

# SEPARATING INVARIANTS FOR MULTISYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

ARTEM LOPATIN, FABIAN REIMERS

**ABSTRACT.** This article studies separating invariants for the ring of multisymmetric polynomials in  $m$  sets of  $n$  variables over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > n$ . We prove that in order to obtain separating sets it is enough to consider polynomials that depend only on  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$  sets of these variables. This improves a general result by Domokos about separating invariants. In addition, for  $n \leq 4$  we explicitly give minimal separating sets (with respect to inclusion) for all  $m$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Multisymmetric polynomials are the generalization of symmetric polynomials in  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  to  $m \geq 2$  sets of  $n$  variables. They have been classically studied in characteristic 0 (see Schläfli [19], Junker [13], Weyl [21], and for a historical overview see Domokos [4, Remark 2.6]). In the setting of invariant theory symmetric polynomials appear as the elements of the invariant ring  $\mathbb{K}[V]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  of the standard representation  $V = \mathbb{K}^n$  of the symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_n$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field. Multisymmetric polynomials form the invariant ring  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$ , where the action of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  on  $V$  is extended to a diagonal action of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  on  $V^m = V \oplus \dots \oplus V$ . The coordinate ring of  $V^m$  is denoted by

$$\mathbb{K}[V^m] = \mathbb{K}[x(j)_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m],$$

where  $x(j)_i : V^m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  sends  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in V^m$  to the  $i$ -th coordinate  $(a_j)_i$  of  $a_j$ . An obvious invariant inside  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]$  is obtained for any  $m$ -tuple  $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all non-negative integers, by

$$f_{\underline{k}} = f_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \sum_{i=1}^n x(1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x(m)_i^{k_m} \in \mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}. \quad (1.1)$$

There is a natural  $\mathbb{N}^m$ -grading on  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]$ , which is preserved by the  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -action. Under this grading  $f_{\underline{k}}$  is multi-homogeneous of degree  $\underline{k}$ . To a set of  $m$ -tuples  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^m$  we assign the set of multi-homogeneous invariants

$$S(I) := \{f_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \mid (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in I\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout this paper we assume that  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > n$ . Then the ring of multisymmetric polynomials  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  is minimally (w.r.t. inclusion) generated as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra by

$$S(I) \quad \text{with} \quad I = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \mid 1 \leq k_1 + \dots + k_m \leq n\}, \quad (1.3)$$

*Date:* November 1, 2019.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A50, 16R30, 20B30.

*Key words and phrases.* Invariant theory, separating invariants, symmetric group, multisymmetric polynomials.

see Domokos [5, Theorem 2.5]. In the case of arbitrary characteristic upper bounds on the degrees of elements of minimal generating sets were studied by Fleischmann [12], Vaccarino [20], Domokos [5], and a minimal generating set was explicitly described by Rydh [18].

While the set (1.3) of generating invariants can not be improved, it is often helpful to consider a set of separating invariants, which can be significantly smaller than (1.3). In 2002 Derksen and Kemper [1] introduced the notion of separating invariants as a weaker concept than generating invariants. Given a subset  $S$  of an invariant ring  $\mathbb{K}[W]^G$ , we say that elements  $u, v \in W$  can be separated by  $S$  if there exists an invariant  $f \in S$  with  $f(u) \neq f(v)$ . The set  $S$  is called *separating* if any  $u, v \in W$  that can be separated by  $\mathbb{K}[W]^G$  can also be separated by  $S$ . It is a well-known fact about the invariants of a finite group  $G$  that  $u, v$  can be separated by  $\mathbb{K}[W]^G$  if and only if they are not in the same orbit (see [2, Section 2.4]).

Since the introduction of the notion of separating invariants, many results were found where they behave better than generating invariants. Some properties of separating invariants can be found in the second volume of the book by Derksen and Kemper [2, Section 2.4]. Explicit separating sets and upper degree bounds of separating sets have been calculated for some group actions, see e.g. [3], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [14], [15], [16].

In this paper we are interested in multi-homogeneous separating sets of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{S_n}$ . One way to obtain them is by *expanding* a separating set of the form (1.2) for  $\mathbb{K}[V^{m_0}]$  for some  $m_0 \leq m$ . By this we mean the following. For a positive integer  $m_0 \leq m$  and a set  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^{m_0}$  of  $m_0$ -tuples we define its *expansion* to a set of  $m$ -tuples  $I^{[m]} \subset \mathbb{N}^m$  by filling in zeros at all possible places. As an example, for  $I = \{(2, 1)\}$  we have  $I^{[3]} = \{(2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 1), (0, 2, 1)\}$ . The formal definition is

$$I^{[m]} := \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \mid \exists (j_1, \dots, j_{m_0}) \in I \text{ and } \exists (i_1, \dots, i_{m_0}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m_0} \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m_0} \leq m, \quad k_{i_l} = j_l \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, m_0, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } k_l = 0 \text{ for all } l \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{m_0}\} \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

The idea of studying separating sets of vector invariants that depend only on a smaller number of variables was considered by Domokos [4] for an  $n$ -dimensional representation  $W$  of an arbitrary algebraic group  $G$ . Domokos showed that it is enough to consider a separating set of  $\mathbb{K}[W^{m_0}]$  for  $m_0 = 2n$ , or for  $m_0 = n + 1$  if  $G$  is reductive, and expand it to  $\mathbb{K}[W^m]$  for  $m \geq m_0$ .

Our main theorem is a strengthening of this result in the case of multisymmetric polynomials to  $m_0 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ , where  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer  $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > n$ . Assume  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^{m_0}$  with*

- $m_0 \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ,
- *the set  $S(I)$  is separating for  $\mathbb{K}[V^{m_0}]^{S_n}$ .*

*Then for all  $m \geq m_0$  the set  $S(I^{[m]})$  is separating for  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{S_n}$ .*

Let  $\sigma(n)$  denote the minimal number  $m_0$  such that the expansion of any separating set  $S(I)$  with  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{m_0}$  produces a separating set for  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{S_n}$  for all  $m \geq m_0$ . Then Theorem 1 can be rephrased as

$$\sigma(n) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1. \quad (1.5)$$

In Theorem 2 in Section 6 we explicitly give minimal (w.r.t. inclusion) separating sets for  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . These sets also show that the upper bound (1.5) is exact for  $n \leq 4$ . For  $n = 3$  and  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_3}$  was studied in details by Domokos and Puskás [7].

This paper is structured as follows. At first we need a few basic results about partitions of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , which are provided in Section 2. Then we study the transitions from  $n-1$  to  $n$  and from  $m-1$  and to  $m$  in Section 3. The lemmas from Section 3 will be applied in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 in Sections 4 and 6. In Section 5 we compare the asymptotic sizes of our multi-homogeneous separating set and the minimal generating set.

## 2. PARTITIONS OF THE SET $[n]$

Recall that a *partition*  $A = \{I_1, \dots, I_r\}$  of  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a set of non-empty subsets of  $[n]$  with pairwise empty intersections such that  $I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_r = [n]$ . For a partition  $A$  of  $[n]$  denote by  $G_A$  the subgroup of  $G := \mathcal{S}_n$  that fixes the sets of  $A$ , i.e.,

$$G_A := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \sigma(I) = I \text{ for all } I \in A\}.$$

The intersection partition of two partitions  $A$  and  $B$  of  $[n]$  is

$$A \sqcap B := \{I \cap J \mid I \in A, J \in B\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Note that  $\sqcap$  is an associative operation on the set of all partitions of  $[n]$ . For the corresponding subgroups we have

$$G_{A \sqcap B} = G_A \cap G_B. \quad (2.1)$$

A vector  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in V$  defines an equivalence relation on  $[n]$  through

$$i \sim j \iff a_i = a_j.$$

This equivalence relation defines a partition, denoted by  $\text{Part}(a)$ , of the set  $[n]$ . In particular,  $|\text{Part}(a)|$  is the number of distinct coordinates  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $a$ . The stabilizer of  $a$  under the  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -action on  $V$  is equal to the subgroup  $G_{\text{Part}(a)}$  defined above:

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &:= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid a_{\sigma(i)} = a_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \sigma(I) = I \text{ for all } I \in \text{Part}(a)\} = G_{\text{Part}(a)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be partitions of  $[n]$ . If  $G_A \not\subset G_B$ , then  $|A \sqcap B| > |A|$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma(I) = I$  for all  $I \in A$ , but  $\sigma(J_1) \neq J_1$  for some  $J_1 \in B$ . Hence there exists  $j \in J_1$  such that  $\sigma(j) \notin J_1$ . Since  $A$  is a partition, there exists  $I_1 \in A$  with  $j \in I_1$ . Similarly, there exists  $J_2 \in B$  such that  $\sigma(j) \in J_2$ . But also  $\sigma(j) \in \sigma(I_1) = I_1$ , so we have

$$I_1 \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad I_1 \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

This shows  $|A \sqcap B| > |A|$ . □

Next for a partition  $A$  of  $[n]$  we define

$$\min(A) := \min\{|I| \mid I \in A\}.$$

Clearly, if  $|A| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ , then  $\min(A) = 1$ . This will be used in the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  be partitions of  $[n]$  with  $r \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  such that for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$  we have*

$$G_{A_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{A_{i-1}} \not\subset G_{A_i},$$

where for  $i = 1$  the empty intersection is interpreted as  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Then

$$\min(A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_r) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{S}_n \not\subset G_{A_1}$ , we have  $|A_1| > 1$ .

Since  $G_{A_1} \not\subset G_{A_2}$ , we have  $|A_1 \sqcap A_2| > |A_1|$  by Lemma 2.1.

Since  $G_{A_1 \sqcap A_2} = G_{A_1} \cap G_{A_2} \not\subset G_{A_3}$  (see (2.1)), we have

$$|A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqcap A_3| > |A_1 \sqcap A_2|$$

by Lemma 2.1. Proceeding like this, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_r| &\geq |A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_{r-1}| + 1 \\ &\geq |A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_{r-2}| + 2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq |A_1| + (r - 1) \\ &\geq r + 1 \\ &\geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. LEMMAS FOR REDUCTION

In this section we assume that  $n \geq 2$ . To work with the case of  $n - 1$  we will introduce the following notations. Denote by  $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{K}^{n-1}$  the natural representation of  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ . For an  $m$ -tuple  $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  we define the  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ -invariant

$$\tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x(1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x(m)_i^{k_m} \in \mathbb{K}[\tilde{V}^m]^{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}. \quad (3.1)$$

We assign to  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^m$  the set of invariants

$$\tilde{S}(I) := \{\tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \mid (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in I\}. \quad (3.2)$$

So the superscript  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  means “the  $n$ -th coordinate is missing”.

To study the transitions from  $n - 1$  to  $n$  and from  $m - 1$  to  $m$ , we will use the following conditions in the formulations of the next lemmas.

**Conditions 3.1.** *Assume  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^{m-1}$ ,  $J \subset \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $p = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in V^m$  and  $q = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in V^m$  satisfy*

- $S(I)$  is separating for the  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -action on  $V^{m-1}$ ,
- $I^{[m]} \subset J$ ,
- $\tilde{S}(J)$  is separating for the  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ -action on  $\tilde{V}^m$ ,
- $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S(J)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that for  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^m$  the set  $S(I) \subset \mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  is separating. Then  $\tilde{S}(I) \subset \mathbb{K}[\tilde{V}^m]^{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}}$  is also separating.*

*Proof.* Consider two vectors  $\tilde{p} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \tilde{V}^m$  and  $\tilde{q} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \tilde{V}^m$  that can not be separated by  $\tilde{S}(I)$ . Take an element  $c \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $c \neq (a_1)_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . We extend the vectors  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  to vectors in  $V$  by adding  $c$  in the last coordinate. In this way we obtain

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in V^m \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in V^m.$$

Then for all  $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in I$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_1)_i^{k_1} \cdots (a_m)_i^{k_m} \right) + c^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(\tilde{p}) + c^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(\tilde{q}) + c^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b_1)_i^{k_1} \cdots (b_m)_i^{k_m} \right) + c^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} \\ &= f_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(q). \end{aligned}$$

So  $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S(I)$ . Since  $S(I)$  is separating, there exists an element  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma q = p$ . Comparing the first columns of  $p$  and  $q$  we see that  $\sigma$  must fix the  $n$ -th coordinate, because  $c \neq (a_1)_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $\sigma(n) = n$  and  $\sigma$  can be considered as an element of  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ . Obviously, we have  $\sigma(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{p}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that Conditions 3.1 hold. Then there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that*

$$\sigma q = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, c) \quad (\text{for some } c \in V).$$

*Proof.* Delete the last column vector from  $p$  and  $q$  to obtain

$$p' = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \text{ and } q' = (b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}) \in V^{m-1}.$$

The definition of the expansion implies that for all  $(k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}) \in I$  we have  $(k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, 0) \in I^{[m]} \subset J$ . Thus for all  $f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}} \in S(I)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(p') &= f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, 0}(p) \\ &= f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}, 0}(q) = f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(q'). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S(I)$  is separating, there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma q' = p'$ . Hence with  $c = \sigma b_m$  we obtain

$$\sigma q = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, c).$$

$\square$

We will often apply Lemma 3.3 to replace  $q \in V^m$  by  $\sigma q$ . For this purpose we add the following trivial remark.

**Remark 3.4.** For  $p, q \in V^m$ ,  $S \subseteq K[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  the statements

- $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S$ ;

- $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit;

do not change their validity when replacing  $p$  or  $q$  (or both) with  $\sigma p$  or  $\sigma q$ , respectively.

In the next two lemmas we will use the following notation. For an associative binary operation  $*$  on a set  $X$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in X$  we write

$$a_1 * \dots * \widehat{a_i} * \dots * \widehat{a_j} * \dots * a_m$$

for

$$a_1 * \dots * a_{i-1} * a_{i+1} * \dots * a_{j-1} * a_{j+1} * \dots * a_m$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that Conditions 3.1 hold and that there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that for the stabilizers in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  we have*

$$G_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{G_{a_i}} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{G_{a_j}} \cap \dots \cap G_{a_m} \subset G_{a_i}. \quad (3.3)$$

*Then  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $i = m - 1$  and  $j = m$ . Furthermore, after applying Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we can assume that

$$p = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad q = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, c).$$

Consider the reduced vectors

$$p' := (a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad q' := (a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, c) \in V^{m-1}.$$

For any  $(k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}) \in I$  we have  $(k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, 0, k_{m-1}) \in I^{[m]} \subset J$ . Hence the assumption that  $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S(J)$ , implies that

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(p') = f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, 0, k_{m-1}}(p) = f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-2}, 0, k_{m-1}}(q) = f_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(q').$$

Since  $S(I)$  is separating, then there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma q' = p'$ . Then  $\sigma c = a_m$  and

$$\sigma \in G_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{a_{m-2}}.$$

Thus (3.3) implies  $\sigma \in G_{a_{m-1}}$  and  $\sigma q = p$  follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Assume that Conditions 3.1 hold and that there exists  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(a_1)_l = (b_1)_l, \dots, (a_m)_l = (b_m)_l$ . Then  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit.*

*Proof.* By Remark 3.4 we can apply the transposition  $\tau = (l, n)$  to both  $p$  and  $q$  and hence assume that  $l = n$ .

Deleting the  $n$ -th coordinate of every entry in  $p$  and  $q \in V^m$  we obtain  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{V}^m$ . For any  $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in J$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(\tilde{p}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_m)_i^{k_m} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (a_1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_m)_i^{k_m} \right) - (a_1)_n^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_m)_n^{k_m} \\ &= f_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(p) - (a_1)_n^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (a_m)_n^{k_m} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S(J)$  and since the  $n$ -th coordinates of the entries of  $p$  and  $q$  are the same, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(\tilde{p}) &= f_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(q) - (b_1)_n^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (b_m)_n^{k_m} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (b_1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (b_m)_i^{k_m} \right) - (b_1)_n^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (b_m)_n^{k_m} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b_1)_i^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (b_m)_i^{k_m} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(\tilde{q}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{q})$  for all  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{S}(J)$ . Since  $\tilde{S}(J)$  is separating, there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$  such that  $\sigma\tilde{q} = \tilde{p}$ . We can view  $\sigma$  as an element of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  with  $\sigma(n) = n$ . Then we get  $\sigma q = p$ , because the  $n$ -th coordinates of the entries of  $q$  and  $p$  are the same.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Assume that Conditions 3.1 hold and let  $A_i$  denote the partitions  $A_i := \text{Part}(a_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Moreover, assume that there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that*

$$\min(A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap \widehat{A}_i \sqcap \dots \sqcap \widehat{A}_j \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_m) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

Then  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $i = m - 1$  and  $j = m$ . Furthermore, after applying Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we can assume that

$$p = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad q = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, c).$$

We consider the reduced vectors

$$p' := (a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad q' := (a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, c) \in V^{m-1}$$

and conclude as in the proof of Lemma 3.5 that there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma q' = p'$ . By (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\sigma \in G_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{a_{m-2}} = G_{A_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{A_{m-2}} = G_{A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_{m-2}}.$$

Hence by (3.4) there exists a position  $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(l) = l$ . But since  $\sigma c = a_m$ , this implies  $c_l = (a_m)_l$  and hence the  $l$ -th coordinates of the entries of  $p$  and  $q$  are the same. Lemma 3.6 concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We use induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have  $m_0 = 1$  and  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S(I) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x(1)_1]$  is separating. Consider  $p = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ ,  $q = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in V^m$  that can not be separated by  $S(I^{[m]})$ . Then for all  $k_1 \in I$  and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we obtain

$$f_{k_1}(a_i) = f_{0, \dots, 0, k_1, 0, \dots, 0}(p) = f_{0, \dots, 0, k_1, 0, \dots, 0}(q) = f_{k_1}(b_i).$$

Since  $S(I)$  is separating, we get  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i$ , thus  $p = q$ .

Now assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $S(I) \subset \mathbb{K}[V^{m_0}]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  is separating, we know by Lemma 3.2 that  $\tilde{S}(I)$  is separating for the  $\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ -action on  $\tilde{V}^{m_0}$ . Clearly,

$$m_0 \geq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 1,$$

so by induction on  $n$  we can use Theorem 1 for  $n-1$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{S}(I^{[m]}) \text{ is separating for the } \mathcal{S}_{n-1}\text{-action on } \tilde{V}^m. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us prove that  $S(I^{[m]})$  is separating by induction on  $m \geq m_0$ . For  $m = m_0$  there is nothing to show. Assume that  $m > m_0$  and consider two vectors

$$p = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m) \in V^m \quad \text{and} \quad q \in V^m$$

that can not be separated by  $S(I^{[m]})$ . To conclude the proof, we have to show that  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit. By induction on  $m$  we have that

$$S(I^{[m-1]}) \text{ is a separating set for the } \mathcal{S}_n\text{-action on } V^{m-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since statements (4.1), (4.2) hold and  $(I^{[m-1]})^{[m]} = I^{[m]}$ , Conditions 3.1 are satisfied with  $I^{[m-1]}$  taking the role of  $I$  and  $I^{[m]}$  taking the role of  $J$ . We apply Lemma 3.3 together with Remark 3.4 and hence can assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are of the form

$$p = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m), \quad q = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, c) \in V^m. \quad (4.3)$$

Consider the partitions  $A_i := \text{Part}(a_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$  as defined in Section 2. We split the rest of the proof into two cases.

**Case 1:** Assume that there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that

$$G_{A_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{A_{i-1}} \subset G_{A_i}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then the inclusion (3.3) is valid. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit.

**Case 2:** Assume that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  we have

$$G_{A_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{A_{i-1}} \not\subset G_{A_i}. \quad (4.5)$$

We will only use these assumptions for  $i \leq m-2$ . Since

$$m-2 \geq m_0-1 \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor,$$

we can apply Lemma 2.2 to  $A_1, \dots, A_{m-2}$  and obtain that

$$\min(A_1 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_{m-2}) = 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Then Lemma 3.7 concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. SIZES OF GENERATING AND SEPARATING SETS

In this section we compare the asymptotic sizes of multi-homogeneous generating and separating sets when  $n$  is fixed and  $m$  tends to infinity. Denote by  $M_m = S(I_m)$  the minimal generating set of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  from (1.3) depending on  $m$ . Theorem 1 allows us to use  $M_{m_0}$ , where  $m_0 := \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ , to construct separating sets of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  for  $m > m_0$ . We define

$$S_m := \begin{cases} M_m & \text{for } m \leq m_0, \\ S(I_{m_0}^{[m]}) & \text{for } m > m_0. \end{cases}$$



By Theorem 1,  $S_m$  is a separating set for all  $m$ . For two functions  $f, h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  we write  $f \sim h$  if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(m)}{h(m)} = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *As functions of  $m$*

$$\frac{|S_m|}{|M_m|} \sim \binom{n}{m_0} \frac{n!}{m_0!} \frac{1}{m^{n-m_0}}.$$

*In particular for  $n \geq 3$ , we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_m|}{|M_m|} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M_m$  corresponds to the set of all  $m$ -tuples in  $\mathbb{N}^m$  with the sum of entries between 1 and  $n$ , we obtain

$$|M_m| = \binom{m+n}{n} - 1 = \frac{m^n}{n!} + \dots \text{“terms with } m^k \text{ where } k < n\text{”}.$$

Let  $\alpha_k$  be the number of  $k$ -tuples in  $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^k$  with the sum of entries between 1 and  $n$ . Then we have

$$|S_m| = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \binom{m}{k} \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_k = \binom{n}{k}.$$

Hence for  $m > m_0$  we get

$$|S_m| = \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k} = \frac{m^{m_0}}{m_0!} \binom{n}{m_0} + \dots \text{“terms with } m^k \text{ where } k < m_0\text{”}.$$

From these formulas the result follows.  $\square$

## 6. MINIMAL SEPARATING SETS

This section deals with the question of *minimality* with respect to inclusion of a separating set of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$  among all separating sets of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{\mathcal{S}_n}$ . At first we show that the method of expansion behaves well in this regard.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $m_0, m$  be integers with  $1 \leq m_0 \leq m$  and let  $I \subset \mathbb{N}^{m_0}$  such that*

- $S(I)$  is a minimal separating set,
- $S(I^{[m]})$  is a separating set.

*Then  $S(I^{[m]})$  is also a minimal separating set.*

*Proof.* For short, in this proof  $0^t$  denotes the tuple  $(0, \dots, 0)$  of  $t$  zeros.

We need to show that for every  $f \in S(I^{[m]})$  the set  $S(I^{[m]}) \setminus \{f\}$  is *not* separating. For such an  $f$  there exists an  $m_0$ -tuple  $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{m_0}) \in I$  and  $t_0, \dots, t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f = f_{\underline{k}'}$  where

$$\underline{k}' := (0^{t_0}, k_1, 0^{t_1}, \dots, k_{m_0}, 0^{t_{m_0}}). \quad (6.1)$$

We consider  $f_{\underline{k}} \in S(I)$ . Since  $S(I) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}}\}$  is not separating by assumption, there exist

$$p = (a_1, \dots, a_{m_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad q = (b_1, \dots, b_{m_0}) \in V^{m_0}$$

such that  $p$  and  $q$  are not in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit, but satisfy  $g(p) = g(q)$  for all  $g \in S(I) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}}\}$ . Then clearly,

$$p' = (0^{t_0}, a_1, 0^{t_1}, \dots, a_l, 0^{t_l}) \quad \text{and} \quad q' = (0^{t_0}, b_1, 0^{t_1}, \dots, b_l, 0^{t_l}) \in V^m$$

are also not in the same  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbit.

For any  $f_{\underline{l}'} \in S(I^{[m]}) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}'}\}$  there are two cases. If the  $m$ -tuple  $\underline{l}'$ , which defines  $f_{\underline{l}'}$ , has a non-zero entry at a position where  $\underline{k}'$  has a zero, then we have  $f_{\underline{l}'}(p') = 0 = f_{\underline{l}'}(q')$ . In the other case  $\underline{l}'$  is of the form

$$\underline{l}' = (0^{t_0}, l_1, 0^{t_1}, \dots, l_{m_0}, 0^{t_{m_0}}),$$

with  $\underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_{m_0}) \in I$ . Then we get

$$f_{\underline{l}'}(p') = f_{\underline{l}}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\underline{l}'}(q) = f_{\underline{l}}(q').$$

If  $\underline{l} \neq \underline{k}$ , we have  $f_{\underline{l}} \in S(I) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}}\}$  and therefore

$$f_{\underline{l}'}(p') = f_{\underline{l}}(p) = f_{\underline{l}}(q) = f_{\underline{l}'}(q').$$

Overall, we have  $g(p') = g(q')$  for all  $g \in S(I^{[m]}) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}'}\}$ . Therefore,  $S(I^{[m]}) \setminus \{f_{\underline{k}'}\}$  is not separating.  $\square$

In [17, Theorem 2] minimal separating sets for  $m = 2$  and  $n = 2, 3, 4$  were constructed in characteristic 0.

**Remark 6.2.** Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of [17] remain true in the case of  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > n$ . The proofs are the same except that in the proof of Theorem 2 a new example is needed, namely to show that  $S \setminus \{f_{2,1}\}$  is not separating, consider

$$p = (3, 2, 1, -1, 1, -4, 4, -1), \quad q = (3, 2, 1, -1, -1, 4, -4, 1).$$

Here we used the notation of the proof of Theorem 2 from [17].

Using our previous results we extend this to  $m \geq 3$  in the following theorem. Note in particular, that for  $n = 4$  and  $m \geq 3$  we can not simply take the expansion of a separating set for  $m = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > n$ . Then for  $n = 2, 3, 4$  and  $m \geq 2$  the set  $S(I_{n,m})$  is a minimal separating set for the algebra of invariants  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{S_n}$ , where the sets  $I_{n,m} \subset \mathbb{N}^m$  are defined as follows:*

(a) for  $n = 2$  let

$$I_{2,2} = \{(r, 0), (0, r), (1, 1) \mid r = 1, 2\},$$

$$I_{2,m} = I_{2,2}^{[m]} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 3;$$

(b) for  $n = 3$  let

$$I_{3,2} = \{(r, 0), (0, r), (1, 1), (2, 1) \mid r = 1, 2, 3\},$$

$$I_{3,m} = I_{3,2}^{[m]} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 3;$$

(c) for  $n = 4$  let

$$I_{4,2} = \{(r, 0), (0, r), (1, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2), (3, 1) \mid r = 1, 2, 3, 4\},$$

$$I_{4,3} = I_{4,2}^{[3]} \cup \{(1, 1, 1)\},$$

$$I_{4,m} = I_{4,3}^{[m]} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 4.$$

*Proof.* The case  $m = 2$  follows from [17, Theorem 2] together with Remark 6.2.

For  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  we can take  $m_0 = 2$  in Theorem 1. Since  $S(I_{n,2})$  is separating, we have that  $S(I_{n,m})$  is separating for all  $m \geq 3$  by Theorem 1. The minimality of  $S(I_{n,m})$  follows from Lemma 6.1.

Assume  $n = 4$ . Let us show that

$$S(I_{4,3}) \text{ is separating.}$$

Consider  $p = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in V^3$  and  $q \in V^3$  that can not be separated by  $S(I_{4,3})$ . Conditions 3.1 are satisfied for  $I := I_{4,2}$  and  $J := I_{4,3}$ , because  $\tilde{S}(I_{4,3}) \supset S(I_{3,3})$  is separating. The combination of Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4 allow us to assume that

$$p = (a_1, a_2, a_3), \quad q = (a_1, a_2, c). \quad (6.2)$$

If for some  $i \neq j$  with  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we have  $G_{a_i} \subset G_{a_j}$ , we can apply Lemma 3.5 to obtain that  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_4$ -orbit. Hence we can assume that for all  $i \neq j$  we have  $G_{a_i} \not\subset G_{a_j}$ . Then in particular for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we have  $G_{a_i} \neq \mathcal{S}_4$  and  $G_{a_i} \neq \{\text{id}\}$ . The former means that not all coordinates of  $a_i$  are equal, while the latter means that not all coordinates of  $a_i$  are pairwise distinct. Therefore, for the corresponding partition  $A_i := \text{Part}(a_i)$  we know that  $|A_i| \in \{2, 3\}$  for all  $i$ .

If  $\min(A_i) = 1$  for some  $i$ , then we can apply Lemma 3.7 to conclude that  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_4$ -orbit. Thus the only remaining case is that for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we have  $|A_i| = 2$  and  $\min(A_i) = 2$ . Then for each  $i$  the vector  $a_i \in \mathbb{K}^4$  has exactly two pairs of distinct coordinates. After applying some permutation to  $p$  and  $q$  (see Remark 3.4) we can assume that

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in K$  such that

$$\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \quad \mu_1 \neq \mu_2, \quad \nu_1 \neq \nu_2. \quad (6.3)$$

The four equations  $f_{0,0,r}(p) = f_{0,0,r}(q)$  with  $r = 1, \dots, 4$  imply that there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_4$  with  $\sigma c = a_3$ . If there exists  $l \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  with  $c_l = (a_3)_l$ , then Lemma 3.6 shows that  $p$  and  $q$  are in the same  $\mathcal{S}_4$ -orbit. Hence we can assume that  $c_l \neq (a_3)_l$  for all  $l$ . Because of  $\sigma c = a_3$  we have

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_2 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $f_{1,1,1}$  belongs to  $S(I_{4,3})$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f_{1,1,1}(p) - f_{1,1,1}(q) \\ &= \lambda_1 \mu_1 (\nu_1 - \nu_2) + \lambda_1 \mu_2 (\nu_2 - \nu_1) + \lambda_2 \mu_1 (\nu_2 - \nu_1) + \lambda_2 \mu_2 (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \\ &= (\nu_1 - \nu_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2); \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This shows that  $S(I_{4,3})$  is separating.

Now let us show that  $S(I_{4,3})$  is a minimal separating set. For  $f \in S(I_{4,3})$  with  $f \neq f_{1,1,1}$  the minimality of  $S(I_{4,2})$  implies that  $S(I_{4,3}) \setminus \{f\}$  is not separating. For  $f = f_{1,1,1}$  consider the vectors  $p = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  and  $q = (a_1, a_2, c) \in V^3$  with

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $f_{1,1,1}(p) \neq f_{1,1,1}(q)$ , but  $p$  and  $q$  can not be separated by  $S(I_{4,3}) \setminus \{f_{1,1,1}\}$ . So the theorem is proven for  $n = 4$  and  $m = 3$ .

Since for  $n = 4$  we can take  $m_0 = 3$  in Theorem 1, the set  $S(I_{4,m})$  is separating for all  $m \geq 4$ . The minimality of  $S(I_{4,m})$  follows from Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

Note that we can rewrite the generators of  $\mathbb{K}[V^m]^{S_n}$  in (1.1) using matrix notation. Namely, for any  $1 \leq j \leq m$  let  $D_j$  denote the diagonal matrix with entries  $x(j)_1, \dots, x(j)_n$ . Then

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_m} = \text{tr}(D_1^{k_1} \cdots D_m^{k_m}). \quad (6.4)$$

Using this notation the minimal separating sets  $S(I_{n,m})$  can be written as follows:

**Remark 6.3.** Assume that  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq m$  and  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . Then

- $S(I_{2,m})$  consists of

$$\text{tr}(D_i^r); \quad \text{tr}(D_i D_j) \quad \text{with } i < j;$$

- $S(I_{3,m})$  consists of

$$\text{tr}(D_i^r); \quad \text{tr}(D_i D_j), \text{tr}(D_i^2 D_j) \quad \text{with } i < j;$$

- $S(I_{4,m})$  consists of

$$\text{tr}(D_i^r); \quad \text{tr}(D_i D_j), \text{tr}(D_i^2 D_j), \text{tr}(D_i D_j^2), \text{tr}(D_i^3 D_j) \quad \text{with } i < j;$$

$$\text{tr}(D_i D_j D_k) \quad \text{with } i < j < k.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper. *Computational Invariant Theory*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [2] Harm Derksen and Gregor Kemper. *Computational Invariant Theory (Second Edition)*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2015.
- [3] Harm Derksen and Visu Makam. *Algorithms for orbit closure separation for invariants and semi-invariants of matrices*. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1801.02043, 2018.
- [4] Mátyás Domokos. *Typical separating invariants*. Transformation Groups, 12(1):49–63, 2007.
- [5] Mátyás Domokos. *Vector invariants of a class of pseudoreflection groups and multisymmetric syzygies*. J. Lie Theory, 19(3):507–525, 2009.
- [6] Mátyás Domokos. *Degree bound for separating invariants of abelian groups*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 145:3695–3708, 2017.
- [7] Mátyás Domokos and Anna Puskás. *Multisymmetric polynomials in dimension three*. Journal of Algebra, 356(1):283–303, 2012.
- [8] Emilie Dufresne, Jonathan Elmer, and Müfit Sezer. *Separating invariants for arbitrary linear actions of the additive group*. Manuscripta Mathematica, 143(1):207–219, 2014.
- [9] Emilie Dufresne and Jack Jeffries. *Separating invariants and local cohomology*. Adv. Math., 270:565–581, 2015.

- [10] Jonathan Elmer and Martin Kohls. *Zero-separating invariants for finite groups*. Journal of Algebra, 411:92–113, 2014.
- [11] Jonathan Elmer and Martin Kohls. *Zero-separating invariants for linear algebraic groups*. Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 59(4):911924, 2016.
- [12] Peter Fleischmann. *A new degree bound for vector invariants of symmetric groups*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 350(4):1703–1712, 1998.
- [13] Friedrich Heinrich Junker. *Ueber symmetrische Functionen von mehreren Reihen von Veränderlichen*. Mathematische Annalen, 43(2):225–270, 1893.
- [14] Ivan Kaygorodov, Artem Lopatin, and Yury Popov. *Separating invariants for  $2 \times 2$  matrices*. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 559:114–124, 2018.
- [15] Martin Kohls and Müfit Sezer. *Separating invariants for the Klein four group and cyclic groups*. International Journal of Mathematics, 24(06):1350046, 2013.
- [16] Fabian Reimers. *Separating invariants of finite groups*. Journal of Algebra, 507:19–46, 2018.
- [17] Fabian Reimers. *Separating invariants for two copies of the natural  $S_n$ -action*. Comm. in Algebra (to appear), 2019.
- [18] David Rydh. *A minimal set of generators for the ring of multisymmetric functions*. Annales de l’Institut Fourier, 57(6):1741–1769, 2007.
- [19] Ludwig Schläfli. *Über die Resultante eines Systemes mehrerer algebraischer Gleichungen*. Denkschriften der mathematisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band IV, Wien, 1852.
- [20] Francesco Vaccarino. *The ring of multisymmetric functions*. Annales de l’Institut Fourier, 55(3):717–731, 2005.
- [21] Hermann Weyl. *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS, 651 SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 13083-859 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `artem_lopatin@yahoo.com`

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK - M11, BOLTZMANNSTR. 3, 85748 GARCHING, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `reimers@ma.tum.de`