

Exponential Functions in Cartesian Differential Categories

Jean-Simon Pacaud Lemay

November 13, 2019

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce differential exponential maps in Cartesian differential categories, which generalizes the exponential function e^x from classical differential calculus. A differential exponential map is an endomorphism which is compatible with the differential combinator in such a way that generalizations of $e^0 = 1$, $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$, and $\frac{\partial e^x}{\partial x} = e^x$ all hold. Every differential exponential map induces a commutative semiring, which we call a differential exponential semiring, and conversely, every differential exponential semiring induces a differential exponential map. In particular, differential exponential maps can be defined without the need for limits, converging power series, or unique solutions of certain differential equations – which most Cartesian differential categories do not necessarily have. That said, we do explain how every differential exponential map does provide solutions to certain differential equations, and conversely how in the presence of unique solutions, one can derivative a differential exponential map. Examples of differential exponential maps in the Cartesian differential category of real smooth functions include the exponential function, the complex exponential function, and the dual numbers exponential. And as another source of interesting examples, we also study differential exponential maps in the coKleisli category of a differential category.

Acknowledgements. The author would first like to thank Geoff Cruttwell for his support in this project and very useful discussions which greatly helped the development of this paper. The author would also like to thank the following for financial support regarding this paper: Kellogg College, the Department of Computer Science of the University of Oxford, the Clarendon Fund, the Oxford-Google DeepMind Graduate Scholarship, and the Oxford Travel Abroad Bursary.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Background: Cartesian Differential Categories	4
3	Differential Exponential Maps	8
4	Differential Exponential Semirings	13
5	Solutions to Dynamical Systems	23
6	Differential Exponential Maps for Differential Categories	30
7	Conclusion and Future Work	41

1 Introduction

Cartesian differential categories [2], introduced by Blute, Cockett, and Seely, come equipped with a differential combinator D which provides a categorical axiomatization of the directional derivative from multivariable differential calculus. Important examples of Cartesian differential categories include the category of real smooth functions (Example 2.3), the coKleisli category of a differential category [4], the differential objects of a tangent category [10], and categorical models of Ehrhard and Regnier’s differential λ -calculus [14] (which are in fact called Cartesian *closed* differential categories [19]). Other interesting (and surprising) examples include abelian functor calculus [1] and cofree Cartesian differential categories [12, 17]. Since their introduction, Cartesian differential categories have a rich literature and have been successful in generalizing many concepts from classical differential calculus, and more recently have also started to find their way in applications.

In particular, Cockett and Cruttwell have introduced the notion of dynamical systems and their solutions in tangent categories [8], which generalize ordinary differential equations in this context, specifically initial value problems. As every Cartesian differential category is a tangent category, this implies that dynamical systems allow one to study differential equations in a Cartesian differential category. In classical differential calculus, one of the most important tools used for solving differential equations is the exponential function e^x . Therefore, it is desirable to generalize the exponential function for Cartesian differential categories.

The exponential function e^x admits numerous equivalent characterizations. It can either be defined as the (partial) inverse of the natural logarithm function $\ln(x)$, or as the limit:

$$e^x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$$

or as the convergent power series:

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

or even as the solution to $f'(x) = f(x)$ with initial condition $f(0) = 1$. However, in arbitrary Cartesian differential categories, one does not necessarily have partial functions, a notion of convergence, infinite sums, or even (unique) solutions to initial value problems. Therefore one must look for a more algebraic characterization of the exponential function. In classical algebra, an exponential ring [22] is a ring equipped with an endomorphism e such that $e(x + y) = e(x)e(y)$ and $e(0) = 1$, with the canonical example being the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} with the exponential function e^x . While this seems promising, arbitrary objects in a Cartesian differential category do not necessarily come equipped with a multiplication. Rather than requiring this extra ring structure on objects, it turns out that the differential combinator D will allow to bypass the need for a multiplication.

In the category of real smooth functions, which is the canonical example of a Cartesian differential category, the differential combinator D applied to the exponential function is precisely its directional derivative $D[e^x](x, y) = e^x y$ – so the multiplication of \mathbb{R} appears in $D[e^x]$. Inspired by this observation, the generalization of the exponential function in a Cartesian differential category can be defined simply in terms of an endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ which is compatible with the differential combinator D in the sense that $D[e](0, x) = x$ and $e(x + y) = D[e](x, e(y))$. We call such endomorphisms **differential exponential maps**, which is the main novel notion of study in this paper, and these generalize the exponential function for Cartesian differential categories. Indeed for e^x , the differential exponential maps axioms correspond precisely to $e^0 x = x$ and $e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$.

While we mentioned that not every object has a multiplication, it turns out that every differential exponential map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ does induce a commutative semiring structure on A , and thus A does have a multiplication. The construction is once again inspired by the classical exponential function e^x . Applying the differential combinator on e^x twice we obtain $D[e^x]((x, y), (z, w)) = e^x yz + e^x w$. Setting $x = 0$ and $w = 0$, one re-obtains precisely the multiplication of \mathbb{R} , $D[e^x]((0, y), (z, 0)) = yz$. This construction is easily generalized to an arbitrary Cartesian differential category, and one can then use the differential combinator axioms to show that every differential exponential map induces a commutative semiring. Conversely, one can alternatively axiomatize an object equipped with a differential exponential maps instead as a commutative semiring equipped with an endomorphism which satisfies the three fundamental properties of the exponential function: $e^0 = 1$, $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$, and $\frac{\partial e^x}{\partial x} = e^x$. We call such semirings, **differential exponential semirings**, and there is a bijective correspondence between differential exponential maps and differential exponential semirings – which is one of the main results of this paper.

As one of the main motivations for their development, differential exponential maps does allow one to solve a certain class of linear dynamical systems in any Cartesian differential category. Furthermore, it turns out that a differential exponential map is indeed the unique solution to the dynamical system which generalizes the initial value problem $f'(x) = f(x)$ with initial condition $f(0) = 1$. Hopefully in future work on solving differential equations in a Cartesian differential category, differential exponential maps will play a key role.

Outline and Main Results: Section 2 is a background section on Cartesian differential categories where we briefly review the basic definitions, as well as to introduce the notation and terminology used in this paper. In particular, we review the canonical commutative monoid structure \oplus on every object in a Cartesian differential category (Lemma 2.10), which plays a key role throughout this paper. In Section 3 we introduce differential exponential maps (Definition 3.1) and in particular show that the category of differential exponential maps is a Cartesian tangent category (Proposition 3.6). In Example 3.7 we provide examples of differential exponential maps in the category of smooth real functions, which include the classical exponential function, the complex exponential function, and the dual number exponential function. In Section 4 we introduce differential exponential semirings. We show that every differential exponential semiring induces a differential exponential map (Proposition 4.4) and conversely that every differential exponential map induces a differential exponential semiring (Proposition 4.5), and show that these constructions are inverses of each other (Theorem 4.8). As an immediate consequence, the category of differential exponential semirings is also a Cartesian tangent category (Proposition 4.9). In Section 5 we explain the relationship between differential exponential maps and solutions to differential equations in arbitrary Cartesian differential categories. In particular, we show that every differential exponential map is the unique solution to the expected differential equation (Proposition 5.5) and that a certain class of dynamical systems admit a solution (Proposition 5.12). We also show that in the presence of unique solutions to differential equations, one can build a differential exponential map (Proposition 5.13). In Section 6 we study differential exponential maps in the coKleisli category of a differential (storage) category and give equivalent characterizations in these cases (Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.5). We also introduce $!$ -differential exponential algebras (Definition 6.6) for differential storage categories. We show that every $!$ -differential exponential algebra induces a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category (Proposition 6.7), and conversely that every differential exponential map in the coKleisli category induces a $!$ -differential exponential

algebra (Proposition 6.7), and that these constructions are inverses of each other (Theorem 6.9). We conclude this paper with Section 7 which discusses some interesting potential future work to do with differential exponential maps.

Conventions: We use diagrammatic order for composition: this means that the composite map fg is the map which first does f then g . For a category with finite products, we denote the binary product of objects A and B by $A \times B$ with projection $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_0} A$ and $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B$, pairing operation $\langle -, - \rangle$ and thus $f \times g = \langle \pi_0 f, \pi_1 g \rangle$, and the chosen terminal object as \top .

2 Background: Cartesian Differential Categories

In this section, if only to introduce notation, we briefly review Cartesian differential categories, their underlying Cartesian left additive structure, and their induced Cartesian tangent category structure. That said, we assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of Cartesian differential categories. For a more in-depth discussion we refer the reader to [2, 10].

Definition 2.1 *A left additive category [2, Definition 1.1.1] is a category \mathbb{X} such that each hom-set $\mathbb{X}(A, B)$ is a commutative monoid with addition $\mathbb{X}(A, B) \times \mathbb{X}(A, B) \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{X}(A, B)$, $(f, g) \mapsto f + g$, and zero $0 \in \mathbb{X}(A, B)$, such that composition on the left preserves the additive structure, that is:*

$$f(g + h) = fg + fh \quad f0 = 0 \quad (1)$$

*A map k in a left additive category is **additive** [2, Definition 1.1.1] if composition on the right by h preserves the additive structure, that is:*

$$(g + h)k = gk + hk \quad 0k = 0 \quad (2)$$

*A **Cartesian left additive category** [2, Definition 1.2.1] is a left additive category \mathbb{X} with finite products \times and terminal object \top such that all projection maps $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_0} A$ and $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B$ are additive.*

We note that the definition of a Cartesian left additive category presented here is not precisely that given in [2, Definition 1.2.1], but was shown to be equivalent in [17, Lemma 2.4]. Also, note that in a Cartesian left additive category, the unique map from an object A to the terminal object \top is the zero map $A \xrightarrow{0} \top$. Also, an important map which will use throughout this paper is the canonical interchange map $(A \times B) \times (C \times D) \xrightarrow{c} (A \times C) \times (B \times D)$ defined as

$$c := \langle \pi_0 \times \pi_1, \pi_1 \times \pi_0 \rangle \quad (3)$$

which is a natural isomorphism such that $cc = 1$.

Definition 2.2 *A **Cartesian differential category** [2, Definition 2.1.1] is a Cartesian left additive category \mathbb{X} equipped with a **differential combinator** D , which is a family of operators $\mathbb{X}(A, B) \xrightarrow{D} \mathbb{X}(A \times A, B)$, $f \mapsto D[f]$, such that the following axioms hold:*

[CD.1] $D[f + g] = D[f] + D[g]$ and $D[0] = 0$;

[CD.2] $(1 \times (\pi_0 + \pi_1))D[f] = (1 \times \pi_0)D[f] + (1 \times \pi_1)D[f]$ and $\langle 1, 0 \rangle D[f] = 0$;

[CD.3] $D[1] = \pi_1$, $D[\pi_0] = \pi_1\pi_0$ and $D[\pi_0] = \pi_1\pi_1$;

[CD.4] $D[\langle f, g \rangle] = \langle D[f], D[g] \rangle$;

[CD.5] $D[fg] = \langle \pi_0 f, D[f] \rangle D[g]$;

[CD.6] $(\langle 1, 0 \rangle \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) D^2[f] = D[f]$;

[CD.7] $cD^2[f] = D^2[f]$.

A discussion on the intuition for the differential combinator axioms can be found in [2, Remark 2.1.3]. We also note that the definition of a Cartesian differential category given here is not precisely that given in [2, Definition 2.1.1] but rather an equivalent version found in [11, Section 3.4]. Also note that unlike in [2, 10, 11], we use the convention used in the more recent work on Cartesian differential categories where the linear argument of $D[f]$ is its second argument rather than its first argument. The canonical example of a Cartesian differential category is the category of Euclidean spaces and smooth maps between them – which will be our main example throughout this paper.

Example 2.3 Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers and let **SMOOTH** be the category whose objects are the Euclidean vector spaces \mathbb{R}^n (including the singleton $\mathbb{R}^0 = \{*\}$) and whose maps are smooth function $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^m$, which of course are in fact tuples $F = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ for some smooth functions $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f_i} \mathbb{R}$. **SMOOTH** is a Cartesian differential category where the finite product structure and additive structure are defined in the obvious way, and whose differential combinator is given by the directional derivative of smooth functions. Explicitly, recall that for a smooth map $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$, its gradient $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\nabla(f)} \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as:

$$\nabla(f)(\vec{a}) = \left(\frac{\partial f(\vec{x})}{\partial x_1}(\vec{a}), \dots, \frac{\partial f(\vec{x})}{\partial x_n}(\vec{a}) \right)$$

Then $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{D[f]} \mathbb{R}$ is defined as:

$$D[f](\vec{a}, \vec{b}) = \nabla(f)(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{b} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) b_i$$

where \cdot is the dot product of vectors. In the case of $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^m$, with $F = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$, we define $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{D[F]} \mathbb{R}^m$ as $D[F] = \langle D[f_1], \dots, D[f_n] \rangle$. It is also possible to define $D[F]$ in terms of the Jacobian matrix of F .

Many other interesting examples of Cartesian differential categories can be found throughout the literature such as categorical models of the differential λ -calculus [14], which are called Cartesian *closed* differential categories [19], the subcategory of differential objects of a Cartesian tangent category [10], and the coKleisli category of a differential category [2, 4]. In particular, we will take a closer look at the coKleisli category of a differential category in Section 6.

An important class of maps in a Cartesian differential category is the class of linear maps. Later in Section 4, we will also discuss bilinear maps.

Definition 2.4 In a Cartesian differential category, a map f is said to be **linear** [2, Definition 2.2.1] if $D[f] = \pi_1 f$.

Example 2.5 In the Cartesian differential category **SMOOTH** (as defined in Example 2.3), a map $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear in the sense of Definition 2.4 precisely when it is \mathbb{R} -linear in the classical sense, that is, $F(s\vec{a} + t\vec{b}) = sF(\vec{a}) + tF(\vec{b})$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here are now some useful properties about linear maps for this paper:

Lemma 2.6 [2, Lemma 2.2.2] In a Cartesian differential category:

- (i) If f is linear then f is additive (Definition 2.1);
- (ii) Identity maps $A \xrightarrow{1} A$ and projection maps $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_0} A$ and $A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B$ are linear;
- (iii) If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $B \xrightarrow{g} C$ are linear then their composition $A \xrightarrow{fg} C$ is linear;
- (iv) If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is an isomorphism and is linear then its inverse $B \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} A$ is linear;
- (v) If $C \xrightarrow{f} A$ and $C \xrightarrow{g} B$ are linear then their pairing $C \xrightarrow{\langle f, g \rangle} A \times B$ is linear;
- (vi) If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $C \xrightarrow{g} D$ are linear then their product $A \times C \xrightarrow{f \times g} B \times D$ is linear;
- (vii) Zero maps $A \xrightarrow{0} B$ are linear;
- (viii) If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $A \xrightarrow{g} B$ are linear then their sum $A \xrightarrow{f+g} B$ is linear;
- (ix) If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is linear then $D[fg] = (f \times f)D[g]$ and $D[kf] = D[k]f$;
- (x) The interchange map $(A \times B) \times (C \times D) \xrightarrow{c} (A \times C) \times (B \times D)$ is linear.

For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , define its subcategory of linear maps $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ to be the category whose objects are the same as \mathbb{X} and whose maps are linear in \mathbb{X} . Lemma 2.6 tells us that $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ is a well-defined category and also that it has finite biproducts, and thus is a Cartesian left additive category where every map is additive. $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ also inherits the differential combinator from \mathbb{X} and so $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ is a Cartesian differential category where every map is linear. Therefore the obvious forgetful functor $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{U} \mathbb{X}$ preserves the Cartesian differential structure strictly.

The differential combinator of a Cartesian differential category induces an endofunctor and this endofunctor makes a Cartesian differential category a Cartesian tangent category. We will not review the full definition of a tangent category here but we will highlight certain properties that will be important for this paper. We invite the reader to read the full definition of a tangent category in [10, 11].

Proposition 2.7 [10, Proposition 4.7] Every Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} is a Cartesian tangent category where the **tangent functor** $\mathbb{T} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is defined on objects as $\mathbb{T}(A) := A \times A$ and on morphisms as $\mathbb{T}(f) := \langle \pi_0 f, D[f] \rangle$.

Corollary 2.8 For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ is a Cartesian tangent category where the tangent functor $\mathbb{T} : \text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}] \rightarrow \text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ is defined on objects as $\mathbb{T}(A) := A \times A$ and on morphisms as $\mathbb{T}(f) := f \times f$. Furthermore, the forgetful functor $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{U} \mathbb{X}$ preserves the Cartesian tangent structure strictly.

Here are now some useful properties involving the tangent functor (which we leave to the reader to check for themselves):

Lemma 2.9 In a Cartesian differential category:

- (i) $D[f g] = \mathbb{T}(f)D[g]$;
- (ii) $\langle 1, 0 \rangle \mathbb{T}(f) = f \langle 1, 0 \rangle$;
- (iii) If f is linear then $\mathbb{T}(f) = f \times f$;
- (iv) $\mathbb{T}(\langle f, g \rangle) = \langle \mathbb{T}(f), \mathbb{T}(g) \rangle c$;
- (v) $D[f \times g] = c(D[f] \times D[g])$ and $\mathbb{T}(f \times g)c = c(\mathbb{T}(f) \times \mathbb{T}(g))$;
- (vi) $\mathbb{T}(f + g) = \mathbb{T}(f) + \mathbb{T}(g)$ and $\mathbb{T}(0) = 0$;
- (vii) $D[\mathbb{T}(f)] = c\mathbb{T}(D[f])$.

We conclude this section with the observation that in a Cartesian differential category, the additive structure induces a commutative monoid structure for every object. Cartesian left additive categories can be axiomatized in terms of equipping each object with a commutative monoid structure such that the projection maps are monoid morphisms.

Lemma 2.10 [2, Proposition 1.2.2] In a Cartesian differential category, for an object A define the map $A \times A \xrightarrow{\oplus} A$ as $\oplus = \pi_0 + \pi_1$. Then the triple $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid, that is, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, 0 \rangle} & A \times A \\
 \langle 0, 1 \rangle \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \oplus \\
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & A
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 (A \times A) \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus \times 1} & A \times A \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \oplus \\
 A \times (A \times A) & & \\
 1 \times \oplus \downarrow & & \\
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & A
 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{\langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle} & A \times A \\
 \searrow \oplus & & \downarrow \oplus \\
 & & A
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Here are now some useful properties involving this commutative monoid structure (which we leave to the reader to check for themselves):

Lemma 2.11 In a Cartesian differential category:

- (i) \oplus is linear, that is, $D[\oplus] = \pi_1 \oplus$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{T}(\oplus) = \oplus \times \oplus$;
- (iii) $c\mathbb{T}(\oplus) = \oplus$;
- (iv) A map f is additive if and only if $\oplus f = (f \times f) \oplus$ and $0f = f$.

3 Differential Exponential Maps

In this section, we introduce *differential exponential maps*, which generalizes the notion of the classical exponential function e^x for arbitrary Cartesian differential categories.

Definition 3.1 A *differential exponential map* in a Cartesian differential category is a map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$, such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\langle 0,1 \rangle} & A \times A \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow D[e] \\
 & & A
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{1 \times e} & A \times A \\
 \oplus \downarrow & & \downarrow D[e] \\
 A & \xrightarrow{e} & A
 \end{array}
 \tag{5}$$

where \oplus is defined as in Lemma 2.10.

The intuition for a differential exponential map is best explained in Example 3.7.i, which shows that the classical exponential function e^x (which is, of course, the main motivating example) is a differential exponential map. Briefly since e^x is its own derivative, its directional derivative $D[e^x]$ is simply $e^x y$. The left diagram of (5) generalizes that $e^0 y = y$ (since $e^0 = 1$), while the right diagram generalizes that $e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$. The differential combinator is the key piece that allows one to bypass the need for a multiplication operation and a multiplicative unit in the definition of a differential exponential map. That said, in Section 4 we will see that every differential exponential map does induce a multiplication and that analogues of the three essential properties of the classical exponential function are satisfied (Proposition 4.5). And conversely, we will also see how one can also axiomatize differential exponential maps in terms of a multiplication and analogues of the three essential properties of the classical exponential function (Proposition 4.4). And, as mentioned in the introduction, we also highlight that the definition of a differential exponential map does not require any added structure or property on the Cartesian differential category such as a notion of converging limits or infinite sums. Before giving examples of differential exponential maps, which can be found in Example 3.7, let us first consider the category of differential exponential maps and constructions of differential exponential maps.

For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , define its category of differential exponential maps as the category $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ whose objects are pairs (A, e) consisting of an object $A \in \mathbb{X}$ and a differential exponential map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$, and where a map $(A, e) \xrightarrow{f} (B, e')$ is a *linear* map $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{X} such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 e \downarrow & & \downarrow e' \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B
 \end{array}
 \tag{6}$$

and where composition and identity maps are as in \mathbb{X} . The reason for why maps in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ are restricted to being linear will become apparent in the proof of Theorem 4.8. There is the obvious forgetful functor $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{U} \text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ which maps $U(A, e) = A$ and $U(f) = f$.

Lemma 3.2 The forgetful functor $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{U} \text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ reflects all limits.

PROOF: Let $\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{F} \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ be a functor such that the limit of $\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{F} \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{U} \text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ exists in $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$, which we denote $\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X))$ with projections $\pi_X : \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X)) \rightarrow U(F(X))$. Note that $U(F(X))$ is the underlying object of $F(X)$, and so it comes equipped with a differential exponential map $U(F(X)) \xrightarrow{e_X} U(F(X))$. Therefore $F(X) = (U(F(X)), e_X)$. Now for every map $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathbb{D} , since $F(f)$ is a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X)) & \\
\pi_X \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_Y \\
U(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{U(F(f))} & U(F(Y)) \\
e_X \downarrow & & \downarrow e_Y \\
U(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{U(F(f))} & U(F(Y))
\end{array}$$

By the universal property of $\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X))$, this induces a map $\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X)) \xrightarrow{\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X} \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X))$, which is the unique map which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & U(F(X)) \\
\exists! \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \downarrow & & \downarrow e_X \\
\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} U(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & U(F(X))
\end{array}$$

We now wish to show that $\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X$ is a differential exponential map. To do so, first note that for each $X \in \mathbb{D}$, π_X is linear and so by Lemma 2.6 it follows that:

$$D \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] \pi_X = (\pi_X \times \pi_X) D[e_X] \quad (7)$$

Now since π_X is linear, it is also additive (Lemma 2.6) and therefore we obtain the following:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle D \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] \pi_X = \langle 0, 1 \rangle (\pi_X \times \pi_X) D[e_X] \quad (7)$$

$$= \langle 0\pi_X, \pi_X \rangle D[e_X]$$

$$= \langle 0, \pi_X \rangle D[e_X]$$

(π_X is additive + Lemma 2.11)

$$= \pi_X \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e_X]$$

$$= \pi_X$$

(5)

$$\oplus \left(\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right) \pi_X = \oplus \pi_X e_X \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\pi_X \times \pi_X) \oplus e_X && (\pi_X \text{ is additive} + \text{Lemma 2.11}) \\
&= (\pi_X \times \pi_X)(1 \times e_X) \mathbb{D}[e_X] && (5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(1 \times \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X\right) (\pi_X \times \pi_X) \mathbb{D}[e_X] \\
&= \left(1 \times \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X\right) \mathbb{D} \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] \pi_X && (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for each $X \in \mathbb{D}$, we have that:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle \mathbb{D} \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] \pi_X = \pi_X \quad \oplus (\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X) \pi_X = \left(1 \times \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X\right) \mathbb{D} \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] \pi_X$$

Then by the universal property of the limit, it follows that:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle \mathbb{D} \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right] = 1 \quad \oplus (\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X) = \left(1 \times \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X\right) \mathbb{D} \left[\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X \right]$$

and so we conclude that $\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X$ is a differential exponential map. From here, it is straightforward to conclude that the limit of $\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{F} \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is the pair $(\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{U}(F(X)), \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X)$ with projections $(\lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{U}(F(X)), \lim_{X \in \mathbb{D}} e_X) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} (\mathbb{U}(F(X)), e_X)$. \square

By Lemma 2.6, the projection maps of the product are linear in any Cartesian differential category. Therefore, an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 is that the product of differential exponential maps is again a differential exponential map.

Corollary 3.3 *In a Cartesian differential category:*

- (i) *For the terminal object \top , $\top \xrightarrow{1} \top$ is a differential exponential map;*
- (ii) *If $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ and $B \xrightarrow{e'} B$ are differential exponential maps, then $A \times B \xrightarrow{e \times e'} A \times B$ is a differential exponential map;*

It is important to note that a differential exponential map of type $A \times B \rightarrow A \times B$ is not necessarily the product of differential exponential maps, that is, of the form $e \times e'$. That said, another consequence of Lemma 3.2 is that the category of differential exponential maps has finite products.

Corollary 3.4 *For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ has finite products where the terminal object is $(\top, 1)$, and where the product of (A, e) and (B, e') is $(A \times B, e \times e')$ with projection maps $(A \times B, e \times e') \xrightarrow{\pi_0} (A, e)$ and $(A \times B, e \times e') \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (B, e')$.*

Our next observation about the category of differential exponential maps is that it is a Cartesian tangent category. We first show that the tangent of a differential exponential map is again a differential exponential map.

Lemma 3.5 *If $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map, then $A \times A \xrightarrow{\top(e)} A \times A$ is a differential exponential map.*

PROOF: We first show that $\langle 0, 1 \rangle D [\mathbb{T}(e)] = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0, 1 \rangle D [\mathbb{T}(e)] &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle c\mathbb{T}(D[e]) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= \mathbb{T}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)\mathbb{T}(D[e]) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= \mathbb{T}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e]) && (\mathbb{T} \text{ is a functor}) \\
&= \mathbb{T}(1) && (5) \\
&= 1 && (\mathbb{T} \text{ is a functor})
\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $(1 \times \mathbb{T}(e))D [\mathbb{T}(e)] = \oplus \mathbb{T}(e)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \times \mathbb{T}(e))D [\mathbb{T}(e)] &= (1 \times \mathbb{T}(e))c\mathbb{T}(D[e]) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= c\mathbb{T}(1 \times e)\mathbb{T}(D[e]) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= c\mathbb{T}((1 \times e)D[e]) && (\mathbb{T} \text{ is a functor}) \\
&= c\mathbb{T}(\oplus e) && (5) \\
&= c\mathbb{T}(\oplus)\mathbb{T}(e) && (\mathbb{T} \text{ is a functor}) \\
&= \oplus \mathbb{T}(e) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that $\mathbb{T}(e)$ is a differential exponential map. \square

Proposition 3.6 *For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is a Cartesian tangent category where the tangent functor $\mathbb{T} : \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \rightarrow \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is defined on objects as $\mathbb{T}(A, e) := (A \times A, \mathbb{T}(e))$ and on maps as $\mathbb{T}(f) = f \times f$, and where the remaining tangent structure is the same as for $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$ (which is the same as for \mathbb{X} and can be found in [10, Proposition 4.7]).*

PROOF: The tangent functor \mathbb{T} is well defined by Lemma 3.5. Since all the maps of the tangent structure of \mathbb{X} are linear, it follows that by their respective naturality with the tangent functor of \mathbb{X} , they are also maps in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ which are natural for its tangent functor. The existence of the necessary limits for tangent structure in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ will follow from Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 3.2. And lastly, the required equalities for tangent structure will hold since they hold in $\text{LIN}[\mathbb{X}]$. So we conclude that $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is a tangent category. Furthermore, since \mathbb{X} is a Cartesian tangent category, it follows that $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is also a Cartesian tangent category, that is, $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{T}, 1_{\mathbb{T}}) \cong (\mathbb{T}, 1_{\mathbb{T}})$ and $\mathbb{T}(A \times B, e \times e') \cong \mathbb{T}(A, e) \times \mathbb{T}(B, e')$. \square

It may be tempted to think that $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is also a Cartesian differential category, but this is not the case. Indeed note that even if $(A, e) \xrightarrow{f} (B, e')$ and $(A, e) \xrightarrow{g} (A, e')$ are maps in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$, their sum $f + g$ is not (in general) a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ since it is not necessarily the case that $(f + g)e$ equals $e'(f + g)$. This implies that $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is not a Cartesian left additive category, and so in particular not a Cartesian differential category.

Example 3.7 Here are now examples of differential exponential maps in the Cartesian differential category SMOOTH (as defined in Example 2.3).

(i) Consider the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto e^x$. Since $\frac{\partial e^x}{\partial x}(a) = e^a$, we have that:

$$D[e](a, b) = \frac{\partial e^x}{\partial x}(a)b = e^a b$$

The exponential function satisfies the left diagram of (5) since $e^0 = 1$:

$$D[e](0, a) = e^0 a = a$$

while the right diagram of (5) is also satisfied since $e^a e^b = e^{a+b}$:

$$D[e^x](a, e^b) = e^a e^b = e^{a+b}$$

Then the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$ is a differential exponential map.

(ii) Consider the complex exponential function $e^{x+iy} = e^x \cos(y) + ie^x \sin(y)$, which can be seen as the smooth real function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^2$, $(x, y) \mapsto (e^x \cos(y), e^x \sin(y))$. By the Leibniz rule and the derivative identities for both e^x and the trig functions, we have that:

$$D[\epsilon]((a, b), (c, d)) = (e^a \cos(b)c - e^a \sin(b)d, e^a \sin(b)c + e^a \cos(b)d)$$

Or using complex number notation: $D[\epsilon](a + ib, c + id) = e^{a+ib}(c + id)$. Then by similar arguments as the real exponential function, $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^2$ is also a differential exponential map.

(iii) Applying Corollary 3.3.ii to the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$, the point-wise exponential functions $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{e^x \times \dots \times e^x} \mathbb{R}^n$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n})$, are differential exponential maps in SMOOTH. One could also take the product of the real exponential function and the complex exponential function to obtain another example of a differential exponential map.

(iv) Applying Lemma 3.5 to the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$, the tangent exponential function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{T(e^x)} \mathbb{R}^2$, $(x, y) \mapsto (e^x, e^x y)$, is a differential exponential map. To better understand this differential exponential map, consider that for dual numbers: $e^{x+y\epsilon} = e^x + e^x y \epsilon$ (which can be worked out directly using the power series expression of e^x and that $\epsilon^2 = 0$). Writing dual numbers $x + y\epsilon$ instead as (x, y) , it becomes clear that $T(e^x)$ is the exponential function for the ring of dual numbers $\mathbb{R}[\epsilon]$. This relation was to be expected since tangent structure is closely related to dual numbers and Weil algebras [18].

Corollary 3.3.i tells us that the identity map of the terminal object is a differential exponential map. So we conclude this section with the observation that a differential exponential map is linear if and only if it is the identity map of a terminal object.

Lemma 3.8 *A differential exponential map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is reduced (i.e. $0e = 0$) if and only if A is a terminal object (and therefore $e = 1_A = 0$). Therefore, a differential exponential map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is additive or linear if and only if A is a terminal object.*

PROOF: Suppose that $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map which satisfies $0e = 0$. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \langle 1, 0 \rangle \oplus e && \text{(Lemma 2.10)} \\ &= \langle 1, 0 \rangle (1 \times e) D[e] && (5) \\ &= \langle 1, 0e \rangle D[e] \\ &= \langle 1, 0 \rangle D[e] && (e \text{ reduced}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0 \quad [\text{CD.2}]$$

So $e = 0$. Now note that in a Cartesian left additive category, A is a terminal object if and only if $1_A = 0$ (we leave this to the reader to check for themselves). Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] & (5) \\ &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[0] & (e = 0) \\ &= 0 & [\text{CD.1}] \end{aligned}$$

So $1_A = 0$, and so A is a terminal object. Conversely, if A is a terminal object, then we must have that $e = 1_A = 0$, and so clearly e is reduced. For the second statement, note that by definition every additive map is reduced, and since linear maps are additive (Lemma 2.6), they are also reduced. So if e is additive or linear, it is reduced and therefore A is a terminal object. Conversely, if A is a terminal object, then e must be the identity, and identity maps are always linear and additive (Lemma 2.6). \square

Example 3.9 Every category with finite biproducts is a Cartesian differential category with differential combinator defined as $D[f] = \pi_1 f$, which means that every map is linear. Therefore, in this case, the only differential exponential maps are the identity maps on terminal objects.

Note that for a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , Lemma 3.8 also implies that the only differential object [10] in the Cartesian tangent category $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is the terminal object.

4 Differential Exponential Semirings

In this section, we introduce *differential exponential semirings*, which provide an equivalent alternative characterization of differential exponential maps. We will show that every differential exponential map induces a differential exponential semiring (Proposition 4.5) and that conversely, every differential exponential semiring induces a differential exponential map (Proposition 4.4). We will also show that for a Cartesian differential category, its category of differential exponential maps is isomorphic to its category of differential exponential semirings (Theorem 4.8). We begin by reviewing differential semirings, which are semirings in a Cartesian differential category whose multiplication is bilinear in the Cartesian differential category sense.

Definition 4.1 A *differential semiring* in a Cartesian differential category is a triple (A, \odot, u) consisting of an object A and two maps $A \times A \xrightarrow{\odot} A$ and $\top \xrightarrow{u} A$ such that (A, \odot, u) is a commutative monoid, that is, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, 0u \rangle} & A \times A \\ \downarrow \langle 0u, 1 \rangle & \searrow & \downarrow \odot \\ A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} (A \times A) \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot \times 1} & A \times A \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \odot \\ A \times (A \times A) & & \\ \downarrow 1 \times \odot & & \\ A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{\langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle} & A \times A \\ \searrow \odot & & \downarrow \odot \\ & & A \end{array} \end{array} \quad (8)$$

and $A \times A \xrightarrow{\odot} A$ is bilinear, that is, the following equality holds:

$$D[\odot] = (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \odot + (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \odot \quad (9)$$

We should justify the use of the term semiring in differential semiring. Indeed, the term (commutative) semiring should imply that there are two (commutative) monoid structures that satisfy the expected distributivity axioms. But we know that in a Cartesian differential category, as discussed in Lemma 2.10, every object A already comes equipped with a commutative monoid structure $(A, \oplus, 0)$. So every differential semiring (A, \odot, u) does come with two commutative monoid structures. The required distributivity axioms are captured by the fact that \oplus is bilinear, and therefore additive in each argument – which is an equivalent way of saying that \oplus and \odot distribute over one another in the expected semiring sense.

Lemma 4.2 *If (A, \odot, u) is a differential semiring, then the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & A \times A & & A \times (A \times A) & \xrightarrow{1 \times \oplus} & (A \times A) & & (A \times A) \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus \times 1} & A \times A \\
\langle 0,1 \rangle \downarrow & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \odot & & \langle 1 \times \pi_0, 1 \times \pi_1 \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \odot & & \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_1 \times 1 \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \odot \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A & & (A \times A) \times (A \times A) & & & & (A \times A) \times (A \times A) & & \\
& & & & \odot \times \odot \downarrow & & & & \odot \times \odot \downarrow & & \\
& & & & A \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & A & & A \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & A
\end{array} \quad (10)$$

and so $(A, \odot, u, \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative semiring (where \oplus is defined as in Lemma 2.10).

A differential exponential semiring is a differential semiring equipped with an endomorphism which satisfies analogues of the three essential properties of the classical exponential function. This endomorphism will, of course, turn out to be a differential exponential map.

Definition 4.3 *A differential exponential semiring in a Cartesian differential category is a quadruple (A, \odot, u, e) consisting of a differential semiring (A, \odot, u) and a map $A \xrightarrow{e} A$, such that the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times A & \xrightarrow{e \times 1} & A \\
& \searrow D[e] & \downarrow \odot \\
& & A
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\top & \xrightarrow{0} & A \\
& \searrow u & \downarrow e \\
& & A
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times A & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & A \\
e \times e \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A
\end{array} \quad (11)$$

where \oplus is defined as in Lemma 2.10.

If one keeps in mind the classical exponential function e^x , then the axioms of a differential exponential semiring are straightforward to understand. The leftmost diagram of (11) generalizes that the directional derivative of e^x is $D[e^x](x, y) = e^x y$, the middle diagram generalizes that $e^0 = 1$, and lastly the rightmost diagram generalizes that $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$. Examples of differential exponential semirings can be found below in Example 5.6 after we have proven Proposition 4.5 that every differential exponential map induces a differential exponential semiring. We first show that the endomorphism of a differential exponential semiring is indeed a differential exponential map.

Proposition 4.4 *Let (A, \odot, u, e) be a differential exponential semiring. Then $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map.*

PROOF: We first show that $\langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] = 1$:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] = \langle 0, 1 \rangle (e \times 1) \odot \quad (11)$$

$$= \langle 0e, 1 \rangle \odot$$

$$= \langle 0u, 1 \rangle \odot \quad (11)$$

$$= 1 \quad (8)$$

Next we show that $(1 \times e)D[e] = \oplus e$:

$$(1 \times e)D[e] = (1 \times e)(e \times 1) \odot \quad (11)$$

$$= (e \times e) \odot$$

$$= \oplus e \quad (11)$$

So we conclude that e is a differential exponential map. \square

In order to show the converse of Proposition 4.4, consider the classical exponential function e^x and note that its second order directional derivative (that is, the directional derivative of its directional derivative) is $D[e^x]((x, y), (z, w)) = e^x yz + e^x w$. Setting $x = 0$ and $w = 0$, one obtains yz , the multiplication of real numbers. The unit for this multiplication is obtain from $e^0 = 1$. Generalizing this construction allows one to show how a differential exponential map induces a differential exponential semiring.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ be a differential exponential map, and define the maps $A \times A \xrightarrow{\odot_e} A$ and $\top \xrightarrow{u_e} A$ respectively as follows:*

$$\odot_e := A \times A \xrightarrow{\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle} (A \times A) \times (A \times A) \xrightarrow{D^2[e]} A$$

$$u_e := \top \xrightarrow{0} A \xrightarrow{e} A$$

Then (A, \odot_e, u_e, e) is a differential exponential semiring.

PROOF: We will first prove that e satisfies the three identities of (11), as these will help simplify the proof that (A, \odot_e, u_e) is a differential semiring. We first prove that $D[e] = (e \times 1) \odot_e$:

$$D[e] = (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\oplus \times \oplus) D[e] \quad (\text{Lemma 2.10})$$

$$= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[\oplus e] \quad (\oplus \text{ linear} + \text{Lemma 2.6})$$

$$= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[(1 \times e)D[e]] \quad (5)$$

$$= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \top(1 \times e)D^2[e] \quad (\text{Lemma 2.9})$$

$$= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\top(1) \times \top(e)) D^2[e] \quad (\text{Lemma 2.9})$$

$$= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c((1 \times 1) \times \top(e)) D^2[e] \quad (\top \text{ is a functor})$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) ((1 \times 1) \times \top(e)) D^2[e]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle (1 \times e) (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= (e \times 1) (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \\
&= (e \times 1) \odot_e
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above equality, we can easily show that $\oplus e = (e \times e) \odot_e$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\oplus e &= (1 \times e) \mathbf{D}[e] \\
&= (1 \times e)(e \times 1) \odot_e \\
&= (e \times e) \odot_e
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining identity, $0e = u_e$ is automatic by construction. So e satisfies three identities of (11). Next we show that (A, \odot_e, u_e) is a differential semiring.

We first explain why \odot_e is bilinear. In [12, Section 3] it was shown that for every map $A \xrightarrow{f} B$, $(A \times A) \times A \xrightarrow{(1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle} B \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^2[f]} B$ was bilinear in *context* A , so bilinear in its last two arguments A or equivalently bilinear with respect to the differential combinator of the simple slice category over A [2, Section 4.5]. By [5, Proposition 4.1.3], bilinear maps in context are preserved by pre-composition with maps which leave the bilinear arguments unaffected, that is, by pre-composition by maps of the form $(g \times 1) \times 1$. Therefore $(\top \times A) \times A \xrightarrow{(0 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle} B \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^2[f]} B$ is bilinear in context \top . However maps which are bilinear in context \top correspond precisely to bilinear maps without context. In this case, we obtain that $A \times A \xrightarrow{\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle} B \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^2[f]} B$ is bilinear. Setting $f = e$, we conclude that \odot_e is bilinear.

Now we show that (A, \odot_e, u_e) is a commutative monoid. First that \odot_e is commutative, that is, $\langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \odot_e = \odot_e$, follows from [CD.7]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \odot_e &= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c\mathbf{D}^2[e] \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] && \text{[CD.7]} \\
&= \odot_e
\end{aligned}$$

By commutativity, we need only show one of the unit identities, $\langle 0u_e, 1 \rangle \odot_e = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0u_e, 1 \rangle \odot_e &= \langle 0e, 1 \rangle \odot_e && \text{(11)} \\
&= \langle 0, 1 \rangle (e \times 1) \odot_e \\
&= \langle 0, 1 \rangle \mathbf{D}[e] && \text{(11)} \\
&= 1 && \text{(5)}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove associativity, which in the author's opinion is the most complex proof in this paper. Let $(A \times A) \times A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \times (A \times A)$ be the canonical associativity isomorphism $\alpha = \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \times 1 \rangle$. By Lemma 2.6, α is linear and so is its inverse α^{-1} . First note that by [CD.5] and [CD.6], one can show that (which we leave as an exercise to the reader):

$$\alpha(1 \times \mathbf{D}[f])\mathbf{D}[g] = ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D}[(1 \times f)\mathbf{D}[g]] \quad (12)$$

Using the above identity, we compute that:

$$\alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\mathbf{D}[e] = \alpha\left(1 \times (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle)\right) (1 \times \mathbf{D}^2[e])\mathbf{D}[e]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle \right) \alpha(1 \times \mathbf{D}^2[e])\mathbf{D}[e] \\
&= \left(1 \times (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[(1 \times \mathbf{D}[e])\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \tag{12} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[(1 \times \mathbf{D}[e])\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[\alpha^{-1} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[(1 \times e)\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \right] \tag{12} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[\alpha^{-1} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} [\oplus e] \right] \tag{5} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[\alpha^{-1} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) (\oplus \times \oplus)\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \tag{Lemma 2.11 + Lemma 2.6} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[\alpha^{-1} (\oplus \times 1)\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \tag{Lemma 2.10} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) (\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1})\mathbf{D} \left[(\oplus \times 1)\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \tag{Lemma 2.6} \\
&= ((1 \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle) \times \langle 0, \langle 0, 1 \rangle) (\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1}) ((\oplus \times 1) \times (\oplus \times 1)) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{Lemma 2.11 + Lemma 2.6} \\
&= ((\langle 1, 0 \rangle \times 1) \times \langle \langle 0, 1 \rangle, 0 \rangle) ((\oplus \times 1) \times (\oplus \times 1)) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \\
&= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{Lemma 2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that:

$$\alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\mathbf{D}[e] = ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{13}$$

Using this identity, we can simplify $\alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\odot_e$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\odot_e &= \alpha(1 \times \odot_e) (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (1 \times \mathbf{T}(\odot_e))\mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{Lemma 2.9} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (1 \times \mathbf{T}(\odot_e))c\mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{[CD.7]} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\mathbf{T}(1) \times \mathbf{T}(\odot_e))c\mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{\mathbf{T} is a functor} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c\mathbf{T}(1 \times \odot_e)\mathbf{D}^2[e] \tag{Lemma 2.9} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c\mathbf{D} \left[(1 \times \odot_e)\mathbf{D}[e] \right] \tag{Lemma 2.9} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c\mathbf{D} \left[\alpha^{-1} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \right] \tag{13} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1})\mathbf{D} \left[((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \right] \tag{Lemma 2.6} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1}) \left(((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) \mathbf{D}^3[e] \tag{Lemma 2.6} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1}) \left(((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) c\mathbf{D}^3[e] \tag{[CD.7]} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1}) \left(((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) c\mathbf{D} \left[c\mathbf{D}^2[e] \right] \tag{[CD.7]} \\
&= \alpha (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(\alpha^{-1} \times \alpha^{-1}) \left(((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) c(c \times c)\mathbf{D}^3[e] \\
&\tag{Lemma 2.6} \\
&= \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) \mathbf{D}^3[e]
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that:

$$\alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\odot_e = \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) \mathbf{D}^3[e] \tag{14}$$

Now using the above identity and that we've already shown that \odot_e is commutative, we can show that \odot_e is associative, that is, $(\odot_e \times 1)\odot_e = \alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\odot_e$:

$$(\odot_e \times 1)\odot_e = (\odot_e \times 1)\langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \odot_e \quad (8)$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle (1 \times \odot_e) \odot_e$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \alpha^{-1} \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) \mathbf{D}^3[e] \quad (14)$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \alpha^{-1} \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) \mathbf{D} \left[c\mathbf{D}^2[e] \right] \quad ([\mathbf{CD.7}])$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \alpha^{-1} \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) (c \times c) \mathbf{D}^3[e] \quad (\text{Lemma 2.6})$$

$$= \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \alpha^{-1} \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) (c \times c) c\mathbf{D}^3[e] \quad ([\mathbf{CD.7}])$$

$$= \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle, 0 \rangle \right) \mathbf{D}^3[e]$$

$$= \alpha(1 \times \odot_e)\odot_e \quad (14)$$

So (A, \odot_e, u_e) is a differential semiring, and therefore we conclude that (A, \odot_e, u_e) is a differential exponential semiring. \square

In the proof of Theorem 4.8, we will show that constructions of Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 are in fact inverse of each other. The construction from Proposition 4.5 is also compatible with some of the constructions of differential exponential maps in the following sense:

Lemma 4.6 *In a Cartesian differential category:*

(i) *For the terminal object \top , the following equality holds:*

$$\odot_{1_\top} = 0 \quad u_{1_\top} = 1_\top$$

(ii) *If $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ and $B \xrightarrow{e'} B$ are differential exponential maps, then the following equality holds for the differential exponential map $A \times B \xrightarrow{e \times e'} A \times B$:*

$$\odot_{e \times e'} = c(\odot_e \times \odot_{e'}) \quad u_{e \times e'} = \langle u_e, u_{e'} \rangle$$

where recall that $c = \langle \pi_0 \times \pi_0, \pi_1 \times \pi_1 \rangle$.

(iii) *If $A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map, then the following equality holds for the differential exponential map $A \times A \xrightarrow{\top(e)} A \times A$:*

$$\odot_{\top(e)} = c\top(\odot_e) \quad u_{\top(e)} = \langle u_e, 0 \rangle$$

PROOF:

(i) This is automatic by uniqueness of maps into the terminal object.

(ii) For the unit, this is mostly straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{e \times e'} &= 0(e \times e') \\
&= \langle 0e, 0e' \rangle \\
&= \langle u_e, u_{e'} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

For the multiplication, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\odot_{e \times e'} &= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e \times e'] \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D} \left[c(\mathbf{D}[e] \times \mathbf{D}[e']) \right] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (c \times c) \mathbf{D}[\mathbf{D}[e] \times \mathbf{D}[e']] && \text{(Lemma 2.6)} \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (c \times c) c \left(\mathbf{D}^2[e] \times \mathbf{D}^2[e'] \right) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= c \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) \left(\mathbf{D}[\mathbf{D}[e]] \times \mathbf{D}[\mathbf{D}[e']] \right) \\
&= c(\odot_e \times \odot_{e'})
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) We first show the equality for the unit:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u_e, 0 \rangle &= \langle 0e, 0 \rangle \\
&= 0e \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\
&= 0 \langle 1, 0 \rangle \mathbf{T}(e) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= 0 \mathbf{T}(e) && (\langle 1, 0 \rangle \text{ additive}) \\
&= u_{\mathbf{T}(e)}
\end{aligned}$$

For the multiplication, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\odot_{\mathbf{T}(e)} &= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[\mathbf{T}(e)] \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D} [c \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{D}[e])] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (c \times c) \mathbf{D}[\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{D}[e])] && \text{(Lemma 2.6)} \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (c \times c) c \mathbf{T} \left(\mathbf{D}^2[e] \right) && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\
&= c \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \times (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \right) \mathbf{T} \left(\mathbf{D}^2[e] \right) \\
&= c \mathbf{T} \left((\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e] \right) && \text{(Lemma 2.6)} \\
&= c \mathbf{T}(\odot_e)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.7 Here we apply Proposition 4.5 to the examples of differential exponential maps from the previous section to construct examples of differential exponential semirings in SMOOTH (which are in fact rings, since SMOOTH has additive inverses).

(i) For the exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$, the induced multiplication is precisely given by the standard multiplication of real numbers, that is:

$$\odot_{e^x}(x, y) = xy$$

and $u_{e^x}(\ast) = 1$.

(ii) For the complex exponential function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain the multiplication:

$$\odot_{\epsilon}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$$

with unit $u_{\epsilon}(\ast) = (1, 0)$. It should come to no surprise that this ring structure on \mathbb{R}^2 is precisely that of complex numbers. Indeed, writing (x, y) as $x + iy$ with $i^2 = -1$, it is clear that \odot_{ϵ} gives precisely complex number multiplication: $(x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2) = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + i(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$.

(iii) Applying Lemma 4.6.ii to the point-wise exponential functions $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{e^x \times \dots \times e^x} \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain the point-wise multiplication of vectors, that is,

$$\odot_{e^x \times \dots \times e^x}((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1y_1, \dots, x_ny_n)$$

and $u_{e^x \times \dots \times e^x}(\ast) = (1, \dots, 1)$.

(iv) Applying Lemma 4.6.iii to the tangent exponential function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}(e^x)} \mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain the multiplication:

$$\odot_{\mathbb{T}(e)}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_1x_2, x_1y_2 + y_1x_2)$$

with unit $u_{\mathbb{T}(e)}(\ast) = (1, 0)$. Observe that this ring structure on \mathbb{R}^2 is precisely that of the ring of dual numbers $\mathbb{R}[\epsilon]$. Indeed, writing (x, y) as $x + y\epsilon$ with $\epsilon^2 = 0$, we see that $\odot_{\mathbb{T}(e)}$ is precisely the multiplication of dual numbers $(x_1 + y_1\epsilon)(x_2 + y_2\epsilon) = x_1x_2 + (x_1y_2 + y_1x_2)\epsilon$. This was to be expected since as discussed in Example 3.7.iv, the tangent exponential function is the exponential function for dual numbers.

For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , define its category of differential exponential semirings as the category $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ whose objects are differential exponential semirings (A, \odot, u, e) and where a map $(A, \odot, u, e) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \odot', u', e')$ is a *linear* map $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in \mathbb{X} such that the following diagrams commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ e \downarrow & & \downarrow e' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{u} & A \\ & \searrow u' & \downarrow u \\ & & B \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{f \times f} & B \times B \\ \odot \downarrow & & \downarrow \odot' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \end{array} \quad (15)$$

and where composition and identity maps are as in \mathbb{X} . Note that the two right most diagrams above imply that f is a monoid morphism.

Theorem 4.8 *For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , its category of differential exponential maps $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is isomorphic to its category of differential exponential semirings $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ via the functors $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{\text{E}} \text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ and $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{\text{E}^{-1}} \text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ defined respectively as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{E}(A, e) = (A, \odot_e, u_e, e) & \text{E}(f) = f \\ \text{E}^{-1}(A, \odot, u, e) = (A, e) & \text{E}^{-1}(f) = f \end{array}$$

PROOF: We first need to show that \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{E}^{-1} are well-defined functors. By Proposition 4.4, \mathbf{E}^{-1} is well-defined on objects, while \mathbf{E} is well-defined on objects by Proposition 4.5. If f is a map in $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ then by definition it is also a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$, so \mathbf{E}^{-1} is well-defined on maps. Furthermore, \mathbf{E}^{-1} clearly preserves composition and identity, and therefore \mathbf{E}^{-1} is a well-defined functor. On the other hand, if $(A, e) \xrightarrow{f} (B, e')$ is a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$, we must show that f also satisfies the three identities (15). By definition, one already has that $ef = fe'$, and so it remains to show that f is also a monoid morphism. Recall that since f is linear, f is also additive (Lemma 2.6). Now we first show that $u_e f = u_{e'}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_e f &= 0ef \\
&= 0fe' && (6) \\
&= 0e' && (f \text{ additive}) \\
&= u_{e'}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $\odot_e f = (f \times f) \odot_{e'}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\odot_e f &= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e]f \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[ef] && (f \text{ linear} + \text{Lemma 2.6}) \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[fe'] && (6) \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (f \times f) \mathbf{D}^2[e'] && (f \text{ linear} + \text{Lemma 2.6}) \\
&= (\langle 0f, f \rangle \times \langle f, 0f \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e'] \\
&= (\langle 0, f \rangle \times \langle f, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e'] && (f \text{ additive}) \\
&= (f \times f) (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbf{D}^2[e'] \\
&= (f \times f) \odot_{e'}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is a map in $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$, so \mathbf{E} is well-defined on maps. And clearly \mathbf{E} preserves composition and identity, and therefore \mathbf{E} is also a well-defined functor.

Next we show that \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{E}^{-1} are inverses of each other. Clearly $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{E}(A, e) = (A, e)$ and $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{E}(f) = f$. For the other direction, clearly $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}^{-1}(f) = f$ and so it remains to show that $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}^{-1}(A, \odot, u, e) = (A, \odot, u, e)$, that is, we must show that $\odot = \odot_e$ and $u_e = u$. Starting with the unit:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_e &= 0e \\
&= u && (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Next for the multiplication, we first observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}^2[e] &= \mathbf{D}[(e \times 1) \odot] && (11) \\
&= \mathbf{T}(e \times 1) \mathbf{D}[\odot] && (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\
&= c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times \mathbf{T}(1)) c \mathbf{D}[\odot] && (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\
&= c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times 1) c \mathbf{D}[\odot] && (\mathbf{T} \text{ is a functor}) \\
&= c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times 1) c(\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \odot + c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times 1) c(\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \odot && (9) \\
&= c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times 1) (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \odot + c(\mathbf{T}(e) \times 1) (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle \odot
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c(\mathbb{T}(e) \times 1) (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \odot + c(\mathbb{T}(e) \times 1) (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \odot & (8) \\
&= c(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + c(\mathbb{D}[e] \times \pi_0) \odot & (\text{Definition of } \mathbb{T}) \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + c((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) (\odot \times \pi_0) \odot & (11) \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) c(\odot \times \pi_0) \odot \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) c((1 \times 1) \times \pi_0) (\odot \times 1) \odot \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) c((1 \times 1) \times \pi_0) \alpha(1 \times \odot) \odot & (8) \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) \langle \pi_0, (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \rangle (1 \times \langle \pi_1, \pi_0 \rangle) (1 \times \odot) \odot \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) \langle \pi_0 \pi_0, (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \rangle (1 \times \odot) \odot & (8) \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) \langle \pi_0 \pi_0, (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \rangle \alpha^{-1}(\odot \times 1) \odot & (8) \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times (1 \times 1)) ((1 \times 1) \times \pi_0) (\odot \times 1) \odot \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(e \times 1) \odot + ((e \times 1) \times \pi_0) (\odot \times 1) \odot \\
&= (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \mathbb{D}[e] + (\mathbb{D}[e] \times \pi_0) \odot
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that:

$$\mathbb{D}^2[e] = (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \mathbb{D}[e] + (\mathbb{D}[e] \times \pi_0) \odot \quad (16)$$

Using the above identity, we can easily show that $\odot_e = \odot$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\odot_e &= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \mathbb{D}^2[e] \\
&= (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \mathbb{D}[e] + (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\mathbb{D}[e] \times \pi_0) \odot & (16) \\
&= (0 \times 0) \mathbb{D}[e] + (1 \times 1) \odot & (5) \\
&= 0 + \odot & ([\text{CD.2}]) \\
&= \odot
\end{aligned}$$

So $(A, \odot, u, e) = (A, \odot_e, u_e, e)$, and so we conclude that \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{E}^{-1} are inverse functors and that $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}]$ is isomorphic to $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$. \square

We conclude this section with the observation that as an immediate consequence of both Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.6: the category of differential exponential semirings is a Cartesian tangent category and that it is isomorphic as a Cartesian tangent category to the category of differential exponential maps.

Proposition 4.9 *For a Cartesian differential category \mathbb{X} , $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ has finite products where the terminal object is $(\top, 0, 1_\top, 1_\top)$, and where the product of (A, \odot, u, e) and (B, \odot', u', e') is:*

$$(A \times B, c(\odot \times \odot'), \langle u, u' \rangle, e \times e')$$

with the obvious projection maps. $\text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ is also a Cartesian tangent category where the tangent functor $\mathbb{T} : \text{DES}[\mathbb{X}] \rightarrow \text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{T}(A, \odot, u, e) := (A \times A, c\mathbb{T}(\odot), \langle u, 0 \rangle, \mathbb{T}(e)) \quad \mathbb{T}(f) = f \times f$$

and where the remaining tangent structure is the same as for \mathbb{X} (which can be found in [10, Proposition 4.7]). Furthermore, $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}} \text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ and $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}} \text{DES}[\mathbb{X}]$ preserve both the finite product structure and tangent structure strictly.

5 Solutions to Dynamical Systems

In this section, we explain how differential exponential maps provide solutions to certain differential equations and conversely how one can obtain a differential exponential map if one assumes that solutions are unique. These concepts generalize the fact that in the classical case, the exponential function e^x can be defined as the unique solution to the initial value problem $f'(x) = f(x)$ with $f(0) = 1$. As introduced in [8, Section 5], ordinary differential equations in a Cartesian differential category are described as *dynamical systems*, while solutions for these differential equations are described as morphisms between these dynamical systems. We note that in [8], dynamical systems were defined for tangent categories and thus involves the tangent functor. Here we present the resulting definition specific to Cartesian differential categories, where dynamical systems can be described in terms of the differential combinator.

Definition 5.1 *In a Cartesian differential category,*

- (i) A **dynamical system** [8, Definition 5.15] is a triple (A, a_0, a_1) consisting of an object A , a point $\top \xrightarrow{a_0} A$ called the **initial state**, and an endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{a_1} A$ called the **differential state**;
- (ii) A **morphism of dynamical systems** $(A, a_0, a_1) \xrightarrow{f} (A', a'_0, a'_1)$ is a map $A \xrightarrow{f} A'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \top & \\
 a_0 \swarrow & & \searrow a'_0 \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & A' \\
 \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow a'_1 \\
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{D[f]} & A'
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

- (iii) If $(A, a_0, a_1) \xrightarrow{f} (A', a'_0, a'_1)$ is a morphism of dynamical systems, we say that f is an (A, a_0, a_1) -**solution** of (A', a'_0, a'_1) .

It is important to note that solutions need not be unique (though this assumption will come soon in Proposition 5.13). See [8, Section 5] or Example 5.4 below for how morphisms of dynamical systems do indeed correspond to solutions of ordinary differential equations.

For a differential semiring (A, \odot, u) , there is a canonical dynamical system $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ where the differential state $A \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} A$ is defined as follows:

$$A \xrightarrow{0} \top \xrightarrow{u} A \tag{18}$$

and we can ask that $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solutions be compatible with the semiring multiplication.

Definition 5.2 *Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring and (A, a_0, a_1) a dynamical system. An*

(A, \odot, u) -**solution** of (A, a_0, a_1) is a map $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\top & \xrightarrow{0} & A \\
& \searrow a_0 & \downarrow f \\
& & A
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times A & \xrightarrow{f \times 1} & A \times A & \xrightarrow{a_1 \times 1} & A \times A \\
& \searrow D[f] & & & \downarrow \odot \\
& & & & A
\end{array}
\tag{19}$$

Lemma 5.3 *Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring and (A, a_0, a_1) a dynamical system. If $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ is an (A, \odot, u) -solution, then f is also an $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) .*

PROOF: The top triangle of (17) is precisely the left diagram of (19). So it remains to show that f also satisfies the bottom square of (17):

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 1, \bar{u} \rangle D[f] &= \langle 1, \bar{u} \rangle (f \times 1)(a_1 \times 1) \odot & (19) \\
&= f a_1 \langle 1, \bar{u} \rangle \odot \\
&= f a_1 \langle 1, 0u \rangle \odot \\
&= f a_1 & (8)
\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that f is an $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) . \square

Example 5.4 A dynamical system in SMOOTH can be seen as a triple $(\mathbb{R}^n, \vec{a}, F)$ where $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth function, which is a tuple $F = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ where $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f_j} \mathbb{R}$, and $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the differential semiring induced from the exponential function $(\mathbb{R}, \odot_{e^x}, u_{e^x})$ (as defined in Example 5.6.i). Its canonical dynamical system is $(\mathbb{R}, 0, \bar{u}_{e^x})$ where $\bar{u}_{e^x}(x) = 1$. A $(\mathbb{R}, 0, \bar{u}_{e^x})$ -solution of a dynamical system $(\mathbb{R}^n, \vec{a}, F)$ is a smooth function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $G(0) = \vec{a}$ (which is the top triangle) and $D[G](x, 1) = F(G(x))$ (which is the bottom square). Since G is a tuple $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$ where $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{g_j} \mathbb{R}$, this amounts to saying that G solves the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial g_1(t)}{\partial t}(x) &= f_1(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)) & g_1(0) &= a_1 \\
\frac{\partial g_2(t)}{\partial t}(x) &= f_2(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)) & g_2(0) &= a_2 \\
& & \vdots & \\
\frac{\partial g_n(t)}{\partial t}(x) &= f_n(g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)) & g_n(0) &= a_n
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, note that when $n = 1$, G is always an $(\mathbb{R}, \odot_{e^x}, u_{e^x})$ -solution.

For a differential exponential semiring, its differential exponential map is the solution to the dynamical system which generalizes the initial value problem $f'(x) = f(x)$ and $f(0) = 1$, and that it is unique among certain solutions.

Proposition 5.5 *Let (A, \odot, u, e) be a differential exponential semiring. Then e is the unique (A, \odot, u) -solution of the dynamical system $(A, u, 1)$ such that $\oplus e = (e \times e) \odot$.*

PROOF: The left diagram of (19) is precisely the middle diagram of (11) that $0e = u$. While the right diagram of (19) is precisely the left diagram of (11) that $D[e] = (e \times 1) \odot$. Now suppose that there was another map $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ which was an (A, \odot, u) -solution of $(A, u, 1)$ such that $\oplus f = (f \times f) \odot$. This would imply that (A, \odot, u, f) was also a differential exponential semiring. However by Theorem 4.8, $(A, \odot, u, e) = (A, \odot, u, f)$ and so $e = f$. \square

Example 5.6 Here we apply Proposition 5.5 to the examples of differential exponential semirings in SMOOTH from the previous section.

(i) The exponential function $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{e^x} \mathbb{R}$ is the unique solution to the following differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t}(x) = f(x) \quad f(0) = 1$$

such that $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$.

(ii) The complex exponential function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique solution to the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1}(x, y) &= f_1(x, y) & f_1(0, 0) &= 1 \\ \frac{\partial f_2(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1}(x, y) &= f_2(x, y) & f_2(0, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that, using complex number notation:

$$f_1(x_1 + x_2) + if_2(y_1 + y_2) = (f_1(x_1) + if_2(y_1))(f_1(x_2) + if_2(y_2))$$

(iii) The point-wise exponential functions $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{e^x \times \dots \times e^x} \mathbb{R}^n$, is the unique solution to the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_1(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & & f_1(0, \dots, 0) &= 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_2(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & & f_2(0, \dots, 0) &= 1 \\ & & \vdots & \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & & f_n(0, \dots, 0) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

such that:

$$(f_1(x_1 + y_1), \dots, f_n(x_n + y_n)) = (f_1(x_1)f_1(y_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)f_n(y_n))$$

(iv) The dual numbers exponential function $\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\top(e^x)} \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique solution to the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1}(x, y) &= f_1(x, y) & f_1(0, 0) &= 1 \\ \frac{\partial f_2(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1}(x, y) &= f_2(x, y) & f_2(0, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that, using dual number notation:

$$f_1(x_1 + x_2) + f_2(y_1 + y_2)\varepsilon = (f_1(x_1) + f_2(y_1)\varepsilon)(f_1(x_2) + f_2(y_2)\varepsilon)$$

Differential exponential maps also provide solutions to certain dynamical systems in *context*.

Definition 5.7 In a Cartesian differential category,

- (i) A **parametrized dynamical system** (over X or in context X) is a triple (B, b_0, b_1) consisting of an object B , a map $X \xrightarrow{b_0} B$, and an endomorphism $B \xrightarrow{b_1} B$;
- (ii) If (A, a_0, a_1) is a dynamical system and (B, b_0, b_1) a parametrized dynamical system, then a **parametrized (A, a_0, a_1) -solution of (B, b_0, b_1)** is a map $A \times X \xrightarrow{f} B$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \langle 0a_0, 1 \rangle \swarrow & & \searrow b_0 \\ A \times X & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \langle \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_1 a_1, 0 \rangle \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (A \times X) \times (A \times X) & \xrightarrow{D[f]} & B \end{array} \quad (20)$$

- (iii) For a dynamical system (A, a_0, a_1) , an endomorphism $B \xrightarrow{b_1} B$ is said to be **(A, a_0, a_1) -complete** if for every map $X \xrightarrow{b_0} B$, there is an (A, a_0, a_1) -solution of the parametrized dynamical system (B, b_0, b_1) .

Dynamical systems can be described as parametrized dynamical systems over the terminal object \top and in this case (17) is the same as (20), modulo the isomorphism $A \cong A \times \top$.

Definition 5.8 Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring and (A, a_0, a_1) a parametrized dynamical system over X .

- (i) A **parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solution of (A, a_0, a_1)** is a map $A \times X \xrightarrow{f} A$ such that the following equalities hold:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle f = a_0 \quad ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[f] = (f \times 1)(a_1 \times 1) \odot \quad (21)$$

- (ii) An endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{a_1} A$ is (A, \odot, u) -**complete** if for every map $X \xrightarrow{a_0} A$, there is a parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solution of the parametrized dynamical system (A, a_0, a_1) .

Lemma 5.9 Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring.

- (i) Let (A, a_0, a_1) be a parametrized dynamical system over X . If $A \times X \xrightarrow{f} A$ is a parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) , then f is also a parametrized $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) .
- (ii) If an endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{a_1} A$ is (A, \odot, u) -complete then a_1 is $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -complete.

PROOF: Suppose that $A \times X \xrightarrow{f} A$ is a parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) . The top triangle of (20) is the left equality of (21). So we need only show the bottom square of (20):

$$\begin{aligned}
fa_1 &= fa_1 \langle 1, 0u \rangle \odot & (8) \\
&= \langle \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, 0u \rangle (f \times 1)(a_1 \times 1) \odot \\
&= \langle \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, 0u \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[f] & (21) \\
&= \langle \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle 0u, 0 \rangle \rangle D[f] \\
&= \langle \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_1 \bar{u}, 0 \rangle \rangle D[f]
\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that f is a parametrized $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) . Now suppose that an endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{a_1} A$ is (A, \odot, u) -complete. Then for every map $X \xrightarrow{a_0} A$, there is a parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) , which is therefore also a parametrized $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -solution of (A, a_0, a_1) . So we conclude that a_0 is also $(A, 0, \bar{u})$ -complete. \square

We wish to show that for a differential exponential semiring, a certain class of linear endomorphisms are complete, that is, always have a parametrized solution.

Definition 5.10 Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring. For a point $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$, define the endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\odot^a} A$ as multiplication by a , that is:

$$\odot^a := A \xrightarrow{\langle 1, 0a \rangle} A \times A \xrightarrow{\odot} A$$

Lemma 5.11 Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring.

- (i) $\odot^0 = 0$ and $\odot^u = 1$;
- (ii) For every pair of points $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$ and $\top \xrightarrow{b} A$, $(a + b)^b = \odot^a + \odot^b$ and $\odot^a \odot^b = \odot^b \odot^a$;
- (iii) For every point $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$, \odot^a is linear and the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A \\
1 \times \odot^a \downarrow & & \downarrow \odot^a \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\top & \xrightarrow{u} & A \\
& \searrow a & \downarrow \odot^a \\
& & A
\end{array}
\qquad (22)$$

(iv) For an endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{f} A$, $\odot^{uf} = f$ if and only if the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A \\ 1 \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A \times A & \xrightarrow{\odot} & A \end{array} \quad (23)$$

(v) For every point $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$, $\odot^{u\odot^a} = \odot^a$.

PROOF: We leave these as an exercise for the reader to check for themselves. \square

Proposition 5.12 *Let (A, \odot, u, e) be a differential exponential semiring. Then for every point $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$, the endomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\odot^a} A$ is (A, \odot, u) -complete.*

PROOF: Let $\top \xrightarrow{a} A$ be point and let $X \xrightarrow{a_0} A$ be an arbitrary map. Then (A, a_0, \odot^a) is a parametrized dynamical system over X . Now consider the following composite:

$$A \times X \xrightarrow{\odot^a \times a_0} A \times A \xrightarrow{D[e]} A$$

which by (11) is equal to:

$$(\odot^a \times a_0)D[e] = (\odot^a \times a_0)(e \times 1)\odot$$

We need to show both equalities of (21). Starting with the left identity of (21), using that since by Lemma 5.11 \odot^a is linear it is also additive, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0, 1 \rangle (\odot^a \times a_0)D[e] &= \langle 0\odot^a, a_0 \rangle D[e] \\ &= \langle 0, a_0 \rangle D[e] && (\odot^a \text{ is additive}) \\ &= a_0 \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] \\ &= a_0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

And for the right identity of (21):

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D [(\odot^a \times a_0)D[e]] &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \top (\odot^a \times a_0)D^2[e] && (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) \top (\odot^a \times a_0)cD^2[e] && ([\mathbf{CD.7}]) \\ &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c (\top (\odot^a) \times \top (a_0)) D^2[e] && (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\top (\odot^a) \times \top (a_0)) D^2[e] \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] && (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\pi_0 \times \pi_1)D[e] \\ &\quad + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (D[e] \times \pi_0)\odot && (16) \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) (\pi_0 \times 0)D[e] + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) (D[e] \times 1)\odot \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (\top (\odot^a) \times a_0) (D[e] \times 1)\odot && ([\mathbf{CD.2}]) \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle ((\odot^a \times \odot^a) \times a_0) ((e \times 1) \times 1)(\odot \times 1)\odot && (\text{Lemma 5.11} + \text{Lemma 2.9} + (11)) \\ &= ((\odot^a \times a_0) \times 1)((e \times 1) \times 1)(\odot \times 1)\odot && (\text{Lemma 5.11} + (8)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= ((\odot^a \times a_0) \times 1)(D[e] \times 1) \odot \quad (11)$$

So we conclude that $(\odot^a \times a_0)D[e]$ is an (A, \odot, u) -solution of (A, a_0, \odot^a) and therefore that \odot^a is (A, \odot, u) -complete. \square

We would now like to prove the ‘‘converse’’ of Proposition 5.5, that is, we would like differential exponential maps to arise as solutions to certain dynamical systems. To do so, we will require the extra assumption that solutions are unique.

Proposition 5.13 *Let (A, \odot, u) be a differential semiring and suppose that:*

- (i) *Parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solutions are unique if they exist, that is, if both f and g are parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solutions of a parametrized dynamical system (A, a_0, a_1) , then $f = g$*
- (ii) *The dynamical system $(A, u, 1)$ has an (A, \odot, u) -solution e .*

Then (A, \odot, u, e) is a differential exponential semiring.

PROOF: We must show that e satisfies the three identities of (11). By definition of e being an (A, \odot, u) -solution of $(A, u, 1)$, $0e = u$ and $D[e] = (e \times 1) \odot$. So it remains to show that $\oplus e = (e \times e) \odot$. To do so, we will show that $\oplus e$ and $(e \times e) \odot$ are both parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solutions of the parametrized dynamical system $(A, e, 1)$. Starting with $\oplus e$:

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle \oplus e = e \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[\oplus e] &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\oplus \times \oplus) D[e] && \text{(Lemma 2.11 + Lemma 2.6)} \\ &= (\oplus \times 1) D[e] && (4) \\ &= (\oplus \times 1)(e \times 1) \odot && \text{(Assumption i + (19))} \end{aligned}$$

Next we work with $(e \times e) \odot$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0, 1 \rangle (e \times e) \odot &= \langle 0e, e \rangle \odot \\ &= \langle u, e \rangle \odot && \text{(Assumption (ii) + (19))} \\ &= e && (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[(e \times e) \odot] &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) T(e \times e) D[\odot] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\ &= ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) c(T(e) \times T(e)) cD[\odot] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (T(e) \times T(e)) cD[\odot] \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (T(e) \times e) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) cD[\odot] && \text{(Lemma 2.9)} \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (T(e) \times e) \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[\odot] \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle e \times e, D[e] \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D[\odot] \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle e \times e, D[e] \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\pi_0 \times \pi_1) \odot \\ &\quad + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle e \times e, D[e] \rangle ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) (\pi_1 \times \pi_0) \odot && (9) \\ &= \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle e \times e, D[e] \rangle (\pi_0 \times 0) \odot + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle e \times e, D[e] \rangle (\pi_1 \times 1) \odot \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 0 + \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \langle \pi_1 e, \mathbf{D}[e] \rangle \odot & (10) \\
&= \langle \pi_1 e, \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle \mathbf{D}[e] \rangle \odot \\
&= \langle \pi_1 e, \langle \pi_0 \times 1, \pi_0 \pi_1 \rangle (e \times 1) \rangle \odot & (\text{Assumption (ii)} + (19)) \\
&= ((e \times e) \times 1)(\odot \times 1) \odot & (8)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that $\oplus e$ and $(e \times e) \odot$ are both parametrized (A, \odot, u) -solutions of the parametrized dynamical system $(A, e, 1)$. However by assumption (ii), solutions are unique and therefore we have that $\oplus e = (e \times e) \odot$. And so we conclude that (A, \odot, u, e) is a parametrized dynamical system. \square

6 Differential Exponential Maps for Differential Categories

An interesting and important source of Cartesian differential categories are coKleisli categories of differential categories [2, 4]. In this section, we study differential exponential maps the coKleisli category of a differential (storage) category and also introduce !-differential exponential algebras and show that these are bijective correspondence with these sorts of differential exponential maps.

If only to introduce notation, we first briefly review the full definition of a differential category. Here we present the definition of differential category found in [3], which is mostly the same as the one found in the original paper [4] but with the addition of the interchange rule, which has now become part of the definition. Also, for simplicity and following the convention of other differential category papers, in this section we allow ourselves to work in *strict* monoidal categories, that is, the associator and the unitor of the tensor product \otimes are strict equalities. For a symmetric monoidal category, we let K be the monoidal unit and $\sigma : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$ be the natural symmetry isomorphism.

Definition 6.1 *A differential category [4, Definition 2.4] consists of:*

- (i) *An additive symmetric monoidal category [3, Definition 3] which is a symmetric monoidal category \mathbb{X} which is enriched over commutative monoids, that is, each hom-set $\mathbb{X}(A, B)$ is a commutative monoid with addition $\mathbb{X}(A, B) \times \mathbb{X}(A, B) \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{X}(A, B)$, $(f, g) \mapsto f + g$, and zero $0 \in \mathbb{X}(A, B)$, such that composition and the tensor product preserves the additive structure, that is, the following equalities hold:*

$$f(g + h)k = f g k + f h k \quad f 0 g = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$f \otimes (g + h) \otimes k = (f \otimes g \otimes k) + (f \otimes h \otimes k) \quad f \otimes 0 \otimes g = 0 \quad (25)$$

- (ii) *Equipped with a coalgebra modality [3, Definition 1], which is a quintuple $(!, \delta, \varepsilon, \Delta, \iota)$ consisting of an endofunctor $!$ and natural transformations $!A \xrightarrow{\delta} !!A$, $!A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$, $!A \xrightarrow{\Delta} !A \otimes !A$, and $!A \xrightarrow{\iota} K$, such that $(!, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a comonad on \mathbb{X} and $(!A, \Delta, \iota)$ is a cocommutative comonoid, that is, the following equalities hold:*

$$\Delta(\Delta \otimes 1) = \Delta(1 \otimes \Delta) \quad \Delta(1 \otimes \iota) = 1 = \Delta(\iota \otimes 1) \quad \Delta \sigma = \Delta \quad (26)$$

and δ is a comonoid morphism, that is, the following equalities hold:

$$\delta \Delta = \Delta(\delta \otimes \delta) \quad \delta \iota = \iota \quad (27)$$

(iii) And equipped with a **deriving transformation** [3, Definition 7] which is a natural transformation $!A \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} !A$ such that the following equalities hold:

[d.1] *Constant Rule:* $d\iota = 0$

[d.2] *Leibniz Rule:* $d\Delta = (\Delta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes d) + (\Delta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \sigma)(d \otimes 1)$

[d.3] *Linear Rule:* $d\varepsilon = \iota \otimes 1$

[d.4] *Chain Rule:* $d\delta = (\Delta \otimes 1)(d \otimes \delta)d$

[d.5] *Interchange Rule:* $(1 \otimes \sigma)(d \otimes 1)d = (d \otimes 1)d$.

For a full detailed explanation of the deriving transformation axioms and a string diagram representation, see [4, 3]. Examples of differential categories can be found at the end of this section, while numerous other interesting examples can be found in [3, Section 9].

For a differential category with finite products, its coKleisli category is a Cartesian differential category. As we will be working with coKleisli category, we will use the notation found in [5] and use interpretation brackets $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ to help distinguish between composition in the base category and coKleisli composition. So for a comonad $(!, \delta, \varepsilon)$ on a category \mathbb{X} , let $\mathbb{X}_!$ denote its coKleisli category, which is the category whose objects are the same as \mathbb{X} and where $\mathbb{X}_!(A, B) = \mathbb{X}(!A, B)$ with composition and identity defined as:

$$\llbracket fg \rrbracket = \delta!(\llbracket f \rrbracket)\llbracket g \rrbracket \qquad \llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \varepsilon$$

If \mathbb{X} if has finite products then so does $\mathbb{X}_!$ where on objects the product is defined as in \mathbb{X} and where the remaining data is defined as follows:

$$\llbracket \pi_0 \rrbracket = \varepsilon\pi_0 \qquad \llbracket \pi_1 \rrbracket = \varepsilon\pi_1 \qquad \llbracket \langle f, g \rangle \rrbracket = \langle \llbracket f \rrbracket, \llbracket g \rrbracket \rangle \qquad \llbracket f \times g \rrbracket = \langle !(\pi_0)\llbracket f \rrbracket, !(\pi_1)\llbracket g \rrbracket \rangle$$

If \mathbb{X} is a Cartesian left additive category then so is $\mathbb{X}_!$ where:

$$\llbracket f + g \rrbracket = \llbracket f \rrbracket + \llbracket g \rrbracket \qquad \llbracket 0 \rrbracket = 0 \qquad \llbracket \oplus \rrbracket = \varepsilon\oplus$$

where \oplus is defined as in Lemma 2.10. Since every category with finite biproducts is a Cartesian left additive category (where every map is additive), it follows that every differential category with finite products (which by the additive enrichment are in fact biproducts) is a Cartesian left additive category. Lastly, one uses the deriving transformation to define the differential combinator of the coKleisli category.

Proposition 6.2 [2, Proposition 3.2.1] *Let \mathbb{X} be a differential category with finite products. Define the natural transformation $!(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\chi} !A \otimes !B$ as follows:*

$$\chi := !(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\Delta} !(A \times B) \otimes !(A \times B) \xrightarrow{!(\pi_0) \otimes !(\pi_1)} !A \otimes !B$$

Then the coKleisli category $\mathbb{X}_!$ is a Cartesian differential category with Cartesian left additive structure defined above and differential combinator D defined as follows on a coKleisli map $!A \xrightarrow{f} B$:

$$\llbracket D[f] \rrbracket := !(A \times A) \xrightarrow{\chi} !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon} !A \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} !A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

A differential exponential map in the coKleisli category of a differential category would be a map of type $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ satisfying the required identities, which we can simplify slightly.

Proposition 6.3 *For a differential category \mathbb{X} with finite products, a map $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category $\mathbb{X}!$ if and only if the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
!A \xrightarrow{\Delta} !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{!(0) \otimes \varepsilon} !A \otimes A & & !(A \times A) \xrightarrow{\chi} !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes e} !A \otimes A \\
\searrow \varepsilon & \downarrow d & \downarrow d \\
& !A & !A \\
& \downarrow e & \downarrow e \\
& A & A \\
& & \xleftarrow{e}
\end{array} \quad (28)$$

PROOF: Let $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ be an arbitrary map. Then we leave it to the reader to check for themselves that we have the following equalities (which are mostly straightforward calculations):

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] \rrbracket &= \Delta(!(0) \otimes \varepsilon)de \\
\llbracket \oplus e \rrbracket &= !(\oplus)e \\
\llbracket (1 \times e) D[e] \rrbracket &= \chi(1 \otimes e)de
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, e is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category if and only if $\llbracket \langle 0, 1 \rangle D[e] \rrbracket = \llbracket 1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \oplus e \rrbracket = \llbracket (1 \times e) D[e] \rrbracket$, which by the above equalities is precisely that both $\Delta(!(0) \otimes \varepsilon)de = \varepsilon$ and $!(\oplus)e = \chi(1 \otimes e)de$ hold. \square

We now study differential exponential maps in the presence of the Seelye isomorphisms.

Definition 6.4 *A **differential storage category** [3, Definition 10] is a differential category with finite products whose coalgebra modality has the **Seelye isomorphisms**, that is, the natural transformation $!(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\chi} !A \otimes !B$ (as defined in Proposition 6.2) is a natural isomorphism and the map $! \top \xrightarrow{\chi_{\top}} K$ defined as $\chi_{\top} = \iota$ is an isomorphism.*

There are numerous interesting consequences of having the Seelye isomorphisms. Define the natural transformations $!A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{\nabla} !A$ and $K \xrightarrow{\nu} !A$ respectively as follows:

$$\nabla := !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{\chi^{-1}} !(A \times A) \xrightarrow{!(\oplus)} !A \quad \nu := K \xrightarrow{\chi_{\top}^{-1}} ! \top \xrightarrow{!(0)} !A \quad (29)$$

By [3, Theorem 6], $(!A, \nabla, \nu)$ is a commutative monoid, that is, the following equalities hold:

$$(\nabla \otimes 1)\nabla = (1 \otimes \nabla)\nabla \quad (\nu \otimes 1)\nabla = 1 = (1 \otimes \nu)\nabla \quad \sigma\nabla = \nabla \quad (30)$$

In fact, $!A$ is also a bialgebra and this makes $!$ an **additive bialgebra modality** [3, Definition 5] and so in particular we have the following equalities:

$$!(f + g) = \Delta(!(f) \otimes !(g))\nabla \quad !(0) = \nu \quad (31)$$

One can also define the natural transformation $A \xrightarrow{\eta} !A$, called the **coderelection** [3, Definition 9], as follows:

$$\eta := A \xrightarrow{\nu \otimes 1} !A \otimes A \xrightarrow{d} !A \quad (32)$$

and the following equalities hold:

[cd.1] Constant Rule: $\eta \iota = 0$

[cd.2] Leibniz Rule: $\eta \Delta = \eta \otimes \nu + \nu \otimes \eta$

[cd.3] Linear Rule: $\eta \varepsilon = 1$

[cd.4] (Alternative) Chain Rule: $\eta \delta = (\nu \otimes \eta)(\delta \otimes \eta) \nabla$.

By [3, Theorem 4], for a differential storage category, one could have started with a coderelection η to construct a deriving transformation in the following way:

$$d := !A \otimes A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \eta} !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{\nabla} !A \quad (33)$$

These constructions are inverses of each other and thus in the presence of the Seely isomorphisms: deriving transformations are in bijective correspondence with coderelections.

Proposition 6.5 *For a differential storage category \mathbb{X} , a map $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category $\mathbb{X}_!$ if and only if the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta} & !A \\ & \searrow & \downarrow e \\ & & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} !A \otimes !A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes e} & !A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{d} & !A \\ \nabla \downarrow & & & & \downarrow e \\ !A & \xrightarrow{e} & & & A \end{array} \quad (34)$$

PROOF: Suppose that $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category. Using Proposition 6.3 we can show that e satisfies (34):

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \eta \varepsilon && \text{([cd.3])} \\ &= \eta \Delta (!0) \otimes \varepsilon \mathbf{d}e && \text{(28)} \\ &= (\eta \otimes \nu) (!0) \otimes \varepsilon \mathbf{d}e + (\nu \otimes \eta) (!0) \otimes \varepsilon \mathbf{d}e && \text{([cd.2])} \\ &= 0 + \eta \varepsilon (\nu \otimes 1) \mathbf{d}e && \text{(Naturality of } \eta \text{ and } \nu) \\ &= (\nu \otimes 1) \mathbf{d}e && \text{([cd.3])} \\ &= \eta e && \text{(32)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla e = \chi^{-1} !(\oplus) e \quad (29)$$

$$= \chi^{-1} \chi (1 \otimes e) \mathbf{d}e \quad (28)$$

$$= (1 \otimes e) \mathbf{d}e$$

Conversely, suppose $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ satisfies (34). Then we can show that e satisfies (28):

$$\Delta (!0) \otimes \varepsilon \mathbf{d}e = \Delta (!0) \otimes \varepsilon (1 \otimes \eta) \nabla e \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta(\iota \otimes 1)(\nu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varepsilon)(1 \otimes \eta)\nabla e & (31) \\
&= \varepsilon\eta e & ((26) + (30)) \\
&= \varepsilon & (34)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(1 \otimes e)\mathbf{d}e &= \chi\nabla e & (34) \\
&= \chi\chi^{-1}!(\oplus)e & (29) \\
&= !(\oplus)e
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.3, e is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category. \square

In a differential storage category, differential exponential maps in the coKleisli category can also be characterized by commutative monoids in the base category

Definition 6.6 A *!-differential exponential algebra* in a differential storage category is a quadruple (A, ∇, v, e) consisting of an object A and maps $A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\nabla} A$, $K \xrightarrow{v} A$, and $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ such that (A, ∇, v) is a commutative monoid, that is, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\nabla \otimes 1} & A \otimes A \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \nabla & & \downarrow \nabla \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes v} & A \otimes A \\ \downarrow v \otimes 1 & \searrow & \downarrow \nabla \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & A \otimes A \\ \searrow \nabla & & \downarrow \nabla \\ & & A \end{array} & (35)
\end{array}$$

and also that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta} & !A \\ \searrow & & \downarrow e \\ & & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{v} & !A \\ \searrow v & & \downarrow e \\ & & A \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} !A \otimes !A & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & !A \\ \downarrow e \otimes e & & \downarrow e \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & A \end{array} & (36)
\end{array}$$

Note in particular that for a !-differential exponential algebra, the two rightmost diagrams of (36) says that e is a monoid morphism. We now show that every !-differential exponential algebra induces a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category and vice-versa.

Proposition 6.7 Let (A, ∇, v, e) be a !-differential exponential algebra. Then $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category and furthermore the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
!A \otimes !A & \xrightarrow{\chi} & !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} & A \otimes A \\
\searrow [\odot_e] & & & \downarrow \nabla \\
& & & A
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
!T & \xrightarrow{\chi_T} & K \\
\searrow [u_e] & & \downarrow v \\
& & A
\end{array}$$

where \odot_e and u_e are defined as in Proposition 4.5.

PROOF: By Proposition 6.5, it suffices to show that e satisfies both diagrams of (34). However the left diagram of (34) is precisely the left most diagram of (36). So it remains to show that $\nabla e = (1 \otimes e)\mathbf{d}e$:

$$(1 \otimes e)\mathbf{d}e = (1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta)\nabla e \quad (33)$$

$$= (1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta)(e \otimes e)\blacktriangle \quad (36)$$

$$= (e \otimes e)\blacktriangle \quad (36)$$

$$= \nabla e \quad (36)$$

So we conclude that e is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category. Next we show that $\llbracket u_e \rrbracket = \chi_{\top} v$:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket u_e \rrbracket &= \llbracket 0e \rrbracket \\ &= \delta!(0)e \\ &= \delta \nu e \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$= \nu e \quad (27)$$

$$= \nu v \quad (36)$$

$$= \chi_{\top} v$$

To show the other equality, we observe that in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.2.1], it was computed out that we have the following equality for an arbitrary coKleisli map $!A \xrightarrow{f} B$:

$$\llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[f] \rrbracket = \chi(\chi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)d f \quad (37)$$

Using the above identity, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \odot_e \rrbracket &= \llbracket (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times 1) ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= \delta!(\llbracket \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times 1 \rrbracket) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= \delta!(\langle!(\pi_0)\llbracket \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rrbracket,!(\pi_1)\llbracket 1 \rrbracket\rangle) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= \delta!(\langle!(\pi_0)\langle 0, \varepsilon \rangle,!(\pi_1)\varepsilon\rangle) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= \delta!(\langle \varepsilon \langle 0, \pi_0 \rangle, \varepsilon \pi_1 \rangle) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \quad (\text{Naturality of } \varepsilon) \\ &= \delta!(\varepsilon)!(\langle 0, \pi_0 \rangle, \varepsilon \pi_1) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \\ &= !(\langle 0, \pi_0 \rangle, \pi_1) \llbracket ((1 \times 1) \times \langle 1, 0 \rangle) D^2[e] \rrbracket \quad (\text{Comonad}) \\ &= !(\langle 0, \pi_0 \rangle, \pi_1) \chi(\chi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \quad (37) \\ &= \Delta(!(\langle 0, \pi_0 \rangle) \otimes !(\pi_1)) (\chi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \quad (\text{Definition of } \chi) \\ &= \Delta(1 \otimes !(\pi_1))(\Delta \otimes 1)(!(0) \otimes !(\pi_0) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \quad (\text{Definition of } \chi) \\ &= \Delta(\Delta \otimes 1)(!(0) \otimes !(\pi_0) \otimes !(\pi_1))(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \\ &= \Delta(1 \otimes \Delta)(!(0) \otimes !(\pi_0) \otimes !(\pi_1))(1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \quad (26) \\ &= \Delta(1 \otimes \chi)(!(0) \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \\ &= \Delta(1 \otimes \chi)(\iota \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\nu \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(d \otimes 1)de \quad (31) \\ &= \chi(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(\eta \otimes 1)de \quad ((26) + (32)) \\ &= \chi(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \eta)\nabla e \quad (33) \\ &= \chi(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \eta)(e \otimes e)\blacktriangledown \quad (36) \\ &= \chi(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\blacktriangledown \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

And so we have that $\llbracket \odot_e \rrbracket = \chi(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\blacktriangledown$. \square

Proposition 6.8 *Let $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ be a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category of a differential storage category. Define the maps $A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\nabla_e} A$ and $K \xrightarrow{v_e} A$ respectively as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_e &:= A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \eta} !A \otimes !A \xrightarrow{\nabla} !A \xrightarrow{e} A \\ v_e &:= K \xrightarrow{\nu} !A \xrightarrow{e} A \end{aligned}$$

Then (A, ∇_e, v_e, e) is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra.

PROOF: We first show that (A, ∇_e, v_e) is a commutative monoid. Starting with showing that \blacktriangle_e is commutative:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \blacktriangle_e &= \sigma(\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta) \sigma \nabla_e && \text{(Naturality of } \sigma) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e && (30) \end{aligned}$$

Now that we've shown commutativity, we need only show one of the unit identities:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes v_e) \blacktriangle_e &= (1 \otimes \nu)(1 \otimes e)(\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (1 \otimes \nu)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (1 \otimes \nu)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e) d e && (33) \\ &= (1 \otimes \nu)(\eta \otimes 1) \nabla_e && (34) \\ &= \eta e && (30) \\ &= 1 && (34) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we show that \blacktriangle_e is also associative:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \blacktriangle_e) \blacktriangle_e &= (1 \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(1 \otimes \nabla)(1 \otimes e)(\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (1 \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(1 \otimes \nabla)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (1 \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(1 \otimes \nabla)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e) d e && (33) \\ &= (1 \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(1 \otimes \nabla)(\eta \otimes 1) \nabla_e && (34) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(1 \otimes \nabla) \nabla_e \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1) \nabla_e && (30) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1) \sigma \nabla_e && (30) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1) \sigma(1 \otimes e) d e && (34) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1) \sigma(1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta) \nabla_e && (33) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1)(e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1) \sigma \nabla_e && \text{(Naturality of } \sigma) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes \eta)(\nabla \otimes 1)(e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1) \nabla_e && (30) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta \otimes 1)(\nabla \otimes 1)(e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e \\ &= (\blacktriangle_e \otimes 1) \blacktriangle_e \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that (A, ∇_e, v_e) is a commutative monoid. Next we show that e satisfies the three identities of (36). The left most diagram of (36) is precisely the left diagram of (34) and $v_e = \nu e$ by construction. So it remains only to show that $\nabla e = (e \otimes e) \blacktriangle_e$:

$$(e \otimes e) \blacktriangle_e = (e \otimes e)(\eta \otimes \eta) \nabla_e$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta)\nabla e \\
&= (e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes e)\mathbf{d}e \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1)\nabla e \tag{34}$$

$$= (e \otimes 1)(\eta \otimes 1)\sigma\nabla e \tag{30}$$

$$= \sigma(1 \otimes e)(1 \otimes \eta)\nabla e \tag{Naturality of } \sigma$$

$$= \sigma(1 \otimes e)\mathbf{d}e \tag{33}$$

$$= \sigma\nabla e \tag{34}$$

$$= \nabla e \tag{30}$$

So we conclude that $(A, \blacktriangledown_e, v_e, e)$ is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra. \square

Finally we will now show that the constructions of Proposition 6.7 and Proposition 6.8 are inverses of each other by showing that the category of $!$ -differential exponential algebras is isomorphic to the category of differential exponential maps in the coKleisli category. For a differential storage category \mathbb{X} , define its category of $!$ -differential exponential algebras $!DEA[\mathbb{X}]$ as the category whose objects are $!$ -differential exponential algebras $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e)$ and where a map $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \blacktriangledown', v', e')$ is a map $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc} !A & \xrightarrow{!(f)} & !B \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow e' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} \top & \xrightarrow{v} & A \\ & \searrow v' & \downarrow f \\ & & B \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & B \otimes B \\ \downarrow \blacktriangledown & & \downarrow \blacktriangledown' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}
\end{array} \tag{38}$$

and where composition and identity maps are as in \mathbb{X} . Note that the two right most diagrams above imply that f is a monoid morphism.

Theorem 6.9 *For a differential storage category \mathbb{X} , its category of $!$ -differential exponential algebras $!DEA[\mathbb{X}]$ is isomorphic to the category of differential exponential maps of the coKleisli category $DEM[\mathbb{X}_!]$ via the functors $!DEM[\mathbb{X}] \xrightarrow{F} DEM[\mathbb{X}_!]$ and $DEM[\mathbb{X}_!] \xrightarrow{F^{-1}} !DEA[\mathbb{X}]$ defined respectively as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
F(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e) &= (A, e) & \llbracket F(f) \rrbracket &= \varepsilon f \\
F^{-1}(A, e) &= (A, \blacktriangledown_e, v_e, e) & F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) &= \eta \llbracket g \rrbracket
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We first need to check that F and F^{-1} are well-defined. By Proposition 6.8, F is well-defined on objects and so it remains to check that it is also well-defined on maps. First note that by [2, Proposition 4.2.5], a map in the coKleisli category $\mathbb{X}_!$ is linear if and only if it is of the form $\llbracket g \rrbracket = \varepsilon g'$, and so every map in $DEM[\mathbb{X}_!]$ is of this form. By definition $\llbracket F(f) \rrbracket$ is linear and so it remains to show that $\llbracket eF(f) \rrbracket = \llbracket F(f)e' \rrbracket$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket eF(f) \rrbracket &= \delta!(e)\llbracket F(f) \rrbracket \\
&= \delta!(e)\varepsilon f \\
&= \delta\varepsilon e f \tag{Naturality of } \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ef && \text{(Comonad)} \\
&= !(f)e' && (38) \\
&= \delta!(\varepsilon)!(f)e' && \text{(Comonad)} \\
&= \delta!(\llbracket F(f) \rrbracket)e' \\
&= \llbracket F(f)e' \rrbracket
\end{aligned}$$

So $F(f)$ is a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}_!]$ and therefore F is well-defined. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.7, F^{-1} is well-defined on objects and so it again remains to check that it is also well-defined on maps. Note that since every map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}_!]$ is of the form $\llbracket g \rrbracket = \varepsilon g'$, it follows from [cd.3] that $F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) = \eta \varepsilon g' = g'$. Since $\llbracket g \rrbracket$ is a map in $\text{DEM}[\mathbb{X}_!]$, by Theorem 4.8, we also have that the following equalities hold:

$$\llbracket eg \rrbracket = \llbracket ge' \rrbracket \qquad \llbracket \odot_e g \rrbracket = \llbracket (g \times g) \odot_{e'} \rrbracket \qquad \llbracket u_e g \rrbracket = \llbracket u_{e'} \rrbracket$$

Now since $\llbracket g \rrbracket = \varepsilon g'$, the above identities can easily be simplified out to be:

$$eg' = !(g')e' \qquad \llbracket \odot_e \rrbracket g' = !(g' \times g') \llbracket \odot_{e'} \rrbracket \qquad \llbracket u_e \rrbracket g' = \llbracket u_{e'} \rrbracket \qquad (39)$$

Using these identities, we now show that $F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket)$ satisfies (38):

$$\begin{aligned}
eF^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) &= eg' \\
&= !(g')e' \\
&= !(F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket))e'
\end{aligned} \qquad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\blacktriangledown F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) &= (\eta \otimes \eta)(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \blacktriangledown F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) && \text{([cd.3])} \\
&= (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} \chi (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \blacktriangledown F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) \\
&= (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} \llbracket \odot_e \rrbracket F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) && \text{(Proposition 6.7)} \\
&= (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} \llbracket \odot_e \rrbracket g' \\
&= (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} !(g' \times g') \llbracket \odot_{e'} \rrbracket && (39) \\
&= (g' \otimes g') (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} \llbracket \odot_{e'} \rrbracket && \text{(Naturality of } \chi \text{ and } \eta) \\
&= (g' \otimes g') (\eta \otimes \eta) \chi^{-1} \chi (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \blacktriangledown' && \text{(Proposition 6.7)} \\
&= (g' \otimes g') (\eta \otimes \eta) (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \blacktriangledown' \\
&= (g' \otimes g') \blacktriangledown' && \text{([cd.3])} \\
&= \left(F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) \otimes F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) \right) \blacktriangledown'
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
vF^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) &= vg' \\
&= \chi_{\top}^{-1} \chi_{\top} v g' \\
&= \chi_{\top}^{-1} \llbracket u_e \rrbracket g' && \text{(Proposition 6.7)} \\
&= \chi_{\top}^{-1} \llbracket u_{e'} \rrbracket && (39) \\
&= v' && \text{(Proposition 6.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

So $F^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket)$ is a map in $!DEA[\mathbb{X}]$ and therefore F^{-1} is well-defined. We leave it to the reader to check for themselves that F and F^{-1} preserves both identities and composition, and are thus indeed functors. Lastly, we need to show that F and F^{-1} are inverses of each other. Clearly $FF^{-1}(A, e) = (A, e)$ and $FF^{-1}(\llbracket g \rrbracket) = \llbracket g \rrbracket$. In the other direction, we clearly have that $F^{-1}F(f) = f$ and so it remains to show that $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e) = FF^{-1}(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e) = (A, \blacktriangledown_e, v_e, e)$, that is, we need to show that $\blacktriangledown = \blacktriangledown_e$ and $v = v_e$ – both of which follow immediately from (36):

$$\begin{aligned}\blacktriangledown_e &= (\eta \otimes \eta)\nabla e \\ &= (\eta \otimes \eta)(e \otimes e)\blacktriangledown \\ &= \blacktriangledown\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}v_e &= \nu e \\ &= v\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

So $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e) = (A, \blacktriangledown_e, v_e, e)$, and so we conclude that F and F^{-1} are inverse functors and that $!DEA[\mathbb{X}]$ is isomorphic to $DEM[\mathbb{X}_!]$. \square

We conclude this section by briefly studying $!$ -differential exponential algebras in two examples of differential storage categories.

Example 6.10 Let REL be the category of sets and relations, that is, the category whose objects are sets X and where a map $X \xrightarrow{R} Y$ is a subset $R \subseteq X \times Y$. REL is a differential storage category where for a set X , $!X = \{X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{N} \mid |supp(f)| < \infty\}$, with $supp(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$, and where the codereliction $\eta \subseteq X \times !X$ is defined as follows:

$$(x, f) \in \eta \Leftrightarrow f(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

For more details on this example, see [4, Proposition 2.7]. It turns out that in fact $!$ is the free exponential modality [20] on REL , that is, $!X$ is the cofree cocommutative comonoid over X in REL and therefore $!$ -coalgebras are precisely cocommutative comonoids in REL . By self-duality of REL , $!$ is also a monad such that $!X$ is the free commutative monoid over X in REL and $!$ -algebras are precisely commutative monoids in REL . In fact, the codereliction η is the unit of the monad structure of $!$. It turns out that the $!$ -differential exponential algebras are precisely the commutative monoids in REL (or equivalently the $!$ -algebras). Indeed, every $!$ -differential exponential algebra $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e)$ is by definition a commutative monoid $(A, \blacktriangledown, v)$ and its associated $!$ -algebra structure is precisely $e \subseteq !A \times A$. Conversely, given a commutative monoid $(A, \blacktriangledown, v)$, its associated $!$ -algebra structure $e \subseteq !A \times A$ satisfies by definition that $\eta e = 1$ and is also a monoid morphism, and therefore $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e)$ is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra. In particular, since for every X , $(!X, \nabla, \nu)$ is a commutative monoid, there is a natural transformation $\mu \subseteq !!X \times !X$ such that $(!X, \nabla, \nu, \mu)$ is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra. Explicitly, μ is defined as follows:

$$(F, f) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow \sum_{g \in supp(F)} F(g)(x) = f(x)$$

Therefore, $\mu \subseteq !!X \times !X$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category $\text{REL}_!$. Also, it turns out that every X comes equipped with a monoid structure in REL given by the dual of the copying relation, and so the induced $!$ -algebra structure $e \subseteq !X \times X$ is given by:

$$(f, x) \in e \Leftrightarrow f(y) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

and so for every X , $e \subseteq !X \times X$ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category $\text{REL}_!$. For more examples, monoids in REL are studied in [15].

Example 6.11 Let k be a field and let VEC_k be the category of k -vector spaces and k -linear maps between them. For a k -vector space V , let $\text{Sym}(V)$ be the symmetric algebra over V [16, Section 8, Chapter XVI], that is, the free commutative k -algebra over V . In particular, if X is a basis set for V , then $\text{Sym}(V) \cong k[X]$ where $k[X]$ is the polynomial ring over the set X . This induces a monad Sym on VEC_k such that the Sym -algebras are precisely the commutative k -algebras. Now suppose that k has characteristic 0, then VEC_k is a differential storage category where:

$$!V = \bigoplus_{v \in V} \text{Sym}(V)$$

and where the codereliction $V \xrightarrow{\eta} !V$ is defined as the injection of V into the $0 \in V$ component of $!V$. For full details on this example, see [7]. Similarly to the previous example, $!$ is the free exponential modality on [20] on VEC_k , that is, $!V$ is the cofree cocommutative k -coalgebra over V [21] and therefore $!$ -coalgebras are precisely cocommutative k -coalgebras. It turns out that once again $!$ -differential exponential algebras correspond precisely to commutative monoids in VEC_k which are precisely the commutative k -algebras or equivalently the Sym -algebras. By definition, every $!$ -differential exponential algebra $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e)$ is a commutative k -algebra and it turns out that its Sym -algebra structure is given by pre-composing $!A \xrightarrow{e} A$ with the $0 \in V$ injection map $\text{Sym}(A) \xrightarrow{i_0} !A$. Conversely, given a commutative k -algebra $(A, \blacktriangledown, v)$, let $\text{Sym}(A) \xrightarrow{\omega} A$ be its induced Sym -algebra structure, and define $!A \xrightarrow{e^\omega} A$ as the unique map which makes the following diagram commute for all injection maps $\text{Sym}(A) \xrightarrow{i_a} !A$, $a \in A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym}(A) & \xrightarrow{i_a} & !A \\ & \searrow \omega & \downarrow e \\ & & A \end{array}$$

then it follows that $(A, \blacktriangledown, v, e^\omega)$ is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra. In particular, since for every V , $(!V, \nabla, \nu)$ is a commutative k -algebra, there is a natural transformation $!!V \xrightarrow{\mu} !V$ such that $(!X, \nabla, \nu, \mu)$ is a $!$ -differential exponential algebra and therefore μ is a differential exponential map in the coKleisli category.

Other examples of $!$ -differential exponential algebras should include the exponential function in the differential category of convenient vector spaces [6], and the power series associated with the exponential function as defined in [13, Lemma 19] for the differential category of finiteness spaces.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

As the exponential function e^x (and its generalizations) is so prominent and important throughout various fields and has numerous applications, this opens the door to numerous possibilities and applications for differential exponential maps. In particular, as the theory of differential equations in Cartesian differential categories develops, differential exponential maps should be a key component for this theory in the same way that the exponential function is a fundamental tool in solving classical differential equations.

Another important path to take is to find and study more examples of differential exponential maps. Indeed, while in this paper we provided interesting examples of such in the category of real smooth functions and certain coKleisli categories of differential categories, one should also consider exploring differential exponential maps in more exotic examples of Cartesian differential categories such as in cofree Cartesian differential categories [12, 17] or abelian functor calculus [1]. Another possible source of examples is to construct differential exponential maps in the presence of infinite sums, which many categorical models of the differential λ -calculus [14, 19] have.

There are also certain interesting potential generalizations of differential exponential maps to consider. For example, the exponential function e^x can also be defined as the inverse of the natural logarithm function $\ln(x)$. Since $\ln(x)$ is only partially defined, one must work in a differential restriction category [9] to generalize the natural logarithm function in such a way that differential exponential maps arise as their (partial) inverse. On the other hand, one could also generalize differential exponential maps to tangent category [10] and differential bundle [11], and this notion should be a generalization of exponential maps for manifolds and Lie groups.

Regarding $!$ -differential exponential algebras, it is interesting to point out that in both examples of differential storage categories studied in this paper, there was a natural transformation $!!A \xrightarrow{\mu} !A$ which induced $!A$ with a $!$ -differential exponential algebra structure. A natural question to ask is when does the codereliction $A \xrightarrow{\eta} !A$ and μ provide a monad structure on $!$ (with one of the monad identities already being a requirement for a $!$ -differential exponential algebra), and conversely when does a monad structure on $!$ induce a natural $!$ -differential exponential algebra structure. Lastly, another possible direction would be to generalize the trigonometric functions in the same way for arbitrary Cartesian differential categories.

In conclusion, there are many potential interesting paths to take for future work with differential exponential maps.

References

- [1] Bauer, K., Johnson, B., Osborne, C., Riehl, E., Tebbe, A.: Directional derivatives and higher order chain rules for abelian functor calculus. *Topology and its Applications* **235**, 375–427 (2018)
- [2] Blute, R., Cockett, J.R.B., Seely, R.A.G.: Cartesian differential categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **22**(23), 622–672 (2009)
- [3] Blute, R.F., Cockett, J.R.B., Lemay, J.S.P., Seely, R.A.G.: Differential categories revisited. *Applied Categorical Structures* (2019)

- [4] Blute, R.F., Cockett, J.R.B., Seely, R.A.G.: Differential categories. *Mathematical structures in computer science* **16**(06), 1049–1083 (2006)
- [5] Blute, R.F., Cockett, J.R.B., Seely, R.A.G.: Cartesian differential storage categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **30**(18), 620–686 (2015)
- [6] Blute, R.F., Ehrhard, T., Tasson, C.: A convenient differential category. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques* **LIII**, 211–232 (2012)
- [7] Clift, J., Murfet, D.: Cofree coalgebras and differential linear logic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.01285* (2017)
- [8] Cockett, J., Cruttwell, G.: Connections in tangent categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **32**(26), 835–888 (2017)
- [9] Cockett, J., Cruttwell, G., Gallagher, J.: Differential restriction categories. *Theory and applications of categories* **25**(21), 537–613 (2011)
- [10] Cockett, J.R.B., Cruttwell, G.S.H.: Differential structure, tangent structure, and sdg. *Applied Categorical Structures* **22**(2), 331–417 (2014)
- [11] Cockett, J.R.B., Cruttwell, G.S.H.: Differential bundles and fibrations for tangent categories. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques* **59**, 10–92 (2018)
- [12] Cockett, J.R.B., Seely, R.A.G.: The Faà di Bruno construction. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **25**(15), 394–425 (2011)
- [13] Ehrhard, T.: Finiteness spaces. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* **15**(4), 615–646 (2005)
- [14] Ehrhard, T., Regnier, L.: The differential lambda-calculus. *Theoretical Computer Science* **309**(1), 1–41 (2003)
- [15] Jenčová, A., Jenča, G.: On monoids in the category of sets and relations. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **56**(12), 3757–3769 (2017)
- [16] Lang, S.: *Algebra*, revised 3rd ed. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **211** (2002)
- [17] Lemay, J.S.P.: A tangent category alternative to the faa di bruno construction. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **33**(35), 1072–1110 (2018)
- [18] Leung, P.: Classifying tangent structures using Weil algebras. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **32**(9), 286–337 (2017)
- [19] Manzonetto, G.: What is a categorical model of the differential and the resource λ -calculi? *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* **22**(3), 451–520 (2012)
- [20] Melliès, P.A., Tabareau, N., Tasson, C.: An explicit formula for the free exponential modality of linear logic. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* pp. 1–34 (2017)
- [21] Murfet, D.: On sweedler’s cofree cocommutative coalgebra. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **219**(12), 5289–5304 (2015)

- [22] Van Den Dries, L.: Exponential rings, exponential polynomials and exponential functions. Pacific Journal of Mathematics **113**(1), 51–66 (1984)