

HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS AND RATIONALITY

WENCHUAN HU

ABSTRACT. We show that a nonsingular irreducible complex projective variety admitting a holomorphic vector field with nonempty isolated zeroes, is rational using a key technique by Harvey-Lawson on finite volume flows. This statement was conjectured by J. Carrell.

1. INTRODUCTION

The action of group actions on manifolds is one of long lasting research subject in mathematics. Almost every topic in algebra, geometry and topology, there is a corresponding version with group actions, e.g., for a cohomology theory there is an equivariant cohomology theory. In particular, the action of 1-parameter group on manifolds is one of the simplest and widest concerned interesting branches in the field of group actions on topological spaces.

There are lots of significant results related the structure of a complex analytic space or a complex manifold to its fixed point set under the action of a complex 1-parameter group. The topological and analytic invariants between a compact Kähler manifold or a singular projective variety and those of the fixed point set under an analytic action of a complex multiplicative group can be found in [B-B1],[CG],[Fr], etc. and the references therein.

Bialynicki-Birula showed that any nonsingular projective variety X under a complex multiplicative group G_m action admits a canonical decomposition into G_m -invariant locally closed subvarieties. In particular, the algebro-geometric invariants of the variety can be read from those of the fixed point set. When the fixed point set is isolated, all the cohomology theories on X (e.g., Chow groups, algebraic cycles modulo algebraic equivalence, Lawson homology, singular homology) are naturally isomorphic (see [B-B1], [ES], [Fr], [LF]). Moreover, such a variety X must be a rational variety.

However, the situation is much more subtle for a projective variety under the action of an algebraic additive group. The fact is that the understanding of the structure of a nonsingular complex projective variety X through the fixed point set under an additive group action amounts to the understanding of the structure of X through the zero locus of a holomorphic vector field. The reason is that the structure of a nonsingular projective variety under the action of multiplicative group is clearly understood through the information of the fixed point set (see [Li2]).

Now let V be a holomorphic vector field defined on a nonsingular complex projective algebraic variety X . The zero subscheme Z is the subspace of X defined by the ideal generated by $V\mathcal{O}_X$.

Contrary to the real case, there are a lot of topological obstructions to the existence of a holomorphic vector field on a complex projective variety. Moreover, the structure of X are closely related to that of Z (see [C, §5]). For examples, the rational cohomological ring of X can be determined by those of Z and the fundamental group of X is perfect if Z is simple (i.e., smooth of dimension zero) (see [CL]).

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic vector field, rationality, Chow variety.

A fundamental problem in algebraic geometry is to determine which varieties are rational. One of the most famous problems in the direction, formulated by J. Carrell, is the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 ([C], p.33). A smooth (or nonsingular) irreducible projective algebraic variety X admitting a holomorphic vector field with nonempty isolated zeroes, is rational.

This conjecture stated in an open problem format, can be found in an earlier literature (see [Li1]).

During last forty years, there have been lots of progress in all kinds of special cases. For surfaces, this conjecture is proved in [CHK], [Li2, p.99]. For X with $\dim X \leq 5$, it was proved by Hwang (see [Hw1]). Hwang also mentioned in his paper that M. Koras had proved the conjecture for dimension less than or equals to 4 in an unpublished paper in a possibly quite different way. Under the assumption that the automorphism group of the manifold is semisimple, it was proved by Deligne (see [C, p.32]). Under the assumption that the induced action on the tangent space at the isolated zero has a single Jordan block, it was proved by Konarski ([K]). Under the assumption that the holomorphic vector field has a totally degenerate zero, it was proved by Hwang ([Hw2]). This conjecture has been studied by Lieberman (see [Li1], [Li2]), who had shown that it holds if every point in Z is non-degenerate. Recall that a point in Z is called non-degenerate if it is a nonsingular point on Z and the $\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}$ -linear map $L_V : I_Z/I_Z^2 \rightarrow I_Z/I_Z^2$ has nonzero determinant on Z . Note that a holomorphic vector field obtained from the flow of a multiplicative group action is always non-degenerate.

A stronger version of the conjecture (might be also made by Carrell) that every smooth projective variety admitting a holomorphic vector field with nonempty isolated zeros also admits a \mathbb{C}^* -action with nonempty isolated zeros.

Conjecture 1.1, as is well known, is equivalent to the following([Li1],[K]):

Conjecture 1.2. If X is a nonsingular projective variety admitting an algebraic additive group action having exactly one fixed point, then X is rational.

We will show below this conjecture to be true by applying techniques developed by Harvey and Lawson to the ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ of local regular functions of a point on X , to get an isomorphism between $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ and that of a point on \mathbb{P}^n .

2. THE MAIN RESULT AND ITS PROOF

In this section we will show Conjecture 1.2 and hence Conjecture 1.1 hold. From now on, let X be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n admitting a \mathbb{C} -action with exactly one fixed point p , i.e, $X^{\mathbb{C}} = p$. A theorem of Blanchard says that X can be \mathbb{C} -equivariantly embedded in \mathbb{P}^N for some positive integer N (cf. [Li2]). Through a \mathbb{C} -equivariant projection as given in [Li2, §2], we get a \mathbb{C} -equivariant morphism $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ with a dense image as follows. Note that in [Li2, p.112], the \mathbb{C} -equivariant projection $\mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ is a projection away from a fixed point of the \mathbb{C} -action.

Case (1). $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}}$ has more than one point. Since $X^{\mathbb{C}} = p$ is exactly one point which is also a fixed point of \mathbb{P}^N , one can always choose a different point p' in $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the projection is away from p' if $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}}$ contains at least two points.

Case (2). $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}}$ has exactly one point. In this case, $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is exactly one point, then $(\mathbb{P}^N)^{\mathbb{C}} = X^{\mathbb{C}} = p$. The lines in \mathbb{P}^N passing through p are \mathbb{C} -invariant (see [Li2, p.112]). In particular, the lines \overline{px} passing through p and $x \in X$ ($x \neq p$) is \mathbb{C} -invariant. Since X is \mathbb{C} -invariant, hence the intersection $\overline{px} \cap X$ is also \mathbb{C} -invariant. Since $X^{\mathbb{C}} = p$, we get $\overline{px} \subset X$. That is, X is a cone over p . Since X is nonsingular, such a cone must be a projective subspace in \mathbb{P}^N . Otherwise, the point p would be a singular point of X , contradicts the assumption that X is nonsingular. In this case, X is rational.

In fact the morphism is surjective. It induces a \mathbb{C} -algebra injective homomorphism $\psi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ between local rings, where $q = \phi(p)$ (see [Sh, Ch. I, §5.3]). Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, o}$ as \mathbb{C} -algebras, where $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the origin.

Let $m_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ (resp. $m_o \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, o}$) be the corresponding maximal ideal of local algebras. Then $\psi^*(m_o) \subset m_p$ under the identification $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, o}$.

Note that the flow ϕ_t induced from the \mathbb{C} -action generates a finite volume complex graph \mathcal{T} in the sense of Harvey and Lawson (see [HL, §9]), where

$$\mathcal{T} := \{(t, \phi_t(x), x) \in \mathbb{C} \times X \times X \mid t \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } x \in X\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times X \times X$$

and its closure $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times X \times X$ is a projective variety. According to their theory, this $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ provides us an operator equation

$$(2.1) \quad I - P = d \circ T + T \circ d : \mathcal{E}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'^k(X)$$

for $k \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{E}^k(X)$ is the space of smooth k -forms on X , $\mathcal{D}'^k(X)$ is the space of k -currents on X , I is the identity operator, $P : \mathcal{E}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'^k(X)$ is given by $P\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t^*(\alpha)$ and $T : \mathcal{E}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(X)$ is the operator given by $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ (see [HL, §2]),

When restricting to the local ring of \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, we get the formula

$$(2.2) \quad I - P = T \circ d : \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$$

since $T = 0$ on $\mathcal{E}^0(X) = C^\infty(X)$ the set of smooth functions on X and hence $T = 0$ on $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ since an element f in $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ can be extended to a smooth function on X . The operator P on $f \in C^\infty(X)$ is the constant function with the value $f(p)$ since

$$(Pf)(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t^*(f)(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f \circ \phi_t(x) = f(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(x)) = f(p).$$

The details are given in the following lemma, where Equation (2.2) is applied to local functions in $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$.

Lemma 2.3. *One gets the following formula*

$$(2.4) \quad f - f(p) = T \circ df, f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}.$$

Proof. Let $F, \tilde{F} \in C^\infty(X)$ be two extensions of the germ $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$. Then we have $F - F(p) = T \circ dF$ and $\tilde{F} - \tilde{F}(p) = T \circ d\tilde{F}$ by Equation (2.1). Since $F(p) = \tilde{F}(p) = f(p)$, we get $F - \tilde{F} = T \circ d(F - \tilde{F})$. Since both F and \tilde{F} are the extension of f , there exists a neighborhood U_p of p such that $F - \tilde{F} \equiv 0$ on U_p . That is, the operator $T \circ d$ applies to $F - \tilde{F}$ is zero on U_p . Hence two different choices of extensions of $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ yield the same local function whose class is $f - f(p)$. This shows that $I - P = T \cdot d$ holds on $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$. \square

Moreover, when restricted on m_p , we have

$$(2.5) \quad f = T \circ df, f \in m_p$$

since $f(p) = 0$ for $f \in m_p$.

Let $\Omega_{X, p}^1$ be the space of local 1-forms with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, i.e., $\Omega_{X, p}^1 = \{df \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}\}$. Since X is a nonsingular variety, we have $T_p^* X \cong \Omega_{X, p}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, p}} \mathbb{C} \cong m_p/m_p^2$ as \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, where $T_p^* X$ is the cotangent space of X at p . Since $\dim X = n$, m_p/m_p^2 is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension n . Note that when restricting on $\Omega_{X, p}^1$, the operator $T : \Omega_{X, p}^1 \rightarrow m_p$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear operator and $\Omega_{X, p}^1$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ -module.

Consider the following diagram

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}^1 & \xrightarrow{T_0} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} \\ \downarrow \psi^* & & \downarrow \psi^* & & \downarrow \psi^* \\ \mathcal{O}_{X, p} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X, p}^1 & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \end{array}$$

where T_0 is the induced operator for the induced \mathbb{C} -action on \mathbb{P}^n with the fixed point $\psi(p) = q$.

The first square in Equation 2.6 is commutative since the differential d is a natural map, i.e., $d \circ \psi^* = \psi^* \circ d$, while the second square in Equation 2.6 is commutative since $T \circ d = Id - P$. To see this, we take $\alpha = df$ where $f(p) = 0$, then $T_0(\alpha) = f$. Hence $\psi^* T_0(\alpha) = \psi^*(f) = T(d(\psi^*(f))) = T(\psi^*(df)) = T(\psi^*(\alpha))$ since the pullback $\psi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ and $\psi^* : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X, p}^1$ are natural maps.

Note that there are natural \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphisms

$$(2.7) \quad \Omega_{X, p}^1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}}.$$

Equation (2.7) follows from Equation (2.8), where the isomorphism of Equation (2.8) follows from Taylor expansion of f in local coordinates t_1, \dots, t_n at $p, f = f_0 + f_1 + \dots$, where $f_i \in m_p^i$ is the sum of all the terms of homogeneous degree i .

Under these isomorphisms, we see the image of $\frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$ under the map

$$\Omega_{X, p}^1 \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$$

is in $\frac{m_p^{i+1}}{m_p^{i+2}}$. Moreover,

$$T\left(\frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}\right) = \frac{m_p^{i+1}}{m_p^{i+2}}$$

since $m_p^i \cdot m_p = m_p^{i+1}$.

Now from Equation (2.6), we have the following exact sequence of commutative diagram

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(T_0) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}^1 & \xrightarrow{T_0} & m_o & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi^* & & \downarrow \psi^* & & \downarrow \psi^* & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(T) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{X, p}^1 & \xrightarrow{T} & m_p & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where $m_o = m_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}$ as before.

Lemma 2.10. *The pullback map $\psi^* : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X, p}^1$ is surjective.*

Proof. Note that $\Omega_{X, p}^1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n, q}^1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_o^i}{m_o^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_o}{m_o^2}$.

Since $\dim X = n$, ψ^* induces an isomorphism $\psi^* : T_q^*(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong T_p^*X$ of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, i.e., $\psi^* : \frac{m_o}{m_o^2} \cong \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$. In other words, $\psi^* : \frac{m_o^i}{m_o^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_o}{m_o^2} \rightarrow \frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$ is an isomorphism for $i = 0$.

Now we use inductions on i . Suppose that $\psi^* : \frac{m_o^i}{m_o^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_o}{m_o^2} \rightarrow \frac{m_p^i}{m_p^{i+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$ is surjective for $i = k$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{m_o^k}{m_o^{k+1}} \otimes \frac{m_o}{m_o^2} & \xrightarrow{T_0} & \frac{m_o^{k+1}}{m_o^{k+2}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \psi^* & & \downarrow \psi^* & & \\ \frac{m_p^k}{m_p^{k+1}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2} & \xrightarrow{T} & \frac{m_p^{k+1}}{m_p^{k+2}} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

we get from Equation (2.9) both T and T_0 are surjective. By the inductive hypothesis, the first column ψ^* is isomorphism. Hence we know ψ^* on the second column is surjective. Therefore,

$$\psi^* : \frac{m_0^{k+1}}{m_0^{k+2}} \otimes \frac{m_0}{m_0^2} \rightarrow \frac{m_p^{k+1}}{m_p^{k+2}} \otimes \frac{m_p}{m_p^2}$$

is also surjective. This completes the induction and hence the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.11. *The pullback map $\psi^* : m_o \rightarrow m_p$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since $\psi^* : m_o \rightarrow m_p$ is a priori injective as we explained above, we only need to show it is surjective. Note that in Equation (2.9), both T and T_0 are surjective. Moreover, ψ^* on the middle column is surjective by Lemma 2.10. Therefore, ψ^* on the third column is surjective. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 2.12. Harvey and Lawson applied to their techniques to the flow given by a \mathbb{C}^* -action on a compact Kähler manifold to obtain a complex graph \mathcal{T} . Moreover, they obtained that \mathcal{T} is of finite volume, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ are of an analytic subvariety (see [So]).

Theorem 2.13. *If X is a nonsingular irreducible projective variety admitting a \mathbb{C} -action with nonempty isolated fixed point, then X is rational.*

Proof. Since $\psi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is a priori an injective \mathbb{C} -algebraic homomorphism. By Lemma 2.11, $\psi^* : m_o \rightarrow m_p$ is surjective. Since ψ^* maps constants in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, o}$ exactly onto constants in $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, we obtain that $\psi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is also surjective. Hence $\psi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is an isomorphism. Since the rational function field $K(X)$ (resp. $K(\mathbb{P}^n)$) of X (resp. \mathbb{P}^n) is the fractional field of $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n, o}$), $\psi^* : K(X) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is an isomorphism as a field. This implies that X is birational to \mathbb{P}^n (see [Ha]) and hence X is rational. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 2.14. Since the condition of regularity of X is a key part in the proof of Theorem 2.13 and in the processing to construct equivariant projections, it obviously does not work for varieties with singularity. Moreover, cones over nonsingular projective varieties are counterexamples which prevent generalizing Theorem 2.13 to the singular case.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank R. Harvey and B. Lawson for useful comments and clarification in the early version. This work is partially supported by NSF(11771305, 11821001).

REFERENCES

- [B-B1] A. Białynicki-Birula, *On fixed point schemes of actions of multiplicative and additive groups*. Topology 12 (1973), 99–103.
- [B-B2] A. Białynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*. Ann. of Math. (2) 98 (1973), 480–497.
- [CG] J. B. Carrell and R. M. Goresky, *A decomposition theorem for the integral homology of a variety*. Invent. Math. 73 (1983), no. 3, 367–381.
- [CHK] J. Carrell, A. Howard and C. Kosniowski, *Holomorphic vector fields on complex surfaces*. Math. Ann. 204 (1974), 73–82.
- [CL] J. Carrell and D. Lieberman, *Holomorphic vector fields and Kaehler manifolds*. Invent. Math. 21 (1973), 303–309.
- [C] J. Carrell, *Holomorphic \mathbb{C}^* actions and vector fields on projective varieties*. Topics in the theory of algebraic groups, 1–37, Notre Dame Math. Lectures, 10, Univ. Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, IN, 1982.
- [CS1] J. B. Carrell and A. J. Sommese, *\mathbb{C}^* -actions*. Math. Scand. 43 (1978/79), no. 1, 49–59.
- [CS2] J. B. Carrell and A. J. Sommese, *Some topological aspects of \mathbb{C}^* actions on compact Kaehler manifolds*. Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 4, 567–582.
- [ES] G. Ellingsrud and S. A. Stromme, *Toward the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{P}^2* , J. Reine Agnew. Math. 441 (1993), 33–44.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [HL] F. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr. *Finite volume flows and Morse theory*. Ann. of Math. (2) 153 (2001), no. 1, 1–25.

- [Hw1] J. M. Hwang, *Additive vector fields, algebraicity and rationality*. Math. Ann. 304 (1996), no. 4, 757767.
- [Hw2] J. M. Hwang, *Holomorphic vector fields with totally degenerate zeroes*. Compositio Math. 105 (1997), no. 1, 6577.
- [Fr] T. Frankel, *Fixed points and torsion on Kähler manifolds* Ann. of Math. (2) 70 1959 1–8.
- [La] H. B. Lawson, Jr. *Algebraic cycles and homotopy theory*. Ann. of Math. **129**(1989), 253-291.
- [Li1] D. I. Lieberman, *Holomorphic vector fields on projective varieties*. Several complex variables (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXX, Part 1, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1975), pp. 273–276. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [Li2] D. I. Lieberman, *Holomorphic vector fields and rationality*. Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), 99–117, Lecture Notes in Math., 956, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [LF] P. Lima-Filho, *Lawson homology for quasiprojective varieties*. Compositio Math. 84(1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- [K] J. Konarski, *On a special case of Carrell's conjecture*. J. Algebra 182 (1996), no. 1, 38–44.
- [Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry*. Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [So] A. J. Sommese, *Extension theorems for reductive group actions on compact Kaehler manifolds*. Math. Ann. 218 (1975), no. 2, 107–116.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: huwenchuan@gmail.com