} \cong A$ as $\bar{G}$-graded ( $A, A$ )-bimodules and that $\tilde{M}^{*} \otimes_{A} \tilde{M} \cong A^{\prime}$ as $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$-bimodules, where the $A$-dual $\tilde{M}^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\tilde{M}, A)$ of $\tilde{M}$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A^{\prime}, A\right)$-bimodule.

By [2, Theorem 5.1.2], the following statements are equivalent:
(1) between $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ we have a Morita equivalence given by the $\Delta_{1^{-}}$ module $M$, and $M$ extends to a $\Delta$-module.
(2) $\tilde{M}:=A \otimes_{B} M$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule, and $\tilde{M}^{*}:=A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} M^{*}$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A^{\prime}, A\right)$-bimodule, which induce a $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalence between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, given by the functors:

$$
A \xlongequal[A_{A^{\prime}} \tilde{M}_{A}^{*} \otimes_{A^{-}}]{\stackrel{\tilde{M}_{A^{\prime}} \otimes_{A^{\prime}}}{\leftrightarrows}} A^{\prime} .
$$

In this case, by [2, Lemma 1.6.3], we have the natural isomorphisms of $\bar{G}$-graded bimodules

$$
\tilde{M}:=A \otimes_{B} M \simeq M \otimes_{B^{\prime}} A^{\prime} \simeq\left(\left(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A^{\prime \mathrm{op}}\right) \otimes_{\Delta} M\right) .
$$

2.4. Assume that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are Morita equivalent. Then, by the second Morita Theorem [1, Theorem 12.12], we can choose the bimodule isomorphisms

$$
\varphi: M^{*} \otimes_{B} M \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \quad \psi: M \otimes_{B^{\prime}} M^{*} \rightarrow B
$$

such that

$$
\psi\left(m \otimes m^{*}\right) n=m \varphi\left(m^{*} \otimes n\right), \quad \forall m, n \in M, m^{*} \in M^{*}
$$

and that

$$
\varphi\left(m^{*} \otimes m\right) n^{*}=m^{*} \psi\left(m \otimes n^{*}\right), \quad \forall m^{*}, n^{*} \in M^{*}, m \in M
$$

By the surjectivity of this functions, we may choose finite sets $I$ and $J$ and the elements $m_{j}^{*}, n_{i}^{*} \in M^{*}$ and $m_{j}, n_{i} \in M$, for all $i \in I, j \in J$ such that:

$$
\varphi\left(\sum_{j \in J} m_{j}^{*} \otimes_{B} m_{j}\right)=1_{B^{\prime}}, \quad \psi\left(\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} \otimes_{B} n_{i}^{*}\right)=1_{B} .
$$

2.5. Assume that $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}^{*}$ give a $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalence between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$. As above, by [1, Theorem 12.12], we can choose the isomorphisms

$$
\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{M}^{*} \otimes_{A} \tilde{M} \rightarrow A^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{\psi}: \tilde{M} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} \tilde{M}^{*} \rightarrow A
$$

of $\bar{G}$-graded bimodules such that

$$
\tilde{\psi}\left(\tilde{m} \otimes \tilde{m}^{*}\right) \tilde{n}=\tilde{m} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\tilde{m}^{*} \otimes \tilde{n}\right), \quad \forall \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \in \tilde{M}, \tilde{m}^{*} \in \tilde{M}^{*}
$$

and that

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(\tilde{m}^{*} \otimes \tilde{m}\right) \tilde{n}^{*}=\tilde{m}^{*} \tilde{\psi}\left(\tilde{m} \otimes \tilde{n}^{*}\right), \quad \forall \tilde{m}^{*}, \tilde{n}^{*} \in \tilde{M}^{*}, \tilde{m} \in \tilde{M} .
$$

Actually, $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}$ are the same with $\varphi$ and $\psi$ from before, and are $\Delta$ linear isomorphisms. Moreover, we have that $1_{A}=1_{B} \in B$ and $1_{A^{\prime}}=1_{B^{\prime}} \in$ $B^{\prime}$. Henceforth, we may choose the same finite sets $I$ and $J$ and the same elements $m_{j}^{*}, n_{i}^{*} \in M^{*}$ and $m_{j}, n_{i} \in M, \forall i \in I, j \in J$ such that:

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(\sum_{j \in J} m_{j}^{*} \otimes_{B} m_{j}\right)=1_{B^{\prime}}, \quad \tilde{\psi}\left(\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} \otimes_{B} n_{i}^{*}\right)=1_{B} .
$$

## 3. Centralizers and graded endomorphism algebras

3.1. We will assume that $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are $\bar{G}$-graded crossed products, although the results of this section can be generalized to strongly graded algebras. Let $U \in B-\bmod$ and $U^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}-\bmod$ such that $U^{\prime}=M^{*} \otimes_{B} U$. We denote

$$
E(U):=\operatorname{End}\left(A \otimes_{B} U\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \quad E\left(U^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{End}\left(A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} U^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}
$$

the $\bar{G}$-graded endomorphism algebras of the modules induced from $U$ and $U^{\prime}$.

We will prove that there exists a natural $\bar{G}$-graded algebra homomorphism between the centralizer of $B$ in $A$ and $E(U)$, compatible with $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalences.

## Lemma 3.2. The map

$$
\theta: C_{A}(B) \rightarrow E(U), \quad \theta(c)(a \otimes u)=a c \otimes u
$$

where $c \in C_{A}(B), a \in A$, and $u \in U$, is a homomorphism of $\bar{G}$-graded algebras.

Proof. We first need to show that the map is well-defined. For $c \in C_{A}(B)$, $a \in A, b \in B$ and $u \in U$, we have:

$$
\theta(c)\left(a b \otimes_{B} u\right)=a b \cdot c \otimes_{B} u=a c b \otimes_{B} u=a c \otimes_{B} b u=\theta(c)\left(a \otimes_{B} b u\right) .
$$

To show that $\theta(c)$ is $A$-linear, let $a^{\prime} \in A$; we have:

$$
\theta(c)\left(a^{\prime} a \otimes_{B} u\right)=a^{\prime} a c \otimes_{B} u=a^{\prime}\left(a c \otimes_{B} u\right)=a^{\prime} \theta(c)\left(a \otimes_{B} u\right) .
$$

To prove that the map is a ring homomorphism, let $c, c^{\prime} \in C_{A}(B)$; we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\theta(c) \cdot \theta\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(a \otimes_{B} u\right) & =\left(\theta\left(c^{\prime}\right) \circ \theta(c)\right)\left(a \otimes_{B} u\right) \\
& =\theta\left(c^{\prime}\right)\left(\theta(c)\left(a \otimes_{B} u\right)\right) \\
& =\theta\left(c^{\prime}\right)\left(a c \otimes_{B} u\right)=a c c^{\prime} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =\theta\left(c c^{\prime}\right)\left(a \otimes_{B} u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we check that $\theta$ is grade-preserving. Let $a_{\bar{g}} \otimes_{B} u \in A_{\bar{g}} \otimes_{B} U$ and $c \in C_{A}(B)_{\bar{h}}$, where $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \bar{G}$. Then the definition of $\theta$ says that

$$
\theta(c)\left(a_{\bar{g}} \otimes_{B} u\right)=a_{\bar{g}} \cdot c \otimes_{B} u \in A_{\bar{g} \bar{h}} \otimes_{B} U .
$$

If follows that $\theta(c)$ belongs to $E(U)_{\bar{h}}$. The other properties are obvious.
3.3. By [2, Lemma 1.6.3] we have

$$
A \otimes_{B} M \simeq M \otimes_{B^{\prime}} A^{\prime}
$$

and we will need an explicit isomorphism between the two. We will choose invertible elements $u_{\bar{g}} \in U(A) \cap A_{\bar{g}}$ and $u_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \in U(A) \cap A_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}$ of degree $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$. We have that an arbitrary element $a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \in A_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}$ can be written uniquely in the form $a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}=u_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} b^{\prime}$, where $b^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$. The desired $\bar{G}$-graded bimodule isomorphism is:

$$
\varepsilon: M \otimes_{B^{\prime}} A^{\prime} \rightarrow A \otimes_{B} M \quad m \otimes_{B^{\prime}} a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \mapsto u_{\bar{g}} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} m a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}
$$

for $m \in M$. We will also need the explicit isomorphism of $\bar{G}$-graded bimodules

$$
\beta: A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} M^{*} \rightarrow M^{*} \otimes_{B} A \quad a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*} \mapsto a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} m^{*} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}}
$$

for $m^{*} \in M^{*}$. Henceforth we consider the isomorphism of $\bar{G}$-graded $A^{\prime}$ modules

$$
\beta \otimes_{B} i d_{U}: A^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} M^{*} \otimes_{B} U \rightarrow M^{*} \otimes_{B} A \otimes_{B} U .
$$

Proposition 3.4. Assume that $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}^{*}$ give a $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalence between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$. Then the diagram

is commutative, where the maps are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(c)(a \otimes u) & =a c \otimes u, \\
\theta^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime}\right)\left(a^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime}\right) & =a^{\prime} c^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime} \\
\varphi_{1}(f) & =\left(\beta \otimes_{B} i d_{U}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(i d_{\tilde{M}^{*}} \otimes f\right) \circ\left(\beta \otimes_{B} i d_{U}\right), \\
\varphi_{2}(c) & =\tilde{\varphi}\left(\sum_{j \in J} m_{j}^{*} c \otimes_{B} m_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \in A, a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}, c \in C_{A}(B), c^{\prime} \in C_{A^{\prime}}\left(B^{\prime}\right), u \in U, u^{\prime} \in U^{\prime}$ and $f \in E(U)$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.2, we have that $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ are homomorphisms of $\bar{G}$ graded algebras. Moreover, $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are the algebra isomorphisms induced by the $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalence.

To prove that the diagram is commutative, let $c \in C_{A}(B)_{\bar{h}}$, where $\bar{h} \in \bar{G}$. We consider arbitrary elements $a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \in A_{\bar{g}}^{\prime}$, where $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ and $u^{\prime}=m^{*} \otimes_{B} u \in$ $U^{\prime}=M^{*} \otimes_{B} U$. By the above remarks, for all $f \in E(U)$, we have

$$
\varphi_{1}(f)\left(a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*} \otimes_{B} u\right)=a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} m^{*} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} f\left(u_{\bar{g}} \otimes_{B} u\right)
$$

hence, for $f=\theta(c) \in E(U)$ we get

$$
\varphi_{1}(\theta(c))\left(a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*} \otimes_{B} u\right)=a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} m^{*} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} c \otimes_{B} u
$$

On the other hand, $c^{\prime}:=\varphi_{2}(c) \in C_{A^{\prime}}\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{h}$, hence, via the identification given by the isomorphism $\beta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{2}(c)\right) & \left(a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*} \otimes_{B} u\right)=a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} c^{\prime} m^{*} u_{\bar{h}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} u_{\bar{h}} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\sum_{j} m_{j}^{*} c \otimes_{B} m_{j}\right) m^{*} u_{\bar{h}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} u_{\bar{h}} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \sum_{j} m_{j}^{*} c \psi\left(m_{j} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*}\right) u_{\bar{h}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} u_{\bar{h}} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \sum_{j} m_{j}^{*} \psi\left(m_{j} \otimes_{B^{\prime}} m^{*}\right) u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}} c u_{\bar{h}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} u_{\bar{h}} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} \varphi\left(\sum_{j} m_{j}^{*} \otimes_{B} m_{j}\right) m^{*} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} c u_{\bar{h}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} u_{\bar{g}} u_{\bar{h}} \otimes_{B} u \\
& =a_{\bar{g}}^{\prime} m^{*} u_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \otimes_{B} u_{\bar{g}} c \otimes_{B} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the statement is proved.

## 4. The Butterfly theorem for $\bar{G}$-Graded Morita equivalences

4.1. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G, G^{\prime}$ a subgroup of $G$, and $N^{\prime}$ a normal subgroup of $G^{\prime}$. We assume that $N^{\prime}=G^{\prime} \cap N$ and $G=G^{\prime} N$, hence $\bar{G}:=G / N \simeq G^{\prime} / N^{\prime}$. Let $b \in Z(\mathcal{O} N)$ and $b^{\prime} \in Z\left(\mathcal{O} N^{\prime}\right)$ be $\bar{G}$-invariant block idempotents. We denote

$$
A:=b \mathcal{O} G, \quad A^{\prime}:=b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} G^{\prime}, \quad B:=b \mathcal{O} N, \quad B^{\prime}:=b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} N^{\prime}
$$

Then $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are strongly $\bar{G}$-graded algebras, with 1-components $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ respectively.

Additionally, assume that $C_{G}(N) \subseteq G^{\prime}$, and denote $\bar{C}_{G}(N):=N C_{G}(N) / N$. We consider the algebras


If $M$ induces a Morita equivalence between $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, the question that arises, is what can we deduce without the additional hypothesis that $M$ extends to a $\Delta$-module. One answer is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. Assume that:
(1) $C_{G}(N) \subseteq G^{\prime}$.
(2) $M$ induces a Morita equivalence between $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.
(3) $z m=m z$ for all $m \in M$ and $z \in Z(N)$.

Then there is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded Morita equivalence between $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, induced by the $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule

$$
C \otimes_{B} M \simeq M \otimes_{B^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \simeq\left(C \otimes C^{\prime \mathrm{op}}\right) \otimes_{\Delta\left(C \otimes C^{\prime o \mathrm{p}}\right)} M
$$

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to see that our assumption implies that $N C_{G}(N) / N$ is isomorphic to $N^{\prime} C_{G}(N) / N^{\prime}$. Thus both $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are indeed strongly $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded algebras.

Now, we prove that there is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded Morita equivalence between $C$ and $C^{\prime}$. It suffices to prove that $C \otimes_{B} M$ is actually a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded ( $C, C^{\prime}$ )-bimodule.

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a $\bar{G}$-graded algebra homomorphism between $C_{A}(B)$ and $\operatorname{End}_{A}\left(A \otimes_{B} M\right)^{\text {op }}$. Moreover, note that $A \otimes_{B} M$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A, \operatorname{End}_{A}\left(A \otimes_{B} M\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$-bimodule, hence by restricting the scalars we obtain that $A \otimes_{B} M$ is a $\bar{G}$-graded $\left(A, C_{A}(B)\right)$-bimodule. We truncate to the subgroup $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$ of $\bar{G}$, and we obtain that $A_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)} \otimes_{B} M$ is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(A_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)}, C_{A}(B)_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)}\right)$-bimodule, but $A_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)}=b \mathcal{O} N C_{G}(N)=C$, hence $\hat{M}:=C \otimes_{B} M$ is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(C, C_{A}(B)_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)}\right)$-bimodule.

We have that $\mathcal{O} C_{G}(N)$ is $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded with the 1-component $\mathcal{O} Z(N)$, and there is an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{O} C_{G}(N)$ to $C_{A}(B)$, whose image is evidently included in $C_{A}(B)_{\bar{C}_{G}(N)}$. Hence, by restricting the scalars we obtain that $\hat{M}$ is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(C, \mathcal{O} C_{G}(N)\right)$-bimodule. Finally, since $M$ is $\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule, where $B^{\prime}=b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} N^{\prime}$, we may define on $\hat{M}$ a structure of a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(C, b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} N^{\prime} C_{G}(N)\right)$-bimodule, as follows. Let $c \in C, m \in$ $M, c^{\prime} \in C_{G}(N) \subseteq C^{\prime}$ and $n \in N$, and define

$$
(c \otimes m) c^{\prime} n=c c^{\prime} \otimes m n
$$

To see that this is well-defined, let $z \in Z(N)$, so $c^{\prime} n=\left(c^{\prime} z\right)\left(z^{-1} n\right)$. Then, by assumption (3), we have

$$
(c \otimes m)\left(c^{\prime} z\right)\left(z^{-1} n\right)=c c^{\prime} z \otimes m z^{-1} n=c c^{\prime} \otimes z m z^{-1} n=c c^{\prime} \otimes m n
$$

Consequently, $\hat{M}$ is a $\bar{C}_{G}(N)$-graded $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule.
Our main result is a version for Morita equivalences of the so-called "butterfly theorem" [3, Theorem 2.16].

Theorem 4.3. Let $\hat{G}$ be another group with normal subgroup $N$, such that the block $b$ is also $\hat{G}$-invariant. Assume that:
(1) $C_{G}(N) \subseteq G^{\prime}$,
(2) $\tilde{M}$ induces a $\bar{G}$-graded Morita equivalence between $A$ and $A^{\prime}$;
(3) $z m=m z$ for all $m \in M$ and $z \in Z(N)$.
(4) the conjugation maps $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}: \hat{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ satisfy $\varepsilon(G)=\hat{\varepsilon}(\hat{G})$.
Denote $\hat{G}^{\prime}=\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then there is a $\hat{G} / N$-graded Morita equivalence between $\hat{A}:=b \mathcal{O} \hat{G}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}:=b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} \hat{G}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram:


By the proof of [3, Theorem 2.16], we have that $C_{\hat{G}}(N) \leq \hat{G}^{\prime}, \hat{G}=N \hat{G}^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime}=N \cap \hat{G}^{\prime}$. Note that $N C_{G}(N)$ is the kernel of the map $G \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}(N)$ induced by conjugation. Hence, the hypothesis $\varepsilon(G)=\hat{\varepsilon}(\hat{G})$ implies that $G / N C_{G}(N) \simeq \hat{G} / N C_{\hat{G}}(N)$. It follows that $\bar{G} / \bar{C}_{G}(N) \simeq \hat{G} / \bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)$.

Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ as in Proposition 4.2 and denote $\hat{C}=b \mathcal{O} N C_{\hat{G}}(N)$ and $\hat{C}^{\prime}=b^{\prime} \mathcal{O} N^{\prime} C_{\hat{G}^{\prime}}(N)$. By Proposition 4.2, we know that the Morita equivalence between $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ induced by $M$ extends to a $\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)$-graded Morita equivalence between $\hat{C}$ and $\hat{C}^{\prime}$, induced by $\hat{C} \otimes_{B} M$.

Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq G^{\prime}$ be a complete set of representatives for the cosets of $N^{\prime} C_{G}(N)$ in $G^{\prime}$. Because $G=N G^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}$ is a complete set of representatives for the cosets of $N C_{G}(N)$ in $G$.

For any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, we choose $\hat{t} \in \hat{G}^{\prime}$ such that $\varepsilon(t)=\hat{\varepsilon}(\hat{t})$. Thus, we obtain a complete set $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ of representatives of $N^{\prime} C_{\hat{G}}(N)$ in $\hat{G}^{\prime}$, so $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ is also a complete set of representatives for the cosets of $N C_{\hat{G}}(N)$ in $\hat{G}$.

We need to define a $\hat{\Delta}:=\Delta\left(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}^{\prime o p}\right)$-module structure on $M$, knowing that $M$ is $\Delta\left(A \otimes A^{\prime \text { op }}\right)$-module and a $\Delta\left(\hat{A}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)} \otimes \hat{A}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)}^{\prime \text { op }}\right)$-module, where

$$
\Delta\left(\hat{A}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)} \otimes \hat{A}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)}^{\prime o p}\right) \simeq \Delta\left(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}^{\prime \mathrm{op}}\right)_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)}
$$

We define $\left(\hat{t} \otimes \hat{t}^{\circ}\right) \cdot m=\left(t \otimes t^{\circ}\right) \cdot m$. It is a routine to verify that this definition does not depend on the choices we made, and that it gives the required $\hat{\Delta}$-module structure on $M$.

Alternatively, one may argue as follows: The cohomology class $[\hat{\alpha}]$ from $H^{2}\left(\hat{G} / N, Z(B)^{\times}\right)$associated to the $\hat{\Delta}_{1}$-module $M$ satisfies $\operatorname{Res}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)}^{\hat{G} / N}[\hat{\alpha}]=1$, because $M$ extends to a $\hat{\Delta}_{\bar{C}_{\hat{G}}(N)}$-module. It follows that $[\hat{\alpha}] \in \operatorname{ImInf}_{N C_{\hat{G}}}^{\hat{G}}(N)$. On the other hand, the class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(\bar{G}, Z(B)^{\times}\right)$associated to the $\Delta_{1-}$ module $M$ is trivial, since $M$ extends to a $\Delta$-module. It is easy to see that

$$
\left(t \otimes t^{\circ}\right) \otimes M \simeq\left(\hat{t} \otimes \hat{t}^{\circ}\right) \otimes M
$$

as $(B, B)$-bimodules, and since $G / N C_{G}(N) \simeq \hat{G} / N C_{\hat{G}}(N)$, we deduce that [ $\hat{\alpha}$ ] is also trivial, hence $M$ extends to a $\hat{\Delta}$-module.
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