

Unraveling the role of long-range coherence for superfluid dynamics by disorder quenches

Benjamin Nagler,^{1,2} Sian Barbosa,¹ Jennifer Koch,¹ and Artur Widera^{1,2,*}

¹*Department of Physics and Research Center OPTIMAS, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Germany*

²*Graduate School Materials Science in Mainz, Gottlieb-Daimler-Strasse 47, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany*
(Dated: November 13, 2019)

We investigate the density and superfluid-expansion response of an interacting molecular Bose-Einstein condensate of ^6Li atoms upon quenches of a laser speckle pattern. We track the response times on which the system relaxes to a new equilibrium and relate the time scales to fundamental energy scales of the system. We find that the density responds on a time scale which is compatible with both superfluid and classical transport. By contrast, superfluid expansion breaks down an order of magnitude faster than the corresponding density response, which we can relate to phase gradients imprinted on the system destroying superfluid expansion. Further, the time scale on which the system relaxes from a quench out of disorder to superfluid expansion is two orders of magnitude longer than the corresponding density time scale. This suggests complex phase patterns relaxing on long time scales before superfluid expansion is reestablished. Our results shed light onto the importance of long-range phase coherence for superfluid flow, and also suggest a possible route of studying complex phase dynamics in superfluids by imprinting disordered phases.

Macroscopic quantum phenomena such as superconductivity and superfluidity are central to our understanding of many-body quantum systems and play an important role in emerging quantum technologies [1]. Their fascinating properties are tightly linked to the existence of a global wave function $\psi = \sqrt{n}e^{i\phi}$, with the particle density n and the quantum phase ϕ . Long-range phase coherence, i.e. a fixed phase relation between far distant locations in the quantum system, is considered crucial for establishing superfluid properties. Microscopically, a large number of particles occupy the same quantum state phase-coherently, as first recognized by Fritz London in his attempt to give a description of the properties of superfluid ^4He [2]. Ever since, the concept has been invaluable in the description of quantum fluids, particularly for the theoretical understanding and experimental control of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) in dilute atomic gases [3]. The macroscopic quantum phase ϕ has been revealed in interference experiments on BECs, measuring for instance the first-order correlation function [4–7], or its dynamics [8]. Phase coherence has also been investigated in experiments observing vortex lattices after rotating perturbation of the system [9, 10]. However, while the global phase and underlying long-range coherence is central to theoretical descriptions of superfluidity, the direct connection of long-range coherence with superfluid transport and expansion dynamics is challenging to access experimentally. Moreover, the relaxation of a non-equilibrium quantum system reestablishing superfluid behavior after a sudden perturbation, driving the system out of superfluid equilibrium, is so far elusive.

Quantum quenches, i.e. the sudden change of a parameter of the quantum system, have proven to be a powerful tool for studying the non-equilibrium response of quantum systems. Examples include the collapse and revival




FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of experimental setup and measurement sequences. (a) Experimental setup. The sample (yellow ellipsoid) is trapped in a superposition of an optical dipole trap (blue tube) and a magnetic saddle potential. The speckle beam (green volume) produces randomly distributed, anisotropic grains. The insets show a section of the speckle intensity distribution in the x - y -plane and an in-situ absorption image of a BEC in disorder. (b) and (c) Sequences for quenches into and out of disorder, respectively. Blue: optical dipole trap depth, green: disorder strength, red: imaging pulse. For measurements probing the expansion dynamics, the optical dipole trap and disorder potential are instantaneously extinguished and the gas is allowed to expand in the saddle potential for a variable time ξ before the density distribution is recorded. The density dynamics are recorded in situ, i.e. with $\xi = 0$.

of the matter-wave field of a BEC [11], the transport of atoms in optical lattices [12, 13], or the response of quasiparticles upon a quench of interaction strength [14]. Be-

* email: widera@physik.uni-kl.de




FIG. 2. Dynamics of density variations. (a) Degree of density variation σ versus disorder strength for $n_0 a^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$. Insets show absorption images for zero (left) and maximum (right) disorder strength. The gray line depicts σ_s , which is the standard deviation of points in the speckle potential below the chemical potential. The error bars are standard deviations of 5 repetitions and different disorder realizations. (b) Emergence (vanishing) of density variations after a quench into (out of) disorder for $\langle V \rangle / \mu = 1$ and $n_0 a^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$. Black lines are fits of Gompertz functions to the data. (c) Half-life periods of emergence (red) and vanishing (blue) of density variations for variable disorder strength and $n_0 a^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$. Error bars denote fitting uncertainties. The solid line describes the calculated time scale t_{on}^d .

yond spatially homogeneous or periodic quenches, lattice systems have also been quenched into disorder, and the response was interpreted to show signs of a Bose-glass phase [15]. However, in these works, the relation between the quantum phase ϕ and transport properties is not investigated. Here, we probe a BEC of ${}^6\text{Li}_2$ molecules by sudden quenches into and out of strong disorder. We compare the non-equilibrium response probing two observables. First, we study the density response, which equilibrates the density of the quantum fluid. Second, we probe the expansion dynamics after release from a confining potential and thereby quantify the superfluid response of the quantum gas. We find that the time scale of the density-response dynamics is given by superfluid dynamics and, thus, determined by the velocity field induced by the disorder potential. Superfluid hydrodynamic expansion breaks down once the phase of the wave function is sufficiently distorted upon a quench into disorder. The hydrodynamic behavior only reappears after a time that is two orders of magnitude larger than the time it takes for the density to equilibrate after disorder quenches.

Experimentally, we prepare quasi-pure molecular BECs of typically 4×10^5 ${}^6\text{Li}_2$ molecules in an elongated harmonic trap using standard techniques of laser and evaporative cooling [16]. The trapping potential is a superposition of an optical dipole trap and a magnetic saddle potential, the latter being anti-confining in z -direction. The trapping frequencies are $(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = 2\pi \times (164 \text{ Hz}, 22.6 \text{ Hz}, 107 \text{ Hz})$, leading to typical peak densities of $n_0 = 4.4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at the cloud center. We tune the interaction by means of a magnetic Feshbach resonance, enabling us to adjust the s -wave scattering length a between the molecules [17]. We use the gas parameter $n_0 a^3$, which relates a to the intermolecular

distance $\propto n_0^{-1/3}$, to quantify the interaction strength. Subsequently, a repulsive optical speckle disorder potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ composed of 532 nm laser light and with typical grain size $\eta_{x,y}^2 \times \eta_z = (750 \text{ nm})^2 \times 10 \mu\text{m}$ is superimposed on the cloud, where $\eta_{x,y}$ and η_z are the correlation lengths along the respective directions [16, 18]. We characterize the disorder strength by the spatially averaged potential $\langle V \rangle$.

In our case, the condensate's healing length at the trap center $\xi = 1/\sqrt{8\pi n_0 a}$, i.e. the length scale on which the condensate's wave function can react to a perturbation, is well below the grain size for all interaction strengths considered [16]. Therefore, the condensate resolves all details of the speckle [19]. The introduction of the random potential affects the BEC in two ways. First, the density distribution n readjusts to the altered external potential in order to minimize the energy of the system. Second, the phase is locally and dynamically shifted by $\Delta\phi(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r})\tau/\hbar$ [20], where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant and τ the illumination duration. Importantly, for quantum fluids, both effects are coupled via [20]

$$\mathbf{v} = \hbar/m\nabla\phi, \quad (1)$$

because a phase gradient is the source of a flow of density $n\mathbf{v}$ with velocity field \mathbf{v} .

First, we consider the impact on density. Molecules are repelled from the regions of large potential, leading to spatial density variations albeit no full fragmentation, the classical percolation threshold being far below the chemical potential [21]. We probe the density variations by measuring the in-situ column-integrated density distribution via resonant absorption imaging. We then quantify the degree of density variation of these images as

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\langle \Delta n^2 \rangle - \langle \Delta n \rangle^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta n = n - n_{\text{fit}}$ is the difference between the measured density distribution n and a fitted 2D Thomas-Fermi profile n_{fit} , and the brackets denote averaging over all pixels of the absorption image where $n_{\text{fit}} > 0$. Hence, Δn represents the local, disorder-induced deviation from a smooth density profile. The disorder effect on the density is shown in Fig. 2 (a), where the disorder is applied within 50 ms. The degree of density variation σ saturates once the mean speckle potential $\langle V \rangle$ approaches half the chemical potential μ . This is explained by the assumption that the density distribution mirrors the shape of the disorder potential. Thus, σ is given by the standard deviation of the speckle potential $\sigma_s = \sqrt{\langle V^2 \rangle - \langle V \rangle^2}$ in the region that can be explored by the BEC, i.e., where $V < \mu$. We obtain σ_s from a numerical simulation of the disorder [16] and find that it explains the observed values of σ without any free parameters.

We probe the density response to a quench both into and out of the disorder potential. For quenches into disorder, we create a BEC and instantaneously ($< 1 \mu\text{s}$) turn on the speckle for a time τ^{on} (see Fig. 1 (b)). The gas rearranges its density as a consequence of the modified external potential, and the emerging variations σ are recorded as a function of time. Quenches out of disorder are realized by slowly introducing the speckle during a 50 ms linear ramp, in order to minimize excitations in the gas, and subsequently waiting for 100 ms to let it equilibrate. Then we suddenly extinguish the speckle and wait for a variable time τ^{off} , during which the initially varying density can relax (Fig. 1 (c)).

A typical density-response dynamics is shown in Fig. 2 (b). The variations develop (vanish) on a characteristic time scale for quenches into (out of) disorder. In the following, we denote the half-life period $\tau_{1/2}$ as the characteristic time after which variations have reached half their final value. We extract the half-life periods by fitting the time series with Gompertz functions [16, 22], which we have found to adequately describe all data. In Fig. 2 (c), we show the half-life period for the density response as a function of disorder strength. For all cases, we observe a time scale of a few hundred microseconds. For quenches into disorder, we find that the half-life period decreases with disorder strength. This can be understood by the following argument: after switching on the speckle, the random potential causes a spatially varying accumulation of phase and, therefore, a local velocity field according to Eq. (1). We are interested in the typical time t_{on}^{d} after which the flow has traversed a given distance, which we set to the resolution of our imaging system $\alpha = 2.2 \mu\text{m}$. Thus, we estimate the mean velocity from the average gradient $\propto \langle V \rangle / \eta_{x,y}$ of the local disorder potential, yielding $t_{\text{on}}^{\text{d}} \propto \sqrt{\eta_{x,y} / \langle V \rangle}$ [16]. In addition, we have investigated the influence of interaction strength on the density dynamics and found slightly larger response times for decreasing interaction strength [16]. For quenches out of disorder, the inhomogeneous density itself drives the equilibration. We don't find any dependence of the half-life period $\tau_{1/2}^{\text{off}} \approx 250 \mu\text{s}$ on either disorder




FIG. 3. (a) Evolution of cloud aspect ratio for $n_0 a^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ and temperatures above and below T_C . The solid (dashed) line depicts the calculated trajectory for superfluid hydrodynamic (ballistic) expansion [16]. For short times, the measured trajectory for $T < T_C$ agrees well with the calculated one. For longer times, aberrations due to the accelerating motion of the cloud along the imaging axis distort the measured aspect ratios, but qualitative agreement remains. Insets show absorption images for the case $T < T_C$ after 0 ms, 13 ms, and 23 ms expansion. (b) Condensate fraction and peak aspect ratio versus temperature for $n_0 a^3 = 0.4 \times 10^{-3}$. The gray line is a theory prediction incorporating an interaction shift of the critical temperature [25]. The dotted line serves as a guide to the eye.

der or interaction strength. Various experimentally relevant dynamical scales are compatible with the observed response-time scale, including the speed of sound in the condensate, the average thermal velocity or the velocity associated with classical oscillatory dynamics, which all lie within the range $1\text{-}10 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$, prohibiting the identification of the dominant microscopic transport mechanism. Moreover, theory predicts a disorder-induced depletion of the condensate and superfluid density [23, 24], which would allow for a combination of coherent and classical transport contributing to the density dynamics.

We next turn to the superfluid response of the quantum gas. In fact, the response of a BEC upon release from a confining potential is entirely different from e.g. a non-interacting, thermal cloud. The existence of ψ implies collective dynamics that are similar to the hydrodynamic behavior of frictionless fluids [20]. Such superfluid hydrodynamics leads to an inversion of the cloud




FIG. 4. Dynamics of superfluid hydrodynamics upon disorder quenches. (a) Breakdown (reoccurrence) of superfluid hydrodynamics after quenches into (out of) disorder for $\langle V \rangle = 290 \text{ nK} \times k_B = 1.2 \times \mu$. Note the separate abscissa for each data set. Black lines are fits of exponential functions. (b) and (c) Half-life periods of breakdown (red points) and reoccurrence (blue points) of superfluid hydrodynamics for (b) variable disorder strength and gas parameter 1.1×10^{-2} (c) fixed disorder strength $\langle V \rangle/k_B = 145 \text{ nK}$ and variable interaction strength. Error bars denote fitting uncertainties. The solid lines describe t_{on}^{h} (red) and $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{h}}$ (blue), respectively. Both time scales incorporate the difference between initial and final aspect ratio, which approaches zero for vanishing disorder strength [16].

aspect ratio during expansion from an anisotropic trap, which is a strong indication for BEC [3]. Systems with strong interactions, such as unitary gases, also exhibit this phenomenon [26, 27]. This collisional hydrodynamics is caused by frequent scattering events during expansion and is therefore not connected to a macroscopic wave function.

In our trapping geometry, expansion is initiated by extinguishing the dipole trap beam and letting the cloud evolve in the stationary saddle potential. Superfluid hydrodynamics manifests itself as a sharp peak in the aspect ratio during expansion [16] whose magnitude we use as a measure of coherence, similar to a method proposed in [28]. Fig. 3 (a) shows the dynamics of the aspect ratio for two cloud temperatures T below and above T_C , the critical temperature for condensation. The aspect ratio of a quasi-pure BEC with $T < T_C$ exhibits a pronounced peak with value around 10 at roughly a quarter trapping period along the long axis of the cloud. By contrast, the aspect ratio of the thermal cloud, for which $T > T_C$, varies slowly and the peak value of ≈ 2.5 is larger than 1, which is the expected value for a gas with negligible interactions. We attribute this to a short initial phase of collisional hydrodynamics [29] due to the relatively large s -wave scattering length of $a = 2706 a_0$, where a_0 is the Bohr radius.

This interpretation of the aspect ratio to quantify superfluidity is supported by Fig. 3 (b) comparing the onset of superfluid hydrodynamics, quantified by the peak aspect ratio during expansion, with the appearance of a condensate fraction in the cloud and, therefore, a macroscopic wave function.

In order to probe the influence of disorder quenches on the expansion dynamics, we use a similar sequence as for the density response. Instead of imaging in situ after

quenches into and out of disorder, the density distribution is recorded after variable expansion time ξ . From these time series, we extract the peak aspect ratio. Importantly, during expansion, the disorder potential is always off. Fig. 4 (a) shows the peak aspect ratio as a function of time after a quench into and out of disorder. We find that superfluid hydrodynamics is destroyed one order of magnitude faster than the density can respond, while it takes two orders of magnitude longer than the density response to restore superfluid expansion again. This is consistently observed for all disorder strengths applied, as shown in Fig. 4 (b). Also here, simple arguments allow to relate the observed time scales to energy scales of the system. We attribute the breakdown of superfluid hydrodynamics to the phase imprint onto the BEC by the disorder potential, which is given by $\Delta\phi(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r})\tau^{\text{on}}/\hbar$. The phase pattern changes on length scales of the disorder correlation length, which is much smaller than the size of the quantum gas, and roughly a factor of two larger than the healing length of the condensate. Thus, the quench initiates a rapid and fine-grained phase evolution, eventually leading to dephasing between different locations within the cloud. From the mean phase difference $\langle \delta\phi \rangle = \langle V \rangle \tau^{\text{on}}/\hbar$ between two points in the BEC, we deduce the time scale for breakdown of superfluid hydrodynamics $t_{\text{on}}^{\text{h}} = \hbar/\langle V \rangle$. This argument indeed reproduces well the observed time scales and their dependence on the disorder strength. By contrast, the long time to reestablish superfluid hydrodynamics can be compared to the longest time scales in the system, i.e. the time $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{h}}$ a signal needs to traverse the long axis of the cloud with the speed of sound, $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{h}} = 2R_y/v_s$, where R_y is the largest Thomas-Fermi radius of the BEC. Furthermore, we observe that the superfluid hydrodynamic response is rather independent of interactions in the gas, see Fig. 4 (c).

The following picture emerges from our investigations. Superfluidity is destroyed by disorder quenches much faster than the density responds, which underlines the importance of long-range phase coherence for superfluid flow. Furthermore, superfluid expansion is absent for long times, even when the density is already fully in equilibrium. We conclude that the phase obviously has not yet established long-range coherence. We attribute this to the decay of a complex phase pattern toward an ordered phase, where, for instance, phase boundaries or vortices originating from the disorder quench need a relatively long time to decay.

This picture directly connects our observation to the recently reported absence of hydrodynamic behavior in BECs, where turbulence was introduced by applying a spatially homogeneous, oscillating force [30, 31]. Numerical simulations show that random phase imprints, spatially varying on a length scale slightly larger than the healing length, also result in turbulent flow [32]. Turbulence and accompanying vortices can be rather persistent with lifetimes exceeding several 100 ms [10, 30]. This suggests that the phase dynamics ensuing after a

disorder quench might generate turbulent flow that takes a relatively long time to decay before long-range phase coherence is established. The surprising fact that we do not see a sign of this in the density distributions for times longer than ~ 1 ms after quenches might be explained by the limited optical resolution of $\alpha = 2.2 \mu\text{m}$ of our imaging system. In turbulent flow, an energy cascade [30] could transfer excitations to smaller length scales we are unable to resolve.

In the future, it will be interesting to study the dynamical response of quantum gases along the BEC-BCS crossover to explore the impact of dynamical disorder on resonantly interacting superfluids. Also, our system is ideally suited to further follow the phase dynamics and its dependence on quench parameters.

We thank B. Gänger and J. Phieler for help in the construction of the apparatus. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) via the Collaborative Research Center SFB/TR185 (Project No. 277625399). B.N. receives support from a DFG Fellowship through the Excellence Initiative by the Graduate School Materials Science in Mainz (GSC 266).

-
- [1] C. L. Degen, F. Reinhard, and P. Cappellaro, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 035002 (2017).
- [2] K. Gavroglu and Y. Goudaroulis, *Ann. Sci.* **45**, 367 (1988).
- [3] W. Ketterle and M. W. Zwierlein, in [33], pp. 247–422.
- [4] M. R. Andrews, C. G. Townsend, H.-J. Miesner, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, *Science* **275**, 637 (1997).
- [5] E. W. Hagley, L. Deng, M. Kozuma, M. Trippenbach, Y. B. Band, M. Edwards, M. Doery, P. S. Julienne, K. Helmerson, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3112 (1999).
- [6] I. Bloch, T. Haensch, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **403**, 166 (2000).
- [7] J. Chin, D. Miller, Y. Liu, C. Stan, W. Setiawan, C. Sanner, K. Xu, and W. Ketterle, *Nature* **443**, 961 (2006).
- [8] S. Ritter, A. Öttl, T. Donner, T. Bourdel, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 090402 (2007).
- [9] K. W. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 806 (2000).
- [10] M. W. Zwierlein, J. R. Abo-Shaeer, A. Schirotzek, C. H. Schunck, and W. Ketterle, *Nature* **435**, 1047 (2005).
- [11] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, *Nature* **419**, 51 (2002).
- [12] U. Schneider, L. Hackermüller, J. P. Ronzheimer, S. Will, S. Braun, T. Best, I. Bloch, E. Demler, S. Mandt, D. Rasch, and A. Rosch, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 213 (2012).
- [13] F. Meinert, M. J. Mark, E. Kirilov, K. Lauber, P. Weinmann, A. J. Daley, and H.-C. Nägerl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 053003 (2013).
- [14] M. Cetina, M. Jag, R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, J. T. M. Walraven, R. Grimm, J. Levinsen, M. M. Parish, R. Schmidt, M. Knap, and E. Demler, *Science* **354**, 96 (2016).
- [15] C. Meldgin, U. Ray, P. Russ, D. Chen, D. M. Ceperley, and B. DeMarco, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 646 (2016).
- [16] See Supplementary Material.
- [17] R. Grimm, in [33], pp. 413–462.
- [18] R. C. Kuhn, O. Sigwarth, C. Miniatura, D. Delande, and C. A. Müller, *New J. Phys.* **9**, 161 (2007).
- [19] L. Sanchez-Palencia, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 053625 (2006).
- [20] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose–Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases*, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [21] S. Pilati, S. Giorgini, M. Modugno, and N. Prokof'ev, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 073003 (2010).
- [22] E. W. Weisstein, “Gompertz Curve. From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource,” (2019).
- [23] G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras, and S. Giorgini, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 023603 (2002).
- [24] V. I. Yukalov, E. P. Yukalova, K. V. Krutitsky, and R. Graham, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 053623 (2007).
- [25] M. Naraschewski and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 2423 (1998).
- [26] R. J. Fletcher, J. Man, R. Lopes, P. Christodoulou, J. Schmitt, M. Sohmen, N. Navon, R. P. Smith, and Z. Hadzibabic, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 011601 (2018).
- [27] I. Shvarchuck, C. Buggle, D. S. Petrov, M. Kemmann, W. von Klitzing, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and J. T. M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 063603 (2003).
- [28] I. Shvarchuck, C. Buggle, D. S. Petrov, K. Dieckmann, M. Zielonkowski, M. Kemmann, T. G. Tiecke, W. von Klitzing, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and J. T. M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 270404 (2002).
- [29] P. Pedri, D. Guéry-Odelin, and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 043608 (2003).
- [30] N. Navon, A. L. Gaunt, R. P. Smith, and Z. Hadzibabic, *Nature* **539**, 72 (2016).
- [31] E. A. L. Henn, J. A. Seman, G. Roati, K. M. F. Mag-

- alhães, and V. S. Bagnato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 045301 (2009).
- [32] M. Kobayashi and M. Tsubota, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 065302 (2005).
- [33] C. S. M. Inguscio, W. Ketterle, ed., *Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi"*, Vol. 164 (2007).
- [34] B. Gänger, J. Phieler, B. Nagler, and A. Widera, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **89**, 093105 (2018).
- [35] G. Zürn, T. Lompe, A. N. Wenz, S. Jochim, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 135301 (2013).
- [36] G. Reinaudi, T. Lahaye, Z. Wang, and D. Guéry-Odelin, *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 3143 (2007).
- [37] S. Giorgini, J. Boronat, and J. Casulleras, *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, 5129 (1999).
- [38] Y. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, R18 (1997).
- [39] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 090404 (2004).
- [40] J. W. Goodman, *Speckle Phenomena in Optics* (Roberts and Company Publishers, 2007).

*

Appendix A: Supplementary Material

In the following, details on the experimental procedure, the theoretical models and additional data are given.

1. Setup and sequence

A general overview of our experimental apparatus is presented in [34]. We prepare quantum gases in the BEC-BCS crossover regime by forced evaporative cooling of fermionic ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms in an equal mixture of the two lowest-lying Zeeman substates of the electronic ground state ${}^2\text{S}_{1/2}$. Evaporation takes place in a hybrid magnetic-optical trap at a magnetic field of 763.6 G on the repulsive side of a Feshbach resonance centered at 832.2 G [35], where atoms of opposite spin form bosonic molecules that eventually condense into a BEC. After evaporation, the sample is held at constant trap depth of $330 \text{ nK} \times k_B$ for 250 ms to ensure thermal equilibrium before the magnetic field is linearly ramped to its final value during 200 ms. We employ resonant high-intensity absorption imaging [36] to extract the column density distribution in the x - y -plane. From bimodal fits to the in-situ density distribution [25] at 680 G, we are not able to discern a thermal fraction.

The hybrid trap consists of an optical dipole trap and a magnetic saddle potential, which provides weak (anti-)confinement in (z -) x - and y -direction, whereas the optical trap strongly constrains the cloud along x and z . Since the saddle potential is an accessory to the magnetic field used to address the Feshbach resonance, its curvature depends on the field magnitude. For all experiments presented here, the combined trapping frequencies of optical and magnetic trap are $\omega_x = 2\pi \times 164 \text{ Hz}$ and $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 107 \text{ Hz}$. ω_y is listed in Table I for the different addressed magnetic fields.

The speckle potential is created by passing a laser beam of wavelength 532 nm through a diffusive plate and focusing the light, using an objective with numerical aperture 0.29, onto the atoms. They experience a repulsive and spatially random (but temporally constant) dipole potential V , which we characterize by its average $\langle V \rangle$ at the focal point of the objective. The typical grain size of the speckle is given by the Gaussian-shaped autocorrelation function of the potential with $1/e$ widths (correlation lengths) $\eta_{x,y} = 750 \text{ nm}$ transversely to and $\eta_z = 10 \mu\text{m}$ along the beam propagation direction. As the

magnetic field (G)	680.0	700.0	720.0	740.0	763.6
$\omega_y/2\pi$ (Hz)	21.4	21.7	22.0	22.3	22.6
a (a_0)	743	982	1310	1784	2706
$n_0 a^3$ (10^{-3})	0.4	0.9	1.8	3.9	11.0
Na/\bar{a} (10^3)	5	9	14	21	35
healing length (nm)	380	350	325	300	270

TABLE I. Overview of parameters for different magnetic fields. Scattering lengths taken from [35].




FIG. 5. Calculation of density variation σ from exemplary density profile obtained at interaction strength $n_0 a^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ and disorder strength $\langle V \rangle/\mu = 1.2$. (a) Measured density distribution n . (b) Fitted Thomas-Fermi profile n_{fit} . (c) Difference $\Delta n = n - n_{\text{fit}}$ in the region where $n_{\text{fit}} > 0$.

speckle beam has a Gaussian envelope with waist 850 μm , the disorder potential is slightly inhomogeneous with less than 5% variation of $\langle V \rangle$ across the typical cloud size. We change the specific disorder realization by slightly rotating the speckle pattern as a whole between repetitions. For that reason, the diffusive plate is attached to a motorized rotation mount. This allows us to measure disorder-averaged quantities that are independent of the microscopic details of any specific disorder realization.

2. BEC properties

All properties of the BEC are calculated from the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in Thomas-Fermi approximation. Due to the large gas parameters $n_0 a^3 \sim 10^{-2}$ at higher magnetic fields, the mean-field treatment becomes less accurate [37]. The Thomas-Fermi approximation however is well justified, since the condition $Na/\bar{a} \gg 1$ [20] is fulfilled for all magnetic fields (see Table I). N is the number of molecules and $\bar{a} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\bar{\omega}}$ the harmonic oscillator length that corresponds to the geometric mean of the trapping frequencies $\bar{\omega}$. For the calculation of the speed of sound and healing length, we use $v_s = \sqrt{\mu/m}$ and $\xi = 1/\sqrt{8\pi n_0 a}$, which are strictly valid only for homogeneous BECs.

3. Measurement of density variation

We quantify the degree of density variation of a measured density distribution n as $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle \Delta n^2 \rangle - \langle \Delta n \rangle^2}$, with $\Delta n = n - n_{\text{fit}}$ and n_{fit} a smooth, 2D Thomas-Fermi profile $\propto (1 - (x/R_x)^2 - (y/R_y)^2)^{3/2}$ fitted to n . Here, the brackets denote averaging over all pixels with $n_{\text{fit}} > 0$. Due to aberrations and inhomogeneities of the imaging setup, σ is larger than zero even for density profiles without disorder. We correct for that by subtracting this offset.




FIG. 6. Scaling parameters and aspect ratio for superfluid hydrodynamic (solid lines) and ballistic (dashed lines) expansion into the saddle potential for a magnetic field of 763.6 G in our setup.

In order to extract the half-life period from the density response dynamics (see Fig. 2 (a)), we fit the time series with a Gompertz function $\propto \exp(-b \exp(-ct))$ [22]. The half-life period is obtained by calculating $\tau_{1/2} = -\log(\log(2)/b)/c$, where \log is the natural logarithm.

4. Cloud expansion into a saddle potential

The time evolution of a BEC with initial density distribution $n(\mathbf{r}, t=0)$ in a harmonic trap with time-dependent frequencies $\omega_i(t)$ ($i = x, y, z$) can be described in terms of a scaling transform $n(\mathbf{r}, t) = n(x/b_x, y/b_y, z/b_z, t)/b_x b_y b_z$ [38]. $b_i(t)$ are the scaling parameters that are obtained from the solution of

$$\ddot{b}_i = -\omega_i^2(t)b_i + \frac{\omega_i(0)^2}{b_i b_x b_y b_z} \quad (\text{A1})$$

with boundary conditions $b_i(0) = 1$ and $\dot{b}_i(0) = 0$. For our system, $\omega_{x,y,z}(0)/2\pi = 164$ Hz, 22.6 Hz, 107 Hz for 763.6 G. With decreasing magnetic field, also $\omega_z(0)$ decreases slightly (see Table I) while $\omega_x(0)$ and $\omega_z(0)$ are solely determined by the optical trap. Upon extinction of the dipole trap at $t=0$, the trapping frequencies instantaneously take on the values $\omega_{x,y,z}(t) = \omega_y(0), \omega_y(0), i\sqrt{2}\omega_y(0)$. The imaginary frequency reflects the anti-confining nature of the saddle potential along z . Note that Eq. (A1) neglects the contribution of the quantum pressure $\propto \nabla^2 \sqrt{n}$ [20]. Fig. 6 shows the dynamics of the scaling parameters during expansion. The confinement of the saddle potential in the x - y -plane causes oscillatory behavior therein, while the anti-confinement along z stretches the cloud ever-increasingly. In contrast, a non-interacting cloud doesn't exhibit collective behavior and each particle escapes with its momentary velocity at the time of release. This facilitates an analytical de-

scription of such ballistic expansion dynamics in terms of a scaling transform [3], the corresponding trajectories are displayed in Fig. 6. The most distinct feature of hydrodynamic expansion, as compared to ballistic expansion, is the contraction and subsequent expansion of the cloud along its initially longer axis, or equivalently, a peak in the aspect ratio.

5. Description of timescales

Density response After the quench into disorder, the random potential causes a spatially varying accumulation of phase $\Delta\phi = Vt/\hbar$, resulting in a velocity field according to $\mathbf{v} = \hbar/m\nabla\phi$. We can only detect density variations once their size exceeds the resolution $\alpha = 2.2\mu\text{m}$ of our imaging system. Therefore, we are interested in the typical time t_{on}^{d} after which the flow has traversed the distance α . Thus, we estimate $\langle|\mathbf{v}|\rangle$ in order to be able to calculate $\Delta s = 1/2 \langle|a|\rangle t^2$, where $\langle|a|\rangle = d\langle|\mathbf{v}|\rangle/dt = \langle|\nabla V|\rangle/m$. Since the only relevant length and energy scale of the speckle in the imaging plane are given by $\langle V \rangle$ and the correlation length $\eta_{x,y}$, the magnitude of the mean speckle gradient must be proportional to $\langle V \rangle/\eta_{x,y}$. Indeed, a numerical simulation provides $\langle(\nabla V)_x\rangle = \langle(\nabla V)_y\rangle = \langle V \rangle/\eta_{x,y}$, yielding $\langle|\nabla V|\rangle = \sqrt{2}\langle V \rangle/\eta_{x,y}$. This leads to the estimation $t_{\text{on}}^{\text{d}} = \sqrt{2m\alpha/\langle|\nabla V|\rangle} = \sqrt{\sqrt{2}m\alpha\eta_{x,y}/\langle V \rangle}$.

Once the speckle potential is rapidly extinguished, the density redistributes so as to adapt to the altered external potential. We assume that the typical speed of flow is given by v . We can only detect the redistribution as long as it occurs on a length scale larger than α . This yields the estimation $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{d}} = \alpha/v$. Plugging in either the speed of sound v_s , the average thermal velocity from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution $\propto \sqrt{k_B T/m}$ ($T < 100$ nK), or the maximum velocity during a classical harmonic oscillation $R_x\omega_x$ in the dipole trapping potential yields values close to the observed times. R_x denotes the Thomas-Fermi radius of the condensate along x .

Superfluid hydrodynamic response Since we attribute the breakdown of hydrodynamics to the loss of phase coherence, it must be related to the spatially varying phase accumulation after the quench. The mean phase difference between two points in the BEC after time t is $\langle\delta\phi\rangle = \langle\Delta V\rangle t/\hbar$, with the mean speckle potential difference $\langle\Delta V\rangle = \langle|V(\mathbf{r}) - V(\mathbf{r}')|\rangle$. From the numerical simulation we obtain $\langle\Delta V\rangle = \langle V \rangle$, yielding $t_{\text{on}}^{\text{h}} = \hbar/\langle V \rangle$. In order to incorporate the differences in initial (A_i) and final (A_f) peak aspect ratio in t_{on}^{h} , we write $t_{\text{on}}^{\text{h}} = \hbar/\langle V \rangle \times \Delta A/A_i$, where $\Delta A = A_i - A_f$.

As the time scale of reoccurrence of hydrodynamics, we find $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{h}} = 2R_y/v_s = 2\sqrt{2}/\omega_y$, where $R_y = \sqrt{2\mu/m}/\omega_y$ is the Thomas-Fermi radius along y . Similar as for t_{on}^{h} , we write $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{h}} = 2\sqrt{2}/\omega_y \times |\Delta A|/A_f$.




FIG. 7. Particle losses. (a) Molecule number of the measurement series probing the density response at 763.6 G for varying disorder strengths. Error bars are standard deviations of 5 repetitions with different disorder realizations. (b) Relative losses for varying magnetic field and, thus, interaction strength at fixed disorder strength $\langle V \rangle / k_B = 145$ nK. Depicted is the ratio between molecule numbers after the quench out of and before the quench into disorder in the measurement series probing the density response. (c) Relative losses during $\tau_{\text{off}} = 150$ ms after a quench out of disorder. Shown is the ratio between the molecule number after τ_{off} and directly after the quench.

6. Additional data

Losses Introducing the speckle causes particle losses through several mechanisms. Since the mean disorder potential, chemical potential and optical trap depth are of similar magnitude, mere extrusion from the trap might occur. In addition, the presence of disorder can locally increase the density and thereby favor inelastic processes. Fig. 7 (a) shows the molecule number of the measurement series probing the density response at 763.6 G, which decreases roughly linearly with disorder strength. For magnetic fields below 720 G, we observe increased losses (Fig. 7 (b)) of up to 30%. This is, in part, caused by enhanced collisional relaxation of molecules into deeply bound states, an effect that leads to molecule losses and rapidly increases with decreasing scattering length [39]. Importantly, there are no significant losses during the waiting time τ_{off} after quenches out of disorder, as shown in Fig. 7 (c). The variations around 0 and error bars reflect the typical particle number variation in our experiment. This excludes speckle-induced heating and subsequent evaporation as the origin of the breakdown and recurrence of hydrodynamics.

Density response dynamics Fig. 8 (a) depicts the interaction dependence of the half-life periods of the density response for quenches into and out of disorder. $\tau_{1/2}^{\text{on}}$ decreases for increasing interaction strength, which is not captured by t_{on}^{d} . This is due to the simplicity of the model, which merely accounts for the initial phase of density redistribution, which is independent of parameters such as the interaction strength. In Fig. 8 (b), the maximum density variation for variable interaction strength is shown.

7. Numerical simulation of optical speckle

We use a simple numerical approach to simulate a homogeneous 2D speckle pattern, which serves as an approximation of the inhomogeneous 3D disorder potential we create in the x - y -plane in the experiment. This is justified, as the typical cloud size $\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$ along y is much smaller than the diameter of the speckle envelope ≈ 1.7 mm. Furthermore, the correlation length perpendicular to the x - y -plane is much smaller than in this plane and comparable to the cloud size along z . Numerically, the (scalar) electric field distribution of a speckle is readily obtained from the discrete fast Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(P)$ of a 2D array P filled with random phase factors [40]. Thus, each entry (k, l) of P is given by $P_{k,l} = \exp(2\pi i R)$, where R is a continuous random variable being uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1)$. In order to increase the smoothness of the output of \mathcal{F} , R is zero-padded. Since we are interested in the speckle intensity distribution S , we calculate $S = |\mathcal{F}(P)|^2$. From here on, it is straightforward to derive quantities such as the mean potential gradient from S .




FIG. 8. Additional data. Red (blue) points represent quenches into (out of) disorder. (a) Half-life periods of emergence and vanishing of density variations for fixed disorder strength $\langle V \rangle / k_B = 145 \text{ nK}$ and variable interaction. Error bars denote fitting uncertainties. The solid line describes t_{on}^d . (b) Maximum density variation for variable interaction strength and fixed disorder strength $\langle V \rangle = 145 \text{ nK} \times k_B$.