

Classification of conformal minimal immersions from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form

Xiaoxiang Jiao¹ and Mingyan Li²

Abstract. In this paper, we determine all conformal minimal immersions of 2-spheres in complex Grassmann manifold $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form.

Keywords and Phrases. Conformal minimal immersion, Gauss curvature, Second fundamental form, Complex Grassmann manifold, classification.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 53C42, 53C55.

Project supported by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 11871450, 11901534).

1. INTRODUCTION

The geometry of an m -dimensional smooth submanifold in an n -dimensional Riemann space is determined by two symmetric fundamental tensor fields (equivalently, quadratic differential forms): the first fundamental form, that is, the metric tensor, and the second fundamental form, the latter with values in normal vector bundle of the submanifold. It is well known that the first one is parallel by definition, but the second one does not need to be parallel. Therefore an interesting class of submanifolds with parallel second fundamental tensor field can be singled out, and its classification is an enduring and important topic.

It is a long history of studying minimal submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in various Riemannian spaces. The first result on parallel submanifolds was given by V.F. Kagan [18] in 1948 who showed that the class of parallel surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space consists of open parts of planes, round spheres and circular cylinders $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$. Since then, there have emerged many works on parallel submanifolds in not only Euclidean space, but also various Riemannian spaces (see [10, 11, 19, 22] and the references therein). In an elegant paper [20], H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi studied some properties about Kähler imbeddings of compact Hermitian symmetric spaces in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and gave a classification of Kähler submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with parallel second fundamental form. In 1984 A. Ros [21] decided all compact Einstein Kähler submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with parallel second fundamental form. Generally, studying classifications of conformal minimal two-spheres immersed in various Riemannian symmetric spaces with parallel second fundamental form is very difficult. Recently, we discussed the geometry of conformal minimal immersions from S^2 to the hyperquadric Q_n and gave a complete classification theorem of them under the assumption that they have parallel second fundamental form (cf. [16]). L. He and the first author also classified all conformal minimal two-spheres immersed in the quaternionic projective space HP^n with parallel second fundamental form (cf. [13]).

¹X.X. Jiao

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

e-mail: xxjiao@ucas.ac.cn

²M.Y. Li (Corresponding author)

School of Mathematical Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, P. R. China

e-mail: limingyan@ouc.edu.cn

Let $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be the complex Grassmann manifold consisting of all complex 2-dimensional subspaces in the complex vector space \mathbb{C}^N . Regarding $\mathbb{C}P^{N-2}$, Q_{N-2} and HP^n ($N = 2n + 2$) are maximal totally geodesic submanifolds in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, it was natural to try to extend these results described above to study the rigidity of harmonic maps from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$. Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with Gauss curvature K and second fundamental form B . Suppose B is parallel, in this paper, we firstly investigate geometry of ϕ by the theory of harmonic maps and generalize our characterization of the harmonic sequence generated by ϕ . Then we mainly study the rigidity of ϕ and give its classification according to the following four cases:

- (I) ϕ is a holomorphic curve in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$;
- (II) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$;
- (III) $\text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$ and $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$;
- (IV) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$.

Our classification theorems of ϕ for cases (I)-(IV) are as follows respectively:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full holomorphic curve, and let K and B be its Gauss curvature and second fundamental form respectively. If B is parallel, then ϕ belongs to one of the following minimal immersions.*

- (1) up to $U(3)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{V}_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 3; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 2$ and $\|B\|^2 = 4$;
- (2) up to $U(3)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_0^{(1)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 3; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 4$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$, where $c_0 = (0, 0, 1)^T$;
- (3) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 2$ and $\|B\|^2 = 4$, where $c_0 = (0, 0, 0, 1)^T$;
- (4) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{\underline{V}}_0^{(1)} \oplus \underline{V}_0^{(1)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 2$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
- (5) up to $U(6)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{\underline{V}}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{V}_0^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 1$ and $\|B\|^2 = 2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$, and let K and B be its Gauss curvature and second fundamental form respectively. If B is parallel, then ϕ belongs to one of the following minimal immersions.*

- (1) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_1^{(3)} \oplus \underline{V}_2^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{8}{3}$;
- (2) up to $U(3)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{V}_2^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 3; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 1$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
- (3) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{\underline{V}}_0^{(1)} \oplus \underline{V}_1^{(1)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 2$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
- (4) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_0^{(3)} \oplus \underline{V}_3^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{8}{3}$;
- (5) up to $U(6)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{\underline{V}}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{V}_2^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 1$ and $\|B\|^2 = 2$;
- (6) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_1^{(2)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = 1$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$, where $c_0 = (0, 0, 0, 1)^T$;
- (7) up to $U(6)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_2^{(4)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{3}$, where $c_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$ and $\text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$, let K and B be its Gauss curvature and second fundamental form respectively. If B is parallel, then ϕ belongs to one of the following minimal immersions.*

- (1) up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\underline{V}_1^{(3)} \oplus \underline{V}_3^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{2}{5}$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;

(2) up to $U(5)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{V}_1^{(1)} \oplus V_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 5; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{4}{5}$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
 (3) up to $U(6)$ equivalence, ϕ is $V_3^{(4)} \oplus \underline{\alpha} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{2}{5}$, $\|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{5}$, where $\alpha = (V_2^{(4)T}, \sqrt{48}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})^T$ for some constant θ .

Theorem 1.4. Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a ∂' -irreducible and ∂'' -irreducible linearly full conformal minimal immersion, and let K and B be its Gauss curvature and second fundamental form respectively. If B is parallel, then ϕ belongs to one of the following minimal immersions.

(1) up to $U(5)$ equivalence, ϕ is $V_1^{(4)} \oplus V_3^{(4)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 5; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{1}{5}$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
 (2) up to $U(6)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{V}_1^{(2)} \oplus V_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|B\|^2 = 0$;
 (3) up to $U(10)$ equivalence, ϕ is $\widehat{V}_2^{(4)} \oplus V_2^{(4)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 10; \mathbb{C})$ with $K = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{2}{3}$.

In these four theorems, $V_i^{(n)}$ denotes the linearly full Veronese surface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, its standard expression is given in Section 2 below. If $n \leq N - 2$, for one thing, we add zeros to the end of $V_i^{(n)}$ such that it belongs to \mathbb{C}^N , in the absence of confusion, we also denote it by $V_i^{(n)}$; for another, we denote it as $\widehat{V}_i^{(n)}$ by adding zeros to the front of $V_i^{(n)}$ such that it belongs to \mathbb{C}^N also.

Theorems 1.1-1.4 give the classification of all linearly full conformal minimal immersions from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form, no two of the above eighteen cases are congruent, i.e. there is no isometry of $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ such that it transforms one case into another. Furthermore, the Gauss curvatures that occur in Theorems 1.1-1.4 obey Delisle, Hussin and Zakrzewski's conjecture [6, 7].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M, ds_M^2 be a simply connected domain in the unit sphere S^2 with conformal metric $ds_M^2 = dzd\bar{z}$, and (z, \bar{z}) be a complex coordinate on M . Denote

$$A_z = \frac{1}{2}s^{-1}\partial s, \quad A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}s^{-1}\bar{\partial} s,$$

where s is a smooth map from M to the unitary group $U(N)$, $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$. Then s is a harmonic map if and only if it satisfies the following equation (cf. [23]):

$$\bar{\partial} A_z = [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that $s : S^2 \rightarrow U(N)$ is an isometric immersion, then s is conformal and minimal if it is harmonic. Let ω be the Maurer-Cartan form on $U(N)$, and let $ds_{U(N)}^2 = \frac{1}{8}\text{tr}\omega\omega^*$ be the metric on $U(N)$. Then the metric induced by s on S^2 is locally given by

$$ds^2 = -\text{tr} A_z A_{\bar{z}} dz d\bar{z}.$$

We consider the complex Grassmann manifold $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ as the set of Hermitian orthogonal projections from \mathbb{C}^N onto a 2-dimensional subspace in \mathbb{C}^N . Then map $\phi : M \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is a Hermitian orthogonal projection onto a 2-dimensional subbundle $\underline{\phi}$ of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}^N} = M \times \mathbb{C}^N$ given by setting the fibre $\underline{\phi}_x = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in M$. $\underline{\phi}$ is called (a) *harmonic ((sub-) bundle)* whenever ϕ is a harmonic map. Here $s = \phi - \phi^\perp$ is a map from S^2 into $U(N)$. It is well known that ϕ is harmonic if and only if s is harmonic. ϕ is a *holomorphic (resp. anti-holomorphic) curve* in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\phi^\perp \bar{\partial} \phi = 0$ (resp. $\phi^\perp \partial \phi = 0$).

For a conformal minimal immersion $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, two harmonic sequences are derived as follows (cf. [24]):

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{\phi}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{\phi}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}_{-i} \xrightarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial''} 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\underline{\phi}_i = \partial' \underline{\phi}_{i-1}$ and $\underline{\phi}_{-i} = \partial'' \underline{\phi}_{-i+1}$ are Hermitian orthogonal projections from $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^N$ onto $\underline{Im}(\phi_{i-1}^\perp \partial \phi_{i-1})$ and $\underline{Im}(\phi_{-i+1}^\perp \bar{\partial} \phi_{-i+1})$ respectively, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Now recall ([3], §3A) that a harmonic map $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ in (2.2)(resp. (2.3)) is said to be ∂' -irreducible (resp. ∂'' -irreducible) if $\text{rank } \underline{\phi}_1 = \text{rank } \underline{\phi}$ (resp. $\text{rank } \underline{\phi}_{-1} = \text{rank } \underline{\phi}$) and ∂' -reducible (resp. ∂'' -reducible) otherwise.

For an arbitrary harmonic map $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, we know that several consecutive harmonic maps in (2.2) are not mutually orthogonal generally. So it is meaningful to define the *isotropy order* (cf. [3]) of ϕ to be the greatest integer r such that $\phi \perp \phi_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

As in [8] call a harmonic map $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ (*strongly*) *isotropic* if ϕ has isotropy order $\geq r$ for all r . In this case we just set $r = \infty$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a map. ϕ is *linearly full* if $\underline{\phi}$ can not be contained in any proper trivial subbundle $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^n$ of $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^N$ ($n < N$).

In this paper, we always assume that ϕ is linearly full.

Suppose that $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is a linearly full harmonic map and it belongs to the following harmonic sequence:

$$\underline{\phi}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} = \underline{\phi}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_{i_0} \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for some $i = 0, \dots, i_0$. We choose local orthonormal frames $e_1^{(i)}, e_2^{(i)}, \dots, e_{k_i}^{(i)}$ such that they locally span subbundle $\underline{\phi}_i$ of $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^N$, where $k_i = \text{rank } \underline{\phi}_i$.

Let $W_i = (e_1^{(i)}, e_2^{(i)}, \dots, e_{k_i}^{(i)})$ be an $(N \times k_i)$ -matrix. Then we have

$$\phi_i = W_i W_i^*, \quad W_i^* W_i = I_{k_i \times k_i}, \quad W_{i-1}^* W_i = 0, \quad W_{i+1}^* W_i = 0.$$

By these equations, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{cases} \partial W_i = W_{i+1} \Omega_i + W_i \Psi_i, \\ \bar{\partial} W_i = -W_{i-1} \Omega_{i-1}^* - W_i \Psi_i^*, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where Ω_i is a $(k_{i+1} \times k_i)$ -matrix, Ψ_i is a $(k_i \times k_i)$ -matrix for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i_0$, and $\Omega_{i_0} = 0$. It is very evident that integrability conditions for (2.5) are

$$\bar{\partial} \Omega_i = \Psi_{i+1}^* \Omega_i - \Omega_i \Psi_i^*,$$

$$\bar{\partial} \Psi_i + \partial \Psi_i^* = \Omega_i^* \Omega_i + \Psi_i^* \Psi_i - \Omega_{i-1} \Omega_{i-1}^* - \Psi_i \Psi_i^*.$$

For a conformal immersion $\phi : M \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, we define its *Kähler angle* to be the function $\theta : M \rightarrow [0, \pi]$ given in terms of a complex coordinate z on M by (cf. [2, 4])

$$\tan \frac{\theta(p)}{2} = \frac{|d\phi(\partial/\partial \bar{z})|}{|d\phi(\partial/\partial z)|}, \quad p \in M.$$

It is clear that θ is globally defined and is smooth at p unless $\theta(p) = 0$ or π . ϕ is holomorphic (resp. anti-holomorphic) if and only if $\theta(p) = 0$ (resp. $\theta(p) = \pi$) for all $p \in M$, while ϕ is totally real if and only if $\theta(p) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $p \in M$.

Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a conformal minimal immersion with the harmonic sequence (2.4), put $L_i = \text{tr}(\Omega_i \Omega_i^*)$, then, in terms of a local complex coordinate z , its Kähler angle θ_i satisfies

$$\left(\tan \frac{\theta_i}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{L_{i-1}}{L_i}.$$

The metric induced by ϕ is given in the form

$$ds_i^2 = (L_{i-1} + L_i) dz d\bar{z} \triangleq \lambda^2 dz d\bar{z}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let K and B be the Gauss curvature and second fundamental form of ϕ respectively, then we have

$$\begin{cases} K = -\frac{2}{L_{i-1} + L_i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(L_{i-1} + L_i), \\ \|B\|^2 = 4 \text{tr} P P^*, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where $P = \partial \left(\frac{A_z}{\lambda^2} \right)$ with $A_z = (2\phi - I) \partial \phi$, I is the identity matrix (cf. [14, 15]).

In the following, we review the rigidity theorem of conformal minimal immersions with constant curvature from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^N$.

Let $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion, a harmonic sequence is derived as follows

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\psi}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\psi} = \underline{\psi}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\psi}_N \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0 \quad (2.8)$$

for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

We define a sequence f_0, \dots, f_N be local sections of $\underline{\psi}_0, \dots, \underline{\psi}_N$ inductively such that f_0 is a nowhere zero local section of $\underline{\psi}_0$ (without loss of generality, assume that $\bar{\partial} f_0 \equiv 0$) and $f_{i+1} = \psi_i^\perp(\partial f_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, N-1$. Then we have some formulae as follows (cf. [2]):

$$\partial f_i = f_{i+1} + \frac{\langle \partial f_i, f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2} f_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\bar{\partial} f_i = -\frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.10)$$

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \log |f_i|^2 = l_i - l_{i-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N. \quad (2.11)$$

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \log l_i = l_{i+1} - 2l_i + l_{i-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.12)$$

where $l_i = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}$ for $i = 0, \dots, N-1$, and $l_{-1} = l_N = 0$.

Next, we state the definition of degree of a smooth map ψ from a compact Riemann surface M into $G(k, N; \mathbb{C})$ as follows.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). The degree of ψ , denoted by $\deg \psi$ is the degree of the induced map $\psi^* : H^2(G(k, N; \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ on second cohomology.

In (2.8), let $F_i = f_0 \wedge f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_i$ be a local lift of the i -th osculating curve, where $i = 0, \dots, N$. We write $F_i = f(z) \tilde{F}_i$, where $f(z)$ is the greatest common divisor of the $\binom{N+1}{i+1}$ components of F_i . Then \tilde{F}_i is a nowhere zero holomorphic curve, and the degree

$\delta_i^{(N)}$ of F_i is given by $\delta_i^{(N)} = 1/(2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \int_{S^2} \partial\bar{\partial} \log |F_i|^2 d\bar{z} \wedge dz$, which is equal to the degree of the polynomial function \tilde{F}_i . Then we have

$$\delta_i^{(N)} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{S^2} l_i d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$$

Especially, for harmonic sequence (2.8). Let $r(\partial')$ = sum of the indices of the singularities of ∂' , which is called the *ramification index* of ∂' by Bolton et al (cf. [2]). Note that if $r(\partial') = 0$ in (2.8) for all ∂' , the harmonic sequence is defined *totally unramified* in [2]. If (2.8) is a totally unramified harmonic sequence, then (see [2])

$$\delta_i^{(N)} = (i+1)(N-i). \quad (2.13)$$

Consider the Veronese sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{V}_0^{(N)} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{V}_1^{(N)} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{V}_N^{(N)} \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

For each $i = 0, \dots, N$, $\underline{V}_i^{(N)} : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ is given by $V_i^{(N)} = (v_{i,0}, \dots, v_{i,N})^T$, where, for $z \in S^2$ and $j = 0, \dots, N$,

$$v_{i,j}(z) = \frac{i!}{(1+z\bar{z})^i} \sqrt{\binom{N}{j}} z^{j-i} \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{j}{i-k} \binom{N-j}{k} (z\bar{z})^k.$$

Each map $\underline{V}_i^{(N)}$ satisfies

$$|V_i^{(N)}|^2 = \frac{N!i!}{(N-i)!} (1+z\bar{z})^{N-2i}, \quad (2.14)$$

it has induced metric $ds_i^2 = \frac{N+2i(N-i)}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dz d\bar{z}$, and the corresponding constant curvature K_i is given by $K_i = \frac{4}{N+2i(N-i)}$.

By Calabi's rigidity theorem, Bolton et al proved the following rigidity result (cf. [2]).

Lemma 2.3 ([2]). *Let $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion of constant curvature. Then, up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^N$, the harmonic sequence determined by ψ is the Veronese sequence.*

3. HOLOMORPHIC CURVES WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

We recall that an immersion of S^2 in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is conformal and minimal if and only if it is harmonic. Thus, we shall consider harmonic maps from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form to give the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.4 in Section 1.

Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a harmonic map with Gauss curvature K and second fundamental form B . Suppose that B is parallel, it is known that such 2-spheres in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ have constant curvature (cf. [15], Theorem 4.5). To give a complete classification, in this paper we analyze ϕ by the following six cases:

- (I) ϕ is a holomorphic curve in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$;
- (II) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$;
- (III) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$ and $\text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$;
- (IV) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$;
- (V) ϕ is an anti-holomorphic curve in $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$;
- (VI) $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = 2$ and $\text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$.

For cases (V) and (VI), since the conjugations of corresponding $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ belong to cases (I) and (III) respectively, we only consider the classification of ϕ in cases (I)-(IV) here.

In this section we first discuss the case that ϕ is holomorphic, then a harmonic sequence is derived by ϕ via the ∂' -transform

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

To characterize ϕ , we need the following two Lemmas about parallel minimal immersions of 2-spheres in $G(k, N; \mathbb{C})$ as follows:

Lemma 3.1. ([15]) *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(k, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a conformal minimal immersion with Gauss curvature K and second fundamental form B . Suppose that B is parallel, then the following equations*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda^2 (2K + \|B\|^2) A_{\bar{z}} + 4[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = 0, \\ \lambda^2 \left(\frac{\|B\|^2}{4} - K \right) P + [[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

hold.

Lemma 3.2. ([15]) *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(k, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a conformal minimal immersion with Gauss curvature K and second fundamental form B . Then B is parallel if and only if the equation*

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{16} \|B\|^2 (8K + \|B\|^2) - 2\text{tr}[A_z, P][A_{\bar{z}}, P^*] + 5\text{tr}[A_z, A_{\bar{z}}][P, P^*] = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

holds.

In the following we shall analyze ϕ by rank $\underline{\phi}_1=1$ and rank $\underline{\phi}_1=2$ respectively.

3.1. ∂' -reducible holomorphic curves with parallel second fundamental form.

Here we suppose that ϕ is a holomorphic curve from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form and rank $\partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$. To characterize these holomorphic curves, firstly by denoting $\partial^{(-1)} g = \partial'' g$, $\partial^{(-i-1)} g = \partial''(\partial^{(-i)} g)$, we state one of Burstall and Wood' results as follows:

Lemma 3.3 ([3]). *Let $\underline{\phi} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be harmonic with $\partial' \underline{\phi}$ of rank one and $A''_{\underline{\phi}}(\ker A'_{\underline{\phi}}) = 0$. Then either (i) there is an anti-holomorphic map $g : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ and $\underline{\phi} = \partial^{(-i)} \underline{g} \oplus \partial^{(-i-1)} \underline{g}$ for some integer $i \geq 0$, (it can be shown that ϕ is a Frenet pair); or (ii) there are maps $g, h : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ anti-holomorphic and holomorphic respectively such that $\partial' \underline{h} \perp \underline{g}$ and $\underline{\phi} = \underline{g} \oplus \underline{h}$, i.e. $\underline{\phi}$ is a mixed pair.*

$A'_{\underline{\phi}}$ and $A''_{\underline{\phi}}$ shown in Lemma 3.3 are vector bundle morphisms from $\underline{\phi}$ to $\underline{\phi}^\perp$, they are defined by $A'_{\underline{\phi}}(v) = \pi_{\phi^\perp}(\partial v)$ and $A''_{\underline{\phi}}(v) = \pi_{\phi^\perp}(\bar{\partial} v)$ respectively for some $v \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\underline{\phi})$ (cf. [3]). Here clearly we have $A''_{\underline{\phi}}(\ker A'_{\underline{\phi}}) = 0$ from the assumption that ϕ is holomorphic. With the help of Lemma 3.3, we now consider two cases: (1) ϕ is a Frenet pair, and (2) ϕ is a mixed pair.

Firstly we consider the case that ϕ is a Frenet pair. In this case

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_1 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

it belongs to the harmonic sequence as follows

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0 \quad (3.4)$$

is a linearly full harmonic sequence in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $N = n + 1$. By making use of $\phi = \frac{f_0 f_0^*}{|f_0|^2} + \frac{f_1 f_1^*}{|f_1|^2}$, we get

$$\partial\phi = \frac{f_2 f_1^*}{|f_1|^2}, \quad \bar{\partial}\phi = \frac{f_1 f_2^*}{|f_1|^2}, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^2}, \quad A_z = -\frac{f_2 f_1^*}{|f_1|^2}, \quad A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{f_1 f_2^*}{|f_1|^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$[A_z, A_{\bar{z}}] = \frac{|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^4} f_1 f_1^* - \frac{1}{|f_1|^2} f_2 f_2^*, \quad [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = -\frac{2|f_2|^2}{|f_1|^4} f_1 f_1^*.$$

Then it follows from $P = \partial\left(\frac{A_{\bar{z}}}{\lambda^2}\right)$ that

$$P = -\frac{1}{|f_2|^2} f_3 f_1^* + \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2 |f_2|^2} f_2 f_0^* \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = \frac{1}{|f_0|^2} f_2 f_0^* - \frac{1}{|f_1|^2} f_3 f_1^*. \quad (3.7)$$

For convenience, we denote

$$M_1 = -\frac{\lambda^2(2K + \|B\|^2)}{4}, \quad M_2 = \lambda^2(K - \frac{\|B\|^2}{4}). \quad (3.8)$$

From the two equations of (3.1) we get

$$M_1 = -2\lambda^2, \quad M_2 = \lambda^2,$$

which verifies that

$$K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = 4, \quad K - \frac{\|B\|^2}{4} = 1,$$

and therefore

$$K = 2, \quad \|B\|^2 = 4.$$

Since the second fundamental form of the map ϕ is parallel, its Gauss curvature is a constant (cf. [15], Theorem 4.5). Hence by ([12], Lemma 4.1) we know that $\underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ is of constant curvature, then harmonic sequences (3.3) and (3.4) are both totally unramified. From (2.13) we get

$$\delta_0^{(n)} = n, \quad \delta_1^{(n)} = 2(n-1), \quad \delta_2^{(n)} = 3(n-2).$$

By substituting the metric of ϕ shown in (3.5) into (2.7), we have

$$2 = K = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{2}{l_1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log l_1 = 4 - 2 \frac{l_0 + l_2}{l_1} = 4 - 2 \frac{\delta_0^{(n)} + \delta_2^{(n)}}{\delta_1^{(n)}},$$

then

$$n = 2.$$

Using the rigidity theorem of Bolton et al ([2]), up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, \underline{f}_0 is a Veronese surface. We can choose a complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{pt\}$ so that $f_0 = UV_0^{(2)}$, where $U \in U(3)$ and $V_0^{(2)}$ has the standard expression given in Section 2. By Lemma 3.2, it can easily be checked that, for any $U \in U(3)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{UV}_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 3; \mathbb{C}) \quad (3.9)$$

is of parallel second fundamental form because it satisfies (3.2).

Next, we consider the case that ϕ is a mixed pair. In this case

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

where \underline{c}_0 is the line bundle spanned by constant vector $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ in \mathbb{C}^N , ϕ belongs to the harmonic sequence as follows

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{c}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0, \quad N = n + 2.$$

By making use of $\phi = \frac{f_0 f_0^*}{|f_0|^2} + \frac{c_0 c_0^*}{|c_0|^2}$ and a similar calculation as the first case above, it is very evident that

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus $\underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$, $n = N - 2$ is also of constant curvature, and there exists some $U \in U(n+1)$ s.t. $f_0 = UV_0^{(n)}$. To determine ϕ , we shall divide our discussion into two cases, according as $n = 1$, or $n \geq 2$.

If $n = 1$. Under this supposition, it can be checked that for any $U \in U(3)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = UV_0^{(1)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 \quad (3.11)$$

is a totally geodesic map from S^2 to $G(2, 3; \mathbb{C})$ with constant curvature $K = 4$ by direct computation (adding zero to the end of $V_0^{(1)}$ such that it belongs to \mathbb{C}^3 , in the absence of confusion, we also denote it by $V_0^{(1)}$).

If $n \geq 2$. Direct computations show that

$$A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{f_0 f_1^*}{|f_0|^2}, \quad [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = -\frac{2|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^4} f_0 f_1^*, \quad P = -\frac{f_2 f_0^*}{|f_1|^2}, \quad [[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = -\frac{f_2 f_0^*}{|f_0|^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then using relations $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ and $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = M_2 P$, we find easily

$$M_1 = -2\lambda^2, \quad M_2 = \lambda^2. \quad (3.13)$$

With it we further obtain

$$K = 2, \quad \|B\|^2 = 4, \quad (3.14)$$

and then

$$2 = K = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{2}{l_0} \partial \bar{\partial} \log l_0 = 4 - 2 \frac{l_1}{l_0} = 4 - 2 \frac{\delta_1^{(n)}}{\delta_0^{(n)}}.$$

This together with $\delta_0^{(n)} = n$, $\delta_1^{(n)} = 2(n-1)$ implies

$$n = 2.$$

From Lemma 2.2, up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $\underline{f}_0, \underline{f}_1, \underline{f}_2 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ are Veronese surfaces. Then by Lemma 3.2, it can easily be checked that, for any $U \in U(4)$

$$\underline{\phi} = UV_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C}) \quad (3.15)$$

is of parallel second fundamental form with constant curvature $K = 2$ (adding zero to the end of $V_0^{(2)}$ such that it belongs to \mathbb{C}^4).

Summing up, we get the following property

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full holomorphic curve with parallel second fundamental form. Suppose $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$, then ϕ is congruent to cases (1) (2) or (3) in Theorem 1.1.*

3.2. ∂' -irreducible holomorphic curves with parallel second fundamental form.

Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with Gauss curvature K and second fundamental form B . Suppose that B is parallel and ϕ is holomorphic with ∂' -irreducible, then a harmonic sequence derived by ϕ via the ∂' -transform is as follows

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0 \quad (3.16)$$

with $\text{rank } \underline{\phi}_1 = 2$.

Since ϕ is holomorphic, it is possible to obtain its local section f_0 such that \underline{f}_0 is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\phi}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\bar{\partial} f_0 = 0$. Therefore \underline{f}_0 is a linearly full harmonic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ for some $n < N$ and belongs to the following harmonic sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

From the fact $\text{rank } \underline{\phi} = 2$, we immediately see that there exists another local section α of $\underline{\phi}$ such that $\underline{\phi} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{f}_0$. Set

$$\alpha_1 = \partial \alpha - \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha, \quad \alpha_{-1} = \bar{\partial} \alpha - \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha, \quad \beta = A'_\phi(f_0), \quad \underline{\gamma} = \underline{\beta}^\perp \cap \underline{\phi}_1.$$

By Theorem 2.4 of [3] and (3.16), we have a new harmonic map

$$\underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

which belongs to the following harmonic sequence:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

From it we arrive at the following equations

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta + \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \gamma \rangle}{|\gamma|^2} \gamma, \quad \alpha_{-1} = -\frac{\langle \alpha, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2} f_0.$$

By making use of $\phi = \frac{\alpha \alpha^*}{|\alpha|^2} + \frac{f_0 f_0^*}{|f_0|^2}$, it is an elementary exercise to show that

$$A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\beta|^2} f_0 \beta^* + \frac{1}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha \alpha_1^*, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle f_1, \beta \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\beta|^2} + \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] &= -2 \frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle \beta, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^4 |\beta|^4} f_0 \beta^* - 2 \frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^4} \alpha \beta^* \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_1, \beta \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2} f_0 \alpha_1^* - 2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^4} \alpha \alpha_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

From the supposition that ϕ is of parallel second fundamental form, then the following can be easily checked

$$\begin{cases} M_1 \beta = -2 \frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\beta|^2} \beta - 2 \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_1, \\ M_1 \alpha_1 = -2 \frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_1, \beta \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\beta|^4} \beta - 2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_1 \end{cases}$$

by the relation $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ given in Lemma 3.1. From it straightforward computations show

$$\langle \alpha_1, \beta \rangle = 0, \quad -M_1 = 2 \frac{\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle \langle f_1, \beta \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |\beta|^2} = 2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = \lambda^2, \quad (3.17)$$

which establishes that

$$K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = 2. \quad (3.18)$$

Set $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle}{\lambda^2 |f_0|^2 |\beta|^2}$, $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2 |\alpha|^2}$, applying equation $P = \partial(\frac{A_{\bar{z}}}{\lambda^2})$ it follows that

$$P = \partial \lambda_1 \beta f_0^* + \lambda_1 \partial \beta f_0^* + \partial \lambda_2 \alpha_1 \alpha^* + \lambda_2 \partial \alpha_1 \alpha^* + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 (\bar{\partial} \alpha)^*. \quad (3.19)$$

Then under the assumption $\nabla B = 0$ and using Lemma 3.1, $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = M_2 P$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 (M_2 - \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}) \partial \beta &= [2\partial \lambda_1 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2} + \lambda_1 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 \langle \partial \beta, \beta \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2} - M_2 \partial \lambda_1] \beta \\ &\quad + [\lambda_1 \frac{\langle \partial \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} - 2\lambda_2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 \langle f_1, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |f_0|^2} + \lambda_2 M_2 \frac{\langle f_1, \alpha \rangle}{|f_0|^2}] \alpha_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 (M_2 - \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}) \partial \alpha_1 &= [2\partial \lambda_2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2} + 2\lambda_2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 \langle \alpha, \bar{\partial} \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^4} + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \partial \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \\ &\quad - M_2 \partial \lambda_2 - M_2 \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \bar{\partial} \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2}] \alpha_1 \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 \langle \partial \alpha_1, \beta \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2} \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

With this, we have therefore conclude

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full ∂' -irreducible holomorphic curve with parallel second fundamental form, then ϕ is congruent to cases (4) or (5) in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. In our prove, by observing equations (3.20) and (3.21), we discuss the rigidity of ϕ by cases $M_2 = \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}$ and $M_2 \neq \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}$ respectively.

Firstly we discuss the case $M_2 = \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}$. In this case we immediately have

$$M_2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{2},$$

which shows

$$K = 1, \quad \|B\|^2 = 2$$

by combining it with (3.18). Therefore it follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\langle \partial \alpha_1, \beta \rangle = 0, \quad \partial \lambda_1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\langle \partial \beta, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\partial \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \partial \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha_1|^2} + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \bar{\partial} \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\langle \partial \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha_1|^2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\langle f_1, \alpha \rangle}{|f_0|^2} = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Choose local frame

$$e_1 = \frac{f_0}{|f_0|}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\beta}{|\beta|}, \quad e_4 = \frac{\alpha_1}{|\alpha_1|},$$

by the first relation in (3.17) we know that this frame is unitary. Set $W_0 = (e_1, e_2)$, $W_1 = (e_3, e_4)$, then by (2.5), we obtain

$$\Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\langle f_1, \beta \rangle}{|f_0||\beta|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|\alpha_1|}{|\alpha|} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This together with equation (3.17) implies that

$$|\det \Omega_0|^2 = \frac{(\lambda^2)^2}{4}. \quad (3.25)$$

Since $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is a harmonic map with constant curvature $K = 1$, complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{pt\}$ can be chosen so that the induced metric $ds^2 = \lambda^2 dz d\bar{z}$ is given by $ds^2 = \frac{4}{(1+z\bar{z})^2} dz d\bar{z}$, where $L_0 = \frac{4}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}$. Therefore we clearly get

$$L_1 = L_0$$

from the unintegrated Plücker formulae (cf. [14])

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\det \Omega_0|^2 = -2L_0 + L_1$$

and (3.25), which implies that $\phi_1 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is totally real with constant curvature $\frac{1}{2}$. Then from [1, 12] and [17], adding zeros to the end of $V_1^{(2)}$ such that it belongs to \mathbb{C}^6 , $\underline{\phi}_1 = \overline{UV}_1^{(2)} \oplus UV_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ or $\underline{\phi}_1 = \underline{JUV}_1^{(2)} \oplus UV_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ for some $U \in U(6)$, so we have

$$\underline{\phi} = \overline{UV}_2^{(2)} \oplus UV_0^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$$

or

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{JUV}_2^{(2)} \oplus UV_0^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C}),$$

here $\underline{J} : \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^6$ is the conjugate linear map given by left multiplication by j (cf. [12]). In the absence of confusion, let $V_0^{(2)} = (1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2, 0, 0, 0)^T$ and $\widehat{V}_0^{(2)} = (0, 0, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2)^T$ (we shall use such notions repeated below), in summary, up to an isometry of $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, ϕ can be expressed as

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_0^{(2)}} \oplus UV_0^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C}),$$

which is of parallel second fundamental form with $K = 1$ and $\|B\|^2 = 2$, and it is congruent to the case (5) in Theorem 1.1.

Next we discuss the case $M_2 \neq \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}$. In this case, using Theorem 4.5 of [15], we get

$$\partial \alpha_1 = \frac{\langle \partial \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha_1|^2} \alpha_1 + \frac{\langle \partial \alpha_1, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta, \quad \partial \beta = \frac{\langle \partial \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle}{|\alpha_1|^2} \alpha_1 + \frac{\langle \partial \beta, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta,$$

and relations (3.22)-(3.24) from the facts $M_2 \neq \frac{|\alpha_1|^2}{|\alpha|^2}$, $M_2 \neq \lambda^2$ and conditions shown in (3.20) and (3.21).

Then substituting (3.22)-(3.24) into (3.19), we get $P = 0$, i.e. $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ is totally geodesic with constant curvature $K = 2$ from (3.18), the harmonic sequence given in (3.16) becomes

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

Adding zeros to $V_0^{(1)}$, let $V_0^{(1)} = (1, z, 0, 0)^T$ and $\widehat{V}_0^{(1)} = (0, 0, 1, z)^T$, it follows from [5] and [9] that, up to an isometry of $G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$,

$$\underline{\phi} = U\widehat{V}_0^{(1)} \oplus UV_0^{(1)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$$

is totally geodesic with $K = 2$ for some $U \in U(4)$, and it is congruent to the case (4) in Theorem 1.1. In summary we get the conclusion. \square

Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 give a classification of all linearly full holomorphic maps from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form, from them we get Theorem 1.1 in Section 1.

4. MINIMAL TWO-SPHERES WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM AND RANK

$$\partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{RANK } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$$

Accordingly, in this section, we consider conformal minimal immersions $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ under the assumption that $\nabla B = 0$ and $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$. To characterize ϕ , we first prove the following property:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with the second fundamental form B . Suppose that B is parallel and $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$, then ϕ belongs to one of the following cases.*

- (i) ϕ is a Frenet pair, i.e. $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_{i-1} \oplus \underline{f}_i$, where $\underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is harmonic and $N = n + 1$;
- (ii) ϕ is a mixed pair, i.e. $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{g}_m$, where $\underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is holomorphic and $\underline{g}_m : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^m$ is anti-holomorphic;
- (iii) $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{c}_0$, where $c_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ in \mathbb{C}^N , $\underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is harmonic and $N = n + 2$.

Proof. The harmonic sequence derived by ϕ via the ∂' and ∂'' -transforms is as follows:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Since ϕ is harmonic and $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$, it is possible to choose local sections f_{i+1} , g_{j-1} of $\underline{\phi}_1$ and $\underline{\phi}_{-1}$ respectively such that $\underline{\phi}_1 = \underline{f}_{i+1}$, $\underline{\phi}_{-1} = \underline{g}_{j-1}$, here $\underline{f}_{i+1} : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\underline{g}_{j-1} : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^m$ are both harmonic. Such (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{g}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{g}_{j-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (4.2)$$

In (4.2), g_j and f_i are both local sections of $\underline{\phi}$.

If $\underline{g}_j = \underline{f}_i$. Let ρ be the local section of $\underline{\phi}$ such that $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{\rho}$, then (4.2) becomes

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_{i-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{\rho} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

By using of $\langle \rho, f_{i-1} \rangle = \langle \rho, f_i \rangle = \langle \rho, f_{i+1} \rangle = 0$, we get $\bar{\partial} \rho = \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \rho, \rho \rangle}{|\rho|^2} \rho$. From it we have $\langle \rho, f_k \rangle = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $N = n + 2$. Therefore ρ is a constant section in \mathbb{C}^N and ϕ belongs to case (iii).

If $\underline{g}_j \neq \underline{f}_i$. In this case we claim $\langle f_i, g_j \rangle = 0$, i.e. $A''_\phi(\ker A'_\phi) = 0$ and then, ϕ belongs to cases (i) or (ii) by Lemma 3.3. For this purpose we put $\alpha = g_j - \frac{\langle g_j, f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2} f_i$, then from

(4.2) and expression $\phi = \frac{\alpha\alpha^*}{|\alpha|^2} + \frac{f_i f_i^*}{|f_i|^2}$, direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{|f_i|^2} f_i f_{i+1}^* + \frac{1}{|g_{j-1}|^2} g_{j-1} g_j^* \\ [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] &= -\frac{2|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^4} f_i f_{i+1}^* - \frac{2|g_j|^2}{|g_{j-1}|^4} g_{j-1} g_j^* + \frac{|g_j|^2 \langle g_{j-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |g_{j-1}|^4} f_i g_{j-1}^* + \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle f_i, g_j \rangle}{|g_{j-1}|^2 |f_i|^4} g_{j-1} f_i^* \\ &\quad + \frac{\langle g_{j-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |g_{j-1}|^2} f_{i+1} g_j^* + \frac{\langle f_i, g_j \rangle}{|g_{j-1}|^2 |f_i|^2} g_j f_{i+1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Using $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] f_{i+1} = M_1 A_{\bar{z}} f_{i+1}$ we find

$$\left[M_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} + 2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^4}{|f_i|^4} - \frac{|g_j|^2 \langle f_{i+1}, g_{j-1} \rangle \langle g_{j-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |g_{j-1}|^4} \right] f_i = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle f_i, g_j \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |g_{j-1}|^2} g_j,$$

which gives

$$\langle f_i, g_j \rangle = 0$$

from the supposition $\underline{f}_i \neq \underline{g}_j$. Thus the proof of our property is complete. \square

From Proposition 4.1, to finish the complete classification of ϕ in (4.2) with parallel second fundamental form, we distinguish three cases respectively: ϕ is a Frenet pair; ϕ is a mixed pair; whereas $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{g}_0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full Frenet pair with parallel second fundamental form and rank $\partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$, then up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ belongs to case (1) in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_{i-1} \oplus \underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, where $\underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is harmonic with $N = n + 1$ and ϕ belongs to the following harmonic sequence

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_{i-2} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_{i-1} \oplus \underline{f}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$i \geq 2$, $n \geq i + 1$. On the one hand, by making use of $\phi = \frac{f_{i-1} f_{i-1}^*}{|f_{i-1}|^2} + \frac{f_i f_i^*}{|f_i|^2}$ and $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ we have

$$M_1 = -2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} = -2 \frac{|f_{i-1}|^2}{|f_{i-2}|^2} = -\lambda^2, \quad (4.4)$$

which further implies $l_i = l_{i-2}$ and thus $\delta_i^{(n)} = \delta_{i-2}^{(n)}$. Since the second fundamental form of ϕ is parallel, its Gauss curvature is a constant. By a similar reasoning as the one when ϕ is a Frenet pair in subsection 3.1, the harmonic sequence (4.3) is totally unramified, which gives $\delta_i^{(n)} = (i + 1)(n - i)$, $\delta_{i-2}^{(n)} = (i - 1)(n - i + 2)$. So we get

$$2i = n + 1, \quad K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = 2. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, by using ϕ it suffices to prove that

$$2P = \frac{|f_{i-2}|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |f_{i-3}|^2} f_{i-1} f_{i-3}^* + \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |f_{i+1}|^2} f_{i+1} f_{i-1}^* - \frac{1}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_i f_{i-2}^* - \frac{1}{|f_{i+1}|^2} f_{i+2} f_i^*$$

and

$$2[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = \frac{1}{|f_{i-3}|^2} f_{i-1} f_{i-3}^* - \frac{1}{|f_i|^2} f_{i+2} f_i^*.$$

Then $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] f_{i-1} = M_2 P f_{i-1}$ is equivalent to

$$M_2 = 0, \quad K = \frac{\|B\|^2}{4}. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore combining (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$K = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \|B\|^2 = \frac{8}{3}. \quad (4.7)$$

It follows from (4.5) and

$$\frac{2}{3} = K = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{1}{l_i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log l_i = 2 - \frac{l_{i-1} + l_{i+1}}{l_i} = 2 - \frac{\delta_{i-1}^{(n)} + \delta_{i+1}^{(n)}}{\delta_i^{(n)}}$$

that

$$i = 2, \quad n = 3.$$

By relation in (4.4) and (4.7) we find that $\underline{f}_2 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ is of constant curvature, using the rigidity theorem of Bolton et al ([2]), up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^3$, \underline{f}_2 is a Veronese surface. We can choose a complex coordinate z on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \setminus \{pt\}$ so that $f_2 = UV_2^{(3)}$, where $U \in U(4)$ and $V_2^{(3)}$ has the standard expression given in Section 2.

Finally, in proving Lemma 4.2, it is easy to check that, for any $U \in U(4)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_1^{(3)} \oplus \underline{UV}_2^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$$

is of parallel second fundamental form with $K = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{8}{3}$, thus the proof of our lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 4.2 proves the case that ϕ is a Frenet pair, more interesting is naturally the case that ϕ is a mixed pair, which we are going to suppose from now on. Without loss of generality, we express it by

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{g}_m \oplus \underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is holomorphic and $\underline{g}_m : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^m$ is anti-holomorphic for some $m < N$ and $n < N$. Then we have the following harmonic sequence:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{g}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{g}_{m-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} = \underline{g}_m \oplus \underline{f}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0.$$

Basing on the formula $\phi = \frac{g_m g_m^*}{|g_m|^2} + \frac{f_0 f_0^*}{|f_0|^2}$ we have

$$A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{|f_0|^2} f_0 f_1^* + \frac{1}{|g_{m-1}|^2} g_{m-1} g_m^*, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} + \frac{|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^2},$$

$$[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = -\frac{2|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^4} f_0 f_1^* - \frac{2|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^4} g_{m-1} g_m^* + \frac{|g_m|^2 \langle g_{m-1}, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |g_{m-1}|^4} f_0 g_{m-1}^* + \frac{\langle g_{m-1}, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |g_{m-1}|^2} f_1 g_m^*.$$

Then analyzing $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ we find

$$[M_1 + 2 \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2}] f_1 = \frac{|g_m|^2 \langle f_1, g_{m-1} \rangle}{|g_{m-1}|^4} g_{m-1}, \quad [M_1 + 2 \frac{|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^2}] g_{m-1} = \frac{\langle g_{m-1}, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2} f_1. \quad (4.8)$$

Now we prove

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full mixed pair with parallel second fundamental form and $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 1$, then ϕ is congruent to cases (2) (3) (4) or (5) in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. From (4.8), to finish the classification of ϕ , we distinguish two cases: $\underline{f}_1 = \underline{g}_{m-1}$, whereas $\underline{f}_1 \neq \underline{g}_{m-1}$.

(a) $\underline{f}_1 = \underline{g}_{m-1}$. In this case we have

$$-M_1 = \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} = \frac{|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}$$

and $\underline{f}_2 = \underline{g}_m$. Since g_m is antiholomorphic, we have \underline{f}_2 is antiholomorphic, which establishes

$$m = n = 2.$$

where $\underline{f}_0, \underline{g}_m : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ are both harmonic maps with constant curvature. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists some $U \in U(3)$ s.t. $f_0 = UV_0^{(2)}$, and the expression for ϕ becomes

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_2 = \underline{UV}_0^{(2)} \oplus \underline{UV}_2^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 3; \mathbb{C}).$$

For any $U \in U(3)$, it can be easily calculated that such $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_2$ is totally geodesic with constant curvature $K = 1$, and it is congruent to the case (2) in Theorem 1.2.

(b) $\underline{f}_1 \neq \underline{g}_{m-1}$. In this case, it follows from relations shown in (4.8) that

$$\langle f_1, g_{m-1} \rangle = 0, \quad -M_1 = 2 \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2} = 2 \frac{|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^2} = \lambda^2,$$

which establishes

$$K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = 2, \quad m = n, \quad (4.9)$$

and $\underline{f}_0, \underline{g}_m : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ are both harmonic maps with constant curvature. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, they are both Veronese surfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, up to a $U(n+1)$ -motion.

If $m = n = 1$. Here by a direct computation we immediately have $P = 0$ and $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{g}_1 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$ is totally geodesic with constant curvature $K = 2$ under the assumption that $\{\underline{g}_0, \underline{g}_1\}$ and $\{\underline{f}_0, \underline{f}_1\}$ are mutually orthogonal in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with constant curvature. Adding zeros to $V_0^{(1)}$ and $V_1^{(1)}$ respectively, let $\widehat{V}_0^{(1)} = (0, 0, 1, z)^T$ and $V_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}(-\bar{z}, 1, 0, 0)^T$, then, up to an isometry of $G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$, there exists some $U \in U(4)$ s.t.

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_0^{(1)}} \oplus \underline{UV_1^{(1)}} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C}),$$

such ϕ is congruent to the case (3) in Theorem 1.2.

If $m = n \geq 2$. We obtain

$$2P = \frac{|g_{m-1}|^2}{|g_{m-2}|^2 |g_m|^2} g_m g_{m-2}^* - \frac{1}{|f_1|^2} f_2 f_0^*,$$

$$2[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = \frac{1}{|g_{m-2}|^2} g_m g_{m-2}^* + \frac{|g_m|^2 \langle f_2, g_{m-1} \rangle}{|f_1|^2 |g_{m-1}|^4} g_{m-1} f_0^* - \frac{|g_{m-1}|^2 \langle f_1, g_{m-2} \rangle}{|f_0|^2 |g_{m-2}|^2 |g_m|^2} g_m f_1^* - \frac{1}{|f_0|^2} f_2 f_0^*.$$

Then $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = M_2 P$ holds if and only if the following equations

$$(M_2 - \frac{|f_1|^2}{|f_0|^2}) f_2 = - \frac{\langle f_2, g_{m-1} \rangle |g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^4} g_{m-1},$$

$$(M_2 - \frac{|g_m|^2}{|g_{m-1}|^2}) g_{m-2} = - \frac{\langle g_{m-2}, f_1 \rangle}{|f_0|^2} f_1$$

hold.

If $\underline{g}_{m-1} = \underline{f}_2$. In this case $\underline{g}_m = \underline{f}_3$, $m = n = 3$ and $\underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ is of constant curvature. Then, by Lemma 2.2, there exists some $U \in U(4)$ s.t. $\underline{f}_0 = UV_0^{(3)}$ and

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_3 = \underline{UV}_0^{(3)} \oplus \underline{UV}_3^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C}).$$

With a simple test we know that such ϕ is of parallel second fundamental form with $K = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\|\underline{B}\|^2 = \frac{8}{3}$, and it is congruent to the case (4) in Theorem 1.2.

If $\underline{g}_{m-1} \neq \underline{f}_2$. In this case we have

$$\langle \underline{f}_2, \underline{g}_{m-1} \rangle = 0, \quad M_2 = \frac{|\underline{f}_1|^2}{|\underline{f}_0|^2} = \frac{|\underline{g}_m|^2}{|\underline{g}_{m-1}|^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}.$$

Then combining it with (4.9) we have

$$K = 1, \quad \|\underline{B}\|^2 = 2.$$

So we have

$$1 = K = 2 - \frac{\delta_1^{(n)}}{\delta_0^{(n)}} = 2 - \frac{\delta_{m-2}^{(m)}}{\delta_{m-1}^{(m)}}.$$

Thus

$$m = n = 2,$$

and $\{\underline{g}_0, \underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2\}$ and $\{\underline{f}_0, \underline{f}_1, \underline{f}_2\}$ are mutually orthogonal harmonic sequences in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with constant curvature. By a simple test we know

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{g}_2 \oplus \underline{f}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$$

is of parallel second fundamental form. Then let $\widehat{V}_0^{(2)} = (0, 0, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}z, z^2)^T$ and $V_2^{(2)} = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}(\bar{z}^2, -\sqrt{2}\bar{z}, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T$, there exists some $U \in U(6)$ s.t.

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_0^{(2)}} \oplus \underline{UV_2^{(2)}} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C}),$$

which is congruent to the case (5) in Theorem 1.2. Summing up, we get the conclusion. \square

Lemma 4.3 gives a complete classification of ϕ with parallel second fundamental form and rank $\partial'\underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial''\underline{\phi} = 1$ when it is a mixed pair. Finally we need to consider the case that $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, which is a linearly full harmonic map with $\nabla B = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq N-3$ and $c_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T \in \mathbb{C}^N$, then we find the following harmonic sequence:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{f}_{i-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{c}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0,$$

$i \geq 1$, $n \geq i+1$, $N = n+2$. At first we use $\phi = \frac{c_0 c_0^*}{|c_0|^2} + \frac{f_i f_i^*}{|f_i|^2}$ to compute

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} + \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2}. \quad (4.10)$$

This implies further that $\underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is of constant curvature, using the rigidity theorem of Bolton et al ([2]), up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists some $U \in U(n+1)$ s.t. $\underline{f}_i = UV_i^{(n)}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_i \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion with parallel second fundamental form and $1 \leq i \leq N-3$, then ϕ is congruent to cases (6) or (7) in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. By using relation $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ we get $-M_1 = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} = \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2}$, which establishes $l_{i-1} = l_i$, $\delta_{i-1}^{(n)} = \delta_i^{(n)}$ and therefore

$$2i = n, \quad K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = 1. \quad (4.11)$$

If $i = 1$. Then we have $n = 2$ and

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_1^{(2)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$$

for some $U \in U(4)$ (adding zero to the end of $V_1^{(2)}$ s.t. it belongs to \mathbb{C}^4). With a simple test we know that such ϕ is totally geodesic with $K = 1$, and it is congruent to the case (6) in Theorem 1.2.

If $i \geq 2$. Here we obtain

$$2P = \frac{|f_{i-1}|^2}{|f_i|^2 |f_{i-2}|^2} f_i f_{i-2}^* - \frac{1}{|f_{i+1}|^2} f_{i+2} f_i^*, \quad [[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = 0,$$

which implies

$$K = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{3}, \quad i = 2 \quad (4.12)$$

from $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = M_2 P$ and (4.11). With a simple test we know, for any $U \in U(6)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_2^{(4)} \oplus \underline{c}_0 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$$

is of parallel second fundamental form (adding zero to the end of $V_2^{(4)}$ s.t. it belongs to \mathbb{C}^6), and it is congruent to the case (7) in Theorem 1.2. This finishes the proof. \square

Summing Lemmas 4.2-4.4, we get Theorem 1.2 in Section 1.

5. MINIMAL TWO-SPHERES WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM AND RANK

$$\partial' \underline{\phi} = 1, \text{ RANK } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$$

In this section we analyze conformal minimal immersions ϕ from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with parallel second fundamental form, rank $\partial' \underline{\phi} = 1$ and rank $\partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$. From ϕ , a harmonic sequence is derived as follows:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Since $\underline{\phi}_1$ is of rank one and it is harmonic, we can write $\underline{\phi}_1 = \underline{f}_{i+1}$, where f_{i+1} is a local section of $\underline{\phi}_1$ and it belongs to the following harmonic sequence in $\mathbb{C}P^n$

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_0 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0$$

for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, here f_0, \dots, f_n satisfy equations (2.9) and (2.10). From (5.1), since f_i is a local section of $\underline{\phi}$ and rank $\underline{\phi} = 2$, there exists another local section α of $\underline{\phi}$ such that $\underline{\phi} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{f}_i$. Set

$$\alpha_1 = \partial \alpha - \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha, \quad \alpha_{-1} = \bar{\partial} \alpha - \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha, \quad \beta = A''_\phi(f_i), \quad \underline{\gamma} = \underline{\beta}^\perp \cap \underline{\phi}_{-1},$$

then $\underline{\phi}_{-1}$ is spanned by local sections β and γ .

To characterize ϕ and give its classification, at first we state one of Burstall and Wood' results ([3]) as follows:

Lemma 5.1 ([3]). Let $\underline{\phi} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a harmonic map with $\partial' \underline{\phi}$ of rank one and $A''_\phi(\ker A'_\phi) \neq 0$. Let $\underline{\alpha}$ be the anti-holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\phi}$ defined by $\ker A'_\phi$, then backward replacement of $\underline{\beta} = \underline{\alpha}^\perp \cap \underline{\phi}$ produces a new harmonic map $\tilde{\underline{\phi}} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{Im}(A''_\phi|_{\underline{\beta}}) : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, where $\partial' \tilde{\underline{\phi}} = \underline{\beta}$, $\partial^{(i)} \tilde{\underline{\phi}} = \partial^{(i-1)} \underline{\phi}$ for $i \geq 2$.

Using this lemma, by backward replacement of \underline{f}_i , we obtain a new harmonic map $\underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, which belongs to the following harmonic sequence

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_i \xrightarrow{\partial'} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_n \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Then f_{i-1} is a local section of $\underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta}$ and

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\langle \alpha, f_{i-1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_i, \quad \alpha_{-1} = \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta + \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \gamma \rangle}{|\gamma|^2} \gamma.$$

By making use of $\phi = \frac{\alpha \alpha^*}{|\alpha|^2} + \frac{f_i f_i^*}{|f_i|^2}$, it was proved that

$$A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{f_i f_{i+1}^*}{|f_i|^2} + \frac{\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |\beta|^2} \beta f_i^* - \frac{\alpha_{-1} \alpha^*}{|\alpha|^2}, \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} + \frac{|\langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle|^2 |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} + \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] &= \left[\frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} - 2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^4} \right] f_i f_{i+1}^* + \left[\frac{\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle |f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |f_i|^2 |\beta|^2} - 2 \frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2 \langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^6 |\beta|^4} \right] \beta f_i^* \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^4} \alpha_{-1} \alpha^* + 2 \frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2 \langle \alpha_{-1}, \beta \rangle |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^4} \beta \alpha^* - 2 \frac{\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2} \alpha_{-1} f_i^* \\ &\quad + \frac{|\langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle|^2 \langle \beta, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^4} f_i \beta^* + \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |f_i|^2} f_i \alpha_{-1}^* + \frac{\langle \beta, f_{i+1} \rangle \langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |f_i|^2 |\beta|^2} f_{i+1} f_i^* \\ &\quad - \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |f_i|^2} f_{i+1} \alpha^* - \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha_{-1} \rangle \langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2} \alpha f_{i+1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\langle \alpha_{-1}, \beta \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \beta, f_{i+1} \rangle = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$M_1 + 2 \frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2 |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$(M_1 + 2 \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2}) \alpha_{-1} = \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2} f_{i+1}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$[M_1 - \frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2 |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} + 2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}] f_{i+1} = \frac{\langle f_{i+1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_{-1} \quad (5.7)$$

from the fact $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ because $\nabla B = 0$. It will be convenient in the following for us to put

$$\frac{|\langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle|^2 |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} = a \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}, \quad \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = b \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}, \text{ and } \lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2 |f_i|^2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 |\alpha|^2}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{\langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle}{\lambda^2 |f_{i-1}|^2 |\beta|^2}. \quad (5.8)$$

By analysis (5.5)-(5.7) we can derive that a, b are both constants. Then applying equations $P = \partial(\frac{A_z}{\lambda^2})$ and $\partial\lambda_1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\langle \partial f_{i+1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_{i+1}|^2} = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P = & \lambda_1 f_{i+2} f_i^* - \lambda_1 \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i+1} f_{i-1}^* + \partial\lambda_2 \alpha \alpha_{-1}^* + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha \alpha_{-1}^* + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \alpha, f_{i-1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_i \alpha_{-1}^* \\ & + \lambda_2 \alpha (\bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1})^* + \partial\lambda_3 f_i \beta^* + \lambda_3 f_{i+1} \beta^* + \lambda_3 \frac{\langle \partial f_i, f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2} f_i \beta^* + \lambda_3 f_i (\bar{\partial} \beta)^*. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

With it relation $[[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z], P] = M_2 P$ is equivalent to the following four equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}_2 (M_2 - \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2}) \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1} = & [(2 \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2} - M_2) (\bar{\partial} \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \partial \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2}) + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2}] \alpha_{-1} \\ & + [(1-a) \bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle \alpha, f_{i+2} \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |\alpha|^2} + (1-a) \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1}, f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^4} \\ & + \bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2 \langle \alpha, f_{i+2} \rangle}{|\alpha|^4} - M_2 \bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{\langle \alpha, f_{i+2} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2}] f_i \\ & - [\bar{\partial} \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle \langle \alpha, \partial \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |f_i|^2} + \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2}] f_{i+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}_3 [M_2 - (a-1) \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}] \bar{\partial} \beta = & [(2a-1) \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} (\bar{\partial} \bar{\lambda}_3 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{\langle f_i, \partial f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2}) + a \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle \bar{\partial} \beta, \beta \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |\beta|^2} - M_2 \bar{\partial} \bar{\lambda}_3 \\ & - M_2 \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{\langle f_i, \partial f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2}] \beta + [(a+b-1) \bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |f_{i-1}|^2} + \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \beta, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \\ & - \bar{\lambda}_2 M_2 \frac{\langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2}] \alpha_{-1} - [\bar{\lambda}_2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle \langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle}{|f_i|^2 |f_{i-1}|^2} + \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \beta, f_{i+1} \rangle}{|f_i|^2}] f_{i+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 (\lambda_3 f_{i+1} \beta^* - \lambda_1 \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i+1} f_{i-1}^*) = & (a+1) \lambda_3 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} f_{i+1} \beta^* - \lambda_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i+1} f_{i-1}^* \\ & + \lambda_1 \frac{|f_i|^2 \langle f_{i+1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2 |f_{i-1}|^2} \alpha_{-1} f_{i-1}^* - \lambda_3 \frac{\langle f_{i+1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_{-1} \beta^* \\ & + \lambda_1 \frac{|f_i|^2 |\alpha_{-1}|^2 \langle \alpha, f_{i-1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |\alpha|^4} f_{i+1} \alpha^* - a \lambda_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle}{|f_{i-1}|^2 |\beta|^2} f_{i+1} \beta^*, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

$$[M_2 - (1-a) \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}] (f_{i+2} - \frac{\langle f_{i+2}, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha) = - \frac{\langle f_{i+2}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_{-1} - a \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2 \langle f_{i+2}, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2 |f_i|^2} \beta. \quad (5.13)$$

From (5.6) and (5.7), in order to get the explicit expression of ϕ , we distinguish two cases: $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{f}_{i+1}$, whereas $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} \neq \underline{f}_{i+1}$.

5.1. **The case $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{f}_{i+1}$.** We observe that in this case $a = b = \frac{3}{4}$, and by (5.5)-(5.7) we immediately have the following formulae

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{5|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}, \quad M_1 = - \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}, \quad (5.14)$$

which shows

$$K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = \frac{2}{5}.$$

Relation (5.12) then gives

$$(M_2 + \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2})(\bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i-1} - \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} \beta) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Of importance is the induced metric of ϕ shown in (5.14), which can be interpreted that $\underline{f}_i : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ is harmonic with constant curvature. Then using the rigidity theorem of Bolton et al ([2]), up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists some $U \in U(n+1)$ s.t. $f_i = UV_i^{(n)}$. We therefore establish the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion in (5.1) with $\nabla B = 0$ and $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{f}_{i+1}$, then up to $U(4)$ equivalence, ϕ belongs to case (1) in Theorem 1.3.*

Proof. Here we first claim that

$$M_2 + \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2} \neq 0.$$

Otherwise if $M_2 + \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2} = 0$, it means that $K = 0$, $\|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{5}$, which is impossible. Then by (5.15), we use the equation $\bar{\lambda}_1 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2} f_{i-1} = \bar{\lambda}_3 \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} \beta$ to prove

$$\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}, \quad \underline{\phi}_{-1} = \underline{f}_{i-1} \oplus \underline{f}_{i+1}, \quad n = i + 2,$$

which implies that

$$i = 1, \quad n = 3, \quad \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_1 \oplus \underline{f}_3 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C}).$$

Finally it is easy to check that, for any $U \in U(4)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = UV_1^{(3)} \oplus UV_3^{(3)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 4; \mathbb{C})$$

is totally geodesic with $K = \frac{2}{5}$. So we get the conclusion. \square

5.2. The case $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} \neq \underline{f}_{i+1}$. It is importance to rewritten the formulae in (5.5)-(5.7) as

$$\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+1} \rangle = 0, \quad \frac{\langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle \langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2} = \frac{2|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2}, \quad a = 1, \quad b = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (5.16)$$

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{5|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}, \quad M_1 = -\frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}, \quad (5.17)$$

which shows

$$K + \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} = \frac{4}{5}. \quad (5.18)$$

From the metric given in (5.17) and applying $\nabla B = 0$, up to a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists some $U \in U(n+1)$ s.t. $f_i = UV_i^{(n)}$. Especially, equation (5.12) can be transformed as

$$(M_2 - \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}) \langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle = 0. \quad (5.19)$$

In harmonic sequence (5.2), f_{i-1} is a local section of $\underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta}$. Then $\langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}$. With it, to classify ϕ , we shall divide our discussion into two cases,

according as $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}$, whereas $\underline{\beta} \neq \underline{f}_{i-1}$. In the following we discuss these two cases respectively to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion in (5.1) with $\nabla B = 0$ and $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} \neq \underline{f}_{i+1}$, $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}$, then up to an isometry of $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, ϕ belongs to case (2) in Theorem 1.3.*

Proof. $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}$ preserves the following relation

$$\frac{\langle \beta, f_{i-1} \rangle \langle f_{i-1}, \beta \rangle |f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^4 |\beta|^2} = \frac{|f_i|^2}{|f_{i-1}|^2}, \quad \langle f_{i+2}, \beta \rangle = 0.$$

This together with (5.16) show us $l_{i-1} = l_i$, $\delta_{i-1}^{(n)} = \delta_i^{(n)}$. So we get

$$n = 2i, \quad a = 1. \quad (5.20)$$

In the following we discuss ϕ in cases $n \geq i + 2$, $M_2 = 0$; $n \geq i + 2$, $M_2 \neq 0$ and $n = i + 1$ respectively.

At first, if $n \geq i + 2$, $M_2 = 0$. By comparing $K = \frac{\|B\|^2}{4}$ and (5.18)

$$K = \frac{4}{15}, \quad \|B\|^2 = \frac{16}{15}.$$

In substituting the metric of ϕ shown in (5.17) into the equation $K = \frac{4}{15}$, we have

$$\frac{4}{15} = K = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{4}{5l_i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log l_i = \frac{4}{5} - \frac{4l_{i+1}}{5l_i} = \frac{4}{5} - \frac{4\delta_{i+1}^{(n)}}{5\delta_i^{(n)}},$$

which implies

$$i = 2, \quad n = 4.$$

With a little change of notation, equations (5.10)-(5.13) can be rewritten in the form

$$\partial \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} + \lambda_2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{-1}, \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha_{-1}|^2} = 0, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\partial \lambda_3 + \lambda_3 \frac{\langle \partial f_i, f_i \rangle}{|f_i|^2} + \lambda_3 \frac{\langle \beta, \bar{\partial} \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} = 0, \quad (5.22)$$

$$\langle \alpha_{-1}, f_{i+2} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \beta, f_{i+2} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \bar{\partial} \beta, \alpha_{-1} \rangle = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1} = \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha_{-1}|^2} \alpha_{-1}.$$

Then expression of P becomes

$$P = \lambda_1 f_4 f_2^* + \lambda_3 \frac{\langle f_0, \bar{\partial} \beta \rangle}{|f_0|^2} f_2 f_0^*$$

by substituting (5.21) (5.22) into (5.9). Clearly, straightforward calculations give the square of the length of the second fundamental form

$$\|B\|^2 = 4 \operatorname{tr} P P^* = 4[|\lambda_1|^2 |f_2|^2 |f_4|^2 + |\lambda_3|^2 \frac{\langle f_0, \bar{\partial} \beta \rangle \langle \bar{\partial} \beta, f_0 \rangle |f_2|^2}{|f_0|^2}] = \frac{64}{75},$$

which contradicts the fact that $\|B\|^2 = \frac{16}{15}$.

Next, if $n \geq i + 2$, $M_2 \neq 0$. We shall prove that this assumption is also not true. For this purpose, by using of the first relation in (5.16) we get

$$\langle f_{i+2}, \alpha \rangle = \langle \partial f_{i+1}, \alpha \rangle = -\langle f_{i+1}, \bar{\partial} \alpha \rangle = -\langle f_{i+1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle = 0.$$

This together with $\langle f_{i+2}, \beta \rangle = 0$ and $a = 1$ reduce (5.13) to $M_2 f_{i+2} = -\frac{\langle f_{i+2}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_{-1}$, it further implies that

$$\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{f}_{i+2}, \quad M_2 = -\frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}, \quad (5.23)$$

then we find

$$K = \frac{2}{15}, \quad \|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{3}$$

by (5.17) (5.18) and (5.23). Observe the induced metric and Gauss curvature of ϕ , similarly we verify $i = 3$, $n = 6$ and

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_3 \oplus \underline{f}_6 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 7; \mathbb{C}).$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, with a straightforward calculation we know that, for any $U \in U(7)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_3^{(6)} \oplus \underline{UV}_6^{(6)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 7; \mathbb{C})$$

does not have parallel second fundamental form.

At last, if $n = i + 1$. This relation together with (5.20) implies $i = 1$, $n = 2$. From (2.7) and (5.17), the Gauss curvature is

$$K = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{4}{5l_1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log l_1 = \frac{8}{5} - \frac{4l_0}{5l_1} = \frac{8}{5} - \frac{4\delta_0^{(2)}}{5\delta_1^{(2)}} = \frac{4}{5}.$$

Then

$$\|B\|^2 = 0, \quad M_2 = \frac{2|f_{i+1}|^2}{|f_i|^2}.$$

Substituting it into (5.10), we get

$$\bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1} = \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha_{-1}|^2} \alpha_{-1}.$$

Then by using (2.1), the subbundle $\underline{\alpha}$ is harmonic in \mathbb{CP}^1 since $\underline{\phi} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{f}_i$ is harmonic and $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_{i-1}$. Hence there exists local sections g_0, g_1 such that

$$\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{g}_0, \quad \underline{\alpha} = \underline{g}_1,$$

where g_0 is holomorphic (without loss of generality, we assume $\bar{\partial} g_0 = 0$) and $\{\underline{g}_0, \underline{g}_1\}$ and $\{\underline{f}_0, \underline{f}_1, \underline{f}_2\}$ are mutually orthogonal harmonic sequences in \mathbb{CP}^1 and \mathbb{CP}^2 respectively with constant curvature. Adding zeros to $V_1^{(1)}$ and $V_1^{(2)}$ respectively, let $\widehat{V}_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}(0, 0, 0, -\bar{z}, 1)^T$ and $V_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}(-2\bar{z}, \sqrt{2}(1-z\bar{z}), 2z, 0, 0)^T$, then, up to an isometry of $G(2, 5; \mathbb{C})$, there exists some $U \in U(5)$,

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_1^{(1)}} \oplus \underline{UV_1^{(2)}} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 5; \mathbb{C}).$$

By an immediately computation, such ϕ is totally geodesic with $K = \frac{4}{5}$, it belongs to case (2) in Theorem 1.3. Thus we get the conclusion. \square

In the following we discuss the case $\underline{\beta} \neq \underline{f}_{i-1}$. Since f_{i-1} is a local section of $\underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta}$, $\underline{\beta} \neq \underline{f}_{i-1}$ means $\langle f_{i-1}, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$. From (5.19) we have $M_2 = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}$. Then there holds $K - \frac{\|B\|^2}{4} = \frac{1}{5}$. By combining it with (5.18) we conclude

$$K = \frac{2}{5}, \quad \|B\|^2 = \frac{4}{5}.$$

Now by using of $M_2 = \frac{|f_{i+1}|^2}{2|f_i|^2}$ and the first three relations in (5.16), (5.11) can be reduced to

$$f_{i+2} = -\frac{\langle f_{i+2}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha_{-1} - 2 \frac{\langle f_{i+2}, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta.$$

This together with the first relation in (5.4) show $f_{i+2} = 0$, i.e., $n = i + 1$. Moreover, it follows from $K = \frac{2}{5}$ that

$$i = 3, \quad n = 4.$$

Then from (5.10)-(5.13) we find $\bar{\partial}\beta = \frac{\langle \bar{\partial}\beta, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha_{-1}|^2} \alpha_{-1} + \frac{\langle \bar{\partial}\beta, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \beta$, and relations (5.18) and (5.19) also hold here. In order to give a complete classification of such ϕ , we need the following important equation

$$\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle = 0,$$

which is obtained by substituting the expression of λ_3 into (5.22), and using (5.17). Finally, we end our classification for such harmonic maps by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ be a linearly full conformal minimal immersion in (5.1) with $\nabla B = 0$ and $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} \neq \underline{f}_{i+1}$, $\underline{\beta} \neq \underline{f}_{i-1}$, then up to an isometry of $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, ϕ belongs to case (3) in Theorem 1.3.*

Proof. By previous analysis, $\phi^{(1)}$ gives rise to the following harmonic sequence

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\alpha}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{\alpha} \oplus \underline{\beta} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_4 \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (5.24)$$

At first we claim

$$A''_{\phi^{(1)}}(\ker A'_{\phi^{(1)}}) \neq 0. \quad (5.25)$$

Otherwise if $A''_{\phi^{(1)}}(\ker A'_{\phi^{(1)}}) = 0$, then according to Lemma 3.3 we conclude $\phi^{(1)}$ is a Frenet pair and $\underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{f}_1 \oplus \underline{f}_2$. Hence we have $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_2$ by means of the equation $\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle = 0$, which contradicts the fact $\underline{\beta} \neq \underline{f}_{i-1}$. Thus (5.25) holds.

In view of properties of harmonic sequence (5.24) we notice that, \underline{f}_2 is a subbundle with rank one of $\underline{\phi}^{(1)}$, let

$$\underline{\gamma} = \underline{f}_2^\perp \cap \underline{\phi}^{(1)},$$

then $\underline{\phi}^{(1)}$ can be rewritten as $\underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{\gamma} \oplus \underline{f}_2 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$. Here $\underline{\gamma}$ is an anti-holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\phi}^{(1)}$, it satisfies $A'_{\phi^{(1)}}(\underline{\gamma}) = 0$ and $A''_{\phi^{(1)}}(\underline{f}_2) \neq 0$, i.e. $\underline{\gamma} = \ker A'_{\phi^{(1)}}$, $A''_{\phi^{(1)}}(\ker A'_{\phi^{(1)}}) \neq 0$. Then Lemma 5.1 shows that, the backward replacement of \underline{f}_2 produces a new harmonic map

$$\underline{\phi}^{(2)} = \underline{\alpha}_{-1} \oplus \underline{\gamma} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

it derives a harmonic sequence as follows:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\alpha}_{-2} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}^{(2)} = \underline{\alpha}_{-1} \oplus \underline{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_4 \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0,$$

where $\alpha_{-2} = \bar{\partial}\alpha_{-1} - \frac{\langle \bar{\partial}\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{-1} \rangle}{|\alpha_{-1}|^2} \alpha_{-1}$.

By a similar discussion we also claim

$$A''_{\phi^{(2)}}(\ker A'_{\phi^{(2)}}) \neq 0.$$

Otherwise if $A''_{\phi(2)}(\ker A'_{\phi(2)}) = 0$, then $\phi^{(2)}$ is a Frenet pair from Lemma 3.3, and $\underline{\phi}^{(2)} = \underline{f}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_1$. Since $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} \neq \underline{f}_1$, it is possible for us to put

$$\alpha_{-1} = f_0 + x_1 f_1, \quad \gamma = -\bar{x}_1 |f_1|^2 f_0 + |f_0|^2 f_1, \quad \beta = f_2 + x_2 \gamma,$$

where x_1 and x_2 are smooth functions on S^2 except some isolated points. So it verifies $x_2 = 0$ from the relation $\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle = 0$, i.e. $\underline{\beta} = \underline{f}_2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A''_{\phi(2)}(\ker A'_{\phi(2)}) \neq 0$ holds.

Reusing the above methods, \underline{f}_1 is a subbundle with rank one of $\underline{\phi}^{(2)}$, let $\underline{\gamma}^1 = \underline{f}_1^\perp \cap \underline{\phi}^{(2)}$, then $\underline{\phi}^{(2)}$ can be rewritten as $\underline{\phi}^{(2)} = \underline{\gamma}^1 \oplus \underline{f}_1$. The backward replacement of \underline{f}_1 produces a new harmonic map

$$\underline{\phi}^{(3)} = \underline{\alpha}_{-2} \oplus \underline{\gamma}^1 : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C}),$$

it belongs to the following harmonic sequence:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}^{(3)} = \underline{\alpha}_{-2} \oplus \underline{\gamma}^1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{f}_4 \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (5.26)$$

In harmonic sequence (5.26) we notice that \underline{f}_0 is a subbundle with rank one of $\underline{\phi}^{(3)}$, it satisfies $A''_{\phi(3)}|f_0 = 0$, i.e.

$$A''_{\phi(3)}(\ker A'_{\phi(3)}) = 0,$$

hence $\phi^{(3)}$ is a mixed pair. Suppose therefore that $\underline{\phi}^{(3)} = \underline{g}_m \oplus \underline{f}_0$, \underline{g}_m and \underline{f}_0 are anti-holomorphic and holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ respectively such that $\underline{h}_1 \perp \underline{g}_m$. Then it is reasonable to put

$$\gamma^1 = g_m + x_3 f_0, \quad \alpha_{-2} = -\bar{x}_3 |f_0|^2 g_m + |g_m|^2 f_0, \quad \gamma = \gamma^1 + x_4 f_1,$$

where x_3 and x_4 are smooth functions on S^2 except some isolated points. We thus derive $x_4 = 0$ from the fact $\langle \beta, f_1 \rangle = 0$, which gives $\gamma = \gamma^1$, $\underline{\alpha}_{-1} = \underline{f}_1$, $\underline{\alpha}_{-2} = \underline{f}_0$, it further implies

$$\underline{\phi}^{(3)} = \underline{c}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_0, \quad \underline{\phi}^{(1)} = \underline{c}_0 \oplus \underline{f}_2, \quad \underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_3 \oplus \underline{\alpha},$$

where $c_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$.

Next set

$$\alpha = f_2 + x_5 c_0, \quad \beta = -\bar{x}_5 f_2 + |f_2|^2 c_0, \quad (5.27)$$

where x_5 is a smooth functions on S^2 except some isolated points, then using (5.27) we arrive at

$$\partial x_5 = 0, \quad \bar{\partial} x_5 = 0, \quad |x_5|^2 = 2|f_2|^2 = 48, \quad \langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$$

according to

$$\alpha_{-1} = \bar{\partial} \alpha - \frac{\langle \bar{\partial} \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha, \quad \alpha_1 = \partial \alpha - \frac{\langle \partial \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha = \frac{\langle \alpha, f_2 \rangle}{|f_2|^2} f_3, \quad \frac{|\alpha_{-1}|^2}{|\alpha|^2} = \frac{|f_4|^2}{2|f_3|^2}, \quad |f_2|^2 = |V_2^{(4)}|^2 = 24$$

respectively, the last equation follows from (2.14).

This together with (3.2) implies finally that such $\underline{\phi} = \underline{f}_3 \oplus \underline{\alpha}$ is of second fundamental form, it belongs to case (3) in Theorem 1.3, which completes the proof. \square

Summing Lemmas 5.2-5.4, we obtain Theorem 1.3 in Section 1.

6. ∂' -IRREDUCIBLE AND ∂'' -IRREDUCIBLE MINIMAL TWO-SPHERES WITH PARALLEL SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

Let ϕ be a conformal minimal immersion from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ with $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$. Suppose ϕ is of parallel second fundamental form, in this section we analyze ϕ by $r = 1$ and $r \geq 2$ respectively, where r is the isotropy order of ϕ .

(a) $r = 1$. Here we consider harmonic map $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ of finite isotropy order $r = 1$ under the supposition $\text{rank } \partial' \underline{\phi} = \text{rank } \partial'' \underline{\phi} = 2$, then ϕ belongs to the following harmonic sequence

$$0 \xleftarrow{\partial''} \cdots \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi}_{-1} \xleftarrow{\partial''} \underline{\phi} \xrightarrow{\partial'} \underline{\phi}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial'} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial'} 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Since $r = 1$, it is easy to see that there exists a local unitary frame e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $\{e_0, e_1\}, \{e_0, e_2\}, \{e_3, e_4\}$ locally span subbundles $\underline{\phi}_1, \underline{\phi}_{-1}, \underline{\phi}$ of $S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^N$ respectively, and

$$\underline{\phi}_{-1} \cap \underline{\phi}_1 = \underline{e}_0, \quad A'_\phi(\underline{e}_3) = \underline{e}_0.$$

Let $W_{-1} = (e_0, e_2)$, $W_0 = (e_3, e_4)$, $W_1 = (e_0, e_1)$, then

$$W_1^* W_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.2)$$

Observing the fact $\phi = W_0 W_0^*$, then by using (2.5), it is not difficult to get the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\bar{z}} &= W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* W_0^* + W_0 \Omega_0^* W_1^*, \\ [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] &= -2W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* W_0^* - 2W_0 \Omega_0^* \Omega_0 \Omega_0^* W_1^* + W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_0^* \Omega_0 W_0^* \\ &\quad + W_0 \Omega_0^* W_1^* W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_{-1} W_{-1}^* + W_1 \Omega_0 \Omega_0^* W_1^* W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* W_0^* \\ &\quad + W_0 \Omega_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_0^* W_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we immediately get

$$M_1 \Omega_{-1}^* = -2\Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* + \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_0^* \Omega_0 + W_{-1}^* W_1 \Omega_0 \Omega_0^* W_1^* W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^*, \quad (6.3)$$

$$M_1 \Omega_0^* = -2\Omega_0^* \Omega_0 \Omega_0^* + \Omega_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_0^* + \Omega_0^* W_1^* W_{-1} \Omega_{-1}^* \Omega_{-1} W_{-1}^* W_1 \quad (6.4)$$

from the fact $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$. For convenience, using relation $A'_\phi(\underline{e}_3) = \underline{e}_0$, it is possible for us to put

$$\Omega_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \nu \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.5)$$

which are both (2×2) -matrices of rank two. By comparing every elements of matrix Ω_0 , condition (6.4) is equivalent to the equations

$$\begin{cases} M_1 \bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}(-2|\lambda|^2 - 2|\nu|^2 + 2|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2) + \bar{\nu}(x\bar{z} + y\bar{w}), \\ 0 = \bar{\mu}(x\bar{z} + y\bar{w} - 2\bar{\lambda}\nu), \\ M_1 \bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}(-2|\nu|^2 - 2|\mu|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2), \\ M_1 \bar{\nu} = \bar{\nu}(-2|\lambda|^2 - 2|\mu|^2 - 2|\nu|^2 + |x|^2 + 2|z|^2 + |w|^2) + \bar{\lambda}(\bar{x}z + \bar{y}w) \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

by (6.2) and (6.5). From above equations in (6.6) we claim

$$\nu = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Otherwise by using $\lambda \neq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$ we derive

$$\begin{cases} x\bar{z} + y\bar{w} = 2\bar{\lambda}\nu, \\ M_1 = -2|\mu|^2 - 2|\nu|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2, \\ M_1 = -2|\mu|^2 - 2|\nu|^2 + |x|^2 + 2|z|^2 + |w|^2, \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

then $x = 0$, $z = 0$ can be obtained by comparing the second and the third equations of (6.8), which contradicts our supposition $\text{rank } \Omega_{-1} = 2$ and then verifies (6.7). So we have the following conclusions

$$\begin{cases} M_1 = -2|\lambda|^2 + 2|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2, \\ M_1 = -2|\mu|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2, \\ x\bar{z} + y\bar{w} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.9)$$

hold.

Next we consider (6.3) by induction. Applying (6.2) and (6.5), condition (6.3) holds if and only if the following equations

$$(M_1 + 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2 - 2|\lambda|^2)\bar{x} = 0, \quad (6.10)$$

$$(M_1 + 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2 - |\lambda|^2)\bar{y} = 0, \quad (6.11)$$

$$(M_1 + 2|z|^2 + 2|w|^2 - |\lambda|^2 - |\mu|^2)\bar{z} = 0, \quad (6.12)$$

$$(M_1 + 2|z|^2 + 2|w|^2 - |\mu|^2)\bar{w} = 0 \quad (6.13)$$

hold, which implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ by observing (6.10) and (6.11).

Here we claim

$$x = 0. \quad (6.14)$$

In order to achieve our objective, we first discuss the case $x \neq 0$. In view of (6.10)-(6.13) we get $y = z = 0$ and $w \neq 0$. (6.10) becomes $M_1 = 2|\lambda|^2 - 2|x|^2$, comparing it with the first equation of (6.9), $M_1 = 0$, which is impossible. At present, we have made (6.14) true, then it can be clearly seen that (6.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} w = 0, \\ M_1 = |\lambda|^2 - 2|y|^2, \\ M_1 = |\lambda|^2 + |\mu|^2 - 2|z|^2. \end{cases} \quad (6.15)$$

Furthermore by (6.9) and (6.15), we get $|y|^2 = |\mu|^2 = -2M_1$, $|z|^2 = |\lambda|^2 = -3M_1$, which implies

$$L_{-1} = L_0 = -5M_1$$

by using $L_{-1} = \text{tr}(\Omega_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*)$ and $L_0 = \text{tr}(\Omega_0\Omega_0^*)$. It shows that $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is totally real with $\nabla B = 0$ and $r = 1$. Then from [1] and Theorem 1.1 of [16],

$$\phi = \underline{UV}_1^{(4)} \oplus \underline{UV}_3^{(4)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 5; \mathbb{C})$$

for some $U \in U(5)$ satisfies $\overline{\underline{UV}}_0^{(4)} = \underline{UV}_4^{(4)}$, which is totally geodesic with $K = \frac{1}{5}$, and it is congruent to the case (1) in Theorem 1.4.

(b) $r \geq 2$. In this part we consider ∂' -irreducible and ∂'' -irreducible harmonic map $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ of isotropy order $r \geq 2$ (including the strongly isotropic case), here ϕ

also derives the harmonic sequence given in (6.1). Since $r \geq 2$, ϕ_{-1} , ϕ and ϕ_1 are mutually orthogonal and $W_1^* W_{-1} = 0$. Similar calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} [A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] &= -2W_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*\Omega_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*W_0^* - 2W_0\Omega_0^*\Omega_0\Omega_0^*W_1^* \\ &\quad + W_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*\Omega_0^*\Omega_0W_0^* + W_0\Omega_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*\Omega_0^*W_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

At this time, under the assumption that ϕ is of parallel second fundamental form, it following from $[A_{\bar{z}}, [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}]] = M_1 A_{\bar{z}}$ that

$$\begin{cases} M_1 I = -2\Omega_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^* + \Omega_0^*\Omega_0, \\ M_1 I = -2\Omega_0^*\Omega_0 + \Omega_{-1}\Omega_{-1}^*, \end{cases}$$

where I is a (2×2) -identity matrix, which implies $L_{-1} = L_0 = -2M_1$. It concludes that $\phi : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ is totally real with parallel second fundamental form and isotropy order $r \geq 2$. Then from [1, 13] and [16], ϕ belongs to the following two cases:

- (1) $\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_1^{(2)} \oplus \underline{UV}_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ or $\underline{\phi} = \underline{JUV}_1^{(2)} \oplus \underline{UV}_1^{(2)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C})$ for some $U \in U(6)$;
(2) $\underline{\phi} = \underline{UV}_2^{(4)} \oplus \underline{UV}_2^{(4)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 10; \mathbb{C})$ or $\underline{\phi} = \underline{JUV}_2^{(4)} \oplus \underline{UV}_2^{(4)} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 10; \mathbb{C})$ for some $U \in U(10)$.

In the absence of confusion, adding zeros to $V_1^{(2)}$, let $\widehat{V}_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}(0, 0, 0, -2\bar{z}, \sqrt{2}(1 - z\bar{z}), 2z)^T$ and $V_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{1+z\bar{z}}(-2\bar{z}, \sqrt{2}(1 - z\bar{z}), 2z, 0, 0, 0)^T$, in summary, for some $U \in U(6)$, ϕ shown in (1) can be expressed as

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_1^{(2)}} \oplus \underline{UV_1^{(2)}} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 6; \mathbb{C}),$$

which is totally geodesic with $K = \frac{1}{2}$ by a series of calculations. It is congruent to the case (2) in Theorem 1.4.

Similarly, let

$$\widehat{V}_2^{(4)} = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 6\bar{z}^2, 6\bar{z}(z\bar{z} - 1), \sqrt{6}(1 - 4z\bar{z} + (z\bar{z})^2), 6z(1 - z\bar{z}), 6z^2)^T,$$

$$V_2^{(4)} = \frac{2}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}(6\bar{z}^2, 6\bar{z}(z\bar{z} - 1), \sqrt{6}(1 - 4z\bar{z} + (z\bar{z})^2), 6z(1 - z\bar{z}), 6z^2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T,$$

in summary, for some $U \in U(10)$, ϕ in (2) can be expressed as

$$\underline{\phi} = \underline{U\widehat{V}_2^{(4)}} \oplus \underline{UV_2^{(4)}} : S^2 \rightarrow G(2, 10; \mathbb{C}),$$

which is of parallel second fundamental form with $K = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\|B\|^2 = \frac{2}{3}$, and it is congruent to the case (3) in Theorem 1.4.

Summing up, we get Theorem 1.4 in Section 1.

Theorems 1.1-1.4 in Section 1 determine all conformal minimal immersions of parallel second fundamental form from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$. It is easy to check that no two of these eighteen cases are congruent, i.e., we can not transform any one into another by left multiplication by $U(N)$.

Up to an isometry of $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$, Theorems 1.1-1.4 show that all linearly full conformal minimal immersions of parallel second fundamental form from S^2 to $G(2, N; \mathbb{C})$ are presented by Veronese surfaces in \mathbb{CP}^n for some $n < N$. It is easy to check that these eighteen minimal immersions are all homogeneous. Of course they contain those given by ([13], Theorem 1.1 and [16], Theorem 1.1), even more than those (cf. cases (1)(2) and (3) shown in Theorem 1.1 etc.).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berndt, *Riemannian geometry of complex two-plane Grassmannians*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 55(1)(1997), 19-83.
- [2] J. Bolton, G.R. Jensen, M. Rigoli and L.M. Woodward, *On conformal minimal immersions of S^2 into CP^n* , Math. Ann., 279(1988), 599-620.
- [3] F.E. Burstall and J.C. Wood, *The construction of harmonic maps into complex Grassmannians*, J. Diff. Geom., 23(1986), 255-297.
- [4] S.S. Chern and J. G. Wolfson, *Minimal surfaces by moving frames*, Amer. J. Math., 105(1983), 59-83.
- [5] Q.S. Chi and Y.B. Zheng, *Rigidity of pseudo-holomorphic curves of constant curvature in Grassmann manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 313(1989), 393-406.
- [6] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (1) Holomorphic solutions*, J. Geom. Phys., 66(2013), 24-36.
- [7] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (2) Non-holomorphic solutions*, J. Geom. Phys., 71(2013), 1-10.
- [8] S. Erdem and J.C. Wood, *On the construction of harmonic maps into a Grassmannian*, J. London Math. Soc., 28(1)(1983), 161-174.
- [9] J. Fei, X.X. Jiao, L. Xiao and X.W. Xu, *On the classification of homogeneous 2-spheres in complex Grassmannians*, Osaka J. Math., 50(2013), 135-152.
- [10] D. Ferus, *Immersions with parallel second fundamental form*, Math. Z., 140(1974), 87-93.
- [11] D. Ferus, *Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Math. Ann., 247(1)(1980), 81-93.
- [12] L. He and X.X. Jiao, *Classification of conformal minimal immersions of constant curvature from S^2 to HP^2* , Math. Ann., 359(3-4)(2014), 663-694.
- [13] L. He and X.X. Jiao, *On conformal minimal immersions of S^2 in HP^n with parallel second fundamental form*, Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl., 194(5)(2015), 1301-1317.
- [14] X.X. Jiao, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves of constant curvature in complex Grassmannians*, Israel J. Math., 163(1)(2008), 45-63.
- [15] X.X. Jiao, *On minimal two-spheres immersed in complex Grassmann manifolds with parallel second fundamental form*, Monatsh. Math., 168(2012), 381-401.
- [16] X.X. Jiao and M.Y. Li, *On conformal minimal immersions of two-spheres in a complex hyperquadric with parallel second fundamental form*, J. Geom. Anal., 26(2016):185-205.
- [17] X.X. Jiao, M.Y. Li and H. Li, *Rigidity of conformal minimal immersions of constant curvature from S_2 to Q_4* , J. Geom. Anal., 31(2021):2212-2237.
- [18] V.F. Kagan, *The fundamentals of the theory of surfaces in tensor presentation. Part two. Surfaces in space. Transformations and Deformations of surfaces. Special questions (Russian)*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow-Leningrad, 1948.
- [19] H. Naitoh, *Parallel submanifolds of complex space forms. II*, Nagoya Math. J., 91 (1983), 119-149.
- [20] H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, *On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28(4)(1976), 638-667.
- [21] A. Ros, *On spectral geometry of Kaehler submanifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 36(3)(1984), 433-447.
- [22] M. Takeuchi, *Parallel submanifolds of space forms*, Manifolds and Lie groups (Notre Dame, Ind., 1980), Progr. Math., 14, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981, 429-447.
- [23] K. Uhlenbeck, *Harmonic maps into Lie groups (classical solutions of the chiral model)*, J. Diff. Geom., 30(1989), 1-50.
- [24] J. G. Wolfson, *Harmonic sequences and harmonic maps of surfaces into complex Grassmann manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., 27(1988), 161-178.