

QUANTUM EVEN-DIMENSIONAL BALLS

COLIN MACLAURIN

ABSTRACT. The quantum even-dimensional balls are defined as the C^* -algebras generated by certain graphs. We exhibit a polynomial algebra for each even-dimensional quantum ball, and classify the irreducible representations of it.

Later note: This honours thesis in mathematics was submitted in February 2005, to complete a BMath(Hons) degree at the University of Newcastle, Australia. It was supervised by Prof. Wojciech Szymański (then Senior Lecturer), and has since been cited in the paper [HS2]. Thank-you to Wojciech for an excellent project topic, and generosity.

Extended summary: For the quantum disc (2-ball), it was already known the following C^* -algebras are isomorphic:

$$C(\mathbb{D}_q) \cong \mathcal{T} \cong C^*\left(\begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \end{array}\right)$$

These are respectively: the C^* -algebra of the quantum disc, the Toeplitz algebra, and a graph C^* -algebra. The present work extends this to every quantum $2n$ -ball, showing isomorphism between: the completion of a polynomial algebra generated by certain “quantum” relations (Equation 13), a universal algebra generated by unilateral-shift-like operators, and a graph C^* -algebra. See the Appendices for a detailed summary. — CM¹, 12th November 2019

CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries	2
1.1. C^* -algebras	2
1.2. Graph Algebras	4
1.3. Toeplitz Algebra	6
1.4. Quantum Disc	6
1.5. Quantum Double Suspension	9
2. Quantum 4-ball $C(B_q^4)$	11
2.1. Polynomial Algebra	11
2.2. Representations	19
3. Quantum $2n$ -ball $C(B_q^{2n})$	22
3.1. Polynomial Algebra	22
3.2. Representations	28
4. Appendix 1 - $C^*(S_1, S_2)$ as a Universal Algebra	30
5. Appendix 2 - $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ as a Universal Algebra	31
References	32

Date: February 2005.

¹Email: colin.maclaurin@uqconnect.edu.au

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. C^* -algebras.

1.1.1. *Preliminaries.* A C^* -algebra is a Banach $*$ -algebra A which satisfies the C^* -identity

$$\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2 \quad \forall a \in A.$$

For example, the set $B(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space is a C^* -algebra, as is the set $C(X)$ of continuous \mathbb{C} -valued functions on a compact Hausdorff topological space X .

The *Gelfand theorem* states that the category of Hausdorff topological spaces with continuous functions is dual to the category of abelian unital C^* -algebras with $*$ -homomorphisms between them.

1.1.2. *Representations.* A $*$ -representation of a C^* -algebra A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say π is *nondegenerate* if

$$\{\pi(a)h: a \in A, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

is dense in \mathcal{H} . By representation we will mean a nondegenerate $*$ -representation.

We say π is *faithful* if $\ker(\pi) = \{0\}$. Also π is *irreducible* if there are no nontrivial invariant subspaces of \mathcal{H} , which is a stronger condition than non-degeneracy. Suppose $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\rho: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ are two representations of A . We say π is *unitarily equivalent* to ρ if there exists a unitary operator $u: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ s.t. $\rho(a)u = u\pi(a) \forall a \in A$.

Suppose we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow 0$ where J is an ideal of A . Every representation of J or B extends to a representation of A as follows. Suppose $\rho: B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of B . Then we can compose with the quotient map π to get a representation $\rho \circ \pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of A . On the other hand, suppose $\rho: J \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ is a representation of J . Then $\{\rho(x)h: x \in J, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , so any element of \mathcal{H} can be approximated by $\rho(x)h$. We can extend ρ to a representation of A by defining

$$\rho(a)(\rho(x)h) := \rho(ax).$$

Moreover, irreducible representations extend, by this procedure, to irreducible ones. It is a theorem that these are all the irreducible representations of A :

$$\text{Irr}(A) = \text{Irr}(J) \sqcup \text{Irr}(B),$$

where \sqcup means disjoint union. If the ideal J is essential, then a faithful representation on J extends to a faithful representation on A . A theorem due to Gelfand-Naimark states that every C^* -algebra has a faithful nondegenerate representation.

1.1.3. *Projections and Isometries.* A *projection* in a C^* -algebra is an element p satisfying $p = p^* = p^2$. In any representation π , $\pi(p)$ is the orthogonal projection onto a subspace. We say an element v is an *isometry* if $v^*v = 1$. In any representation π , $\|\pi(v)h\| = \|h\| \forall h$. A *proper isometry* is an isometry which is not unitary.

A *partial isometry* is an element s such that s^*s is a projection. Then ss^* is also a projection and $s = ss^*s$. We say s has *domain projection* s^*s and *range projection* ss^* .

A *partial unitary* is a partial isometry for which the domain and range projections coincide.

1.1.4. *Matrix Units and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.* A *system of matrix units* indexed by a set X is a collection $\{u_{ij} : i, j \in X\}$ of linearly independent partial isometries in a C^* -algebra which satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad u_{ij}u_{mn} &= \begin{cases} u_{in} & \text{if } j = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq m. \end{cases} \\ \bullet \quad u_{ij}^* &= u_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and R be the set of finite-rank operators on \mathcal{H} . Then the set of *compact operators* $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined to be the norm-closure of R . \mathcal{K} has only one irreducible representation up to unitary equivalence.

It can be shown that the closed span of a countably infinite system of matrix units in a C^* -algebra is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} .

1.1.5. *Polar Decomposition.* Recall that we can write any complex number $a + bi$ in polar form $re^{i\theta}$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is positive and $|e^{i\theta}| = 1$. There is an analogous procedure for operators on a Hilbert space:

Proposition 1.1. Every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has a *polar decomposition*

$$T = V |T|,$$

where $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ is positive and V is a partial isometry. If we require that $V^*V = (\ker T)^\perp$ for the domain projection of V , then this decomposition is unique. If T is invertible then V is unitary.

Note that T^*T is positive, so its spectrum is contained in $[0, \infty)$. So we can apply the functional calculus to the function $\sqrt{\cdot}$ which is well defined on $[0, \infty)$. However we can't always find a polar decomposition of an element in a general C^* -algebra. A sufficient condition that an element x of a unital C^* -algebra A has a polar decomposition is that $|x|$ is invertible, in which case

$$x = u |x|, \quad \text{where } u := x |x|^{-1}.$$

More generally, suppose that $x = p x p$ where $p \in A$ is a projection. Then x belongs to the C^* -subalgebra $p A p$, which is a unital C^* -algebra with unit p . If $|x|$ is invertible in $p A p$, then x has a polar decomposition $x = \alpha |x|$ where the phase α satisfies $\alpha^* \alpha = p$. In the examples we will see, we will also have $\alpha \alpha^* < p$.

When an element x of a unital C^* -algebra has a polar decomposition $x = u |x|$ we say $|x|$ is the *modulus* of x and u is the *phase* of x , by analogy with the complex numbers.

1.1.6. *The Busby invariant.* The Busby invariant combines most of the information in an extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ into a single morphism.

A *unitisation* of a C^* -algebra A is a unital C^* -algebra in which A can be embedded as an essential ideal. The smallest unitisation of A is written A^\sim , and the largest

unitisation is called the *multiplier algebra* $\mathcal{M}(A)$. For example, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the multiplier algebra for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.

The *corona algebra* of A is the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$. The corona algebra is a generalisation of the Calkin algebra \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K} .

The *Busby invariant* for an extension $E: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$ is the morphism $\tau_E: C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)/A$ defined by

$$\tau_E(c) := \pi \circ \sigma(b),$$

where $b \in B$ is a lift of c through β , π is the quotient map $: \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)/A$, and σ is the unique $*$ -homomorphism $: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \\ & \searrow \iota & \downarrow \sigma \\ & & M(A) \end{array}$$

1.1.7. Universal C^* -algebras. [B]

A universal C^* -algebra is defined on a set G of generators and a set R of relations. A representation of (G, R) is a set of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} which satisfy the relations. We say that (G, R) is *admissible* if there exists a representation, and the representations sum together nicely. If (G, R) is admissible then

$$\|z\|_0 := \sup \{ \|\rho(z)\| : \rho \text{ a representation of } (G, R) \}$$

is a well-defined finite number, and $\|\cdot\|_0$ is a C^* -seminorm. Then $J_0 := \{z : \|z\|_0 = 0\}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}(G)$, and the completion of the quotient $\mathcal{F}(G)/J_0$ under $\|\cdot\|_0$ is called the *universal C^* -algebra of (G, R)* .

It has the property that there is a surjective homomorphism from the universal algebra to any given C^* -algebra with generators which satisfy the relations.


Examples. The quantum disc is the universal C^* -algebra generated by the relation $x^*x - qx x^* = (1 - q)1$. Also a graph algebra is a universal C^* -algebra with generators and relations determined from a graph. We will see both of these examples shortly.

Universal algebras have convenient properties for showing isomorphism. Suppose we have two universal C^* -algebras A and B . It is enough to find maps $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi: B \rightarrow A$ defined on generators which preserve the relations (so they extend to homomorphisms), composition gives the identity i.e. $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_B$, $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_A$, and such that the images of the generators are nonzero. Then ϕ and ψ are isomorphisms.

1.2. Graph Algebras. [R]

1.2.1. *Definitions.* A *directed graph* $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of countable sets E^0 and E^1 of *vertices* and *edges* respectively, and maps $r, s: E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ describing the *range* and *source* of the edges. We represent a graph by putting points for vertices and arrows for the edges connecting the vertices.

Example. $E^0 = \{v, w\}$, $E^1 = \{b, e\}$ in the following graph:



Then $r(b) = v$, $r(e) = w$ and $s(b) = s(e) = v$.

A *sink* is a vertex which emits no edges, i.e. $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$, whereas a *source* is a vertex which receives no edges, i.e. $r^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$. We will consider *row-finite graphs*, in which every vertex emits at most finitely many edges.

Definition. Let E be a row-finite directed graph. A *Cuntz-Krieger E -family* consists of families of projections $\{P_v : v \in E^0\}$ and partial isometries $\{S_e : e \in E^1\}$ on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} s.t.

- (G1) $P_v P_w = 0$ for $v, w \in E^0$ with $v \neq w$
- (G2) $S_e^* S_e = P_{r(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$
- (G3) $P_v = \sum_{e \in E^1 : s(e)=v} S_e S_e^*$ for $v \in E^0$, provided v is not a sink.

The relation (G1) says that the projections are mutually orthogonal. The relation (G3) is known as the Cuntz-Krieger relation.

Proposition 1.2. For any row-finite directed graph E , there is a C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$ generated by a Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{P_v, S_e\}$ s.t. for every other Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{Q_v, R_e\}$ in a C^* -algebra B , there is a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : C^*(E) \rightarrow B$ s.t. $\pi(P_v) = Q_v$ and $\pi(S_e) = R_e$ for all $v \in E^0$, $e \in E^1$.

In other words, $C^*(E)$ is the *universal C^* -algebra* for these relations. $C^*(E)$ is called the *C^* -algebra of E* , and is described as a *graph C^* -algebra*. It is also possible to generalise to the case of graphs which are not row-finite [FLR].

There is a continuous action $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(E))$ called the *gauge action* which satisfies $\gamma_t(s_e) = t s_e$, $\gamma_t(p_v) = p_v$. We say an ideal J of $C^*(E)$ is *gauge-invariant* if $\gamma_t J = J$ for all $t \in S^1$. Existence of the gauge action is equivalent to universality of the C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$.

1.2.2. *Paths in E .* A *path* in E is a sequence of edges $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ s.t. $r(\mu_i) = s(\mu_{i+1})$ for all i . E^* is the set of all finite paths in E , including the zero-length paths, which are just vertices. If we define $S_\mu := S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_2} \cdots S_{\mu_n}$, we see that $S_\mu \neq 0$ only if μ is a path, in which case S_μ is a partial isometry.

Proposition 1.3. $C^*(E) = \overline{\text{span}} \{S_\mu S_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu)\}$.

1.2.3. *Ideals.* For $v, w \in E^0$, we write $v \geq w$ if there is a path from v to w . We say a subset H of E^0 is

- *hereditary* if $w \in H$, $w \geq v \Rightarrow v \in H$,
- *saturated* if for $v \in E^0$ not a sink, $\{r(e) : s(e) = v\} \subset H \Rightarrow v \in H$.

For a row-finite graph E the gauge-invariant ideals in $C^*(E)$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the saturated hereditary sets of E^0 . If I is an ideal in $C^*(E)$ then the set $H_I := \{v \in E^0 : P_v \in I\}$ is hereditary and saturated. Conversely, if

$H \subset E^0$ is hereditary and saturated, then the ideal I_H generated by $\{P_v : v \in H\}$ is gauge-invariant.

Furthermore, the quotient $C^*(E)/I_H$ is the graph algebra $C^*(E \setminus H)$, where I_H is the ideal corresponding to some hereditary and saturated set H . $E \setminus H$ is the graph obtained by removing all vertices in H and all edges with source or range in H .

1.3. Toeplitz Algebra.

1.3.1. *Definition.* Recall $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is the Hilbert space of square-summable sequences, where it will be assumed that \mathbb{N} includes 0. Let $\{\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. The *shift operator* or *unilateral shift* S is defined on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ by

$$S\zeta_i := \zeta_{i+1}.$$

S is a bounded linear operator with adjoint

$$S^*\zeta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \zeta_{i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The shift operator is a proper isometry, since $S^*S = 1$ but $SS^* \neq 1$.

Definition. The *Toeplitz algebra* is the C^* -algebra generated by the unilateral shift:

$$\mathcal{T} := C^*(S).$$

Coburn's Uniqueness Theorem states that if $u \in A$, $v \in B$ are proper isometries in C^* -algebras A and B then there is an isomorphism $\phi: C^*(u) \rightarrow C^*(v)$ s.t. $\phi(u) = v$. In particular, any C^* -algebra generated by a proper isometry is isomorphic to \mathcal{T} .

\mathcal{K} is an ideal of \mathcal{T} generated by $1 - SS^*$, and \mathcal{T} fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0.$$

1.4. Quantum Disc. [KL, HMS, HMS2, CM]

Definition. The *polynomial algebra* of the *quantum disc* is the $*$ -algebra

$$P(\mathbb{D}_q) := \mathbb{C}\langle x, x^* \rangle / J_q, \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

where $\mathbb{C}\langle x, x^* \rangle$ is the unital free $*$ -algebra generated by x and x^* , and J_q is the $*$ -ideal generated by the relation

$$x^*x - qx x^* = (1 - q)1. \tag{1}$$

Every $*$ -representation $\pi: P(\mathbb{D}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|\pi(x)\| = 1$, since

$$\|\pi(x)\|^2 = \|\pi(x)^*\pi(x)\| = \|q\pi(x)\pi(x)^* + (1 - q)1\| = q\|\pi(x)\|^2 + (1 - q),$$

so $\|\pi(x)\|^2 = 1$ and hence $\|\pi(x)\| = 1$. Hence $\sup_\pi \|\pi(a)\| < \infty$ for all $a \in P(\mathbb{D}_q)$, and so we can define

$$\|a\| := \sup_\pi \|\pi(a)\|.$$

This is a C^* -algebraic norm, but it is not complete.

Definition. The C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ of the *quantum disc*, for $0 < q < 1$, is the C^* -completion of $P(\mathbb{D}_q)$.

This completion is the universal C^* -algebra generated by the relation $x^*x - qxx^* = (1 - q)1$.

Proposition 1.4. Every irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the following representations:

- (1) a one-dimensional representation ρ_θ defined by $\rho_\theta(x) = e^{i\theta}$, $\rho_\theta(x^*) = e^{-i\theta}$, for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, or
- (2) an infinite-dimensional representation π_q defined on a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_q(x)e_i &= \sqrt{1 - q^{i+1}}e_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 0, \\ \pi_q(x^*)e_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0, \\ \sqrt{1 - q^i}e_{i-1} & i \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The infinite-dimensional representation π_q is faithful. The one-dimensional representations correspond to the classical points, forming a circle, of the quantum disc. If we think of $C(S^1)$ as the C^* -algebra generated by a and a^* subject to the relations $aa^* = a^*a = 1$, then this classical circle can be embedded into the quantum disc via the homomorphism $\phi_q: C(\mathbb{D}_q) \rightarrow C(S^1)$ defined by $x \mapsto a$.

There are also unbounded representations of $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$. For $0 < q < 1$, $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ is isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T} , as shown next.

1.4.1. *Isomorphism to the Toeplitz Algebra.* Define an operator z on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ by:

$$z := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{1 - q^{k+1}} - \sqrt{1 - q^k} \right) S^{k+1}(S^*)^k, \quad (2)$$

with the convention $(S^*)^0 = 1$. This sum converges since the sequence of partial sums S_n is Cauchy. For $n > m$,

$$\|S_n - S_m\| = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n \lambda_k S^{k+1}(S^*)^k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \lambda_k = \sqrt{1 - q^{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - q^{m+1}},$$

where $\lambda_k := \sqrt{1 - q^{k+1}} - \sqrt{1 - q^k}$. As $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{1 - q^{n+1}} - \sqrt{1 - q^{m+1}} \rightarrow 0$, and so the sum converges. Clearly $z \in \mathcal{T}$. To see how z acts on the basis elements ζ_i , we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} (S^*)^k \zeta_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < k \\ \zeta_{i-k} & \text{if } i \geq k, \end{cases} \\ S^{k+1} \zeta_i &= \zeta_{i+k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$S^{k+1}(S^*)^k \zeta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < k \\ \zeta_{i+1} & \text{if } i \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Hence in the sum defining $z(\zeta_i)$, the terms are all zero for $k > i$. Hence

$$z(\zeta_i) = \sum_{k=0}^i \lambda_k S^{k+1}(S^*)^k \zeta_i = \sqrt{1 - q^{i+1}} \zeta_{i+1},$$

since $\sum_{k=0}^i \lambda_k = \sqrt{1 - q^{i+1}}$. So z is a *weighted shift* and has adjoint

$$z^*(\zeta_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \sqrt{1 - q^i} \zeta_{i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus one may show that

$$z^*z - qzz^* = (1 - q)1,$$

which is the defining relation for the quantum disc. Also note that we can recover S from z by considering the polar decomposition of z . The operator $|z| = (z^*z)^{1/2}$ satisfies $|z|(\zeta_i) = \sqrt{1 - q^{i+1}}\zeta_i$ which is invertible, so z has a unique polar decomposition with phase

$$z|z|^{-1} : \zeta_i \xrightarrow{|z|^{-1}} (1 - q^{i+1})^{-1/2}\zeta_i \xrightarrow{z} \zeta_{i+1},$$

which is just S . In particular we can recover S from z , and so $C^*(S) = C^*(z)$.

To show $\mathcal{T} \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$, define maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ C^*(S) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C(\mathbb{D}_q) \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

by $\phi(S) := x|x|^{-1}$ and $\psi(x) := z$ on generators. To show these maps extend to homomorphisms we must show they preserve the relations. We have already shown that ψ preserves the quantum relation. To see that $x|x|^{-1}$ is a partial isometry, consider the faithful representation π of $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ above. By Proposition 1.4, $\pi(x)e_i = \sqrt{1 - q^{i+1}}e_{i+1}$, so by the same working as for z we have $\pi(x|x|^{-1})e_i = e_{i+1}$ and this operator is a partial isometry.

Now $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ since $(\psi \circ \phi)(S) = \psi(x|x|^{-1}) = \psi(x)|\psi(x)|^{-1} = z|z|^{-1} = S$. Also $(\phi \circ \psi)(x) = \phi(z) = \phi(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S^{k+1} (S^*)^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k (x|x|^{-1})^{k+1} (x|x|^{-1})^{*k} = x$, which can be seen by computing in the representation π and repeating calculations similar to those above. Hence $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C(\mathbb{D}_q)}$ and $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}}$, which implies that ϕ and ψ are injective and surjective and hence isomorphisms. This demonstrates the convenience of using universal properties to show isomorphism.

We will use a similar procedure in the case of $C(B_q^4)$, the quantum 4-ball, to show the isomorphism of the graph algebra defining $C(B_q^4)$ and the completion of the polynomial algebra for it.

1.4.2. *Isomorphism with a Graph Algebra.* Consider the following graph E :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & b & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ v & \xrightarrow{e} & w \end{array}$$

Then $C^*(E)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by projections and partial isometries $\{P_v, P_w, S_b, S_e\}$ subject to the Cuntz-Krieger relations

$$P_v P_w = 0, \quad S_b^* S_b = P_v, \quad S_e^* S_e = P_w, \quad P_v = S_b S_b^* + S_e S_e^*.$$

Define $S := S_b + S_e$. One may verify that $S^*S = 1$ and $SS^* = P_v < 1$, so S is a proper isometry. Moreover $C^*(E)$ is generated by S , since we can express the projections and partial isometries in terms of S :

$$P_v = SS^*, \quad P_w = 1 - SS^*, \quad S_b = S^2S^*, \quad S_e = S(1 - SS^*),$$

which one can verify using the Cuntz-Krieger relations. Hence by Coburn's Theorem $C^*(E) \cong \mathcal{T}$.

The following C^* -algebras are all isomorphic:

$$C(\mathbb{D}_q) \quad \cong \quad \mathcal{T} \quad \cong \quad C^*\left(\begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \end{array}\right)$$

giving us a clear understanding of $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$. We now define higher-dimensional quantum balls using what is known as the quantum double suspension, and then find polynomial algebras for, and classify representations of these quantum balls.

1.5. Quantum Double Suspension. [HS]

Definition. Let Ω be a compact topological space. The *suspension* $S\Omega$ of Ω is the quotient of $\Omega \times [0, 1]$ with both $\Omega \times \{0\}$ and $\Omega \times \{1\}$ collapsed to single points.

Applying the suspension twice yields the *double suspension* of a space. Then the C^* -algebra $C(S^2\Omega)$ of continuous functions on $S^2\Omega$ is an essential extension

$$0 \rightarrow C(\Omega) \otimes C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow C(S^2\Omega) \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the C^* -algebra of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , which vanish at infinity.

We wish to define a quantum analogue of this classical double suspension of a space. There is a procedure called *Weyl quantisation* (not described here) which yields a quantum analogue for certain C^* -algebras. The quantum analogue of $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is \mathcal{K} , but $C(S^1)$ does not have a quantum analogue. Hence we define the quantum double suspension as the C^* -algebra satisfying the following exact sequence, with a condition on the Busby invariant:

Definition. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. The *quantum double suspension* of A is the unital C^* -algebra S^2A for which there exists an essential extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow S^2A \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0,$$

such that the corresponding Busby invariant $\beta: C(S^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})/A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ sends

$$\beta: z \mapsto \mu(I \otimes T),$$

where μ is the canonical surjection of $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ onto the quotient $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{K})/A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, T is an isometry with range of codimension 1, and z is the identity function on the circle S^1 .

For graph algebras, the quantum double suspension has a simple realisation:

Definition. Let E be a graph with finitely many vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. The *quantum double suspension* of E is the graph S^2E formed by adding a vertex v_0 and edges from v_0 to every vertex:

$$\begin{aligned}(S^2E)^0 &= E^0 \cup \{v_0\} \\ (S^2E)^1 &= E^1 \cup \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}\end{aligned}$$

where $s(f_i) = v_0$ and $r(f_i) = v_i$.

Proposition 1.5. Suppose E is a graph with a finite number of vertices and S^2E is its quantum double suspension graph. Then $C^*(S^2E)$ is the quantum double suspension C^* -algebra of $C^*(E)$, i.e.

$$S^2(C^*(E)) \cong C^*(S^2E).$$

In the classical case, applying the double suspension to a geometric object yields new geometric objects, as shown in Table 1:


Top. Space Ω	Picture	Double Suspension	Iterate to Get Classical:
circle		$S^2\Omega = S^3$	$S^2(S^{2n-1}) = S^{2n+1}$, odd-dim. spheres
two points	$\cdot \cdot$	$S^2\Omega = S^2$	$S^2(S^{2n}) = S^{2(n+1)}$, even-dim. spheres
single point	\cdot	$S^2\Omega = \mathbb{D}$	$S^2(B^{2n}) = B^{2(n+1)}$, even-dim. balls

TABLE 1. Classical Case

Similarly, in the quantum case we can get higher dimensional quantum objects by applying the quantum double suspension, as shown in Table 2:





Graph E	$C^*(E)$	S^2E	$C^*(S^2E)$	Iterate to Get Quantum:
	$C(S^1)$		$C(S_q^3)$	$C(S_q^{2n+1})$, odd-dim. spheres
$\cdot \cdot$	$C(\cdot \cdot)$		$C(S_{qc}^2)$, $c \neq 0$	$C(S_q^{2n})$, even-dim. spheres
\cdot	$C(\cdot)$		$C(\mathbb{D}_q) \cong \mathcal{T}$	$C(B_q^{2n})$, even-dim. balls


TABLE 2. Quantum Case

As suggested in the table, we make the following definition:

Definition. [HS] The C^* -algebra $C(B_q^{2n})$ of continuous functions on the quantum $2n$ -ball is the C^* -algebra of the graph obtained by applying the quantum double suspension n times to the single-vertex graph.

2. QUANTUM 4-BALL $C(B_q^4)$

The C^* -algebra $C(B_q^4)$ of continuous functions on the quantum 4-ball is defined to be the C^* -algebra of the following graph E_2 , with labels as shown.



The Cuntz-Krieger relations are

$$\begin{aligned} P_u P_v &= 0, & P_u P_w &= 0, & P_v P_w &= 0 \\ S_a^* S_a &= P_u, & S_b^* S_b &= P_v, & S_c^* S_c &= P_v, & S_d^* S_d &= P_w, & S_e^* S_e &= P_w \\ P_u &= S_a S_a^* + S_c S_c^* + S_d S_d^*, & P_v &= S_b S_b^* + S_e S_e^*. \end{aligned}$$

2.1. Polynomial Algebra.

Definition. Consider the free $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2)$ generated by x_1 and x_2 , and all representations π' of this algebra into bounded operators on a Hilbert space which satisfy the relations

$$x_1 x_2 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$x_1^* x_2 = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$x_2^* x_2 - q x_2 x_2^* = (1 - q)1. \tag{5}$$

In all such representations, the element $\pi'(x_2^* x_2)$ is invertible since

$$\pi'(x_2^* x_2) = q \pi'(x_2 x_2^*) + (1 - q)1 > (1 - q)1.$$

Hence it makes sense to consider the smaller set of representations π which in addition satisfy the relation

$$x_1^* x_1 - q x_1 x_1^* = (1 - q) (1 - x_2 (x_2^* x_2)^{-1} x_2^*). \tag{6}$$

Notice that $P := 1 - x_2 (x_2^* x_2)^{-1} x_2^*$ is a projection since $1 - P = x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^*$ is: $(x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^*)^* = x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^*$ and $(x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^*)^2 = x_2 |x_2|^{-2} |x_2|^2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^* = x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^*$. Also notice that $x_1 = P x_1 P$, so x_1 is in the *corner* in any representation. We have $P \neq 0$ since $x_1 P = x_1$, and $x_1 \neq 0$ since the graph algebra $C^*(E_2)$ provides a model of these relations in which $x_1 \neq 0$, which in turn has the faithful representation π . We will see that P corresponds to the projection $P_v + P_w \in C^*(E_2)$.

In every representation π we have $\|\pi(x_1)\| = \|\pi(x_2)\| = 1$, as shown for $P(\mathbb{D}_q)$. Hence we can define a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ on $\mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2)$ by

$$\|a\|_0 := \sup \{ \|\pi(a)\| \}.$$

Let

$$J_0 := \{ a \in \mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2) : \|a\|_0 = 0 \}.$$

It is easy to verify this is a two-sided $*$ -ideal of $\mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2)$. Define the *polynomial algebra* $P(B_q^4)$ of the quantum 4-ball as the quotient $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2)/J_0$.

Theorem 2.1. *The completion $C^*(x_1, x_2)$ of the polynomial algebra $P(B_q^4)$ is isomorphic to the quantum 4-ball $C(B_q^4)$.*

Note. We are not defining $C(B_q^4)$ as the C^* -completion of $P(B_q^4)$, as $C(B_q^4)$ is defined to be the graph algebra $C^*(E_2)$. Rather, we prove that they are isomorphic.

Motivation. We will see that $C^*(E_2)$ is generated by two elements S_1 and S_2 defined by

$$S_1 := S_b + S_e, \quad S_2 := S_a + S_c + S_d.$$

These elements are like the unilateral shift for the Toeplitz algebra seen earlier. In particular, $C^*(S_1) \cong C^*(S_2) \cong \mathcal{T}$, as we shall see. However S_1 and S_2 are not convenient. Instead we exhibit elements z_1 and z_2 , like x for the Toeplitz algebra, which also generate $C^*(E_2)$ and can be thought of as polynomial functions. Now $C(B_q^4)$ fits into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(B_q^4) \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0$$

by the definition of the quantum double suspension. Take a system of matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}$ for \mathcal{K} , so $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\{E_{ij}\}$. Then $\mathcal{T} \otimes E_{11} \cong \mathcal{T}$, so (roughly speaking) choose an element $z_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ like the element x in the proof that $C(\mathbb{D}_q) \cong \mathcal{T}$. Then $C^*(z_1 \otimes E_{11}) \cong \mathcal{T} \otimes E_{11}$. Choose another element $z_2 \in C(B_q^4)$ also similar to x . Then $C^*(z_2) \cong \mathcal{T}$ also.

The ideal structure of the graph algebra suggests the definition of S_1, S_2 given above. Hence we define

$$z_1 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_1^{k+1} (S_1^*)^k, \quad z_2 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_2^{k+1} (S_2^*)^k,$$

where $\lambda_k := \sqrt{1 - q^{k+1}} - \sqrt{1 - q^k}$ as before, and find relations between these elements.

Lemma 2.2. $C^*(E_2) = C^*(S_1, S_2)$, in other words, $C^*(E_2)$ is generated by S_1 and S_2 .

Proof. One may verify using the Cuntz-Krieger relations that we can express the projections and partial isometries of $C^*(E_2)$ in terms of S_1 and S_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} P_u &= S_2 S_2^* \\ P_v &= S_1 S_1^* \\ P_w &= S_1^* S_1 - S_1 S_1^* \\ S_a &= S_2^2 S_2^* \\ S_b &= S_1^2 S_1^* \\ S_c &= S_2 S_1 S_1^* \\ S_d &= S_2 (S_1^* S_1 - S_1 S_1^*) \\ S_e &= S_1 (S_1^* S_1 - S_1 S_1^*) = S_1 (1 - S_1 S_1^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C^*(E_2) = C^*(S_1, S_2)$. □

Furthermore, $S_2^* S_2 = 1$ and $S_2 S_2^* = P_u$ so S_2 is a proper isometry, with $C^*(S_2) \cong \mathcal{T}$. Also S_1 is a partial isometry with $S_1 S_1^* = P_v < P_v + P_w = S_1^* S_1$. One may easily verify that S_1 belongs to the corner $(P_v + P_w)C^*(E_2)(P_v + P_w)$ which is a unital

C^* -subalgebra with unit $P_v + P_w$. Hence $C^*(S_1)$ is contained in this subalgebra, and we have $C^*(S_1) \cong \mathcal{T}$ since S_1 is a proper isometry in the subalgebra.

2.1.1. *A faithful representation of $C(B_q^4)$.* We can simplify computations with z_1 and z_2 by calculating in a faithful representation π . The set $\{w\} \subset E_2^0$ is hereditary and saturated, with corresponding ideal J_w generated by P_w . We define π on J_w and extend it to $C^*(E_2)$.

Lemma 2.3. *$\{S_\alpha S_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in E_2^*, r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = w\}$ is a system of matrix units with closed span J_w . In particular, $J_w \cong \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E_2^*$. Then $S_\alpha P_w = S_\alpha$ if $r(\alpha) = w$, or 0 otherwise. Hence $S_\alpha \in J_w$ if $r(\alpha) = w$. So $S_\alpha S_\beta^* \in J_w$ if $r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = w$. Recall that $C^*(E_2) = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_\gamma S_\delta^* : \gamma, \delta \in E_2^*\}$, and multiplication by one of these elements yields

$$S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\gamma S_\delta^* = \begin{cases} S_\alpha S_\delta^* & \text{if } \gamma = \beta \\ S_\alpha S_{\delta\beta'}^* & \text{if } \beta \text{ extends } \gamma, \text{ i.e. } \beta = \gamma\beta' \end{cases}$$

for $r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = w$. Note that γ cannot extend β . The terms are zero unless $r(\delta) = w$, and hence $r(\gamma) = w$. Multiplication on the left is similar. Hence the closed span is an ideal and so

$$J_w = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_\alpha S_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in E_2^*, r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = w\}.$$

We have a system of matrix units since $(S_\alpha S_\beta^*)^* = S_\beta S_\alpha^*$ and for $r(\gamma) = r(\delta) = w$,

$$S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\gamma S_\delta^* = \begin{cases} S_\alpha S_\delta^* & \text{if } \beta = \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that β cannot extend γ nor vice versa since w is a sink. Hence $J_w \cong \mathcal{K}$. \square

We define a representation $\pi: C^*(E_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by the set of finite paths in E_2 ending at w :

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in E_2^*, r(\alpha) = w\}.$$

Define

$$\pi(S_f)\zeta_\alpha := \begin{cases} \zeta_{f\alpha} & \text{if } r(f) = s(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $f \in E_2^1$. Intuitively, S_f adds the edge f , if possible. This definition satisfies the Cuntz-Krieger relations for E_2 , and extends to a representation on $C^*(E_2)$ by the universal property. Similar representations have been used in the literature.

On inspection, all finite paths ending at w have the form $w, b^n e, a^n d$ or $a^m c b^n e$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} is taken to include 0. For example, the path e is written $b^0 e$, and $c b^3 e$ is written $a^0 c b^3 e$. We wish to compute

$$\pi(z_1) = \pi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_1^{k+1} (S_1^*)^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \pi(S_1)^{k+1} \pi(S_1^*)^k,$$

and $\pi(z_2)$, which has a similar form. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(S_1): \zeta_w &\mapsto \zeta_{b^0e} \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \zeta_{b^{n+1}e},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(S_2): \zeta_w &\mapsto \zeta_{a^0d} \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^0cb^n e} \\ \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{n+1}d} \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^n e} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{m+1}cb^n e}.\end{aligned}$$

Any basis elements omitted from the declaration of operators are presumed mapped to zero. For example, $\pi(S_2)$ sends no basis elements to zero, but $\pi(S_1)$ maps $\zeta_{a^n d}$ and $\zeta_{a^m cb^n e}$ to zero for all m, n .

It is easy to calculate adjoints in this representation. Each operator Q in the representation that we will encounter has the property that it maps a basis vector to the scalar multiple of another basis vector, i.e.

$$Q\zeta_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \zeta_\beta,$$

where $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, at most one ζ_α maps to any given ζ_β , up to scalar multiples. This simplifies the computation of adjoints:

- $Q^*\zeta_\alpha = 0$ if ζ_α is not the image of any ζ_β , up to scalar multiples. This follows from the fact that for an arbitrary operator T on Hilbert space, T^* sends $\text{im}(T)^\perp$ to zero.
- if $Q\zeta_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \zeta_\beta$ then $Q^*\zeta_\beta = \lambda_\alpha \zeta_\alpha$, which is easily shown.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(S_1)^*: \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \zeta_w & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \zeta_{b^{n-1}e} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ \pi(S_2)^*: \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \zeta_w & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \zeta_{a^{n-1}d} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^n e} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \zeta_{b^n e} & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \zeta_{a^{m-1}cb^n e} & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

All other basis elements are mapped to zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(S_2)^{k+1}: \zeta_w &\mapsto \zeta_{a^k d} \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^k cb^n e} \\ \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{n+k+1}d} \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^n e} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{m+k+1}cb^n e},\end{aligned}$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(S_2)^{*k}: \zeta_{a^nd} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \zeta_w & \text{if } n = k - 1 \\ \zeta_{a^{n-k}d} & \text{if } n \geq k, \end{cases} \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^{n_e}} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \zeta_{b^{n_e}} & \text{if } m = k - 1 \\ \zeta_{a^{m-k} cb^{n_e}} & \text{if } m \geq k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The composition satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(S_2)^{k+1} \pi(S_2)^{*k}: \zeta_{a^nd} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{n+1}d} & \text{if } n \geq k - 1 \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^{n_e}} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{m+1} cb^{n_e}} & \text{if } m \geq k - 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 1$. So any given basis vector ζ_α gets mapped to zero if k is large enough. Separating the $k = 0$ term from the other terms in the expression for $\pi(z_2)$, we have

$$\pi(z_2) = \sqrt{1-q} \pi(S_2) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \pi(S_2)^{k+1} \pi(S_2)^{*k}.$$

The sum will be finite on any given basis element. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_2) \zeta_{a^nd} &= \sqrt{1-q} \pi(S_2) \zeta_{a^nd} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \lambda_k \pi(S_2)^{k+1} \pi(S_2)^{*k} \zeta_{a^nd} \\ &= \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^{n+1}d} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \lambda_k \zeta_{a^{n+1}d} = \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{a^{n+1}d}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\pi(z_2) \zeta_{a^m cb^{n_e}} = \sqrt{1-q^{m+2}} \zeta_{a^{m+1} cb^{n_e}}$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_2): \zeta_w &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^0d} \\ \zeta_{b^{n_e}} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^0 cb^{n_e}} \\ \zeta_{a^nd} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{a^{n+1}d} \\ \zeta_{a^m cb^{n_e}} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{m+2}} \zeta_{a^{m+1} cb^{n_e}}. \end{aligned}$$

after including the other two cases. By a similar process one can show

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_1): \zeta_w &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{b^0e} \\ \zeta_{b^{n_e}} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{b^{n+1}e}, \end{aligned}$$

where as usual it is implied that the other basis elements are mapped to zero. These have adjoints

$$\pi(z_1)^*: \zeta_{b^{n_e}} \mapsto \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_w & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \sqrt{1-q^{n+1}} \zeta_{b^{n-1}e} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_2)^* : \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_w & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \sqrt{1-q^{n+1}} \zeta_{a^{n-1}d} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \\ \zeta_{a^m c b^n e} &\mapsto \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{b^n e} & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \sqrt{1-q^{m+1}} \zeta_{a^{m-1} c b^n e} & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One may verify that $\pi(z_1 z_2) = \pi(z_1) \pi(z_2)$ is the operator that sends all basis elements to zero, hence $z_1 z_2 = 0$ since π is faithful. Similarly $z_1^* z_2 = 0$, and so we have the relations

$$z_1 z_2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$z_1^* z_2 = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$z_2^* z_2 - q z_2 z_2^* = (1-q)1 \tag{9}$$

$$z_1^* z_1 - q z_1 z_1^* = (1-q)(P_v + P_w) \tag{10}$$

where the last two follow from the representations of the following elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_1^* z_1) : \zeta_w &\mapsto (1-q) \zeta_w \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto (1-q^{n+2}) \zeta_{b^n e}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi(z_1 z_1^*) : \zeta_{b^n e} \mapsto (1-q^{n+1}) \zeta_{b^n e}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_2^* z_2) : \zeta_w &\mapsto (1-q) \zeta_w \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto (1-q) \zeta_{b^n e} \\ \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto (1-q^{n+2}) \zeta_{a^n d} \\ \zeta_{a^m c b^n e} &\mapsto (1-q^{m+2}) \zeta_{a^m c b^n e}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_2 z_2^*) : \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto (1-q^{n+1}) \zeta_{a^n d} \\ \zeta_{a^m c b^n e} &\mapsto (1-q^{m+1}) \zeta_{a^m c b^n e}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Equation (9) is the quantum relation and Equation (10) is the quantum relation on a subspace.

Lemma 2.4. $C^*(E_2) = C^*(z_1, z_2)$; in other words, $C^*(E_2)$ is generated by z_1 and z_2 .

Proof. We show that $C^*(S_1, S_2) = C^*(z_1, z_2)$, from which the result follows. We must show that S_1 and S_2 can be recovered from z_1 and z_2 . Notice that $z_2^* z_2$ is invertible, from its image in the representation. Hence it has a unique polar decomposition with phase $z_2 |z_2|^{-1}$, which one may show is just S_2 . In particular, $S_2 \in C^*(z_1, z_2)$.

Now $z_1^* z_1$ is not invertible, however it is invertible in the C^* -subalgebra $(P_v + P_w)C^*(E_2)(P_v + P_w)$ of $C^*(E_2)$ which is unital with unit $P_v + P_w$. Working in this C^* -subalgebra, z_1 has a unique polar decomposition with phase $z_1 |z_1|^{-1} = z_1 (z_1^* z_1)^{-1/2}$ which, in the representation restricted to the subalgebra, is the operator

$$\pi(z_1) \pi(z_1^* z_1)^{-1/2} : \begin{cases} \zeta_w \\ \zeta_{b^n e} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\pi(z_1^* z_1)^{-1/2}} \begin{cases} (1-q)^{-1/2} \zeta_w \\ (1-q^{n+2})^{-1/2} \zeta_{b^n e} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\pi(z_1)} \begin{cases} \zeta_{b^0 e} \\ \zeta_{b^{n+1} e}, \end{cases}$$

which is just $\pi(S_1)$ since S_1 also belongs to the subalgebra. Hence S_1 is the phase of z_1 . It remains to show that $P_v + P_w \in C^*(z_1, z_2)$, which follows since $P_v + P_w = 1 - P_u = 1 - S_2 S_2^* \in C^*(z_1, z_2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We want to find homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ C^*(E_2) & & C^*(x_1, x_2) \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

so we must find images for the generators. It is logical to define

$$\psi(x_i) := z_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

as x_i and z_i satisfy the same relations. To define ϕ we must find images for the Cuntz-Krieger generators. Note that we must go back to these generators since we have not defined $C^*(z_1, z_2)$ as a universal algebra with respect to certain relations between z_1 and z_2 . It is the original generators and relations which define the universal algebra $C^*(E_2)$.

Lemma 2.2 gives the generators in terms of S_i , $i = 1, 2$. Now since S_i is the phase of z_i , $i = 1, 2$, it makes sense that ϕ should map each S_i to the phase of x_i , if these admit a polar decomposition. In fact x_2 has a polar decomposition since $|x_2|^2 = x_2^* x_2 = q x_2 x_2^* + (1-q)1 > (1-q)1$, so $|x_2|$ is invertible. Let α_2 be the phase of x_2 , so $\alpha_2 = x_2 |x_2|^{-1}$ and $\alpha_2^* \alpha_2 = 1$. Notice that $\alpha_2 \alpha_2^* = x_2 |x_2|^{-2} x_2^* = 1 - P$. Also x_1 belongs to the C^* -subalgebra $PC^*(x_1, x_2)P$, and $|x_1|$ is invertible in this subalgebra by the same reasoning. Hence x_1 has a polar decomposition $x_1 = \alpha_1 |x_1|$ say, where the phase α_1 satisfies $\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 = P$.

We use the results of Lemma 2.2 to define ϕ :

$$\phi(P_u) := \alpha_2 \alpha_2^*, \quad \phi(P_v) := \alpha_1 \alpha_1^* \quad \cdots \quad \phi(S_e) := \alpha_1 (\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^*).$$

The images are clearly projections and partial isometries respectively. To show that ϕ and ψ extend to homomorphisms, we must show that they preserve the relations. Equations (7)-(10) show that ψ preserves the relations for $C^*(x_1, x_2)$, if we can show that $P_v + P_w = \psi(1 - x_2(x_2^* x_2)^{-1} x_2^*)$. But the right hand side is just

$$1 - z_2(z_2^* z_2)^{-1} z_2^* = 1 - z_2 |z_2|^{-1} |z_2|^{-1} z_2^* = 1 - S_2 S_2^* = 1 - P_u = P_v + P_w,$$

by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. We can show that ϕ preserves the Cuntz-Krieger relations. Define $Q := \alpha_1 \alpha_1^*$. Then $Q \leq P$, and in fact $Q < P$ as we will see shortly. We then have

$$\phi(P_u) = 1 - P, \quad \phi(P_v) = Q, \quad \phi(P_w) = P - Q.$$

We illustrate that ϕ preserves the Cuntz-Krieger relations with some examples. Firstly $P_v P_w = 0$, and

$$\phi(P_v) \phi(P_w) = Q(P - Q) = QP - Q^2 = Q - Q = 0,$$

as required. Also $S_d^*S_d = P_w$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(S_d)^*\phi(S_d) &= (\alpha_2(\alpha_1^*\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*))^*\alpha_2(\alpha_1^*\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*) = (\alpha_2(P - Q))^*\alpha_2(P - Q) \\ &= (P - Q)\alpha_2^*\alpha_2(P - Q) = (P - Q)^2 = P - Q = \phi(P_w).\end{aligned}$$

Also $P_v = S_bS_b^* + S_eS_e^*$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(S_b)\phi(S_b)^* + \phi(S_e)\phi(S_e)^* &= \alpha_1^2\alpha_1^*\alpha_1(\alpha_1^*)^2 + \alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*)(1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*)\alpha_1^* \\ &= \alpha_1^2P(\alpha_1^*)^2 + \alpha_1(1 - Q)^2\alpha_1^* = \alpha_1Q\alpha_1^* + \alpha_1(1 - Q)\alpha_1^* = \alpha_1\alpha_1^* = Q = \phi(P_v),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\alpha_1P\alpha_1^* = \alpha_1\alpha_1^* = Q$. The other relations may be shown in a similar manner.

To show that $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{C^*(E_2)}$ we first show that $\phi(S_i) = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, which holds since

$$\phi(S_1) = \phi(S_b + S_e) = \alpha_1(\alpha_1\alpha_1^* + 1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*) = \alpha_1,$$

and

$$\phi(S_2) = \phi(S_a + S_c + S_d) = \alpha_2(\alpha_2\alpha_2^* + \alpha_1\alpha_1^* + \alpha_1^*\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_1^*) = \alpha_2(1 - P + P) = \alpha_2.$$

Hence

$$(\psi \circ \phi)(S_i) = \psi(\alpha_i) = \psi(\text{Ph}(x_i)) = \text{Ph}(\psi(x_i)) = \text{Ph}(z_i) = S_i,$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where Ph denotes phase. Consequently $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ on all the Cuntz-Krieger generators, since these are determined by S_1 and S_2 , and so $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{C^*(E_2)}$.

To show that $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C^*(x_1, x_2)}$, we first need to show $C^*(x_i) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$, $i = 1, 2$. For z_2 , we know $C(\mathbb{D}_q) \cong C^*(S_2) \cong C^*(z_2)$, so the map $x \mapsto z_2$ is an isomorphism, and z_2 is like the quantum generator. By universality of the quantum disc there exists a surjective homomorphism $\eta: C^*(z_2) \rightarrow C^*(x_2)$ which sends $z_2 \mapsto x_2$. Also, ψ can be restricted to a homomorphism $\psi: C^*(x_2) \rightarrow C^*(z_2)$, $x_2 \mapsto z_2$. The images of the generators are nonzero, the quantum relation is preserved, and the compositions give the identity maps. Hence ψ and η are isomorphisms between these subalgebras, so $C^*(x_2) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)^2$. We can show the same result for $C^*(x_1)$. Firstly $P \in C^*(x_1)$ since $P = (1 - q)^{-1}(x_1^*x_1 - qx_1x_1^*)$. Then $C^*(x_1)$ is contained in the C^* -subalgebra $PC^*(x_1, x_2)P$, and one can work in this subalgebra to obtain the desired result.

One consequence of the isomorphism $C^*(x_i) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ is that $\alpha_i\alpha_i^* < \alpha_i^*\alpha_i$, and consequently the images under ϕ of the Cuntz-Krieger generators are nonzero. We can now show $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C^*(x_1, x_2)}$ since

$$(\phi \circ \psi)(x_i) = \phi(z_i) = \phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_i^{k+1} S_i^{*k}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \alpha_i^{k+1} \alpha_i^{*k}.$$

By the isomorphism just exhibited, we can compute in $C^*(z_i) \subset C^*(E_2)$ to show that this sum is just x_i . So $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C^*(x_1, x_2)}$ because it is the identity on generators. Consequently ϕ and ψ are injective and surjective, and hence $C^*(E_2) \cong C^*(x_1, x_2)$. \square

²Alternatively, ϕ is an injective homomorphism, which we can restrict to a map $\phi: C^*(z_i) = C^*(S_i) \rightarrow C^*(\alpha_i) \subset C^*(x_i)$. By injectivity of ϕ , $C^*(x_i)$ contains a copy of $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$. It can be no larger than $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$, since there is a surjective homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$ onto $C^*(x_i)$ by universality. Hence we must have isomorphism: $C^*(x_i) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$.

2.2. Representations. We classify the irreducible representations of $C^*(x_1, x_2) \cong C(B_q^4)$ up to unitary equivalence, using the general theory of graph algebras.

Theorem 2.5. *Every irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(B_q^4)$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the following representations:*

- (1) a representation π defined on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthonormal basis $\{\zeta_i\}$ indexed by paths of finite length in E_2 ending at w : w , $b^n e$, $a^n d$, or $a^m c b^n e$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_1): \zeta_w &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{b^0 e} \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{b^{n+1} e} \\ \zeta_{a^n d}, \zeta_{a^m c b^n e} &\mapsto 0, \\ \pi(x_2): \zeta_w &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^0 d} \\ \zeta_{b^n e} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^0 c b^n e} \\ \zeta_{a^n d} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{a^{n+1} d} \\ \zeta_{a^m c b^n e} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{m+2}} \zeta_{a^{m+1} c b^n e}, \end{aligned}$$

- (2) a family of representations ε_θ parameterised by $\theta \in S^1$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthonormal basis $\{\zeta_v\} \cup \{\zeta_{a^n c}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\theta(x_1): \zeta_v &\mapsto \theta \zeta_v \\ \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto 0, \\ \varepsilon_\theta(x_2): \zeta_v &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q} \zeta_{a^0 c} \\ \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{n+2}} \zeta_{a^{n+1} c}, \end{aligned}$$

- (3) a family of one-dimensional representations σ_θ parameterised by $\theta \in S^1$:

$$\sigma_\theta(x_1) := 0, \quad \sigma_\theta(x_2) := \theta.$$

Only the representation π is faithful.

Proof. $C^*(x_1, x_2) \cong C^*(E_2) = C^*\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{b}{\curvearrowright} \\ u & \xrightarrow{c} & v & \xrightarrow{e} & w \\ & \xleftarrow{d} & & & \end{array}\right)$. Hence the ideals and representations of $C^*(x_1, x_2)$ correspond exactly to the ideals and representations of $C^*(E_2)$, which we can obtain using the powerful general theory of graph algebras. As already shown, $\{w\}$ is hereditary and saturated, and the ideal J_w generated by P_w is isomorphic to the compacts. The quotient $C^*(E_2)/J_w$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C^*(E_2 \setminus \{w\})$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_w \rightarrow C^*(E_2) \rightarrow C^*\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{a}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{b}{\curvearrowright} \\ u & \xrightarrow{c} & v & \end{array}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Irr}(C^*(E_2)) = \text{Irr}(J_w) \sqcup \text{Irr}(\textcircled{u \xrightarrow{c} v})$, where Irr denotes the irreducible representations. There is only one irreducible representation of J_w up to unitary equivalence, which when extended to the whole algebra is just the representation π from before. Composing with the isomorphism ψ gives the representation stated in the Theorem.

Now $C^*\left(\textcircled{u \xrightarrow{c} v}\right)$ is the quantum sphere $C(S_q^3)$, which is the most well understood quantum object. So the exact sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(B_q^4) \rightarrow C(S_q^3) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a quantum analogue of the classical case. The classical picture is $\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow B^4 \rightarrow S^3$, in other words the interior $\text{Int}(B^4)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^4 and the boundary $\delta(B^4)$ is isomorphic to S^3 . Here, the Weyl quantisation of \mathbb{R}^4 is \mathcal{K} , the quantum ball $C(B_q^4)$ is the quantum deformation of the ball B^4 , and the quantum sphere $C(S_q^3)$ is the quantum analogue of the sphere S^3 . So we have a nice analogy with the classical case.

The quotient C^* -algebra $C(S_q^3)$ is not simple, since $\{v\}$ is hereditary and saturated. Let J_v be the ideal generated by P_v in this algebra. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_v \rightarrow C^*\left(\textcircled{u \xrightarrow{c} v}\right) \rightarrow C^*\left(\textcircled{u}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Irr}(C(S_q^3)) = \text{Irr}(J_v) \sqcup \text{Irr}(\textcircled{u})$. The ideal J_v is isomorphic to $K \otimes C(S^1)$ by the following reasoning. Consider all paths α, β in the graph for $C(S_q^3)$ with $r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = v$ and such that neither path contains b . Then

$$\{S_\alpha S_\beta^* : r(\alpha) = r(\beta) = v; \alpha, \beta \text{ do not contain } b\}$$

is a system of matrix units in J_v . Hence their closed span is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} . Notice that all such paths have the form $a^n c$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or consist of just the single vertex $\{v\}$.

Also $S_b \in J_v$ since $S_b = S_b P_v$. S_b is a partial unitary with $S_b S_b^* = S_b^* S_b = P_v$, and full spectrum $\sigma(S_b) = S^1 \cup \{0\}$. Hence $C^*(S_b) \cong C(S^1)$. Now S_b commutes with $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$, so by the general theory of ideals in graph algebras $J_v \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes C(S^1)$.

This ideal has S^1 of irreducible representations (in other words, the irreducible representations of this ideal can be parameterised by S^1). Fix $\theta \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define a representation $\varepsilon_\theta: J_v \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by paths $a^n c$ or v , and extend it to a representation on the whole algebra $C(S_q^3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_\theta(S_a): \zeta_v &\mapsto 0 \\
 \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{n+1}c}, \\
 \varepsilon_\theta(S_c): \zeta_v &\mapsto \zeta_{a^0c} \\
 \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto 0, \\
 \varepsilon_\theta(S_b): \zeta_v &\mapsto \theta\zeta_v \\
 \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now compose with the quotient map $: C^*(E_2) \rightarrow C(S_q^3)$ to get a representation on $C^*(E_2)$. In terms of S_1 and S_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_\theta(S_1): \zeta_v &\mapsto \theta\zeta_v \\
 \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto 0, \\
 \varepsilon_\theta(S_2): \zeta_v &\mapsto \zeta_{a^0c} \\
 \zeta_{a^n c} &\mapsto \zeta_{a^{n+1}c}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varepsilon_\theta(S_2)$ is almost identical to the representation $\pi(S_1)$ used previously, where only the labels are different. So by analogous computations and composing with the isomorphism ψ , we obtain $\varepsilon_\theta(x_2)$ as in the Theorem. For $\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)$ we have $\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^*\zeta_v = \bar{\theta}\zeta_v$, and so $\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{*k}\zeta_v = \bar{\theta}^k\zeta_v$. Also $\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{k+1}\zeta_v = \theta^{k+1}\zeta_v$, and so

$$\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{k+1}\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{*k}\zeta_v = \theta^{k+1}\bar{\theta}^k\zeta_v = \theta\zeta_v,$$

since $\theta\bar{\theta} = 1$. Hence

$$\varepsilon_\theta(z_1)\zeta_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{k+1}\varepsilon_\theta(S_1)^{*k}\zeta_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \theta\zeta_v = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \right) \theta\zeta_v = \theta\zeta_v,$$


because $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$. Composing with ψ gives the stated representation.

Finally, we need to classify the representations of $C^*\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \curvearrowright \\ u \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C(S^1)$, which has S^1 of irreducible representations. Pick $\theta \in S^1$, and define a representation $\sigma_\theta: C(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\sigma_\theta(S_a) := \theta,$$

which are the characters of $C(S^1)$. We have $\sigma_\theta(P_u) = 1$, and we can compose with the quotient maps to get a representation on $C^*(E_2)$ and then compose with ψ to get the representation of $C^*(x_1, x_2)$ shown in the Theorem. These are all the irreducible representations of $C^*(x_1, x_2)$. \square


The representations π , ε_θ and σ_θ comprise all the irreducible representations of $C^*(x_1, x_2)$. Effectively we have a point and two circles of representations:



We now proceed to the general case $C(B_q^{2n})$.

3. QUANTUM $2n$ -BALL $C(B_q^{2n})$

Let E_n denote the graph obtained by applying the double suspension n times to the single vertex graph. Then $C(B_q^{2n}) = C^*(E_n)$ by definition. E_n has $n + 1$ vertices which we will label v_0 to v_n . There is an edge from v_i to v_j labelled e_{ij} if and only if $i \geq j$ and $i \neq 0$:



The graph algebra $C^*(E_n)$ is generated by projections $\{P_i : i = 0, \dots, n\}$ and partial isometries $\{S_{ij} : 0 \leq j \leq i \leq n\}$ subject to the relations

$$P_i P_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad S_{ij}^* S_{ij} = P_j, \quad P_i = \sum_{j=0}^i S_{ij} S_{ij}^* \text{ for } i \neq 0.$$

3.1. Polynomial Algebra.

Definition. Consider the free $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and all representations $\pi^{(n)}$ of this algebra into bounded operators on a Hilbert space which satisfy the relations

$$x_i x_j = 0 \text{ for } i < j \quad (11)$$

$$x_i^* x_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \quad (12)$$

$$x_n^* x_n - q x_n x_n^* = (1 - q)1. \quad (13)$$

In all such representations, the element $\pi^{(n)}(x_n^* x_n)$ is invertible. Hence x_n has a polar decomposition $x_n = \alpha_n |x_n|$, where $\alpha_n = x_n |x_n|^{-1}$. Define a projection $Q_{n-1} := 1 - \alpha_n \alpha_n^*$. This is nonzero since $\alpha_n \alpha_n^* < 1$:

$$\alpha_n \alpha_n^* = 1 \Rightarrow x_n |x_n|^{-2} x_n^* = 1 \Rightarrow x_{n-1} x_n |x_n|^{-2} x_n^* = x_{n-1},$$

which is a contradiction since $x_{n-1} x_n = 0$, and all x_i are nonzero because they are nonzero in the representation π . Hence we can consider the smaller set of representations $\pi^{(n-1)}$ which satisfy the additional relation

$$x_{n-1}^* x_{n-1} - q x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^* = (1 - q)Q_{n-1}.$$

Then $x_{n-1} Q_{n-1} = x_{n-1} (1 - x_n |x_n|^{-2} x_n^*) = x_{n-1}$ and similarly $Q_{n-1} x_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$, so x_{n-1} belongs to the C^* -subalgebra $Q_{n-1} \mathcal{H} Q_{n-1}$ in all representations. In this subalgebra the above relation becomes $x_{n-1}^* x_{n-1} - q x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^* = (1 - q)1$, and so x_{n-1} has a polar decomposition $x_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} |x_{n-1}|$. The phase satisfies $\alpha_{n-1} = x_{n-1} \widetilde{x_{n-1}}$, where $\widetilde{x_{n-1}}$ is the inverse of $|x_{n-1}|$ in this subalgebra. Also $\alpha_{n-1}^* \alpha_{n-1} = Q_{n-1}$, and $\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}^* < Q_{n-1}$ since otherwise

$$\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}^* = Q_{n-1} \Rightarrow Q_{n-2} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{n-2}^* \alpha_{n-2} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{n-2} = 0 \Rightarrow x_{n-2} = 0.$$

So we define the nonzero projection $Q_{n-2} := Q_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}^*$, and consider the smaller set of representations $\pi^{(n-2)}$ which also satisfy the relation

$$x_{n-2}^* x_{n-2} - q x_{n-2} x_{n-2}^* = (1 - q)Q_{n-2},$$

and continue this procedure until we have the set of representations $\pi^{(1)}$ or π , satisfying all the relations

$$x_i^* x_i - q x_i x_i^* = (1 - q) Q_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (14)$$

We define $Q_n := 1$ for consistency. We have

$$Q_{i-1} = Q_i - \alpha_i \alpha_i^* = \alpha_i^* \alpha_i - \alpha_i \alpha_i^* \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n.$$

In every representation π we have $\|\pi(x_i)\| = 1$ for all i . Hence we can define a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ on $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by

$$\|a\|_0 := \sup \{ \|\pi(a)\| \}.$$

Let $J_0 := \{a \in \mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \|a\|_0 = 0\}$. One may check this is a two-sided $*$ -ideal of $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Define the *polynomial algebra* $P(B_q^{2n})$ of the quantum $2n$ -ball to be the quotient $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)/J_0$.

Theorem 3.1. *The completion $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the polynomial algebra $P(B_q^{2n})$ is isomorphic to the quantum $2n$ -ball $C(B_q^{2n})$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Define $R_i := \alpha_i \alpha_i^*$. Then we have the following relations*

- (1) $Q_{i-1} = Q_i - R_i$
- (2) $Q_{i-1} = 1 - \sum_{j=i}^n R_j$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$
- (3) $R_i < Q_j$ for $i \leq j$
- (4) $R_i R_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$
- (5) $Q_i R_j = 0$ for $i < j$

Proof. (1) Follows from the definition.

$$(2) \quad Q_{i-1} = Q_i - R_i = Q_{i+1} - R_{i+1} - R_i = Q_{i+2} - R_{i+2} - R_{i+1} - R_i = \dots = Q_n - R_n - \dots - R_i = 1 - \sum_{j=i}^n R_j.$$

(3) $R_i < Q_i$ already shown for $i > 1$. Also we will see in the proof of the Theorem that $R_1 < Q_1$, since $C^*(x_1) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$. Then $R_i < Q_i \leq Q_j$ for $i \leq j$.

(4) Suppose $1 < i < j$. Then $R_i R_j = (Q_i - Q_{i-1})(Q_j - Q_{j-1}) = Q_i - Q_i - Q_i + Q_i = 0$. If $1 = i < j$ then $R_1 R_j = R_1(Q_j - Q_{j-1}) = R_1 - R_1 = 0$.

(5) $Q_i R_j = (1 - \sum_{k=i+1}^n R_k) R_j = R_j - R_j = 0$. □

Notice that the hereditary saturated subsets of E_n^0 are $\{v_0, \dots, v_i\}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Hence we define S_1, \dots, S_n by

$$S_i := \sum_{j=0}^i S_{ij} = S_{i0} + S_{i1} + \dots + S_{ii},$$

similarly to before.

Lemma 3.3. $C^*(E_n) = C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$.

Proof. By the Cuntz-Krieger relations,

$$S_i^* S_i = S_{i0}^* S_{i0} + \dots + S_{ii}^* S_{ii} = P_0 + \dots + P_i.$$

Also

$$S_i S_i^* = \sum_{j,k=0}^i S_{ij} S_{ik}^* = \sum_{j=0}^i S_{ij} S_{ij}^* = P_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and we also have $P_0 = (P_0 + P_1) - P_1 = S_1^* S_1 - S_1 S_1^*$. So we can recover the projections. Then

$$S_{ij} = S_{ij} P_j = S_i P_j,$$

so we can recover the partial isometries as well. \square

The above results show that S_i is a partial isometry for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and that S_n is a proper isometry. Now define z_1, \dots, z_n by

$$z_i := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_i^{k+1} (S_i^*)^k.$$

We again make computations in a representation $\pi: C^*(E_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed by the paths of finite length in E_n ending at v_0 . We define π on $\{S_f: f \in E_n^1\}$ by

$$\pi(S_f) \zeta_\mu := \begin{cases} \zeta_{f\mu} & \text{if } r(f) = s(\mu), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which extends to a representation on all of $C^*(E_n)$ by the universal property. It can be shown that π satisfies the Cuntz-Krieger relations. This representation is both faithful and irreducible. Intuitively, S_f adds the edge f , if possible.

3.1.1. *Paths in E_n .* We can list all the finite paths in E_n ending at v_0 by a recursive procedure. Let Γ_i be the set of such paths starting at v_i :

$$\Gamma_i := \{\mu \in E_n^*: s(\mu) = v_i, r(\mu) = v_0\}.$$

Then $\Gamma_n := \bigcup_{i=0}^n \Gamma_i$ is the set of all paths ending at v_0 . There is only one such path starting at v_0 , the 0-length path consisting of the vertex only, so $\Gamma_0 = \{v_0\}$. Paths starting at v_1 have the form $e_{11}^{m_1} e_{10} \in \Gamma_1$ for some $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} includes 0. To list the set of all paths starting at v_2 , note that such paths have the form $e_{22}^{m_2} e_{20}$ or $e_{22}^{m_2} e_{10} \Gamma_1$, where the operation is concatenation, i.e. follow $e_{22}^{m_2} e_{10}$ by any path starting at v_1 . In general,

$$\Gamma_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} e_{ii}^{m_i} e_{ij} \Gamma_j,$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We can categorise the paths as follows. Say two paths are equivalent if they differ only by the number of loops around a vertex, that is, once the loops are removed the paths are identical. The number of equivalence classes of paths starting from v_i for $i \geq 1$ is 2^{i-1} . Hence the total number of classes of paths is 2^n .

3.1.2. *Representation π of $C^*(E)$.* We know $\pi(S_{ij})$ acts by adding the edge e_{ij} , if possible. So $\pi(S_{ij})$ is nonzero precisely on paths which start at v_j . Such paths have the form $e_{jj}^{m_j} \cdots$ and

$$\pi(S_{ij})\zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+1} \dots} & \text{if } i = j \\ \zeta_{e_{ii}^0 e_{ij} e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Now $\pi(S_i)$ acts by adding an edge from the set $\{e_{ij} : j \leq i\}$. There is at most one such edge from this set which can be added to a path. In fact $\pi(S_i)$ is nonzero precisely on paths starting at v_j where $j \leq i$, in which case

$$\pi(S_i)\zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+1} \dots} & \text{if } i = j \\ \zeta_{e_{ii}^0 e_{ij} e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\pi(S_i)^{k+1}\zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+k+1} \dots} & \text{if } i = j \\ \zeta_{e_{ii}^k e_{ij} e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

$\pi(S_i)^*$ acts by removing an edge starting at v_i , if possible. Hence it is nonzero precisely on paths $e_{ii}^{m_i} e_{ij} \mu$ starting at v_i , where μ is the tail of the path, and then

$$\pi(S_i)^*\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} e_{ij} \mu} = \begin{cases} \zeta_\mu & \text{if } m_i = 0 \\ \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i-1} e_{ij} \mu} & \text{if } m_i \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

so

$$\pi(S_i)^{*k}\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} e_{ij} \mu} = \begin{cases} \zeta_\mu & \text{if } m_i = k - 1 \\ \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i-k} e_{ij} \mu} & \text{if } m_i \geq k, \end{cases}$$

and is zero if $m_i < k - 1$ and on all other basis elements. So the composition satisfies

$$\pi(S_i)^{k+1}\pi(S_i)^{*k}\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} \dots} = \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+1} \dots}$$

if $k \leq m_i + 1$, and is zero otherwise. Hence the sum expressing $\pi(z_i)$ will be finite on any given basis element ζ_α .

Separating the $k = 0$ term from the other terms in the expression for $\pi(z_i)$, we have

$$\pi(z_i) = \sqrt{1-q}\pi(S_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_i+1} \lambda_k \pi(S_i)^{k+1} \pi(S_i)^{*k},$$

and so

$$\pi(z_i)\zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q}\zeta_{e_{ii}^0 e_{ij} e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} & \text{if } j < i \\ \sqrt{1-q^{m_i+2}}\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+1} \dots} & \text{if } j = i \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

by similar calculations to those done for $C(B_q^4)$. Hence the adjoint $\pi(z_i)^*$ is nonzero only on paths starting with $e_{ii}^{m_i}$, and satisfies

$$\pi(z_i)^*\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} \mu} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q}\zeta_\mu & \text{if } m_i = 0 \\ \sqrt{1-q^{m_i+1}}\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i-1} \mu} & \text{if } m_i \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

on such paths, where μ is the tail of the path. One may then show the results

$$x_i x_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i < j \quad (15)$$

$$x_i^* x_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j \quad (16)$$

$$x_i^* x_i - q x_i x_i^* = (1 - q)(P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_i) \quad (17)$$

where the last relation follows from:

$$\pi(x_i^* x_i) \zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} = \begin{cases} (1 - q^{m_i+2}) \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} \dots} & \text{if } i = j \\ (1 - q) \zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j} \dots} & \text{if } j < i \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi(x_i x_i^*) \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} \dots} = (1 - q^{m_i+1}) \zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i} \dots}$$

where these operators are zero on all other paths.

Intuitively, $x_i x_j = 0$ for $i < j$ since $\pi(x_j)$ adds an edge starting from v_j , if possible. But then we cannot add on an edge starting from v_i , as there is no path from v_i to v_j if $i < j$. Intuitively, $x_i^* x_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ since $\pi(x_j)$ adds an edge starting from v_j , if possible. But x_i^* subtracts an edge starting from v_i if possible, so the composition is zero for $i \neq j$.

Lemma 3.4. $C^*(E_n) = C^*(z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

Proof. We will show that $C^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) = C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$, from which the result follows. Now $|z_n|$ is invertible, so z_n has a unique polar decomposition with phase $z_n |z_n|^{-1}$ which we can readily show is S_n by computing in the representation. For $i \leq n-1$, $|z_i|$ is invertible in the unital C^* -subalgebra $(P_0 + \cdots + P_i)C^*(E)(P_0 + \cdots + P_i)$. Write \tilde{z}_i for the inverse of $|z_i|$ in the C^* -subalgebra. Then $\tilde{z}_i |z_i| = |z_i| \tilde{z}_i = P_0 + \cdots + P_i$, and z_i is polar decomposable with phase $z_i \tilde{z}_i$. Calculating using the representation, we may show that this phase is S_i . So we can recover S_i from x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so $C^*(z_1, \dots, z_n) = C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) = C^*(E_n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We want to find homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ C^*(E_n) & & C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

Define

$$\psi(x_i) := z_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Define ϕ by the results of Lemma 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(P_0) &:= \alpha_1^* \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^* & \phi(P_j) &:= \alpha_j \alpha_j^* \quad \text{for } j \geq 1 \\ \phi(S_{i0}) &:= \alpha_i (\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^*) & \phi(S_{ij}) &:= \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_j^* \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

These images are projections and partial isometries, as required. We must show that these maps preserve the relations. Equations (15)-(17) show that ψ preserves the relations for $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, if we can show that $\psi(Q_i) = P_0 + \cdots + P_i$. This is trivial for $i = n$ since $\psi(1) = 1$, and for the other cases,

$$\psi(Q_{i-1}) = \psi(\alpha_i^* \alpha_i - \alpha_i \alpha_i^*) = S_i^* S_i - S_i S_i^* = P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$$

since $\psi(\alpha_i) = S_i$, because phase is preserved by a homomorphism.

To show ϕ extends to a homomorphism, we must show it preserves the Cuntz-Krieger relations. Firstly $\phi(S_i) = \alpha_i$ since

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(S_i) &= \phi(S_{i0} + S_{i1} + \cdots + S_{ii}) = \alpha_i(\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_1^* + \alpha_1 \alpha_1^* + \cdots + \alpha_i \alpha_i^*) \\ &= \alpha_i(Q_1 - R_1 + R_1 + \cdots + R_i) = \alpha_i Q_i = \alpha_i.\end{aligned}$$

We also have $\phi(P_i) = R_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\phi(P_0) = Q_1 - R_1$. Also $\phi(P_0 + \cdots + P_i) = Q_i$. Then:

(i) $P_i P_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Since $P_i P_j = P_j P_i$ we can assume $i < j$ without loss of generality.

- Case $0 = i < j$. Then $\phi(P_0)\phi(P_j) = (Q_1 - R_1)R_j = Q_1 R_j - R_1 R_j$. But

$$Q_1 R_j - R_1 R_j = Q_1 R_1 - R_1 R_1 = R_1 - R_1 = 0$$

if $j = 1$, and $Q_1 R_j - R_1 R_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$.

- Case $0 < i < j$. Then $\phi(P_i)\phi(P_j) = R_i R_j = 0$.

(ii) $S_{ij}^* S_{ij} = P_j$. Note $\phi(S_{ij}) = \phi(S_i P_j) = \alpha_i \phi(P_j)$.

- Case $j = 0$. Then $\phi(S_{i0}) = \alpha_i(Q_1 - R_1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(S_{i0})^* \phi(S_{i0}) &= (Q_1 - R_1) \alpha_i^* \alpha_i (Q_1 - R_1) = (Q_1 - R_1) Q_i (Q_1 - R_1) \\ &= (Q_1 - R_1)^2 = Q_1 - R_1 = \phi(P_0).\end{aligned}$$

- Case $j \geq 1$. Then $\phi(S_{ij}) = \alpha_i R_j$, so

$$\phi(S_{ij})^* \phi(S_{ij}) = R_j \alpha_i^* \alpha_i R_j = R_j Q_i R_j = R_j^2 = R_j = \phi(P_j).$$

(iii) $P_i = \sum_{j=0}^i S_{ij} S_{ij}^* = S_{i0} S_{i0}^* + \sum_{j=1}^i S_{ij} S_{ij}^*$ for $i \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\left(\sum_{j=0}^i S_{ij} S_{ij}^*\right) &= \alpha_i(Q_1 - R_1) \alpha_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^i \alpha_i R_j \alpha_i^* \\ &= \alpha_i(Q_1 - R_1 + R_1 + \cdots + R_i) \alpha_i^* \\ &= \alpha_i Q_i \alpha_i^* = \alpha_i \alpha_i^* \alpha_i \alpha_i^* = R_i^2 = R_i = \phi(P_i),\end{aligned}$$

since $Q_1 - R_1 + R_1 + \cdots + R_i = Q_1 + R_2 + \cdots + R_i = Q_2 + R_3 + \cdots + R_i = \cdots = Q_{i-1} + R_i = Q_i$.

Finally, we must show that ϕ and ψ compose to give the identity maps. But

$$(\psi \circ \phi)(S_i) = \psi(\alpha_i) = \psi(\text{Ph}(x_i)) = \text{Ph}(\psi(x_i)) = \text{Ph}(z_i) = S_i,$$

since the phase is preserved by a homomorphism. Hence $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ on the Cuntz-Krieger generators, since these are determined by S_1 and S_2 , and so $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{C^*(E_n)}$. To show $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}$, note firstly that $C^*(x_i) \cong C(\mathbb{D}_q)$, as shown in the proof that $C^*(x_1, x_2) \cong C^*(E_2)$. As argued there, this implies that the images under ϕ of the Cuntz-Krieger generators are nonzero, and that $(\phi \circ \psi)(x_i) = x_i$ since we can make computations in $C^*(E_n)$. Hence $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$. Consequently ϕ and ψ are both injective and surjective, and so $C^*(E_n) \cong C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. \square

3.2. Representations.

Theorem 3.5. *Every irreducible $*$ -representation of $C(\mathcal{B}_q^{2n}) \cong C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the following representations:*

- (1) a representation π defined on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthonormal basis $\{\zeta_\mu\}$ indexed by paths of finite length in E_n ending at v_0 by:

$$\pi(x_i)\zeta_{e_{jj}^{m_j}\dots} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-q}\zeta_{e_{ii}^0 e_{ij} e_{jj}^{m_j}\dots} & \text{if } j < i \\ \sqrt{1-q^{m_i+2}}\zeta_{e_{ii}^{m_i+1}\dots} & \text{if } j = i \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$

- (2) a family of representations $\varepsilon_{k,\theta}$ indexed by $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $\theta \in S^1$, on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthonormal basis indexed by paths of finite length ending at v_k and which do not contain any loops e_{kk} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{k,\theta}(x_i) &= 0 & \text{for } i < k \\ \varepsilon_{k,\theta}(x_k) &: \zeta_{v_k} \mapsto \theta\zeta_{v_k}, & \text{and } \zeta_\alpha \mapsto 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq v_k \\ \varepsilon_{k,\theta}(x_i) &= \pi^{(n-k)}(x_{i-k}) & \text{for } i > k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi^{(n-k)}$ is the map π on $C^*(E_{n-k})$ defined in (i), after relabelling $v'_i = v_{i-k}$, $e'_{ij} = e_{i-k,j-k}$,

- (3) a one-dimensional representation σ_θ where $\theta \in S^1$, defined by

$$\sigma_\theta(x_n) = \theta, \quad \sigma_\theta(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i < n.$$

Only the representation π is faithful.

Proof. $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cong C^*(E_n)$. Hence the ideals and representations of $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ correspond exactly to the ideals and representations of $C^*(E_n)$, and so we can use the powerful tool of graph algebras to classify these representations. The set $\{v_0\}$ is hereditary and saturated, and the ideal J_0 generated by P_0 is isomorphic to the compacts. The quotient $C^*(E_n)/J_0$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0\})$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow C^*(E_n) \rightarrow C^*\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{e_{33}}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{e_{22}}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{e_{11}}{\curvearrowright} \\ \cdots & v_3 & \xrightarrow{e_{32}} & v_2 & \xrightarrow{e_{21}} & v_1 \\ & & \xleftarrow{e_{31}} & & & \end{array}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Irr}(C^*(E_n)) = \text{Irr}(J_0) \sqcup \text{Irr} C^*\left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{e_{33}}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{e_{22}}{\curvearrowright} & \overset{e_{11}}{\curvearrowright} \\ \cdots & \cdot & \xrightarrow{e_{32}} & \cdot & \xrightarrow{e_{21}} & \cdot \\ & & \xleftarrow{e_{31}} & & & \end{array}\right)$. There is only one

irreducible representation of J_0 up to unitary equivalence, which is the representation π used previously when extended to a representation on $C^*(E_n)$. Composing with ψ gives the representation in the Theorem.

Now $C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0\})$ is the quantum sphere $C(S_q^{2n-1})$. This C^* -algebra is not simple, since $\{v_1\}$ is hereditary and saturated. Let J_1 be the ideal generated by P_1 in this

algebra. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0\}) \rightarrow C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0, v_1\}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{Irr}(C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0\})) = \text{Irr}(J_1) \sqcup \text{Irr}(C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0, v_1\}))$. The ideal J_1 is isomorphic to $K \otimes C(S^1)$ by the following reasoning. Consider the set Λ_n^1 of all paths in E_n which end at v_1 and do not contain e_{11} . Note that Λ_n^1 has a direct correspondence with Λ_{n-1} , the set of paths in E_{n-1} ending at v_0 . Then $\{S_\alpha S_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_n^1\}$ is a system of matrix units inside J_1 . Hence their closed span is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} .

Also, $S_{11} \in J_1$ since $S_{11} = S_{11}P_1$. S_{11} is a partial unitary with full spectrum, so $C^*(S_{11}) \cong C(S^1)$. Since S_{11} commutes with $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ aforementioned, by the general theory of graph algebras we have $J_1 \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes C(S^1)$. This ideal has S^1 of irreducible representations. Fix $\theta \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then define a representation $\varepsilon_{1,\theta} : J_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis indexed Λ_n^i , and extend it to a representation on the whole algebra:

$$\varepsilon_{1,\theta}(S_{11})\zeta_{v_1} = \theta\zeta_{v_1},$$

and $\varepsilon_{1,\theta}(S_{ij})$ maps all other paths to zero;

$$\varepsilon_{1,\theta}(S_{ij})\zeta_\alpha = \begin{cases} \zeta_{e_{ij}\alpha} & \text{if } j = s(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $i \geq j \geq 1$ and $i \neq 1$. Then

$$\varepsilon_{1,\theta}(S_1)\zeta_{v_1} = \theta\zeta_{v_1},$$

and zero otherwise. Also

$$\varepsilon_{1,\theta}(S_i)\zeta_\alpha = \zeta_{e_{i,s(\alpha)}\alpha}$$

or zero otherwise, for $i \geq 1$. This yields the representation stated in the Theorem.

So we consider the C^* -algebra $C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0, v_1\})$. Then $\{v_2\}$ is hereditary and saturated in the graph, so let J_2 be the ideal generated by v_2 so we have an exact sequence


$$0 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0, v_1\}) \rightarrow C^*(E_n \setminus \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $J_2 \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes C(S^1)$ and we have a representation $\varepsilon_{2,\theta}$ similarly, and so on. We keep quotienting out vertices one by one, until we are left with vertices $\{v_n, v_{n-1}\}$. This is the graph for $C(S_q^3)$, and we have a representation $\varepsilon_{n-1,\theta}$.

Finally, $\{v_{n-1}\}$ is hereditary and saturated in the graph $E_n \setminus \{v_0, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ and the quotient algebra is $C(S^1)$, which has characters σ_θ defined by $\sigma_\theta(e_{nn}) = \theta$ for $\theta \in S^1$, so $\sigma_\theta(S_n) = \theta$ and $\sigma_\theta(S_i) = 0$ for $i < n$. Hence $\sigma_\theta(x_n) = \theta$ and $\sigma_\theta(x_i) = 0$ for $i < n$.

These are all the irreducible representations of $C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ up to unitary equivalence. \square


So the irreducible representations of $C(B_q^{2n}) \cong C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are parameterised by a point and n circles:



Only the representation π is faithful.

4. APPENDIX 1 - $C^*(S_1, S_2)$ AS A UNIVERSAL ALGEBRA

In Section 2 we showed that S_1 and S_2 generate $C^*(E_2)$, where E_2 is the graph for the quantum 4-ball $C(B_q^4)$:



There we simply regarded S_1 and S_2 as lying inside $C^*(E_2)$. However we can also define $C^*(S_1, S_2)$ as a universal C^* -algebra generated by S_1 and S_2 subject to relations between them. We can show the following relations for S_1, S_2 in $C^*(E_2)$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_2^*S_2 = 1 & S_2S_2^* = P_u \\ S_1^*S_1 = P_v + P_w & S_1S_1^* = P_v \\ S_1S_2 = 0 & S_1^*S_2 = 0. \end{array}$$

In fact these relations are sufficient to characterise $C^*(S_1, S_2)$ as a universal algebra.

Definition. Let $C^*(T_1, T_2)$ be the universal C^* -algebra generated by T_1, T_2 s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_2^*T_2 = 1 & T_2T_2^* < 1 \\ T_1^*T_1 = 1 - T_2T_2^* & T_1T_1^* < T_1^*T_1 \\ T_1T_2 = 0 & T_1^*T_2 = 0. \end{array}$$

The relations imply T_2 is a proper isometry, hence $T_2T_2^*$ is a projection, and so $T_1^*T_1$ is a nonzero projection, and so T_1 is a partial isometry. Furthermore, $T_2T_2^*$ is nonzero, since $T_2T_2^* = 0 \Rightarrow T_2T_2^*T_2 = 0 \Rightarrow T_2 = 0$, and $T_2 \neq 0$ since there is a surjective homomorphism onto the C^* -algebra $C^*(E_2) = C^*(S_1, S_2)$ by universality, in which the image S_2 of T_2 is nonzero. We may show in a similar manner that $T_1T_1^*$ is also nonzero.

Theorem 4.1. $C^*(S_1, S_2) \cong C^*(T_1, T_2)$.

Proof. We want to find homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ C^*(E_2) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^*(T_1, T_2) \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

Define

$$\psi(T_i) := S_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From the results of Lemma 2.2 we define

$$\phi(P_u) := T_2T_2^* \quad \phi(P_v) := T_1T_1^* \quad \cdots \quad \phi(S_e) := T_1(1 - T_1T_1^*),$$

replacing each S_i in the Lemma with T_i . Then ψ extends to a homomorphism because the relations between T_1 and T_2 are derived from the relations between S_1 and S_2 .

To show ϕ extends to a homomorphism, we must show that it preserves the Cuntz-Krieger relations, for which we show some representative examples. Now $P_v P_w = 0$, and

$$\phi(P_v)\phi(P_w) = T_1 T_1^* (T_1^* T_1 - T_1 T_1^*) = T_1 T_1^* - T_1 T_1^* = 0,$$

since $T_1 T_1^* < T_1^* T_1$ and these are projections. Also $S_c^* S_c = P_v$, and

$$\phi(S_c)^* \phi(S_c) = T_1 T_1^* T_2^* T_2 T_1 T_1^* = T_1 T_1^* T_1 T_1^* = T_1 T_1^* = \phi(P_v),$$

since $T_2^* T_2 = 1$ and $T_1 T_1^*$ is a projection. Furthermore, $S_b S_b^* + S_e S_e^* = P_v$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(S_b)\phi(S_b)^* + \phi(S_e)\phi(S_e)^* &= T_1^2 T_1^* T_1 (T_1^*)^2 + T_1 (1 - T_1 T_1^*)^2 T_1^* \\ &= T_1^2 (T_1^*)^2 + T_1 (1 - T_1 T_1^*) T_1^* = T_1 (T_1 T_1^* + 1 - T_1 T_1^*) T_1^* = T_1 T_1^* = \phi(P_v). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\phi(S_i) = T_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, since

$$\phi(S_1) = \phi(S_b + S_e) = T_1 (T_1 T_1^* + 1 - T_1 T_1^*) = T_1,$$

and

$$\phi(S_2) = \phi(S_a + S_c + S_d) = T_2 (T_2 T_2^* + T_1 T_1^* + T_1^* T_1 - T_1 T_1^*) = T_2,$$

by the relation $T_1^* T_1 = 1 - T_2 T_2^*$. Hence $(\psi \circ \phi)(S_i) = S_i$ and so $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ on the Cuntz-Krieger generators as well, so $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{C^*(E_2)}$. Similarly $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{C^*(T_1, T_2)}$ and so these maps are isomorphisms and $C^*(S_1, S_2) \cong C^*(E_2) \cong C^*(T_1, T_2)$. \square

Just as we had three isomorphic C^* -algebras describing the quantum disc - $C(\mathbb{D}_q)$, $\mathcal{T} = C^*(S)$ and $C^*(E_1)$ - we have three isomorphic C^* -algebras describing the quantum 4-ball:

$$C^*(x_1, x_2) \cong C^*(T_1, T_2) \cong C^* \left(\begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \quad \circlearrowright \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \right)$$

5. APPENDIX 2 - $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ AS A UNIVERSAL ALGEBRA

We can also regard $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ as a universal algebra generated by S_1, \dots, S_n with certain relations between them.

Definition. Let $C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be the universal C^* -algebra generated by T_1, \dots, T_n s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_j &= 0 & \text{if } i < j \\ T_i^* T_j &= 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ T_n^* T_n &= 1 \\ T_{i-1}^* T_{i-1} &= T_i^* T_i - T_i T_i^*, & i = 2, \dots, n \\ T_1 T_1^* &< T_1^* T_1. \end{aligned}$$

The relations imply that the T_i are partial isometries, and that T_n is a proper isometry. Also we must have $T_i T_i^* < T_i^* T_i$ since $\pi: C^*(E_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation of these relations in which $T_i \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.1. $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \cong C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

Proof. As before we may exhibit isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ C^*(E_n) & & C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n) \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

as before, where ϕ is defined via the results of Lemma 3.3 and $\psi(T_i) := S_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We may then show that $\phi(S_i) = T_i$ for all i , and that the images of the generators are all nonzero. These maps preserve the relations. Clearly $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ and $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$, and so we have isomorphism. \square

Hence we have three isomorphic C^* -algebras describing the quantum $2n$ -ball:

$$C^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cong C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n) \cong C^*(E_n)$$

REFERENCES

- [CM] D. Calow & R. Matthes, *Covering and Gluing of Algebras and Differential Algebras*, J. of Geom. Phys. **32** (2000), 364 – 396
- [B] B. Blackadar, *Shape Theory for C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **56** (1985), 249 – 275
- [BHRS] T. Bates, J. Hong, I. Raeburn & W. Szymański, *The Ideal Structure of the C^* -algebras of Infinite Graphs*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), 1159 – 1176
- [BPRS] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn & W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of Row-Finite Graphs*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 307 – 324
- [FLR] N. Fowler, M. Laca & I. Raeburn, *The C^* -algebras of Infinite Graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 2319 – 2327
- [KL] S. Klimek & A. Lesniewski, *A Two-Parameter Quantum Deformation of the Unit Disc*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), 1 – 23
- [KPR] A. Kumjian, D. Pask & I. Raeburn, *Cuntz-Krieger Algebras of Directed Graphs*, Pacific J. Math. **184** (1998), 161 – 174
- [HMS] P. Hajac, R. Matthes & W. Szymański, *Quantum Real Projective Space, Disc and Spheres*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **6** (2003), 169 – 192
- [HMS2] P. Hajac, R. Matthes & W. Szymański, *Graph C^* -algebras and \mathbb{Z}_2 -Quotients of Quantum Spheres*, Rep. Math. Phys. **51** (2003), 215 – 224
- [HS] J. Hong & W. Szymański, *Quantum Spheres and Projective Spaces as Graph Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **232** (2002), 157 – 188
- [HS2] J. Hong & W. Szymański, *Noncommutative Balls and Mirror Quantum Spheres*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **77**, 607 – 626
- [R] I. Raeburn, *Graph Algebras*, lecture notes
- [W] N.E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993