

Orientational order parameters for arbitrary quantum systems

Michael te Vrugt¹ and Raphael Wittkowski^{1,*}

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Center for Soft Nanoscience,
Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, D-48149 Münster, Germany*

The concept of quantum-mechanical nematic order, which is important in systems such as superconductors, is based on an analogy to classical liquid crystals, where order parameters are obtained through orientational expansions. We generalize this method to quantum mechanics based on an expansion of Wigner functions. This provides a unified framework applicable to arbitrary quantum systems. The formalism is demonstrated for the cases of Fermi liquids and spin systems. Moreover, we derive new order parameters for molecular systems, which cannot be properly described with the usual nematic tensors.

The orientational order of classical liquid crystals is usually measured via Cartesian order-parameter fields given by the local polarization $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$ and nematic tensor $Q(\vec{r})$. These are typical variables of field theories for liquid crystals and active soft matter [1–13]. The standard definitions of $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$ and $Q(\vec{r})$ assume uniaxial (e.g., rodlike) particles and have to be modified for particles with low symmetry [14–16]. This modification allows to study a much richer phenomenology of phase transitions [16, 17]. Classical order parameters can be defined systematically by an expansion of the orientational distribution function in symmetric traceless tensors [18–20], where $\vec{P}(\vec{r})$ and $Q(\vec{r})$ correspond to orders $l = 1$ and $l = 2$, respectively. Interesting phases can also be found using order parameters with $l \geq 3$ [21, 22].

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in applying the concept of liquid-crystalline phases to quantum-mechanical systems [23–57]. A source of nematic order in fermionic systems are deformations of the Fermi surface, which have gained significant attention in the past years [54–57]. Nematic order is very important in superconductors [23–31]. It also arises in spin systems [32–40], where it is relevant for ultracold gases [32–34] and quantum computers [58–60].

Defining quantum-mechanical orientational order parameters systematically is a challenging problem, since quantum nematic order exists in various forms, such as structural, spin, and orbital. The relations between these forms are not fully understood [23, 30, 31] and it is difficult to connect the existing order parameters to their classical relates [42]. These problems could be solved through a systematic derivation of order parameters for quantum systems that naturally generalizes the classical case and unifies all known types of quantum order into one framework. This would allow to describe phases with $l \geq 3$, which occur, e.g., in Fermi fluids [61, 62], using Cartesian order parameters, and to improve the analysis of nematic order in systems such as superconductors where suitable order parameters are difficult to identify [30, 63]. Other systems, where Cartesian quantum order parameters could then be derived, include molecules with low symmetry, where the usual nematic tensors are

not sufficient [14–16]. This is interesting, because molecular order plays a key role in chemical reactions [64] and quantum dot technology [65, 66]. Like formalisms for different types of quantum order [67], this method would also allow to predict new phases for other quantum systems, which would be extremely useful for applications in solid-state theory, molecular physics, and beyond.

In this article, we derive a general formalism for the definition of quantum-mechanical orientational order parameters based on Wigner functions [68–74]. These functions allow for a phase-space description of quantum systems by generalizing the classical probability distribution function and are widely used in fields such as solid-state theory [75, 76], quantum molecular dynamics [77, 78], quantum information theory [72, 79], quantum optics [80], quantum electronics [74, 81], plasma physics [82], and particle physics [83, 84]. An expansion of the angular dependence of Wigner functions and corresponding kernel operators (see below) allows for a systematic definition of order parameters for the quantum case. Since Wigner functions can be constructed for arbitrary quantum systems [71], this approach has the major advantage that it is very general, being applicable to order arising from electronic structure, spin, or otherwise. Therefore, it solves the problem of a unification of the various types of existing quantum order parameters. Our approach has a clear connection to the classical case of which it is a natural extension. Moreover, performing an orientational expansion of Wigner functions for systems where nematic states are currently unknown would allow to describe and predict new phases. The standard order parameters for spin and Fermi nematics can be derived within our framework, which also allows to identify the approximations involved in their definitions. More general order parameters for molecules can be obtained in analogy to their classical relates.

In a classical liquid crystal, the orientation of a uniaxial particle is specified by an orientation vector $\vec{u}(\theta, \phi)$, where θ and ϕ are spherical coordinate angles. (In two spatial dimensions, one angle ϕ is sufficient.) This vector specifies the direction of the particle’s symmetry axis or, for an active particle, of its self-propulsion [85, 86]. A many-particle system is then described by a distribution

function $f(\vec{u})$ that in general also has other degrees of freedom, such as position or momentum. In three spatial dimensions, it can be expanded in spherical harmonics $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$, giving the angular expansion

$$f(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (1)$$

with coefficients $f_{lm} = \int_{S^2} d\Omega f(\theta, \phi) Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi)$. Alternatively, one can perform a Cartesian expansion [20, 87, 88]

$$f(\vec{u}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^d f_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_l}, \quad (2)$$

where the expansion coefficients are given by

$$f_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)} = A_l^{(dD)} \int_{S_{d-1}} d\Omega f(\vec{u}) T_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)}(\vec{u}) \quad (3)$$

with the normalization $A_l^{(dD)}$ and tensor polynomials $T_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)}(\vec{u})$ that depend on the spatial dimensionality d (see Ref. [20] for definitions). We integrate over ϕ for $d = 2$ and over ϕ and θ for $d = 3$. The expansion coefficients (3) form symmetric traceless tensors being the orientational order parameters of order $l = 0, 1, \dots$. Angular and Cartesian expansions are orderwise equivalent.

The orientation of a particle with arbitrary shape is specified by a rotation matrix R_{ij} that maps from a laboratory-fixed frame to a body-fixed frame. One can expand the distribution function $f(R)$ in various ways [14, 15, 19]. An angular expansion can be performed in Wigner D-matrices $D_{mn}^l(R)$ [88] as a generalization of Eq. (1). One possibility for a Cartesian expansion is, as shown by Turzi [19], given by

$$f(R) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^3 \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_l=1}^3 c_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_l j_l}^{(3D)} R_{i_1 j_1} \dots R_{i_l j_l}. \quad (4)$$

The expansion coefficients $c_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_l j_l}^{(3D)}$ (see Refs. [19, 20]) are symmetric and traceless in the $\{i_k\}$ and $\{j_k\}$ separately. Details on angular and Cartesian orientational expansions can be found in Ref. [20].

Usually, the expansion (2) is performed up to second order. In two spatial dimensions, this gives [20, 89]

$$f(\vec{u}) = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^2 P_i u_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 Q_{ij} u_i u_j + \mathcal{O}(u^3) \quad (5)$$

with the constant $f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi f(\vec{u})$, polarization $P_i = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi f(\vec{u}) u_i$, and nematic tensor $Q_{ij} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi f(\vec{u}) (u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij})$. Using Eqs. (2) and (4), generalizations to three spatial dimensions, higher orders, and asymmetric particles are straightforward.

It is not immediately clear how this formalism can be applied to quantum mechanics. The first difference is

that, while classical order parameters for passive systems measure the geometric orientation of molecules, quantum nematic order arises in systems of point-like particles [62]. (One can find here an interesting analogy between active and quantum matter, since active spheres can also show nematic order despite being geometrically symmetric [20, 89].) The second difference is that quantum systems are usually described using a statistical operator $\hat{\rho}$, which is not defined on a classical phase space, so that there is no \vec{u} -dependence that could be expanded.

A solution to this problem is provided by a phase-space representation of a quantum system. Such representations can be developed in various forms. We here use Wigner functions due to their close relation to the classical probability distribution function [71, 90]. It is expanded in the same way. The expansion coefficients provide order parameters for quantum systems. By transforming back to the operator formalism, one then obtains order parameters in the form of Hermitian Hilbert space operators, constituting suitable observables for quantum systems.

Formally, a function $W(\vec{\Gamma})$ depending on the phase-space coordinates $\vec{\Gamma}$ is a Wigner function for a Hilbert space operator $\hat{\rho}$ if there exists a Hermitian kernel operator $\hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma})$, the Stratonovich-Weyl kernel, such that [71, 91, 92]

$$W(\vec{\Gamma}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma})), \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d\Gamma W(\vec{\Gamma}) \hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma}) \quad (7)$$

with the quantum-mechanical trace Tr . This, along with a few additional requirements (see Refs. [71, 92] for details), is the Stratonovich-Weyl correspondence. More generally, Eq. (7) can be thought of as a quantization rule [93]: The Hilbert space operator \hat{A} corresponding to a phase-space function $A(\vec{\Gamma})$ is [92]

$$\hat{A} = \int d\Gamma A(\vec{\Gamma}) \hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma}). \quad (8)$$

Now suppose that our system has orientational degrees of freedom, i.e., $W(\vec{\Gamma}) \equiv W(\vec{u}, \vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}})$ with $\vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}}$ containing possible nonorientational degrees of freedom (that can be integrated out if necessary). We can expand a Wigner function using Eq. (2) as

$$W(\vec{\Gamma}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^d W_{i_1 \dots i_l}(\vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}}) u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_l}. \quad (9)$$

The prefactors $W_{i_1 \dots i_l}(\vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}})$ provide order parameters for calculations in the Wigner function formalism. They can be easily calculated using Eq. (3). Since Wigner functions can be measured [94, 95], the order parameters defined in this way are experimentally accessible quantities.

Alternatively, we can define order parameters in terms of Hermitian Hilbert space operators, which can be used

as observables in “ordinary” quantum mechanics. This can be achieved by expanding a kernel operator as

$$\int d\Gamma_{\neq \vec{u}} \hat{\Delta}(\vec{u}, \vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^d u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_l} \hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l} \quad (10)$$

with the quantum-mechanical order parameters

$$\hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l} = A_l^{(dD)} \int_{S_{d-1}} d\Omega \int d\Gamma_{\neq \vec{u}} T_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)}(\vec{u}) \hat{\Delta}(\vec{u}, \vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}}), \quad (11)$$

where the normalization $A_l^{(dD)}$ is chosen in such a way that Eqs. (2) and (3) hold for the invariant integration measure $d\Gamma = d\Omega d\Gamma_{\neq \vec{u}}$. Here, we need to integrate out also the nonorientational degrees of freedom such that the operators $\hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ have no phase-space dependence, making them proper quantum observables. Since $\hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma})$ is Hermitian [71], the $\hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ are as well.

Comparing Eqs. (8) and (11) shows that Eq. (11) is a quantization prescription. Hence, the quantum-mechanical Cartesian order parameters $\hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ are obtained by a quantization of the normalized tensor polynomials $A_l^{(dD)} T_{i_1 \dots i_l}^{(dD)}(\vec{u})$. When we apply the general definition (11) to specific systems, the definition of the order parameters depends on the kernel operator $\hat{\Delta}(\vec{u}, \vec{\Gamma}_{\neq \vec{u}})$. Such a kernel can be constructed for any quantum system by using its underlying symmetries [71, 92].

Finally, we need to take into account that we are typically dealing with many-particle systems. By integrating over the coordinates of all particles except for one, we can obtain a reduced one-particle Wigner function that allows for an orientational expansion [96]. In Eq. (10), we replace for N particles

$$\hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \int d\Gamma_j \right) \hat{\Delta}(\{\vec{\Gamma}_k\}), \quad (12)$$

which ensures that all particles are treated equally. Since the kernel for an ensemble of N particles is constructed from a tensor product of the individual kernels [97, 98], the resulting operators $\hat{T}_{i_1 \dots i_l}$ act on the N -particle Hilbert space as they should.

As a first specific system, we consider Fermi liquids. Here, nematic states break a rotational symmetry of the underlying crystal. In the simplest case of a system with full rotational symmetry, fermions fill up a ball of radius p_F (Fermi momentum) in reciprocal space, whose surface is referred to as the Fermi surface. Nematic order then corresponds to a state in which the Fermi surface becomes elliptical due to a thermodynamic instability [41, 62].

We describe fermions using Wigner functions depending on position \vec{x} and momentum \vec{p} . When setting $\hbar = 1$ and $d\Gamma = (2\pi)^{-2} d^2x d^2p$, the kernel for a system with

phase-space coordinates $\vec{\Gamma} = (\vec{x}, \vec{p})^T$ in two spatial dimensions reads [99–101]

$$\hat{\Delta}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\xi \int d^2\zeta e^{-i(\vec{\xi} \cdot (\vec{x} - \hat{\vec{x}}) + \vec{\zeta} \cdot (\vec{p} - \hat{\vec{p}}))} \quad (13)$$

with position operator $\hat{\vec{x}}$ and momentum operator $\hat{\vec{p}}$. We are interested in deviations from spherical symmetry in reciprocal space. Therefore, we write $\vec{p} = p\vec{u}$ with $p = \|\vec{p}\|$ and then perform an orientational expansion. Applying the general definition (11) together with the nematic tensor Q_{ij} from Eq. (5) (multiplied by $(2\pi)^2$ for normalization) and Eq. (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_{ij} &= \int d^2x \int d^2p (2u_i u_j - \delta_{ij}) \hat{\Delta}(\vec{x}, p, \vec{u}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2x \int d^2p \int d^2\xi \int d^2\zeta \\ &\quad \frac{(2\pi)^2}{p^2} (2p_i p_j - p^2 \delta_{ij}) e^{-i(\vec{\xi} \cdot (\vec{x} - \hat{\vec{x}}) + \vec{\zeta} \cdot (\vec{p} - \hat{\vec{p}}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For N particles, using Eq. (12) and $\int d\Gamma \hat{\Delta}(\vec{\Gamma}) = \mathbb{1}$ [71] gives \hat{Q}_{ij} as a sum over the single-particle order parameters, normalized with $1/N$. If the deviations from spherical symmetry are small, only states close to the Fermi surface contribute to the expectation value $\langle \hat{Q}_{ij} \rangle$ and we can approximate $1/p^2 \approx 1/p_F^2$. This allows us, if we switch to matrix notation, to write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2x \int d^2p \int d^2\xi \int d^2\zeta \\ &\quad \frac{(2\pi)^2}{p_F^2} \begin{pmatrix} p_x^2 - p_y^2 & 2p_x p_y \\ 2p_x p_y & p_y^2 - p_x^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\vec{\xi} \cdot (\vec{x} - \hat{\vec{x}}) + \vec{\zeta} \cdot (\vec{p} - \hat{\vec{p}}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Comparing Eqs. (8) and (15) shows that Eq. (15) is just the Weyl quantization of the matrix. Here, it can be evaluated with the replacement $p_i \rightarrow \hat{p}_i = -i\partial_i$ [99, 100, 102], yielding

$$\hat{Q} = -\frac{(2\pi)^2}{p_F^2} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2 & 2\partial_x \partial_y \\ 2\partial_x \partial_y & \partial_y^2 - \partial_x^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

This is, up to a normalization resulting from the integral measure, the definition of the nematic order parameter for Fermi liquids used in the literature [41, 103]. The general definition (11) thus reproduces the standard definitions if it is applied to standard systems.

Quantum-mechanical nematic order also arises in systems of spins. Spins can be described using Wigner functions that depend on the angles θ and ϕ , i.e., we have $\vec{\Gamma} = \vec{u}$. The kernel operator for spins is given by [104, 105]

$$\hat{\Delta}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2s+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sum_{m=-l}^l Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{lm}^{(s)} \quad (17)$$

with the irreducible tensor operators [97, 105, 106]

$$\hat{T}_{lm}^{(s)} = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{2s+1}} \sum_{n, n'=-s}^s C_{s, n, l, m}^{s, n'} |s, n'\rangle \langle s, n| \quad (18)$$

and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $C_{s,n,l,m}^{s,n'}$.

Since the kernel (17) can be easily brought into the form (1) by conjugation, the simplest way to obtain a Cartesian expansion is to read off the angular expansion coefficients and convert them to Cartesian ones (see Ref. [20] for conversion tables). The tensor operators (18) can be expressed in terms of the spin operator \hat{S} [64, 106–110]. For a general spin s , we find

$$\hat{P}_i = \frac{3}{\sqrt{s(s+1)(2s+1)^2}} \hat{S}_i \quad (19)$$

for the polarization and

$$\hat{Q}_{ij} = \frac{15}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(s+1)(2s-1)(2s+1)^2(2s+3)}} \left(\hat{S}_i \hat{S}_j + \hat{S}_j \hat{S}_i - \frac{2}{3} s(s+1) \delta_{ij} \hat{I} \right) \quad (20)$$

with the identity operator \hat{I} for the nematic tensor. In case of N particles, applying Eq. (12) with the single-particle kernel (17) gives the many-particle order parameter as a normalized sum over the single-particle order parameters. These definitions agree, for $s = 1$, up to a normalization with the standard definitions of the polarization [64] and nematic tensor [36, 107, 110]. They are usually obtained by a state multipole expansion of the density operator [64], a procedure that is less general than the formalism discussed here, since it is restricted to angular momenta. Thus, we can again recover the definitions used in the literature, but also generalize them towards larger spins. Equation (11), which is our general result, therefore contains both important types of order – spin and Fermi nematics – as special cases.

The definition (20) is very similar to its classical counterpart from Eq. (5), except for the fact that it is symmetrized because the components of the spin operator do not commute. What is also interesting here is that, while the classical order-parameter expansions (1) and (2) go from $l = 0$ to $l = \infty$, the expansion for spin systems stops at $l = 2s$. Consequently, since nematicity is measured by the terms of order $l = 2$, there can be no nematic order in spin-1/2 systems. The physical reason is that spin-1/2 particles are always locally magnetic (except if they couple to a spin-1 system, which is possible, e.g., for magnons) [64, 111, 112]. Indeed, spin nematic states have only been found in spin-1-systems [36, 47, 111–114]. Within our framework, this naturally follows from the general theory of order parameters, which also allows to define higher-order phases for spin-3/2 particles that are known to have richer phase diagrams [115]. Note that the relations used here assume a sharp angular momentum [64]. In other cases, the form of the order parameters might change, which can also be calculated within our formalism: Wigner functions for systems where various SU(2)-invariant subspaces are relevant depend on three angles [116], so that we require a more general expansion.

The situation is similar for molecules: For a specification of the orientation of classical molecules with low symmetry, one requires a rotation R rather than an orientation vector \vec{u} . Hence, the molecules are described using distribution functions $f(R)$ rather than $f(\vec{u})$. In consequence, order parameters for these systems require more general expansions such as (4) to give a complete description of the orientational state [14–16]. A general quantum-mechanical molecule can be modelled as a quantum rigid rotor [64, 117], which is described using Wigner functions $W(R)$ (see, e.g., Refs. [118, 119] for explicit forms). Nematic order in quantum molecular systems can therefore not be described with the usual methods, such as the construction of symmetric traceless tensors used for Fermi liquids [62] and spins [110]. The typical approach for the definition of order parameters for such molecular systems is through angular averages [64], although Cartesian order parameters are more closely linked to experiments [19, 20]. A more appropriate description is possible using our approach. Applying the expansion (4) to the kernel $\hat{\Delta}(R)$ for a molecular system gives the operators for polarization [20]

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \int_{\text{SO}(3)} d\Omega R_{ij} \hat{\Delta}(R) \quad (21)$$

and nematic order

$$\hat{Q}_{ijkl} = \frac{5}{16\pi^2} \int_{\text{SO}(3)} d\Omega \left(R_{ij} R_{kl} + R_{il} R_{kj} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \right) \hat{\Delta}(R), \quad (22)$$

where the integral goes over all possible rotations.

In summary, we have derived a systematic definition of orientational order parameters for arbitrary quantum systems based on Cartesian expansions of Wigner functions and Stratonovich-Weyl kernels. Our definition can recover the standard definitions for both Fermi liquids and spins, even though there are very different physical mechanisms involved. Moreover, our framework allows to define new order parameters, as demonstrated for the case of molecular systems. This unifying framework allows for a better understanding of quantum order parameters and gives the opportunity to discover nematic phases in systems where they could not be defined previously. The order parameters for low-symmetry molecules can help to extend the study of phase transitions in systems of particles with low or no symmetry towards quantum systems. Finally, as in classical soft matter physics [22], order parameters with $l \geq 3$ can allow to find new types of phases.

We thank Tilmann Kuhn and Jonas Lübken for helpful discussions. R.W. is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – WI 4170/3-1.

-
- * Corresponding author: raphael.wittkowski@uni-muenster.de
- [1] D. J. G. Pearce, “Activity driven orientational order in active nematic liquid crystals on an anisotropic substrate,” *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 227801 (2019).
 - [2] R. Mueller, J. M. Yeomans, and A. Doostmohammadi, “Emergence of active nematic behavior in monolayers of isotropic cells,” *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 048004 (2019).
 - [3] R. Hartmann, P. K. Singh, P. Pearce, R. Mok, B. Song, F. Díaz-Pascual, J. Dunkel, and K. Drescher, “Emergence of three-dimensional order and structure in growing biofilms,” *Nature Physics* **15**, 251–256 (2019).
 - [4] A. Doostmohammadi, J. Ignés-Mullol, J. M. Yeomans, and F. Sagués, “Active nematics,” *Nature Communications* **9**, 1–13 (2018).
 - [5] P. W. Ellis, D. J. G. Pearce, Y. Chang, G. Goldsztein, L. Gioni, and A. Fernandez-Nieves, “Curvature-induced defect unbinding and dynamics in active nematic toroids,” *Nature Physics* **14**, 85–90 (2018).
 - [6] Y. Yuan, A. Martinez, B. Senyuk, M. Tasinkevych, and I. I. Smalyukh, “Chiral liquid crystal colloids,” *Nature Materials* **17**, 71–79 (2018).
 - [7] J. Stenhammar, R. Wittkowski, D. Marenduzzo, and M. E. Cates, “Light-induced self-assembly of active rectification devices,” *Science Advances* **2**, e1501850 (2016).
 - [8] R. Wittmann, M. Marechal, and K. Mecke, “Fundamental measure theory for non-spherical hard particles: predicting liquid crystal properties from the particle shape,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **28**, 244003 (2016).
 - [9] S. Praetorius, A. Voigt, R. Wittkowski, and H. Löwen, “Active crystals on a sphere,” *Physical Review E* **97**, 052615 (2018).
 - [10] L. Ophaus, S. V. Gurevich, and U. Thiele, “Resting and traveling localized states in an active phase-field-crystal model,” *Physical Review E* **98**, 022608 (2018).
 - [11] R. Wittkowski, H. Löwen, and H. R. Brand, “Derivation of a three-dimensional phase-field-crystal model for liquid crystals from density functional theory,” *Physical Review E* **82**, 031708 (2010).
 - [12] R. Wittkowski, H. Löwen, and H. R. Brand, “Polar liquid crystals in two spatial dimensions: the bridge from microscopic to macroscopic modeling,” *Physical Review E* **83**, 061706 (2011).
 - [13] R. Wittkowski, H. Löwen, and H. R. Brand, “Microscopic and macroscopic theories for the dynamics of polar liquid crystals,” *Physical Review E* **84**, 041708 (2011).
 - [14] R. Rosso, “Orientational order parameters in biaxial nematics: polymorphic notation,” *Liquid Crystals* **34**, 737–748 (2007).
 - [15] G. R. Luckhurst and T. J. Sluckin, *Biaxial Nematic Liquid Crystals: Theory, Simulation and Experiment*, 1st ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2015).
 - [16] A. Matsuyama, S. Arikawa, M. Wada, and N. Fukutomi, “Uniaxial and biaxial nematic phases of banana-shaped molecules and the effects of an external field,” *Liquid Crystals* **1**, 1–14 (2019).
 - [17] S. C. Glotzer and M. J. Solomon, “Anisotropy of building blocks and their assembly into complex structures,” *Nature Materials* **6**, 557–562 (2007).
 - [18] H. Ehrentraut and W. Muschik, “On symmetric irreducible tensors in d-dimensions,” *ARI - An International Journal for Physical and Engineering Sciences* **51**, 149–159 (1998).
 - [19] S. S. Turzi, “On the Cartesian definition of orientational order parameters,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **52**, 053517 (2011).
 - [20] M. te Vrugt and R. Wittkowski, “Relations between angular and Cartesian orientational expansions,” preprint, [arXiv:1910.13060](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13060) (2019).
 - [21] A. Jákli, O. D. Lavrentovich, and J. V. Selinger, “Physics of liquid crystals of bent-shaped molecules,” *Reviews of Modern Physics* **90**, 045004 (2018).
 - [22] T. C. Lubensky and L. Radzihovsky, “Theory of bent-core liquid-crystal phases and phase transitions,” *Physical Review E* **66**, 031704 (2002).
 - [23] H. Pfau, S. Chen, M. Yi, M. Hashimoto, C. Rotundu, J. Palmstrom, T. Chen, P.-C. Dai, J. Straquadine, A. Hristov, *et al.*, “Momentum dependence of the nematic order parameter in iron-based superconductors,” *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 066402 (2019).
 - [24] T. Hashimoto, Y. Ota, H. Q. Yamamoto, Y. Suzuki, T. Shimojima, S. Watanabe, C. Chen, S. Kasahara, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, K. Okazai, and S. Shin, “Superconducting gap anisotropy sensitive to nematic domains in FeSe,” *Nature Communications* **9**, 282 (2018).
 - [25] J. Kang, R. M. Fernandes, and A. Chubukov, “Superconductivity in FeSe: the role of nematic order,” *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 267001 (2018).
 - [26] D. Liu, C. Li, J. Huang, B. Lei, L. Wang, X. Wu, B. Shen, Q. Gao, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, *et al.*, “Orbital origin of extremely anisotropic superconducting gap in nematic phase of FeSe superconductor,” *Physical Review X* **8**, 031033 (2018).
 - [27] F. Hardy, M. He, L. Wang, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, M. Merz, M. Barth, P. Adelman, R. Eder, A.-A. Haghighirad, *et al.*, “Calorimetric evidence of nodal gaps in the nematic superconductor FeSe,” *Physical Review B* **99**, 035157 (2019).
 - [28] T. Hanaguri, K. Iwaya, Y. Kohsaka, T. Machida, T. Watashige, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, “Two distinct superconducting pairing states divided by the nematic end point in FeSe_{1-x}S_x,” *Science Advances* **4**, eaar6419 (2018).
 - [29] W. Huang and H. Yao, “Possible three-dimensional nematic odd-parity superconductivity in Sr₂RuO₄,” *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 157002 (2018).
 - [30] R. M. Fernandes, A. V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, “What drives nematic order in iron-based superconductors?” *Nature Physics* **10**, 97–104 (2014).
 - [31] T. Chen, Y. Chen, A. Kreisel, X. Lu, A. Schneidewind, Y. Qiu, J. T. Park, T. G. Perring, J. R. Stewart, H. Cao, *et al.*, “Anisotropic spin fluctuations in detwinned FeSe,” *Nature Materials* **18**, 709–716 (2019).
 - [32] E. J. König and J. H. Pixley, “Quantum field theory of nematic transitions in spin-orbit-coupled spin-1 polar bosons,” *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 083402 (2018).
 - [33] T. Zibold, V. Corre, C. Frapolli, A. Invernizzi, J. Dalibard, and F. Gerbier, “Spin-nematic order in antiferromagnetic spinor condensates,” *Physical Review A* **93**, 023614 (2016).
 - [34] X. Zan, J. Liu, J. Han, J. Wu, and Y. Li, “Phase diagrams and multistep condensations of spin-1 bosonic

- gases in optical lattices,” *Scientific Reports* **8**, 9143 (2018).
- [35] H. Tsunetsugu and M. Arikawa, “Spin nematic phase in $S=1$ triangular antiferromagnets,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **75**, 083701 (2006).
- [36] S. Bhattacharjee, V. B. Shenoy, and T. Senthil, “Possible ferro-spin nematic order in NiGa_2S_4 ,” *Physical Review B* **74**, 092406 (2006).
- [37] H. Liu and G. Khaliullin, “Pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect and magnetoelastic coupling in spin-orbit Mott insulators,” *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 057203 (2019).
- [38] R. B. Diener and T.-L. Ho, “ ^{52}Cr spinor condensate: a biaxial or uniaxial spin nematic,” *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 190405 (2006).
- [39] J. L. Song, G. W. Semenoff, and F. Zhou, “Uniaxial and biaxial spin nematic phases induced by quantum fluctuations,” *Physical Review Letters* **98**, 160408 (2007).
- [40] C. D. Hamley, C. S. Gerving, T. M. Hoang, E. M. Bookjans, and M. S. Chapman, “Spin-nematic squeezed vacuum in a quantum gas,” *Nature Physics* **8**, 305–308 (2012).
- [41] V. Oganesyan, S. A. Kivelson, and E. Fradkin, “Quantum theory of a nematic Fermi fluid,” *Physical Review B* **64**, 195109 (2001).
- [42] E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and V. Oganesyan, “Electron nematic phase in a transition metal oxide,” *Science* **315**, 196–197 (2007).
- [43] S. H. Baek, D. V. Efremov, J. M. Ok, J. S. Kim, J. Van Den Brink, and B. Büchner, “Orbital-driven nematicity in FeSe ,” *Nature Materials* **14**, 210–214 (2015).
- [44] K. Nakayama, Y. Miyata, G. N. Phan, T. Sato, Y. Tanabe, T. Urata, K. Tanigaki, and T. Takahashi, “Reconstruction of band structure induced by electronic nematicity in an FeSe superconductor,” *Physical Review Letters* **113**, 237001 (2014).
- [45] Q. Si, R. Yu, and E. Abrahams, “High-temperature superconductivity in iron pnictides and chalcogenides,” *Nature Reviews Materials* **1**, 16017 (2016).
- [46] J. W. Harter, Z. Y. Zhao, J.-Q. Yan, D. G. Mandrus, and D. Hsieh, “A parity-breaking electronic nematic phase transition in the spin-orbit coupled metal $\text{Cd}_2\text{Re}_2\text{O}_7$,” *Science* **356**, 295–299 (2017).
- [47] A. Orlova, E. L. Green, J. M. Law, D. I. Gorbunov, G. Chanda, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, R. K. Kremer, J. Wosnitza, and G. L. J. A. Rikken, “Nuclear magnetic resonance signature of the spin-nematic phase in LiCuVO_4 at high magnetic fields,” *Physical Review Letters* **118**, 247201 (2017).
- [48] D. Hirobe, M. Sato, M. Hagihara, Y. Shiomi, T. Matsuda, and E. Saitoh, “Magnon pairs and spin-nematic correlation in the spin Seebeck effect,” *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 117202 (2019).
- [49] N. Boudjada, G. Wachtel, and A. Paramakanti, “Magnetic and nematic orders of the two-dimensional electron gas at oxide (111) surfaces and interfaces,” *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 086802 (2018).
- [50] E. Fradkin and S. A. Kivelson, “Liquid-crystal phases of quantum Hall systems,” *Physical Review B* **59**, 8065–8072 (1999).
- [51] S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, “Electronic liquid-crystal phases of a doped Mott insulator,” *Nature* **393**, 550–553 (1998).
- [52] N. Read, “Order parameter and Ginzburg-Landau theory for the fractional quantum Hall effect,” *Physical Review Letters* **62**, 86–89 (1989).
- [53] H. Watanabe and Y. Yanase, “Group-theoretical classification of multipole order: emergent responses and candidate materials,” *Physical Review B* **98**, 245129 (2018).
- [54] A. I. Coldea, S. F. Blake, S. Kasahara, A. A. Haghighirad, M. D. Watson, W. Knafo, E. S. Choi, A. McCollam, P. Reiss, T. Yamashita, *et al.*, “Evolution of the low-temperature Fermi surface of superconducting $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{S}_x$ across a nematic phase transition,” *npj Quantum Materials* **4**, 2 (2019).
- [55] K. Lee, J. Shao, E.-A. Kim, F. D. M. Haldane, and E. H. Rezayi, “Pomeranchuk instability of composite Fermi liquids,” *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 147601 (2018).
- [56] T. Shimojima, Y. Suzuki, A. Nakamura, N. Mitsuishi, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, Y. Ishida, S. Shin, and K. Ishizaka, “Ultrafast nematic-orbital excitation in FeSe ,” *Nature Communications* **10**, 1946 (2019).
- [57] S. Licciardello, J. Buhot, J. Lu, J. Ayres, S. Kasahara, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, and N. E. Hussey, “Electrical resistivity across a nematic quantum critical point,” *Nature* **567**, 213–217 (2019).
- [58] Y. Kohama, H. Ishikawa, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, N. Shannon, and Z. Hiroi, “Possible observation of quantum spin-nematic phase in a frustrated magnet,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* **116**, 10686–10690 (2019).
- [59] H. Kampermann and W. S. Veeman, “Quantum computing using quadrupolar spins in solid state NMR,” *Quantum Information Processing* **1**, 327–344 (2002).
- [60] N. Sinha, T. S. Mahesh, K. V. Ramanathan, and A. Kumar, “Toward quantum information processing by nuclear magnetic resonance: pseudopure states and logical operations using selective pulses on an oriented spin $3/2$ nucleus,” *Journal of Chemical Physics* **114**, 4415–4420 (2001).
- [61] K. Sun and E. Fradkin, “Time-reversal symmetry breaking and spontaneous anomalous Hall effect in Fermi fluids,” *Physical Review B* **78**, 245122 (2008).
- [62] E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, M. J. Lawler, J. P. Eisenstein, and A. P. Mackenzie, “Nematic Fermi fluids in condensed matter physics,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **1**, 153–178 (2010).
- [63] A. Pustogow, Y. Luo, A. Chronister, Y. Su, D. A. Sokolov, F. Jerzembeck, A. P. Mackenzie, C. W. Hicks, N. Kikugawa, S. Raghu, E. D. Bauer, and S. E. Brown, “Constraints on the superconducting order parameter in Sr_2RuO_4 from oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance,” *Nature* **574**, 72–75 (2019).
- [64] K. Blum, *Density matrix theory and applications*, Springer Series on Atomic, Optical, and Plasma Physics, Vol. 64 (Springer, Berlin, 2012).
- [65] H. Mundoor, G. H. Sheetah, S. Park, P. J. Ackerman, I. I. Smalyukh, and J. van de Lagemaat, “Tuning and switching a plasmonic quantum dot “sandwich” in a nematic line defect,” *ACS Nano* **12**, 2580–2590 (2018).
- [66] R. Basu and G. S. Iannacchione, “Evidence for directed self-assembly of quantum dots in a nematic liquid crystal,” *Physical Review E* **80**, 010701 (2009).
- [67] S. Furukawa, G. Misguich, and M. Oshikawa, “Systematic derivation of order parameters through reduced density matrices,” *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 047211 (2006).

- [68] E. Wigner, “On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium,” *Physical Review* **40**, 749–759 (1932).
- [69] J. E. Moyal, “Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory,” in *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, Vol. 45 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1949) pp. 99–124.
- [70] W. B. Case, “Wigner functions and Weyl transforms for pedestrians,” *American Journal of Physics* **76**, 937–946 (2008).
- [71] T. Tilma, M. J. Everitt, J. H. Samson, W. J. Munro, and K. Nemoto, “Wigner functions for arbitrary quantum systems,” *Physical Review Letters* **117**, 180401 (2016).
- [72] R. McConnell, H. Zhang, J. Hu, S. Ćuk, and V. Vuletić, “Entanglement with negative Wigner function of almost 3,000 atoms heralded by one photon,” *Nature* **519**, 439–442 (2015).
- [73] J. Bateman, S. Nimmrichter, K. Hornberger, and H. Ulbricht, “Near-field interferometry of a free-falling nanoparticle from a point-like source,” *Nature Communications* **5**, 4788 (2014).
- [74] J. Weinbub and D. K. Ferry, “Recent advances in Wigner function approaches,” *Applied Physics Reviews* **5**, 041104 (2018).
- [75] T. Hahn, D. Groll, T. Kuhn, and D. Wigger, “Influence of excited state decay and dephasing on phonon quantum state preparation,” *Physical Review B* **100**, 024306 (2019).
- [76] D. Wigger, H. Gehring, V. M. Axt, D. E. Reiter, and T. Kuhn, “Quantum dynamics of optical phonons generated by optical excitation of a quantum dot,” *Journal of Computational Electronics* **15**, 1158–1169 (2016).
- [77] B. A. Stickler, B. Schirinski, and K. Hornberger, “Rotational friction and diffusion of quantum rotors,” *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 040401 (2018).
- [78] V. S. Filinov, M. Bonitz, A. Filinov, and V. O. Golubnychiy, “Wigner function quantum molecular dynamics,” in *Computational Many-Particle Physics*, edited by H. Fehske, R. Schneider, and A. Weiße (Springer, Berlin, 2008) pp. 41–60.
- [79] I. I. Arkhipov, A. Barasiński, and J. Svozilík, “Negativity volume of the generalized Wigner function as an entanglement witness for hybrid bipartite states,” *Scientific Reports* **8**, 16955 (2018).
- [80] C. H. H. Schulte, J. Hansom, A. E. Jones, C. Matthiesen, C. Le Gall, and M. Atatüre, “Quadrature squeezed photons from a two-level system,” *Nature* **525**, 222–225 (2015).
- [81] M. Wołoszyn and B. J. Spisak, “Dissipative transport of thermalized electrons through a nanodevice,” *Physical Review B* **96**, 075440 (2017).
- [82] A. S. Larkin and V. S. Filinov, “Quantum tails in the momentum distribution functions of non-ideal Fermi systems,” *Contributions to Plasma Physics* **58**, 107–113 (2018).
- [83] G. Y. Prokhorov, O. V. Teryaev, and V. I. Zakharov, “Effects of rotation and acceleration in the axial current: density operator vs Wigner function,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019**, 146 (2019).
- [84] J.-H. Gao, Z.-T. Liang, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, “Disentangling covariant Wigner functions for chiral fermions,” *Physical Review D* **98**, 036019 (2018).
- [85] J. Bickmann and R. Wittkowski, “Predictive local field theory for interacting active Brownian spheres in two spatial dimensions,” preprint, [arXiv:1909.03369](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03369) (2019).
- [86] J. Bickmann and R. Wittkowski, “Predictive local field theory for interacting spherical active Brownian particles in three spatial dimensions,” in preparation (2019).
- [87] C. G. Joslin and C. G. Gray, “Multipole expansions in two dimensions,” *Molecular Physics* **50**, 329–345 (1983).
- [88] C. G. Gray and K. E. Gubbins, *Theory of Molecular Fluids: Fundamentals*, 1st ed., International Series of Monographs on Chemistry 9, Vol. 1 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1984).
- [89] R. Wittkowski, J. Stenhammar, and M. E. Cates, “Nonequilibrium dynamics of mixtures of active and passive colloidal particles,” *New Journal of Physics* **19**, 105003 (2017).
- [90] M. O. S. M. Hillery, R. F. O’Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, “Distribution functions in physics: fundamentals,” *Physics Reports* **106**, 121–167 (1984).
- [91] R. L. Stratonovich, “On distributions in representation space,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **4**, 891–898 (1957).
- [92] C. Brif and A. Mann, “Phase-space formulation of quantum mechanics and quantum-state reconstruction for physical systems with Lie-group symmetries,” *Physical Review A* **59**, 971–987 (1999).
- [93] D. D. Abrom, M. A. Hennings, and T. B. Smith, *Mathematical aspects of Weyl quantization and phase* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).
- [94] L. G. Lutterbach and L. Davidovich, “Method for direct measurement of the Wigner function in cavity QED and ion traps,” *Physical Review Letters* **78**, 2547–2550 (1997).
- [95] C. Kurtsiefer, T. Pfau, and J. Mlynek, “Measurement of the Wigner function of an ensemble of helium atoms,” *Nature* **386**, 150–153 (1997).
- [96] E. Cancellieri, P. Bordone, and C. Jacoboni, “Effect of symmetry in the many-particle Wigner function,” *Physical Review B* **76**, 214301 (2007).
- [97] R. P. Rundle, T. Tilma, J. H. Samson, V. M. Dwyer, R. F. Bishop, and M. J. Everitt, “General approach to quantum mechanics as a statistical theory,” *Physical Review A* **99**, 012115 (2019).
- [98] B. Koczor, R. Zeier, and S. J. Glaser, “Time evolution of coupled spin systems in a generalized Wigner representation,” *Annals of Physics* **408**, 1–50 (2019).
- [99] H. Waalkens, R. Schubert, and S. Wiggins, “Wigner’s dynamical transition state theory in phase space: classical and quantum,” *Nonlinearity* **21**, R1–R118 (2007).
- [100] C. Gneiting, T. Fischer, and K. Hornberger, “Quantum phase-space representation for curved configuration spaces,” *Physical Review A* **88**, 062117 (2013).
- [101] H. Weyl, “Quantenmechanik und Gruppentheorie,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **46**, 1–46 (1927).
- [102] B. C. Hall, *Quantum theory for mathematicians*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 267 (Springer, New York, 2013).
- [103] E. Fradkin, “Electronic liquid crystal phases in strongly correlated systems,” in *Modern Theories of Many-Particle Systems in Condensed Matter Physics*, edited by D. C. Cabra, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol (Springer, Berlin, 2012) pp. 53–116.
- [104] A. B. Klimov, “Exact evolution equations for SU(2)

- quasidistribution functions,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 2202–2213 (2002).
- [105] Y. P. Kalmykov, W. T. Coffey, and S. V. Titov, “Phase-space equilibrium distributions and their applications to spin systems with nonaxially symmetric Hamiltonians,” *Physical Review B* **77**, 104418 (2008).
 - [106] P. de la Hoz, G. Björk, A. B. Klimov, G. Leuchs, and L. Sánchez-Soto, “Unpolarized states and hidden polarization,” *Physical Review A* **90**, 043826 (2014).
 - [107] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum theory of angular momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
 - [108] H. A. Buckmaster, R. Chatterjee, and Y. H. Shing, “The application of tensor operators in the analysis of EPR and ENDOR spectra,” *Physica Status Solidi A* **13**, 9–50 (1972).
 - [109] G. J. Bowden and W. D. Hutchison, “Tensor operator formalism for multiple-quantum NMR. 1. Spin-1 nuclei,” *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* **67**, 403–414 (1986).
 - [110] G. Chen, L. Chen, and Y. Zhang, “Magnetic and nematic phases in a Weyl type spin-orbit-coupled spin-1 Bose gas,” *New Journal of Physics* **18**, 063010 (2016).
 - [111] F. Mila, “Closing in on a magnetic analog of liquid crystals,” *APS Physics Viewpoint* **10**, 64 (2017).
 - [112] M. E. Zhitomirsky and H. Tsunetsugu, “Magnon pairing in quantum spin nematic,” *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **92**, 37001 (2010).
 - [113] H. Tsunetsugu and M. Arikawa, “The spin nematic state in triangular antiferromagnets,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 145248 (2007).
 - [114] A. F. Andreev and I. A. Grishchuk, “Spin nematics,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **60**, 267–271 (1984).
 - [115] C. Wu, “Competing orders in one-dimensional spin-3/2 fermionic systems,” *Physical Review Letters* **95**, 266404 (2005).
 - [116] A. B. Klimov and J. L. Romero, “A generalized Wigner function for quantum systems with the SU(2) dynamical symmetry group,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **41**, 055303 (2008).
 - [117] J. A. Morales, E. Deumens, and Y. Öhrn, “On rotational coherent states in molecular quantum dynamics,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **40**, 766–786 (1999).
 - [118] T. Fischer, C. Gneiting, and K. Hornberger, “Wigner function for the orientation state,” *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 063004 (2013).
 - [119] J. L. Romero, A. B. Klimov, and S. Wallentowitz, “Semiclassical dynamics of a rigid rotor: SO(3) covariant approach,” *New Journal of Physics* **17**, 043015 (2015).