

SPECTRUM OF WEIGHTED ISOMETRIES: C^* -ALGEBRAS, TRANSFER OPERATORS AND TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE

KRZYSZTOF BARDADYN AND BARTOSZ KOSMA KWAŚNIEWSKI

ABSTRACT. We study the spectrum of operators $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ on a Hilbert space H where T is an isometry and a belongs to a commutative C^* -subalgebra $C(X) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that the formula $L(a) = T^*aT$ defines a faithful transfer operator on A . Based on the analysis of the C^* -algebra $C^*(A, T)$ generated by the operators aT , $a \in A$, we give dynamical conditions implying that the spectrum $\sigma(aT)$ is invariant under rotation around zero, $\sigma(aT)$ coincides with essential spectrum $\sigma_{ess}(aT)$ or that $\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$ is a disk.

We extend classical Ruelle's result and prove that for a general expanding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ and continuous $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the spectral logarithm of a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x)f(x)$ is equal to the topological pressure $P(\ln c, \varphi)$. As a consequence we get the variational principle for the spectral radius:

$$r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_X \ln(|a|\sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2} \right),$$

where $\text{Erg}(X, \varphi)$ stands for ergodic Borel probability measures, $h_\varphi(\mu)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, and $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the cocycle associated to L . In particular, we clarify the relationship between the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy and t -entropy introduced by Antonevich, Bakhtin and Lebedev.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Coburn's theorem [Cob67] states that every non-invertible isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ on Hilbert space H generates a C^* -algebra $C^*(T)$ canonically isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T} . Combining this with the well known facts that \mathcal{T} is generated by the unilateral shift whose spectrum is a unit disk, we infer that $\sigma(T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ for every non-invertible isometry T . Here we also use that the spectrum of an operator in a Hilbert space depends only on the unital C^* -algebra it generates. Cuntz [Cun77] proved a higher dimensional version of Coburn's result, that has immediate spectral consequences for certain weighted isometries:

Example 1.1. Let $\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) := (\xi_2, \dots)$ be a topological shift on the Cantor space $\Sigma := \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let μ be the Bernoulli measure - the product measure on Σ of uniform distributions on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $H = L^2_\mu(\Sigma)$. Then the composition operator $Th = h \circ \varphi$, $h \in H$, is an isometry. Let $A \cong C(\Sigma)$ be the algebra of multiplication operators by continuous functions: $(ah)(x) = a(x)h(x)$. Then the C^* -algebra generated by weighted composition operators aT , $a \in A$, is isomorphic

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A10, 37A55.

Key words and phrases. spectrum, weighted composition operator, C^* -algebra, transfer operator, topological pressure.

to the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n :

$$C^*(aT : a \in A) = C^*(A, T) \cong \mathcal{O}_n$$

Indeed, routine calculations show that $s_i := \sqrt{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{(i, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots)\}} T$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are isometries such that $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i s_i^* = 1$ and $C^*(A, T) = C^*(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ (e.g. we have $T = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$). Cuntz proved in [Cun77] that every C^* -algebra generated by n isometries with range projections suming up to 1 generates a copy of \mathcal{O}_n , and \mathcal{O}_n is a simple C^* -algebra. Since $C^*(A, T) \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ is simple and infinite it can not contain any compact operators. Thus the spectrum $\sigma(aT)$, for any $a \in A$, coincides with the *essential spectrum* $\sigma_{ess}(aT) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : aT - \lambda 1 \text{ is not Fredholm}\}$. Moreover, \mathcal{O}_n is equipped with a gauge circle action that scales T and fixes elements in A . This implies that $\sigma(aT)$ has a circular symmetry. Moreover, one can show that every Riesz projector P for $\sigma(aT)$ is in the commutant A' of A . Hence using the well know fact that $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ is a Cartan C^* -subalgebra [Ren08], we get $P \in A' = A$. This in turn, together with minimality of the shift φ , implies that $\sigma(aT)$ is connected and hence a disk. In fact we have

$$\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(\Sigma, \varphi)} e^{\int_{\Sigma} \ln |a| d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \ln n + \frac{h_{\varphi}(\mu)}{2}} \right\}$$

where the maximum is taken over ergodic normalized measures and $h_{\varphi}(\mu)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy. Formula for spectral radius $r(aT)$ follows from the Ruelle's thermodynamical formalism that relates the spectral radius of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator with topological pressure [Rue78], [Wal78], [LS88], [Rue89].

The aim of the present paper is to develop a general theory that describes the spectrum of *weighted isometries* aT , $a \in A \subseteq B(H)$, by the analysis of the C^* -algebra they generate, as indicated in Example 1.1. In the case T is a *unitary* such an analysis was carried out successfully, starting from the paper of O'Donovan [O'Don75], where the crucial role of topological freeness for the associated C^* -algebras was made explicit, through the work of Lebedev [Leb79] and Lebedev and Antonevich [AL94]. More specifically, Let $T \in B(H)$ be a unitary on a Hilbert space H , and let $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unital commutative C^* -subalgebra such that

$$(1) \quad TAT^* = A.$$

Then $\alpha(a) := TaT^*$, $a \in A$, is an automorphism of A , and identifying $A \cong C(X)$ with the algebra of continuous functions on the Gelfand spectrum X of A , α is a composition operator with a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. This motivated the authors of [AL94] to call operators of the form aT , $a \in A$, *abstract weighted shifts (associated with the automorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$)*.

Theorem 1.2 ([AL94]). *Let aT , $a \in A \cong C(X)$, be abstract weighted shifts associated with an automorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. Suppose that the dual homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free. For every $a \in A$ the spectrum $\sigma(aT)$ is a union of annuli $\bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$ and if a is not invertible also a disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$. Moreover, all Riesz projectors for $\sigma(aT)$ belong to A and induce a decomposition $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$ into disjoint closed φ -invariant sets such that*

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu,$$

for $r \in R$, and $r_0 = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu \right)$, if X_0 is non-empty (that is, if a is not invertible). Moreover, if X does not contain a non-empty clopen φ -invariant set, then $\sigma(aT)$ is connected. If A does not contain non-zero compact operators, which is automatic if X has no isolated points, then $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$.

Remark 1.3. Under assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have $C^*(A, T) \cong A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ where $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is the crossed product of A by \mathbb{Z} action $\{\alpha^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, by [O'Don75, Theorem 1.2.1]. The variational formula for the spectral radius

$$(2) \quad r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \int_X \ln |a| d\mu$$

was independently proved by Lebedev [Leb79] and Kitover [Kit79], see also [KL].

If T is unitary, then (1) is equivalent to two inclusions $TAT^* \subseteq A$ and $T^*AT \subseteq A$. If T is a non-unitary isometry, one can not expect (1) to hold. One natural choice is to assume that $TAT^* \subseteq A$, as then $\alpha(a) = TaT^*$ defines an endomorphism of A . The corresponding analysis is carried out in [Kwa09], [KL], and the corresponding C^* -algebras $C^*(A, T)$ are modeled by crossed products by endomorphisms [KL13], [Kwa17]. However this approach does not cover Example 1.1.

In this paper we prove a version of Theorem 1.2 in the case when T is an isometry such that $T^*AT \subseteq A$ and $L(a) = T^*aT$ defines a faithful transfer operator for an endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. We refer to aT , $a \in A$, as *abstract weighted shifts associated with the transfer operators* $L : A \rightarrow A$. Then the universal model for the C^* -algebra $C^*(A, T)$ is the crossed product introduced by Exel [Exe03₁]. Exel's crossed product depends only on L , see [Kwa17], and therefore we denote it by $A \rtimes L$ and refer to it as the crossed product by the transfer operator L . In contrast to crossed products by endomorphisms, the relations defining $A \rtimes L$ in general are made explicit only in the language of C^* -correspondences. This makes both the definition and the analysis of the structure of $A \rtimes L$ non-trivial. In fact the definition of $A \rtimes L$ was in the air for a long time, starting from Arzumian and Vershik [AV78], [AV84], through Cuntz and Krieger [CK80], Renault [Ren80], Deaconu [Dea95], Lebedev (unpublished notes) and culminating with Exel [Exe03₁], whose definition still required some modifications, see [ER07] and [Kwa17]. The most studied and best understood case is when the associated map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a local homeomorphism and $A \rtimes L$ has a groupoid model. We then say that L is of *finite type* (see Proposition 2.14 below). In the finite type case, a 'Cuntz-Krieger type' relation that defines $A \rtimes L$ was made explicit by Exel and Vershik [EV06]. In general, we surpass this problem by assuming a natural nondegeneracy condition that ATH is linearly dense in H .

A somewhat separate problem is how to describe the spectral radius of operators aT , $a \in A$, associated to transfer operators. It reduces to the description of spectral radius of a weighted transfer operator on $C(X)$, as we have $r(aT) = \sqrt{r(L|a|^2)}$ (Lemma 4.1). In the full generality we consider, these spectral radii were described by Antonevich, Bakhtin, Lebedev [ABL11], [BL17] via variational principles exploiting a new invariant they introduce - the *t-entropy* (this invariant depends on L rather than just the dynamical system (X, φ)). When $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a local

homeomorphism, the problem reduces to investigation of $r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ for a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x) f(x)$ with an arbitrary continuous ‘potential’ $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. And one of the fundamental principles of thermodynamical formalism is that $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c)$ coincides with topological pressure $P(\ln c, \varphi)$ for expanding systems (X, φ) . A number of results in this direction is known, cf. [Bow75], [Rue78], [Wal78], [LS88], [Rue89], [LM98], [FJ01], [PU10]. However, none of these sources considers a general case: usually it is assumed that φ is topologically mixing, c is Hölder continuous and strictly positive, and the space X is a finite dimensional manifold or a shift space. Here we prove that $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = P(\ln c, \varphi)$ for an arbitrary open expanding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ on compact metric space and arbitrary continuous $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ (Theorem 4.6). This result is of independent interest. It reveals the relationship between t -entropy and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy (Corollary 4.9). It also gives that $\ln r(aT) = \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}$ for an equilibrium measure for φ with potential $\ln(|a|^2\varrho)$, whenever φ is an expanding open map (Corollary 4.8).

Our main results are two version of Theorem 1.2 for operators aT , $a \in A$, associated with a transfer operator. We get the full description of $\sigma(aT)$ in the case a is (close to being) invertible (Theorem 7.1), and for arbitrary $a \in A$ in the finite type case (Theorem 7.3). In general, based on recent results of [CKO19], we show that if $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free, then the spectrum of operators aT , $a \in A$, is rotation invariant and under natural conditions we have $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$ (Corollary 5.9). In the finite type case we exploit the fact that if φ is topologically free, then $A \subseteq C^*(A, T)$ is a Cartan C^* -subalgebra [Ren08], [CS09], [KM₂] (Theorem 5.10). We illustrate these results on examples coming from Cuntz-Krieger algebras [CK80], weighted composition operators on L^2 -spaces and weighted shifts on directed trees [JJS12].

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we discuss some basic facts concerning transfer operators and thermodynamical formalism. Section 3 introduces operators aT , $a \in A$, that we study in this paper. In particular, we study two model classes of such operators, which are weighted composition operators on L^2 -spaces. Variational formulas for spectral radius of aT are presented in Section 4. The structure of the C^* -algebra generated by aT , $a \in A$, and spectral consequences of topological freeness are discussed in Section 5. Conditions under which Riesz projectors for aT belong to A are given in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 we present our main results that describe the whole spectrum of aT , and give estimates to a number of its connected components.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Facts gathered and discussed in this section are well known to experts. We present them in way that is useful for our purposes. In particular, we formulate Ruelle’s Perron-Frobenius Theorem (Theorem 2.5) for topologically transitive maps, which is implicit in [PU10] and in other sources is formulated for topologically mixing maps. Also we characterise transfer operators of finite type (Proposition 2.14).

2.1. Thermodynamical formalism for expanding open maps. Throughout this paper $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map on a compact Hausdorff space X . Recall that X is metrisable if and only if X is second countable if and only if the C^* -algebra $C(X)$ of continuous complex valued functions is separable. If d is a metric on X ,

then for any continuous real valued function $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ the *topological pressure* of (X, φ) with potential b can be defined by the formula

$$(3) \quad P(\varphi, b) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ \varepsilon\text{-separated in } d_n}} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{y \in E} \exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(y)) \right),$$

where $d_n(x, y) = \max_{i=0, \dots, n} d(\varphi^i(x), \varphi^i(y))$ is n -Bowen's metric. It is crucial that $P(\varphi, b)$ can be also expressed in terms of the following variational principle:

$$P(\varphi, b) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

where $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ is the set of φ -invariant Borel probability measures on X and $h_\varphi(\mu)$ is Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of measure μ , cf. [Wal82], [PU10]. Thus, in particular, $P(\varphi, b)$ does not depend on the choice of a metric. A measure $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ is called an *equilibrium state* if $P(\varphi, b) = \int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$. If the entropy map $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto h_\varphi(\mu)$ is upper-semicontinuous then $P(\varphi, b) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X b d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$. Therefore in this case the set of equilibrium measures is non-empty. In fact, this set always contains an ergodic measure (whenever $P(\varphi, b) < \infty$) and for a dense subset of functions in $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ the equilibrium measure is unique, see [Wal82, 9.13 and 9.15.1]. The study of such unique equilibrium measures was initiated by the theory of thermodynamical formalism that works for expanding maps:

Definition 2.1. We say that a continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is *expanding* (expands small distances or is locally expanding) if X is compact and can be equipped with a metric d for which there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\theta > 1$ such that $d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \geq \theta d(x, y)$ whenever $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 2.2 (Reddy [Red82]). *Being expanding does not depend on the choice of a metric (compatible with the topology). Moreover, $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is expanding if and only if $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is positively expansive, i.e. for some metric d there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any two points $x \neq y$ we have $d(\varphi^n(x), \varphi^n(y)) > \delta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

By Schwartzman's theorem, see [MS10], [KR69], a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is expanding (positively expansive) if and only if X is finite. Thus being expanding is a notion designed for irreversible dynamics. A right notion for homeomorphisms is 'two-sided expansiveness': a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is *expansive* if there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any two points $x \neq y$ we have $d(\varphi^n(x), \varphi^n(y)) > \delta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The literature is abundant in the results concerning expansive homeomorphisms, but it is well known that (one-sided) versions of such facts hold for expanding (positively expansive) maps, cf. [Wal82, Remark on page 145], [KR69, page 58]. A counterpart of [Wal82, Theorem 5.24], [KR69, Corollary 3.3] states that any expansive map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a *factor of a one-sided subshift*: there is a closed subset Z of $\Sigma_n = \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\sigma(Z) = Z$, where $\sigma : \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ is one-sided shift, and a continuous surjective map $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \pi$. Moreover, one-sided subshifts (Z, σ) as above can be characterised as expanding maps on zero-dimensional spaces. By [PU10, Theorem 3.5.6], for any expansive map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ the entropy map $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto h_\varphi(\mu)$ is upper-semicontinuous and therefore equilibrium measures for expanding maps always exist.

An expanding map is necessarily locally injective and hence if it is open, it is a *local homeomorphism*. An open expanding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is *topologically mixing* if and only if for every non-empty open U there is $n \geq 1$ such that $\varphi^n(U) = X$, cf. [PU10]. Usually Gibbs measures are considered for topologically mixing maps, cf. [Bow75], [Rue78], [Wal78], [FJ01]. However, as shown in [PU10] the theory works well under a slightly weaker assumption that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is *topologically transitive*, that is for any non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. If φ is open expanding this is equivalent to stating that there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that φ^N is topologically mixing.

Definition 2.3. Suppose (X, d) is a metric compact space. We say that $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ is a *Gibbs distribution* for a continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ with potential $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ if for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $P \in \mathbb{R}$, and $C \geq 1$ such that for all $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu(B_n(x, \varepsilon))}{\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(x)) - nP)} \leq C$$

where $B_n(x, r)$ is the open ball in n -Bowen metric $d_n(x, y) = \max_{i=0, \dots, n} d(\varphi^i(x), \varphi^i(y))$.

Theorem 2.4 (Existence and uniqueness of Gibbs measure). *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be an open expanding map and let $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be Hölder continuous. There is a unique Gibbs distribution $\mu_{\varphi, b} \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ for φ and b and the constant P in Definition 2.3 is the topological pressure $P(\varphi, b)$. Moreover, $\mu_{\varphi, b}$ is the unique equilibrium state for φ and b , and for every $y \in X$ we have*

$$P = P(\varphi, b) = \int_X b d\mu_{\varphi, b} + h(\mu_{\varphi, b}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b(\varphi^i(x)) \right).$$

Proof. Combine [PU10, Propositions 3.4.3, 4.4.1, 4.1.5 and Theorems 4.3.2, 4.6.2]. \square

Gibbs distributions $\mu_{\varphi, b}$ are usually constructed by means of Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem. More specifically, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a local homeomorphism, then for every continuous $c : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ the formula

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L}_c f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} c(x) f(x)$$

defines a positive linear operator $\mathcal{L}_c : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, which is called *Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator*. By positivity the dual operator $\mathcal{L}_c^* : C(X)^* \rightarrow C(X)^*$ restricts to an affine map on the cone of Borel measures. The following theorem was originally proved for one sided shifts by Ruelle, then it was generalized by a number authors to mixing expanding maps [Bow75], [Wal78], [Rue78], [FJ01]. We generalize it a bit further, to topologically transitive expanding maps:

Theorem 2.5 (Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem). *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be an open, expanding and topologically transitive map on a compact metric space X . Suppose that $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Hölder continuous. Let $\rho := r_{(e^b)}$ be the spectral radius of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{e^b} : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ associated to $c(x) = e^{b(x)}$.*

- (i) *There is a unique probability measure ν on X such that ${}^*_{e^b}\nu = \rho\nu$.*
- (ii) *ρ is a strictly positive eigenvalue of ${}_{e^b}$ with a unique strictly positive eigenfunction $h \in C(X)^+$ with $\nu(h) = 1$.*

With h and ν as above, $h\nu(a) := \nu(ah)$, $a \in C(X)$, is the Gibbs measure $\mu_{\varphi,b}$ for φ and b . Moreover, we have $P(\varphi, b) = \ln r(e^b)$.

Proof. For brevity put ${}_{e^b} := {}_{e^b}$ and let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the set of Borel probability measures.

(i). Existence of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ with ${}^*(\nu) = c\nu$ for some $c > 0$ follows from Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, see [PU10, Theorem 5.2.8]. Suppose that $\mu, \eta \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ are such that ${}^*(\mu) = c_1\mu$ and ${}^*(\eta) = c_2\eta$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. By [PU10, Propositions 5.2.11, 5.1.14], $c_1 = c_2 = e^{P(\varphi,b)}$ and the measures μ and η are equivalent to the Gibbs measure $\mu_{\varphi,b}$. We also have $P(\varphi, b) = \ln r() = \ln \rho$, which under present assumptions could be readily proved using the formula in Theorem 2.4. We will show it without any assumption on b in Theorem 4.6 below. Hence ${}^*(\mu) = \rho\mu$, ${}^*(\eta) = \rho\eta$ and there is an η -integrable function h such that $\mu = h\eta$. For every $a \in C(X)$ we have $(a)h = (a(h \circ \varphi))$ and therefore ${}^*(h\eta) = (h \circ \varphi)({}^*(\eta)) = \varrho(h \circ \varphi)\eta$. Using this we get

$$h\eta = \mu = \varrho^{-1}({}^*(\mu)) = \varrho^{-1}({}^*(h\eta)) = (h \circ \varphi)\eta.$$

That is, $h = (h \circ \varphi)$ η -almost everywhere. But since η is equivalent to $\mu_{\varphi,b}$, which is φ -ergodic, cf. [PU10, Corollary 5.2.13], it follows that h is constant η -almost everywhere. Thus $\eta = \mu$.

(ii). Existence of $h \in C(X)^+$ with $\nu(h) = 1$ and $(h) = \varrho h$ follows from [PU10, Proposition 5.3.1]. And then $h\nu = \mu_{\varphi,b}$ is the Gibbs measure by [PU10, Theorem 5.3.2]. Thus if $g \in C(X)^+$ is another function with properties of h , then $h\nu = g\nu$, that is $g = h$, ν -almost everywhere, which implies $g = h$ because g and h are continuous and $\text{supp } \nu = X$. \square

The above results can be applied in more general situations using spectral decomposition. Recall that a point $x \in X$ is *non-wandering* if for every open neighborhood V of x we have $V \cap \varphi^n(V) \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of non-wandering points is denoted by $\Omega(\varphi)$. It is a closed forward φ -invariant set. A set $U \subseteq X$ is *forward φ -invariant* if $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$ and it is just *φ -invariant* if $\varphi^{-1}(U) = U$. We have $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \Omega(\varphi)$ for every $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$. If φ is open expanding, then $\Omega(\varphi)$ is equal to the closure of the set of periodic points [PU10, Proposition 4.3.6]. By [PU10, Corollary 4.2.4], the following is a special case of [PU10, Theorem 4.3.8]:

Theorem 2.6 (Spectral decomposition). *Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an open expanding map such that the set of periodic points is dense in X (that is $\Omega(\varphi) = X$). Then $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$ is a union of finitely many φ -invariant disjoint clopen sets X_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that for each j , the map $\varphi|_{X_j} : X_j \rightarrow X_j$ is topologically transitive. Moreover, each X_j is the union of $k(j)$ disjoint clopen sets X_j^k which are cyclically permuted by φ and such that $\varphi^{k(j)}|_{X_j^k} : X_j^k \rightarrow X_j^k$ is topologically mixing, for all j and k .*

Example 2.7. Let $\mathbb{A} = [t_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$ be a matrix of zeros and ones, with no rows which are all zeros. The *topological Markov shift* (TMS) with set of states $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and transition matrix \mathbb{A} is the map $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ where

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} := \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}} : t_{\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}} = 1\}, \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) := (\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots).$$

Here $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a metrisable compact space equipped with the product topology inherited from $\{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is an expanding local homeomorphism. This system can also be viewed this data one can construct a directed graph with set of vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and set of edges $\{(i, j) : t_{i,j} = 1\}$. Then $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the space of infinite paths in this graph. The number of paths from i to j of length n is $t_{i,j}^{(n)}$ where $\mathbb{A}^n = [t_{i,j}^{(n)}]_{i,j=1}^n$ is the n -th power of matrix \mathbb{A} . We write $i \rightarrow j$ if there is a path from i to j , that is $t_{i,j}^{(n)} > 0$ for some n . A state i is called *recurrent* if $i \rightarrow j$ implies $j \rightarrow i$ for every state j . We have $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} : \xi_i \text{ is recurrent for every } i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Hence $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ if and only if all states are recurrent. We also have that $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is topologically transitive if and only if \mathbb{A} is *irreducible* (i.e. for every i and j there is n such that $t_{i,j}^{(n)} > 0$) if and only if $i \leftrightarrow j$ for all states i and j . And $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is topologically mixing if and only if \mathbb{A} is *aperiodic*, that is there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all entries in \mathbb{A}^n are positive. If $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$, then $i \leftrightarrow j$ is an equivalence relation and thus $\{1, \dots, n\}$ decomposes into disjoint classes equivalence. After possible relabeling, these classes are $\{k_j + 1, \dots, k_{j+1}\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, where $k_1 = 0 < k_2 < \dots < k_{N+1} = n$. Then $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}(\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_N)$ where $\mathbb{A}_j = [t_{i,l}]_{i,l=k_j+1}^{k_{j+1}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}$ is the decomposition from Theorem 2.6 applied to $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$.

2.2. Endomorphisms, transfer operators and local homeomorphisms. In this paper A will always stand for a unital C^* -algebra. Usually we will also assume that A is commutative, so that by Gelfand-Naimark theorem we may identify $A \cong C(X)$ with algebra of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X . By a unital *endomorphism* of A we mean a $*$ -preserving endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(1) = 1$. If α is injective we refer to it as to a *monomorphism*. If $A = C(X)$ is commutative, then unital endomorphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ are in one-to-one correspondence with continuous mappings $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ where

$$\alpha(a)(x) = a(\varphi(x)), \quad a \in A = C(X), \quad x \in X,$$

cf. for instance [KL08]. If α and φ are in this correspondence, then α is a monomorphism if and only if φ is surjective. Transfer operators on C^* -algebras were introduced in [Exe03₁]. In this paper we will consider transfer operators that preserve the unit.

Definition 2.8. A (*unital*) *transfer operator* on a C^* -algebra A is a unit preserving positive linear map $L : A \rightarrow A$ for which there is an endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(5) \quad L(\alpha(a)b) = aL(b), \quad a \in A.$$

Then we also say that L is a transfer operator for α .

Remark 2.9. Since we assumed that $L(1) = 1$, relation (5) implies that L is a left inverse to α . Hence if an endomorphism admits a (unit preserving) transfer operator, then it is necessarily a monomorphism, and therefore, if $A = C(X)$ is commutative, the dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is necessarily surjective.

Remark 2.10. As a rule there are many different transfer operators for a given endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. On the other hand, if a transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ is *faithful*, i.e. it is injective on the cone of positive elements A^+ , then there is exactly one endomorphism α satisfying (5), see [Kwa17, Proposition 4.18]. We recall that

$L : A \rightarrow A$ is *almost faithful* if there is no non-zero $a \in A$ such that $L((ab)^*ab) = 0$ for all $b \in A$. If $A = C(X)$ is commutative, then faithfulness and almost faithfulness are equivalent, cf. [Kwa17, Lemma 2.4].

Transfer operators for an endomorphism $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, with dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$, are in one-to-one correspondence with continuous maps $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, where $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(x)$ for every $x \in X$, and $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the space of probability measures on X equipped with the weak* topology. In particular, every transfer operator for α is of the form

$$(6) \quad L(a)(y) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} a(y) d\mu_x(y), \quad a \in A = C(X).$$

This formula can be further simplified when $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a surjective local homeomorphism. Then every transfer operator for α is of the form

$$(7) \quad L(a)(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x) a(x), \quad a \in A = C(X)$$

where $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous function such that $\sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x) = 1$ for all $y \in X$, cf. [Kwa12₂]. We refer to ϱ with the above properties as to a *cocycle* for the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$.

Example 2.11. Ruelle's transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{e^b} f(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} e^{b(x)} f(x)$ associated to a local homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ and $b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ in general fails to be unital (we may have $\mathcal{L}_{e^b}(1) \neq 1$). Nevertheless, $\mathcal{L}_{e^b}(1) > 0$ is invertible and therefore $L := (\mathcal{L}_{e^b}(1))^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{e^b}$ is a unital transfer operator with the cocycle $\varrho(x) = e^{b(x)} (\sum_{x' \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} e^{b(x')})^{-1} > 0$ attaining strictly positive values.

To characterize transfer operators associated to strictly positive cocycles (as those in Example 2.11), we recall the notion of conditional expectation of finite type. Suppose that $B \subseteq A$ is a C^* -subalgebra that contains the unit $1 \in A$. A *conditional expectation* the C^* -algebra A onto the C^* -subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ is a linear contractive projection $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$ onto B . By Tomiyama's theorem this is equivalent to saying that $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$ is a unit preserving completely positive linear map such that $E(ba) = bE(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. We have the following easy fact, see [Exe03₁], [Kwa12₂].

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a monomorphism. We have a one-to-one correspondence between conditional expectations $E : A \rightarrow \alpha(A)$ onto range of α and transfer operators $L : A \rightarrow A$ for α where $E = \alpha \circ L$ and $L(a) = \alpha^{-1}(E(a))$ for $a \in A$.*

A conditional expectation $E : A \rightarrow B \subseteq A$ onto B is said to be of *(index-)finite type* if there is a quasi-basis for E , that is, a finite set $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\} \subseteq A$ such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i E(u_i^* a)$, for all $a \in A$, see [Wat90].

Definition 2.13. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a monomorphism. We say [Exe03₂, Definition 8.1] that α is an *endomorphism of finite-type* if there is a conditional expectation of finite type $E : A \rightarrow \alpha(A) \subseteq A$ onto the range of α . Equivalently, in view of Lemma 2.12, α is of finite type if and only if there is a transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ and

elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\} \subseteq A$ such that

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

In this case we will also say that L is a *transfer operator is of finite type*.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a unital monomorphism and $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ the associated continuous surjective map. Then α is of finite-type if and only if φ is a local homeomorphism. Moreover, a transfer operator $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ for α is of finite type if and only if it is given by (7) where the cocycle $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ attains strictly positive values. Then the associated quasi-basis can be defined by*

$$(8) \quad u_i(x) = \sqrt{\frac{v_i(x)}{\varrho(x)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq C(X)$ is a partition of unity subordinate to an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X , with $\varphi : U_i \rightarrow \varphi(U_i)$ homeomorphism for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. If φ is a local homeomorphism, then it is easy to check that for any continuous $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$, the transfer operator given by (7) is of finite type, with quasi-basis given by (8), cf. [EV06, Proposition 8.2]. Indeed, for any $a \in C(X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)) \right] (x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \sum_{\varphi(y)=\varphi(x)} \overline{u_i(y)} \varrho(y) a(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i(x)|^2 \varrho(x) a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i(x) a(x) = a(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence α is of finite type.

Now suppose that α admits a transfer operator L of finite type. Fix a corresponding quasi-basis $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^N \subseteq A$. Then L is given by (6) and we have

$$(9) \quad a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} \overline{u_i(y)} a(y) d\mu_{\varphi(x)}(y) \quad \text{for every } a \in C(X) \text{ and } x \in X.$$

This forces φ to be at most N -to-one map. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there are $N + 1$ different points $x_1, \dots, x_{N+1} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ for a certain $x \in X$. Then putting $c_{j,i} := u_i(x_j)$ we get a $(N + 1) \times N$ matrix $C = [c_{j,i}]_{j=1, i=1}^{N+1, N}$. It's range is at most N -dimensional. Hence there is a vector $y = [y_j]_{j=1}^{N+1}$ such that for every vector $\lambda = [\lambda_i]_{i=1}^N$ we have $C\lambda \neq y$. This contradicts (9) by taking $\underline{a} \in C(X)$ such that $a(x_j) = y_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N + 1$, and putting $\lambda_i := \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))} \overline{u_i(y)} a(y) d\mu_{\varphi(x)}(y)$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

We define $\varrho(x) := \mu_{\varphi(x)}(\{x\})$, $x \in X$. Since φ is at most N -to-one, (9) gives

$$a(x) = \sum_{\varphi(y)=\varphi(x)} a(y) \varrho(y) \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) \overline{u_i(y)} \quad \text{for every } a \in C(X) \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Plugging into this equation $a \in C(X)$ such that $a(x) = 1$ and $a(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ we get $1 = \varrho(x) \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i(x)|^2$, for every $x \in X$. This implies that ϱ is strictly

positive and continuous. In particular, we have $\text{supp } \mu_y = \varphi^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in X$, and therefore φ is an open map, see, for instance, [Kwa17, Lemma 3.28]. Thus it suffices to show that every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood on which φ is injective. Note that $X \ni y \mapsto L(1/\varrho)(y) = |\varphi^{-1}(y)|$ is a continuous function. Hence there is a neighborhood V of $\varphi(x)$ such that each point in V has exactly $M \leq N$ elements in the pre-image. Let $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) = \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ and take disjoint open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^M$ such that $x_i \in U_i \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(V)$, $i = 1, \dots, M$. Putting $V' := \bigcap_{i=1}^M \varphi(U_i)$ and $U'_i := U_i \cap \varphi^{-1}(V')$, for $i = 1, \dots, M$, we get that φ restricted to each U'_i is injective. Indeed, for every $x' \in U'_i$, the M -element set $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x'))$ intersects each of M disjoint sets U'_j , $j = 1, \dots, M$. In particular, x belongs to some U'_i , and hence φ is locally injective. \square

Corollary 2.15. *If $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a transfer operator of finite type, then it is faithful and therefore there is a unique endomorphism $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that L is a transfer operator for α . Moreover, $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$ is given by composition with a surjective local homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 2.14 and Remark 2.10. \square

3. ABSTRACT WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

In this section we introduce axiomatically the class of operators that we study in this paper. The first two axioms (A1), (A2) appear in previous papers, cf. for instance [LM98], [ABL11], and are sufficient for the study of spectral radius. We introduce the third axiom (A3) as it will be crucial for the analysis of C^* -algebras and spectra of the operators we consider. We also discuss two model examples that arise from topological or measure theoretical dynamical system.

3.1. Abstract weighted shift operator. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the C^* -algebra of bounded operators on some Hilbert space H . Throughout this subsection we assume that $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a unital C^* -subalgebra and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an isometry. Consider the following conditions that A and T may satisfy:

- (A1) $T^*AT \subseteq A$;
- (A2) there is a unital endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ such that $Ta = \alpha(a)T$, for all $a \in A$;
- (A3) $\overline{ATH} = H$, i.e. the closed linear span of elements of ATH is equal to H .

Proposition 3.1. *(A1) and (A2) are satisfied if and only if the formula $L(a) := T^*aT$ defines a transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ for a unital endomorphism of A . If in addition condition (A3) holds, then L is almost faithful, so if A is commutative, L is faithful and the endomorphism in question is unique.*

Proof. Condition (A1) is equivalent to that the formula $L(a) := T^*aT$, $a \in A$, gives a well defined map $L : A \rightarrow A$. Assume (A1). Then $L : A \rightarrow A$ is positive (because $L(a^*a) = (aT)^*aT \geq 0$) and unit preserving (because T is an isometry). Condition (A2) implies that $L(b\alpha(a)) = T^*b\alpha(a)T = T^*bTa = L(b)a$, for all $a, b \in A$, and hence L is a transfer operator. Conversely, if L is a transfer operator for some endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. Then using the C^* -equality and transfer identity, for every $a \in A$, one gets $\|Ta - \alpha(a)T\|^2 = \|(Ta - \alpha(a)T)(Ta - \alpha(a)T)^*\| = 0$, which is (A2), cf. [Kwa17, Proposition 4.3].

Now assume (A1), (A2), (A3). Let $a \in A$ be such that $L((ab)^*(ab)) = 0$ for all $b \in A$. Then $\|abT\|^2 = \|T^*(ab)^*abT\| = \|L((ab)^*ab)\| = 0$, that is $abT = 0$. By (A3) elements bTh are linearly dense in H . Hence $a = 0$ and L is almost faithful. If A is commutative, then L is faithful and uniqueness of α follows from [Kwa17, Proposition 4.18]. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ an isometry satisfying (A1) and (A2). We call operators of the form aT , $a \in A$, *abstract weighted shift operators* associated with the transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ where $L(a) := T^*aT$, $a \in A$. If in addition (A3) holds, we say that weighted shift operators aT , $a \in A$, are *well presented*.

We will be mostly interested in the case when T is not invertible, as otherwise we land in a situation described in [AL94]:

Proposition 3.3. *Assume (A1) and (A2) where A is a commutative C^* -algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is a unitary
- (ii) aT is invertible for some $a \in A$;
- (iii) $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is an automorphism and (A3) holds;
- (iv) T is unitary and $T^*AT = A$, i.e. aT , $a \in A$, are abstract weighted shifts sense of [AL94, 3.1].

Thus if T is not invertible, then all weighted operators aT , $a \in A$ are not invertible.

Proof. Implications (iii) \Leftarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii) are obvious. If aT , for some $a \in A$, is invertible, then it follows from Lemma 6.3(iii) (which we will prove below) that a is invertible. Hence also T is invertible and therefore (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If α is an automorphism, then L is an automorphism, as a right inverse to α . Multiplicativity of L is equivalent to $TT^* \in A'$, see [KL13, Lemma 1.2]. Hence we get $ATH = ATT^*H = TT^*AH$, which by (A3) implies T is onto, and therefore a unitary.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv). If T is a unitary, then (A2) implies that $\alpha(a) = T^*aT$, for $a \in A$. Hence α and L are mutually inverse automorphism of A . In particular, $T^*AT = A$. \square

When L is of finite type, then condition (A3) can be expressed in terms of a single algebraic relation that was first discovered in [EV06]:

Lemma 3.4. *Assume (A1), (A2) and suppose that the associated transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ is of finite type. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) condition (A3) holds;
- (ii) we have $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i TT^* u_i^* = 1$ for every quasi-basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq A$ for L ;
- (iii) we have $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i TT^* u_i^* = 1$ for some quasi-basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq A$ for L .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a quasi-basis for L . For any $a \in A$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N u_i TT^* u_i^* \right) aTh = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i T L(u_i^* a) h = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \alpha(L(u_i^* a)) Th = aTh.$$

Since elements aTh are linearly dense in H , this implies $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i TT^* u_i^* = 1$.

Implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is clear. To show (iii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a quasi-basis for L such that $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* = 1$. Then for any $h \in H$ we have $h = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i T T^* u_i^* h \in \overline{ATH}$, that is $\overline{ATH} = H$. \square

In this paper we will consider the situation when A is commutative. Then $A \cong C(X)$, α is given by a continuous surjective map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$, and L is given by a continuous family of measures $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ with $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus we associate to abstract weighted shifts the triple $(X, \varphi, \{\mu_x\}_{x \in X})$. In finite type case, φ is a local homeomorphism and $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ is equivalent to a continuous cocycle map $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

3.2. Weighted shifts associated with topological dynamical system. Suppose that X is a compact Hausdorff space and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a Borel probability measure on X with full support, that is $\text{supp } \mu = X$. Then putting $H := L_\mu^2(X)$ the C^* -algebra of continuous functions $C(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ of operators of multiplication: $(ah)(x) = a(x)h(x)$, $h \in L_\mu^2(X)$, $a \in C(X)$. Thus in the sequel we will write $A = C(X)$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map that preserves μ . Then φ is necessarily surjective and the composition operator $T : L_\mu^2(X) \rightarrow L_\mu^2(X)$:

$$(10) \quad (Th)(x) = h(\varphi(x)), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(X)$$

is an isometry. We have a unital monomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$, and clearly T and α satisfy axiom (A2). Note that $TH = \{f \circ \varphi : f \in L_\mu^2(X)\}$ contains the constant functions. So ATH contains the subspace $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$ which is dense in $H = L_\mu^2(X)$. Hence axiom (A3) holds.

Axiom (A1) may fail (cf. Example 3.7 below). More specifically, suppose that $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a disintegration of μ along the fibers of φ , i.e. each μ_x is supported on $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ and $\int_X h(y) d\mu(y) = \int_X \left(\int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} h(x) d\mu_y(x) \right) d\mu(y)$ for every $h \in L_\mu^1(X)$.¹ Then one gets that

$$(11) \quad T^*h(y) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} h(x) d\mu_y(x), \quad h \in L_\mu^2(X),$$

and (A1) holds if and only if $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ is *continuous* in the sense that the map $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is continuous. In fact, we have

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map for which there exists $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ with $\text{supp } \mu = X$. For the C^* -algebra $C(X) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$ and isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$ as above, axioms (A2) and (A3) always hold. Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *axiom (A1) holds;*
- (ii) *$L^*(\mu) = \mu$ for some transfer operator $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ for $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$;*
- (iii) *there is a continuous $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ disintegration of μ along the fibers of φ ;*
- (iv) *co-isometry T^* preserves the subspace $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$;*
- (v) *projection TT^* preserves the subspace $C(X) \subseteq L_\mu^2(X)$.*

¹It is well known that such an disintegration exists when X is second countable. In our set up its existence follows from axiom (A3) without any separability assumptions, see Proposition 3.5

If in addition φ is a local homeomorphism, and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an open cover of X such that $\varphi : U_i \rightarrow \varphi(U_i)$ is injective, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (vi) there is a continuous function $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\varrho(x) = \frac{d(\mu \circ \varphi|_{U_i}^{-1})}{d\mu}(\varphi(x))$ for μ -almost all $x \in U_i$, and all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

If (vi) holds, then the formula $\mu_{\varphi(x)}(\{x\}) = \varrho(x)$ determines the continuous disintegration $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$, L is given by (7) and $T^*h(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)h(x)$, $h \in L^2_\mu(X)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If (A1) holds, then $L(a) := T^*aT$, $a \in A$, is a transfer operator for α , and for any $a \in C(X)$ we have $\int_X L(a)(y)d\mu(y) = \langle 1, T^*aT1 \rangle = \langle T1, aT1 \rangle = \int_X a(y)d\mu(y)$. Hence $L^*(\mu) = \mu$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). The transfer operator $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ it is of the form (6) where $X \ni x \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is continuous. Relation $L^*(\mu) = \mu$ means that $\int_X \left(\int_{\varphi^{-1}(y)} a(x)d\mu_y(x) \right) d\mu(y) = \int_X a(y)d\mu(y)$ for all $a \in C(X)$. Since $C(X)$ is dense in $L^1_\mu(X)$, $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ is disintegration of μ along the fibers of φ .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). This immediately follows from (11) and continuity of $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). This is clear because T preserves $C(X) \subseteq L^2_\mu(X)$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i). The restriction $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ coincides with the endomorphism $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$. If the projection TT^* preserves $C(X)$ it is a conditional expectation onto the range of α . Hence $TT^* : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a $\alpha(C(X))$ -bimodule map, and for any $a \in C(X)$ there is $b \in C(X)$ with $TT^*a = \alpha(b)$. Thus for any $h \in C(X) \subseteq L^2_\mu(X)$, treating a as element of A , and using (A2) we get $TT^*aTh = \alpha(b)Th = Tbh$. Since $C(X)$ is dense in $L^2_\mu(X)$, this shows that $TT^*AT \subseteq TA$. Since $T^*T = 1$, this gives $T^*AT \subseteq A$.

This proves equivalence (i)-(v). If φ is a local homeomorphism, we have (v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) by [PU10, Proposition 4.2.1]. The remaining part is straightforward or discussed earlier. \square

Remark 3.6. If $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ like in Proposition 3.5(vi) exists and is strictly positive, then $1/\varrho$ is a *strong Jacobian* of φ with respect to μ , cf. [PU10, Definition 1.9.4]. Namely, for every open $U \subseteq X$ on which φ is injective we have $\mu(\varphi(U)) = \int_U \varrho(x)^{-1}d\mu(x)$.

Example 3.7. Let $X = [0, 1) \pmod{1}$ and $\varphi(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$. For any $p_0, p_1 > 0$ with $p_0 + p_1 = 1$ define $\varrho = p_0\mathbb{1}_{[0, 1/2)} + p_1\mathbb{1}_{[1/2, 1)}$. Then $\varrho > 0$ is a cocycle for φ but ϱ is continuous if only if $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Let λ be the Lebesgue measure (length) on $[0, 1)$ and put $\mu := \varrho\lambda$, that is $\mu(U) := \int_U \varrho d\lambda$ for all Borel $U \subseteq X$. Then $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$, $\text{supp } \mu = X$, and the adjoint of the isometry $(Th)(x) = h(\varphi(x))$ is given by $T^*h(y) = \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x)h(x)$, $h \in L^2_\mu(X)$. The corresponding disintegration $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ of μ is given by $\mu_x = p_0\delta_{\frac{1}{2}x} + p_1\delta_{\frac{1}{2}(x+1)}$, $x \in X$. The equivalent conditions in Proposition 3.5 hold if and only if $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$.

We may reverse the above problem and ask whether for a given dynamical system (X, φ) and transfer operator $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ there exists a φ -invariant measure such that $\text{supp } \mu = X$ and L is implemented by the isometry (10). Since $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \Omega(\varphi)$, we then necessarily have that all points are non-wandering: $\Omega(\varphi) = X$. Knowledge from thermodynamical formalism allows us to give the following answer:

Proposition 3.8. *Let $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a transfer operator of finite type on a separable C^* -algebra $C(X)$ such that the corresponding local homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is expanding and $\Omega(\varphi) = X$. Then there exists $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ with $\text{supp } \mu = X$ such that*

$$L(a) = TaT^*, \quad A = C(X)$$

where $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$ are multiplication operators, and $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$ is the operator of composition with φ . That is, $aT, a \in A$, are well presented weighted shifts associated with the transfer operator L .

If $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically transitive and the logarithm of the associated cocycle $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is Hölder continuous, then the measure μ above is unique, and it is the Gibbs measure $\mu_{\varphi, \ln \varrho}$.

Proof. Since $L(1) = 1$, the dual operator $L^* : C(X)^* \rightarrow C(X)^*$ preserves $\mathcal{M}(X) \subseteq C(X)^*$ which is a compact convex set in weak $*$ -topology. By Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, there is $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $L^*(\mu) = \mu$. This measure is φ -invariant as $\mu(f \circ \varphi) = \mu(L(f \circ \varphi)) = \mu(f)$ for $f \in C(X)$. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically transitive, then $\text{supp } \mu = X$. Indeed, assume on the contrary that there is non-empty open U with $\mu(U) = 0$. Since the corresponding cocycle $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is strictly positive this implies that $\mu(\varphi(U)) = 0$ by Remark 3.6. By induction we get that $\varphi^n(U) = 0$ for all $n > 0$. However, as φ^k for some k is topologically mixing, we get $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^N \varphi^n(U)$ for some N . This leads to contradiction: $1 = \mu(X) \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \mu(\varphi^n(U)) = 0$. Thus μ is the desired measure by Proposition 3.5. If in addition $\ln \varrho$ is Hölder continuous, then by Theorem 2.5, the Gibbs measure $\mu = \mu_{\varphi, \ln \varrho}$ is the unique probability measure for which $L^*(\mu) = \mu$.

In general, we may use the spectral decomposition $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$ described in Theorem 2.6. We get the desired measure by putting $\mu := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j$ where μ_j is the measure constructed in the first step for the topologically transitive map $\varphi|_{X_j}$ and the transfer operator given by cocycle $\varrho|_{X_j}$. \square

Example 3.9. Let $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ be the topological Markov shift such that all states $\{1, \dots, n\}$ are essential, see Example 2.7. Then $\Omega(\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) = \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$. By Proposition 3.8 for every cocycle $\varrho : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ for $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ there is a measure $\mu \in \text{Inv}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ such that $\int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} \sum_{x \in \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(y)} \varrho(x) a(x) d\mu(y) = \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} a(y) d\mu(y)$ for all $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$. To say more about the relationship between ϱ and μ , recall that elements of $\text{Inv}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ with full support are in one-to-one correspondence with collections of nonnegative numbers $\{p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} : (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$,

$$p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{k+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k, i} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_{k+1}}$$

and $p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} > 0$ if and only if $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ is a path in the directed graph given by \mathbb{A} . This correspondence is given by the equality $p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} = \mu(C_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k})$ where $C_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k} \subseteq \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the cylinder set of sequences that start with $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$. Suppose that $\varrho : \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ depends only on finite number of coordinates, i.e. there is N such that $\varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = \varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$. Every such function is Hölder continuous and ϱ is induced by a measure μ if and only if $\varrho(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N) = \frac{p_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k}}}{p_{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k}}}$ for all paths $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N+k})$. If \mathbb{A} is irreducible, this measure is unique and it is the Gibbs

measure $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}$. For instance, if \mathbb{A} is irreducible and $N = 2$, then $\varrho = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_{i,j} \mathbb{1}_{C_{ij}}$ where $P = [p_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$ is a left stochastic matrix, and by classic Perron-Frobenius theorem there exists only one probability distribution $p = [p_i]_{i=1}^n$ such that $Pp = p$. In this case, the Gibbs measure is the *Markov distribution* with transition matrix P and initial distribution p : $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}(C_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}) = p_{\xi_1 \xi_2} \cdot p_{\xi_2 \xi_3} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{\xi_{k-1} \xi_k} \cdot p_{\xi_k}$. Alternatively, we could consider the right stochastic matrix $Q = [q_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$ where $q_{i,j} := \frac{p_{i,j} p_j}{p_i}$. Then $\mu_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \ln \varrho}(C_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k}) = p_{\xi_1} \cdot q_{\xi_1 \xi_2} \cdot q_{\xi_2 \xi_3} \cdot \dots \cdot q_{\xi_{k-1} \xi_k}$.

3.3. Weighted shifts associated with measure dynamical system. Let us consider a more general situation where (Ω, Σ, μ) is a σ -finite measure space and $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a measurable map, with measurable range $\Phi(\Omega)$. We are interested in weighted operators of the form

$$(12) \quad (Th)(\omega) := \rho(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad h \in L_{\mu}^2(\Omega),$$

where $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Σ -measurable function. Recall that Φ is μ -almost surjective if and only if $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Phi(\Omega)) = 0$ and then the measure $\mu \circ \Phi : \Phi^{-1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is defined. We write $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$ if $\mu \circ \Phi$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ restricted to $\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. If $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(U)) < \infty$ for every $U \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(U) < \infty$, we say that Φ is μ -proper. If \mathcal{F} is a σ -subalgebra of Σ , the *conditional expectation of ρ with respect to \mathcal{F}* is an \mathcal{F} -measurable function $E(\rho|\mathcal{F}) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_U \rho d\mu = \int_U E(\rho|\mathcal{F}) d\mu$ for all $U \in \mathcal{F}$. If it exists $E(\rho|\mathcal{F})$ is unique, up to equality μ -almost everywhere.

Lemma 3.10. *Formula (12) defines an isometry on $L_{\mu}^2(\Omega)$ if and only if $\int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu = \mu(U)$ for every $U \in \Sigma$. So if this is the case, then Φ is μ -almost surjective, $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$ and $|\rho|^2$ can be considered a generalized Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mu \circ \Phi$ with respect to μ .*

More specifically, if Φ is μ -almost surjective, $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$ and $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ exists, which is always the case when Φ is μ -proper, then (12) defines an isometry if and only if $E(|\rho|^2|\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$, so in particular, one can always put $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}$.

Proof. Plainly, T is a well defined isometry if and only if for every $U \in \Sigma$ we have $\mu(U) = \|T\mathbb{1}_U\|^2 = \int |\rho|^2 (\mathbb{1}_U \circ \Phi) d\mu = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu$. If this holds, then $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Phi(\Omega)) = \int_{\emptyset} |\rho|^2 d\mu = 0$, that is Φ is μ -almost surjective. Moreover, since $\int_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} |\rho|^2 d\mu = \mu(U) = (\mu \circ \Phi)(\Phi^{-1}(U))$, we get that $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$ on $\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. Thus if $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ exists then $|\rho|^2 = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} \mu|_{\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)}$ -almost everywhere, and hence $E(|\rho|^2|\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$. When Φ is μ -proper, then $\mu \circ \Phi$ is σ -finite and therefore $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ exists. \square

Remark 3.11. We recall, cf. e.g. [Rid73], that the formula

$$(13) \quad (T_{\Phi}h)(\omega) = h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad h \in L_{\mu}^2(\Omega),$$

defines a bounded operator $T_{\Phi} : L_{\mu}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mu}^2(\Omega)$ if and only if $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$ and the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d(\mu \circ \Phi^{-1})}{d\mu}$ is essentially bounded, then $\|T_{\Phi}\| = \left\| \frac{d(\mu \circ \Phi^{-1})}{d\mu} \right\|_{L_{\mu}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$.

We identify the C^* -algebra $A := L_{\mu}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ of essentially bounded functions with the algebra of operators of multiplication on $L_{\mu}^2(\Omega)$:

$$(ah)(\omega) = a(\omega)h(\omega), \quad a \in A = L_{\mu}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad h \in L_{\mu}^2(\Omega).$$

Thus if (13) defines a bounded operator, then all weighted composition operators aT_Φ , $a \in A$, are bounded. The formula $\alpha(a) = a \circ \Phi$, $a \in A$, gives a well defined map $\alpha : L_\mu^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ if and only if $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$, and then α is a unital endomorphism.

Proposition 3.12. *Assume that (12) defines an isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(\Omega))$, cf. Lemma 3.10. Assume also that $\alpha(a) = a \circ \Phi$ defines an endomorphism of $A := L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$, that is $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$. Then axioms (A1), (A2) are satisfied, so aT , $a \in A$, are abstract weighted shift operators. Moreover,*

- (i) *(A3) holds if and only if $\rho \neq 0$ μ -almost everywhere.*
- (ii) *Every weighted composition operator aT_Φ , $a \in A$, is an abstract weighted composition operator bT , $b \in A$, if and only if $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$.*

Proof. It is immediate that $Ta = \alpha(a)T$, for all $a \in A$, which is axiom (A2). Now using (A2) for all $a, b \in A$ we have

$$T^*aTb = T^*a\alpha(b)T = T^*\alpha(b)aT = bT^*aT.$$

Thus $T^*aT \in A' = (L_\mu^\infty(\Omega))' = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega) = A$ because $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(\Omega))$. Hence (A1) holds. One readily sees that for $a \in A$ we have $(aT)(L_\mu^2(\Omega)) = L_\mu^2(\{\omega \in \Omega : a(\omega)\rho(\omega) \neq 0\})$. Hence $\overline{ATL_\mu^2(\Omega)} = L_\mu^2(\Omega)$ if and only if $\rho \neq 0$ μ -almost everywhere. This proves (i). Clearly, $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$ if and only if ρ^{-1} exists and belongs to $L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$. Thus if this holds, then for every $a \in A$, we have $b := a\rho^{-1} \in A$ and $aT_\Phi = bT$. Conversely, if $T_\Phi = aT$ for some $a \in A$, then $a\rho = 1$ μ -almost everywhere. That is $\rho^{-1} = a \in A = L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ and therefore $\text{ess inf } |\rho| > 0$. This proves (ii). \square

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose that Φ is μ -almost surjective, μ -proper, $\mu \circ \Phi \preceq \mu$ and $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$. Then $T := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}T_\Phi$ is an isometry, $(L_\mu^\infty(\Omega), T)$ satisfies (A1), (A2) and*

- (i) *(A3) holds if and only if μ is forward quasi-invariant with respect to Φ , in the sense that $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} > 0$ μ -almost everywhere.*
- (ii) *Every weighted composition operator aT_Φ , $a \in A$, is an abstract weighted composition operator bT , $b \in A$, if and only if μ is forward quasi-invariant in the strong sense that $(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu})^{-1} \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.12 to $\rho := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}}$, which is allowed by Lemma 3.10. \square

Remark 3.14. Equivalence in (ii) in Corollary 3.13 can be extended because $(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu})^{-1} \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ if and only if $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi^{-1}}{d\mu} \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ if and only if the operator T_Φ is bounded. This follows from Remark 3.11 and the fact that if $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} > 0$, then $(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi^{-1}}{d\mu}) \circ \Phi$ and $(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu})^{-1}$ are equal $(\mu \circ \Phi)$ -almost everywhere.

Now we specialize to the case where $\Omega = V$ is a countable set and μ is the counting measure. So the initial data is just a map $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$. Then μ -surjectivity is just surjectivity, and if $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}$ exists then Φ is finite-to-one and $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}(v) = |\Phi^{-1}(v)|$, for $v \in V$. Thus we get

Corollary 3.15. *Let $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ and $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two maps where V is a set. The formula $(Th)(v) := \rho(v)h(\Phi(v))$ defines an isometry on $H := \ell^2(V)$ if and only if*

$\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ is a surjective map and $\sum_{w \in \Phi^{-1}(v)} |\rho(w)|^2 = 1$ for every $v \in V$. Assume T is an isometry and let $A \cong \ell^\infty(V)$ be the algebra of operators of multiplication on H . Then axioms (A1), (A2) are satisfied and hence operators of the form aT , $a \in A$, are abstract weighted shift operators. (A3) holds if and only if $\rho > 0$.

Remark 3.16. Corollary 3.15 covers a large class of bounded weighted shifts on directed trees introduced and analyzed in [JJS12]. This concerns trees that are leafless, rootless and such that the number of children branches at all vertices is bounded, i.e. $\sup_{v \in V} |\Phi^{-1}(v)| < \infty$.

4. SPECTRAL RADIUS

In this section we discuss variational formulas for spectral radius abstract weighted shifts that we defined in previous paragraph. The main result is Theorem 4.6 that shows Ruelle's formula for general expanding maps. The basic fact is that such analysis can be reduced to the study of spectral radius of weighted transfer operators:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an isometry. Assume (A1), i.e. $T^*AT \subseteq A$. The spectral radius of the bounded operator $aT : H \rightarrow H$, $a \in A$, is equal to the square root of the spectral radius of the operator $L_a : A \rightarrow A$ where $L_a(\cdot) := T^*(a^* \cdot a)T$:*

$$r(aT) = \sqrt{r(L_a)}, \quad a \in A.$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $L_a^n : A \rightarrow A$ is a (completely) positive map, $\|L_a^n\|_{\mathcal{B}(A)} = \|L_a^n(1)\|_A$, see for instance [Kwa17, Lemma 2.1]. Thus by the C^* -equality and definition of L_a , we get

$$\|(aT)^n\|^2 = \|(aT)^{*n}(aT)^n\| = \|L_a^n(1)\| = \|L_a^n\|.$$

Thus $r(aT) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(aT)^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_a^n\|^{1/2n} = \sqrt{r(L_a)}$. \square

When $A \cong C(X)$ is commutative and (A1) and (A2) hold, then $L_a : A \rightarrow A$ defined above is a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator $|a|^2$ for the map dual to the endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$. Also L_a may be viewed a weighted transfer operator $L|a|^2 : A \rightarrow A$ where $L(\cdot) = T^* \cdot T$ is a unital transfer operator.

4.1. Antonevich-Bakhtin-Lebedev formula using t -entropy. Spectral radius of general weighted transfer operator was investigated in [ABL11], where it was described using the notion of t -entropy. Here we recall a definition simplified in [BL17].

Definition 4.2 ([ABL11], [BL17]). Let $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a (unital) transfer operator for an endomorphism whose dual map is $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. The t -entropy of L is the functional $\tau_L : \text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ whose value at $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ is defined by the formula:

$$(14) \quad \tau_L(\mu) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{D(X)} \sum_{g \in D} \mu(g) \ln \frac{\mu(L^n g)}{\mu(g)}$$

where $D(X)$ is the set of all partitions of unity in the algebra $C(X)$. If we have $\mu(g) = 0$ for a certain function $g \in D$, then we set the corresponding summand in (14) to be zero. And if there exists $g \in D(X)$ such that $L^n g \equiv 0$ and $\mu(g) > 0$, then we set $\tau_L(\mu) = -\infty$.

If $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a transfer operator and $b \in C(X)^+$ is a positive function, then by [ABL11, Theorem 11.2] we have $\ln r(Lb) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln |b| d\mu + \tau_L(\mu) \right)$ where $\tau_L(\mu)$ is t -entropy for L . In particular, $\ln r(L) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \tau_L(\mu)$.

Theorem 4.3 (Antonevich-Bakhtin-Lebedev). *Let aT , $a \in A$, be abstract weighted shifts associated with transfer operator L (i.e. assume (A1) and (A2)) where $A \cong C(X)$ is commutative. Then*

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right), \quad a \in A,$$

where $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is the dual map to the endomorphism in (A2) and τ_L is the t -entropy for L (we adapt the convention that $\ln 0 = -\infty$).

Proof. Combine Lemma 4.1 and [ABL11, Theorem 11.2]. Or apply [ABL11, Theorem 13.6]. \square

Remark 4.4. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism, then we are in the situation of Theorem 1.2, see Proposition 3.3. And then $\tau_L(\mu) = 0$ for every $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$, by [ABL11, Proposition 8.3]. Thus the above formula agrees with (2).

The above formulas and Theorem 2.5 indicate that when φ is an expanding open map, then t -entropy $\tau_L(\mu)$ of L is closely related to Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy $h_\varphi(\mu)$, but this issue was not pursued in [ABL11], [BL17]. We will now clarify this relationship and extend the last part of Theorem 2.5 to arbitrary expanding open maps φ and arbitrary continuous weights c .

4.2. General Ruelle's formula for expanding local homeomorphisms. Let us fix a local homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ on a compact metric space and a continuous function $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_c : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius transfer operator given by (4). By Theorem 2.5, if c is strictly positive and Hölder continuous and φ is expanding and topologically transitive then $\ln r(c) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$. In this subsection we show that this formula holds for arbitrary continuous c and arbitrary expanding open φ . The proof is independent of Theorem 2.5.

Using equalities $\|\mathcal{L}_c^n\| = \|\mathcal{L}_c^n(1)\|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the Gelfand formula for spectral radius, in general we have

$$(15) \quad \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{y \in X} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)).$$

The following lemma is a part of [LM98, Theorem 12], which is stated without a proof. Thus we give a short argument.

Lemma 4.5. *If $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a local homeomorphism and $c : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is strictly positive, then*

$$(16) \quad \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) \leq P(\varphi, \ln c) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right).$$

Proof. In view of formulas (3) and (15) it suffices to show that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$ the set $\varphi^{-n}(x)$ is ε -separated in n -Bowen's metric d_n . The latter holds for every local homeomorphism. Indeed, the set $\Delta :=$

$\{x : |\varphi^{-1}(x)| = 1\}$ is clopen in X . The function $d : X \setminus \Delta \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by $d(x) = \min\{d(y_1, y_2) : y_1, y_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(x), y_1 \neq y_2\} > 0$ is continuous on the compact set $X \setminus \Delta$. Thus $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\min_{x \in X \setminus \Delta} d(x), d(X \setminus \Delta, \Delta)\} > 0$ is non-zero. It is straightforward to check that this is the desired number. \square

In general, if φ is not expanding, inequality (16) might be sharp. Indeed, if φ is a homeomorphism and $c \equiv 1$, then $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$ and $P(\varphi, 0) = h(\varphi)$ may be an arbitrary non-negative number, cf. [Wal82, Section 7.3].

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an expanding open map on a metrisable compact space X . For any continuous $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ we have*

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

where $h_\varphi(\mu)$ is Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of measure μ (we have $h_\varphi(\mu) < \infty$).

Proof. Since φ is expanding the entropy map $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow h_\varphi(\mu) \in [0, \infty)$ is upper semi-continuous (cf. [Wal82, 7.11.1 and 8.2] and remarks below Theorem 2.2). Also the map $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow \int_X \ln c d\mu$ is always upper semi-continuous, cf. the proof of [Rue89, Lemma 1.4]. Therefore the supremum $\sup_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right)$ is in fact a maximum. In addition, using ergodic decomposition we have

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \left(\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \right),$$

cf. [PU10, Corollary 2.4.3], or [Wal82, 9.10.1].

Our proof of the assertion goes by a consecutive relaxing of the assumptions. First we assume that $c > 0$ is strictly positive. So that, in view of Lemma 4.5, we need to show that $P(\varphi, \ln c) \leq \ln r(\mathcal{L}_c)$.

1) Assume first that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically transitive. By Theorem 2.6, $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^k X_j$ is a union of k disjoint clopen sets X_j which are cyclically permuted by φ and such that $\varphi^k : X_j \rightarrow X_j$ is topologically mixing. Hence by [FJ01, Proposition 2] for every $j = 1, \dots, k$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $p_j(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi^{kp_j(\varepsilon)}(B(x, \varepsilon) \cap X_j) = X_j$ for every $x \in X_j$. Thus there is $p(\varepsilon) \geq \max_{j=1, \dots, k} p_j(\varepsilon)$ such that $\varphi^{p(\varepsilon)}(B(x, \varepsilon) \cap X_j) = X_j$ for every $x \in X_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$. By [FJ01, Proposition 1.5] for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\varphi^n(B_n(x, \varepsilon)) = B(\varphi^n(x), \varepsilon)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$ (here $B_n(x, \varepsilon)$ is a ball in Bowen's n -metric). Accordingly, for all $x \in X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\varphi^{n+p(\varepsilon)}(B_n(x, \varepsilon)) = \varphi^{p(\varepsilon)}(B(\varphi^n(x), \varepsilon)) \supseteq X_i \text{ if } \varphi^n(x) \in X_i.$$

This implies that for any elements $y_i \in X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, the set $\varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})$ is (d_n, ε) -spanning. Now, recall that apart from (3) topological pressure is given by the formula

$$P(\varphi, \ln c) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ (d_n, \varepsilon)\text{-spanning}}} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{y \in E} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)),$$

cf. [Wal82, 9.3]. For any finite set $E \subseteq X$ and function $b : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ we have

$$\sum_{x \in E} b(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(\varphi^n(E))} b(x) = \sum_{y \in \varphi^n(E)} \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} b(x) \leq |\varphi^n(E)| \sup_{y \in X} \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} b(x),$$

which by taking $b(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x))$ gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in E} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) \leq \max_{y \in X} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) + \ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Thus, in view of (15) and the above formula for $P(\varphi, \ln c)$, it suffices to show that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq X \text{ is} \\ (d_n, \varepsilon)\text{-spanning}}} \ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

But taking $E := \varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})$ to be the (d_n, ε) -spanning set constructed above we get

$$\ln |\varphi^n(E)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \ln |\varphi^n(\varphi^{-(n+p(\varepsilon))}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}))|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \ln |\varphi^{-p(\varepsilon)}(\{y_1, \dots, y_k\})|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

which tends to zero, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This gives the assertion in the considered case.

2) Now suppose that the set of periodic points is dense in X (equivalently $\Omega(\varphi) = X$). By spectral decomposition (Theorem 2.6) we have $X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$ where $X_j = \varphi^{-1}(X_j)$ are compact and the maps $\varphi|_{X_j} : X_j \rightarrow X_j$ are topologically transitive (and expanding open). Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_c = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{X_j}}$ and $\text{Erg}(X, \varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N \text{Erg}(X_j, \varphi|_{X_j})$. Hence by step 1) we get

$$r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{X_j}}) = \max_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_j, \varphi) \\ j=1, \dots, N}} e^{\int_{X_j} \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)},$$

which gives the assertion in this case.

3) Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ be arbitrary expanding open map. The restriction of φ to $\Omega(\varphi) = \overline{\text{Per}(\varphi)}$ is also expanding and it remains an open map by [PU10, Lemma 3.3.10]. Hence we may consider a transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}} : C(\Omega(\varphi)) \rightarrow C(\Omega(\varphi))$ associated to $\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$. Since $\|\mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}^n(1)\| \leq \|\mathcal{L}_c^n(1)\|$, we have $r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}) \leq r(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Thus using step 2) and that every $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ is supported on $\Omega(\varphi)$ we get

$$\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)} e^{\int_{\Omega(\varphi)} \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}}) \leq r(\mathcal{L}_c),$$

which is the inequality we wanted to prove.

4) Now let us consider the case when c may have zero values. Choose strictly positive continuous functions $c_n > 0$ such that $c_n \searrow c$. Clearly, $\{r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c_n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence with values not greater than $r(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Hence by upper semi-continuity of spectral radius we get $r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c_n}) \searrow r(\mathcal{L}_c)$. Also putting $P := \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} (\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu))$ we have $\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} (\int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)) \searrow P$. Indeed, the sets $A_n := \{\mu : \int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) \geq P\}$ are non-empty and descending. They are compact, as the maps $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \mapsto \int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$ are upper semi-continuous. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \neq \emptyset$ and for any $\mu_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ we have $P = \int_X \ln c d\mu_0 + h_\varphi(\mu_0)$. Thus using step 3) we get

$$r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln c_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c_n d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)} = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} e^{\int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)}.$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is an expanding open map on a metrisable compact space X and $c : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous such that $c|_{\Omega(\varphi)} > 0$ and $\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$ is Hölder continuous. Then for each pair of restrictions $\varphi|_{X_j}$ and $\ln c|_{X_j}$, where $\Omega(\varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$ is the spectral decomposition for $(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)$, there is the unique Gibbs measure μ_j and we have*

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{X_j} \ln c d\mu_j + h(\mu_j) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y_j)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x))$$

where $y_j \in X_j$ are arbitrary points, $j = 1, \dots, N$. In particular, if $\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$ is topologically transitive, then there is a unique measure $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ - the Gibbs measure for $\varphi|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$ and $\ln c|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$, for which we have

$$\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \int_X \ln c d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \sum_{x \in \varphi^{-n}(y)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c(\varphi^i(x)) \text{ for all } y \in \Omega(\varphi).$$

Proof. By (the proof of) Theorem 4.6 we have $\ln r(\mathcal{L}_c) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} P(\varphi|_{X_j}, \ln c|_{X_j})$. Combining this with Theorem 2.4 we get the assertion. \square

Corollary 4.8 (Spectral radius of weighted shifts associated with expanding maps). *Let aT , $a \in A$, be abstract weighted shifts associated with a transfer operator L (i.e. assume (A1) and (A2)) where $A \cong C(X)$ is commutative and separable. Suppose also that the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ dual to the endomorphism in (A2) is expanding and open. Then*

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}, \quad a \in A,$$

where ϱ is the cocycle associated to L , cf. (7). If in addition $|a|^2\varrho|_{\Omega(\varphi)} > 0$ and its logarithm is Hölder continuous then for each system (X_j, φ) where $\Omega(\varphi) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^N X_j$ is the spectral decomposition for $(\Omega(\varphi), \varphi)$, there is the Gibbs measure μ_j and then

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{X_j} \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu_j + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu_j)}{2}$$

In particular, if $\varphi : \Omega(\varphi) \rightarrow \Omega(\varphi)$ is topologically transitive, then the Gibbs measure μ for $\varphi|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$ with potential $\ln(|a|^2\varrho)|_{\Omega(\varphi)}$ is the unique measure in $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ for which $\ln r(aT) = \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $r(aT) = r(L|_{|a|^2})^{1/2} = r(\mathcal{L}_{\ln|a|^2\varrho})^{1/2}$. Hence by Theorem 4.6, $\ln r(aT) = 1/2 \ln r(\mathcal{L}_{|a|^2\varrho}) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \int_X \ln |a\sqrt{\varrho}| d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2}$. For the second part of the assertion apply Corollary 4.7. \square

The variational formula in Corollary 4.8 could be also deduced from Theorem 4.3, as we have the following relationship between t -entropy and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy.

Corollary 4.9 (t -entropy vs Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy). *Let $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a transfer operator for a unital injective endomorphism whose dual map is $\varphi : X \rightarrow$*

X expanding and open. Then for each $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ the t -entropy $\tau_L(\mu)$ of L is given by

$$\tau_L(\mu) = \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$$

where ϱ is the cocycle associated to L and $h_\varphi(\mu)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy of μ . In particular, for every $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ we have

$$h_\varphi(\mu) = \inf_{b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})} \ln r(Le^b) - \int_X \ln(e^b \varrho) d\mu,$$

where $Le^b : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is the weighted transfer operator $Le^b(a) := L(e^b a)$, $a \in C(X)$.

Proof. By [ABL11, Proposition 2.2], the functional $\lambda : C(X, \mathbb{R}) \ni b \mapsto \ln r(Le^b) = \ln r(\mathcal{L}_{b \ln \varrho}) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is convex and continuous. Hence its Legendre transform $\lambda^* : C(X, \mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, given by $\lambda(\mu^*) = \sup_{b \in C(X, \mathbb{R})} \mu(b) - \lambda(b)$, is a unique convex and lower semicontinuous functional on $C(X)^*$ such that

$$\lambda(b) = \sup_{\mu \in C(X)^*} \mu(b) - \lambda^*(b), \quad b \in C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

cf. [ABL11, Proposition 3.1]. The authors of [ABL11] write $S = -\lambda^*$ and call it dual entropy map for L . By [ABL11, Proposition 4.2], the effective domain of λ^* is contained in $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$. Hence λ^* is determined by its values on $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$. The map $\text{Inv}(X, \varphi) \ni \mu \rightarrow \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$ is upper semicontinuous and affine, cf. [Wal82, Theorem 8.1]. By Theorem 4.6 we have $\lambda(b) = \max_{\mu \in C(X)^*} \mu(b) + \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$. Hence $S(\mu) = -\lambda^*(\mu) = \int_X \ln \varrho d\mu + h_\varphi(\mu)$. On the other hand, we have $\tau_L = -\lambda^*|_{\text{Inv}(X, \varphi)}$ by [ABL11, Theorem 5.6]. This gives the assertion. \square

5. C^* -ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY ABSTRACT WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

In this section we discuss conditions under which the C^* -algebra $C^*(A, T) = C^*(\{aT : a \in A\})$ generated by abstract weighted shift operators aT , $a \in A$, is modeled by the crossed product $A \rtimes L$, which is a special example of a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra. This allows us to employ some recent result from the general theory of C^* -algebras associated to C^* -correspondences. In this way we get natural dynamical criteria for rotational invariance of the spectrum $\sigma(aT)$, $a \in A$, and for the coincidence of $\sigma(aT)$ with the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$ (Corollary 5.9). We will also explain when $A \subseteq C^*(A, T)$ is a Cartan C^* -subalgebra (Theorem 5.10), which will be crucial in our study of Riesz projectors.

The basic algebraic structure inferred from axioms (A1), (A2) is the following:

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a unital C^* -algebra and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an isometry satisfying (A1) and (A2). So that we have an endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ and the associated transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying $L(a) = T^*aT$, $a \in A$. For $n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a, b, c, d \in A$ we have*

$$(17) \quad (aT^n T^{*m} b) \cdot (cT^k T^{*l} d) = \begin{cases} aT^n T^{*m-k+l} \alpha^l(L^k(bc))d & m \geq k, \\ a\alpha^n(L^m(bc))T^{k-m+n} T^{*l} d & m < k. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of $C^*(A, T)$. Moreover, $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C^*(A, T)$ and hence its closure

$$B := \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(A, T) = \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proof. Relation (17) readily follows from the transfer identity (5) and commutation relation (A2), cf. . By definition $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*m}b : a, b \in A, n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\text{span}\{aT^nT^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are linear space invariant under involution. By (17) these spaces are also closed under multiplication. This implies the assertion. \square

Definition 5.2. We call the C^* -algebra B defined above the *core subalgebra* of $C^*(A, T)$.

5.1. The crossed product and Cuntz-Pimsner picture of $C^*(A, T)$. In this subsection we fix a unital transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ on a unital C^* -algebra A (not necessarily commutative). We recall the construction of the crossed product $A \rtimes L$ of A by L from [Kwa17]. For simplicity we will assume that the transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ is *almost faithful*. By Proposition 3.1, in the present paper, we are interested only in this case. Moreover, then $A \rtimes L$ coincides with the crossed product originally introduced by Exel in [Exe03₁], see [Kwa17, Proposition 4.9].

We define the *Toeplitz algebra* of (A, L) to be the universal C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(A, L) := C^*(A, t)$ generated by the C^* -algebra A and an element t subject to relations

$$L(a) = t^*at, \quad a \in A.$$

Then t is an isometry because $t^*t = L(1) = 1$. And $ta = \alpha(a)t$ for $a \in A$ by [Kwa17, Proposition 4.3]. Thus representing $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$ in a faithful and non-degenerate way on some Hilbert space, we see that the pair (A, t) satisfies axioms (A1) and (A2). Nevertheless it will almost never satisfy relation (A3), as the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$ is ‘too large’ and we need to consider a quotient of $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$. We define a *redundancy* to be a pair (a, k) where $a \in A$ and $k \in \overline{Att^*A}$ are such that

$$abt = kbt, \quad \text{for all } b \in A.$$

Let \mathcal{R} be the ideal in $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$ generated by the set $\{a - k : (a, k) \text{ is a redundancy}\}$.

Definition 5.3. The *crossed product* of A by the almost faithful transfer operator L is the quotient C^* -algebra $A \rtimes L := \mathcal{T}(A, L)/\mathcal{R}$. Since $A \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ we identify A with its image in $A \rtimes L$. The image of t in $A \rtimes L$ is denoted by s . Hence $A \rtimes L = C^*(A, s)$ is generated by A and an isometry s .

Lemma 5.4. Suppose that $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts that generate a transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$. Then there is a $*$ -epimorphism

$$\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow C^*(A, T) \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi|_A = \text{id} \text{ and } \Psi(s) = T.$$

Proof. By the universality of $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$ we have a $*$ -epimorphism $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{T}(A, L) \rightarrow C^*(A, T)$ where $\tilde{\Psi}|_A = \text{id}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}(t) = T$. Axiom (A3) implies that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Psi}$. Indeed, if (a, k) is a redundancy, then for all $b \in A$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$abTh = \tilde{\Psi}(abt)h = \tilde{\Psi}(kbt)h = \tilde{\Psi}(k)bTh,$$

which by (A3) means that $a = \widetilde{\Psi}(k)$, that is $\widetilde{\Psi}(a - k) = 0$. Thus $\widetilde{\Psi}$ factors through to the desired epimorphism Ψ . \square

We will study faithfulness of Ψ by referring to the theory of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. To this end, we recall that $A \rtimes L$ can be viewed as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of a C^* -correspondence M_L naturally associated with (A, L) , see [BR06], [Kwa17]. Here we just give a brief discussion presenting some known facts in our setting.

We make A into an inner product (right) A -module by putting $m \cdot a := m\alpha(a)$ and $\langle m, n \rangle_L := L(m^*n)$, for all $n, m, a \in A$ where $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is the endomorphism for which L is a transfer operator. The inner product is non-degenerate because we assumed that L is almost faithful. Completing A in the norm $\|n\|_L = \sqrt{\|\langle n, n \rangle_L\|}$ we get a Hilbert A -module M_L . For each $a \in A$ we have an adjointable operator $\phi(a) \in \mathcal{L}(M_L)$ where $\phi(a)m := am$, $m \in A \subseteq M_L$. Thus M_L is a C^* -correspondence over A with injective and nondegenerate left action $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_L)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose $L : A \rightarrow A$ is an almost faithful transfer operator. The crossed product $A \rtimes L$ is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra \mathcal{O}_{M_L} . If $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts that generate the transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$, then the $*$ -epimorphism $\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow C^*(A, T)$ in Lemma 5.4 restricts to an isomorphism of core subalgebras*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{as^n s^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cong \overline{\text{span}}\{aT^n T^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Ψ is an isomorphism: $A \rtimes L \cong C^*(A, T)$;
- (ii) $C^*(A, T)$ is equipped with a circle gauge-action, i.e. there is a group homomorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$ such that $\gamma_z|_A = \text{id}$ and $\gamma_z(T) = zT$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$;
- (iii) There is a conditional expectation $E : C^*(A, T) \rightarrow B \subseteq C^*(A, T)$ that kills all the operators of the form $T^m T^{*n}$ with $n \neq m$. Equivalently, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\substack{k \in F \\ n_k = m_k}} a_k T^{n_k} T^{*m_k} b_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{k \in F} a_k T^{n_k} T^{*m_k} b_k \right\|$$

for all finite $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k, b_k \in A$ for $k \in F$.

Moreover, there is always a faithful representation $\Psi : A \rtimes L \rightarrow B(H)$ such that putting $T := \Psi(s)$ and identifying A with $\Psi(A)$, the operators aT , are well presented abstract weighted shifts that generate $L : A \rightarrow A$.

Proof. The isomorphism $A \rtimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_L}$ is an instance of a general fact, see [Kwa17, Theorem 4.7] or [BR06, Proposition 3.10]. Under this isomorphism we may identify M_L with the closure of As in $A \rtimes L$. And the core subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_{M_L} which is generated by elements in $M_L^n M_L^{*n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, coincides with the core subalgebra $\overline{\text{span}}\{as^n s^{*n}b : a, b \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $A \rtimes L = C^*(A, s)$. Every representation of $\mathcal{O}_{M_L} \cong A \rtimes L$ which is faithful on A is faithful on the core subalgebra, see, for instance, [Kat04, Proposition 6.3]. This gives the isomorphism of Ψ on the core subalgebra. Faithfulness of a representation on the whole algebra $\mathcal{O}_{M_L} \cong A \rtimes L$ is known to be equivalent to existence of the canonical circle action or the canonical

condition expectation onto the core subalgebra, cf. [Kat04, Theorem 6.4] or [CKO19, Lemma 6.2]. This translates to equivalence of conditions (i)-(iii).

Since the left action on the C^* -correspondence M_L is injective and nondegenerate, the main result of [H05] gives a faithful representation $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{M_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\overline{\Psi(M_L)}H = H$. Identifying \mathcal{O}_{M_L} with $A \rtimes L$, A with $\Psi(A)$, and putting $T := \Psi(s)$ relation $\overline{\Psi(M_L)}H = H$ translates to (A3). Axioms (A1) and (A2) hold because they hold in $A \rtimes L$ (and in fact in $\mathcal{T}(A, L)$). \square

Corollary 5.6. *If the equivalent conditions in Theorem 5.5 hold, then $\sigma(aT)$, for every $a \in A$, is invariant under rotations around zero.*

Proof. Using the action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}$ we get $\gamma_{\bar{z}}(aT - \lambda 1) = a\bar{z}T - \lambda 1 = \bar{z}(aT - z\lambda 1)$. Hence $\lambda \in \sigma(aT)$ if and only if $z\lambda \in \sigma(aT)$. \square

5.2. Topological freeness. Equivalences in Theorem 5.5 are a version of a gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem. Another type of a uniqueness theorem, which is sometimes called Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem, determines conditions on the initial data under which equivalences in Theorem 5.5 are satisfied automatically. For general Cuntz-Pimsner algebras such conditions were studied in [CKO19]. In our setting results of [CKO19] give the following:

Definition 5.7. We say that continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is *topologically free* if the set of periodic points $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x \text{ for some } n > 0\}$ has empty interior in X .

Theorem 5.8 (Isomorphism theorem). *Suppose that $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts. Assume that $A \cong C(X)$ is commutative and that the associated dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free. Then the $*$ -epimorphism in Lemma 5.4 is an isomorphism $A \rtimes L \cong C^*(A, T)$. In fact, every representation of $A \rtimes L$ which is injective on A is faithful.*

Proof. As explained in [CKO19, Example 9.14] topological freeness of φ implies topological freeness of the C^* -correspondence M_L (in the sense of [CKO19, Definition 7.1]). Hence the result follows from [CKO19, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Retain the assumptions of Theorem 5.8. Then $\sigma(aT)$, $a \in A$, is invariant under rotations around zero. If in addition A contains no non-zero compact operators, which is automatic when X has no isolated points then $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT) = \sigma(aT)$.*

Proof. The first part is a consequence of Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 5.6. For the second part it suffices to show that $C^*(A, T)$ embeds via the quotient map $q : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ into the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$. If $A \cap \mathcal{K}(H) = \{0\}$, this follows from Theorem 5.8. If A contains a non-zero compact operator a , then $a(X) = \sigma(a)$ has a non-zero isolated point z_0 which is an eigenvalue for a with finite multiplicity. This implies that $a^{-1}(z_0)$ consists of isolated points. \square

5.3. The crossed product and groupoid picture in finite type case. We briefly discuss the case when $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a *transfer operator of finite type*. Then the crossed product $C(X) \rtimes L$ has a groupoid model, which has been extensively studied, In particular, the result of previous subsection can be recovered from this groupoid picture, cf. [EV06]. Topological freeness has important characterisation that will be crucial in our study of Riesz projectors.

Recall that, by Proposition 2.14, see also Corollary 2.15, the associated map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a surjective local homeomorphism, and L is given by a continuous cocycle $\varrho : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ with strictly positive values. Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq C(X)$ be the partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X , where $\varphi|_{U_i}$ is injective. Put $u_i(x) = \sqrt{\frac{v_i(x)}{\varrho(x)}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the *crossed product* $A \rtimes L$ can be defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by a copy of the C^* -algebra $A = C(X)$ and an isometry s subject to relations

$$s^*as = L(a), \text{ for all } a \in A, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n u_i s s^* u_i = 1.$$

This is how Exel's crossed product is described in [EV06], modulo the fact that additional relations $sa = \alpha(a)s$, $a \in A$, in [EV06, Corollary 7.2] are superfluous by [Kwa17, Proposition 4.3]. If $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts that generate the transfer operator $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, then existence of the canonical epimorphism $A \rtimes L \mapsto C^*(A, T)$ follows from the above universal description and Lemma 3.4. In particular, Theorems 5.5 and 5.8 can be deduced in this case from [EV06, Theorems 4.2 and 10.3].

There is a standard groupoid \mathcal{G} associated to the local homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. It is often called *Renault-Deaconu groupoid*, as for topological Bernoulli shifts it was already studied by Renault [Ren80, page 140], and in general it was popularized by Deaconu [Dea95]. Such groupoids were also considered by Arzumian and Vershik [AV84] and a number of other authors. Namely, we put

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(x, n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X : \exists_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} n = k - l \text{ and } \varphi^k(x) = \varphi^l(y)\}.$$

We equip \mathcal{G} with algebraic operations given by $(x, n, y)(y, m, z) := (x, n + m, z)$, $(x, n, y)^{-1} := (y, -n, x)$. We identify X with the space of units of \mathcal{G} , via the map $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$, and then the range and source maps are $r(x, n, y) = x$, $s(x, n, y) = y$. \mathcal{G} is given the topology having as a basis the sets of the form $Z(U, k, l, V) := \{(x, k - l, y) : x \in U, y \in V \text{ and } \varphi^k(x) = \varphi^l(y)\}$ where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $U, V \subseteq X$ are open sets such that $\sigma^k|_U$ and $\sigma^l|_V$ are homeomorphisms with open ranges. This makes \mathcal{G} a locally compact étale Hausdorff groupoid. Recall that the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} is defined as the maximal C^* -completion of the $*$ -algebra $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ of continuous and compactly supported functions on G with the standard convolution multiplication and $*$ -operation: $(fg)(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = \gamma} f(\gamma_1)g(\gamma_2)$ and $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ for $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f, g \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$. One can show that we have

$$C^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C(X) \rtimes L$$

where the isomorphism is identity on $C(X) \subseteq C_c(\mathcal{G})$ and maps the function $v \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ given by $v(x, 1, \varphi(x)) = \varrho(\varphi(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $v(x, n, y) = 0$ for $n \neq 1$, to the isometry $s \in C(X) \rtimes L$, cf. [Ana97, 1.3.4] and [EV06, Theorem 9.1]. Also it is well known that $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ coincides with the reduced C^* -algebra associated to \mathcal{G} , and thus we have a faithful conditional expectation from $C(X) \rtimes L$ onto $C(X)$.

Using the above isomorphism one can characterise when $C(X)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $C(X) \rtimes L$, and hence a Cartan subalgebra in the sense of Renault [Ren08]. Namely, our notion of topological freeness of φ coincides with the one used in [EV06], [CS09] (and with essential principality of φ in [Dea95]).

The latter is known, and easily seen, to be equivalent to effectiveness (as well as to topological freeness and topological principality) of the associated groupoid \mathcal{G} , cf. [Dea95] and [KM, Lemma 2.21 and Corollary 2.24]. The inclusion $C(X) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is a Cartan subalgebra if and only if \mathcal{G} is effective, see [KM₂, Corollary 7.6], which generalizes [Ren08, Theorem 4.2] to non-separable case. This leads to the following, cf. the main result of [CS09].

Theorem 5.10. *Let $L : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be a transfer operator of finite type. Then $C(X)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $C(X) \rtimes L$ if and only if the associated dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free.*

6. WHEN RIESZ PROJECTORS CORRESPOND TO INVARIANT SETS

Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a unital commutative C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Assume the identification $A = C(X)$. For every open set $U \subseteq X$ we denote by $\mathbb{1}_U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ the orthogonal projection that supports the ideal $C_0(U) \subseteq C(X)$. That is, $\mathbb{1}_U$ is the strong limit of a an approximate unit in $C_0(U)$: $\mathbb{1}_U = s\text{-}\lim \mu_\lambda$ where $\{\mu_\lambda\}_\lambda \in C_0(U)$ are functions such that $0 \leq \mu_\lambda \leq 1$. One of the aims of this section is to prove the following:

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that aT , $a \in A \cong C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts, i.e. axioms (A1)-(A3) hold, and that the dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free, or more generally that $C^*(A, T)$ is canonically isomorphic to $A \rtimes L$ for the associated transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$. Assume that $a \in A = C(X)$ is invertible or more generally $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$. Then every Riesz projector corresponding to a clopen part of $\sigma(aT)$ belongs to $A = C(X)$ and $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$ where $X_0 \subseteq X$ is a clopen set with $\varphi^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$.*

When T is unitary, equivalently $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism, the above theorem holds for every (not necessarily invertible) $a \in A$, see Theorem 1.2. In the case when T is not invertible, the situation is much more subtle. In particular, the above assertion fails without the assumption $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$:

Example 6.2. Let $V = \{v_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \sqcup \{w_{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set indexed by $\mathbb{Z} \sqcup \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ be given by $\Phi(v_n) = v_{n+1}$ and $\Phi(w_n) = \begin{cases} w_{n+1} & n < -1 \\ v_0 & n = -1 \end{cases}$.

The weighted composition operators $(aT_\Phi h)(v) := a(v)h(\Phi(v))$, $a \in \ell^\infty(V)$ are bounded on the Hilbert space $H = L^2_\mu(V)$ associated to the counting measure on V (these are weighted shifts on a directed tree [JJS12]). They can be viewed as well presented (abstract) weighted shifts aT , $a \in A := \ell^\infty(V)$, where $(Th)(v) := \sqrt{|\Phi^{-1}(v)|}h(\Phi(v))$, cf. Corollary 3.15. The associated dual map is topologically free, see Lemma 7.8 below. Taking $a \in A$ such that $a(v_n) := 2$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $a(w_{-n}) = 1/2$ for $n > 1$ and $a(w_{-1}) = 0$, we get that

$$aT_\Phi = 2U_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}T_{\mathbb{N}}$$

where $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{N}}$ are the classical two-sided shift and one-sided shift, respectively. Hence $\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } |z| = 2\}$ does not intersect S^1 . The corresponding Riesz projection P is the operator of multiplication by $\mathbb{1}_{\{w_{-n} : n > 1\}}$. Hence $P \in A$

but the set $\{w_{-n} : n > 1\}$ is not Φ -invariant and therefore the corresponding set $U \subseteq X$ is not invariant under the map φ induced on the spectrum of A .

In view of Corollary 5.6, under assumptions of Proposition 6.1, $\sigma(aT)$ is invariant under rotations over zero. Therefore every Riesz projector is of the form P_1 or $P_2 - P_1$ where P_1, P_2 are Riesz projectors corresponding to parts of $\sigma(aT)$ lying in disks centered at zero. Thus the analysis in this section boils down to considering the case when aT is *hyperbolic*, i.e. $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$, and P is the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of $\sigma(aT)$ lying inside the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Recall that, in general, for any hyperbolic operator $b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such a Riesz projector is given by the formula

$$P := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - b)^{-1} d\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

cf. [RS90]. The spaces $H_1 = PH$ and $H_2 = \ker P$ are complementary, but might not be orthogonal. They are preserved by b and $\sigma(b|_{H_1}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\sigma(b|_{H_2}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1\}$. Hence there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(18) \quad \|b^n h_1\| < (1 - \varepsilon)^n \|h_1\| \quad \text{for all } h_1 \in H_1, n > n_0,$$

$$(19) \quad \|b^n h_2\| > (1 + \varepsilon)^n \|h_2\| \quad \text{for all } h_2 \in H_2, n > n_0.$$

Every $h \in H$ has the unique decomposition $h = h_1 + h_2$ where $h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2$. Since $\|b^n h\| = \|b^n(h_1 + h_2)\| \geq \|b^n h_2\| - \|b^n h_1\|$, we see that (18), (19) imply that either $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n h\| = \infty$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n h\| = 0$ depending on whether $h_2 \neq 0$ or $h_2 = 0$. In particular, we have

$$(20) \quad PH = \{h \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^n h = 0\}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Assume conditions (A1), (A2) and that $a \in A \cong C(X)$ is such that $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$. Let $P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - aT)^{-1} d\lambda$ and put $H_1 = PH$ and $H_2 = \ker P = (1 - P)H$. Then*

- (i) $P \in A'$, i.e. P commutes with elements of $A = C(X)$;
- (ii) $|a|T$ commutes with P .
- (iii) $a : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ is invertible.
- (iv) $TH_1 \subseteq H_1$ and $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} TH_2 \subseteq H_2$. In particular, P commutes with $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} T$. Hence if $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$, then T commutes with P .

Proof. (i). By (20), $H_1 = \{h \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (aT)^n h = 0\}$. For any $b \in A$ and $h \in H_1$

$$\|(aT)^n b h\| = \|\alpha^n(b)(aT)^n h\| \leq \|b\| \|(aT)^n h\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus $bH_1 \subseteq H_1$ for all $b \in A$. Assume that $b \in A$ is invertible. For any $h \in H_2$ we have $bh = h_1 + h_2$ where $h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2$. Thus $h = b^{-1}h_1 + h_2$. Since $b^{-1}h_1 \in H_1$ and $h - h_2 \in H_2$, we must have $h_1 = 0$. Hence $bH_2 \subseteq H_2$ for all invertible $b \in A$. This implies that $bH_2 \subseteq H_2$ for all $b \in A$, as every element in A is a sum of four invertible elements. This proves that $P \in A'$.

(ii). Using Tietze theorem we may find functions $a_k \in C(X), k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that: $|a_k(x)| \leq 1$ and $a_k(x) = \frac{|a(x)|}{a(x)}$ when $|a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a = |a|$. Since P commutes with aT and $a_k \in C(X)$ we get

$$(|a|T)P = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a T P = P \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k a T = P(|a|T).$$

(iii). Using that $aT : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ is surjective and a commutes with $(1 - P)$ we get

$$H_2 = aTH_2 = aT(1 - P)H = (1 - P)aTH = a(1 - P)TH \subseteq aH_2.$$

Hence $a : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ is surjective. To see it is injective, it suffices to show that $|a| : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ is injective, because $a^*a = |a|^2$. Suppose that $h \in H_2$ is such that $|a|h = 0$. By Urysohn lemma we may find functions $\varphi_k \in C(X)$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$, such that

$$0 \leq \varphi_k \leq 1, \quad \varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } |a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}, \\ 1, & \text{when } |a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let us also introduce functions $|a|_k$ given by $|a|_k(x) := \begin{cases} |a(x)|, & \text{when } |a(x)| > \frac{1}{k}, \\ \frac{1}{k}, & \text{when } |a(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$

Then $|a|_k \in C(X)$ are invertible elements in $C(X)$ such that $|a|_k \varphi_k = |a| \varphi_k$. Thus $|a|_k(\varphi_k h) = \varphi_k(|a|h) = 0$ and this implies that $\varphi_k h = 0$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Since restriction of aT to H_2 is invertible there is $h_2 \in H_2$ such that $aTh_2 = h$. From the construction of φ_k we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k a = a$. Accordingly, we get

$$h = aTh_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k aTh_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k h = 0.$$

(iv). Let $h \in H_1$ and let $Th = h_1 + h_2$, where $h_i \in H_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $(aT)h = ah_1 + ah_2 \subseteq H_1$ and hence $ah_2 = 0$. By (3), a is invertible on H_2 and thus $h_2 = 0$. This proves that $TH_1 \subseteq H_1$.

To prove that $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)}TH_2 \subseteq H_2$, we need to show that $uTH_2 \subseteq H_2$ for any $u \in C_0(X \setminus a^{-1}(0)) \subseteq C(X)$. However, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the open set $\{x \in X : |u(x)| < \varepsilon\}$ contains the compact set $a^{-1}(0) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X : |a(x)| < \frac{1}{n}\}$ and hence it contains all the sets $\{x \in X : |a(x)| < \frac{1}{k}\}$ for sufficiently large k . Thus if φ_k are as in the proof of item (3), then $\|\varphi_k u - u\| < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large k . That is, $\varphi_k u$ converges to u . Therefore the proof reduces to showing that $\varphi_k TH_2 \subseteq H_2$ for sufficiently large k . However, as in item (2) we may find a function $a_k^{-1} \in C(X)$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that: $a_k^{-1}(x) = 1/a(x)$ when $|a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}$. Then $a_k^{-1}a\varphi_k = \varphi_k$ and thus $\varphi_k TH_2 = a_k^{-1}a\varphi_k TH_2 = a_k^{-1}\varphi_k aTH_2 \subseteq H_2$. \square

Using Theorem 5.10 we can prove an alternative version of Proposition 6.1 that works for arbitrary $a \in A$ but only for L of finite type:

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose that aT , $a \in A \cong C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts, the associated transfer operator is of finite type the dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free. Every Riesz projector P associated to $\sigma(aT)$, $a \in A$, belongs to A and hence corresponds to a clopen subset of X . Moreover, if the corresponding part of $\sigma(aT)$ does not contain zero, then $P = \mathbf{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$ where $\varphi(X_0) = X_0$, $\varphi : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is a homeomorphism and a does not vanish on X_0 .*

Proof. By Lemma 6.3(i) and Theorem 5.10 every Riesz projection P that corresponds to a part of $\sigma(aT)$ lying in a disk centered at origin belongs to A . Therefore $P = \mathbf{1}_{X_1}$ and $(1 - P) = \mathbf{1}_{X_2}$ where $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$. Putting $H_2 = \mathbf{1}_{X_2}H$, we get that $aT\mathbf{1}_{X_2} = a\mathbf{1}_{X_2}T$ is invertible as an element of $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$. By Lemma 6.3(iii), $a\mathbf{1}_{X_2}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$ as well. Hence $\mathbf{1}_{X_2}T$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$ and therefore $T^*\mathbf{1}_{X_2}T = L(\mathbf{1}_{X_2})$ is invertible as an element of $C(X_2) \subseteq A = C(X_1) \oplus C(X_2)$. This means that $L(\mathbf{1}_{X_2})(y) \neq 0$ if and only if $y \in X_2$, which implies that $\varphi(X_2) = X_2$.

We claim that the local homeomorphism $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is in fact a homeomorphism. Indeed, the function $h(y) := \varrho(y)|\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap X_2|$, $y \in X_2$, is continuous and strictly positive, so it is an invertible element in $C(X_2)$. A direct computation shows that $L(h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}) = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}$. Thus putting $\tilde{T} := h^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$ we get an invertible isometry in $\mathcal{B}(H_2)$, as $\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T} = T^*h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T = L(h^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}) = \mathbb{1}_{X_2}$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_2)$ be the endomorphism given by composition with $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$. It may be identified with $\mathbb{1}_{X_2}\alpha : C(X_2) \rightarrow C(X_2)$. Hence for every $b \in C(X_2)$, using (A2) for (A, T) , we get (A2) for $(C(X_2), \tilde{T})$. Accordingly, $b\tilde{T}$, $b \in C(X_2)$, are abstract weighted shifts with unitary \tilde{T} . So $\varphi : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a homeomorphism by Proposition 3.3. In particular, $\tilde{T} := \varrho^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{X_2}T$ and $\sigma(aT|_{H_2}) = \sigma(a\sqrt{\varrho}\tilde{T})$. Therefore further decomposition of $\sigma(aT|_{H_2})$ using Riesz projectors corresponds to decomposition of X_2 into clopen φ -invariant subsets by Theorem 1.2. This implies the assertion (as by Corollary 5.6, $\sigma(aT)$ has circular symmetry). \square

Proposition 6.1 follows from Lemma 6.3(iv) and the following lemma:

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold, and that $C^*(A, T)$ is canonically isomorphic to $A \rtimes L$. Let $a \in A$. Every Riesz projector P associated to aT belongs to the core subalgebra $B = \overline{\text{span}}\{bT^nT^{*n}c : b, c \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If in addition T commutes with P , then $P \in A$, and $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_0} \in C(X)$ where $\varphi^{-1}(X_0) = X_0$.*

Proof. As we noticed above it suffices to consider the case when $\sigma(aT) \cap S^1 = \emptyset$ and $P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - aT)^{-1} d\lambda$. We exploit the action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(A, T))$ guaranteed by Theorem 5.5. By a change of variables in the integral, for any $z \in S^1$ we get

$$\gamma_z(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} (\lambda - zaT)^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} z^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} - aT \right)^{-1} d\lambda = P.$$

Hence P belongs to the fixed point algebra of P , which is B . Accordingly, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\|P - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k T^{*k} b_k\| < \varepsilon$ for some $a_k, b_k \in A$, $k = 0, \dots, n$. If we assume T commutes with P , we have $T^*PT = T^*TP = P$ and therefore

$$\|P - L(a_0 b_0) - \sum_{k=1}^n L(a_k) T^{k-1} T^{*k-1} L(b_k)\| = \|T^* \left(P - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k T^k T^{*k} b_k \right) T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\|P - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a'_k T^k T^{*k} b'_k\| < \varepsilon$ for some $a'_k, b'_k \in A$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. Proceeding in this way, we find $b \in A$ such that $\|P - b\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $P \in A$. Accordingly, $P = \mathbb{1}_{X_1} \in C(X)$ where $X_1 \subseteq X$ is clopen. Put $X_2 = X \setminus X_1$. As T commutes with P we have $L(P) = P$, and this implies that $\varphi(X_1) \subseteq X_1$. Since $L(1 - P) = 1 - P$ we also get $\varphi(X_2) \subseteq X_2$. Hence $\varphi^{-1}(X_1) = X_1$ and $\varphi^{-1}(X_2) = X_2$. \square

7. SPECTRUM DESCRIPTION

We combine previous results to get two theorems that describe the spectrum of abstract weighted shift operators aT , $a \in A$. First one holds when a is (close to being) invertible and describes $\sigma(aT)$ in terms of decomposition of (X, φ) into closed φ -invariant sets. In the finite type case we get a result that works for arbitrary a and describes $\sigma(aT)$ through decomposition of reversible part of (X, φ) into closed forward φ -invariant sets. We end this section with applications to weighted composition operators on $L^2_\mu(\Omega)$.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \cong C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts, i.e. T is an isometry and axioms (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Assume that the corresponding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free and T is not invertible (equivalently φ is not injective). Assume also that $a \in A$ is invertible or more generally that $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$. The spectrum $\sigma(aT)$ is a disjoint union of a disk and a number of annuli:*

$$\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$$

where $0 \leq r_0 < r_- \leq r_+$ for all $r \in R$. Riesz projectors induce a decomposition $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$ into disjoint φ -invariant closed sets such that

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu,$$

for $r \in R$, and $r_0 = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right)$, where τ_L is the t -entropy for the associated transfer operator L . Moreover, $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq X_0$ and for every $r \in R$, $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$ is a homeomorphism.

In particular, if X does not contain a non-empty clopen φ -invariant set on which φ is a homeomorphism and a is non-zero, then $\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$ where $r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right)$.

Proof. That $\sigma(aT)$ is invariant under rotations over zero follows from Corollary 5.9. Since we assumed T is not invertible the operator aT is not invertible, cf. Proposition 3.3, and hence $\sigma(aT)$ consists of a disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$ plus possibly a number of annuli $\bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$. Suppose first that $\sigma(aT)$ has exactly two components, so that $\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$ where $0 \leq r < r_- \leq r_+$. By Proposition 6.1, we have a decomposition $X = X_0 \sqcup X_r$ into clopen φ -invariant sets where $\mathbf{1}_{X_0}$ is the Riesz projector for aT corresponding to $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \subseteq \sigma(aT)$. Put $H_0 := PH$ and $H_r = (1 - P)H$. By Lemma 6.3, and the assumption that $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$, these spaces are invariant under T . And the isometry $T : H_r \rightarrow H_r$ is a unitary because both $a|_{H_r}$ and $aT|_{H_r}$, see 6.3. In particular, the restrictions $a_0T|_{H_0}$, $a_0 \in C(X_0)$, and $a_rT|_{H_r}$, $a_r \in C(X_r)$ are well presented abstract weighted shifts acting on H_0 and H_r , respectively. The associated transfer operators are restrictions of L to $C(X_0)$ and $C(X_r)$. In fact, by Proposition 3.3, $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$ is a homeomorphism and $L : C(X_r) \rightarrow C(X_r)$ is the automorphism given by the composition with $\varphi^{-1} : X_r \rightarrow X_r$. Now, the formula for r_0 follows from Theorem 4.3 and formulas for r_- and r_+ follow from (2), because $r_+ = r(aT|_{H_r})$ and $r_- = r((aT|_{H_r})^{-1})^{-1} = r(a^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}T|_{H_r}^{-1})$ and both $cT|_{H_r}$, $c \in C(X_r)$, and $cT|_{H_r}^{-1}$, $c \in C(X_r)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts acting on H_r associated with (X_r, φ) and (X_r, φ^{-1}) respectively.

Now let us consider the general case. Let $|\sigma(aT)| := \{|z| : z \in \sigma(aT)\} = [0, r_0] \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} [r_-, r_+]$. For each $r \in R$ we may find sequences $\{r_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{r_n^+\}_{n=1}^\infty$ lying outside $|\sigma(aT)|$ such that $r_n^- \nearrow r_-$ and $r_n^+ \searrow r_+$. Let $P_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$ be the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of spectrum of aT lying in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_n^- < |z| < r_n^+\}$. As above, using Proposition 6.1, we see that $\widehat{P}_{r_n^-, r_n^+} = \mathbf{1}_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \in C(X)$ for a certain

non-empty clopen φ -invariant set $X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$ and $\varphi : X_{r_n^-, r_n^+} \rightarrow X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$ is a homeomorphism. We put

$$X_r := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}.$$

This is a non-empty closed and φ -invariant set, which does not depend on the choice of the sequences $\{r_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{r_n^+\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Moreover, $\varphi : X_r \rightarrow X_r$ is a homeomorphism. Similarly, we define $X_0 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{0, r_n}$ where $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence lying outside $|\sigma(aT)|$ such that $r_n \searrow r_0$ and $\mathbb{1}_{X_{0, r_n}} \in C(X)$ is the Riesz projector corresponding to the part of $\sigma(aT)$ lying in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again X_0 is closed non-empty and φ -invariant.

This gives the desired decomposition of X . Indeed, it is clear that sets X_r , $r \in R \cup \{0\}$ are pairwise-disjoint. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a measure $\mu_n \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)$ that maximizes $\max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_{0, r_n}} \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2}\right)$. Then

$$r_0 \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_{0, r_n}} \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2}\right) = \exp\left(\int_{X_{0, r_n}} \ln |a| d\mu_n + \frac{\tau_L(\mu_n)}{2}\right) < r_n.$$

Hence taking a limit point $\mu_0 \in \text{Inv}(X_{0, r_n}, \varphi)$ of $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in weak* topology we get that the support of μ_0 is contained in $X_0 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{0, r_n}$. This and the above inequalities imply that $r_0 = \exp\left(\int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu_0\right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_0, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_0} \ln |a| d\mu\right)$. Similarly we get formulas for $r \in R$. Indeed, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are measures $\mu_n^-, \mu_n^+ \in \text{Erg}(X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}, \varphi)$ such that

$$r_n^- < \exp \int_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_n^- \leq r_- \leq r_+ \leq \exp \int_{X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_n^+ < r_n^+.$$

Taking $\mu_0^-, \mu_0^+ \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ to be limit points of the sequences $\{\mu_n^-\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\mu_n^+\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in weak* topology, we see that supports of μ_0^- and μ_0^+ are contained in $X_r = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{r_n^-, r_n^+}$, and

$$r_- = \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_0^- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu\right) = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu\right),$$

$$r_+ = \exp \int_{X_r} \ln |a(x)| d\mu_0^+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu\right) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp\left(\int_{X_r} \ln |a| d\mu\right).$$

□

Corollary 7.2. *Suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous topologically free map and $\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)$ admits a disintegration $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ along the fibers of φ , and $\text{supp } \mu = X$. For every $a \in C(X)$ the spectrum of the operator $aT \in \mathcal{B}(L_\mu^2(X))$ given by $[(aT)f](x) = a(x)f(\varphi(x))$ is invariant under rotations over the origin. Moreover, if $\{x : a(x) \neq 0\}$ has empty interior and φ is not invertible, then description of $\sigma(aT)$ in Theorem 7.1 remains valid for the operator aT .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, the operators aT , $a \in C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts. Thus the first part of the assertion follows from Corollary 5.9. The second one follows from Theorem 7.1 because the condition $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} = 1$ in our setting is equivalent to that $\{x : a(x) \neq 0\}$ has empty interior. □

Theorem 7.3. *Suppose that $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \cong C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts such that the associated transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ is of finite type and the corresponding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free and not injective. For every $a \in A$ the spectrum $\sigma(aT)$ is a disjoint union of a disk and a number of annuli:*

$$\sigma(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_- \leq |z| \leq r_+\}$$

where $0 \leq r_0 < r_- \leq r_+$ for all $r \in R$. Riesz projectors induce a decomposition $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{r \in R} X_r$ into disjoint closed sets where for each $r \in R$, $\varphi|_{X_r}$ is a homeomorphism of X_r , a does not vanish on X_r and

$$r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a| \sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu, \quad r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a| \sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu.$$

In particular, if X does not contain a non-empty clopen forward φ -invariant set on which φ is a homeomorphism and a is non-zero, then

$$\sigma(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Inv}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_X \ln |a| d\mu + \frac{\tau_L(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 7.1, where instead of Proposition 6.1 we use Proposition 6.4. In particular, in the proof of Proposition 6.4 we have seen that if $X_r \subseteq X$ is a clopen set such that $\mathbb{1}_{X_r}$ is a Riesz projector corresponding to a part D of $\sigma(aT)$ not containing zero, then the operators $b\varrho^{-1/2}T$, $b \in C(X_r) \subseteq A$, can be treated as abstract weighted shifts acting on $H_r := \mathbb{1}_{X_r}H$ where $U := \varrho^{-1/2}T|_{H_r}$ is a unitary. Thus the minimal annulus containing $D = \sigma(aT) \cap D = \sigma(a\varrho^{1/2}U)$ lies between the circles or radii $r_- = \min_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a| \sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu$, $r_+ = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X_r, \varphi)} \exp \int_{X_r} \ln(|a| \sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu$. \square

Remark 7.4. The sets X_r in the assertion of Theorem 7.3 are forward φ -invariant. If it happens that they are backward φ -invariant, then $\varrho|_{X_r} \equiv 1$ and formulas for r_- and r_+ are the same as in Theorem 7.1. Example 6.2 shows that if $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus a^{-1}(0)} \neq 1$, then sets X_r may fail to be backward φ -invariant.

Lemma 7.5. *An expanding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ on a compact metrisable space X is topologically free if and only if X has no isolated points that are periodic.*

Proof. If there is a periodic isolated point then clearly $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is not topologically free. Conversely, suppose that φ is not topologically free. Then there is a non-empty open set $U \subseteq X$ such that every point $x \in U$ is of period $n > 0$ (i.e. $\varphi^n(x) = x$ and $\varphi^k(x) \neq x$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$). For any such point we may find an open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$. Then $K = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi^k(\overline{V})$ is forward φ -invariant and $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ is an expansive homeomorphism. Hence K is finite by Schwartzman's theorem. Thus V is open and finite, and therefore it consists of isolated points. \square

Corollary 7.6. *Suppose that $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \cong C(X)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts such that the associated transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$ is of finite type. If the corresponding map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is expanding and X has no isolated points, then*

$$\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(X, \varphi)} \exp \left(\int_X \ln(|a| \sqrt{\varrho}) d\mu + \frac{h_\varphi(\mu)}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.5 the last part of Theorem 7.3, $\sigma(aT)$ is a disk. By Corollary 5.9, $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(aT)$, and the formula for $r(aT)$ is given in Corollary 4.8. \square

Example 7.7 (Cuntz-Krieger algebras). Let $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ be the (one-sided) topological Markov shift associated to a matrix $\mathbb{A} = [t_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$, $n \geq 2$, of zeros and ones, with no rows and no columns which are all zeros, see Example 2.7. The Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ associated to \mathbb{A} is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by a family $\{S_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of partial isometries subject to relations

$$(21) \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{i,j} S_j S_j^*, \quad S_i^* S_k = \delta_{i,k} S_i^* S_i, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker symbol, see [CK80]. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ may be viewed as a crossed product of $C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ by a transfer operator for $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$. More specifically, for every multiindex $\mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, with $i_j \in 1, \dots, n$, we write $S_{\mu} = S_{i_1} S_{i_2} \cdots S_{i_k}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$A := \overline{\text{span}}\{S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* : \mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k), k = 1, \dots\} \cong C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}),$$

given by $S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{C_{\mu}}$. Moreover, we have a canonical isometry given by

$$T := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_j}} S_i P_j$$

where $n_j := \sum_{i=1}^n A(i, j)$ and $P_j := S_j S_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, cf. [Exe03₁], [KL12₁]. The formula $L(a) = T a T^*$, $a \in A$, defines a transfer operator which under the isomorphism $A \cong C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ assumes the form $L(a)(y) = \frac{1}{|\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(y)|} \sum_{x \in \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(y)} a(x)$. The isomorphism $A \cong C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}})$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}} \cong C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) \rtimes L$ which that sends T onto the universal isometry in $C(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}) \rtimes L$. Thus by Theorem 5.5, we may always represent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ on a Hilbert space H , so that the operators $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, are well presented weighted shifts. By Corollaries 5.6 and 4.8, for every $a \in A$, $\sigma(aT)$ has circular symmetry and

$$\ln r(aT) = \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} \ln |a| d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{j=1}^n n_j^{\mu(C_j)} + \frac{h_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}}}{2}$$

where C_j is a cylinder set of elements that start with j . In other words, putting $|\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}|(y) := |\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(y)|$ we get that the spectral logarithm of aT is the square root of topological pressure for $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ with potential $|a|^2/|\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}|$: $\ln r(aT) = \sqrt{P(|a|^2/|\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}|, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})}$. And the t -entropy for the canonical transfer operator L is given by $\tau_L(\mu) = h_{\varphi}(\mu) - \ln \prod_{j=1}^n n_j^{\mu(C_j)}$, see Corollary 4.9. If $a \in \text{span}\{S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* : \mu = (i_1, \dots, i_k), k = 1, \dots\}$ is invertible, then $\ln(|a| |\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}|^{1/2})$ is Hölder continuous. Thus if $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}(\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_N)$ is the decomposition into irreducible matrices as in Example 2.7, then denoting by μ_j Gibbs measure for $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j})$ with potential $\ln(|a| |\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}^{-1}|^{1/2})$ we have $\ln r(aT) = \max_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}} \ln(|a| |\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}^{-1}|^{1/2}) d\mu_j + \frac{h_{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}_j}}(\mu_j)}{2}$, cf. Corollary 4.8. One readily sees that $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is topologically free if and only if the space $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ has no isolated points: this is called *condition (I)* in [CK80]. Let us assume it. Then by [CK80, Theorem 2.13]

every family $\{S_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of non-zero operators satisfying (21) generates an isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ (this result can be deduced from Theorem 5.8). By Corollary 7.6, under condition (I), we have

$$\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \max_{\mu \in \text{Erg}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}, \sigma_{\mathbb{A}})} e^{\int_{\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}} \ln |a| d\mu - \frac{1}{2} \ln \prod_{j=1}^n n_j^{\mu(C_j)} + \frac{h\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(\mu)}{2}} \right\}.$$

If all entries in \mathbb{A} are ones then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n and the above description gives as a special case Example 1.1. The above considerations can be also easily generalized to graph C^* -algebras, cf. [Kwa14], [Kwa17].

7.1. Weighted shifts associated with measure dynamical system. Suppose we are in the situation of subsection 3.3. That is, T is an isometry on the Hilbert space $H = L^2_{\mu}(\Omega)$ given by (12), where $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a measurable map with $\mu \circ \Phi^{-1} \preceq \mu$ and the weight $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Σ -measurable function. And $A \cong L^{\infty}_{\mu}(\Omega)$ is the algebra of operators of multiplication by essentially bounded functions. Then T generates the transfer operator $L : A \rightarrow A$, $L(a) := T^*aT$, $a \in A$, see Proposition 3.12. The corresponding endomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is given by composition with Φ .

By Gelfand-Naimark theorem, $A \cong C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X . In particular, $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ induces a continuous surjective map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that the endomorphism $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is given by composition with φ . Moreover, $L(\cdot) = T^*(\cdot)T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is given by a continuous family of measures $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in X}$ that are related to Φ and ρ . Since $A = L^{\infty}_{\mu}(\Omega)$ is a von Neumann algebra, X is a Stonean space. Thus it corresponds via Stone duality to the complete Boolean algebra of projections in $L^{\infty}_{\mu}(\Omega)$, which in turn correspond to measurable sets in Σ modulo the equivalence relation: $A \sim B \iff \mu(A \Delta B) = 0$. In particular, topological freeness of φ corresponds to freeness of α in the sense of [Arv67]:

Lemma 7.8. *Retain the above assumptions and notation. Let Σ_+ be the family of measurable sets with positive measure. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is topologically free, that is for each $n > 1$ the set $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$ has empty interior.
- (ii) α acts freely, that is for any non-zero projection $p \in A$ with $p \leq \alpha^n(p)$ and $n > 1$ there is a non-zero projection $q \leq p$ such that $\alpha^n(q) \perp q$.
- (iii) for every $P \in \Sigma_+$ and $n > 1$, $\mu(P \setminus \Phi^{-n}(P)) = 0$ implies there is $Q \in \Sigma_+$ such that $Q \subseteq P$ and $\mu(Q \cap \Phi^{-n}(Q)) = 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $p \in A$ be a non-zero projection with $p \leq \alpha^n(p)$. Then $p = \mathbb{1}_V \in C(X)$ for a non-empty clopen $V \subseteq X$ with $V \subseteq \varphi^{-n}(V)$. By topological freeness of φ there is a point $x \in V$ with $\varphi^n(x) \neq x$. Since X is a Stone space we may find a clopen set $U \subseteq V$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap \varphi^n(U) = \emptyset$. This implies that $\varphi^{-n}(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Hence for $q := \mathbb{1}_U$ we get $q \leq p$ and $\alpha^n(q)q = 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $\{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$ has a non-empty interior. Then there is a nonempty clopen set $V \subseteq \{x \in X : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$. Moreover, for every non-empty clopen subset U of V we have $\varphi^{-n}(U) \cap U = U \neq \emptyset$. Hence $p := \mathbb{1}_V$ is a non-zero projection in A such that for every non-zero projection $q \leq p$ we have $\alpha^n(q)q \neq 0$.

Equivalence (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii), in view of definition of α , is straightforward. \square

Definition 7.9. We say that a measurable map $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is *metrically free* if condition (iii) in Lemma 7.8 holds. A set $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$ is μ -almost Φ -invariant if

$\mu(\Phi^{-1}(\Omega_0)\Delta\Omega_0) = 0$. We say that Φ is μ -almost bijective on a set $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$ if the map $\Delta \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(\Delta) \cap \Omega_0$ induces an automorphism of Σ_{Ω_0}/\sim where $\Sigma_{\Omega_0} := \{\Delta \subseteq \Omega_0 : \Delta \in \Sigma\}$.

Example 7.10. Let $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ be a surjective map on set V equipped with the counting measure μ . Then Φ is metrically free if and only if Φ has no periodic points, so its graph is a direct sum of leafless and rootless directed trees [JJS12]. A set $\Omega_0 \subseteq V$ is μ -almost Φ -invariant if and only if it is Φ -invariant, i.e. $\Phi^{-1}(\Omega_0) = \Omega_0$. And Φ is μ -almost bijective on a set $\Omega_0 \subseteq V$ if and only if Ω_0 is forward Φ -invariant and $\Phi : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0$ is a bijection. Every map Φ is bijective on some non-empty set. Indeed, for any $v \in V$ we may find a sequence $\{v_n\} \in V$ such that $v = \Phi(v_1)$ and $v_n = \Phi(v_{n+1})$, for all $n > 0$, and then Φ restricted to $\Omega_0 := \{v_n : n > 0\} \cup \{\Phi^n(v) : n \geq 0\}$ is bijective. However, there might be no Φ -invariant sets on which Φ is bijective. In Example 6.2 there are exactly two forward Φ -invariant sets on which Φ is bijective, but non of these sets is (backward) Φ -invariant.

Corollary 7.11. *Assume that $(Th)(\omega) = \rho(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega))$ defines an isometry $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_\mu(\Omega))$, cf. Lemma 3.10. Assume also that $\rho \neq 0$ μ -almost everywhere, and that $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a non-singular and metrically free. Then*

- (i) *the spectrum of every weighted composition operator aT , $a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega)$, is invariant under rotation around zero;*
- (ii) *If the measure μ is atomless then $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT)$ for every $a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega)$.*
- (iii) *If N is the largest number such that $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$ decomposes into disjoint μ -almost Φ -invariant sets of positive measure, such that Φ is μ -almost bijective on Ω_i , for $i = 1, \dots, N$, then for every $a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega)$ which is non-zero μ -almost everywhere $\sigma(aT)$ has at most $N + 1$ connected components.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.12, the operators aT , $a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega)$, are well presented abstract weighted shifts. By Lemma 7.8 the corresponding dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free. Hence (i) and (ii) follow from Corollary 5.9, as the algebra $L^\infty_\mu(\Omega) \cong A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ of multiplication operators contains no non-zero compact operator if and only if μ is atomless.

Item (iii) follows from Theorem 7.1. Indeed, in the present setting the condition $\mathbb{1}_{X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(0)} = 1$ is equivalent to $a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega)$ being non-zero μ -almost everywhere. Clopen subsets X_0 of X are in one-to-one correspondence with elements $[\Omega_0]$ in Σ/\sim . Moreover, X_0 is φ -invariant if and only if Ω_0 is μ -almost Φ -invariant, and φ restricts to a homeomorphism of X_0 if and only if Φ is μ -almost bijective on Ω_0 . \square

Corollary 7.12. *Let $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a metrically free map on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) which can be decomposed into disjoint sets $V_i \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\Delta \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(\Delta)$ induces an isomorphism $\Sigma_{V_i}/\cong \cong \Sigma_{\Phi(V_i)}/\sim$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume also that $\text{ess inf}(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}) > 0$ and that N is the largest number such that $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$ decomposes into disjoint sets such that Φ is μ -almost bijective on each Ω_i and $\mu(\Omega_i) > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. The spectrum of any operator*

$$(aT_\Phi h)(\omega) = a(\omega)h(\Phi(\omega)), \quad a \in L^\infty_\mu(\Omega),$$

acting on $L_\mu^2(\Omega)$ is of the form

$$\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_{i,-} \leq |z| \leq r_{i,+}\}$$

where the unions are not necessarily disjoint. Thus $\sigma(aT_\Phi)$ has at most $N + 1$ components, and if there are no sets of positive measure on which Φ is μ -almost bijective, then $\sigma(aT_\Phi)$ is a disk. If μ is atomless, then $\sigma(aT_\Phi) = \sigma_{ess}(aT_\Phi)$.

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.13, operators aT_Φ , $a \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$, can be viewed as well presented abstract weighted shifts bT , $b \in L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$, where $T := \sqrt{\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}} T_\Phi$ and $b = a \cdot \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}\right)^{-1/2}$. As in the proof of Corollary 7.11 we see that the corresponding dual map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is topologically free and N is the largest number such that $X = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N X_i$ decomposes into disjoint clopen sets such that $\varphi : X_i \rightarrow X_i$ is a homeomorphism for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ (it corresponds to the decomposition $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_i$). In addition, the assumptions that Φ induces isomorphisms $\Sigma_{V_i}/\sim \cong \Sigma_{\Phi(V)}/\sim$ for a decomposition $\Omega = V_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_n$ and that $\text{ess inf}(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}) > 0$ implies that the associated transfer operator $L(a) = T_\Phi^* a \frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu} T$, $a \in A \cong L_\mu^\infty(\Omega)$ is of finite type (with a quasi-basis given by $u_i := \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu}\right)^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$). Thus the assertion follows from the last part of Theorem 7.3 (and Corollary 7.11). \square

Example 7.13. Suppose that $\Phi(z) = z^n$, $n > 1$, is the map defined on $\Omega = S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and let $H = L_\mu^2(S^1)$ where μ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on S^1 . Consider operators $aT \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $a \in L_\mu^\infty(S^1)$, given by $aTh(z) = a(z)h(\varphi(z))$, $h \in H$. By Corollary 7.12, we have $\sigma(aT) = \sigma_{ess}(aT) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r(aT)\}$. If $a \in C(S^1)$ we may deduce the same from Corollary 7.6.

Example 7.14. Let $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ be a surjective map on a countable set V , which is minimal in the sense that there are no non-trivial Φ -invariant subsets of V . So Φ corresponds to a leafless and rootless directed tree \mathfrak{T} , see [JJS12]. Assume that this tree has a finite number of branches. Then all the bounded weighted shifts on \mathfrak{T} are of the form $(aT_\Phi h)(v) = a(v)h(\Phi(v))$, $a \in \ell^\infty(V)$, cf. Remark 3.16. Assumptions of Corollary 7.12 are satisfied with $N = 1$, cf. Example 7.10. Thus for every weighted shift S_λ on the directed tree \mathfrak{T} with bounded weights $\lambda = \{\lambda_v\}_{v \in V}$ the spectrum $\sigma(S_\lambda)$ has at most two connected components (and it may have two connected components Example 6.2). If all weights are non-zero, then $\sigma(S_\lambda)$ is connected, by Corollary 7.11.

REFERENCES

- [Ana97] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Purely infinite C^* -algebras arising from dynamical systems*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **125** (1997), 199–225.
- [ABL11] A. Antonevich, V. I. Bakhtin, A. Lebedev, *On t -entropy and variational principle for the spectral radii of transfer and weighted shift operators* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **31** (2011), no. 4, 995–1042.
- [AL94] A. Antonevich, A. Lebedev, *Functional differential equations: I. C^* -theory*, Longman Scientific & Technical, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 70, 1994.

- [Arv67] W. B. Arveson, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphisms* Acta Math. **118** (1967), 95–109.
- [AV78] V. A. Arzumanyan and A. M. Vershik, *Factor representations of the crossed product of a commutative C^* -algebra and a semigroup of its endomorphisms*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **238** (1978), 513–517. Translated in Soviet Math. Dokl., 19 (1978), No. 1.
- [AV84] V. Arzumanyan and A. Vershik *Star algebras associated with endomorphisms in operator algebras and group representations*, Proc. Int. Conf., Vol. I Pitman Boston (1984), 17–27
- [BL17] V. I. Bakhtin, A. Lebedev, *A new definition of t -entropy for transfer operators*, Entropy **19** (2017), 573
- [BR06] N. Brownlowe, I. Raeburn *Exel's crossed product and relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **141** (2006), 497–508.
- [Bow75] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.470. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1975.
- [BS03] M. Brin, G. Stuck, *Introduction to Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [CS09] T. Carlsen, S. Silvestrov, *On the Exel Crossed Product of Topological Covering Maps*, Acta Appl Math **108** (2009), 573–583.
- [CKO19] T. M. Carlsen, B. Kwaśniewski, E. Ortega, *Topological freeness for C^* -correspondences*, Math. Anal. Appl. **473** (2019) 749–78.
- [Cob67] L. Coburn, *The C^* -algebra of an isometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 722–726.
- [Cun77] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [CK80] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological markov chains*, Inventiones Math. **56** (1980), 251–268.
- [Dea95] V. Deaconu *Groupoids associated with endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 5, 1779–1786
- [Exe03₁] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **23** (2003), 1733–1750.
- [Exe03₂] R. Exel, *Crossed products by finite index endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), 153–158.
- [ER07] R. Exel, D. Royer, *The crossed product by a partial endomorphism*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **38** (2007), 219–261.
- [EV06] R. Exel, A. Vershik, *C^* -algebras of irreversible dynamical systems*, Canadian J. Math. **58** (2006), 39–63.
- [FJ01] A. H. Fan, Y. P. Jiang, *On Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Operators. I. Ruelle's Theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **223** (2001), 125–141.
- [H05] I. Hirshberg, *Essential representations of C^* -correspondences*, Internat. J. Math. **16**, no. 7 (2005), 765–775.
- [JJS12] Z. J. Jabłoński, I. B. Jung, J. Stochel, *Weighted shifts on directed trees*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **216**, 1017 (2012)
- [Kat04] T. Katsura, *On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217**(2), (2004) 366–401.
- [Kit79] A. K. Kitover, *Spectrum of automorphisms with weight and the Kamowitz–Scheinberg theorem*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **13** (1) (1979), 57–58.
- [KL08] B. K. Kwaśniewski, A.V. Lebedev *Reversible extensions of irreversible dynamical systems: C^* -method*, Math. Sbor., V.199, No 11 (2008), 45–74.
- [Kwa09] B. K. Kwaśniewski "Spectral analysis of operators generating irreversible dynamical systems" Doctoral dissertation (in Polish), IM PAN, 2009, Warsaw.
- [KL12₁] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Uniqueness property for C^* -algebras given by relations with circular symmetry* Geometric Methods in Physics, XXX Workshop, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2012, pp. 303–312.
- [Kwa12₂] B. K. Kwaśniewski *On transfer operators for C^* -dynamical systems*, Rocky J. Math. **42**, No 3 (2012), 919–938.
- [Kwa14] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Crossed products for interactions and graph algebras* Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **80** (2014), no. 3, 415–451.

- [Kwa17] B. K. Kwaśniewski, *Exel's crossed products and crossed products by completely positive maps*, Houston J. Math., 43 (2017), 509–567.
- [KL13] B. K. Kwaśniewski, A. V. Lebedev, *Crossed products by endomorphisms and reduction of relations in relative Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 264 (2013), no. 8, 1806–1847.
- [KL] B. K. Kwaśniewski, A. V. Lebedev, *Variational principles for spectral radius of weighted endomorphisms of $C(X, D)$* , to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [KM] B. K. Kwaśniewski, R. Meyer, *Essential crossed products for inverse semigroup actions: simplicity and pure infiniteness*, preprint, arXiv:1906.06202
- [KM₂] B. K. Kwaśniewski, R. Meyer, *Noncommutative Cartan C^* -subalgebras*, preprint, arXiv:1908.07217
- [KR69] H. B. Keynes, J. B. Robertson, *Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness*, Math. Systems Theory 3 (1969), 51–59.
- [LS88] Ju. D. Latushkin, A. M. Stepin, *Weighted shift operators on a topological Markov chain*, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., 22(4) (1988), 330–331.
- [Leb79] A. V. Lebedev, *The invertibility of elements in the C^* -algebras generated by dynamical systems*, Russian Math. Surveys 34:4 (1979), 174–175.²
- [LM98] A. Lebedev, O. Maslak, *The spectral radius of a weighted shift operator, variational principles, entropy and topological pressure*, Spectral and evolutionary problems. Proceedings of the Eighth Crimean Autumn Mathematical School Symposium (Simferopol, 1998). Tavria Publishers, Moscow, pp. 26–34.
- [MS10] J. H. Mai, W. H. Sun, *Positively expansive homeomorphisms on compact metric spaces* Acta Math. Hungar., 126 (4) (2010), 366–368.
- [O'Don75] D. P. O'Donovan *Weighted shifts and covariance algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 208 (1975), p. 1–25.
- [PU10] F. Przytycki, M. Urbański, *Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 371, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [Red82] W. L. Reddy, *Expanding maps on compact metric spaces*, Topology Appl. 13 (1982), no. 3, 327–334.
- [Ren80] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 793, Springer-Verlag, 1980
- [Ren08] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 61(2008), 29–63.
- [Rid73] W. C. Ridge, *Spectrum of a composition operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 121–127.
- [RS90] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, Dover Publications, 1990.
- [Rue78] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic formalism*. Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., vol.5, Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley 1978.
- [Rue89] D. Ruelle, *The thermodynamic formalism for expanding maps*, Comm. Math. Phys. 125 (1989), 239–262.
- [Wal78] P. Walters, *Invariant measures and equilibrium states for some mappings which expand distances*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 236 (1978), 121–153.
- [Wal82] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [Wat90] Y. Watatani, *Index for C^* -subalgebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 424, 1990.

E-mail address: kbardadyn@math.uwb.edu.pl

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, UL. K. CIÓLKOWSKIEGO 1M, 15-245 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND

E-mail address: bartoszk@math.uwb.edu.pl

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, UL. K. CIÓLKOWSKIEGO 1M, 15-245 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND

²English translation from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 34 (1979), 199–200