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#### Abstract

We construct bijections to show that two pairs of sextuple set-valued statistics of permutations are equidistributed on symmetric groups. This extends a recent result of Sokal and the second author valid for integer-valued statistics as well as a previous result of Foata and Han for bivariable set-valued statistics.


## 1. Introduction

Equidistribution problems of set-valued statistics on permutations have attracted much attention in recent literature, see BV17, KL18, PO14, FH09. A decade ago, answering a conjecture of Foata and Han, Cori CO09 proved that the number of permutations in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $p$ cycles and $q$ left-to-right maxima is equal to the number of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $q$ cycles and $p$ left-to-right maxima. In a follow-up [FH09] Foata and Han showed that Cori's result can be further extended to set-valued statistics by using two simple permutation codings called the $A$-code and the $B$-code. Recently Sokal and the second author [SZ19] have extended Cori's result on integer-valued bi-statistics to integervalued multiple statistics. The purpose of this paper is to show that the latter has also a setvalued analogue using a classical Laguerre history encoding of permutations [DV94,CSZ97] and two new bijections from Laguerre histories onto themselves. For a permutation $\sigma=$ $\sigma(1) \cdots \sigma(n)$ of $12 \ldots n$, the pair $(i, \sigma(i))(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is called

- a record of $\sigma$ if $\sigma(j)<\sigma(i)$ for all $j<i$;
- an antirecord of $\sigma$ if $\sigma(j)>\sigma(i)$ for all $j>i$;
- an exclusive record of $\sigma$ if it is a record but not an antirecord;
- a record-antirecord of $\sigma$ if it is both a record and an antirecord;
- an excedance if $\sigma(i)>i$.

The corresponding numbers of the above five statistics are denoted by rec $\sigma$, arec $\sigma$, erec $\sigma$, $\operatorname{rar} \sigma$ and $\operatorname{exc} \sigma$. Moreover, the indices $i$ and $\sigma(i)$ are called position and letter of the corresponding statistic. The ten sets of corresponding positions and letters of the above five statistics are denoted, respectively, by $\operatorname{Recp} \sigma, \operatorname{Recl} \sigma, \operatorname{Arecp} \sigma, \operatorname{Arecl} \sigma, \operatorname{Erecp} \sigma, \operatorname{Erecl} \sigma$, $\operatorname{Rarp} \sigma, \operatorname{Rarl} \sigma, \operatorname{Excp} \sigma$, and $\operatorname{Excl} \sigma$. For convenience we intoduce the four bi-set-valued statistics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rec} \sigma & =(\operatorname{Recp} \sigma, \operatorname{Recl} \sigma), \quad \operatorname{Arec} \sigma=(\operatorname{Arecp} \sigma, \operatorname{Arecl} \sigma) \\
\operatorname{Erec} \sigma & =(\operatorname{Erecp} \sigma, \operatorname{Erecl} \sigma), \quad \operatorname{Exc} \sigma=(\operatorname{Excp} \sigma, \operatorname{Excl} \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the position and letter of a record-antirecord must be equal, we have $\operatorname{Rar} \sigma:=$ $\operatorname{Rarp} \sigma=\operatorname{Rarl} \sigma$. Furthermore, if the bijection $i \mapsto \sigma(i)(1 \leq i \leq n)$ has $r$ disjoint cycles, whose maximum elements are $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$, we set $\operatorname{Cyc} \sigma:=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$, and an index $i \in[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is called a

- cycle peak of $\sigma$ if $\sigma^{-1}(i)<i>\sigma(i)$;
- cycle valley of $\sigma$ if $\sigma^{-1}(i)>i<\sigma(i)$;
- cycle double rise of $\sigma$ if $\sigma^{-1}(i)<i<\sigma(i)$;
- cycle double fall of $\sigma$ if $\sigma^{-1}(i)>i>\sigma(i)$;
- fixed point of $\sigma$ if $\sigma(i)=i$.

The corresponding sets (resp. numbers) of the above statistics are denoted by Cpeak $\sigma$, Cval $\sigma$, Cdrise $\sigma$, Cdfall $\sigma$ and Fix $\sigma$ (resp. cpeak $\sigma$, cval $\sigma$, cdrise $\sigma$, cdfall $\sigma$ and fix $\sigma$ ), respectively. The following is our running example in this paper.

Example. Consider the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{17}$ given by

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
4 & 9 & 2 & 11 & 5 & 10 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 8 & 7 & 12 & 16 & 17 & 13 & 14 & 15
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rec} \sigma & =(\{1,2,4,12,13,14\},\{4,9,11,12,16,17\}) \\
\operatorname{Arec} \sigma & =(\{7,8,9,11,12,15,16,17\},\{1,3,6,7,12,13,14,15\}) \\
\operatorname{Erec} \sigma & =(\{1,2,4,13,14\},\{4,9,11,16,17\}) \\
\operatorname{Exc} \sigma & =(\{1,2,4,6,13,14\},\{4,9,10,11,16,17\})
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\operatorname{Rar} \sigma=\{12\}$. The cycle decomposition of $\sigma$ reads

$$
\sigma=(1,4,11,7)(2,9,6,10,8,3)(5)(12)(13,16,14,17,15),
$$

thus $\operatorname{Cyc} \sigma=\{5,10,11,12,17\}$, $\operatorname{Cpeak} \sigma=\{9,10,11,16,17\}$, Cval $\sigma=\{1,2,6,13,14\}$, Cdrise $\sigma=\{4\}$, Cdfall $\sigma=\{3,7,8,15\}$, and Fix $\sigma=\{5,12\}$.

In a recent work [SZ19] Sokal and the second author studied the polynomials $\mu_{n}(x, y, u, v)$ in the Taylor expansion of the Stieltjes continued fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mu_{n}(x, y, u, v) t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{x t}{1-\frac{y t}{1-\frac{(x+u) t}{1-\frac{(y+v) t}{\ldots}}}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{k}(k \geq 1)$ are defined by

$$
\alpha_{2 k-1}=x+(k-1) u, \quad \alpha_{2 k}=y+(k-1) v .
$$

They [SZ19, Theorem 2.2] showed that the polynomial $\mu_{n}$ has the two interpretations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n}(x, y, u, v) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} u^{n-\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)-\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)-\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}  \tag{1.2}\\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} u^{n-\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)-\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)-\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where cyc $\sigma$ is the cycle number of $\sigma$. Besides, they [SZ19, Theorem 2.4] proved the Jacobi continued fraction expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} z^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)} w_{0}^{\mathrm{rar} \sigma}\right) t^{n}=  \tag{1.4}\\
& 1-x y w_{0} t-\frac{1}{1-(x+y+z) t-\frac{(x+1)(y+1) z t}{1-\cdots}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $\gamma_{k}(k \geq 0)$ and $\beta_{k}(k \geq 1)$ are defined by $\gamma_{0}=x y w_{0}$,

$$
\gamma_{n}=x+y+n-1+n z, \quad \beta_{n}=(x+n-1)(y+n-1) \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 1
$$

It follows from (1.2) and (1.3) that the two triple integer-valued statistics

$$
\begin{equation*}
(e r e c, c y c, e x c) \quad \text { and } \quad(e r e c, a r e c, ~ e x c) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. As the right-hand side of (1.4) is symmetric under $x \leftrightarrow y$, the two triple integer-valued statistics
are also equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Note that the symmetry of the integer valued statistics (arec, cyc) is due to Cori [CO09]. Motivated by the set-valued analogue of Cori's result [FH09], we shall prove the following set-valued analogue of (1.5) and (1.6).
Theorem 1. The two sextuple set-valued statistics
(Cyc, Erec, Exc, Rar) and (Arecp, Erec, Exc, Rar)
are equidistibuted on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.
Theorem 2. The two quintuple set-valued statistics
(Cyc, Arecp, Exc, Rar) and (Arecp, Cyc, Exc, Rar)
are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.
As Rec $=($ Erecp $\cup$ Rar, Erecl $\cup$ Rar $)$, we derive immediately that the following two pairs of triple set-statistics

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
(\mathrm{Cyc}, \text { Rec, Exc }) & \text { and } & (\text { Arecp, Rec, Exc }) \\
(\text { Cyc, Arecp, Exc }) & \text { and } & (\text { Arecp, Cyc, Exc }) \tag{1.8}
\end{array}
$$

are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. We note that (1.7) is a stronger version of Han's conjecture Han19], i.e., (cyc, Recp, Excp) and (arec, Recp, Excp) are equidistributed on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and the bijections in [CO09, FH09] do not keep track of excedances.

A 3 -Motzkin word of length $n$ is a word $s:=s_{1} \ldots s_{i}$ on the alphabet $\left\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}_{a}, \mathrm{~L}_{b}, \mathrm{~L}_{c}\right\}$ such that $\left|s_{1} \ldots s_{n}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}=\left|s_{1} \ldots s_{n}\right|_{\mathrm{D}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}:=\left|s_{1} \ldots s_{i}\right|_{\mathrm{U}}-\left|s_{1} \ldots s_{i}\right|_{\mathrm{D}} \geq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|s_{1} \ldots s_{i}\right|_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the number occurences of letter a in the word $s_{1} \ldots s_{i}$. A Motzkin path of length $n$ is a sequence of points $\omega=\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, starting from $\omega_{0}=(0,0)$ and ending at $\omega_{n}=(n, 0)$, such that each step $s_{i}:=\left(\omega_{i-1}, \omega_{i}\right)$ is of type up if $\omega_{i}-\omega_{i-1}=(1,1)$, or type down if $\omega_{i}-\omega_{i-1}=(1,-1)$, or type level if $\omega_{i}-\omega_{i-1}=(1,0)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Clearly we can depict a Motzkin word with a Motzkin path with three types of level steps. A Laguerre history of length $n$ is a pair $(s, \gamma)$, where $s$ is a 3-Motzkin word $s:=s_{1} \ldots s_{n}$ with $h_{n}=0$ (i.e., $\left.\left.\left.\mid s\right]_{\mathrm{U}}=\mid s\right]_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ and $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ is a sequence satisfying the following:

- $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)=(\Delta, \Delta)$ if $s_{i}=\mathrm{U}$,
- $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \in\left\{1, \ldots, h_{i-1}\right\}^{2}$ if $s_{i}=\mathrm{D}$,
- $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \in\left\{1, \ldots, h_{i-1}\right\} \times\{\Delta\}$ if $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{a}$,
- $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \in\{\Delta\} \times\left\{1, \ldots, h_{i-1}\right\}$ if $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{b}$,
- $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)=\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)$ if $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c}$.

Let $\mathcal{L H} H_{n}$ be the set of Laguerre histories of length $n$. There are several well-known related such bijections between $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{L H}{ }_{n}$, see [Bi93, DV94, CSZ97] and references therein. We shall present a variant of such encoding $\theta$, which is very close to Biane's version [Bi93]. Our strategy is to first encode permutations using Laguerre histories and then build bijections $\rho_{i}$ on the latter, where $\rho_{i}$ is the bijection on $\mathcal{L H}{ }_{n}$ used in the proof of Theorem $i(i=1,2)$. In otherwords, we have the following diagram

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{n} \quad \xrightarrow{\theta} \quad \mathcal{L H} \mathcal{H}_{n} \quad \xrightarrow{\rho_{i}} \quad \mathcal{L H}_{n} \quad \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} \mathfrak{S}_{n} .
$$

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present permutation code, i.e., a bijection $\theta$ between $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{L \mathcal { H } _ { n }}$ with the main properties. In Section 3 we construct the bijection $\rho_{1}$ from $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ onto itself and prove Theorem 1 by composing $\theta$ and $\rho_{1}$. In Section 4 we construct the bijection $\rho_{2}$ from $\mathcal{L H}{ }_{n}$ onto itself and prove Theorem 2 by composing $\theta$ and $\rho_{2}$.

## 2. Encoding permutations by Laguerre histories

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $i \in[n]$, the refined lower-nesting and upper-nesting numbers (see [SZ19]) are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lnest}(i, \sigma) & =\#\{j \in[n]: \sigma(j)<\sigma(i) \text { and } i<j\}, \\
\operatorname{unest}(i, \sigma) & =\#\left\{j \in[n]: \sigma^{-1}(j)<\sigma^{-1}(i) \text { and } i<j\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that unest $(i, \sigma)=\operatorname{lnest}\left(i, \sigma^{-1}\right)$.

$(\Delta, \Delta)(\Delta, \Delta)(\Delta, 2)(1, \Delta)(\Delta, 3)(\Delta, \Delta)(\Delta, 1)(\Delta, 1)(1,1)(2,2)(1,1)(\Delta, 1)(\Delta, \Delta)(\Delta, \Delta)(\Delta, 1)(1,1)(1,1)$
Figure 1. The Laguerre history of $\sigma=(1,4,11,7)(2,9,6,10,8,3)(5)(12)(13,16,14,17,15)$

Lemma 3. Given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $i \in[n]$, we have
(1) $i \in \operatorname{Arecp} \sigma$ if and only if $\operatorname{lnest}(i, \sigma)=0$,
(2) $i \in \operatorname{Recl} \sigma$ if and only if unest $(i, \sigma)=0$.

Proof. Statement (1) is clear by definition. For $(i, \sigma) \in[n] \times \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, as unest $(i, \sigma)=\operatorname{lnest}\left(i, \sigma^{-1}\right)$ we have

$$
(i, \sigma(i)) \in \operatorname{Arec} \sigma \Leftrightarrow(\sigma(i), i) \in \operatorname{Rec} \sigma^{-1}
$$

Hence (2) is equivalent to (1).
Algorithm $\theta$. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, let $\theta(\sigma)=(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ where the pair $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}(\mathrm{U},(\Delta, \Delta)) & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{Cval} \sigma  \tag{2.1}\\ (\mathrm{D},(\operatorname{unest}(i, \sigma)+1, \operatorname{lnest}(i, \sigma)+1)) & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{Cpeak} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{a},(\operatorname{unest}(i, \sigma)+1, \Delta)\right) & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{Cdrise} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{b},(\Delta, \operatorname{lnest}(i, \sigma)+1)\right) & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{Cdfall} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right) & \text { if } i \in \operatorname{Fix} \sigma\end{cases}
$$

We can show that $\theta$ is a variant of some well-known bijections from permutations to Laguerre hostories; see [Bi93, DV94, CSZ97, SZ19] for more details and other variants.

Lemma 4. Let $\theta(\sigma)=(s, \gamma)$ and $h_{0}=0$. For $i=1, \ldots, n$,

- if $i \in \operatorname{Cval} \sigma$, then $h_{i}=h_{i-1}+1$.
- if $i \in \operatorname{Cpeak} \sigma$, then $h_{i}=h_{i-1}-1$.
- if $i \in$ Cdrise $\sigma \cup$ Cdfall $\sigma \cup$ Fix $\sigma$, then $h_{i}=h_{i-1}$,
and $h_{i}=\#\{j \leq i: \sigma(j)>i\}=\#\left\{j \leq i: \sigma^{-1}(j)>i\right\}$.
A permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ can be represented by a bipartite digraph such that
- the top row of vertices is labelled by $1, \ldots, n$,
- the bottom row of vertices is labelled by $1^{\prime}, \ldots, n^{\prime}$,
- there is an edge $i \rightarrow j^{\prime}$ from the top row to the bottom row if and only if $\sigma(i)=j$.


Figure 2. The bipartite digraph of $\sigma=(1,4,11,7)(2,9,6,10,8,3)(5)(12)(13,16,14,17,15)$
The bipartite digraph associated to the running example is depicted in Figure 2 We can visualize the statistics $\operatorname{lnest}(i, \sigma)$ and $u n e s t(i, \sigma)$ in (2.1) by the $i$-th restriction of the bipartite digraph on ${ }_{1^{\prime}}^{1} \ldots{ }_{i^{\prime}}^{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. In other words, we have the following graphical description of the mapping $\theta$.

Algorithm $\theta$ (bis). Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $g_{0}=\emptyset$. For $i=1, \ldots, n$, the $i$-th restriction $g_{i}$ is obtained from $g_{i-1}$ by adding the column ${ }_{i^{\prime}}$ it each time $i$ from left to right as follows:
(i) if $i \in \operatorname{Cval} \sigma$, then $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=(\mathrm{U},(\Delta, \Delta))$,
(ii) if $i \in \operatorname{Cpeak} \sigma$, then $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{a},\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)\right)$, where $\xi_{i}-1$ is the number of vacant vertices to the left of $\sigma^{-1}(i)$ at the top row of $g_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}-1$ is the number of vacant vertices to the left of $\sigma(i)$ at the bottom row of $g_{i}$.
(iii) if $i \in$ Cdrise $\sigma$, then $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{a},\left(\xi_{i}, \Delta\right)\right)$, where $\xi_{i}-1$ is the number of vacant vertices to the left of $\sigma^{-1}(i)$ at the top row of $g_{i}$,
(iv) if $i \in \operatorname{Cdfall} \sigma$, then $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{b},\left(\Delta, \eta_{i}\right)\right)$, where $\eta_{i}-1$ is the number of vacant vertices to the left of $\sigma(i)$ at the bottom row of $g_{i}$,
(v) if $i \in \operatorname{Fix} \sigma$, then $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right)$, where $h_{i-1}$ is the number of vacant vertices at the top (or bottom) row of $g_{i-1}$.

Lemma 5. If $g$ is the bipartite digraph of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $g_{i}$ is the $i$-th restriction of $g$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then the index $i$ is a cycle maximum of $\sigma$ if and only if either $i \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ is an edge or there are integers $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ in $[i]$ such that $i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ are edges of $g_{i}$.
Proof. Given a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, an index $i$ is a cycle maximum if and only if $\sigma^{\ell}(i) \leq i$ and for all $\ell \geq 0$, this means either $i \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ is an edge or there are vertices $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ between 1 and $i$ at the top row and $i_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k}^{\prime}$ at the bottom row such that $i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ are edges in the bipartite graph $g_{i}$.

Remark. Starting from any permutation we can draw the corresponding bipartite digraph or Laguerre history through the above correspondences. By the above lemma, the graphical interpretation enables us to to count the cycle maxima of a permutation in our bijections between Laguerre histories, which seems difficult in the corresponding Laguerre history via the bijection $\theta$.

For each Laguerre history $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ we define the set-valued statistics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Arecp}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i} \in\left\{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~L}_{b}, \mathrm{~L}_{c}\right\} \text { and } \eta_{i}=1\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma) & =\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{D} \text { or } \mathrm{L}_{a}, \text { and } \xi_{i}=1\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
\operatorname{Erecp}(s, \gamma) & =\left\{i: i \rightarrow j^{\prime} \text { in } \mathrm{g} \text { and } j \in \operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma)\right\}  \tag{2.4}\\
\operatorname{Erec}(s, \gamma) & =(\operatorname{Erecp}(s, \gamma), \operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma))  \tag{2.5}\\
\operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma) & =\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{U} \text { or } \mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{D} \text { or } \mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
\operatorname{Exc}(s, \gamma) & =(\operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma), \operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma))  \tag{2.7}\\
\operatorname{Rar}(s, \gamma) & =\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c} \text { and } \eta_{i}=1\right\}  \tag{2.8}\\
\operatorname{Cyc}(s, \gamma) & =\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c} \text { or } s_{i}=\mathrm{D} \text { with } i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime} \text { in } g_{i}\right\} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and $\theta(\sigma)=(s, \gamma)$. Then,
(i) $\operatorname{Arecp}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Arecp} \sigma$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Erecl} \sigma$.
(iii) $\operatorname{Rar}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Rar} \sigma$.
(iv) $\operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Excp} \sigma$.
(v) $\operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Excl} \sigma$.
(vi) $\operatorname{Cyc}(s, \gamma)=\operatorname{Cyc} \sigma$.

Proof. Clearly, if $i \in \operatorname{Arecp} \sigma$, then $\sigma(i) \leq i$, i.e., $i \in \operatorname{Cdfall} \sigma \cup$ Cpeak $\sigma \cup$ Fix $\sigma$, and if $i \in \operatorname{Erecl} \sigma$, then $i>\sigma^{-1}(i)$, i.e., $i \in \operatorname{Cdrise} \sigma \cup$ Cpeak $\sigma$. By Lemma 3 and (2.1) we get (i) and (ii). Next, an integer $i \in \operatorname{Rar} \sigma \Leftrightarrow i \in \operatorname{Fix} \sigma \cap \operatorname{Arecp} \sigma$, so by (i) and (2.1) we get (iii). Since Excp $=$ Cval $\cup$ Cdrise and Excl $=$ Cpeak $\cup$ Cdrise, by (2.1), we get (iv) and (v). By Lemma 5 we get (vi).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

3.1. Algorithm $\rho_{1}$. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, we define $\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ through the corresponding bipartite digraphs $g_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Set $g_{0}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. The graph $g_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $g_{i-1}^{\prime}$ by first adding the column ${ }_{i^{\prime}}^{i}$ with possibles edges as follows:
(i)-(ii) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{U}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{a}$, then $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$.
(iii) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{b}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\Delta, \eta_{i}\right)$, then

$$
\left(s_{i}^{\prime},\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{3.1}\\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{b},\left(\Delta, \eta_{i}\right)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}>1\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\eta_{i}^{\prime}>1$ because $h_{i-1} \geq 1$.
(iv) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)$, then

$$
\left(s_{i}^{\prime},\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{3.2}\\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{b},(\Delta, 1)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}>1\end{cases}
$$

(v) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{D}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$, let $s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime}=\xi_{i}$. Thus the vertex $i^{\prime}$ is connected to the $\xi_{i}$-th vacant vertex $v_{1}$ at the top row of $g_{i}^{\prime}$ and there are edges $i^{\prime} \rightarrow v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow$
$v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r-1}^{\prime} \rightarrow v_{r}$ such that $v_{r}^{\prime}$ is vacant. Assume that $v_{r}^{\prime}$ is the $\eta_{i}^{*}$-th vacant vertex at the bottom row from left to right. Then

$$
\eta_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\eta_{i}^{*} & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{3.3}\\ \eta_{i} & \text { if } \eta_{i}>\eta_{i}^{*} \\ \eta_{i}-1 & \text { if } 1<\eta_{i} \leq \eta_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Set $\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, where $s^{\prime}=\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 7. The mapping $\rho_{1}: \mathcal{L H}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ is a bijection.
Proof. We construct the inverse mapping $\rho_{1}^{-1}$. Let $\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)$ and $g_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $s_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{L}_{a}, \mathrm{~L}_{b}, \mathrm{~L}_{c}\right\}$, we define $\left(s_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in the same way as $\rho_{1}$; if $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, let $s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=D$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\xi_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $v_{r}$ be the unique vertex such that $i^{\prime} \leftarrow v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime} \leftarrow$ $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r-1}^{\prime} \leftarrow v_{r}$ and $v_{r}^{\prime} \leftarrow i$ or $v_{r}^{\prime}$ is vacant in $g_{i}^{\prime}$. Assume that there are $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}-1$ vacant vertices at the left of $v_{r}^{\prime}$ at the bottom row of $g_{i}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \eta_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}  \tag{3.4}\\ \eta_{i}^{\prime} & \text { if } \eta_{i}^{\prime}>\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*} \\ \eta_{i}^{\prime}+1 & \text { if } 1 \leq \eta_{i}^{\prime}<\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Set $\rho_{1}^{-1}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(s^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $s^{\prime \prime}=\left(s_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We show that $\left(s_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ by induction on $i$. If $i=1$, then $\left(s_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=(\mathrm{U},(\Delta, \Delta))$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(1,1)\right)$. By definition of $\rho_{1}$ (see (i) and (iv) (a)) and $\rho_{1}^{-1}$, for both two cases, we get $\left(\bar{s}_{1}, \bar{\gamma}_{1}\right)=\left(s_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$. For $i \geq 2$ assume $\left(s_{k}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(s_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right)$ for $1 \leq k \leq i-1$. We have to verify the validity for $k=i$ for the five types of $s_{i}$ in $\S$ 3.1. This is trivial for the cases (i)-(iv). For case (v), we have $s_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=D$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\xi_{i}^{\prime}=\xi_{i}$. By (v), the mapping $\rho_{1}$ provides the index $\eta_{i}^{*}$, which is equal to $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$. There are three cases :

- $\eta_{i}=1$. Then $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}^{*}$ by (3.3), this means $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}=1$ by (3.4).
- $\eta_{i}>\eta_{i}^{*}$. Then $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}$, and $\bar{\eta}_{i}=\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}$ by (3.3) and (3.4).
- $1<\eta_{i} \leq \eta_{i}^{*}$. Then $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}-1$ by (3.3) and $\bar{\eta}_{i}=\eta_{i}^{\prime}+1=\eta_{i}$ by (3.4).

Summarizing the above five cases, we have completed the proof.
Remark. Using the graph $g_{i}^{\prime}$ we determine $\eta_{i}^{*}$ by (3.3) and characterize $i \in \operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ by the equation $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}^{*}$. Next, using $g_{i}^{\prime}$ we get the index $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$ by (3.4) and identify $i \in \operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ by the equation $\eta_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$. Thus $\eta_{i}^{*}=\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$.
Lemma 8. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L H}_{n}$, if $\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
(\mathrm{Cyc}, \operatorname{Exc}, \operatorname{Erecl}, \operatorname{Rar})\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=(\operatorname{Arecp}, \text { Exc, Erecl, Rar })(s, \gamma)
$$

Proof. By definition of $\rho_{1}$ in § 3.1, if $\rho_{1}\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $s_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}$ for $s_{i} \in\left\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{b}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{c}\right\}=\left\{i: s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}_{b}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{c}\right\}$.
(1) $\operatorname{As} \operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{U}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{D}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$, see (2.2)-(2.9), we have $\operatorname{Exc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Exc}(s, \gamma)$.
(2) Recall that $\operatorname{Arecp}(s, \gamma):=\left\{i: s_{i} \in\left\{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}_{b}, \mathrm{~L}_{c}\right\}\right.$ and $\left.\eta_{i}=1\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\{i:$ $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}_{c}$ or $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}$ with $i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ in $\left.g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ (cf. (2.2) and (2.9)).


Figure 3. The graph of $\varphi(\sigma)=(1,4,11,6,10,5)(7)(8)(2,9,3)(12)(13,16)(14,17)(15)$

- $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{b},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ if and only if $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right)$, in other word there is an edge $i \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ in $g_{i}^{\prime}$ by (iii) and (iv).
- $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{D},\left(\xi_{i}, 1\right)\right)$ if and only if $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}, \xi_{i}^{\prime}=\xi_{i}$, and in $g_{i}^{\prime}$ there are edges $i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ by $\S 3.1(\mathrm{v})$.
Thus $\operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Arecp}(s, \gamma)$.
(3) Recall that $\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma):=\left\{i:\left(s_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)=(\mathrm{D}, 1)\right.$ or $\left.\left(\mathrm{L}_{a}, 1\right)\right\}$. By $\S 3.1$ (ii)(v) the latter is equivalent to $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \xi_{i}^{\prime}\right)=(\mathrm{D}, 1)$ or $\left.\left(\mathrm{L}_{a}, 1\right)\right\}$. So $\operatorname{Erecl}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma)$.
(4) By $\S 3.1$ (iv), $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ if and only if $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{Rar}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Rar}(s, \gamma)$.

Lemma 9. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, if $\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, then $\operatorname{Erecp}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Erecp}(s, \gamma)$.
Proof. Let $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ denote the bipartite digraphs corresponding to $(s, \gamma)$ and $\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. The construction of $\rho_{1}$ shows that $\xi_{i}^{\prime}=\xi_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let (cf. Lemma 8)

$$
\begin{align*}
P & :=\operatorname{Excp}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\}_{<}  \tag{3.5}\\
L & :=\operatorname{Excl}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}_{<} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma) \subset L($ see (2.3) and $(2.6))$ and $\operatorname{Erecl}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Erecl}(s, \gamma)($ see Lemma 8), it suffices to prove that $u_{j} \rightarrow v_{i}^{\prime}$ is an edge in $g$ with $\left(u_{j}, v_{i}\right) \in P \times L$ if and only if $u_{j} \rightarrow v_{i}^{\prime}$ is an edge in $g^{\prime}$. Let $E_{i}$ (resp. $E_{i}^{\prime}$ ) be the set of vacant vertices at the top row of $g_{v_{i}-1}$ (resp. $\left.g_{v_{i}-1}^{\prime}\right)$. We show that $E_{i}=E_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $v_{i} \in L$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$.

- For $i=1$, a vertex $v$ at the top row of $g_{v_{1}-1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.g_{v_{1}-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is vacant if and only if $v \in P$ and $v<v_{1}$. Thus $E_{1}=E_{1}^{\prime}$ by (3.5).
- Assume $E_{i-1}=E_{i-1}^{\prime}$. If $u_{j} \rightarrow v_{i-1}^{\prime}$ is an edge in $g_{v_{i-1}}$, then it is also in $g^{\prime}$ because $\xi_{v_{i-1}}=\xi_{v_{i-1}}^{\prime}$. Thus $E_{i}=\left(E_{i-1} \backslash\left\{u_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v \in P: v_{i-1} \leq v \leq v_{i}-1\right\}$. So $E_{i}=E_{i}^{\prime}$.
Since $\xi_{v_{i}}=\xi_{v_{i}}^{\prime}$ for $v_{i} \in L$, each $v_{i}^{\prime}$ is connected to the same vertex in both $g$ and $g^{\prime}$.
By Lemma 8 and 9 , for $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, if $\rho_{1}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
(\text { Cyc, Exc, Erec, Rar })\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=(\text { Arecp, Exc, Erec, Rar })(s, \gamma)
$$

Let $\varphi:=\theta^{-1} \circ \rho_{1} \circ \theta$. By Lemmas 6, 8 and 9, we see that $\varphi$ is the desired bijection from $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ onto itself for Theorem 1.
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Figure 4. The Laguerre history of $\varphi(\sigma)$

Example. For our running example $\sigma$, we get $\omega:=\varphi(\sigma)$ with

$$
\omega=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
4 & 9 & 2 & 11 & 1 & 10 & 7 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 12 & 16 & 17 & 15 & 13 & 14
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rec} \omega & =(\{1,2,4,12,13,14\},\{4,9,11,12,16,17\}) \\
\operatorname{Arec} \omega & =(\{5,9,10,11,12,16,17\},\{1,3,5,6,12,13,14\}) \\
\operatorname{Erec} \omega & =(\{1,2,4,13,14\},\{4,9,11,16,17\}) \\
\operatorname{Exc} \omega & =(\{1,2,4,6,13,14\},\{4,9,11,10,16,17\})
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\operatorname{Rar} \omega=\{12\}$. Also, the cycle decomposition of $\omega$ is

$$
\omega=(1,4,11,6,10,5)(7)(8)(2,9,3)(12)(13,16)(14,17)(15),
$$

so, Cyc $\omega=\{7,8,9,11,12,15,16,17\}, \operatorname{Cpeak} \omega=\{9,10,11,16,17\}$, Cval $\omega=\{1,2,6,13,14\}$, Cdrise $\omega=\{4\}$, Cdfall $\omega=\{3,5\}$, and Fix $\omega=\{7,8,12,15\}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. Algorithm $\rho_{2}$. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, we define $\rho_{2}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ through the corresponding bipartite digraphs $\left(g_{i}, g_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as follows.
(i)-(ii) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{U}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{a}$, then $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$.
(iii) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{b}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\Delta, \eta_{i}\right)$, then

$$
\left(s_{i}^{\prime},\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{4.1}\\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{b},\left(\Delta, \eta_{i}\right)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}>1\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\eta_{i}^{\prime}>1$ because $h_{i-1} \geq 1$.
(iv) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)$, then

$$
\left(s_{i}^{\prime},\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{4.2}\\ \left(\mathrm{~L}_{b},(\Delta, 1)\right) & \text { if } \eta_{i}>1\end{cases}
$$

(v) If $s_{i}=\mathrm{D}$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$, then $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}$. We use the corresponding bipartite diagraphs $g_{i}$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}$ to define $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$.

- Definition of $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$. There are edges $i \rightarrow v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{s-1} \rightarrow v_{s}^{\prime}$ in $g_{i}$ such that $v_{s}$ is vacant or $v_{s} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$. Assume that there are $\xi_{i}^{*}-1$ vacant vertices at the left of $v_{s}$ at the top row of $g_{i}$. Let

$$
\eta_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \xi_{i}=\xi_{i}^{*}  \tag{4.3}\\ \xi_{i} & \text { if } \xi_{i}>\xi_{i}^{*} \\ \xi_{i}+1 & \text { if } 1 \leq \xi_{i}<\xi_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

- Definition of $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$. Connecting vertex $i$ to the $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$-th vacant vertex $p_{1}^{\prime}$ at the bottom row of $g_{i-1}^{\prime}$ yeilds edges $i \rightarrow p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{1} \rightarrow p_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{t-1} \rightarrow p_{t}^{\prime}$ such that $p_{t}$ is vacant in $g_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Assume that $p_{t}$ is the $\eta_{i}^{*}$-th vacant vertex at the top row of $g_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\xi_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\eta_{i}^{*} & \text { if } \eta_{i}=1  \tag{4.4}\\ \eta_{i} & \text { if } \eta_{i}>\eta_{i}^{*} \\ \eta_{i}-1 & \text { if } 1<\eta_{i} \leq \eta_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Set $\rho_{2}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, where $s^{\prime}=\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 10. The mapping $\rho_{2}: \mathcal{L H}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L H}_{n}$ is an involution.
Proof. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ let $\rho_{2}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(s^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We show that $\left(s_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ by induction on $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $i=1$ we have $\left(s_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=(\mathrm{U},(\Delta, \Delta))$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$. It is clear from (1)-(iv) we have $\left(s_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(s_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$. Let $i \geq 2$ and assume that $\left(\left(s_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\left(s_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(s_{i-1}, \gamma_{i-1}\right)\right)\right.$. For the cases (i)-(iv) it is easy to see that $\left(s_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)$. Here we just verify the case (v) with $s_{i}=D$ and $\gamma_{i}=\left(\mathrm{D},\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)\right)$. Then $s_{i}^{\prime \prime}=s_{i}^{\prime}=D$, and the mapping $\rho_{2}$ (resp. $\rho_{2} \circ \rho_{2}$ ) provides the indices $\xi_{i}^{*}$ and $\eta_{i}^{*}$ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$ ) for the computation of $\eta_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ) in (4.3) and (4.6). We show that $\eta_{i}^{*}=\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}, \xi_{i}^{*}=\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$, and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\gamma_{i}(i \geq 2)$ in the following way.

Using $g_{i}$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}$ we determine $\xi_{i}^{*}$ and $\eta_{i}^{*}$ by (4.3) and (4.4), and then characterize $i \in$ $\operatorname{Cyc}(s, \gamma)$ and $i \in \operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ by the equation $\xi_{i}=\xi_{i}^{*}$ and $\xi_{i}^{\prime}=\eta_{i}^{*}$. This yields $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{i}^{\prime}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ by (4.3) and (4.4). On the other hand, using $g_{i}^{\prime}$ we get the index $\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}$ by (4.3) and identify $i \in \operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ by the equation $\xi_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{\xi}_{i}{ }^{*}$. Thus $\eta_{i}^{*}=\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}$.

Next we define $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ by (4.3) using the index $\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}=\eta_{i}^{*}$.

$$
\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \xi_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}  \tag{4.5}\\ \xi_{i}^{\prime} & \text { if } \xi_{i}^{\prime}>\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*} \\ \xi_{i}^{\prime}+1 & \text { if } 1 \leq \xi_{i}^{\prime}<\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Comparing (4.5) with (4.4), we have $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\eta_{i}$. Now, we know that $i \in \operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime \prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $g_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}$, and with $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}$ we can construct the edges $i \rightarrow v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1} \rightarrow$ $v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{s-1} \rightarrow v_{s}^{\prime}$ in $g_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. By induction hypothesis $\gamma_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\gamma_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ and $\eta_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\eta_{i}$. So


Figure 5. The graph of $\Phi(\sigma)=(1,4,9,5)(7)(8)(2,11,6,10,3)(12)(13,17)(14,16)(15)$
$\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}=\xi_{i}^{*}$. Finally

$$
\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*} & \text { if } \eta_{i}^{\prime}=1  \tag{4.6}\\ \eta_{i}^{\prime} & \text { if } \eta_{i}^{\prime}>\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*} \\ \eta_{i}^{\prime}-1 & \text { if } 1<\eta_{i}^{\prime} \leq \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Comparing (4.6) with (4.3), we have $\xi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\xi_{i}$. Thus we complete the proof.
Lemma 11. For $(s, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, if $\rho_{2}(s, \gamma)=\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
(\text { Cyc }, \operatorname{Arecp}, \operatorname{Exc}, \operatorname{Rar})\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=(\text { Arecp, Cyc, Exc, Rar })(s, \gamma)
$$

Proof. By definition of $\rho_{2}$ in § 4.1, if $\rho_{2}\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $s_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime}$ for $s_{i} \in\left\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{b}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{c}\right\}=\left\{i: s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}_{b}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{c}\right\}$.
(1) $\operatorname{As} \operatorname{Excp}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{U}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Excl}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{D}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{L}_{a}\right\}$, see (2.6), we have $\operatorname{Exc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Exc}(s, \gamma)$.
(2) Recall that $\operatorname{Cyc}(s, \gamma)=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c}\right.$ or $s_{i}=\mathrm{D}$ with $i \rightarrow i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{k} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ in $\left.g_{i}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Arecp}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left\{i: s_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}_{b}, \mathrm{~L}_{c}\right\}\right.$ and $\left.\eta_{i}^{\prime}=1\right\}$, see (2.2)-(2.9). Now,

- the case $\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},\left(\Delta, h_{i-1}+1\right)\right)$ means there is an edge $i \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ in $g_{i}$, which is equivalent to $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{b},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ by $\S 4.1$ (iv).
- the case $s_{i}=\mathrm{D}$ with $i \rightarrow v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{s-1} \rightarrow v_{s}^{\prime}, v_{s} \rightarrow i^{\prime}$ in $g_{i}$ is equivalent to $s_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}, \eta_{i}^{\prime}=1$ by $\S 4.1$ (v).
Thus $\operatorname{Arecp}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Cyc}(s, \gamma)$. As $\rho_{2}$ is an involution from $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{H}_{n}$ onto itself, we derive immediately that $\operatorname{Cyc}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Arecp}(s, \gamma)$.
(3) Recall that $\operatorname{Rar}(s, \gamma):=\left\{i: s_{i}=\mathrm{L}_{c}\right.$ and $\left.\eta_{i}=1\right\}$. By $\S 4.1(\mathrm{iv}),\left(s_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$ if and only if $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{L}_{c},(\Delta, 1)\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Rar}\left(s^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Rar}(s, \gamma)$.

Let $\Phi:=\theta^{-1} \circ \rho_{1} \circ \theta$. By Lemmas 6 and 11 we see that $\Phi$ is the desired bijection from $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ onto itself for Theorem 2.
Example. For our running example $\sigma$, we get $\tau:=\Phi(\sigma)$ with

$$
\tau=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
4 & 11 & 2 & 9 & 1 & 10 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 12 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{Rec} \tau=(\{1,2,6,12,13\},\{4,10,11,12,17\})
$$
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Figure 6. The Laguerre history of $\Phi(\sigma)$
$\operatorname{Arec} \tau=(\{5,10,11,12,17\},\{1,3,6,12,13\})$
$\operatorname{Erec} \tau=(\{1,2,6,13\},\{4,10,11,17\})$
$\operatorname{Exc} \tau=(\{1,2,4,6,13,14\},\{4,9,10,11,16,17\})$
and $\operatorname{Rar} \tau=\{12\}$. Also, the cycle decomposition of $\tau$ is

$$
\tau=(1,4,9,5)(2,11,6,10,3)(7)(8)(12)(13,17)(14,16)(15)
$$

Thus Fix $\tau=\{7,8,12,15\}, \operatorname{Cyc} \tau=\{7,8,9,11,12,15,16,17\}$, Cpeak $\tau=\{9,10,11,16,17\}$, $\operatorname{Cval} \tau=\{1,2,6,13,14\}$, Cdrise $\tau=\{4\}$, and Cdfall $\tau=\{3,5\}$.
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