

ON SINGULARITIES OF THREEFOLD WEIGHTED BLOWUPS

YIFEI CHEN

ABSTRACT. We answer a conjecture raised by Caucher Birkar of singularities of weighted blowups of \mathbb{A}^n for $n \leq 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

The concept of complements is introduced by Shokurov when he studied log flips of threefolds ([Sh92]). The theory of complements is further developed in [Sh00], [PS01], [PS09], [Bi19]. It is related to the boundedness of varieties and singularities of linear systems. It becomes a key ingredient of Birkar's BBAB Theorem, which confirms BAB conjecture ([Bi19], [Bi16]), and the construction of moduli of stable Fano varieties ([BLX19], [X19]).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.1 [Bi19]). *Let d be a natural number. Then there is a natural number m depending only on d such that if X is any Fano variety of dimension d with klt singularities, then there exists an m -complement for K_X .*

There is a general setting (ϵ, n) -complements for log pairs (X, B) . we refer to ([Bi19] 2.18) and ([HLS19]) for the definition and some related conjectures. It is conjectured the existence of such (ϵ, n) -complements if $B \in \Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a DCC set. It is proved by Shokurov for the case $\epsilon = 0$, $\dim X = 2$ and Γ is the standard set; by Prokhorov and Shokurov for the case $\epsilon = 0$, $\dim X = 3$ and Γ is a hyperstandard set; by Birkar ([Bi19] Theorem 1.7, 1.8) for the case $\epsilon = 0$, Γ is a hyperstandard set; by Han, Liu and Shokurov ([HLS19] Teorem 1.14) for the case Γ is a DCC set. Recently, Shokurov ([Sh19]) announces a proof of the boundedness of complements in this general case, $\epsilon = 0$ and $\Gamma = [0, 1]$, under some conditions. Shokurov ([Sh19]) also proves the existence of complements imply some important and well-known results, such as the ACC of log canonical thresholds, the finite generation of log canonical rings for klt pairs and the existence of flips. There are some recent progress for the theory of complements. We refer reads to [FMX19], [HLS19], [Sh19] and [Xu19] for more details.

The following conjecture due to Shokurov is one of major important open problems in birational geometry.

Conjecture 1.2 (Shokurov). *Let d be a natural number, ϵ a positive real number. Then there is a natural number n depending only on d and ϵ such that if*

Date: January 25, 2022.

$X \rightarrow Z$ is a Fano contraction, that is $-K_X$ is ample $/Z$, and X is ϵ -lc, then there exists an n -klt complement for K_X over any point $z \in Z$.

The conjecture is known up to $\dim X = 2$ ([Bi04]).

Birkar raises the following conjectures which are related to Conjecture 1.2.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ be a positive integer, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n coprime positive integers such that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$. Let $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the weighted blow up of the affine n -plane \mathbb{A}^n at the origin with the weight $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ (see Section 2.4).

Conjecture 1.3 (Birkar). *If $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ has terminal singularities, is a_1 bounded?*

One related result with the Conjecture is the divisorial contraction of terminal threefolds obtained by Kawakita.

Theorem 1.4 ([K01] Theorem 1.1). *Let Y be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial normal variety of dimension three with only terminal singularities, and let $f : (Y \supset E) \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ be an algebraic germ of a divisorial contraction which contracts its exceptional divisor E to a smooth point P . Then f is a weighted blowup. More precisely, we can take local coordinates x, y, z at P and coprime positive integers a and b , such that f is the weighted blow-up of X with its weights $\text{wt}(x, y, z) = (1, a, b)$.*

Very recently, Sankaran ([Sa19]) has given an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.3 for the case $n = 4$.

Compared with Conjecture 1.3, a more general conjecture of Birkar is:

Conjecture 1.5 (Birkar). *For a fixed positive integer n and a fixed positive real number $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, is there a positive integer M depending only on n and ϵ , such that if $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is ϵ -lc, then $a_1 \leq M$, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are coprime.*

One can not expect all a_i are bounded, see Example 2.14.

Sankaran and Santos recently claim that Conjecture 1.5 holds for $\epsilon = 1$ and any n . That is the case of canonical singularities.

In the paper, we study Conjecture 1.5, and get the following partial cases.

Theorem 1.6 (Main Theorem). *Conjecture 1.5 holds if:*

- 1) $n = 2$.
- 2) $n = 3$.
- 3) $\frac{a_j}{a_2} \leq a_1^\theta$ for all $3 \leq j \leq n$, where θ is a fixed real number such that $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2n^2}$.

The problem is a toric geometry problem. Conjecture 1.5 can be reduced to a geometry of number problem, which is to prove the existence of an integral point in the interior of certain convex polytope. Then we can apply Dirichlet's approximation theorem (Theorem 2.11, Theorem 2.12).

Acknowledgements. Part of the work is done during the author visiting DP-MMS at University of Cambridge. The author should thank Caucher Birkar's invitation, DPMMS at Cambridge for stimulating research environment. The

author thanks Dasheng Wei and Huayi Chen for many useful discussions. The author thanks Jingjun Han, Jihao Liu, Lu Qi and Chuyu Zhou for valuable comments. The author is supported by NSFC grants (No. 11688101, 11771426 and 11621061).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Pairs and singularities. A *log pair* (X, B) consists of a normal variety X and an \mathbb{R} -divisor $B \geq 0$ such that $K_X + B$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. An *extraction* is a proper birational morphism of normal varieties.

If (X, B) is a log pair and $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an extraction, there exists a unique divisor \tilde{B} on \tilde{X} such that $\mu^*(K_X + B) = K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{B} = \mu^{-1}B$ on $\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Exc}(\mu)$. The identity $\tilde{B} = \sum_{E \subset \tilde{X}} (1 - a(E; X, B))E$ associates to each prime divisor E of \tilde{X} a real number $a(E; X, B)$, called the *log discrepancy* of E with respect to (X, B) . For simplicity, we write $\text{mld}(E; B)$ for $\text{mld}(E; X, B)$.

Definition 2.2 ([Sh88]) The *minimal log discrepancy* of a log pair (X, B) at a proper Grothendieck point $\eta \in X$ is defined as

$$\text{mld}(\eta; X, B) = \inf_{c_X(E)=\eta} a(E; X, B)$$

where the infimum is taken after all prime divisors on extractions of X having η as a center on X . We set by definition $\text{mld}(\eta_X; X, B) = 0$.

The log pair (X, B) has only *lc (klt, ϵ -lc) singularities* if $\text{mld}(\eta; B) \geq 0$ ($\text{mld}(\eta; B) > 0$, $\text{mld}(\eta; B) \geq \epsilon$) for every proper point $\eta \in X$. (X, B) has only *canonical (terminal) singularities* if $\text{mld}(\eta; B) \geq 1$ ($\text{mld}(\eta; B) > 1$) for every point $\eta \in X$ of codimension at least 2.

2.3. Complements. Let X be a normal variety. We say that there exists an *n-complement* (*n-klt complement*) for K_X , if there exists a pair (X, B) , such that (X, B) is lc (klt), and $n(K_X + B) \sim 0$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be a contraction, that is, $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$. We say that there exists an *n-klt complement for K_X over a point $z \in Z$* , if there exists a pair (X, B) , such that (X, B) is klt, and $n(K_X + B) \sim 0/z \in Z$.

We refer readers to [Sh00], [PS01], [PS09], [Bi04], [Bi19], [FMX19], [HLS19], [Sh19] and [Xu19] for more background and applications of complements.

2.4. Weighted blowups. To be self-contained, we quote [KSC04] 6.38 for the definition of weighted blow-ups.

Weighted blow-ups can be described in terms of charts in a manner similar to the standard blowup, but subject to a group action. Recall that if we fix one of the standard affine charts of the blowup of the origin in \mathbb{A}^n , the blowing up morphism is given there by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_i : \mathbb{A}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) &\mapsto (x'_i x'_1, \dots, x'_i x'_{i-1}, x'_i, x'_i x'_{i+1}, \dots, x'_i x'_n). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, π'_i is given by the formulas

$$x_j = x'_j x'_i, \quad \text{if } j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = x'_i.$$

To describe the analog for weighted blow-ups, fix relatively prime positive integers a_1, \dots, a_n . For each i between 1 and n , define a morphism $p_i : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ by

$$x_j = x'_j (x'_i)^{a_j} \quad \text{if } j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = (x'_i)^{a_i}.$$

The map p_i is birational if and only if $a_i = 1$; more generally, it has degree a_i . Note that the map is well defined on the orbits of the \mathbb{Z}_{a_i} -action

$$(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \mapsto (\zeta^{-a_1} x'_1, \dots, \zeta^{-a_{i-1}} x'_{i-1}, \zeta x'_i, \zeta^{-a_{i+1}} x'_{i+1}, \dots, \zeta^{-a_n} x'_n),$$

where \mathbb{Z}_{a_i} is a cyclic group of order a_i generated by a primitive a_i -th root of unity ζ . Therefore, p_i descends to a birational morphism π_i from the quotient variety:

$$\pi_i : \mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{Z}_{a_i} \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

These maps π_i patch together to give a birational projective morphism

$$\pi : X_{\mathbf{a}} = B_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n.$$

This is the *weighted blowup* of \mathbb{A}^n with weights $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

2.5. Minimal log discrepancies of toric varieties. We refer the reader to [Fu93] for definitions and basic notations of toric geometry. Let $X = T_N \text{emb}(\Delta)$ be a toroidal embedding, and let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the T_N -invariant divisors of X , where N is the lattice, corresponding to the primitive vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^r$ on the 1-dimensional faces of Δ . Let $B = \sum_i (1 - a_i) B_i$ be an invariant \mathbb{R} -divisor. Let φ be the piecewise linear function such that $\varphi(v_i) = a_i$ for every i .

Under the above assumptions, we have the following formula for the minimal log discrepancies of (X, B) at the generic points of the orbits ([Am99]):

$$\text{mld}(\eta_{\text{orb}(\sigma)}; B) = \inf\{\varphi(v) \mid v \in \text{rel int}(\sigma) \cap N\}, \sigma \in \Delta.$$


Here, $\text{rel int}(\sigma)$ denotes the relative interior of the cone $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}\sigma$, and $\text{orb}(\sigma)$ is the T_N -orbit corresponding to the cone $\sigma \in \Delta$.

Theorem 2.6 ([Am99] Theorem 4.1). *In the above notations, let $X = \sqcup_{\sigma \in \Delta} \text{orb}(\sigma)$ be the partition of X into T_N -orbits. For every cone $\sigma \in \Delta$ and every closed point $x \in \text{orb}(\sigma)$, the minimal discrepancy*


$$\text{mld}(x, B) = \text{mld}(\eta_{\text{orb}(\sigma)}; B) + \text{codim}(\sigma).$$

2.7. Weighted blowups and toric varieties. By [Fu93], it is easy to see that weighted blow-ups $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a toric variety, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The fan Δ of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a subdivision of the first quadrant. Precisely, the ray through (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) subdivides the first quadrant into n cones of dimension n , and the fan Δ is the collection of these n cones of dimension n .

Example 2.8 The fan of $X_{(a,b)}$



Example 2.9 The fan of $X_{(a,b,c)}$



2.10. Dirichlet's approximation theorem. Dirichlet's Theorem is a fundamental result in Diophantine approximation.

Theorem 2.11. *For any real number α and any positive integer Z , there exist integers p and q such that $1 \leq q \leq Z$ and*

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{Z}.$$


The simultaneous version of the Dirichlet's approximation theorem is:

Theorem 2.12 (Dirichlet's approximation theorem). *Given real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ and a positive integer Z , then there are integers $p_1, \dots, p_d, q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq q \leq Z$ such that*

$$\left| \alpha_i - \frac{p_i}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qZ^{\frac{1}{d}}}.$$

2.13. Examples.

Example 2.14 $(X_n, 0)$, $\mathbf{n} = (1, n)$, are 1-lc for any positive integer n .



Indeed, let σ_1 be the cone generated by $e_2 = (0, 1)$ and $v = (1, n)$. Then σ_1 is a smooth cone. So $\text{mld}(x; 0) = 2$, where x is the toric invariant point corresponding to σ_1 .

Let σ_2 be the cone generated by $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and v . The cone σ_2 is not smooth, but it has canonical singularities. We have $\text{mld}(y; 0) = 1$, where y is the toric invariant point corresponding to σ_2 . Indeed, the linear function φ such that $\varphi(e_1) = \varphi(v) = 1$ takes minimal values on the lattice points $w_i = (1, i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ in $\text{int } \sigma_2$ and $\varphi(w_i) = 1$.

For any codimension 1 point η , $\text{mld}(\eta; 0) = 1$.

Therefore, $X_{\mathbf{n}}$ are 1-lc for any positive integer n .

We can similar show the following example.

Example 2.15 $X_{\mathbf{c}}$ is 1-lc, where $\mathbf{c} = (1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ for any $1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_n$ and $\gcd(c_2, \dots, c_n) = 1$.

3. REDUCTION TO A GEOMETRY OF NUMBER PROBLEM


Lemma 3.1. Fix a positive integer n and a positive real number $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$. Let C_n^ϵ be the convex polytope with vertices

$$\{0, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_1, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_n, \epsilon \mathbf{a}\},$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$.

Then Conjecture 1.5 holds, if there exists an integer M depending only on n and ϵ , such that there exists an integral point in the interior of C_n^ϵ once $a_1 \geq M$.

Example 3.2 The graphs of C_2^ϵ and C_3^ϵ are as follows



Proof of Lemma 3.1. Suppose there exists such an integer M only depending on n and ϵ , that once $a_1 \geq M$ then there would exist an integral point \mathbf{w} in the interior of C_n^ϵ . By assumption, $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, then the integral point \mathbf{w} lies in the interior of a unique cone generated by \mathbf{a} and $n-1$ vectors of $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\mathbf{w} \in \text{rel int } \tau$, where τ is the cone generated by $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n-1}\}$. Moreover, since \mathbf{w} is in the interior of $\tau \cap C_n^\epsilon$, we have

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \cdot \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_0 \cdot \epsilon \mathbf{a},$$

where $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $0 < \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i < 1$.

View $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ as the log pair $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, 0)$. Let φ be the piecewise linear function with $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \epsilon \lambda_i \varphi(\mathbf{e}_i) + \epsilon \lambda_0 \varphi(\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i < \epsilon.$$

Apply the formula of minimal log discrepancies of toric varieties in Section 2.5, we get

$$\text{mld}(\eta_{\text{orb}(\tau)}) = \inf\{\varphi(\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in \text{rel int}(\tau) \cap N\} \leq \varphi(\mathbf{w}) < \epsilon.$$

Hence $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is not ϵ -lc, if $a_1 \geq M$. \square

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM FOR $n = 2$

As we discussed in Lemma 3.1, to prove Main Theorem for $n = 2$, it suffices to prove Lemma 3.1 for $n = 2$. We shall see that M can be taken $\lfloor (\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1)^2 \rfloor + 1$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the round down function.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 for $n = 2$. Let

$$L_1 : y - \epsilon = \frac{b-1}{a}x$$

be the line passing through the points $(0, \epsilon)$ and $(\epsilon a, \epsilon b)$,

$$L_2 : y = \frac{b}{a-1}(x - \epsilon)$$


the line passing through the points $(\epsilon, 0)$ and $(\epsilon a, \epsilon b)$. Let $\alpha = \frac{b}{a}$, and $Z = \lfloor \sqrt{a} \rfloor$. Then $\alpha \geq 1$ by assumption that $a \leq b$. Apply Dirichlet approximation Theorem 2.11, there exist integers p and q such that $1 \leq q \leq Z$ and $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{qZ}$.

Let

$$L : y = \frac{p}{q}x$$

be a line, and the point (x_0, y_0) be the intersection point of L and L_1 or L_2 . The idea is to show that if a is large enough, then the $x_0 > q$. So there exists at least one integral point on the line L , and thus an integral point in the interior of C_2^ϵ .

1) Case 1. $\frac{p}{q} \leq \frac{b}{a}$. In the case, L intersects with L_2 .



Notice that $\frac{p}{q} > \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{qZ}$ and $qZ \leq Z^2 \leq a$. So

$$\frac{b}{a-1} > \frac{b}{a} \geq \frac{p}{q} > \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{qZ} > 0.$$

In the case,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{a-1} \frac{b}{p}}{\frac{b}{a-1} - \frac{1}{q}} > \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{a-1} \frac{b}{p}}{\frac{b}{a-1} - \left(\frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{qZ}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \frac{a-1}{b} \cdot \frac{b}{a} + \frac{a-1}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{qZ}} = \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{a-1}{b} \frac{1}{qZ}} \\ &> \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{qZ}} \geq q \frac{Z\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$


The last inequality follows from $qZ \leq Z^2 \leq a$.

Let $M = \lfloor (\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1)^2 \rfloor + 1$. If $a > M$, then $\sqrt{a} > \frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1$ and thus

$$x_0 > q \frac{Z\epsilon}{2} = q \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{a} \rfloor \epsilon}{2} > q.$$

Hence there exists at least a lattice point in the interior of C_2^ϵ .

2) Case 2. $\frac{p}{q} > \frac{b}{a}$. In the case, L intersects with L_1 .



Notice that $\frac{p}{q} < \frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{qZ}$ and $qZ \leq Z^2 \leq a$.

In the case,

$$x_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{p}{q} - \frac{b-1}{a}} > \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{qZ} - \frac{b-1}{a}} = \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{qZ}} \geq q \frac{Z\epsilon}{2}$$

If $a > M = \lfloor (\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1)^2 \rfloor + 1$, then $\sqrt{a} > \frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1$ and thus

$$x_0 > q \frac{Z\epsilon}{2} = q \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{a} \rfloor \epsilon}{2} > q.$$

Hence there exists at least a lattice point in the interior of C_2^ϵ . \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM 3)

In the section, we consider general case of n .

Let $\Pi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the hyperplane passing through the set of vectors $\{\epsilon \mathbf{e}_1, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \epsilon \mathbf{e}_n, \epsilon \mathbf{a}\} \setminus \{\epsilon \mathbf{e}_i\}$. Then the equation for Π_i is:

$$\frac{\sum_{1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} a_j - 1}{a_i} x_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} x_j - \epsilon.$$

Apply Dirichlet Approximation, Theorem 2.12, to the given real numbers $\frac{a_2}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_1}$ and the natural number $Z = \lfloor a_1^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \rfloor$, then there are integers p_2, \dots, p_n and $1 \leq p_1 \leq Z$, such that

$$\left| \frac{a_i}{a_1} - \frac{p_i}{p_1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

and $p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq Z^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \leq a_1^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq a_1$.

Let L be the line defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_2 &= \frac{p_2}{p_1} x_1 \\ \vdots & \\ x_n &= \frac{p_n}{p_1} x_1 \end{cases}$$

Let $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_i = \frac{p_j}{p_1} - \frac{a_j}{a_1}, j = 2, \dots, n$. By Dirichlet Approximation, $|\lambda_j| < \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$.

Rewrite the equations of Π_i , we get

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \left(x_j - \frac{a_j}{a_i} x_i \right) + \frac{x_i}{a_i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \left(x_j - \frac{a_j}{a_1} x_1 - \frac{a_j}{a_i} \left(x_i - \frac{a_i}{a_1} x_1 \right) \right) + \frac{x_i}{a_i} = \epsilon.$$

The line L intersects the hyperplane Π_i for some i . Let $(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0)$ be the intersection point. Plug the equation of L into the equation of Π_i , we get

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \left(\left(\frac{p_j}{p_1} - \frac{a_j}{a_1} \right) x_1 - \frac{a_j}{a_i} \left(\frac{p_i}{p_1} - \frac{a_i}{a_1} \right) x_1 \right) + \frac{p_i}{p_1} \frac{x_1}{a_i} = \epsilon.$$

Let

$$A_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda_j - \frac{a_j}{a_i} \lambda_i \right) + \frac{1}{a_i} \left(\frac{a_i}{a_1} + \lambda_i \right) = \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda_j - \frac{a_j}{a_i} \lambda_i \right) + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{\lambda_i}{a_i}.$$

Then $x_1^0 = \frac{\epsilon}{A_i}$.

The goal is to show there exists an integer M depending on n and ϵ , such that if $a_1 > M$, then $x_1^0 > p_1$. Therefore, there exists at least one integral point in the interior of the convex body C_n^ϵ .

Proposition 5.1 (=Main Theorem 3)). *Suppose $\frac{a_j}{a_2} \leq a_1^\theta$ for all $3 \leq j \leq n$, where θ is a real number such that $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2n^2}$. Then Conjecture 1.5 holds.*

Proof. Since $a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots \leq a_n$, we get $\frac{a_j}{a_i} \leq a_1^\theta$ for $i \neq 1$.

If $i = 1$, then

$$A_1 = \sum_{j \neq 1} \lambda_j + \frac{1}{a_1} < \frac{n-1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} + \frac{1}{a_1} \leq \frac{n}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$$

since $p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}} < a_1$.

If $i \neq 1$, then

$$0 < A_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda_j - \frac{a_j}{a_i} \lambda_i \right) + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{\lambda_i}{a_i} \leq \frac{n-1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} + (n-1) a_1^\theta \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_1} \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$$

since $\lambda_j < \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$ for all j , $\frac{a_j}{a_i} \leq a_1^\theta$ and $a_i \geq a_1$ for all $i \neq 1$,

Since $a_1 \geq 1$ and $p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq a_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n-1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} &\leq (n-1) a_1^\theta \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \\ \frac{1}{a_1} &\leq a_1^\theta \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \\ \frac{1}{a_1 p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} &\leq a_1^\theta \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A_i \leq 2n a_1^\theta \frac{1}{p_1 Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$ for all i .

So


$$\frac{x_1^0}{p_1} = \frac{\epsilon}{p_1 A_i} \geq \frac{\epsilon Z^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{2n a_1^\theta} > \frac{\epsilon (a_1^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{2n a_1^\theta} > \frac{\epsilon}{4n} a_1^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \theta}$$

As a_1 is sufficiently large, $(a_1^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} > \frac{1}{2} a_1^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$. Since $\theta < \frac{1}{2n^2}$, $\frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \theta > 0$.

Therefore, as a_1 sufficiently large, $\frac{x_1^0}{p_1}$ turns to infinity. \square

6. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM FOR $n = 3$

By Proposition 5.1, to prove Main Theorem for $n = 3$, we only need to prove for the case $\frac{a_3}{a_2} > a_1^\theta$. In the case, visually the height of C_3^ϵ , which is a_3 , grows much faster than a_2 . Then we reduce the case to the 2-dim case. Precisely, projecting C_3^ϵ onto the $x_1 x_2$ -plane. By induction, there exists at least one lattice point (q, p) in the projection as a_1 is sufficiently large. Then show that the interval of C_3^ϵ and the height line $L' : x_1 = q, x_2 = p$ is greater than 1 as a_1 is sufficiently large. Hence there is a lattice point inside the convex body C_3^ϵ as a_1 is sufficiently large.



Proof. Project C_3^ϵ onto the x_1x_2 -plane. The convex set is as same as in 2-dimensional case. By Dirichlet approximation, let $M_2 = \lfloor \sqrt{a_1} \rfloor$, then there exist integers p and q such that

$$\left| \frac{a_2}{a_1} - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{qM_2},$$

and $1 \leq q \leq M_2$. So $qM_2 < a_1$.

For a_1 sufficiently large, the lattice point (q, p) is in the projection. Let the line $L' : x_1 = q, x_2 = p$. Then L' intersects

$$\Pi_3 : \frac{a_1 + a_2 - 1}{a_3} x_3 = x_1 + x_2 - \epsilon,$$

and L' will intersect one of

$$\Pi_1 : \frac{a_2 + a_3 - 1}{a_1} x_1 = x_2 + x_3 - \epsilon$$

or

$$\Pi_2 : \frac{a_1 + a_3 - 1}{a_2} x_2 = x_1 + x_3 - \epsilon$$

The goal is to show the interval $|L'_{23}|$ of L' between Π_2 and Π_3 , or the interval $|L'_{13}|$ of L' between Π_1 and Π_3 has length greater than 1 as a_1 is sufficiently large. Then there exists at least one lattice point inside C_3^ϵ .

A direct computation shows that

$$|L'_{13}| = \frac{a_2 + a_3 - 1}{a_1} q - p + \epsilon - \frac{p + q - \epsilon}{a_1 + a_2 - 1} a_3 = \left(\frac{a_2 - 1}{a_1} q - p + \epsilon \right) + \left(\frac{q}{a_1} - \frac{p + q - \epsilon}{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \right) a_3$$

Let $\lambda_2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} + \frac{p}{q}$. Then $|\lambda_2| < \frac{1}{qM_2}$ and $qM_2 < a_1$ by Dirichlet approximation. So

$$|L'_{13}| = -\frac{q}{a_1} - q\lambda_2 + \epsilon + \left(\epsilon - \frac{q}{a_1} - \lambda_2 q \right) \frac{a_3}{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \geq -\frac{1}{M_2} - \frac{1}{M_2} + \epsilon + \left(\epsilon - \frac{1}{M_2} - \frac{1}{M_2} \right) \frac{a_3}{2a_2}$$

Since $\frac{a_3}{a_2} \geq a_1^\theta$ by assumption, we get

$$|L'_{13}| \geq \left(\epsilon - \frac{2}{M_2} \right) + \left(\epsilon - \frac{2}{M_2} \right) \frac{a_1^\theta}{2}.$$

As a_1 turns to infinity, $|L'_{13}|$ will turn to infinity.

Similarly, a direct computation shows that

$$|L'_{23}| = \frac{a_1 + a_3 - 1}{a_2} p - q + \epsilon - \frac{p + q - \epsilon}{a_1 + a_2 - 1} a_3 = \left(\frac{a_1 - 1}{a_2} p - q + \epsilon \right) + \left(\frac{p}{a_2} - \frac{p + q - \epsilon}{a_1 + a_2 - 1} \right) a_3$$

Let $\lambda_2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1} + \frac{p}{q}$. Then $|\lambda_2| < \frac{1}{qM_2}$ and $qM_2 < a_1$ by Dirichlet approximation. So

$$|L'_{23}| = -\frac{q}{a_1} + \frac{a_1 - 1}{a_2} q\lambda_2 + \epsilon + \left(\epsilon - \frac{p}{a_2} + \frac{a_1}{a_2} q\lambda_2\right) \frac{a_3}{a_1 + a_2 - 1}$$

Since $\lambda_2 = \frac{p}{q} - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \leq \frac{1}{qM_2}$, we get $\frac{p}{a_2} \leq \frac{q}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2M_2}$.

Hence

$$|L'_{23}| \geq \left(-\frac{1}{M_2} - \frac{1}{M_2} + \epsilon\right) + \left(\epsilon - \frac{q}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2M_2} - \frac{1}{M_2}\right) \frac{a_3}{2a_2} \geq \left(\epsilon - \frac{2}{M_2}\right) + \left(\epsilon - \frac{2}{M_2} - \frac{1}{a_2M_2}\right) \frac{a_1^\theta}{2}$$

As a_1 turns to infinity, $|L'_{23}|$ turns to infinity. \square

REFERENCES

- [Am99] F. Ambro; *On minimal log discrepancies*, Mathematical Research Letters 6, 573 – 580 (1999).
- [Bi04] C. Birkar; *Topics in Modern Algebraic Geometry*, PhD thesis, <https://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~cb496/finalthesis.pdf>
- [Bi19] C. Birkar; *Anti-pluricanonical systems on Fano varieties*, Annals of Mathematics 190 (2019) 345 – 463.
- [Bi16] C. Birkar; *Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties*, [arXiv:1609.05543v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05543)
- [BLX19] H. Blum, Y. Liu and C. Xu; *Openness of K-semistability for Fano varieties*, [arXiv:1907.02408](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.02408).
- [FMX19] S. Filipazzi, J. Moraga and Y. Xu; *Log canonical 3-fold complements*, [arXiv:1909.10098](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.10098)
- [Fu93] W. Fulton; *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Rover Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [HLS19] J. Han, J. Liu and V. V. Shokurov; *ACC for minimal log discrepancies of exceptional singularities*, [arXiv:1903.04338v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.04338)
- [KSC04] J. Kollár, K. E. Smith and A. Corti, *Rational and Nearly Rational Varieties*
- [K01] M. Kawakita; *Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points*, Invent. Math. 145, 105–119 (2001).
- [Pr01] Yu. Prokhorov; *Lecture on complements on log surfaces*. MSJ Memoirs, 10. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2001. viii +130 pp. ISBN: 4-931469-12-4.
- [PS01] Yu. Prokhorov and V. V. Shokurov; *The first fundamental theorem on complements: from global to local*. (Russian) Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 65 (2001), no. 6, 99 – 128; translation in Izv. Math. 65 (2001), no. 6, 1169 – 1196.
- [PS09] Yu. Prokhorov and V. V. Shokurov; *Towards the second main theorem on complements*. J. Algebraic Geom. 18 (2009), no. 1, 151 – 199.
- [Sa19] G. K. Sankaran; *Singularities of fourfold blowups*, [arXiv:1911.06435v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06435)
- [Sh88] V. V. Shokurov, *Problems about Fano varieties*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open problems — Katata 1988, 30 – 32.
- [Sh92] V. V. Shokurov, *3-fold log flips*, Izv. Russ. A.N. Ser. Mat. 56: 105–203, 1992.
- [Sh93] V. V. Shokurov, *Three-dimensional log flips*. with an appendix in English by Yujiro Kawamata. Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 40 (1993), no. 1, 95 – 202.
- [Sh00] V. V. Shokurov, *Complements on surfaces*. Algebraic geometry, 10, J. Math. Sci. (New York) 102 (2000), no. 2, 3876 – 3932.
- [Sh19] V. V. Shokurov, *Boundedness and existence of n-complements*, 2019, (preprint to appear).
- [X19] C. Xu, *A minimizing valuation is quasi-monomial*, to appear in Annals of Math

[Xu19] Y. Xu; *Complements on log canonical Fano varieties*, [arXiv:1901.03891](#)

Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics
and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 55 Zhonguancun East
Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100190, P.R.China
yifeichen@amss.ac.cn