

# SPECTRUM OF WEIGHTED ISOMETRIES: $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS, TRANSFER OPERATORS AND TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE

KRZYSZTOF BARDADYN AND BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI

**ABSTRACT.** We study the spectrum of operators  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  where  $T$  is an isometry and  $a$  belongs to a commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $C(X) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that the formula  $L(a) = T^*aT$  defines a faithful transfer operator on  $A$ . Based on the analysis of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A, T)$  generated by the operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , we give dynamical conditions implying that the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$  is invariant under rotation around zero,  $\sigma(aT)$  coincides with the essential spectrum  $\sigma_{ess}(aT)$  or that  $\sigma(aT)$  is the disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$ .

We get the best results when the underlying mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding and open. We prove for any such map and a continuous map  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that the spectral logarithm of a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator  $\mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x)f(x)$  is equal to the topological pressure  $P(\ln c, \varphi)$ . This extends Ruelle's classical result and implies the variational principle for the spectral radius:

$$r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_X \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2} \right),$$

where  $\text{Erg}(X, \varphi)$  is the set of ergodic Borel probability measures,  $h_\varphi(\mu)$  is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, and  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the cocycle associated to  $L$ . In particular, we clarify the relationship between the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy and  $t$ -entropy introduced by Antonevich, Bakhtin and Lebedev.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Coburn's theorem [Cob67] states that every non-invertible isometry  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  generates a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(T)$  canonically isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}$ . Combining this with the well known fact that  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by the unilateral shift whose spectrum is the unit disk, we infer that  $\sigma(T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$  for every non-invertible isometry  $T$ . Here we use that the spectrum of an operator in a Hilbert space depends only on the smallest unital  $C^*$ -algebra that contains it. Cuntz [Cun77] proved a higher dimensional version of Coburn's result, that has immediate spectral consequences for certain weighted isometries:

**Example 1.1.** Consider the shift map  $\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) := (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)$  on the Cantor space  $\Sigma := \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $n > 1$ , and let  $\mu$  be the Bernoulli measure - the product measure on  $\Sigma$  of uniform distributions on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $H = L_\mu^2(\Sigma)$ . Then the composition operator  $Th = h \circ \varphi$ ,  $h \in H$ , is an isometry. Let  $A \cong C(\Sigma)$  be the algebra of multiplication operators by continuous functions:  $(ah)(x) = a(x)h(x)$ . Then the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by weighted composition operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$ :

$$C^*(aT : a \in A) = C^*(A, T) \cong \mathcal{O}_n.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A10, 37A55.

*Key words and phrases.* spectrum, weighted composition operator,  $C^*$ -algebra, transfer operator, topological pressure.

We thank Andrei Lebedev for numerous discussions on variational formulas appearing in the text. This work was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, grant number 2019/35/B/ST1/02684.

Indeed, the operators  $s_i := \sqrt{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{(i, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)\}} T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are isometries such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i s_i^* = 1$  and  $C^*(A, T) = C^*(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  (e.g. we have  $T = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ ). Cuntz proved in [Cun77] that every  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $n$  isometries with range projections summing up to 1 is simple and isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . Since  $C^*(A, T) \cong \mathcal{O}_n$  is simple and infinite it can not contain any compact operators. Thus the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$ , for any  $a \in A$ , coincides with the *essential spectrum*  $\sigma_{ess}(aT) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : aT - \lambda 1 \text{ is not Fredholm}\}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is equipped with a gauge circle action that scales  $T$  and fixes elements in  $A$ . This implies that  $\sigma(aT)$  has a circular symmetry. As we explain in the paper, by an analysis of Riesz projectors and using the fact that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$  is a Cartan  $C^*$ -subalgebra [Ren08], one can prove that  $\sigma(aT)$  is connected and hence a disk. In fact we have

$$\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(\Sigma, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_{\Sigma} \ln \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{n}} d\mu + \frac{h_{\varphi}(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}$$

where the maximum is taken over the ergodic Borel probability measures and  $h_{\varphi}(\mu)$  is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy. The formula for  $r(aT)$  follows from Ruelle's thermodynamical formalism that relates the spectral radius of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator with topological pressure [Rue78], [Wal78], [LS88], [Rue89].

The aim of the present paper is to develop a general theory that describes the spectrum of *weighted isometries*  $aT$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq B(H)$ , by the analysis similar to that sketched in Example 1.1. In the case that  $T$  is a *unitary* such an analysis was carried out successfully in [O'Don75], [Leb79], [AL94]. More specifically, let  $T \in B(H)$  be a unitary on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra such that

$$(1) \quad TAT^* = A.$$

Then  $\alpha(a) := TaT^*$ ,  $a \in A$ , is an automorphism of  $A$ , and identifying  $A \cong C(X)$  with the algebra of continuous functions on the Gelfand spectrum  $X$  of  $A$ ,  $\alpha$  is a composition operator with a homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . This motivated the authors of [AL94] to call operators of the form  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , *abstract weighted shifts* associated with the automorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ . By [O'Don75, Theorem 1.2.1], if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *topologically free*, i.e. the set of periodic points has empty interior, then  $C^*(A, T) \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  where  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is the crossed product of  $A$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  action  $\{\alpha^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . This is a strong tool that allows us to study the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$ . Combining it with the variational formula for the spectral radius

$$(2) \quad r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \int_X \ln |a| d\mu,$$

proved independently by Kitover [Kit79] and Lebedev [Leb79], see also [KL20], one can obtain the following description of  $\sigma(aT)$ :

**Theorem 1.2** ([Leb79], [AL94]). *Let  $aT$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , be abstract weighted shifts associated with an automorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ . Suppose that the dual homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. For every  $a \in A$  the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$  is a union of annuli  $\bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$  and if  $a$  is not invertible also a disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$ . Moreover, all Riesz projectors for  $\sigma(aT)$  belong to  $A$  and induce a decomposition  $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$  into disjoint closed  $\varphi$ -invariant sets such that*

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu,$$

for  $r \in R$ , and  $r_0 = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu \right)$ , if  $X_0$  is non-empty (that is, if  $a$  is not invertible). So if  $X$  does not contain non-trivial clopen  $\varphi$ -invariant sets, then  $\sigma(aT)$

is connected. If  $A$  does not contain non-zero compact operators, which is automatic if  $X$  has no isolated points, then  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$ .

Theorem 1.2 explains some operator theoretical phenomena observed by a number of authors studying various special cases of weighted composition operators, see [AL94, Notes and Remarks on page 154]. Similar  $C^*$ -algebraic arguments apply to other spectral properties of more general non-local operators and have remarkable consequences for functional differential equations with *reversible shifts*, see for instance, [AL94], [ABL98], [BFK14]. The natural need to develop such a theory for *irreversible shifts* has so far been blocked by the lack of appropriate  $C^*$ -algebraic tools. In the present paper we wish to provide a first meaningful step towards such a generalization. This is non-trivial and only possible because of recent advances in the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras. We hope this will renew interest of operator theorists in the modern  $C^*$ -algebra theory, as well as strengthen links between these fields and the thermodynamical formalism.

There are essentially two ways in which one can generalize abstract weighted shifts to the irreversible case. If  $T$  is a unitary, then (1) is equivalent to two inclusions  $TAT^* \subseteq A$  and  $T^*AT \subseteq A$ . If  $T$  is a non-unitary isometry, one can not expect (1) to hold. One natural choice is to assume that  $TAT^* \subseteq A$ , as then  $\alpha(a) = TaT^*$  defines an endomorphism of  $A$  (in essence this boils down to relations in [AL94, Remark 4.6]). The corresponding analysis is carried out in [Kwa09], [KL20], and the associated  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*(A, T)$  are modeled by crossed products by endomorphisms [KL13], [Kwa17]. However this approach does not cover Example 1.1 and is somewhat easier than what we need. In the present paper we consider the situation where  $T \in B(H)$  is an isometry and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra such that

(A1)  $T^*AT \subseteq A$ ;

(A2) there is a unital endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $Ta = \alpha(a)T$ , for all  $a \in A$ .

Then  $L(a) := T^*aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , defines a transfer operator for  $\alpha$  and we refer to  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , as *abstract weighted shifts associated with the transfer operators*  $L : A \rightarrow A$ . The endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A \cong C(X)$  induces a continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . Our main aim is to generalize Theorem 1.2 to this setting. We achieve it by overcoming the following difficulties:

**1) Relations.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A, T)$  generated by the operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , satisfying (A1), (A2), can be modeled by the crossed product introduced by Exel [Exe03<sub>1</sub>]. Exel's crossed product depends only on the associated transfer operator  $L$ , see [Kwa17], and therefore we denote it by  $A \rtimes L$ . In general, the relations defining  $A \rtimes L$  as a universal  $C^*$ -algebra are hard to describe explicitly. This makes both the definition and the analysis of the structure of  $A \rtimes L$  non-trivial. Indeed, the definition of  $A \rtimes L$  was in the air for a long time, starting from Arzumian and Vershik [AV78], [AV84], through Cuntz and Krieger [CK80], Renault [Ren80], Deaconu [Dea95], Lebedev (unpublished notes) and culminating with Exel [Exe03<sub>1</sub>], whose definition still required some modifications, see [ER07] and [Kwa17]. The most studied and best understood case is when the associated map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a local homeomorphism and a cocycle  $\varrho$  associated to  $L$  attains strictly positive values. Then  $A \rtimes L$  has a groupoid model and we say that  $L$  is of *finite type* (cf. Proposition 2.13 below). In the finite type case, a 'Cuntz-Krieger type' relation that defines  $A \rtimes L$  was made explicit by Exel and Vershik [EV06]. In general, we surpass this problem by assuming the following natural nondegeneracy condition:

(A3)  $ATH$  is linearly dense in  $H$ .

We verify axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) for large classes of natural examples (cf. Propositions 3.3, 3.5, 3.12). In fact, by using a Cuntz-Pimsner model for  $A \rtimes L$  [BR06], [Kwa17]

and appealing to [H05] we show that every faithful transfer operator is included in our setting (see the last part of Theorem 5.5). Applying results of [CKO19] we find that  $C^*(A, T) \cong A \rtimes L$  whenever (A1), (A2), (A3) hold and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free (Theorem 5.8). In the finite type case, using a groupoid model for  $A \rtimes L$ , we find that  $A \subseteq C^*(A, T)$  is a Cartan inclusion if and only if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free (Theorem 5.10), which slightly extends the main result of [CS09]. Using a version of Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem (Theorem 2.4), we show that every finite type transfer operator  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  for expanding  $\varphi$  with no non-wandering points has a natural representation on  $L^2_\mu(X)$  via the composition operator  $T$  satisfying (A1), (A2), (A3) (Proposition 3.8). This in particular gives a natural representation of Cuntz-Krieger algebras [CK80] (cf. Remark 7.8).

**2) Spectral radius.** A somewhat separate problem is how to describe spectral radii of operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , associated with a transfer operator  $L$ . It reduces to analysis of the spectral radii of (weighted unital) transfer operators on  $C(X)$ , as we have  $r(aT) = \sqrt{r(L|a|^2)}$ . In the full generality we consider, these spectral radii were described by Antonevich, Bakhtin, Lebedev [ABL11], [BL17] via variational principles exploiting a new invariant they introduce - the *t-entropy*. We push this research further when  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is an expanding open map. Then the problem reduces to investigation of  $r(\mathcal{L}_c)$  for a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator  $\mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x)f(x)$  with an arbitrary continuous 'potential'  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . One of the fundamental principles of thermodynamical formalism is that  $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c)$  coincides with *topological pressure*  $P(\ln c, \varphi)$ . A number of results in this direction are known, cf. [Bow75], [Rue78], [Wal78], [LS88], [Rue89], [LM98], [FJ01], [PU10]. However, none of these sources considers a general case. Usually it is assumed that  $\varphi$  is topologically mixing,  $c$  is Hölder continuous and strictly positive, and the space  $X$  is a finite dimensional manifold or a shift space. Here we prove that  $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = P(\ln c, \varphi)$  for an arbitrary open expanding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  on a compact metric space and an arbitrary continuous  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  (Theorem 4.6). This result is of independent interest. It reveals the relationship between *t-entropy* and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy (Corollary 4.9). It also gives efficient formulas for the spectral radius  $r(aT)$  of operators associated to expanding open maps (Corollary 4.8).

**3) Riesz Projectors.** When  $T$  is a unitary satisfying (1), then every Riesz projector for a part of the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$ ,  $a \in A$  belongs to  $A \cong C(X)$  and corresponds to a clopen set  $X_0 \subseteq X$ , which is  $\varphi$ -invariant in the sense that  $\varphi^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$ , see [AL94]. This is a crucial step in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In our irreversible setting this fact fails and the analysis of Riesz projectors is much more subtle. It may happen that a Riesz projector  $P$  for an abstract weighted shift  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , associated to a transfer operator  $L$ , does not belong to  $A$  and even if it does it may not correspond to a  $\varphi$ -invariant set (see Example 6.2). However, developing new arguments we proved that if  $a$  is (close to being) invertible and  $\varphi$  is topologically free, then  $P \in A$  and it corresponds to a  $\varphi$ -invariant set (Proposition 6.1). In general,  $P \in A'$  and hence it belongs to  $A$  whenever  $A \subseteq C^*(A, T)$  is a Cartan inclusion. Then either the corresponding set or its complement is forward  $\varphi$ -invariant (Proposition 6.4).

**Main results.** For reasons explained above we prove two versions of Theorem 1.2 for operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , associated with a transfer operator. We get the full description of  $\sigma(aT)$  in the case when  $a$  is (close to being) invertible (Theorem 7.1), and for arbitrary  $a \in A$  in the finite type case (Theorem 7.2). We particularly like the results for expanding maps (cf. Corollary 7.6). In general, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free, then the spectrum of an operator  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , is rotation invariant and if  $A \cap \mathcal{K}(H) = \{0\}$  then  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT)$  (Corollary 5.9). We illustrate our results on examples coming from

Cuntz-Krieger algebras (Example 7.7), weighted composition operators on  $L^2$ -spaces (Corollaries 7.11, 7.12) and weighted shifts on directed trees (Example 7.14).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some basic facts concerning transfer operators and the thermodynamical formalism. Section 3 introduces abstract weighted shifts and discusses model examples. Variational formulas for spectral radii are studied in Section 4. The structure of the associated  $C^*$ -algebras and spectral consequences of topological freeness are presented in Section 5. Conditions under which Riesz projectors for  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , belong to  $A$  are given in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 we present the results that describe the whole spectrum of  $aT$  and give estimates to a number of its connected components.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Thermodynamical formalism for expanding open maps.** Throughout this paper  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Recall that  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is second countable if and only if the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X)$  of continuous complex valued functions is separable. If  $d$  is a metric on  $X$ , then for any continuous real valued function  $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  the *topological pressure* of  $(X, \varphi)$  with potential  $b$  can be defined by the formula

$$(3) \quad P(\varphi, b) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ \varepsilon\text{-separated in } d_n}} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{y \in E} \exp \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(y)) \right),$$

where  $d_n(x, y) = \max_{i=0, \dots, n} d(\varphi^i(x), \varphi^i(y))$  is  $n$ -Bowen's metric. It is crucial that  $P(\varphi, b)$  can be also expressed in terms of the following variational principle:

$$P(\varphi, b) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

where  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  is the set of  $\varphi$ -invariant Borel probability measures on  $X$  and  $h_\varphi(\mu)$  is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, cf. [Wal82], [PU10]. A measure  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  is called an *equilibrium* state if  $P(\varphi, b) = \int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$ . If the entropy map  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto h_\varphi(\mu)$  is upper-semicontinuous, then  $P(\varphi, b) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$ . If the set of equilibrium measures is non-empty it contains an ergodic measure (whenever  $P(\varphi, b) < \infty$ ) and for a dense subset of functions in  $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  the equilibrium measure is unique, see [Wal82, 9.13 and 9.15.1]. The study of unique equilibrium measures was initiated by the theory of thermodynamical formalism that works for expanding maps:

**Definition 2.1.** A continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *expanding* (expands small distances or is locally expanding) if  $X$  is compact and can be equipped with a metric  $d$  for which there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\theta > 1$  such that  $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \geq \theta d(x, y)$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ .

Being expanding does not depend on the choice of a metric (compatible with the topology). By [Red82],  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding if and only if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *positively expansive*, i.e. for some metric  $d$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any two points  $x \neq y$  we have  $d(\varphi^n(x), \varphi^n(y)) > \delta$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Schwartzman's theorem, see [MS10], [KR69], a homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding (positively expansive) if and only if  $X$  is finite. Thus being expanding is a notion designed for irreversible dynamics. The literature is abundant in the results concerning expansive homeomorphisms, but it is well known that (one-sided) versions of such facts hold for expanding (positively expansive) maps, cf. [Wal82, Remark on page 145], [KR69, page 58]. A counterpart of [Wal82, Theorem 5.24], [KR69, Corollary 3.3] states that any expansive map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a factor of a

one-sided subshift: there is a closed subset  $Z$  of  $\Sigma_n = \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\sigma(Z) = Z$ , where  $\sigma : \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$  is one-sided shift, and a continuous surjective map  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \pi$ . Moreover, the one-sided subshifts  $(Z, \sigma)$ , as above, can be characterised as expanding maps on zero-dimensional spaces. By [PU10, Theorem 3.5.6], for expanding maps the entropy map  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto h_\varphi(\mu)$  is upper-semicontinuous and therefore equilibrium (ergodic) measures for expanding maps always exist.

An expanding map is necessarily locally injective and hence if it is open, it is a *local homeomorphism*. An open expanding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *topologically mixing* if and only if for every non-empty open set  $U$  there is  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\varphi^n(U) = X$ , cf. [PU10]. Usually Gibbs measures are considered for topologically mixing maps, cf. [Bow75], [Rue78], [Wal78], [FJ01]. However, as shown in [PU10] the theory works well under a slightly weaker assumption that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *topologically transitive*, i.e. for any non-empty open sets  $U, V \subseteq X$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\varphi$  is open expanding, this is equivalent to existence of  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^N$  is topologically mixing.

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a metric compact space. We say that  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  is a *Gibbs distribution* for a continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  with potential  $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  if for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $P \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $C \geq 1$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu(B_n(x, \varepsilon))}{\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(x)) - nP)} \leq C$$

where  $B_n(x, r)$  is the open ball in  $n$ -Bowen metric  $d_n(x, y) = \max_{i=0, \dots, n} d(\varphi^i(x), \varphi^i(y))$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (Existence and uniqueness of Gibbs measure). *Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be an open expanding map and let  $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  be Hölder continuous. There is a unique Gibbs distribution  $\mu_{\varphi, b} \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  for  $\varphi$  and  $b$  and the constant  $P$  in Definition 2.2 is the topological pressure  $P(\varphi, b)$ . Moreover,  $\mu_{\varphi, b}$  is the unique equilibrium state for  $\varphi$  and  $b$ , and for every  $y \in X$  we have*

$$P = P(\varphi, b) = \int_X b d\mu_{\varphi, b} + h(\mu_{\varphi, b}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \exp \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(x)) \right).$$

*Proof.* Combine [PU10, Propositions 3.4.3, 4.4.1, 4.1.5 and Theorems 4.3.2, 4.6.2].  $\square$

Gibbs distributions  $\mu_{\varphi, b}$  are usually constructed by means of Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem. More specifically, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a local homeomorphism, then for every continuous  $c : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  the formula

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x) f(x)$$

defines a positive linear operator  $\mathcal{L}_c : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ , which is called the *Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator* associated to  $\varphi$  and  $c$ . By positivity the dual operator  $\mathcal{L}_c^* : C(X)^* \rightarrow C(X)^*$  restricts to an affine map on the cone of finite Borel measures. The following theorem was originally proved for one-sided shifts by Ruelle. Then it was generalized by a number authors to mixing expanding maps [Bow75], [Wal78], [Rue78], [FJ01]. We generalize it a bit further, to topologically transitive expanding maps:

**Theorem 2.4** (Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem). *Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be an open expanding and topologically transitive map on a compact metric space  $X$ . Suppose that  $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  is Hölder continuous. Put  $c := \exp(b)$  and let  $\rho := r(\mathcal{L}_c)$  be the spectral radius of the associated Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_c : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ .*

- (i) *There is a unique Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_c^* \nu = \rho \nu$ .*
- (ii)  *$\rho$  is a strictly positive eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_c$  with a unique strictly positive eigenfunction  $h \in C(X)^+$  satisfying  $\nu(h) = 1$ .*

With  $h$  and  $\nu$  as above,  $h\nu(a) := \nu(ah)$ ,  $a \in C(X)$ , is the Gibbs measure  $\mu_{\varphi,b}$  for  $\varphi$  and  $b$ . Moreover, we have  $P(\varphi, b) = \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ .

*Proof.* For brevity put  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_c$  and let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  be the set of Borel probability measures.

(i). Existence of  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  with  $\mathcal{L}^*(\nu) = c\nu$  for some  $c > 0$  follows from the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, see [PU10, Theorem 5.2.8]. Suppose that  $\mu, \eta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  are such that  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mu) = c_1\mu$  and  $\mathcal{L}^*(\eta) = c_2\eta$  for some  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ . By [PU10, Propositions 5.2.11, 5.1.14],  $c_1 = c_2 = e^{P(\varphi,b)}$  and the measures  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  are equivalent to the Gibbs measure  $\mu_{\varphi,b}$ . We also have  $P(\varphi, b) = \ln r(\mathcal{L}) = \ln \rho$ , which under present assumptions could be readily proved using the formula in Theorem 2.3. We will show it without any assumption on  $b$  in Theorem 4.6 below. Hence  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mu) = \rho\mu$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^*(\eta) = \rho\eta$  and there is an  $\eta$ -integrable function  $h$  such that  $\mu = h\eta$ . For every  $a \in C(X)$  we have  $\mathcal{L}(a)h = \mathcal{L}(a(h \circ \varphi))$  and therefore  $\mathcal{L}^*(h\eta) = (h \circ \varphi)\mathcal{L}^*(\eta) = \rho(h \circ \varphi)\eta$ . Using this we get

$$h\eta = \mu = \rho^{-1}\mathcal{L}^*(\mu) = \rho^{-1}\mathcal{L}^*(h\eta) = (h \circ \varphi)\eta.$$

This implies that  $h = (h \circ \varphi)$   $\eta$ -almost everywhere. But since  $\eta$  is equivalent to  $\mu_{\varphi,b}$ , which is  $\varphi$ -ergodic, cf. [PU10, Corollary 5.2.13], it follows that  $h$  is constant  $\eta$ -almost everywhere. Thus  $\eta = \mu$ .

(ii). Existence of  $h \in C(X)^+$  with  $\nu(h) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}(h) = \rho h$  follows from [PU10, Proposition 5.3.1]. Then  $h\nu = \mu_{\varphi,b}$  is the Gibbs measure by [PU10, Theorem 5.3.2]. Thus if  $g \in C(X)^+$  is another function with properties of  $h$ , then  $h\nu = g\nu$ , that is  $g = h$ ,  $\nu$ -almost everywhere, which implies that  $g = h$  because  $g$  and  $h$  are continuous and  $\text{supp } \nu = X$ .  $\square$

We explain how the above results can be applied in more general situations using a spectral decomposition. We say that a set  $U \subseteq X$  is *forward  $\varphi$ -invariant* if  $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$  and it is  *$\varphi$ -invariant* if  $\varphi^{-1}(U) = U$ . A point  $x \in X$  is *non-wandering* if for every open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  we have  $V \cap \varphi^n(V) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set of non-wandering points is denoted by  $\Omega(\varphi)$ . It is closed, forward  $\varphi$ -invariant and  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \Omega(\varphi)$  for every  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ . If  $\varphi$  is open expanding, then  $\Omega(\varphi)$  is equal to the closure of the set of all periodic points [PU10, Proposition 4.3.6]. By [PU10, Corollary 4.2.4], the following is a special case of [PU10, Theorem 4.3.8]:

**Theorem 2.5** (Spectral decomposition). *Suppose that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is an open expanding map with  $\Omega(\varphi) = X$ . Then  $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$  is a union of finitely many  $\varphi$ -invariant disjoint clopen sets  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , such that for each  $j$ , the map  $\varphi|_{X_j} : X_j \rightarrow X_j$  is topologically transitive. Moreover, each  $X_j$  is the union of  $k(j)$  disjoint clopen sets  $X_j^k$  which are cyclically permuted by  $\varphi$  and such that  $\varphi^{k(j)}|_{X_j^k} : X_j^k \rightarrow X_j^k$  is topologically mixing, for all  $j$  and  $k$ .*

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathbb{A} = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^n$  be a matrix of zeros and ones, with no zero rows. The *topological Markov shift* with states  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and the transition matrix  $\mathbb{A}$  is the map  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  where

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}} : A(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}) = 1\}, \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) := (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots).$$

Here  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is a metrizable compact space equipped with the product topology inherited from  $\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is an expanding local homeomorphism. The above data can also be viewed as a directed graph with vertices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and edges  $\{(i, j) : A(i, j) = 1\}$ .

Then  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is the space of infinite paths in this graph. The number of paths from  $i$  to  $j$  of length  $n$  is  $A(i, j)^{(n)}$  where  $\mathbb{A}^n = [A(i, j)^{(n)}]_{i, j=1}^n$  is the  $n$ -th power of the matrix  $\mathbb{A}$ . We write  $i \rightarrow j$  if there is a path from  $i$  to  $j$ , that is  $A(i, j)^{(n)} > 0$  for some  $n$ . A state  $i$  is called *essential* if  $i \rightarrow j$  implies  $j \rightarrow i$  for every state  $j$ . We have  $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : \xi_i \text{ is essential for every } i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Hence  $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  if and only if all states are essential. Also  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is topologically transitive if and only if  $\mathbb{A}$  is *irreducible* (i.e. for every  $i$  and  $j$  there is  $n$  such that  $A(i, j)^{(n)} > 0$ ) if and only if  $i \leftrightarrow j$  for all states  $i$  and  $j$ . The map  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is topologically mixing if and only if  $\mathbb{A}$  is *aperiodic*, that is there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that all entries in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  are non-zero. If  $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ , then  $i \leftrightarrow j$  is an equivalence relation and thus  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  decomposes into disjoint equivalence classes. After possible relabeling, these classes are  $\{k_j + 1, \dots, k_{j+1}\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $k_1 = 0 < k_2 < \dots < k_{N+1} = n$ . Then  $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}(\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_N)$  where  $\mathbb{A}_j = [A(i, l)]_{i, l=k_j+1}^{k_{j+1}}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}$  is the decomposition from Theorem 2.5 applied to  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ .

**2.2. Endomorphisms, transfer operators and local homeomorphisms.** In this paper  $A$  will always stand for a *unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra*. So by the Gelfand-Naimark theorem we may identify  $A \cong C(X)$  with the algebra of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . By a unital *endomorphism* of  $A$  we mean a  $*$ -preserving endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . Unital endomorphisms  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  are in the one-to-one correspondence with continuous mappings  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$\alpha(a)(x) = a(\varphi(x)), \quad a \in C(X), \quad x \in X,$$

see, for instance, [KL20, Proposition 1.1]. If  $\alpha$  and  $\varphi$  are in this correspondence, then  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism ( $\alpha$  is injective) if and only if  $\varphi$  is surjective. Transfer operators on  $C^*$ -algebras were introduced in [Exe03<sub>1</sub>]. In this paper we will consider transfer operators that preserve the unit.

**Definition 2.7.** A (*unital*) *transfer operator* on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a unit preserving positive linear map  $L : A \rightarrow A$  for which there is an endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$(5) \quad L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b), \quad a \in A.$$

Then we also say that  $L$  is a transfer operator for  $\alpha$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Since we assume that  $L(1) = 1$ , relation (5) implies that  $L$  is a left inverse to  $\alpha$ . Hence if an endomorphism admits a transfer operator, then it is necessarily a monomorphism and therefore the dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is surjective.

**Remark 2.9.** As a rule there are many different transfer operators for a given endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ . However, if a transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is *faithful*, i.e. it is injective on the positive elements, then there is exactly one endomorphism  $\alpha$  satisfying (5), see [Kwa17, Proposition 4.18].

Transfer operators for a monomorphism  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ , with the dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ , are in a one-to-one correspondence with continuous maps  $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , where  $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is the space of Borel probability measures on  $X$  equipped with the weak\* topology. Every transfer operator for  $\alpha$  is of the form

$$(6) \quad L(a)(y) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(x)} a(y) d\mu_x(y), \quad a \in C(X).$$



When  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a local homeomorphism, then every transfer operator for  $\alpha$  is of the form

$$(7) \quad L(a)(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)a(x), \quad a \in C(X)$$

where  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function such that  $\sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x) = 1$  for all  $y \in X$ . We call a map  $\varrho$  with the above properties a *cocycle* for the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . For details concerning the above discussion see, for instance, [Kwa12<sub>2</sub>].

**Example 2.10.** Ruelle's transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x)f(x)$  associated to a local homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  and  $c \geq 0$  in general fails to be unital. Nevertheless, if  $c > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_c(1) > 0$  is invertible and therefore  $L := (\mathcal{L}_c(1))^{-1}\mathcal{L}_c$  is a (unital) transfer operator with the cocycle  $\varrho(x) = c(x)(\sum_{x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} c(x'))^{-1} > 0$ .

We end this section by 'an algebraic characterization' of transfer operators from Example 2.10. Let  $B \subseteq A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , so that  $B$  contains the unit of  $A$ . A *conditional expectation* from  $A$  onto  $B$  is a linear contractive projection  $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$  onto  $B$ . By Tomiyama's theorem this is equivalent to saying that  $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$  is a unit preserving completely positive linear map such that  $E(ba) = bE(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We have the following easy fact, see [Exe03<sub>1</sub>], [Kwa12<sub>2</sub>].

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  be a monomorphism. We have a one-to-one correspondence between conditional expectations  $E : A \rightarrow \alpha(A) \subseteq A$  and transfer operators  $L : A \rightarrow A$  for  $\alpha$ . It is given by relations  $E = \alpha \circ L$  and  $L(a) = \alpha^{-1}(E(a))$  for  $a \in A$ .*

A conditional expectation  $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$  onto  $B$  is said to be of *finite type* if there is a quasi-basis for  $E$ , i.e. there exists a finite set  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\} \subseteq A$  such that  $a = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i E(u_i^* a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ , see [Wat90].

**Definition 2.12** (cf. [Exe03<sub>2</sub>] Definition 8.1). Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  be a monomorphism. We say that  $\alpha$  is of *finite-type* if there is a conditional expectation of finite type onto the range  $\alpha(A)$  of  $\alpha$ . Equivalently, in view of Lemma 2.11,  $\alpha$  is of finite type if and only if there is a transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  and elements  $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\} \subseteq A$  such that

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

In this case we will also say that  $L$  is a *transfer operator is of finite type*.

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a unital monomorphism and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  the associated continuous surjective map. Then  $\alpha$  is of finite-type if and only if  $\varphi$  is a local homeomorphism. Moreover, a transfer operator  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  for  $\alpha$  is of finite type if and only if it is given by (7) where the cocycle  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  attains strictly positive values. Then the associated quasi-basis can be defined by*

$$(8) \quad u_i(x) = \sqrt{\frac{v_i(x)}{\varrho(x)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq C(X)$  is a partition of unity subordinated to an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $X$ , with  $\varphi : U_i \rightarrow \varphi(U_i)$  is a homeomorphism for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\varphi$  is a local homeomorphism. Putting  $\varrho(x) := |\varphi^{-1}(x)|^{-1} > 0$  we get a continuous cocycle for  $\varphi$ . Moreover, for any continuous cocycle  $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ , the

transfer operator given by (7) is of finite type. Indeed, for any  $a \in C(X)$  and  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  given by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)) \right](x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \sum_{\varphi(y)=\varphi(x)} \overline{u_i(y)} \varrho(y) a(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i(x)|^2 \varrho(x) a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i(x) a(x) = a(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha$  is of finite type, cf. [EV06, Proposition 8.2].

Now let  $L$  be any transfer operator for  $\alpha$  which is of finite type. Fix a corresponding quasi-basis  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^N \subseteq A$ . Then  $L$  is given by (6) and we have

$$(9) \quad a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} \overline{u_i(y)} a(y) d\mu_{\varphi(x)}(y) \quad \text{for every } a \in C(X) \text{ and } x \in X.$$

This forces  $\varphi$  to be at most  $N$ -to-one map. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there are  $N+1$  different points  $x_1, \dots, x_{N+1} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$  for a certain  $x \in X$ . Then putting  $c_{j,i} := u_i(x_j)$  we get a matrix  $C = [c_{j,i}]_{j=1, i=1}^{N+1, N}$ . Its range is at most  $N$ -dimensional. Hence there is a vector  $y = [y_j]_{j=1}^{N+1}$  such that for every vector  $\lambda = [\lambda_i]_{i=1}^N$  we have  $C\lambda \neq y$ . This contradicts (9) by taking  $a \in C(X)$  such that  $a(x_j) = y_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, N+1$ , and putting  $\lambda_i := \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} \overline{u_i(y)} a(y) d\mu_{\varphi(x)}(y)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

We define  $\varrho(x) := \mu_{\varphi(x)}(\{x\})$ ,  $x \in X$ . Since  $\varphi$  is at most  $N$ -to-one, (9) gives

$$a(x) = \sum_{\varphi(y)=\varphi(x)} a(y) \varrho(y) \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)} \quad \text{for every } a \in C(X) \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Plugging into this equation  $a \in C(X)$  such that  $a(x) = 1$  and  $a(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$  we get  $1 = \varrho(x) \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i(x)|^2$ , for every  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $\varrho$  is strictly positive and continuous. In particular,  $\text{supp } \mu_y = \varphi^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in X$ , and therefore  $\varphi$  is an open map, see, for instance, [Kwa17, Lemma 3.28]. Thus it suffices to show that every point  $x \in X$  has an open neighborhood on which  $\varphi$  is injective. Note that  $X \ni y \mapsto L(1/\varrho)(y) = |\varphi^{-1}(y)|$  is continuous. Hence there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\varphi(x)$  such that each point in  $V$  has exactly  $M \leq N$  elements in the pre-image. Let  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) = \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$  and take disjoint open sets  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^M$  such that  $x_i \in U_i \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(V)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, M$ . Putting  $V' := \bigcap_{i=1}^M \varphi(U_i)$  and  $U'_i := U_i \cap \varphi^{-1}(V')$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, M$ , we find that  $\varphi$  restricted to each  $U'_i$  is injective. Indeed, for every  $x' \in U'_i$ , the  $M$ -element set  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x'))$  intersects each of disjoint sets  $U'_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$ . Accordingly,  $x$  belongs to some  $U'_i$ , and hence  $\varphi$  is locally injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *If  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a transfer operator of finite type, then it is faithful, there is a unique endomorphism  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  such that  $L$  is a transfer operator for  $\alpha$  and the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  dual to  $\alpha$  is a surjective local homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 2.13 and Remark 2.9.  $\square$

### 3. ABSTRACT WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

**3.1. The axioms.** We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Throughout this subsection we assume that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is an isometry. We will consider the following conditions that  $A$  and  $T$  may satisfy:

- (A1)  $T^*AT \subseteq A$ ;
- (A2) there is a unital endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $Ta = \alpha(a)T$ , for all  $a \in A$ ;
- (A3)  $\overline{ATH} = H$ , i.e. the closed linear span of elements of  $ATH$  is equal to  $H$ .

Axioms (A1) and (A2) appear, for instance, in [LM98], [ABL11], and are sufficient for the study of the spectral radius  $r(aT)$ ,  $a \in A$ . The third axiom (A3) will be crucial in the analysis of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A, T)$  and spectra of the operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *(A1) and (A2) are satisfied if and only if the formula  $L(a) := T^*aT$  defines a transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  for a unital endomorphism of  $A$ . If in addition condition (A3) holds, then  $L$  is faithful and the endomorphism in question is unique.*

*Proof.* Condition (A1) is equivalent to that the formula  $L(a) := T^*aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , gives a well defined map  $L : A \rightarrow A$ . Assume (A1). Then  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is positive (because  $L(a^*a) = (aT)^*aT \geq 0$ ) and unit preserving (because  $T$  is an isometry). Condition (A2) implies that  $L(b\alpha(a)) = T^*b\alpha(a)T = T^*bTa = L(b)a$ , for all  $a, b \in A$ , and hence  $L$  is a transfer operator. Conversely, assume that  $L$  is a transfer operator for an endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ . Then using the  $C^*$ -equality and the transfer identity (5), for every  $a \in A$ , one gets  $\|Ta - \alpha(a)T\|^2 = \|(Ta - \alpha(a)T)(Ta - \alpha(a)T)^*\| = 0$ , which is (A2), cf. [Kwa17, Proposition 4.3].

Now assume (A1), (A2), (A3). Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $L(a^*a) = 0$ . Then  $\|aT\|^2 = \|T^*a^*aT\| = \|L(a^*a)\| = 0$ , that is  $aT = 0$ . Since  $A$  is commutative this implies that for every  $b \in A$  and  $h \in H$  we have  $a(bTh) = b(aT)h = 0$ . By (A3) elements  $bTh$  are linearly dense in  $H$ . Hence  $a = 0$ . This proves faithfulness of  $L$ . Uniqueness of  $\alpha$  follows now from [Kwa17, Proposition 4.17].  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be an isometry satisfying (A1) and (A2). We call operators of the form  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , *abstract weighted shift operators* associated with the transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  where  $L(a) := T^*aT$ ,  $a \in A$ . If in addition (A3) holds, we say that the weighted shift operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are *well presented*.

We will be mostly interested in the case when  $T$  is not invertible, as otherwise we land in a situation described in [AL94]:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Assume (A1) and (A2) where  $A$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  is a unitary;
- (ii)  $aT$  is invertible for some  $a \in A$ ;
- (iii)  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  is an automorphism and (A3) holds;
- (iv)  $T$  is a unitary and  $T^*AT = A$ , i.e.  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are abstract weighted shifts sense of [AL94, 3.1].

Accordingly, if  $T$  is not invertible, then all operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are not invertible.

*Proof.* Implications (iii) $\Leftarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) are obvious. If  $aT$  is invertible, for some  $a \in A$ , then it follows from Lemma 6.3(iii) (which we will prove below) that  $a$  is invertible. Hence also  $T$  is invertible and therefore (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism, then  $L$  is an automorphism, as a right inverse to  $\alpha$ . Multiplicativity of  $L$  is equivalent to  $TT^* \in A'$ , see [KL13, Lemma 1.2]. Hence we get  $ATH = ATT^*H = TT^*AH$ , which by (A3) implies that  $T$  is onto, and therefore a unitary.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). If  $T$  is a unitary, then (A2) implies that  $\alpha(a) = TaT^*$ , for  $a \in A$ . Hence  $\alpha$  and  $L$  are mutually inverse automorphisms of  $A$ . In particular,  $T^*AT = A$ .  $\square$

When  $L$  is of finite type, then condition (A3) can be expressed in terms of a single algebraic relation that was first discovered in [EV06]:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume (A1), (A2) and suppose that the associated transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is of finite type. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *condition (A3) holds;*
- (ii) *we have  $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* = 1$  for every quasi-basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq A$  for  $L$ ;*
- (iii) *we have  $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* = 1$  for some quasi-basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq A$  for  $L$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a quasi-basis for  $L$ . For any  $a \in A$  and  $h \in H$  we have

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* \right) a T h = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i T L(u_i^* a) h = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)) T h = a T h.$$

Since elements  $a T h$  are linearly dense in  $H$ , this implies  $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* = 1$ .

Implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is clear. To show (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), suppose that  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a quasi-basis for  $L$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* = 1$ . Then for any  $h \in H$  we have  $h = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* h \in \overline{A T H}$ , that is  $\overline{A T H} = H$ .  $\square$

In this paper we consider the situation where  $A \cong C(X)$  is commutative,  $\alpha$  is given by a continuous surjective map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ , and  $L$  is given by a continuous family of measures  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  with  $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus we associate to abstract weighted shifts the triple  $(X, \varphi, \{\mu_x\}_{x \in X})$ . In finite type case,  $\varphi$  is a local homeomorphism and  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  is equivalent to a continuous cocycle map  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

**3.2. Weighted shifts associated with topological dynamical systems.** Suppose now that we have a Borel probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and that  $\mu$  has full support, that is  $\text{supp } \mu = X$ . Then putting  $H := L_\mu^2(X)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions  $C(X)$  is naturally isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  of operators of multiplication:  $(ah)(x) = a(x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in L_\mu^2(X)$ ,  $a \in C(X)$ . Therefore in the sequel we will write  $A = C(X)$ . Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map that preserves  $\mu$ . Then  $\varphi$  is necessarily surjective and the composition operator  $T : L_\mu^2(X) \rightarrow L_\mu^2(X)$ :

$$(10) \quad (Th)(x) = h(\varphi(x)), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(X),$$

is an isometry. We have a unital monomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\alpha(a) := a \circ \varphi$ . Clearly,  $T$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy axiom (A2). Note that  $TH = \{f \circ \varphi : f \in L_\mu^2(X)\}$  contains the constant functions. So  $ATH$  contains the subspace  $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$  which is dense in  $H = L_\mu^2(X)$ . Hence axiom (A3) holds. However, axiom (A1) may fail (see Example 3.7 below). More specifically, suppose that  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$  is a disintegration of  $\mu$  along the fibers of  $\varphi$ , i.e. each  $\mu_x$  is supported on  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  and  $\int_X h(y) d\mu(y) = \int_X \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} h(x) d\mu_y(x) \right) d\mu(y)$  for every  $h \in L_\mu^1(X)$ .<sup>1</sup> Then

$$(11) \quad T^* h(y) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} h(x) d\mu_y(x), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(X),$$

and (A1) holds if and only if  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  is *continuous* in the sense that the map  $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  is continuous. We have a number of characterisations of this continuity:

<sup>1</sup>It is well known that such an disintegration exists when  $X$  is second countable. In our set up its existence follows from axiom (A3) without any separability assumptions, see Proposition 3.5

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map for which there exists  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  with  $\text{supp } \mu = X$ . For the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$  and the isometry  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$  as above, axioms (A2) and (A3) always hold. Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *axiom (A1) holds;*
- (ii)  *$L^*(\mu) = \mu$  for some transfer operator  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  for  $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$ ;*
- (iii) *there is a continuous  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  disintegration of  $\mu$  along the fibers of  $\varphi$ ;*
- (iv) *the co-isometry  $T^*$  preserves the subspace  $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$ ;*
- (v) *the projection  $TT^*$  preserves the subspace  $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$ .*

*If in addition  $\varphi$  is a local homeomorphism, and  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an open cover of  $X$  such that  $\varphi : U_i \rightarrow \varphi(U_i)$  is injective, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then the above conditions are equivalent to*

- (vi) *there is a continuous function  $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varrho(x) = \frac{d(\mu \circ \varphi|_{U_i}^{-1})}{d\mu}(\varphi(x))$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in U_i$ , and all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*If (vi) holds, then the formula  $\mu_{\varphi(x)}(\{x\}) = \varrho(x)$  determines the continuous disintegration  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ ,  $L$  is given by (7) and  $T^*h(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in L_\mu^2(X)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). If (A1) holds, then  $L(a) := T^*aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , is a transfer operator for  $\alpha$ , and for any  $a \in C(X)$  we have  $\int_X L(a)(y)d\mu(y) = \langle 1, T^*aT1 \rangle = \langle T1, aT1 \rangle = \int_X a(y)d\mu(y)$ . Hence  $L^*(\mu) = \mu$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). The transfer operator  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is of the form (6) where  $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  is continuous. The relation  $L^*(\mu) = \mu$  means that we have  $\int_X \left( \int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} a(x)d\mu_y(x) \right) d\mu(y) = \int_X a(y)d\mu(y)$  for all  $a \in C(X)$ . Since  $C(X)$  is dense in  $L_\mu^1(X)$ ,  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  is a disintegration of  $\mu$  along the fibers of  $\varphi$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). This immediately follows from (11) and continuity of  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). This is clear because  $T$  preserves  $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$ .

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The restriction  $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  coincides with the endomorphism  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ . If the projection  $TT^*$  preserves  $C(X)$  it is a conditional expectation onto the range of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $TT^* : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a  $\alpha(C(X))$ -bimodule map, and for any  $a \in C(X)$  there is  $b \in C(X)$  with  $TT^*a = \alpha(b)$ . Thus for any  $h \in C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$ , treating  $a$  as an element of  $A$ , and using (A2) we get  $TT^*aTh = \alpha(b)Th = Tbh$ . Since  $C(X)$  is dense in  $L_\mu^2(X)$ , this shows that  $TT^*AT \subseteq TA$ . Since  $T^*T = 1$ , this gives  $T^*AT \subseteq A$ .

Thus conditions (i)-(v) are equivalent. If  $\varphi$  is a local homeomorphism, then (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi) by [PU10, Proposition 4.2.1]. The remaining part is straightforward or discussed earlier.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** If  $\varrho$  in Proposition 3.5(vi) exists and is strictly positive, then for every open  $U \subseteq X$  on which  $\varphi$  is injective we have  $\mu(\varphi(U)) = \int_U \varrho(x)^{-1}d\mu(x)$ . Hence  $1/\varrho$  is a *strong Jacobian* of  $\varphi$  with respect to  $\mu$ , see [PU10, Definition 1.9.4].

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = [0, 1) \pmod{1}$  and  $\varphi(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$ . For any  $p_0, p_1 > 0$  with  $p_0 + p_1 = 1$  define  $\varrho = p_0\mathbb{1}_{[0, 1/2)} + p_1\mathbb{1}_{[1/2, 1)}$ . Then  $\varrho > 0$  is a cocycle for  $\varphi$  but  $\varrho$  is continuous if only if  $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure (length) on  $[0, 1)$  and put  $\mu := \varrho\lambda$ , that is  $\mu(U) := \int_U \varrho d\lambda$  for all Borel  $U \subseteq X$ . Then  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ ,  $\text{supp } \mu = X$ , and the adjoint of the isometry  $(Th)(x) = h(\varphi(x))$  is given by  $T^*h(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in L_\mu^2(X)$ . The corresponding disintegration  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$  of  $\mu$  is given by  $\mu_x = p_0\delta_{\frac{1}{2}x} + p_1\delta_{\frac{1}{2}(x+1)}$ ,  $x \in X$ . The equivalent conditions in Proposition 3.5 hold if and only if  $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We may reverse the above problem and ask whether for a given dynamical system  $(X, \varphi)$  and a transfer operator  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  there exists a  $\varphi$ -invariant measure such that  $\text{supp } \mu = X$  and  $L$  is implemented by the isometry (10). Since  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \Omega(\varphi)$ , then we necessarily have  $\Omega(\varphi) = X$ . Using methods of the thermodynamical formalism we give the following positive answer when  $\varphi$  is expanding:

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a transfer operator of finite type on such that the corresponding local homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding and  $\Omega(\varphi) = X$ . Then there exists  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  with  $\text{supp } \mu = X$  such that identifying  $C(X)$  with the algebra  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$  of multiplication operators we have*

$$L(a) = TaT^*, \quad A = C(X),$$

where  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$  is the operator of composition with  $\varphi$ . That is,  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are well presented weighted shifts associated with the transfer operator  $L$ . If in addition  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically transitive and the logarithm of the associated cocycle  $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is Hölder continuous, then the measure  $\mu$  above is unique and it is the Gibbs measure  $\mu_{\varphi, \ln \varrho}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $L(1) = 1$ , the dual operator  $L^* : C(X)^* \rightarrow C(X)^*$  preserves  $\mathcal{M}(X) \subseteq C(X)^*$  which is a compact convex set in weak  $*$ -topology. By the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, there is  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $L^*(\mu) = \mu$ . This measure is  $\varphi$ -invariant as  $\mu(f \circ \varphi) = \mu(L(f \circ \varphi)) = \mu(f)$  for  $f \in C(X)$ . In view of Proposition 3.5, it is left to show that  $\text{supp } \mu = X$ .

Let us first consider the case when  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically transitive. Assume on the contrary that there is non-empty open  $U$  with  $\mu(U) = 0$ . Since the corresponding cocycle  $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is strictly positive this implies that  $\mu(\varphi(U)) = 0$  by Remark 3.6. By induction we get that  $\varphi^n(U) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$ . However, as  $\varphi^k$  for some  $k$  is topologically mixing, we get  $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^N \varphi^n(U)$  for some  $N$ . This leads to a contradiction:  $1 = \mu(X) \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \mu(\varphi^n(U)) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu$  is the desired measure by Proposition 3.5. If in addition  $\ln \varrho$  is Hölder continuous, then by Theorem 2.4, the Gibbs measure  $\mu = \mu_{\varphi, \ln \varrho}$  is the unique probability measure for which  $L^*(\mu) = \mu$ .

For general  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  we may use the spectral decomposition  $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$  described in Theorem 2.5. We get the desired measure by putting  $\mu := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j$  where  $\mu_j$  is the measure constructed in the first step for the topologically transitive map  $\varphi|_{X_j}$  and the transfer operator given by cocycle  $\varrho|_{X_j}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.9.** Let  $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$  be the topological Markov shift such that all states  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  are essential, see Example 2.6. Then  $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ . By Proposition 3.8 for every cocycle  $\varrho : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (0, 1]$  for  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  there is  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$  such that  $\int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} \sum_{x \in \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x) a(x) d\mu(y) = \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} a(y) d\mu(y)$  for all  $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ . To say more about the relationship between  $\varrho$  and  $\mu$ , recall that elements of  $\text{Inv}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$  with full support are in a one-to-one correspondence with collections of nonnegative numbers  $\{p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} : (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ ,

$$p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{k+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k, i} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{k+1}},$$

and  $p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} > 0$  if and only if  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$  is a path in the directed graph given by  $\mathbb{A}$ . This correspondence is given by the equality  $p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} = \mu(C_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k})$  where  $C_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$  is the cylinder set of sequences that start with  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$ . Suppose that  $\varrho : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (0, 1]$  depends only on a finite number of coordinates, i.e. there is  $N$

such that  $\varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = \varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$ . Every such function is Hölder continuous and  $\varrho$  is induced by a measure  $\mu$  if and only if  $\varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N) = \frac{p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k}}}{p_{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k}}}$  for all paths  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k})$ . If  $\mathbb{A}$  is irreducible, this measure is unique and it is the Gibbs measure  $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}$ . For instance, if  $\mathbb{A}$  is irreducible and  $N = 2$ , then  $\varrho = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{i,j} \mathbf{1}_{C_{ij}}$  where  $P = [p_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$  is a left stochastic matrix. By the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem there exists a unique probability distribution  $p = [p_i]_{i=1}^n$  such that  $Pp = p$ . In this case, the Gibbs measure is the *Markov distribution* with the transition matrix  $P$  and the initial distribution  $p$ :  $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}(C_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}) = p_{\xi_1 \xi_2} \cdot p_{\xi_2 \xi_3} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{\xi_{k-1} \xi_k} \cdot p_{\xi_k}$ . Alternatively, we could consider the right stochastic matrix  $Q = [q_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$  where  $q_{i,j} := \frac{p_{i,j} p_j}{p_i}$ . Then  $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}(C_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}) = p_{\xi_1} \cdot q_{\xi_1 \xi_2} \cdot q_{\xi_2 \xi_3} \cdot \dots \cdot q_{\xi_{k-1} \xi_k}$ .

**3.3. Weighted shifts associated with measure dynamical systems.** Let us now consider a situation where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a measurable map, with the measurable range  $\Phi(\Omega)$ . We are interested in weighted operators of the form

$$(12) \quad (Th)(\omega) := \rho(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(\Omega),$$

where  $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function. Recall that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost surjective if and only if  $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Phi(\Omega)) = 0$  and then the measure  $\mu \circ \Phi : \Phi^{-1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is defined. We write  $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$  if  $\mu \circ \Phi$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  restricted to  $\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ . If  $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(U)) < \infty$  for every  $U \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(U) < \infty$ , we say that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -proper. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\Sigma$ , the *conditional expectation of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$*  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $E(\rho|\mathcal{F}) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\int_U \rho d\mu = \int_U E(\rho|\mathcal{F}) d\mu$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ . If it exists then  $E(\rho|\mathcal{F})$  is unique, up to equality  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Formula (12) defines an isometry on  $L_\mu^2(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu = \mu(U)$  for every  $U \in \Sigma$ . So if this is the case, then  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost surjective,  $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$  and  $|\rho|^2$  can be considered a generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu \circ \Phi$  with respect to  $\mu$ .*

*More specifically, if  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost surjective,  $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$  and  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$  exists, which is always the case when  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -proper, then (12) defines an isometry if and only if  $E(|\rho|^2|\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ , so in particular, one can always put  $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}$ .*

*Proof.* Plainly,  $T$  is a well defined isometry if and only if for every  $U \in \Sigma$  we have  $\mu(U) = \|T\mathbf{1}_U\|^2 = \int |\rho|^2 (\mathbf{1}_U \circ \Phi) d\mu = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu$ . If this holds, then  $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Phi(\Omega)) = \int_\emptyset |\rho|^2 d\mu = 0$ , that is  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost surjective. Moreover, since  $\int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu = \mu(U) = (\mu \circ \Phi)(\Phi^{-1}(U))$ , we see that  $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$  on  $\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ . Thus if  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$  exists then  $|\rho|^2 = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$   $\mu|_{\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)}$ -almost everywhere, and hence  $E(|\rho|^2|\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ . When  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -proper, then  $\mu \circ \Phi$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and therefore  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$  exists.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** We recall, cf. e.g. [Rid73], that the formula

$$(13) \quad (T_\Phi h)(\omega) = h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(\Omega),$$

defines the bounded operator  $T_\Phi : L_\mu^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_\mu^2(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d(\mu \circ \Phi^{-1})}{d\mu}$  is essentially bounded. Then  $\|T_\Phi\| = \left\| \frac{d(\mu \circ \Phi^{-1})}{d\mu} \right\|_{L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)}^{1/2}$ .

We identify the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A := L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  of essentially bounded functions with the algebra of operators of multiplication on  $L_\mu^2(\Omega)$ :

$$(ah)(\omega) = a(\omega)h(\omega), \quad a \in A = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(\Omega).$$

Thus if (13) defines a bounded operator, then all weighted composition operators  $aT_\Phi$ ,  $a \in A$ , are bounded. The formula  $\alpha(a) = a \circ \Phi$ ,  $a \in A$ , gives a well defined map  $\alpha : L_\mu^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$ , and then  $\alpha$  is a unital endomorphism.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Assume that (12) defines an isometry  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(\Omega))$ , cf. Lemma 3.10. Assume also that  $\alpha(a) = a \circ \Phi$  defines an endomorphism of  $A := L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ , that is  $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$ . Then axioms (A1), (A2) are satisfied, so  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are abstract weighted shift operators. Moreover,*

- (i) *(A3) holds if and only if  $\rho \neq 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.*
- (ii) *Every weighted composition operator  $aT_\Phi$ ,  $a \in A$ , is an abstract weighted composition operator  $bT$ ,  $b \in A$ , if and only if  $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is immediate that  $Ta = \alpha(a)T$ , for all  $a \in A$ , which is axiom (A2). Now using (A2) for all  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$T^*aTb = T^*a\alpha(b)T = T^*\alpha(b)aT = bT^*aT.$$

Thus  $T^*aT \in A' = (L_\mu^\infty(\Omega))' = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega) = A$  (here we use the well known fact that  $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(\Omega))$ ). Hence (A1) holds. One readily sees that for  $a \in A$  we have  $(aT)(L_\mu^2(\Omega)) = L_\mu^2(\{\omega \in \Omega : a(\omega)\rho(\omega) \neq 0\})$ . Hence  $\overline{ATL_\mu^2(\Omega)} = L_\mu^2(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\rho \neq 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. This proves (i). Note that  $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$  if and only if  $\rho^{-1}$  exists and belongs to  $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ . Thus if this holds, then for every  $a \in A$ , we have  $b := a\rho^{-1} \in A$  and  $aT_\Phi = bT$ . Conversely, if  $T_\Phi = aT$  for some  $a \in A$ , then  $a\rho = 1$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. That is,  $\rho^{-1} = a \in A = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  and therefore  $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$ . This proves (ii).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *Suppose that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost surjective,  $\mu$ -proper,  $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$  and  $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$ . Then  $T := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}T_\Phi$  is an isometry,  $(L_\mu^\infty(\Omega), T)$  satisfies (A1), (A2) and*

- (i) *(A3) holds if and only if  $\mu$  is forward quasi-invariant with respect to  $\Phi$ , in the sense that  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} > 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.*
- (ii) *Every weighted composition operator  $aT_\Phi$ ,  $a \in A$ , is an abstract weighted composition operator  $bT$ ,  $b \in A$ , if and only if  $\mu$  is forward quasi-invariant in the strong sense that  $(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu})^{-1} \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 3.12 to  $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}$ , which is allowed by Lemma 3.10.  $\square$

Now we specialize to the case where  $\Omega = V$  is a countable set and  $\mu$  is the counting measure. So the initial data is just a map  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ . Then  $\mu$ -surjectivity is just surjectivity, and if  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$  exists then  $\Phi$  is finite-to-one and  $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}(v) = |\Phi^{-1}(v)|$ , for  $v \in V$ . Thus we get the following:

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  and  $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be two maps where  $V$  is a set. The formula  $(Th)(v) := \rho(v)h(\Phi(v))$  defines an isometry on  $H := \ell^2(V)$  if and only if  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  is a surjective map and  $\sum_{w \in \Phi^{-1}(v)} |\rho(w)|^2 = 1$  for every  $v \in V$ . Assume  $T$  is an isometry and let  $A \cong \ell^\infty(V)$  be the algebra of operators of multiplication on  $H$ . Then axioms (A1), (A2) are satisfied and hence operators of the form  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , are abstract weighted shift operators. Axiom (A3) holds if and only if  $\rho > 0$ .*

**Remark 3.15.** Corollary 3.14 covers a large class of bounded weighted shifts on directed trees introduced and analyzed in [JJS12].



## 4. SPECTRAL RADIUS

In this section we discuss variational formulas for the spectral radii of abstract weighted shift operators. The main new result is Theorem 4.6. The basic fact is that our investigations can be reduced to the study of spectral radius of weighted transfer operators:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be an isometry. Assume (A1), i.e.  $T^*AT \subseteq A$ . The spectral radius of the bounded operator  $aT : H \rightarrow H$ ,  $a \in A$ , is equal to the square root of the spectral radius of the operator  $L|a|^2 : A \rightarrow A$  where  $L|a|^2(b) := T^*|a|^2bT$ ,  $b \in A$ . That, is  $r(aT) = \sqrt{r(L|a|^2)}$ , for  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(L|a|^2)^n : A \rightarrow A$  is a positive map,  $\|(L|a|^2)^n\|_{\mathcal{B}(A)} = \|(L|a|^2)^n(1)\|_A$ , see for instance [Kwa17, Lemma 2.1]. Thus by the  $C^*$ -equality and the definition of  $L|a|^2$ , we get  $\|(aT)^n\|^2 = \|(aT)^{*n}(aT)^n\| = \|(L|a|^2)^n(1)\| = \|(L|a|^2)^n\|$ . Hence  $r(aT) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(aT)^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(L|a|^2)^n\|^{1/2n} = \sqrt{r((L|a|^2)^n)}$ .  $\square$

The spectral radius of a general weighted transfer operator was described in [ABL11] using the following notion of  $t$ -entropy (here we recall a definition simplified in [BL17]).

**Definition 4.2** ([ABL11], [BL17]). Let  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a (unital) transfer operator for an endomorphism whose dual map is  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . The  $t$ -entropy of  $L$  is the functional  $\tau_L : \text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  whose value at  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  is defined by the formula:

$$(14) \quad \tau_L(\mu) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{D \in D(X)} \sum_{g \in D} \mu(g) \ln \frac{\mu(L^n g)}{\mu(g)}$$

where  $D(X)$  is the set of all continuous partitions of unity. If we have  $\mu(g) = 0$  for a certain function  $g \in D$ , then we set the corresponding summand in (14) to be zero. If there exists  $g \in D(X)$  such that  $L^n g \equiv 0$  and  $\mu(g) > 0$ , then we set  $\tau_L(\mu) = -\infty$ .

If  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a transfer operator and  $b \in C(X)^+$  is a positive function, then by [ABL11, Theorem 11.2] we have  $\ln r(Lb) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln |b| d\mu + \tau_L(\mu) \right)$  where  $\tau_L(\mu)$  is the  $t$ -entropy for  $L$ . In particular,  $\ln r(L) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \tau_L(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 4.3** (Antonevich-Bakthin-Lebedev). *Let  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , be an abstract weighted shift associated with a transfer operator  $L$  (i.e. assume (A1) and (A2)) where  $A \cong C(X)$ . Then*

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right),$$

where  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is the dual map to the endomorphism in (A2) and  $\tau_L$  is the  $t$ -entropy for  $L$  (we adapt the convention that  $\ln 0 = -\infty$ ).

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 4.1 and [ABL11, Theorem 11.2]. Or apply [ABL11, Theorem 13.6].  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism, then we are in the situation of Theorem 1.2, see Proposition 3.3. Hence  $\tau_L(\mu) = 0$  for every  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ , by [ABL11, Proposition 8.3]. Thus the above formula agrees with (2).

When  $\varphi$  is an expanding open map, then the  $t$ -entropy  $\tau_L(\mu)$  of  $L$  is closely related to the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy  $h_\varphi(\mu)$ . This issue was not pursued in [ABL11], [BL17]. We will now clarify this relationship and extend the last part of Theorem 2.4 to arbitrary expanding open maps  $\varphi$  and arbitrary continuous weights  $c \geq 0$ .

**4.1. General Ruelle's formula for expanding local homeomorphisms.** Let us fix a local homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  on a compact metric space and a continuous function  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_c : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator given by (4). By Theorem 2.4, if  $c$  is strictly positive and Hölder continuous, and  $\varphi$  is expanding and topologically transitive, then  $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$ . In we now show that this formula holds for arbitrary continuous  $c$  and arbitrary expanding open  $\varphi$ . The proof is independent of Theorem 2.4.

Using the equalities  $\|\mathcal{L}_c^n\| = \|\mathcal{L}_c^n(1)\|$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the Gelfand formula for spectral radius, in general we have

$$(15) \quad \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{y \in X} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)).$$

The following lemma is a part of [LM98, Theorem 12], which is stated without a proof and therefore we give a short argument.

**Lemma 4.5.** *If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a local homeomorphism and  $c : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is strictly positive, then*

$$(16) \quad \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) \leq P(\varphi, \ln c) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right).$$

*Proof.* In view of formulas (3) and (15) it suffices to show that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$  the set  $\varphi^{-n}(x)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -separated in  $n$ -Bowen's metric  $d_n$ . The latter holds for every local homeomorphism. Indeed, the set  $\Delta := \{x : |\varphi^{-1}(x)| = 1\}$  is clopen in  $X$ . The function  $d : X \setminus \Delta \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  given by  $d(x) = \min\{d(y_1, y_2) : y_1, y_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(x), y_1 \neq y_2\} > 0$  is continuous on the compact set  $X \setminus \Delta$ . Thus  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\min_{x \in X \setminus \Delta} d(x), d(X \setminus \Delta, \Delta)\} > 0$  is non-zero. It is straightforward to check that this is the desired number.  $\square$

In general, if  $\varphi$  is not expanding, inequality (16) might be sharp. Indeed, if  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism and  $c \equiv 1$ , then  $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$  and  $P(\varphi, 0) = h(\varphi)$  may be an arbitrary non-negative number, cf. [Wal82, Section 7.3].

**Theorem 4.6.** *Suppose that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is an expanding open map on a metrizable compact space  $X$ . For any continuous  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  we have*

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

where  $h_\varphi(\mu)$  is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy ( $h_\varphi(\mu) < \infty$  for all  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi$  is expanding the entropy map  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow h_\varphi(\mu) \in [0, \infty)$  is upper semi-continuous, see [PU10, Theorem 3.5.6]. The map  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow \int_X \ln c \, d\mu$  is always upper semi-continuous, cf. the proof of [Rue89, Lemma 1.4]. Therefore the supremum  $\sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$  is in fact a maximum. In addition, using the ergodic decomposition we have

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \left( \int_X \ln c \, d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

cf. [PU10, Corollary 2.4.3] or [Wal82, 9.10.1].

Our proof of the assertion goes by a consecutive relaxing of assumptions. First we assume that  $c > 0$  is strictly positive. Then, in view of Lemma 4.5, we need to show that  $P(\varphi, \ln c) \leq \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ .

1) Assume first that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically transitive. By Theorem 2.5,  $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^k X_j$  is a union of  $k$  disjoint clopen sets  $X_j$  which are cyclically permuted by  $\varphi$  and such that  $\varphi^k : X_j \rightarrow X_j$  is topologically mixing. Hence by [FJ01, Proposition 2] for every  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $p_j(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^{kp_j(\varepsilon)}(B(x, \varepsilon) \cap X_j) = X_j$  for every  $x \in X_j$ . Thus there is  $p(\varepsilon) \geq \max_{j=1, \dots, k} p_j(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\varphi^{p(\varepsilon)}(B(x, \varepsilon) \cap X_j) = X_j$  for every  $x \in X_j$  and  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . By [FJ01, Proposition 1.5] for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\varphi^n(B_n(x, \varepsilon)) = B(\varphi^n(x), \varepsilon)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$  (here  $B_n(x, \varepsilon)$  is a ball in Bowen's  $n$ -metric). Accordingly, for all  $x \in X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\varphi^{n+p(\varepsilon)}(B_n(x, \varepsilon)) = \varphi^{p(\varepsilon)}(B(\varphi^n(x), \varepsilon)) \supseteq X_i \text{ if } \varphi^n(x) \in X_i.$$

This implies that for any elements  $y_i \in X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the set  $\varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})$  is  $(d_n, \varepsilon)$ -spanning. Now recall, cf. [Wal82, 9.3], that apart from (3) the topological pressure is also given by

$$P(\varphi, \ln c) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ (d_n, \varepsilon)\text{-spanning}}} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{y \in E} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)).$$

For any finite set  $E \subseteq X$  and any function  $b : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  we have

$$\sum_{x \in E} b(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(\varphi^n(E))} b(x) = \sum_{y \in \varphi^n(E)} \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} b(x) \leq |\varphi^n(E)| \sup_{y \in X} \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} b(x),$$

which by taking  $b(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x))$  gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in E} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) \leq \max_{y \in X} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) + \ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Thus, in view of (15) and the above formula for  $P(\varphi, \ln c)$ , it suffices to show that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ (d_n, \varepsilon)\text{-spanning}}} \ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

But taking  $E := \varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})$  to be the  $(d_n, \varepsilon)$ -spanning set constructed above we get

$$\ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \ln |\varphi^n(\varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}))|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \ln |\varphi^{-p(\varepsilon)}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which tends to zero, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

2) Now suppose that the set of periodic points is dense in  $X$  (equivalently  $\Omega(\varphi) = X$ ). By the spectral decomposition (Theorem 2.5) we have  $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$  where  $X_j = \varphi^{-1}(X_j)$  are compact and the maps  $\varphi|_{X_j} : X_j \rightarrow X_j$  are topologically transitive (and expanding open). Clearly,  $\mathcal{L}_c = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{c|_{X_j}}$  and  $\text{Erg}(X, \varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N \text{Erg}(X_j, \varphi|_{X_j})$ . Hence by step 1) we get

$$r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} r(\mathcal{L}_{c|_{X_j}}) = \max_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_j, \varphi) \\ j=1, \dots, N}} e^{\int_{X_j} \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)},$$

which gives the assertion in this case.

3) Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be an arbitrary expanding open map. The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\Omega(\varphi) = \overline{\text{Per}(\varphi)}$  is also expanding and it remains open by [PU10, Lemma 3.3.10]. Hence we may consider the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_{c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}} : C(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow C(\Omega(\varphi))$  associated to

$\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$ . Since  $\|\mathcal{L}_{c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}^n(1)\| \leq \|\mathcal{L}_c^n(1)\|$ , we have  $r(\mathcal{L}_{c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}) \leq r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ . Thus using step 2) and that every  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  is supported on  $\Omega(\varphi)$  we get

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)} e^{\int_{\Omega(\varphi)} \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = r(\mathcal{L}_{c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}) \leq r(\mathcal{L}_c),$$

which is the inequality we wanted to prove.

Now let us consider the case when  $c$  is arbitrary (may have zeros). Choose strictly positive continuous functions  $c_n > 0$  such that  $c_n \searrow c$ . Then  $\{r(\mathcal{L}_{c_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a decreasing sequence with values not greater than  $r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ . Hence by the upper semi-continuity of spectral radius we get  $r(\mathcal{L}_{c_n}) \searrow r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ . Also putting  $P := \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} (\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu))$  we have  $\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} (\int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)) \searrow P$ . Indeed, the sets  $A_n := \{\mu : \int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \geq P\}$  are non-empty and descending. They are compact, as the maps  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto \int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$  are upper semi-continuous. Hence  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \neq \emptyset$  and for any  $\mu_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n$  we have  $P = \int_X \ln c d\mu_0 + h(\mu_0)$ . Thus using step 3) we get

$$r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)}.$$

□

**Corollary 4.7.** *Suppose that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is an expanding open map on a metrizable compact space  $X$  and let  $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a continuous map such that  $c|_{\Omega(\varphi)} > 0$  and  $\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$  is Hölder continuous. Let  $\Omega(\varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$  be the spectral decomposition for  $(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)$ . Then for each pair of restrictions  $\varphi|_{X_j}$  and  $\ln c|_{X_j}$  there is the unique Gibbs measure  $\mu_j$  and we have*

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{X_j} \ln c d\mu_j + h(\mu_j) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y_j)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x))$$

where  $y_j \in X_j$  are arbitrary points for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ . In particular, if  $\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$  is topologically transitive, then there is a unique measure  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ , the Gibbs measure for  $\varphi|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$  and  $\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$ , for which we have

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) \text{ for all } y \in \Omega(\varphi).$$

*Proof.* By (the proof of) Theorem 4.6 we have  $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} P(\varphi|_{X_j}, \ln c|_{X_j})$ . Combining this with Theorem 2.3 we get the assertion. □

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , be abstract weighted shifts associated with a transfer operator  $L$  (i.e. assume (A1) and (A2)) where  $A \cong C(X)$  is separable. Suppose also that the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  dual to the endomorphism in (A2) is expanding and open. Then*

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}, \quad a \in A,$$

where  $\varrho$  is the cocycle associated to  $L$ , cf. (7). If in addition  $|a|^2 \varrho|_{\Omega(\varphi)} > 0$  and its logarithm is Hölder continuous then for each system  $(X_j, \varphi|_{X_j})$  where  $\Omega(\varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$  is the spectral decomposition for  $(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)$ , there is the Gibbs measure  $\mu_j$  and then

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{X_j} \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu_j + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu_j)}{2}.$$

In particular, if  $\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$  is also topologically transitive, then the Gibbs measure  $\mu$  for  $\varphi|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$  with potential  $\ln(|a|^2 \varrho)|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$  is the unique measure in  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  for which  $\ln r(aT) = \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1,  $r(aT) = r(L|a|^2)^{1/2} = r(\mathcal{L}_{|a|^2 \varrho})^{1/2}$ . Hence by Theorem 4.6,  $\ln r(aT) = 1/2 \ln r(\mathcal{L}_{|a|^2 \varrho}) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}$ . To get the second part of the assertion apply Corollary 4.7.  $\square$

The variational formula in Corollary 4.8 could be also deduced from Theorem 4.3, as we have the following relationship between the  $t$ -entropy and the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy.

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a transfer operator for a unital injective endomorphism whose dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding and open. Then for each  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  the  $t$ -entropy  $\tau_L(\mu)$  of  $L$  is given by*

$$\tau_L(\mu) = \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu),$$

where  $\varrho$  is the cocycle associated to  $L$  and  $h_\varphi(\mu)$  is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of  $\mu$ . In particular, for every  $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  we have

$$h_\varphi(\mu) = \inf_{b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})} \ln r(Le^b) - \int_X \ln(e^b \varrho) d\mu,$$

where  $Le^b : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is the weighted transfer operator  $Le^b(a) := L(e^b a)$ ,  $a \in C(X)$ .

*Proof.* By [ABL11, Proposition 2.2] the functional  $\lambda : C(X, \mathbb{R}) \ni b \mapsto \ln r(Le^b) = \ln r(\mathcal{L}_{b \ln \varrho}) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is convex and continuous. Hence its Legendre transform  $\lambda^* : C(X, \mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , given by  $\lambda(\mu^*) = \sup_{b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})} \mu(b) - \lambda(b)$ , is a unique convex and lower semicontinuous functional on  $C(X)^*$  such that

$$\lambda(b) = \sup_{\mu \in C(X)^*} \mu(b) - \lambda^*(b), \quad b \in C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

cf. [ABL11, Proposition 3.1]. The authors of [ABL11] call  $S := -\lambda^*$  the dual entropy map for  $L$ . By [ABL11, Proposition 4.2] the effective domain of  $\lambda^*$  is contained in  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ . Hence  $\lambda^*$  is determined by its values on  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ . The map  $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$  is upper semicontinuous and affine, cf. [Wal82, Theorem 8.1]. By Theorem 4.6 we have  $\lambda(b) = \max_{\mu \in C(X)^*} \mu(b) + \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$ . Hence  $S(\mu) = -\lambda^*(\mu) = \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$ . On the other hand, we have  $\tau_L = -\lambda^*|_{\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)}$  by [ABL11, Theorem 5.6]. This gives the assertion.  $\square$

## 5. $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY ABSTRACT WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

In this section we discuss conditions under which the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A, T) = C^*(\{aT : a \in A\})$  generated by the abstract weighted shift operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ , is modeled by a crossed product  $A \rtimes L$ , which is a special example of a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra. This allows us to employ some recent results from the general theory of  $C^*$ -algebras associated to  $C^*$ -correspondences. In this way we get natural dynamical criteria for rotational invariance of the spectrum  $\sigma(aT)$ ,  $a \in A$ , and for the coincidence of  $\sigma(aT)$  with the essential spectrum  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$  (Corollary 5.9). We will also explain when  $A \subseteq C^*(A, T)$  is a Cartan  $C^*$ -subalgebra (Theorem 5.10), which will be crucial in our study of Riesz projectors and description of spectra.

The basic algebraic structure inferred from axioms (A1), (A2) is the following:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is an isometry satisfying (A1) and (A2). So that we have an endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  and the associated transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $L(a) = T^*aT$ ,  $a \in A$ . For  $n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a, b, c, d \in A$  we have*

$$(17) \quad (aT^nT^{*m}b) \cdot (cT^kT^{*l}d) = \begin{cases} aT^nT^{*m-k+l}\alpha^l(L^k(bc))d & m \geq k, \\ a\alpha^n(L^m(bc))T^{k-m+n}T^{*l}d & m < k. \end{cases}$$

*In particular,  $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C^*(A, T)$ . Moreover,  $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C^*(A, T)$  and hence its closure*

$$B := \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

*is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C^*(A, T) = \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Relation (17) readily follows from the transfer identity (5) and the commutation relation (A2). By the definition  $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are linear spaces which are invariant under involution. By (17) these spaces are also closed under multiplication. This implies the assertion.  $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** We call the  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  defined above the *core subalgebra* of  $C^*(A, T)$ .

**5.1. The crossed product and Cuntz-Pimsner picture of  $C^*(A, T)$ .** In this subsection we fix a (unital) transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  on a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . We recall the construction of the crossed product  $A \rtimes L$  of  $A$  by  $L$  from [Kwa17]. We will assume that the transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is *faithful*. By Proposition 3.1, in the present paper, we are interested only in this case. Then there is a unique endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  for which  $L$  is a transfer operator. Also then  $A \rtimes L$  coincides with the crossed product originally introduced by Exel in [Exe03<sub>1</sub>], see [Kwa17, Proposition 4.9].

The *Toeplitz algebra* of  $(A, L)$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T}(A, L) := C^*(A, t)$  generated by the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an element  $t$  subject to relations

$$L(a) = t^*at, \quad a \in A.$$

Then  $t^*t = L(1) = 1$ , so  $t$  is an isometry, and we have  $ta = \alpha(a)t$ , for  $a \in A$ , by [Kwa17, Proposition 4.3]. We define a *redundancy* to be a pair  $(a, k)$  where  $a \in A$  and  $k \in \overline{Att^*A}$  are such that

$$abt = kbt, \quad \text{for all } b \in A.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the ideal in  $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$  generated by the set  $\{a - k : (a, k) \text{ is a redundancy}\}$ .

**Definition 5.3.** The *crossed product* of  $A$  by the faithful transfer operator  $L$  is the quotient  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \rtimes L := \mathcal{T}(A, L)/\mathcal{R}$ . Since  $A \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  we identify  $A$  with its image in  $A \rtimes L$ . The image of  $t$  in  $A \rtimes L$  is denoted by  $s$ . Hence  $A \rtimes L = C^*(A, s)$  is generated by  $A$  and the isometry  $s$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Suppose that  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts associated with a transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$ . Then there is a  $*$ -epimorphism*

$$\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow C^*(A, T) \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi|_A = \text{id} \text{ and } \Psi(s) = T.$$

*Proof.* Universality of  $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$  gives a  $*$ -epimorphism  $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{T}(A, L) \rightarrow C^*(A, T)$  where  $\tilde{\Psi}|_A = \text{id}$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}(t) = T$ . Axiom (A3) implies that  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Psi}$ . Indeed, if  $(a, k)$  is a redundancy, then for all  $b \in A$  and  $h \in H$  we have  $abTh = \tilde{\Psi}(abt)h = \tilde{\Psi}(kbt)h = \tilde{\Psi}(k)bTh$ , which by (A3) means that  $a = \tilde{\Psi}(k)$ , that is  $\tilde{\Psi}(a - k) = 0$ . Thus  $\tilde{\Psi}$  factors through to the desired epimorphism  $\Psi$ .  $\square$

To study faithfulness of  $\Psi$  we use the isomorphism  $A \rtimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_L}$  of the crossed product with the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of a  $C^*$ -correspondence  $M_L$  defined as follows, see [BR06], [Kwa17]. We make  $A$  into an inner product (right)  $A$ -module by putting  $m \cdot a := m\alpha(a)$  and  $\langle m, n \rangle_L := L(m^*n)$ , for all  $n, m, a \in A$ . The inner product is non-degenerate because we assumed that  $L$  is faithful. Completing  $A$  in the norm  $\|n\|_L = \sqrt{\|\langle n, n \rangle_L\|}$  we get a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $M_L$ . For each  $a \in A$  we have an adjointable operator  $\phi(a) \in \mathcal{L}(M_L)$  where  $\phi(a)m := am$ ,  $m \in A \subseteq M_L$ . Thus  $M_L$  is a  $C^*$ -correspondence over  $A$  with an injective and nondegenerate left action  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_L)$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is a faithful transfer operator. The crossed product  $A \rtimes L$  is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{M_L}$ . If  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts that generate the transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$ , then the  $*$ -epimorphism  $\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow C^*(A, T)$  in Lemma 5.4 restricts to an isomorphism of core subalgebras*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{as^n s^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cong \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^n T^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism:  $A \rtimes L \cong C^*(A, T)$ ;
- (ii)  $C^*(A, T)$  is equipped with a circle gauge-action, i.e. there is a group homomorphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$  such that  $\gamma_z|_A = \text{id}$  and  $\gamma_z(T) = zT$  for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ ;
- (iii) There is a conditional expectation  $E : C^*(A, T) \rightarrow B \subseteq C^*(A, T)$  that annihilates all the operators of the form  $T^m T^{*n}$  with  $n \neq m$ . Equivalently, for all finite

$$F \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}, a_k, b_k \in A \text{ for } k \in F \text{ we have } \left\| \sum_{k \in F, n_k = m_k} a_k T^{n_k} T^{*m_k} b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{k \in F} a_k T^{n_k} T^{*m_k} b_k \right\|.$$

Moreover, there is always a faithful representation  $\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that putting  $T := \Psi(s)$  and identifying  $A$  with  $\Psi(A)$  the axioms (A1), (A2), (A3) hold; that is the operators  $aT$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts associated with  $L : A \rightarrow A$ .

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $A \rtimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_L}$  is a known fact, see [Kwa17, Theorem 4.7] or [BR06, Proposition 3.10]. Under this isomorphism we may identify  $M_L$  with the closure of  $As$  in  $A \rtimes L$ . The core subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_{M_L}$  which is generated by elements in  $M_L^n M_L^{*n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , coincides with the core subalgebra  $\overline{\text{span}}\{as^n s^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $A \rtimes L = C^*(A, s)$ . Every representation of  $\mathcal{O}_{M_L} \cong A \rtimes L$  which is faithful on  $A$  is faithful on the core subalgebra, see, for instance, [Kat04, Proposition 6.3]. This gives the isomorphism of  $\Psi$  on the core subalgebra. Faithfulness of a representation on the whole algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{M_L} \cong A \rtimes L$  is known to be equivalent to existence of the canonical circle action or the canonical condition expectation onto the core subalgebra, cf. [Kat04, Theorem 6.4] or [CKO19, Lemma 6.2]. This translates to equivalence of conditions (i)-(iii).

The left action on the  $C^*$ -correspondence  $M_L$  is given by a unital monomorphism. Hence this action is injective and nondegenerate. Thus the main result of [H05] gives a faithful representation  $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{M_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that  $\overline{\Psi(M_L)}H = H$ . Identifying  $\mathcal{O}_{M_L}$  with  $A \rtimes L$ ,  $A$  with  $\Psi(A)$ , and putting  $T := \Psi(s)$  the relation  $\overline{\Psi(M_L)}H = H$  translates to (A3). Axioms (A1) and (A2) hold because they hold in  $A \rtimes L$  (and in fact in  $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$ ).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *If the equivalent conditions in Theorem 5.5 hold, then for every  $a \in A$ ,  $\sigma(aT)$  is invariant under rotations around zero.*

*Proof.* Using the action  $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$  we get for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  that  $\gamma_{\bar{z}}(aT - \lambda 1) = a\bar{z}T - \lambda 1 = \bar{z}(aT - z\lambda 1)$ . Hence  $\lambda \in \sigma(aT)$  if and only if  $z\lambda \in \sigma(aT)$ .  $\square$

In the second part of Theorem 5.5 we applied the main result of [H05] on representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. Applying the main result of [CKO19] we get that the equivalent conditions in Theorem 5.5 hold automatically when  $\varphi$  is topologically free:

**Definition 5.7.** We say that a continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is *topologically free* if the set of periodic points  $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x \text{ for some } n > 0\}$  has empty interior in  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Suppose that  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts. Assume that the associated dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. Then the  $*$ -epimorphism in Lemma 5.4 is always an isomorphism  $A \rtimes L \cong C^*(A, T)$ . In fact, every representation of  $A \rtimes L$  which is injective on  $A$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* As explained in [CKO19, Example 9.14] topological freeness of  $\varphi$  implies topological freeness of the  $C^*$ -correspondence  $M_L$  (in the sense of [CKO19, Definition 7.1]). Hence the result follows from [CKO19, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.** *Retain the assumptions of Theorem 5.8. Then  $\sigma(aT)$ ,  $a \in A$ , is invariant under rotations around zero. If in addition  $A$  contains no non-zero compact operators, which is automatic when  $X$  has no isolated points, then  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT) = \sigma(aT)$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is a consequence of Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 5.6. For the second part it suffices to show that whenever  $A \cap \mathcal{K}(H) = \{0\}$  then  $C^*(A, T)$  embeds into the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$  via the quotient map  $q : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ . This follows from Theorem 5.8. If  $0 \neq a \in A \cap \mathcal{K}(H)$ , then  $a(X) = \sigma(a)$  has a non-zero isolated point  $z_0$  which is an eigenvalue for  $a$  with finite multiplicity. This implies that  $a^{-1}(z_0) \subseteq X$  consists of isolated points.  $\square$

**5.2. The crossed product and its groupoid picture in the finite type case.** We briefly discuss the case when  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is a *transfer operator of finite type*. Then the crossed product  $C(X) \rtimes L$  has a groupoid model which has been extensively studied, and the result of previous subsection can be recovered from this groupoid picture, cf. [EV06]. Topological freeness has an important characterisation that will be crucial in our study of Riesz projectors.

Recall that, by Proposition 2.13, see also Corollary 2.14, the associated map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective local homeomorphism, and  $L$  is given by a continuous cocycle  $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with strictly positive values. Let  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq C(X)$  be the partition of unity subordinated to the open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $X$ , where  $\varphi|_{U_i}$  is injective. Put  $u_i(x) = \sqrt{\frac{v_i(x)}{\varrho(x)}}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the *crossed product*  $A \rtimes L$  can be defined as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a copy of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = C(X)$  and an isometry  $s$  subject to relations

$$s^*as = L(a), \text{ for all } a \in A, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_i s s^* u_i = 1.$$

This is how Exel's crossed product is described in [EV06], modulo [EV06, Corollary 7.2]. If  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts associated with  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ , then the above universal description of  $A \rtimes L$  and Lemma 3.4 give a canonical epimorphism  $A \rtimes L \mapsto C^*(A, T)$ . In particular, Theorems 5.5 and 5.8 can be deduced from [EV06, Theorems 4.2 and 10.3] in this case.

There is a standard groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  associated to the local homeomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ . It is often called the *Renault-Deaconu groupoid*, as for topological Bernoulli shifts it was studied by Renault [Ren80, page 140], and in general it was popularized by Deaconu [Dea95]. Such groupoids were also considered by Arzumanian and Vershik [AV84] and



a number of other authors. We put  $\mathcal{G} := \{(x, n, y) \in X \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rtimes X : \exists_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} n = k - l \text{ and } \varphi^k(x) = \varphi^l(y)\}$  and equip it with algebraic operations given by  $(x, n, y)(y, m, z) := (x, n + m, z)$ ,  $(x, n, y)^{-1} := (y, -n, x)$ . We identify  $X$  with the space of units of  $\mathcal{G}$ , via the map  $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$ , and then the range and source maps are  $r(x, n, y) = x$ ,  $s(x, n, y) = y$ . The topology on  $\mathcal{G}$  has a basis consisting of sets of the form  $Z(U, k, l, V) := \{(x, k - l, y) : x \in U, y \in V \text{ and } \varphi^k(x) = \varphi^l(y)\}$  where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $U, V \subseteq X$  are open sets such that  $\varphi^k|_U$  and  $\varphi^l|_V$  are homeomorphisms with open ranges. This makes  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally compact étale Hausdorff groupoid. Recall that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathcal{G})$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as the maximal  $C^*$ -completion of the  $*$ -algebra  $C_c(\mathcal{G})$  of continuous and compactly supported functions on  $\mathcal{G}$  with the standard convolution multiplication and  $*$ -operation:  $(fg)(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma} f(\gamma_1)g(\gamma_2)$  and  $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$  for  $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $f, g \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ . One can show that we have

$$C^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C(X) \rtimes L$$

where the isomorphism is identity on  $C(X) \subseteq C_c(\mathcal{G})$  and maps the function  $v \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$  given by  $v(x, 1, \varphi(x)) = \varrho(\varphi(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $v(x, n, y) = 0$  for  $n \neq 1$ , to the isometry  $s \in C(X) \rtimes L$ , cf. [Ana97, 1.3.4] and [EV06, Theorem 9.1]. Also it is well known that  $C^*(\mathcal{G})$  coincides with the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra associated to  $\mathcal{G}$ , and thus we have a faithful conditional expectation from  $C(X) \rtimes L$  onto  $C(X)$ , see [Ren00]. Using the above isomorphism we characterise when  $C(X)$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes L$ , and hence a Cartan subalgebra in the sense of [Ren80]. This slightly extends a part of the main result of [CS09] proved by different methods.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  be a transfer operator of finite type. Then  $C(X)$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes L$  if and only if the associated dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free.*

*Proof.* Our notion of topological freeness of  $\varphi$  is equivalent to the one used in [EV06], [CS09] (and to essential principality of  $\varphi$  in [Dea95]). The latter is known, and easily seen, to be equivalent to effectiveness (as well as to topological freeness and topological principality) of the associated groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$ , see [Dea95] or [KM<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 2.21 and Corollary 2.24]. The inclusion  $C(X) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G})$  is a Cartan subalgebra if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective by [KM<sub>2</sub>, Corollary 7.6] (which generalizes [Ren08, Theorem 4.2] to non-separable case).  $\square$

## 6. WHEN RIESZ PROJECTORS CORRESPOND TO INVARIANT SETS

Let  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a unital commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Assume the identification  $A = C(X)$ . For every open set  $U \subseteq X$  we denote by  $\mathbb{1}_U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the orthogonal projection that supports the ideal  $C_0(U) \subseteq C(X)$ . That is,  $\mathbb{1}_U$  is the strong limit of an approximate unit in  $C_0(U)$ :  $\mathbb{1}_U = s\text{-}\lim \mu_\lambda$  where  $\{\mu_\lambda\}_\lambda \in C_0(U)$  are functions such that  $0 \leq \mu_\lambda \leq 1$ . One of the aims of this section is to prove the following:

**Proposition 6.1.** *Suppose that  $aT$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts, and that the dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free, or more generally that  $C^*(A, T)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A \rtimes L$  for the associated transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$ . Assume that  $a \in A = C(X)$  is invertible or more generally  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ . Then every Riesz projector corresponding to a clopen part of  $\sigma(aT)$  belongs to  $A = C(X)$  and  $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$  where  $X_0 \subseteq X$  is a clopen set with  $\varphi^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$ .*

When  $T$  is unitary the above assertion holds for every (not necessarily invertible)  $a \in A$ , see [AL94, Theorem 7.2]. But when  $T$  is not invertible this assertion fails without the assumption  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ :

**Example 6.2.** Let  $V = \{v_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sqcup \{w_{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a set indexed by  $\mathbb{Z} \sqcup \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  be given by  $\Phi(v_n) = v_{n+1}$  and  $\Phi(w_n) = \begin{cases} w_{n+1} & n < -1 \\ v_0 & n = -1 \end{cases}$ . The weighted composition operators  $(aT_\Phi h)(v) := a(v)h(\Phi(v))$ ,  $a \in \ell^\infty(V)$ , acting on  $H = \ell^2(V)$  are bounded (these are weighted shifts on a directed tree [JJS12]). They are also well presented (abstract) weighted shifts  $aT$ ,  $a \in A := \ell^\infty(V)$ , where  $(Th)(v) := \sqrt{|\Phi^{-1}(v)|}h(\Phi(v))$ , cf. Corollary 3.14. The associated dual map is topologically free, cf. Lemma 7.9 below. Taking  $a \in A$  such that  $a(v_n) := 2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a(w_{-n}) = 1/2$  for  $n > 1$  and  $a(w_{-1}) = 0$ , we find that

$$aT_\Phi = 2U_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}T_{\mathbb{N}}$$

where  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $T_{\mathbb{N}}$  are the classical two-sided shift and one-sided shift, respectively. Hence  $\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } |z| = 2\}$  does not intersect  $S^1$ . The corresponding Riesz projector  $P$  is the operator of multiplication by  $\mathbb{1}_{\{w_{-n} : n > 1\}}$ . Hence  $P \in A$ . But the set  $\{w_{-n} : n > 1\}$  is not  $\Phi$ -invariant and therefore the corresponding set  $U \subseteq X$  is not invariant under the map  $\varphi$  induced on the spectrum of  $A$ .

Retain the assumptions of Proposition 6.1. In view of Corollary 5.6,  $\sigma(aT)$  is invariant under rotations around zero. Therefore every Riesz projector is of the form  $P_1$  or  $P_2 - P_1$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are Riesz projectors corresponding to parts of  $\sigma(aT)$  lying in disks centered at zero. Thus the analysis in this section boils down to considering the case when  $aT$  is *hyperbolic*, i.e.  $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$ , and  $P$  is the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of  $\sigma(aT)$  lying inside the disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

For any hyperbolic operator  $b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  the formula

$$P := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - b)^{-1} d\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

defines a Riesz projector, cf. [RS90]. The spaces  $H_1 = PH$  and  $H_2 = \ker P$  are complementary, but might not be orthogonal. They are preserved by  $b$  and  $\sigma(b|_{H_1}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\sigma(b|_{H_2}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1\}$ . Hence there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(18) \quad \|b^n h_1\| < (1 - \varepsilon)^n \|h_1\| \quad \text{for all } h_1 \in H_1, n > n_0,$$

$$(19) \quad \|b^n h_2\| > (1 + \varepsilon)^n \|h_2\| \quad \text{for all } h_2 \in H_2, n > n_0.$$

Every  $h \in H$  has a unique decomposition  $h = h_1 + h_2$  where  $h_i \in H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\|b^n h\| = \|b^n(h_1 + h_2)\| \geq \|b^n h_2\| - \|b^n h_1\|$ , we see that (18), (19) imply that either  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n h\| = \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n h\| = 0$  depending on whether  $h_2 \neq 0$  or  $h_2 = 0$ . Accordingly,

$$(20) \quad PH = \{h \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^n h = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 6.3.** Assume conditions (A1), (A2) and that  $a \in A \cong C(X)$  is such that  $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$ . Let  $P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - aT)^{-1} d\lambda$  and put  $H_1 = PH$  and  $H_2 = \ker P = (1 - P)H$ . Then

- (i)  $P \in A'$ , i.e.  $P$  commutes with elements of  $A = C(X)$ ;
- (ii)  $|a|T$  commutes with  $P$ ;

- (iii)  $a|_{H_2} : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  is invertible;
- (iv)  $TH_1 \subseteq H_1$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} TH_2 \subseteq H_2$ . In particular,  $P$  commutes with  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} T$ . Hence if  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ , then  $T$  commutes with  $P$ .

*Proof.* (i). By (20),  $H_1 = \{h \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (aT)^n h = 0\}$ . For any  $b \in A$  and  $h \in H_1$

$$\|(aT)^n b h\| = \|\alpha^n(b)(aT)^n h\| \leq \|b\| \|(aT)^n h\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus  $bH_1 \subseteq H_1$  for all  $b \in A$ . Assume that  $b \in A$  is invertible. For any  $h \in H_2$  we have  $bh = h_1 + h_2$  where  $h_i \in H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $h = b^{-1}h_1 + h_2$ . Since  $b^{-1}h_1 \in H_1$  and  $h - h_2 \in H_2$ , we must have  $h_1 = 0$ . Hence  $bH_2 \subseteq H_2$  for all invertible  $b \in A$ . This implies that  $bH_2 \subseteq H_2$  for all  $b \in A$ , as every element in  $A$  is a sum of four invertible elements. This proves that  $P \in A'$ .

(ii). Using the Tietze theorem we may find functions  $a_k \in C(X)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that:  $|a_k(x)| \leq 1$  and  $a_k(x) = \frac{|a(x)|}{a(x)}$  when  $|a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a = |a|$ . Since  $P$  commutes with  $aT$  and  $a_k \in C(X)$  we get

$$(|a|T)P = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a T P = P \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a T = P(|a|T).$$

(iii). Using that  $aT : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  is surjective and  $a$  commutes with  $(1 - P)$  we get

$$H_2 = aTH_2 = aT(1 - P)H = (1 - P)aTH = a(1 - P)TH \subseteq aH_2.$$

Hence  $a : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  is surjective. To see it is injective, it suffices to show that  $|a| : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  is injective, because  $a^*a = |a|^2$ . Suppose that  $h \in H_2$  is such that  $|a|h = 0$ . By the Urysohn lemma we may find functions  $\varphi_k \in C(X)$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , such that

$$0 \leq \varphi_k \leq 1, \quad \varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } |a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\ 1, & \text{when } |a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let us put  $|a|_k(x) := |a(x)|$ , when  $|a(x)| > \frac{1}{k}$ , and  $|a|_k(x) := \frac{1}{k}$ , when  $|a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $|a|_k \in C(X)$  are invertible elements in  $C(X)$  such that  $|a|_k \varphi_k = |a| \varphi_k$ . Thus  $|a|_k(\varphi_k h) = \varphi_k(|a|h) = 0$ . This implies that  $\varphi_k h = 0$ , for all  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Since the restriction of  $aT$  to  $H_2$  is invertible there is  $h_2 \in H_2$  such that  $aTh_2 = h$ . From the construction of  $\varphi_k$  we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k a = a$ . Accordingly, we get

$$h = aTh_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k a Th_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k h = 0.$$

(iv). Let  $h \in H_1$  and let  $Th = h_1 + h_2$ , where  $h_i \in H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $(aT)h = ah_1 + ah_2 \subseteq H_1$  and hence  $ah_2 = 0$ . By (iii),  $a$  is invertible on  $H_2$  and thus  $h_2 = 0$ . This proves that  $TH_1 \subseteq H_1$ .

To prove that  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} TH_2 \subseteq H_2$ , we need to show that  $uTH_2 \subseteq H_2$  for any  $u \in C_0(X \setminus a^{-1}(0)) \subseteq C(X)$ . However, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the open set  $\{x \in X : |u(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  contains the compact set  $a^{-1}(0) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X : |a(x)| < \frac{1}{n}\}$  and hence it contains all the sets  $\{x \in X : |a(x)| < \frac{1}{k}\}$  for sufficiently large  $k$ . Thus if  $\varphi_k$  are as in the proof of item (iii), then  $\|\varphi_k u - u\| < \varepsilon$  for sufficiently large  $k$ . That is,  $\varphi_k u$  converges to  $u$ . Therefore the proof reduces to showing that  $\varphi_k TH_2 \subseteq H_2$  for sufficiently large  $k$ . However, as in item (ii) we may find functions  $a_k \in C(X)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $a_k(x) = 1/a(x)$  when  $|a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $a_k a \varphi_k = \varphi_k$  and thus  $\varphi_k TH_2 = a_k a \varphi_k TH_2 = a_k \varphi_k a TH_2 \subseteq H_2$ .  $\square$

Combining Lemma 6.3(i) and Theorem 5.10 we prove a version of Proposition 6.1 in the finite type case that works for arbitrary  $a \in A$  but gives only forward invariant sets:

**Proposition 6.4.** *Suppose that  $aT$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts. Assume the associated transfer operator is of finite type and the dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. Every Riesz projector  $P$  associated to  $\sigma(aT)$ ,  $a \in A$ , belongs to  $A$  and hence corresponds to a clopen subset of  $X$ . Moreover, if the corresponding part of  $\sigma(aT)$  does not contain zero, then  $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$  where  $X_0 \subseteq X$  is such that  $\varphi(X_0) = X_0$ ,  $\varphi : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  is a homeomorphism and  $a$  does not vanish on  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$ . By Lemma 6.3(i) and Theorem 5.10 every Riesz projector  $P$  that corresponds to a part of  $\sigma(aT)$  lying in a disk centered at origin belongs to  $A$ . Therefore  $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_1}$  and  $(1 - P) = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}$  where  $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ . Putting  $H_2 = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}H$ , we get that  $aT\mathbb{1}_{X_2} = a\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$  is invertible as an element of  $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$ . By Lemma 6.3(iii),  $a\mathbb{1}_{X_2}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$  as well. Hence  $\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$  and therefore  $T^*\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T = L(\mathbb{1}_{X_2})$  is invertible as an element of  $C(X_2) \subseteq A = C(X_1) \oplus C(X_2)$ . This means that  $L(\mathbb{1}_{X_2})(y) \neq 0$  if and only if  $y \in X_2$ , which implies that  $\varphi(X_2) = X_2$ . We claim that the local homeomorphism  $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is in fact a homeomorphism. Indeed, the function  $h(y) := \varrho(y)|\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap X_2|$ ,  $y \in X_2$ , is continuous and strictly positive, so it is an invertible element in  $C(X_2)$ . A direct computation shows that  $L(h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}) = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}$ . The operator  $\tilde{T} := h^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$  is an invertible isometry in  $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$ , because  $\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T} = T^*h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T = L(h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}) = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha} : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_2)$  be the endomorphism given by composition with  $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ . It may be identified with  $\mathbb{1}_{X_2}\alpha : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_2)$ . Hence for every  $b \in C(X_2)$ , using (A2) for  $(A, T)$ , we get (A2) for  $(C(X_2), \tilde{T})$ . Accordingly,  $b\tilde{T}$ ,  $b \in C(X_2)$ , are abstract weighted shifts with the unitary  $\tilde{T}$ . So  $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is a homeomorphism by Proposition 3.3. In particular,  $\tilde{T} := \varrho^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$  and  $\sigma(aT|_{H_2}) = \sigma(a\sqrt{\varrho}\tilde{T})$ . Therefore further decomposition of  $\sigma(aT|_{H_2})$  using Riesz projectors gives a decomposition of  $X_2$  into clopen  $\varphi$ -invariant subsets by Theorem 1.2. This implies the assertion (as by Corollary 5.6,  $\sigma(aT)$  has the circular symmetry).  $\square$

Finally, Proposition 6.1 follows from Lemma 6.3(iv) and the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.5.** *Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold and that  $C^*(A, T)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A \rtimes L$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Every Riesz projector  $P$  associated to  $aT$  belongs to the core subalgebra  $B = \overline{\text{span}}\{bT^nT^{*n}c : b, c \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If in addition  $T$  commutes with  $P$ , then  $P \in A$  and  $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$  where  $\varphi^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case where  $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$  and  $P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - aT)^{-1} d\lambda$ . We exploit the action  $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$  given by Theorem 5.5. For any  $z \in S^1$ , changing variables in the integral, we get

$$\gamma_z(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - zaT)^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} z^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{z} - aT \right)^{-1} d\lambda = P.$$

Hence  $P$  belongs to the fixed point algebra of  $\gamma$ , which is  $B$ . Accordingly, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\|P - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k T^{*k} b_k\| < \varepsilon$  for some  $a_k, b_k \in A$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . If we assume that  $T$  commutes with  $P$ , then  $T^*PT = T^*TP = P$  and therefore

$$\|P - L(a_0 b_0) - \sum_{k=1}^n L(a_k) T^{k-1} T^{*k-1} L(b_k)\| = \|T^* \left( P - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k T^k T^{*k} b_k \right) T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\|P - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a'_k T^k T^{*k} b'_k\| < \varepsilon$  for some  $a'_k, b'_k \in A$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Proceeding in this way, we find  $b \in A$  such that  $\|P - b\| < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $P \in A$ . Therefore  $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_1} \in C(X)$  where  $X_1 \subseteq X$  is clopen. Put  $X_2 = X \setminus X_1$ . As  $T$  commutes with  $P$  we have  $L(P) = P$ , and this implies that  $\varphi(X_1) \subseteq X_1$ . Since  $L(1 - P) = 1 - P$  we also get  $\varphi(X_2) \subseteq X_2$ . Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(X_1) = X_1$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(X_2) = X_2$ .  $\square$

## 7. THE SPECTRUM

Combining previous results we prove two theorems that describe the spectrum of abstract weighted shift operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in A$ . The first one holds when  $a$  is (close to being) invertible and describes  $\sigma(aT)$  in terms of a decomposition of  $(X, \varphi)$  into closed  $\varphi$ -invariant sets. The second one holds for arbitrary  $a$  but we need to assume that transfer operator is of finite type, and then the relationship between decomposition of  $\sigma(aT)$  and  $(X, \varphi)$  is slightly more subtle. We end this section with applications to weighted composition operators on  $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 7.1** (Invertible weight case). *Suppose that  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts, i.e.  $T$  is an isometry and axioms (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Assume that the corresponding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. Assume also that  $a \in A$  is invertible or more generally that  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ . Then*

$$\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$$

where  $r_0 < r_- \leq r_+$  for  $r \in R$ , and there is a decomposition  $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$  of  $X$  into disjoint  $\varphi$ -invariant closed sets and then

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu,$$

for  $r \in R$ , and  $r_0 = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right)$ , where  $\tau_L$  is the  $t$ -entropy for the associated transfer operator  $L$ . Moreover,  $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq X_0$  and for every  $r \in R$ ,  $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$  is a homeomorphism. In particular, if  $X$  does not contain a non-empty clopen  $\varphi$ -invariant set on which  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism and  $a$  is non-zero, then

$$\sigma(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* That  $\sigma(aT)$  is invariant under rotations over zero follows from Corollary 5.9. Hence  $\sigma(aT)$  consists of a disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$  and possibly a number of annuli  $\bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$ . We may assume that the disk is non-empty, because otherwise we find ourselves in the situation of Theorem 1.2, see Proposition 3.3. For simplicity suppose first that  $\sigma(aT)$  has exactly two components, so that  $\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$  where  $0 \leq r < r_- \leq r_+$ . By Proposition 6.1, we have a decomposition  $X = X_0 \sqcup X_r$  into clopen  $\varphi$ -invariant sets where  $\mathbb{1}_{X_0}$  is the Riesz projector for  $aT$  corresponding to  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \subseteq \sigma(aT)$ . Put  $H_0 := PH$  and  $H_r = (1 - P)H$ . By Lemma 6.3, and the assumption that  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ , these spaces are invariant under  $T$ . The isometry  $T : H_r \rightarrow H_r$  is a unitary because both  $a|_{H_r}$  and  $aT|_{H_r}$  are invertible, see Lemma 6.3. In particular, the restrictions  $a_0T|_{H_0}$ ,  $a_0 \in C(X_0)$ , and  $a_rT|_{H_r}$ ,  $a_r \in C(X_r)$  are well presented abstract weighted shifts acting on  $H_0$  and  $H_r$ , respectively. The associated transfer operators are restrictions of  $L$  to  $C(X_0)$  and  $C(X_r)$ . In fact, by Proposition 3.3,  $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$  is a homeomorphism and  $L : C(X_r) \rightarrow C(X_r)$  is the automorphism given by the composition with  $\varphi^{-1} : X_r \rightarrow X_r$ . Now, the formula for  $r_0$  follows from Theorem 4.3 and formulas for  $r_-$  and  $r_+$  follow from (2), because  $r_+ = r(aT|_{H_r})$  and  $r_- = r((aT|_{H_r})^{-1})^{-1} = r(a^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}T|_{H_r}^{-1})$  and both  $cT|_{H_r}$ ,  $c \in C(X_r)$ , and  $cT|_{H_r}^{-1}$ ,  $c \in C(X_r)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts acting on  $H_r$  associated with  $(X_r, \varphi)$  and  $(X_r, \varphi^{-1})$  respectively.

Now let us consider the general case. Let  $|\sigma(aT)| := \{|z| : z \in \sigma(aT)\} = [0, r_0] \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} [r_-, r_+]$ . For each  $r \in R$  we may find sequences  $\{r_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{r_n^+\}_{n=1}^\infty$  lying outside

$|\sigma(aT)|$  such that  $r_n^- \nearrow r_-$  and  $r_n^+ \searrow r_+$ . Let  $P_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$  be the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of spectrum of  $aT$  lying in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_n^- < |z| < r_n^+\}$ . As above, using Proposition 6.1, we see that  $P_{r_n^-, r_n^+} = \mathbb{1}_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \in C(X)$  for a certain non-empty clopen  $\varphi$ -invariant set  $X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$  such that  $\varphi : X_{r_n^-, r_n^+} \rightarrow X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$  is a homeomorphism and  $a|_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \neq 0$ . We put

$$X_r := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}.$$

This is a non-empty closed and  $\varphi$ -invariant set, which does not depend on the choice of the sequences  $\{r_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{r_n^+\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Moreover,  $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$  is a homeomorphism. Similarly, we define  $X_0 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{0, r_n}$  where  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence lying outside  $|\sigma(aT)|$  such that  $r_n \searrow r_0$  and  $\mathbb{1}_{X_{0, r_n}} \in C(X)$  is the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of  $\sigma(aT)$  lying in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again  $X_0$  is closed non-empty and  $\varphi$ -invariant.

Clearly, the sets  $X_r, r \in R \cup \{0\}$ , form a decomposition of  $X$ . To see that  $r_0$  is given by the corresponding variational formula, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $\mu_n \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)$  that maximizes  $\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_{X_{0, r_n}} \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right)$ . Then

$$r_0 \leq \exp \left( \int_{X_{0, r_n}} \ln |a| d\mu_n + \frac{\tau_L(\mu_n)}{2} \right) < r_n.$$

Let  $\mu_0 \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)$  be a weak\* limit point of  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then the support of  $\mu_0$  is contained in  $X_0 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{0, r_n}$ . This and the above inequalities imply that  $r_0 = \exp \left( \int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu_0 \right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu \right)$ . Similarly we get the desired formulas for  $r \in R$ . Indeed, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are measures  $\mu_n^-, \mu_n^+ \in \text{Erg}(X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}, \varphi)$  such that

$$r_n^- < \exp \int_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_n^- \leq r_- \leq r_+ \leq \exp \int_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_n^+ < r_n^+.$$

Taking  $\mu_0^-, \mu_0^+ \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$  to be weak\* limit points of the sequences  $\{\mu_n^-\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\mu_n^+\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we see that supports of  $\mu_0^-$  and  $\mu_0^+$  are contained in  $X_r = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} r_- &= \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_0^- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu, \\ r_+ &= \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_0^+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 7.2** (Finite type case). *Suppose that  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts such that the associated transfer operator is of finite type, so that  $L(a)(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)a(x)$ , where  $\varrho > 0$ . Assume the corresponding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. For every  $a \in A$  we have*

$$\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$$

where  $r_0 < r_- \leq r_+$  for  $r \in R$  and Riesz projectors induce a decomposition  $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$  into disjoint closed sets where for each  $r \in R$ ,  $\varphi|_{X_r} : X_r \rightarrow X_r$  is a homeomorphism,  $a$  does not vanish on  $X_r$  and

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu.$$

In particular, if  $X$  does not contain a non-empty clopen forward  $\varphi$ -invariant set on which  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism and  $a$  is non-zero, then

$$\sigma(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 7.1, where instead of Proposition 6.1 we use Proposition 6.4. In particular, in the proof of Proposition 6.4 we have seen that if  $X_r \subseteq X$  is a clopen set such that  $\mathbb{1}_{X_r}$  is a Riesz projector corresponding to a part  $D$  of  $\sigma(aT)$  not containing zero, then the operators  $b\varrho^{-1/2}T$ ,  $b \in C(X_r) \subseteq A$ , can be treated as abstract weighted shifts acting on  $H_r := \mathbb{1}_{X_r}H$  where  $U := \varrho^{-1/2}T|_{H_r}$  is a unitary. Thus the minimal annulus containing  $D = \sigma(aT) \cap D = \sigma(a\varrho^{1/2}U)$  lies between the circles or radii  $r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu$ ,  $r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** The sets  $X_r$  in the assertion of Theorem 7.2 are forward  $\varphi$ -invariant. If it happens that they are backward  $\varphi$ -invariant, then  $\varrho|_{X_r} \equiv 1$  and the formulas for  $r_-$  and  $r_+$  above are the same as in Theorem 7.1. Example 6.2 shows that if  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} \neq 1$ , then sets  $X_r$  may fail to be backward  $\varphi$ -invariant.

**Remark 7.4.** In Theorem 7.1 and 7.2 the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$  is empty (equivalently  $r_0 < 0$ ) if and only if both  $a$  and  $T$  are invertible if and only if  $a$  is non-zero everywhere and  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism, cf. Proposition 3.3. In this case, Theorem 1.2 also applies.

**Lemma 7.5.** *An expanding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  on a compact metrizable space  $X$  is topologically free if and only if  $X$  has no isolated points that are periodic.*

*Proof.* If there is a periodic isolated point, then its orbit is a clopen set showing that  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is not topologically free. Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi$  is not topologically free. Then there is a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that every point  $x \in U$  is of period  $n > 0$  (i.e.  $\varphi^n(x) = x$  and  $\varphi^k(x) \neq x$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Take any non-empty open set  $V$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ . Then  $K = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi^k(\overline{V})$  is forward  $\varphi$ -invariant and  $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$  is an expansive homeomorphism. Hence  $K$  is finite by Schwartzman's theorem. Thus  $V$  is open and finite, and therefore it consists of isolated points.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** *Suppose that  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \cong C(X)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts such that the associated transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is of finite type. If the corresponding map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is expanding and  $X$  has no isolated points, then*

$$\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left( \int_X \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 7.5 the last part of Theorem 7.2,  $\sigma(aT)$  is a disk. By Corollary 5.9,  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$ , and the formula for  $r(aT)$  is given in Corollary 4.8.  $\square$

**Example 7.7** (Cuntz-Krieger algebras). Let  $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$  be the one-sided topological Markov shift associated to a matrix  $\mathbb{A} = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , of zeros and ones, with no zero rows and no zero columns, see Example 2.6. The Cuntz-Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$  associated to  $\mathbb{A}$ , see [CK80], is defined as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a family  $\{S_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of partial isometries subject to the following relations

$$(21) \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A(i, j) S_j S_j^*, \quad S_i^* S_k = \delta_{i,k} S_i^* S_i, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Kronecker symbol. The algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$  may be viewed as the crossed product of  $C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$  by a transfer operator for  $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ . More specifically, for every multiindex

$\mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , with  $i_j \in 1, \dots, n$ , we write  $S_\mu = S_{i_1} S_{i_2} \cdots S_{i_k}$ . Then the map  $S_\mu S_\mu^* \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{C_\mu}$  extends to the isomorphism

$$A := \overline{\text{span}}\{S_\mu S_\mu^* : \mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k), k = 1, \dots\} \cong C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A}),$$

given by  $S_\mu S_\mu^* \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{C_\mu}$ . Also putting  $n_j := \sum_{i=1}^n A(i, j)$  and  $P_j := S_j S_j^*$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have a canonical isometry given by

$$T := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_j}} S_i P_j,$$

see [Exe03<sub>1</sub>], [KL12<sub>1</sub>]. Then  $L(a) = T a T^*$ ,  $a \in A$ , where  $L(a)(y) = \frac{1}{|\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}(y)|} \sum_{x \in \sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}(y)} a(x)$  is the classical transfer operator on  $A \cong C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A})$ . The isomorphism  $A \cong C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A})$  extends uniquely to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A} \cong C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A}) \rtimes L$  which sends  $T$  to the universal isometry in  $C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A}) \rtimes L$ . In particular, by Theorem 5.5 we may always represent  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , so that the operators  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ , are well presented weighted shifts. By Corollaries 5.6 and 4.8, for every  $a \in A$ ,  $\sigma(aT)$  has the circular symmetry and

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(\Sigma_\mathbb{A}, \sigma_\mathbb{A})} \int_{\Sigma_\mathbb{A}} \ln |a| d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{j=1}^n n_j^{\mu(C_j)} + \frac{h_{\sigma_\mathbb{A}}(\mu)}{2}$$

where  $C_j$  is the cylinder set of elements that start with  $j$ . In other words, putting  $|\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}|(y) := |\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}(y)|$  we get that the spectral logarithm of  $aT$  is the square root of the topological pressure for  $\sigma_\mathbb{A}$  with the potential  $|a|^2/|\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}|$ :  $\ln r(aT) = \sqrt{P(|a|^2/|\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}|, \sigma_\mathbb{A})}$ .

The  $t$ -entropy for the canonical transfer operator  $L$  is given by  $\tau_L(\mu) = h_\varphi(\mu) - \ln \prod_{j=1}^n n_j^{\mu(C_j)}$ , see Corollary 4.9. If  $a \in \text{span}\{S_\mu S_\mu^* : \mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k), k = 1, \dots\}$  is invertible, then  $\ln(|a||\sigma_\mathbb{A}^{-1}|^{1/2})$  is Hölder continuous. Thus if  $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}(\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_N)$  is the decomposition into irreducible matrices as in Example 2.6, then denoting by  $\mu_j$  the Gibbs measure for  $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j})$  with potential  $\ln(|a||\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}^{-1}|^{1/2})$  we have

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}} \ln(|a||\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}^{-1}|^{1/2}) d\mu_j + \frac{h_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}}(\mu_j)}{2},$$

cf. Corollary 4.8. In general, the shift map  $\sigma_\mathbb{A}$  is topologically free if and only if the space  $\Sigma_\mathbb{A}$  has no isolated points. This is called *condition (I)* in [CK80]. Let us assume it. Then by [CK80, Theorem 2.13] every family  $\{S_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of non-zero operators satisfying (21) generates an isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$  (this result can be deduced from Theorem 5.8). By Corollary 7.6, under condition (I), we have  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$ . If all entries in  $\mathbb{A}$  are ones then  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$  is the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  and the above description gives Example 1.1 as a special case. The above considerations can also be generalized to graph  $C^*$ -algebras, cf. [Kwa14], [Kwa17].

**Remark 7.8.** If all states  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  are essential (equivalently  $\Omega(\sigma_\mathbb{A}) = \Sigma_\mathbb{A}$ ), then Proposition 3.8 gives a representation of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $L_\mu^2(\Sigma_\mathbb{A})$  that sends  $C(\Sigma_\mathbb{A})$  to multiplication operators and  $T$  to the operator of composition with  $\sigma_\mathbb{A}$ . This representation is faithful if and only if  $\sigma_\mathbb{A}$  is topologically free (condition (I) holds).

**7.1. Weighted shifts associated with measure dynamical system.** Suppose we are in the situation of subsection 3.3. Hence  $T$  is an isometry on  $H = L_\mu^2(\Omega)$  given by (12) where  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is a measurable map with  $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$  and the weight  $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function. Let  $A \cong L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  be the algebra of operators of multiplication. Then  $T$  generates the transfer operator  $L : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $L(a) := T^* a T$ ,



$a \in A$ , see Proposition 3.12. The corresponding endomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  is given by composition with  $\Phi$ .

By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem,  $A \cong C(X)$  for a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Since  $A = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  is a von Neumann algebra,  $X$  is a Stonean space. Thus it corresponds via the Stone duality to the complete Boolean algebra of projections in  $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ . This in turn corresponds to measurable sets in  $\Sigma$  modulo the equivalence relation:  $A \sim B \iff \mu(A \Delta B) = 0$ . The map  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  induces a continuous surjective map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  such that the endomorphism  $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$  is given by composition with  $\varphi$ . We characterise topological freeness of  $\varphi$  via freeness of  $\alpha$  in the sense of [Arv67] which translates to a condition for  $\Phi$  that we call *metrical freeness*:

**Lemma 7.9.** *Retain the above assumptions and notation. Let  $\Sigma_+$  be the family of measurable sets with positive measure. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  is topologically free, that is for each  $n > 1$  the set  $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$  has empty interior.
- (ii)  $\alpha$  acts freely [Arv67], that is for any non-zero projection  $p \in A$  with  $p \leq \alpha^n(p)$  and  $n > 1$  there is a non-zero projection  $q \leq p$  such that  $\alpha^n(q) \perp q$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi$  is metrically free, that is for every  $P \in \Sigma_+$  and  $n > 1$  with  $\mu(P \setminus \Phi^{-n}(P)) = 0$  there is  $Q \in \Sigma_+$  such that  $Q \subseteq P$  and  $\mu(Q \cap \Phi^{-n}(Q)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $p \in A$  be a non-zero projection with  $p \leq \alpha^n(p)$ . Then  $p = \mathbf{1}_V \in C(X)$  for a non-empty clopen  $V \subseteq X$  with  $V \subseteq \varphi^{-n}(V)$ . By topological freeness of  $\varphi$  there is a point  $x \in V$  with  $\varphi^n(x) \neq x$ . Since  $X$  is a Stone space we may find a clopen set  $U \subseteq V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $U \cap \varphi^n(U) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\varphi^{-n}(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Hence for  $q := \mathbf{1}_U$  we get  $q \leq p$  and  $\alpha^n(q)q = 0$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that  $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$  has a non-empty interior. Then there is a non-empty clopen set  $V \subseteq \{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$ . Moreover, for every non-empty clopen subset  $U$  of  $V$  we have  $\varphi^{-n}(U) \cap U = U \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $p := \mathbf{1}_V$  is a non-zero projection in  $A$  such that for every non-zero projection  $q \leq p$  we have  $\alpha^n(q)q \neq 0$ .

Equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii), in view of definition of  $\alpha$ , is straightforward.  $\square$

We further extend the terminology by saying that a set  $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$  is  $\mu$ -almost  $\Phi$ -invariant if  $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(\Omega_0) \Delta \Omega_0) = 0$ . We say that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective on a set  $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$  if the map  $\Delta \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(\Delta) \cap \Omega_0$  induces an automorphism of  $\Sigma_{\Omega_0} / \sim$  where  $\Sigma_{\Omega_0} := \{\Delta \subseteq \Omega_0 : \Delta \in \Sigma\}$ .

**Example 7.10.** Let  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  be a surjective map on a set  $V$  equipped with the counting measure  $\mu$ . Then  $\Phi$  is metrically free if and only if  $\Phi$  has no periodic points, so its graph is a direct sum of leafless and rootless directed trees [JJS12]. A set  $\Omega_0 \subseteq V$  is  $\mu$ -almost  $\Phi$ -invariant if and only if it is  $\Phi$ -invariant, i.e.  $\Phi^{-1}(\Omega_0) = \Omega_0$ . The map  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective on a set  $\Omega_0 \subseteq V$  if and only if  $\Omega_0$  is forward  $\Phi$ -invariant and  $\Phi : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0$  is a bijection. The map  $\Phi$  is always  $\mu$ -almost bijective on some non-empty set. Indeed, for any  $v \in V$  we may find a sequence  $\{v_n\} \in V$  such that  $v = \Phi(v_1)$  and  $v_n = \Phi(v_{n+1})$ , for all  $n > 0$ , and then  $\Phi$  restricted to  $\Omega_0 := \{v_n : n > 0\} \cup \{\Phi^n(v) : n \geq 0\}$  is bijective. However, there might be no  $\Phi$ -invariant sets on which  $\Phi$  is bijective. In Example 6.2 there are exactly two forward  $\Phi$ -invariant sets on which  $\Phi$  is bijective, but none of these sets is (backward)  $\Phi$ -invariant.

**Corollary 7.11.** *Assume that  $(Th)(\omega) = \rho(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega))$  defines an isometry  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(\Omega))$ , see Lemma 3.10. Assume also that  $\rho \neq 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, and that  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is non-singular and metrically free. Then*

- (i) *the spectrum of every weighted composition operator  $aT$ ,  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ , is invariant under rotation around zero;*

- (ii) If the measure  $\mu$  is atomless then  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT)$  for every  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ .
- (iii) If  $N$  is the largest number such that  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$  decomposes into disjoint  $\mu$ -almost  $\Phi$ -invariant sets of positive measure, such that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective on  $\Omega_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , then for every  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  which is non-zero  $\mu$ -almost everywhere  $\sigma(aT)$  has at most  $N + 1$  connected components.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.12 the operators  $aT$ ,  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ , are well presented abstract weighted shifts. By Lemma 7.9 the corresponding dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free. Hence (i) and (ii) follow from Corollary 5.9, because the algebra  $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  of multiplication operators contains no non-zero compact operator if and only if  $\mu$  is atomless.

Item (iii) follows from Theorem 7.1. Indeed, in the present setting the condition  $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$  is equivalent to  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  being non-zero  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Clopen subsets  $X_0$  of  $X$  are in an one-to-one correspondence with elements  $[\Omega_0]$  in  $\Sigma / \sim$ . Moreover,  $X_0$  is  $\varphi$ -invariant if and only if  $\Omega_0$  is  $\mu$ -almost  $\Phi$ -invariant, and  $\varphi$  restricts to a homeomorphism of  $X_0$  if and only if  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective on  $\Omega_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.12.** *Let  $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be a metrically free map on a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  which can be decomposed into disjoint sets  $V_i \in \Sigma$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $\Delta \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(\Delta)$  induces an isomorphism  $\Sigma_{V_i} / \sim \cong \Sigma_{\Phi(V_i)} / \sim$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Assume also that  $\text{ess inf}(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}) > 0$  and that  $N$  is the largest number such that  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$  decomposes into disjoint sets such that  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective on each  $\Omega_i$  and  $\mu(\Omega_i) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . The spectrum of any operator*

$$(aT_\Phi h)(\omega) = a(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega),$$

acting on  $L_\mu^2(\Omega)$  is of the form

$$\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_{i,-} \leq |z| \leq r_{i,+}\}$$

where the unions are not necessarily disjoint. Thus  $\sigma(aT_\Phi)$  has at most  $N + 1$  components, and if there are no sets of positive measure on which  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ -almost bijective, then  $\sigma(aT_\Phi)$  is a disk. If  $\mu$  is atomless, then  $\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \sigma_{ess}(aT_\Phi)$ .

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 3.13, operators  $aT_\Phi$ ,  $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ , can be viewed as well presented abstract weighted shifts  $bT$ ,  $b \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ , where  $T := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}} T_\Phi$  and  $b = a \cdot \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}\right)^{-1/2}$ . As in the proof of Corollary 7.11 we see that the corresponding dual map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is topologically free and  $N$  is the largest number such that  $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N X_i$  decomposes into disjoint clopen sets such that  $\varphi : X_i \rightarrow X_i$  is a homeomorphism for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (it corresponds to the decomposition  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$ ). In addition, the assumption that  $\Phi$  induces isomorphisms  $\Sigma_{V_i} / \sim \cong \Sigma_{\Phi(V_i)} / \sim$  for the decomposition  $\Omega = V_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_n$  and that  $\text{ess inf}(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}) > 0$  implies that the associated transfer operator  $L(a) = T_\Phi^* a \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} T$ ,  $a \in A \cong L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$  is of finite type (with a quasi-basis given by  $u_i := \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}\right)^{-1/2} \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus the assertion follows from the last part of Theorem 7.2 (and Corollary 7.11).  $\square$

**Example 7.13.** Suppose that  $\Phi(z) = z^n$ ,  $n > 1$ , is the map defined on  $\Omega = S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  and let  $H = L_\mu^2(S^1)$  where  $\mu$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $S^1$ .

Consider the operators  $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $a \in L^\infty_\mu(S^1)$ , given by  $aTh(z) = a(z)h(\varphi(z))$ ,  $h \in H$ . By Corollary 7.12 we have  $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$ . If  $a \in C(S^1)$  we may deduce the same from Corollary 7.6.

**Example 7.14.** Let  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  be a surjective map on a countable set  $V$ , which is minimal in the sense that there are no non-trivial  $\Phi$ -invariant subsets of  $V$ . Then  $\Phi$  corresponds to a leafless and rootless directed tree  $\mathfrak{T}$ , see [JJS12]. Assume that this tree has a finite number of branches. Then all the bounded weighted shifts on  $\mathfrak{T}$  are of the form  $(aT_\Phi h)(v) = a(v)h(\Phi(v))$ ,  $a \in \ell^\infty(V)$ , cf. Remark 3.15. Assumptions of Corollary 7.12 are satisfied with  $N = 1$ , cf. Example 7.10. Thus for every weighted shift  $S_\lambda$  on the directed tree  $\mathfrak{T}$  with bounded weights  $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V}$  the spectrum  $\sigma(S_\lambda)$  has at most two connected components. In fact it may have two connected components by Example 6.2. If all weights are non-zero, then  $\sigma(S_\lambda)$  is connected by Corollary 7.11.

## REFERENCES

- [Ana97] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras arising from dynamical systems*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **125** (1997), 199–225.
- [ABL11] A. Antonevich, V. I. Bakhtin, A. Lebedev, *On  $t$ -entropy and variational principle for the spectral radii of transfer and weighted shift operators* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **31** (2011), no. 4, 995–1042.
- [AL94] A. Antonevich, A. Lebedev, *Functional differential equations: I.  $C^*$ -theory*, Longman Scientific & Technical, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 70, 1994.
- [ABL98] A. Antonevich, M. Belousov, A. Lebedev, *Functional differential equations, Part II.  $C^*$ -applications, P.1.2*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 94, 95, Longman, 1998.
- [Arv67] W. B. Arveson, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphisms* Acta Math. **118** (1967), 95–109.
- [AV78] V. A. Arzumanyan and A. M. Vershik, *Factor representations of the crossed product of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra and a semigroup of its endomorphisms*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **238** (1978), 513–517. Translated in Soviet Math. Dokl., 19 (1978), No. 1.
- [AV84] V. Arzumanyan and A. Vershik, *Star algebras associated with endomorphisms in operator algebras and group representations*, Proc. Int. Conf., Vol. I Pitman Boston (1984), 17–27
- [BL17] V. I. Bakhtin, A. Lebedev, *A new definition of  $t$ -entropy for transfer operators*, Entropy **19** (2017), 573
- [BFK14] M. A. Bastos, C. A. Fernandes, Y. I. Karlovich, *A  $C^*$ -algebra of singular integral operators with shifts admitting distinct fixed points*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **413** (2014), 502–524.
- [BR06] N. Brownlowe, I. Raeburn *Exel’s crossed product and relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **141** (2006), 497–508.
- [Bow75] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.470. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1975.
- [CS09] T. Carlsen, S. Silvestrov, *On the Exel Crossed Product of Topological Covering Maps*, Acta Appl Math **108** (2009), 573–583.
- [CKO19] T. M. Carlsen, B. Kwaśniewski, E. Ortega, *Topological freeness for  $C^*$ -correspondences*, Math. Anal. Appl. **473** (2019) 749–78.
- [Cob67] L. Coburn, *The  $C^*$ -algebra of an isometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 722–726.
- [Cun77] J. Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [CK80] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological markov chains*, Inventiones Math. **56** (1980), 251–268.
- [Dea95] V. Deaconu *Groupoids associated with endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 5, 1779–1786
- [Exe03<sub>1</sub>] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a  $C^*$ -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **23** (2003), 1733–1750.
- [Exe03<sub>2</sub>] R. Exel, *Crossed products by finite index endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 153–158.

- [ER07] R. Exel, D. Royer, *The crossed product by a partial endomorphism*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **38** (2007), 219–261.
- [EV06] R. Exel, A. Vershik,  *$C^*$ -algebras of irreversible dynamical systems*, Canadian J. Math. **58** (2006), 39–63.
- [FJ01] A. H. Fan, Y. P. Jiang, *On Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Operators. I. Ruelle’s Theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **223** (2001), 125–141.
- [H05] I. Hirshberg, *Essential representations of  $C^*$ -correspondences*, Internat. J. Math. **16**, no. 7 (2005), 765–775.
- [JJS12] Z. J. Jabłoński, I. B. Jung, J. Stochel, *Weighted shifts on directed trees*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **216**, 1017 (2012)
- [Kat04] T. Katsura, *On  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $C^*$ -correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217**(2), (2004) 366–401.
- [Kit79] A. K. Kitover, *Spectrum of automorphisms with weight and the Kamowitz–Scheinberg theorem*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **13** (1) (1979), 57–58.
- [Kwa09] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Spectral analysis of operators generating irreversible dynamical systems*, Doctoral dissertation (in Polish), IM PAN, 2009, Warsaw.
- [KL12<sub>1</sub>] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Uniqueness property for  $C^*$ -algebras given by relations with circular symmetry* Geometric Methods in Physics, XXX Workshop, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2012, pp. 303–312.
- [Kwa12<sub>2</sub>] B. K. Kwaśniewski *On transfer operators for  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, Rocky J. Math. **42**, No 3 (2012), 919–938.
- [Kwa14] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Crossed products for interactions and graph algebras* Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **80** (2014), no. 3, 415–451.
- [Kwa17] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Exel’s crossed products and crossed products by completely positive maps*, Houston J. Math., **43** (2017), 509–567.
- [KL13] B. K. Kwaśniewski, A. V. Lebedev, *Crossed products by endomorphisms and reduction of relations in relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), no. 8, 1806–1847.
- [KL20] B. K. Kwaśniewski, A. V. Lebedev, *Variational principles for spectral radius of weighted endomorphisms of  $C(X, D)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), no. 4, 2659–2698.
- [KM<sub>1</sub>] B. K. Kwaśniewski, R. Meyer, *Essential crossed products for inverse semigroup actions: simplicity and pure infiniteness*, preprint, arXiv:1906.06202
- [KM<sub>2</sub>] B. K. Kwaśniewski, R. Meyer, *Noncommutative Cartan  $C^*$ -subalgebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), no. 12, 8697–8724
- [KR69] H. B. Keynes, J. B. Robertson, *Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 51–59.
- [LS88] Ju. D. Latushkin, A. M. Stepin, *Weighted shift operators on a topological Markov chain*, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., **22**(4) (1988), 330–331.
- [Leb79] A. V. Lebedev, *The invertibility of elements in the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by dynamical systems*, Russian Math. Surveys **34**:4 (1979), 174–175.<sup>2</sup>
- [LM98] A. Lebedev, O. Maslak, *The spectral radius of a weighted shift operator, variational principles, entropy and topological pressure*, Spectral and evolutionary problems. Proceedings of the Eighth Crimean Autumn Mathematical School Symposium (Simferopol, 1998). Tavria Publishers, Moscow, pp. 26–34.
- [MS10] J. H. Mai, W. H. Sun, *Positively expansive homeomorphisms on compact metric spaces* Acta Math. Hungar., **126** (4) (2010), 366–368.
- [O’Don75] D. P. O’Donovan *Weighted shifts and covariance algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **208** (1975), p. 1–25.
- [PU10] F. Przytycki, M. Urbański, *Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **371**, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [Red82] W. L. Reddy, *Expanding maps on compact metric spaces*, Topology Appl. **13** (1982), no. 3, 327–334.
- [Ren80] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **793**, Springer-Verlag, 1980
- [Ren00] J. Renault, *Cuntz-like Algebras*, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Operator Theory (Timisoara 98), The Theta Fondation (2000), 371–386.

---

<sup>2</sup>English translation from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **34** (1979), 199–200

- [Ren08] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. **61**(2008), 29–63.
- [Rid73] W. C. Ridge, *Spectrum of a composition operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 121–127.
- [RS90] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Dover Publications, 1990.
- [Rue78] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic formalism*. Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., vol.5, Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley 1978.
- [Rue89] D. Ruelle, *The thermodynamic formalism for expanding maps*, Comm. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), 239–262.
- [Wal78] P. Walters, *Invariant measures and equilibrium states for some mappings which expand distances*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **236** (1978), 121–153.
- [Wal82] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [Wat90] Y. Watatani, *Index for  $C^*$ -subalgebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **424**, 1990.

*Email address:* kbardadyn@math.uwb.edu.pl

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, UL. K. CIÓŁKOWSKIEGO 1M, 15-245 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND

*Email address:* bartoszk@math.uwb.edu.pl

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, UL. K. CIÓŁKOWSKIEGO 1M, 15-245 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND