Negative Refraction between Fermi Arc Surface States in Weyl Semimetals
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One of the main features of Weyl semimetals is the existence of Fermi arc surface states at their surface, which cannot be realized in pure two-dimensional systems in the absence of many-body interactions. Due to the gapless bulk of the semimetal, it is, however, challenging to observe clear signatures from the Fermi arc surface states. Here, we find that perfect negative refraction that occurs between two adjacent open surfaces with properly orientated Fermi arcs, can crucially impact transport in Weyl semimetal wires. Specifically, this phenomenon visibly manifests in non-local transport measurement, where the negative refraction generates a return peak in the real-space conductance. This provides a unique signature of the Fermi arc surface states. We discuss the appearance of this peak both in inversion and time-reversal symmetric Weyl semimetals, where the latter exhibits conductance oscillations due to multiple negative refraction scattering events.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the classification of topological phases of matter has been extended from topological insulators12–22 to topological semimetals.33–34 The latter involves gapless band structures with nontrivial topological properties. Depending on whether the gap closing occurs at isolated points in the Brillouin zone or along closed loops, they are mainly divided into Weyl/Dirac semimetals35–36 and nodal-line semimetals.37 In this work, we show that perfect negative refraction of a surface wavepacket due to the Fermi arc surface states. Here, we find that perfect negative refraction that occurs between two adjacent open surfaces with properly orientated Fermi arcs, can crucially impact transport in Weyl semimetal wires. Specifically, this phenomenon visibly manifests in non-local transport measurement, where the negative refraction generates a return peak in the real-space conductance. This provides a unique signature of the Fermi arc surface states. We discuss the appearance of this peak both in inversion and time-reversal symmetric Weyl semimetals, where the latter exhibits conductance oscillations due to multiple negative refraction scattering events.

Recent progress has been made on the observation of Fermi arc states in Weyl and Dirac semimetals by using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES)13–20 and quantum transport measurements.21–22 In these experiments, both bulk and surface states appear in the measured observables, making it difficult to explicitly identify the Fermi arcs. Therefore, there is a need to explore novel and unique transport properties that can facilitate the identification of Fermi arcs. Moreover, such particular transport signatures open an avenue for their control and manipulation for potential applications.

Fermi arcs indicate strong anisotropy that breaks rotational symmetry, in contrast to closed Fermi surfaces in normal metals. As a result, part of the scattering channels at the Fermi energy level are absent, serving as a source for unique transport properties including negative refraction between different surfaces.35–36 In reality, the electronic transport signatures will depend on the material details and their specific termination, both of which affect the Fermi arcs’ orientation, dispersion, and length.13–15, 21–23 Notably, however, state-of-the-art fabrication techniques allow for controlled surface shaping on the level of a single layer,16, 17–21 making it possible to explore the broad breadth of surface transport phenomena.

In this work, we show that perfect negative refraction occurs for electronic transport between two adjacent open surfaces when the respective Fermi arcs are properly orientated. Due to the absence of backscattering chan-
nels, electrons are fully transmitted through the boundary between adjacent surfaces, leading to distinct spatial trajectories for electron propagation. This scenario manifests for both $P$- and $T$-symmetric Weyl semimetals. We propose to detect the negative refraction via non-local scanning tunneling spectroscopy. The negative refraction manifests as a clear spatially-resolved peak in the non-local conductance. Adverse effects, such as surface disorder and dispersive corrections to the Fermi arcs do not qualitatively change this transport peak. Our results offer a decisive signature for the detection of the Fermi arcs and present Weyl semimetals surface transport as a new platform to observe electronic negative refraction $^{[44–47]}$. Experimental realization of our proposal is within reach as the surface Fermi arcs orientation can be readily controlled by proper choice the material termination $^{[151]}$. The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we show that arbitrary orientations of Fermi arcs can be described by a rotation transformation of an effective Hamiltonian. Based on the resulting effective surface Hamiltonian and using a tunneling approach, we calculate the non-local conductance between two local terminals in both inversion ($P$) and time-reversal ($T$) symmetric Weyl semimetals in Sec. III and Sec. IV respectively. This serves as a direct signature of negative refraction. Finally, we discuss the experimental realization of our proposal and draw conclusions in Sec. V.

II. ORIENTED FERMI ARCS

In Weyl semimetals, Fermi arcs appear in the surface Brillouin zone, connecting the projection of two bulk Weyl points with opposite monopole charges. Within the surface Brillouin zone, the orientation of the Fermi arcs depends on the alignment of the bulk Weyl points relative to the termination direction of the sample. Therefore, by proper cutting of the sample, different orientations of the Fermi arcs can be obtained. To describe this orientation dependence, it is convenient to rotate the effective bulk Hamiltonian of the Weyl semimetal relative to fixed termination direction $^{[48]}$.

More concretely, we first consider the following minimal model of a $P$-symmetric Weyl semimetal

\[ H(k) = \hbar v (k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_y) + M(k_0^2 - k^2) \sigma_z, \]  

where $v$, $M$ and $k_0$ are parameters, $k = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ is the wave vector, and $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ are Pauli matrices acting on the 2-band pseudospin space. By diagonalizing the Hamiltonian, one can find two Weyl points located at $\pm k_0 = (0,0, \pm k_0)$. We calculate the topologically-protected surface states at an open surface in the $-y$ direction (surface I in Fig. 1). They are confined by $k_x^2 + k_y^2 < k_0^2$ and described by the effective Hamiltonian

\[ H^0_{\text{I}}(k_x, k_y) = \hbar v k_x. \]  

Similarly, the surface states on the open surface in the $x$ direction (surface II in Fig.1) are described by

\[ H^0_{\text{II}}(k_y, k_z) = \hbar v k_y. \]  

On both surfaces, the states are parallel to the $z$-direction. Therefore, Fermi arcs states at a chemical potential within the bulk gap (henceforth taken at $E = 0$) are also parallel to the $z$-direction. Correspondingly, due to the chirality of the surface states, electrons are fully transmitted without backscattering at a junction between the surfaces I and II, see Fig. 1(b).

Next, we perform rotational transformation to the effective bulk Hamiltonian Eq. (1). In this way, we retain the same open boundary conditions and describe generally-orientated Fermi arcs. A rotation about the axis $k_x = k_y, k_z = 0$ by an angle $\varphi$ is defined by $H'(k) = H(U^{-1}k)$ with the rotation operator

\[ U(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} & \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} & -\sin \varphi \cos \varphi \\ \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} & \cos^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} & \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \cos \varphi & \cos^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \]  

As a result, the bulk Weyl points are located at $Uk_0 = \pm k_0(-\sin \varphi, \sin \varphi, \cos \varphi)$ and the states on surface I can be described by the effective Hamiltonian

\[ H_I(k_x, k_z) = \hbar v'(\cos \theta k_x + \sin \theta k_z), \]  

where $v'$ is the renormalized velocity and $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\tan \varphi/\sqrt{2})$. The Fermi arc defined by $H_I$ is

\[ \cos \theta k_x + \sin \theta k_z = 0, \]  

and stretches between $\pm k_0(-\sin \varphi, \sin \varphi, \cos \varphi)$. Note that our approach of rotating the effective bulk model and calculating the resulting surface dispersion is verified using microscopic lattice model simulations, see Appendix A. Similarly, on surface II

\[ H_{\text{II}}(k_y, k_z) = \hbar v'(\cos \theta k_y - \sin \theta k_z), \]  

and the Fermi arc is defined by

\[ \cos \theta k_y - \sin \theta k_z = 0, \]  

and stretches between $\pm k_0(-\sin \varphi, \sin \varphi, \cos \varphi)$. Note that the two Fermi arcs have different orientations; see Fig. 1. For a finite $\theta$, electrons incident on surface I can only transfer through the interface due to the lack of backscattering channels. At the same time, because the Fermi arcs on the two surfaces tilt in opposite directions, the velocity in the $z$-direction is inverted, leading to negative refraction as shown in Figs. 1(b) and (c).

In the following, we introduce a general dispersion term to the surface Hamiltonian

\[ H_I'(k_x, k_z) = H_I + \varepsilon_x, \]  

\[ H_{\text{II}}'(k_y, k_z) = H_{\text{II}} - \varepsilon_y \]  

with a parabolic dispersion $\varepsilon_x = d[k_0^2(1 - \sin^2 \varphi/2) - k_{x,y}^2 - k_{y,z}^2]$. By tuning the dispersion strength $d$, the Fermi
arcs become curved; see Figs. 1 and 2(b). Such curving captures the situation in real materials. Moreover, the velocities of the surface states are also modified. In our following calculation, we assume that the dispersion does not invert the velocity in the x-(y-) direction on surface I (II). Note that the description of generally oriented Fermi arcs by rotation of the effective model works for both P- and T-symmetric Weyl semimetals. This approach is verified by numerical simulations of corresponding lattice models (see Appendix A).

III. NEGATIVE REFRACTION IN P-SYMMETRIC WEYL SEMIMETALS

Next, we investigate nonlocal electron transport through the surface states, see the corresponding two-terminal setup in Fig. 2(a). For convenience, we unfold the two open surfaces to the x-z plane with the boundary located at x = 0 [Fig. 1(c)], which can be achieved by the replacement $H^i_{yi}(k_y \to k_x, k_z)$ in Eq. (9). An electron wave packet is injected from the local lead at $r_i = (-x_i, 0)$ on surface I, then transmitted to surface II via negative refraction, and finally reaches the tip of the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) at $r_f = (x_f, 0)$. The wave packet propagates along a spatially-localized trajectory [cf. Fig. 1(c)]. This behavior can be revealed by the appearance of a peak structure in the spatially resolved non-local conductance as a function of $x_f$ [calculated below]: see Fig. 2(c). Crucially, this signature is unique to the negative refraction through the Fermi arc surface states. For normal metal states, the conductance decays with $x_f$, as the wave packet expands in the z-direction.

In the following, we calculate the non-local conductance using the surface Hamiltonian [3] and the Green’s function method. The Fermi energy is set to zero for simplicity, so that bulk electrons do not contribute to the conductivity. Finite density of the bulk states can solely lead to leakage of electrons, which will not change our main results. The coupling between the terminals and the surface states is described by a tunneling Hamiltonian as

$$H_T = \sum_{p,\alpha=i,j} T_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \Psi(r_{\alpha}) + H.c. \tag{10}$$

where $T_{\alpha}$ is the tunneling strength between the system and the $\alpha$ terminal, $d_{\alpha}$ is the Fermi operator in the $\alpha$ terminal with momentum $p$ and $\Psi(r)$ is the field operator of the surface states at position $r$, with $r_{\alpha}$ corresponding to each terminal location.

The non-local conductance (including spin degeneracy) between local electrode and the STM tip is given by

$$\sigma(\epsilon) = \frac{2e^2}{h} \text{Tr}[G^{R,A}(1+R_f)^{-1}G^{A}(1+R_f)^{-1}]. \tag{11}$$

The full retarded (R) and advanced (A) Green’s function $G^{R,A}$ and the linewidth functions $\Gamma_\alpha$ are [see Appendix B for details]

$$G^{R,A}_\epsilon(r_f, r_i) = (1 + R_i)^{-1} g^{R,A}_\epsilon(r_f, r_i)(1 + R_f)^{-1}, \tag{12}$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha(r_1, r_2, \epsilon) = 2\pi \rho_\alpha(\epsilon)|T_\alpha|^2 \delta(r_1 - r_\alpha) \delta(r_2 - r_\alpha), \tag{13}$$

where the function $R_\epsilon(r_1, r_2, \epsilon) = \pi^2 \rho_\alpha(\epsilon) |T_\epsilon|^2$ with $\rho_\alpha(\epsilon) = k_0/(2\pi^2 \hbar v)$ the density of states (DOS) of Fermi arc surface states per unit area and $\rho_\alpha(\epsilon)$ the DOS of the terminal $\alpha$ at energy $\epsilon$. The bare Green’s function are [cf. Eq. (12)]

$$g^{R}_\epsilon(r_f, r_i) = [g^{A}_\epsilon(r_i, r_f)]^* = -2\pi i \rho_\alpha(\epsilon) f_\epsilon(r_f, r_i), \tag{14}$$

with

$$f_\epsilon(r_f, r_i) = \int_{k_0 \cos \varphi}^{k_0 \cos \varphi} dk_z e^{i(k_z x_f - k_z x_i)} - 2k_0 \cos \varphi \tag{15}$$

Here, $k_x$ and $k_{xz}$ are solved by $H_i^I(k_x, k_z) = \epsilon$ and $H_i^I(k_x, k_z) = \epsilon$, respectively. The interval of integration covers the Fermi arc region, and the $k_z$ dependence of the velocity in the $x$-direction is ignored.

Performing integration in Eq. (11) yields

$$\sigma(\epsilon) = \sigma_0(\epsilon) |f_\epsilon(r_f, r_i)|^2, \tag{16}$$

$$\sigma_0(\epsilon) = \frac{32e^2}{h} \frac{R_i}{(1 + R_i)^2} \frac{R_f}{(1 + R_f)^2}. \tag{17}$$

FIG. 2. Non-local conductance between surfaces of Weyl semimetals. (a) Sketch of the setup. (b) Fermi arcs with different curvature controlled by $d$ [cf. Eq. (9)], labelled by the legend in (c). (c) Non-local conductance $\sigma(\epsilon)$ for Fermi arcs with different curvature [cf. Eq. (11)], with parameters: $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $k_0 = 0.1 \text{nm}^{-1}$, $v' = 10^4 \text{m/s}$, and $x_i = 100 \text{nm}$. The peak structure indicates the existence of negative refraction. The peak width $w$ is comparable with $\pi/k_0$. 

[1] and [2]
FIG. 3. Negative refraction between multiple Fermi arcs at different surfaces of Weyl semimetals. (a): Effective surface model for a $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric Weyl semimetal. There are two branches of Fermi arcs with opposite chirality (labeled as "+" and "−", respectively). (b): Fabry-Pérot interference led by backscattering at the terminals (blue and gold disks).

where $\sigma_0$ takes the maximum value $2e^2/h$ when $R_t = R_f = 1$. The dependence of $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ on $x_f$ comes from the factor $|f(z)(x_f, r_i)|^2$, which has a peak due to negative refraction; see Fig. 2(c). In particular, when $\varepsilon = 0$, $k_x z(\varepsilon, k_z) = -k_z z(\varepsilon, k_z)$, one can see from Eq. 15 that the peak of the function $|f(z)(x_f, r_i)|^2$ is centered around $x_f = x_1$ on the $x$ axis. The peak structure in the non-local conductance stems from the wave packet trajectory of negative refraction in Fig. 1(c). The width of the peak $w$, corresponding to the scale of the wave packet, is comparable with $\pi/k_0$, which can be seen from Eq. 15. Specifically, in the case of straight Fermi arcs and $\varepsilon = 0$, we will have $k_x z = -k_z z$. Performing the integration in Eq. 15 yields $f_{z=0}(r_f, r_i) = \sin(k_0(x_1 + x_f) \cos \varphi)/(k_0(x_1 + x_f) \sin \varphi)$, thus the peak width for $|f_{z=0}(r_f, r_i)|^2$ is comparable with $\pi/k_0$. For curved Fermi arcs with dispersion ($d \neq 0$), the wave packet spreads during its propagation, so that the peak of conductance is broadened as well; see Fig. 2(c). Therefore, the peak width $w$ provides useful information about the length of the Fermi arcs. The existence of the peak structure is also confirmed numerically in Fig. 3(a).

IV. NEGATIVE REFRACTION IN $\mathcal{T}$-SYMMETRIC WEYL SEMIMETALS

In reality, there are only few material candidates for Weyl semimetals with only two Weyl points\cite{12,13}. Hence, we investigate negative refraction between the surface states of $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric Weyl semimetals, which are more abundant.\cite{14,15} Specifically, we study a semimetal with four Weyl points. Our results can be readily extended to the situation with more Weyl points.

Consider a $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric Weyl semimetal with two pairs of Weyl points. Correspondingly, there are two Fermi arc segments on each open surface, which are time-reversal counterpart to each other; see Fig. 3(a). The existence of two branches of surface states with opposite chirality enables backscattering between them. For simplicity, we restrict our discussion to the case that two Fermi arcs on the same surface do not overlap when projecting to the $k_z$ axis. It means that no backscattering occurs for conserved $k_z$, so that perfect negative refraction occurs at the interface between surfaces I and II\cite{16}. However, backscattering takes place at the local terminals, leading to Fabry-Pérot interference [Fig. 3(b)] and additional oscillation of the non-local conductance on top of the peak structure in real space.

More concretely, the two adjacent open surfaces I and II contains two Fermi arcs each, as shown in Fig. 3(a). We describe the branch "+" by

$$H_+ (k_x, k_z) = \begin{cases} H_1 (k_x - k_{x_0}, k_z - k_{z_0}) & x < 0 \\ H_1 (k_x + k_{x_0}, k_z - k_{z_0}) & x > 0 \end{cases} ,$$

(18)

which is similar to Eq. (9) except for a shift of the Fermi arcs in the surface Brillouin zone. The time-reversal counterpart, branch "−", is described by $H_- (k_x, k_z) = H_+ (-k_x, -k_z)$.

Similar to the $\mathcal{P}$-symmetric case, we first solve the Green’s function for the surface states, yielding

$$\tilde{g}_e^R (r_f, r_i) = -\pi i \rho_0 (\varepsilon) f^*_e (r_f, r_i) ,$$

(19)

with

$$f^*_e (r_f, r_i) = \int_{k_i^+}^{k_f^+} dk_z e^{i (k_x z_f - k_x z_i)} / (k_2^+ + k_1^-) ) e^{i k_z (z_f - z_i)} ,$$

(20)

where $\rho_0 (\varepsilon)$ is the density of surface states per unit area, and $k_1^+$ and $k_2^+$ are the $k_z$ component of the terminations of the Fermi arcs in the branch "+". $k_1^+$ and $k_2^+$ are solved by

$$H_1 (k_x - k_{x_0}, k_z - k_{z_0}) = \varepsilon$$

and $H_1 (k_x + k_{x_0}, k_z - k_{z_0}) = \varepsilon$, respectively. We describe the coupling to the terminals by the same tunneling Hamiltonian\cite{10}, which leads to the same self-energy in Eq. (13). The full Green’s function, however, takes a different form due to the backscattering at the terminals,

$$\tilde{G}_e (r_f, r_i) = \frac{\tilde{g}_e^R (r_f, r_i)}{(1 + R_t)(1 + R_f) - R_t R_f f^2 (r_f, r_i)} .$$

(21)

The resulting non-local conductance calculated by Eq. (11) is

$$\tilde{\sigma} (\varepsilon) = \frac{\sigma_0}{4} \left| \frac{f^*_e (r_f, r_i)}{(1 + R_t)(1 + R_f) - R_t R_f f^2 (r_f, r_i)} \right|^2 .$$

(22)

which mainly differs from the $\mathcal{P}$-symmetric Weyl semimetal [cf. Eq. (10)] by the additional term in the denominator due to the multiple scattering in Fig. 3(b). In the weak tunneling limit $R_{t,f} \ll 1$, the effect due to multiple scattering is negligible and the conductance $\tilde{\sigma} (\varepsilon) \approx \frac{\sigma_0}{4} |f^*_e (r_f, r_i)|^2$. More generally, the conductance as a function of energy and $x_f$ is plotted in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The non-local conductance displays additional Fabry-Pérot oscillations induced by multiple scattering on top of the peak structure in real space, resulting in the appearance of side peaks for large dispersion $d$; see Fig. 4(c), which is verified by numerical simulations using a lattice model in Fig. 5(b). The width of the main peak $\tilde{\omega}$ is comparable to $\pi/(k_0 - k_1^+)$ for the same reason as in the $\mathcal{P}$-symmetric case. The Fabry-Pérot interference also
tional phase factor of $\Delta v$.

We assume that, for the branch "+", the Fermi velocity along the x-direction, $v_{x+,i}$, is independent of $k_z$. This implies that when the energy increases by $\Delta \varepsilon$ the momenta $k_x'$ and $k_z'$ will increase by $\Delta \varepsilon / v_{x+,i}$. Therefore, the function $f_{x,\varepsilon}'(r_f, r_i)$ [cf. Eq. (20)] gains an additional phase factor of $\Delta \varepsilon (x_f - x_i)/v_{x+,i}$. Due to the factor $f_{x,\varepsilon}'(r_f, r_i)^2$ in the denominator of Eq. (22), the oscillation period with $\varepsilon$, $\Omega$, is comparable with $\pi v_{x+,i} / (x_f - x_i)$. Note that, in the case of large $d$, the center of the resonant peak in real space moves with $\varepsilon$ [Fig. 4(b)], and oscillation with $\varepsilon$ cannot be seen due to the rapid decrease of the conductance at $\varepsilon \neq 0$ [Fig. 4(d)].

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

So far, we have analyzed negative refraction based on the minimal model of $P$- and $T$-symmetric Weyl semimetals. Several important issues related to the experimental implementation of our proposal are discussed in the following:

(i) For Weyl semimetals with more Weyl points and Fermi arcs than those obtained within the minimal model, in most materials [12][29], our main results still hold as long as the overlap between the projections of different incident and reflection channels with conserved momentum $k_z$ is negligibly small. In this case, due to the different orientations of Fermi arcs and the corresponding trajectories of negative refraction, a multiple peak structure in the nonlocal conductance may appear in the same transport scheme in Fig. 2(a). The negative refraction will get suppressed if the overlap between the projections of the incident and reflection Fermi arcs is large due to the enhanced backscattering.

(ii) We considered Fermi arcs with a regular shape, such that electrons propagate on the surfaces towards certain directions, which is the main difference between Fermi arc states and normal metal states. For Weyl semimetals with long and winding Fermi arcs, surface transport will occur in different directions similar to normal metals, and negative refraction cannot be observed.

(iii) In real materials, the Fermi energy usually deviates from the Weyl points, resulting in a finite density of bulk states. Our result is not sensitive to such a deviation because the nonlocal transport occurs on the surface of the sample. The bulk states only lead to certain leakage of the injected electrons, and only a small amount of them get retrieved by the STM, which contributes a small background to the conductance peak.

(iv) Finally, surface imperfections such as dangling bonds may exist, which can be treated as disorder. In $P$-symmetric Weyl semimetals, such surface disorder should have little effect on the negative refraction and the conductance peak remains stable. This is because the surface states are unidirectional and are thus immune to backscattering. However, in $T$-symmetric Weyl semimetals surface disorder will lead to backscattering between the time-reversal counterpart of the Fermi arcs with opposite chirality, which reduces the negative refraction efficiency as well as the peak structure of the nonlocal conductance.

In summary, we have shown that perfect negative refraction can be realized on two adjacent surfaces of Weyl semimetals with properly oriented Fermi arcs. The

![FIG. 4. Non-local conductance $\tilde{\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ in a $T$-symmetric Weyl semimetal [cf. Eq. (18)] with (a) straight ($d = 0$) and (b) curved Fermi arcs ($d = 0.7eV \cdot nm^2$). The dependence of the conductance on (c) $x_f$ for fixed $\varepsilon = 0$ and (d) $\varepsilon$ with $x_f = x_i$ for Fermi arcs with different curvature [labelled by the legend in (c)]. All parameters are $R_i = R_f = 1$, $k_0 = 0.2nm^{-1}$, $k_{x0} = k_{z0} = k_0 / \sqrt{2}$ and other parameters the same as those in Fig. 2.](image)
FIG. 5. Fermi arcs on surfaces I (a) and II (b) of \( H'(k) \) and that on surfaces I (c) and II (d) of \( H_{TR}'(k) \), with parameters \( k_0 = 0.1 \text{nm}^{-1}, M = -1.25 \text{eV}\cdot\text{nm}^2 \) and \( \varphi = \cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \) in \( H'(k) \) and \( k_0 = 0.2 \text{nm}^{-1}, k_1 = \sqrt{2}k_0, M = 1.25 \text{eV}\cdot\text{nm}^2 \), \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{4} \) in \( H_{TR}'(k) \).

space resolved peak structure of the nonlocal conductance which indicates the trajectory of negative refraction can serve as a unique evidence of the Fermi arc states. Recent progress on Weyl semimetals with a single pair of Weyl nodes in MnBi$_2$Te$_4$\textsuperscript{39} and EuCd$_2$As$_2$\textsuperscript{40,41} paves the way to the realization of our proposal. Our work opens a new platform to study negative refraction in electronics\textsuperscript{44–47}. Compared with the existing physical systems, the negative refraction in Fermi arc states exhibit an unambiguous signature for its detection.
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Appendix A: Numerical calculation of Fermi arcs

In this Appendix, we verify numerically that for the \( \mathcal{P} \)- and \( \mathcal{T} \)- symmetric Weyl semimetals [cf. Eq. \textsuperscript{[1]}], rotation of the effective bulk model leads to the oriented Fermi arcs on open surfaces.

\[ H_{TR}(k) = M \left( k_1^2 - k_2^2 \right) \sigma_x + \hbar v k_y \sigma_y + M \left( k_0^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2 \right) \sigma_z, \quad (A1) \]

For the \( \mathcal{P} \)-symmetric Weyl semimetal we adopt the the effective model \( H'(k) \) in the article. For the \( \mathcal{T} \)-symmetric Weyl semimetal, we start with a minimal model

\[ H_{TR}(k) = M \left( k_1^2 - k_2^2 \right) \sigma_x + \hbar v k_y \sigma_y + M \left( k_0^2 - k_y^2 - k_z^2 \right) \sigma_z, \quad (A1) \]

that has two Fermi arcs on each open surface. Then, we perform the following rotational transformation to the effective Hamiltonian to obtain generally-orientated Fermi arcs as \( H_{TR}'(k) = H_{TR}(\tilde{U}^{-1}k) \) with

\[ \tilde{U}(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \cos \phi & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A2) \]

The reason we apply \( \tilde{U}(\phi) \) instead of \( U(\varphi) \) [cf. Eq. \textsuperscript{[4]}] in the \( \mathcal{T} \)-symmetric case is because of different original positions of the Weyl points in the Brillouin zone to the \( \mathcal{P} \)-symmetric case.

In the long wave-length limit, the matching lattice model used in the numerical simulation can be constructed from the effective Hamiltonian through the
substitution $k_{1=2} \rightarrow a^{-1} \sin k_1 a$, $k_2^2 \rightarrow 2a^{-2}(1 - \cos k_1 a)$, where $a$ is the lattice constant. The Fermi energies of the $\mathcal{P}$-symmetric Weyl semimetal with $\varphi = \cos^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ (s.t. $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$) and $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric Weyl semimetal with $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ are shown in Fig. 5.

Appendix B: Green’s function calculation

For a fixed energy $E$, the normalized eigenstate of the surface Hamiltonian is

$$
\psi_{k_z, E}(x, z) = \frac{e^{ik_z z}}{\sqrt{S}} \left[ i(-x) e^{i k_x x} + \theta(x) e^{i k_x x} \right],
$$

where the momentum $k_z$ is conserved during the transmission, and $k_x$ and $k_{2z}$ are solved by $H^I(k_x, k_z) = E$ and $H^I_{TR}(k_{2z}) = E$, respectively. The function $\theta(\pm x)$ is the Heaviside step function defining the two sides of the junction and $S$ is the combined area of surfaces I and II. Without coupling to the terminals, the bare Green’s functions can be constructed as

$$
g_{\varepsilon}^{R,A}(r_f, r_i) = \sum_{E} \sum_{k_z} \frac{\psi_{k_z, E}(r_f) \psi^*_{k_z, E}(r_i)}{\varepsilon - E \pm i0},
$$

thus, describing electron propagation from $r_i$ to $r_f$. Since the surface states are unidirectional, we have $g_{\varepsilon}^{R}(r_i, r_f) = 0$. By writing the sums as integrals we obtain Eq. (14) in the main text.

The coupling to the $\alpha$ terminal introduces finite self-energy to the Green’s function of the surface state as

$$
\Sigma_{\alpha}(r_1, r_2, \varepsilon) = -i\pi \rho_{\alpha}(\varepsilon) |T_{\alpha}|^2 \delta(r_1 - r_\alpha) \delta(r_2 - r_\alpha),
$$

The full Green’s function can be calculated by Dyson’s equation, which yields Eq. (12) in the main text. The linewidth function is defined by $\Gamma_{\alpha}(r_1 - r_\alpha) = 2i\Sigma^R_{\alpha}(r_1 - r_\alpha)$, corresponding to Eq. (13) in the main text.

Appendix C: Numerical simulations of non-local transport experiment

We compare our semiclassical analytical calculation approach with numerical simulations of the non-local transport experiment for both $\mathcal{P}$- and $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric Weyl semimetals $H'(k)$ and $H'_{TR}(k)$ using the numerical package KWANT. To be realistic, we adopt onsite potential $U_1$ ($U_{11}$) to the first layer of the lattice on surface I (II) to introduce dispersion effects, which results in curved Fermi arcs. The non-local conductance under different choices of the onsite potentials $U_{11}$ is shown in Fig. 6. In both cases, the conductance peak value increases with the onsite potential, which is due to the increase of the surface DOS. In addition, in the $\mathcal{T}$–symmetric case, the position of the peak varies for different onsite potential, which is due to the shift of the phase term in $f'_R(r_f, r_i)$ for dispersive Fermi arcs. In both cases, the peak structure persists for dispersive Fermi arcs, in agreement with the analytical results in Figs. 2 and 4.
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