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#### Abstract

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with scalar product given by a nondegenerate sesquilinear form whose matrix is diagonal in some basis. If $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, then we give canonical matrices of isometric and selfadjoint operators on $V$ using known classifications of isometric and selfadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form. If $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic different from 2, then we give canonical matrices of isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on $V$ up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Our main results are the following:

- In Theorem 2.1, the problem of classifying isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution is reduced to the problem of classifying such operators on a vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form over $\mathbb{F}$. Corollary 1.1 ) of Theorem 2.1] gives canonical matrices of isometric and selfadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form.
- In [18, Theorem 6], selfadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 are classified up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}$. Theorem 3.1 is an extended version of [18, Theorem 6] that includes skewadjoint operators. Corollary 1.2 of Theorem 3.1 gives known canonical matrices of selfadjoint and skewadjoint operators on a complex or real vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form.

We denote by $\mathbb{F}$ a field of characteristic different from 2 with involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$; i.e., a bijection $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies $\widetilde{a+b}=\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}, \widetilde{a b}=\tilde{a} \tilde{b}$, and $\tilde{\tilde{a}}=a$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$.

We denote by $V$ a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with nondegenerate sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is semilinear in the first argument and linear in the second ( $\mathcal{F}$ is bilinear if the involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$ is the identity). We suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is diagonalizable; i.e., its matrix is diagonal in some basis.

A linear operator $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ is isometric if $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} v)=\mathcal{F}(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$, selfadjoint if $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, v)$, and skewadjoint if $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=$ $-\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, v)$.

If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is a pair consisting of a linear operator and a sesquilinear form on $V$, and $(A, F)$ is its matrix pair in some basis of $V$, then we can reduce it by transformations

$$
(A, F) \mapsto\left(S^{-1} A S, S^{\wedge} F S\right), \quad S^{\wedge}:=\tilde{A}^{T}, \quad S \text { is nonsingular }
$$

by changing the basis. Write

$$
J_{n}(\lambda):=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & 1 & & 0 \\
& \lambda & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
0 & & & \lambda
\end{array}\right] \quad(n \text {-by- } n, \lambda \in \mathbb{F})
$$

The direct sum of matrix pairs $(A, B)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ is $\left(A \oplus A^{\prime}, B \oplus B^{\prime}\right)$.
The following corollary is proved in Section 4 using [18, Theorem 5] and Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 1.1 (of Theorem 2.1). Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator on a complex vector space $V$ with scalar product given by a nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
(a) If $\mathcal{A}$ is isometric, then there exists a basis of $V$ in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is given by a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of two types:
(i) $\left(\lambda\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 2 & \cdots \\ 1 & \ddots \\ 1 & \ddots & 2 \\ 0 & \ddots & 1\end{array}\right], \mu i^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & & \\ -1^{-1} \\ 1^{-1} & & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$, in which the matrices are $n-b y-n, \lambda, \mu \in$ $\mathbb{C}$, and $|\lambda|=|\mu|=1 ;$
(ii) $\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}J_{n}(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n}(\lambda)^{-*}\end{array}\right], \mu\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{n} \\ I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$, in which $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C},|\lambda| \neq 1$, and $\lambda$ is determined up to replacement by $\bar{\lambda}^{-1} ; \mu \in \mathbb{C},|\mu|=1$, and $\mu$ is determined up to replacement by $-\mu$.
(b) If $\mathcal{A}$ is selfadjoint, then there exists a basis of $V$ in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is given by a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of two types:
(i) $\left(J_{n}(\lambda), \mu\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$, in which $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|\mu|=1$;
(ii) $\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}J_{n}(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n}(\lambda)^{*}\end{array}\right], \mu\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{n} \\ I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$, in which $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda$ is determined up to replacement by $\bar{\lambda} ; \mu \in \mathbb{C},|\mu|=1$, and $\mu$ is determined up to replacement by $-\mu$.

Canonical forms of isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on a complex or real vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are given in [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17].

By an $\varepsilon$-Hermitian form with $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ we mean a Hermitian form if $\varepsilon=1$ and a skew-Hermitian form if $\varepsilon=-1$. By a $\zeta$-adjoint operator with $\zeta \in\{1,-1\}$ we mean a selfadjoint operator if $\zeta=1$ and a skewadjoint operator if $\zeta=-1$.

In Corollary 1.2, we give canonical matrices of $\zeta$-adjoint operators on a vector space $V$ with nondegenerate $\varepsilon$-Hermitian form over the following fields:
(A) An algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 with the identity involution.
(B) An algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ with nonidentity involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$. By [20, Lemma 2.1(b)], the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ is 0 , the field $\mathbb{P}:=\{a \in \mathbb{F} \mid a=$ $\tilde{a}\}$ is a real closed field (i.e., a field whose algebraic closure has degree 2), $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{P}+i \mathbb{P}$ with $i^{2}=-1$, and the involution on $\mathbb{F}$ is $\alpha+\beta i \mapsto \alpha-\beta i$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}$.
(C) A real closed field $\mathbb{P}$. By [20, Lemma 2.1(a)], there exists a unique linear ordering $\leqslant$ on $\mathbb{P}$ such that $a>0$ and $b>0$ imply $a+b>0$ and $a b>0$; its algebraic closure is $\mathbb{P}+\mathbb{P} i$ with $i^{2}=-1$ and with the involution $a+b i \mapsto a-b i$.

If $\mathbb{F}$ is $(B)$, then it is sufficient to study the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\zeta=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since if $\mathcal{F}$ is a skew-Hermitian form, then $i \mathcal{F}$ is Hermitian, and if $\mathcal{A}$ is a skewadjoint operators on $V$, then $i \mathcal{A}$ is a selfadjoint operator:

$$
\mathcal{F}(u, i \mathcal{A} v)=i \mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=-i \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, v)=-\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\imath} \mathcal{A} u, v)=\mathcal{F}(i \mathcal{A} u, v)
$$

Define the $n \times n$ matrices

$$
Z_{n}:=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & 1  \tag{2}\\
& & . & \\
& 1 & & \\
1 & & & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad Z_{n}(\zeta)=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & & & & \cdot \\
& & & \zeta & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& \zeta & & & \\
1 & & & & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \zeta \in\{1,-1\}
$$

and the $2 n \times 2 n$ matrices $K_{n}(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ :

$$
K_{n}(\varepsilon):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & . \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
-K(\varepsilon) \\
& K(\varepsilon) \\
-K(\varepsilon)
\end{array} \\
K(\varepsilon) & 0
\end{array}\right], \text { in which } K(\varepsilon):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { if } \varepsilon=(-1)^{n+1},} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { if } \varepsilon=(-1)^{n} .}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\mathbb{F}$ is $(\mathrm{C})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}$, then we define the $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix

$$
J_{n}(\alpha+i \beta)^{\mathbb{P}}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M & I_{2} & & 0 \\
& M & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & I_{2} \\
0 & & & M
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } M:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & -\beta \\
\beta & \alpha
\end{array}\right],
$$

which is the realification of $J_{n}(\alpha+i \beta)$.
Canonical matrices of selfadjoint and skewadjoint operators on a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are given in [3, 5, 6, 11], or see [13, Theorems 7.2, 7.3, 8.2, 8.3] and [14, Theorems 5.1, 5.2]. In Section 4. we reprove these results over (A)-(C) in a uniform manner and obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1.2 (of Theorem 3.1). Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be a $\zeta$-adjoint operator on a vector space $V$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with nondegenerate $\varepsilon$-Hermitian form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, where $\varepsilon, \zeta \in\{1,-1\}$. If $\mathbb{F}$ is one of the fields $(\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{C})$, then there exists a basis of $V$ in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is given by a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of the following types, respectively 1 :
(A) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ determined up to replacement by $\zeta \lambda$,

- if $\lambda \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(\lambda), Z_{n}\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon=\zeta=1 \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(\lambda) & 0 \\
0 & \zeta J_{n}(\lambda)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(1,1)\end{cases}
$$

- if $\lambda=0$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(0), Z_{n}(\zeta)\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { for } n \text { odd, } \varepsilon=\zeta \text { for } n \text { even } \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(0) & 0 \\
0 & \zeta J_{n}(0)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \text { if } \varepsilon=-1 \text { for } n \text { odd, } \varepsilon \neq \zeta \text { for } n \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

[^1](B) For $\underset{\tilde{\lambda}}{ } \varepsilon=\zeta=1$ (see (11)) and for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ determined up to replacement by $\tilde{\lambda}$,
\[

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(\lambda), \pm Z_{n}\right) & \text { if } \lambda \in \mathbb{P}, \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(\lambda) & 0 \\
0 & J_{n}(\lambda)^{*}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & \text { if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{P} .\end{cases}
$$
\]

(C) ( $\left.c_{1}\right)$ For every $a \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement by $\zeta a$,

- if $a \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(a), \pm Z_{n}\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon=\zeta=1 \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(a) & 0 \\
0 & \zeta J_{n}(a)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & \text { if }(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(1,1)\end{cases}
$$

- if $a=0$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(0), \pm Z_{n}(\zeta)\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon=1 \text { for } n \text { odd, } \varepsilon=\zeta \text { for } n \text { even } \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(0) & 0 \\
0 & \zeta J_{n}(0)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \text { if } \varepsilon=-1 \text { for } n \text { odd, } \varepsilon \neq \zeta \text { for } n \text { even } .\end{cases}
$$

$\left(c_{2}\right)$ For every $a \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement by $\zeta$ a and every nonzero $b \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement $b y-b$,

- if $\zeta=1$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}}, Z_{2 n}\right) & \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}} & 0 \\
0 & \left(J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}}\right)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I_{2 n} \\
I_{2 n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & \text { if } \varepsilon=-1\end{cases}
$$

- if $\zeta=-1$ then

$$
\begin{cases}\left(J_{n}(i b)^{\mathbb{P}}, \pm K_{n}(\varepsilon)\right) & \text { if } a=0, \\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}} & 0 \\
0 & -\left(J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}}\right)^{T}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon I_{2 n} \\
I_{2 n} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & \text { if } a \neq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Analogous canonical matrices over (A)-(C) (and over the skew field of quaternions) of isometric operators on vector spaces with nondegenerate symmetric/Hermitian form and of bilinear/sesquilinear forms are given in a uniform manner in [20, Theorem 2.1] and [9, Theorem 2.1]; they are easily derived from [18, Theorems 5 and 3].

Note that the problems of classifying isometric operators and selfadjoint operators on a vector space with a possibly degenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are wild; see [20, Theorem 6.1]. Recall that a classification problem
is wild if it contains the problem of classifying pairs of linear operators, and hence (see [2]) the problem of classifying arbitrary systems of linear operators.

## 2 Operators on a vector space with diagonalizable form

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a quadratic extension of its subfield $\mathbb{K}$ (i.e., $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{F}=2$ ). By [12, Chapter VI, §2, Example 1], there exists $j \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{K}(j)$ and $j^{2} \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{K}+j \mathbb{K}$ and $a+b j \mapsto a-b j(a, b \in \mathbb{K})$ is an involution on $\mathbb{F}$.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$. Let us choose $a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\tilde{a} \neq a$ and write $j:=a-\tilde{a}$. Then $\tilde{\jmath}=-j$ and $\widetilde{j^{2}}=\tilde{\jmath} \tilde{\jmath}=j^{2}$. The result of Artin [12, Chapter VI, Theorem 1.8] ensures that $\mathbb{F}$ has dimension 2 over the fixed field $\mathbb{F}_{0}:=\{a \in \mathbb{F} \mid \tilde{a}=a\}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{F}_{0}+j \mathbb{F}_{0}, \quad \tilde{\jmath}=-j, \quad j^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We do not consider skew-Hermitian forms over $\mathbb{F}$ with nonidentity involution since if $\mathcal{F}$ is skew-Hermitian over $\mathbb{F}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathcal{F} \text { is a Hermitian form. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$and $\mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}$be the multiplicative groups of $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{0}$. We choose an element in each coset of the quotient group $\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}$and denote by $r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right)$ the set of chosen elements. In particular, if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, then $\mathbb{F}_{0}=\mathbb{R}$ and we can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right)=r\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times} / \mathbb{R}^{\times}\right)=\{\cos \varphi+i \sin \varphi \mid 0 \leqslant \varphi<\pi\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. (a) Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution. Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be an isometric, selfadjoint, or skewadjoint linear operator. Then there exists a basis of $V$, in which $\mathcal{F}$ is given by a diagonal matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=e_{1} D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{t} D_{t}, \quad e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t} \in r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which all $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}$ are distinct and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{t}$ are diagonal matrices over $\mathbb{F}_{0}$. In each such basis, the matrix of $\mathcal{A}$ has the block diagonal form

$$
A=A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{t}, \quad \text { every } A_{i} \text { has the size of } D_{i} .
$$

(b) If $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, then the decomposition (6) can be taken in the form

$$
D=e_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{p_{1}} & 0  \tag{7}\\
0 & -I_{q_{1}}
\end{array}\right] \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{t}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{p_{t}} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{q_{t}}
\end{array}\right],
$$

in which $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t} \in\{\cos \varphi+i \sin \varphi \mid 0 \leqslant \varphi<\pi\}$ are distinct, $p_{l}, q_{l} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and $p_{l}+q_{l} \geqslant 1$ for all $l=1, \ldots, t$.

Proof. (a) Let the form $\mathcal{F}$ be given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $D=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ in some basis of $V$. Permuting the diagonal entries, we obtain $D$ of the form (6) in some basis of $V$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{t}^{-1} D=D^{\prime} \oplus D_{t}, \quad D^{\prime}:=e_{t}^{-1}\left(e_{1} D_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{t-1} D_{t-1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
D^{\prime}=\Delta_{0}+j \Delta_{1}, \quad \Delta_{0} \text { and } \Delta_{1} \text { are over } \mathbb{F}_{0}
$$

For each index $i<t$, we have $e_{i} \mathbb{F}_{0} \neq e_{t} \mathbb{F}_{0}$, and so $e_{t}^{-1} e_{i} \notin \mathbb{F}_{0}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the matrix } \Delta_{1} \text { is nonsingular. } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D^{\prime}$ and $D_{t}$ are diagonal matrices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}-D^{\prime \text { st }}=D^{\prime}-\tilde{D}^{\prime}=\left(\Delta_{0}+j \Delta_{1}\right)-\left(\Delta_{0}-j \Delta_{1}\right)=2 j \Delta_{1}, \quad D_{t}-D_{t}^{\text {त }}=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be an operator and let $A$ be its matrix in the basis, in which $\mathcal{F}$ is given by the matrix (6). We partition it into blocks conformally with (8):

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B & X  \tag{11}\\
Y & A_{t}
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{t} \text { has the size of } D_{t}
$$

Case 1: $\mathcal{A}$ is an isometric operator. The equality $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} v)=\mathcal{F}(u, v)$ implies that $A^{\hbar} D A=D$. Thus, $A^{\star}\left(e_{t}^{-1} D\right) A=e_{t}^{-1} D$; i.e,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B^{\star} & Y^{\star}  \tag{12}\\
X^{\natural} & A_{t}^{\text {¿ }}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & D_{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B & X \\
Y & A_{t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & D_{t}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It suffices to prove that $X=0$ and $Y=0$ since then $B^{\star} D^{\prime} B=D^{\prime}$ and we can use induction on $t$.

By (12),

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{\star} D^{\prime} B+Y^{\star} D_{t} Y & =D^{\prime},  \tag{13}\\
B^{\star} D^{\prime} X+Y^{\star} D_{t} A_{t} & =0,  \tag{14}\\
X^{\star} D^{\prime} B+A_{t}^{\star} D_{t} Y & =0,  \tag{15}\\
X^{\star} D^{\prime} X+A_{t}^{\star} D_{t} A_{t} & =D_{t} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the star to (13) and subtracting the obtained equality from (13), we get

By (19) and (10), $B{ }^{\star} \Delta_{1} B=\Delta_{1}$ and the matrix $B$ is nonsingular.
Applying the star to (15) and subtracting the obtained equality from (14), we get

$$
B^{\star}\left(D^{\prime}-D^{\prime \mu}\right) X+Y^{\star}\left(D_{t}-D_{t}^{\star}\right) A_{t}=0 .
$$

By (10), $B^{\Uparrow} \Delta_{1} X=0$. Since $B$ and $\Delta_{1}$ are nonsingular, $X=0$. The equality (16) implies that $A_{t}^{\text {躳 }} D_{t} A_{t}=D_{t}$. Hence $A_{t}$ is nonsingular. By (15), $A_{t}^{\text {¿ }} D_{t} Y=0$, and so $Y=0$.

Therefore, $A=B \oplus A_{t}$, which proves the lemma if the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is isometric.

Case 2: $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\zeta$-adjoint operator; $\zeta \in\{1,-1\}$. The equality $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=$ $\zeta \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, v)$ implies that its matrix (11) satisfies $D A=\zeta A^{\star} D$. With the notation (8), we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D^{\prime} & 0  \tag{17}\\
0 & D_{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B & X \\
Y & A_{t}
\end{array}\right]=\zeta\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B^{\star} & Y^{\star} \\
X^{\sharp} & A_{t}^{\star}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & D_{t}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It suffices to prove that $X=0$ and $Y=0$ since then $D^{\prime} B=\zeta B^{\curvearrowleft} D^{\prime}$ and we can use induction on $t$.

By (17),

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime} X & =\zeta Y^{\Downarrow} D_{t}  \tag{18}\\
D_{t} Y & =\zeta X^{\star} D^{\prime} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We apply the star to (19), multiply it by $\zeta$, interchange its sizes, substitute the obtained equality from (18), and get

$$
\left(D^{\prime}-D^{\prime \star}\right) X=\zeta Y^{\dot{\rightharpoonup}}\left(D_{t}-D_{t}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}}\right) .
$$

By (10), $\Delta_{1} X=0$. By (9), $X=0$. The equality (19) ensures that $Y=0$.
(b) Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$. We take $r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right)$in the form (5). Let the form $\mathcal{F}$ be given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. For each index $i$, there exist $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\left|c_{i}\right|=1$ such that $d_{i}=c_{i} a_{i}^{2}$. Replacing $D$ by $S^{*} D S$ with $S:=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / a_{1}, \ldots, 1 / a_{n}\right)$ and permuting the diagonal entries, we obtain $D$ of the form (7).

Two pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H})_{W}$ consisting of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms on vector spaces $V$ and $W$ are isomorphic if there exists a linear bijection $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ that transforms $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{H}$; that is, $\varphi \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B} \varphi$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\varphi v, \varphi v^{\prime}\right)$ for all $v, v^{\prime} \in V$.

The pairs $\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}, \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)_{V_{i}}$ from the following theorem are classified in [18, Theorems 5 and 6] and in Theorem 3.1 up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution. Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be an isometric (respectively, $\zeta$-adjoint with $\zeta \in\{1,-1\}$ ) linear operator. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, e_{1} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)_{V_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, e_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{V_{t}}, \quad e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t} \in r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which all $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}$ are distinct and each $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is an isometric (respectively, $\zeta$-adjoint) linear operator on a vector space $V_{i}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with a nondegenerate Hermitian form $\mathcal{F}_{i}: V_{i} \times V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The summands in (20) are uniquely determined by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$, up to permutations and replacements of all $\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}, \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)_{V_{i}}$ by isomorphic pairs.

Proof. By Lemma [2.1, there exists a decomposition $V=V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{t}$ that ensures (20). It remains to prove that the summands in (20) are uniquely determined, up to permutations and isomorphisms.

If $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, then the uniqueness follows from the fact that each system of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms over $\mathbb{C}$ is uniquely decomposed, up to permutations and isomorphisms of summands, into a direct sum of indecomposable systems; see [18, Theorem 2] or [20, Corollary of Theorem 3.2].

If $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{C}$, the proof is more complicated. We use the method that was developed in [18] (or see [20]). Systems of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms are considered as representations of mixed graphs; i.e., graphs with
undirected and directed edges. Directed edges represent linear mappings, and undirected edges represent forms. Each pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ from Theorem 2.1 defines the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{A} \bigcap V \bigcirc \mathcal{F} \text { of the mixed graph } G: \alpha G v \bigcirc \gamma \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V^{\text {² }}$ be the ${ }^{\text {« }}$ dual space of all semilinear forms $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The linear mapping $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ defines the ${ }^{\star}$ adjoint linear mapping $\mathcal{A}^{\star}: V^{\star} \rightarrow V^{\text { }}$ defined by $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\star} \varphi\right) v:=\varphi(\mathcal{A} v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $\varphi \in V^{\star}$. The sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defines both the linear mapping (we denote it by the same letter) $\mathcal{F}: V \rightarrow V^{\text {औ }}$ via $v \mapsto \mathcal{F}(?, v)$ and the "adjoint linear mapping $\mathcal{F}^{\star}: V \rightarrow V^{\star}$ via $u \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{F}(v, ?)}$. The representation $\mathcal{P}$ in (21) defines the representation

$$
\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{A} \bigcirc V \xrightarrow[\mathcal{F}^{\star}]{\stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longrightarrow}} V^{*} \bigcirc \mathcal{A}^{\star} \quad \text { of the quiver } \underline{G}: \alpha \bigcirc v \underset{\gamma^{*}}{\xrightarrow{\gamma} v^{*} \bigcirc \alpha^{*} .}
$$

Theorem 1 from [18, ] (or see [20, Theorem 3.1]) ensures the following statement:

Let $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_{t}=\mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_{t}$. Let all indecomposable direct summands of $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ be not isomorphic to all indecomposable direct summands of $\underline{\mathcal{R}_{j}}$ if $i \neq j$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{i}$ for all $i$.

The sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ from the pair (20) is given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $F=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ in some basis of $V$. Let $H=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ be its matrix in another basis. Then $S^{\text {a }} F S=H$ for some nonsingular $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Hence $F^{-\star} F$ and $H_{\wedge^{\star}} H$ are similar via $S$, and so there is a renumbering of $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{-1} \lambda_{i}=\tilde{\mu}_{i}^{-1} \mu_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. We have $\lambda_{i} \tilde{\mu}_{i}=\tilde{\lambda}_{i} \mu_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{0}$ and

$$
\lambda_{i} \mathbb{F}_{0}=\lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i} \tilde{\mu}_{i}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{F}_{0}=\tilde{\mu}_{i}^{-1} \mathbb{F}_{0}=\tilde{\mu}_{i}^{-1} \tilde{\mu}_{i} \mu_{i} \mathbb{F}_{0}=\mu_{i} \mathbb{F}_{0}
$$

Therefore, $t, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}$, and $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} V_{t}$ in (20) are uniquely determined by $\mathcal{F}$. Let us consider the decomposition (20) and another decomposition

$$
(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}=\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, e_{1} \mathcal{H}_{1}\right)_{W_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\mathcal{B}_{t}, e_{t} \mathcal{H}_{t}\right)_{W_{t}},
$$

in which the forms $\mathcal{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_{t}$ are Hermitian. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_{t} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_{t} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the corresponding decompositions of the representation $\mathcal{P}$ of the mixed graph $G$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{i}: \mathcal{A}_{i} \bigodot V_{i} \bigcirc e_{i} \mathcal{F}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{j}: \mathcal{B}_{j} \bigodot W_{j} \supseteq e_{i} \mathcal{H}_{j}$ The corresponding representations
of the quiver $\underline{G}$ are isomorphic to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{i} \bigcirc V_{i} \xlongequal[\tilde{e}_{V_{i}}]{\tilde{e}_{i}^{-1} e_{i} 1_{V_{i}}} V_{i} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{i}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\star} \mathcal{F}_{i} \quad \mathcal{B}_{j} \varrho W_{j} \xrightarrow[1_{W_{j}}]{\tilde{e}_{j}^{-1} e_{j} 1_{W_{j}}} W_{i} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{j}^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{j}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathcal{H}_{j} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i \neq j$. Then $\tilde{e}_{i}^{-1} e_{i} \neq \tilde{e}_{j}^{-1} e_{j}$. By Jordan canonical form under similarity, the representations (24) have no common indecomposable summands. By (22), the summands $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{i}$ in (23) are isomorphic for all $i$. Hence, the summands in (20) are uniquely determined up to permutations and isomorphisms.

Let us apply Lemma 2.1 to the group

$$
\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}):=\{\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V \mid \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} v)=\mathcal{F}(u, v) \text { for all } u, v \in V\}
$$

of isometric operators on the complex vector space $V$ with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}$. The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ is the group of isometries of $\mathcal{F}$. (Đoković [4] and Szechtman [21] study the structure of the group of isometries of an arbitrary bilinear form.) The matrices of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ form the matrix group

$$
U(D):=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^{*} D A=D\right\},
$$

in which $D$ is the matrix (7) of $\mathcal{F}$. Its special case is the indefinite unitary group (which is also called the pseudo-unitary group)

$$
U(p, q):=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{(p+q) \times(p+q)} \mid A^{*} I_{p, q} A=I_{p, q}\right\}, \quad I_{p, q}:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{p} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{q}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For each $n \times n$ complex matrix $E$, we define the Lie matrix algebra

$$
S(E):=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid E A=-A^{*} E\right\}
$$

Corollary 2.1 (of Lemma 2.1). (a) The group of isometric operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form is isomorphic to a direct product of indefinite unitary groups. If $D$ is the diagonal matrix (6), then

$$
U(D)=U\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(p_{r}, q_{r}\right)
$$

(b) The Lie algebra of skewadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form is isomorphic to a direct product of Lie algebras of skewadjoint operators on indefinite inner product spaces. If $D$ is the diagonal matrix (6), then

$$
S(D)=S\left(I_{p_{1}, q_{1}}\right) \times \cdots \times S\left(I_{p_{r}, q_{r}}\right)
$$

## 3 Classification of skewadjoint operators

Every square matrix over $\mathbb{F}$ is similar to a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of Frobenius blocks

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & 0 & -c_{0} \\
1 & \ddots & & -c_{1} \\
& \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & & 1 & -c_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose characteristic polynomials $\chi(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}+x^{n}$ are integer powers of irreducible polynomials.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be obtained from the set of Frobenius blocks over $\mathbb{F}$ by replacing each Frobenius block by a similar matrix. Thus, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\Phi}(x)$ of $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an integer power of an irreducible polynomial $p_{\Phi}(x)$. For example, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ can consist of all Frobenius blocks if $\mathbb{F}$ is an arbitrary field; $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ can consist of all Jordan blocks if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$.

For each $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\varepsilon, \zeta \in\{1,-1\}$, if there exists a nonsingular matrix $M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\varepsilon M^{\hbar}, \quad M \Phi=\varepsilon \zeta(M \Phi)^{\star}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we choose one and denote it by $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$. The existence conditions and explicit form of $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ for Frobenius blocks $\Phi$ are given in Lemma 3.1.

For each polynomial $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n} \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, we write

$$
\tilde{f}(x)=\tilde{a}_{0}+\tilde{a}_{1} x+\cdots+\tilde{a}_{n} x^{n} .
$$

Selfadjoint operators on a vector space $V$ with a nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 are classified in [18, Theorem 6] up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}$. The following theorem is an extended version of [18, Theorem 6], which is supplemented by skewadjoint operators. It is formulated as [18, Theorem 6].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\zeta$-adjoint operator on a vector space $V$ with nondegenerate $\varepsilon$-Hermitian form $\mathcal{F}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 with involution, where $\varepsilon, \zeta \in\{1,-1\}$ and $\varepsilon=1$ for nonidentity involution on $\mathbb{F}$ (see (4)). Then there exists a basis of $V$ in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is given by a direct sum of matrix pairs of the following types:
(i) $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)}:=\left(\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} f(\Phi)\right)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists, $0 \neq f(x)=\tilde{f}(\zeta x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, and $\operatorname{deg}(f(x))<\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\Phi}(x)\right)$.
(ii) $\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \Phi^{*}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \varepsilon I_{n} \\ I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ does not exist.

The summands are determined to the following extent:
Type (i) up to replacement of the whole group of summands $A_{\Phi}^{f_{1}(x)} \oplus \cdots \oplus$ $A_{\Phi}^{f_{s}(x)}$ with the same $\Phi$ by $A_{\Phi}^{g_{1}(x)} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\Phi}^{g_{s}(x)}$ such that the Hermitian forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\omega) x_{1}^{\circ} x_{1}+\cdots+f_{s}(\omega) x_{s}^{\circ} x_{s},  \tag{26}\\
& g_{1}(\omega) x_{1}^{\circ} x_{1}+\cdots+g_{s}(\omega) x_{s}^{\circ} x_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

are equivalent over the field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}[\omega]=\mathbb{F}[x] / p_{\Phi}(x) \mathbb{F}[x] \text { with involution } f(\omega) \mapsto f(\omega)^{\circ}=\tilde{f}(\zeta \omega) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Type (ii) up to replacement of $\Phi$ by $\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $\chi_{\Psi}(x)=\zeta^{\operatorname{deg}\left(\chi_{\Psi}\right)} \tilde{\chi}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)$.
Proof. This proof is a slight modification of the proof of [18, Theorem 6], which uses the method of reducing the problem of classifying systems of forms and linear mappings to the problem of classifying systems of linear mappings. This method is developed in [18] and is applied to the problems of classifying bilinear/sesquilinear forms, pairs of symmetric/skewsymmetric/Hermitian
forms, and isometric/selfadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate symmetric/skewsymmetric/Hermitian form in [18, Theorems 3-6]. It is presented in detail in [20] (see also [9, 10, 16, 19]).

The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=\zeta \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} v, u), \quad \mathcal{F}(u, v)=\varepsilon \overline{\mathcal{F}(v, u)}, \quad \operatorname{det} \mathcal{F} \neq 0
$$

therefore, it defines the representation $\mathcal{A} \varrho V \bigcirc \mathcal{F}$ of the mixed graph with relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
G: \alpha \bigodot v \bigcirc \gamma \quad \gamma \alpha=\zeta \alpha^{*} \gamma, \quad \gamma=\varepsilon \gamma^{*}, \quad \operatorname{det} \gamma \neq 0 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its quiver with involutions is

$1^{\circ}$ Let us describe the set $\operatorname{ind}(\underline{G})$ of nonisomorphic indecomposable matrix representations of $\underline{G}$. Each matrix representation of (29) is of the form

$$
A_{\alpha} \bigcirc \mathbb{F}^{n} \xlongequal[A_{\gamma^{*}}=\varepsilon A_{\gamma}]{A_{\gamma}} \mathbb{F}^{n} \bigcirc A_{\alpha^{*}} \quad A_{\gamma} A_{\alpha}=\zeta A_{\alpha^{*}} A_{\gamma}, \quad \operatorname{det} A_{\gamma} \neq 0
$$

we give it by the triple ( $A_{\alpha}, A_{\gamma}, A_{\alpha^{*}}$ ) of $n \times n$ matrices, in which $A_{\gamma}$ is nonsingular and $A_{\gamma} A_{\alpha}=\zeta A_{\alpha^{*}} A_{\gamma}$. The adjoint representation is given by

$$
(A, B, C)^{\circ}=\left(C^{\stackrel{\imath}{n}}, \varepsilon B^{\grave{\hbar}}, A^{\grave{\mu}}\right) .
$$

Every matrix representation of the quiver $\underline{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the form $(A, I, \zeta A)$. The set $\operatorname{ind}(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$ in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.
$2^{\circ}$. Let us find the sets $\operatorname{ind}_{0}(\underline{G})$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{1}(\underline{G})$. We have that

$$
(\Psi, I, \zeta \Psi) \simeq(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)^{\circ}=\left(\zeta \Phi^{\grave{m}}, I, \Phi^{\star}\right)
$$

if and only if $\Psi$ is similar to $\zeta \Phi^{*}$, if and only if $\operatorname{det}(x I-\Psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-\zeta \Phi^{\hbar}\right)=$ $\operatorname{det}(x I-\zeta \tilde{\Phi})= \pm \operatorname{det}(\zeta x I-\tilde{\Phi})$, if and only if $\chi_{\Psi}(x)= \pm \tilde{\chi}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)$.

Suppose that $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$ is isomorphic to a selfadjoint matrix representation. By [18, Lemma 6], there exists an isomorphism

$$
h=[I, H]:(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi) \rightarrow\left(A, B, A^{\hbar}\right), \quad B=\varepsilon B^{\hbar} .
$$

Then

$$
A=\Phi, \quad B=H, \quad B^{\star}=\varepsilon H, \quad A^{\star} H=\zeta H \Phi ;
$$

i.e.,

$$
B=\varepsilon B^{\star}, \quad B \Phi=\zeta \Phi^{\star} B=\varepsilon \zeta(B \Phi)^{\star} .
$$

By [18, Lemma 8], if $\Phi$ is nonsingular, then $\varepsilon=1$ or $\varepsilon \zeta=1$ (i.e., $\varepsilon=1$ or $\varepsilon=\zeta=-1)$; if $\Phi=J_{n}(0)$, then $\varepsilon=1$ for $n$ odd and $\varepsilon \zeta=1$ for $n$ even.

We can take $B=\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$. Thus, the set $\operatorname{ind}_{0}(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $A_{\Phi}:=\left(\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}, \Phi^{\sharp}\right)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists. The set $\operatorname{ind}_{1}(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ does not exist, and $\chi_{\Phi}(x)$ is determined up to replacement by $\pm \tilde{\chi}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)$.
$3^{\circ}$. Let us describe the orbits of representations from $\operatorname{ind}_{0}(\underline{G})$. Let $g=$ $\left[G_{1}, G_{2}\right] \in \operatorname{End}\left(A_{\Phi}\right)$. Then

$$
\Phi G_{1}=G_{1} \Phi, \quad \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} G_{1}=G_{2} \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}, \quad \Phi^{\star} G_{2}=G_{2} \Phi^{\hbar} .
$$

Since $G_{1}$ commutes with $\Phi$, which is similar to a Frobenius block, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}=f(\Phi) \text { for some } f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x], \\
& G_{2}=\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} f(\Phi) \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1}=f\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} \Phi \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1}\right)=f\left(\zeta \Phi^{\star}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{End}\left(A_{\Phi}\right)=\left\{\left[f(\Phi), f\left(\zeta \Phi^{\text {h }}\right)\right] \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]\right\}$ is the algebra with involution

$$
\left[f(\Phi), f\left(\zeta \Phi^{\text {® }}\right)\right]^{\circ}=\left[\tilde{f}(\zeta \Phi), f(\Phi)^{\dot{\hbar}}\right] .
$$

The field $T\left(A_{\Phi}\right)$ can be identified with the field $\mathbb{F}[\omega]=\mathbb{F}[x] / p_{\Phi}(x) \mathbb{F}[x]$ with involution $f(\omega)^{\circ}=\tilde{f}(\zeta \omega)$. The orbit of $A_{\Phi}$ consists of the matrix representations $A_{\Phi}^{f(\omega)}: \Phi \bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} f(\Phi)$ of (28) given by nonzero polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ of degree $<\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\Phi}(x)\right)$ that satisfy $f(x)=\tilde{f}(\zeta x)$.
$4^{\circ}$. From $2^{\circ}, 3^{\circ}$, and [18, Theorem 1], the proof of Theorem 3.1] now follows.

Note that there is an unexpected bijective correspondence between all pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ from Theorem 3.1 and all pairs consisting of an $\varepsilon \zeta$-Hermitian form and a nondegenerate $\zeta$-Hermitian form on $V$. The pair corresponding to $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$ is $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})_{V}$, in which $\mathcal{E}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}(u, v):=\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V \text {. }
$$

This form is $\varepsilon \zeta$-Hermitian since

$$
\mathcal{E}(u, v)=\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A} v)=\zeta \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A} u, v)=\varepsilon \zeta \mathcal{F}(v, \mathcal{A} u)=\varepsilon \zeta \overline{\mathcal{E}(v, u)} .
$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 could be derived from [18, Theorem 4], in which the pairs of Hermitian, or symmetric, or skew-symmetric forms over a field of characteristic different from 2 are classified. However, the direct proof of Theorem 3.1 helps to construct simpler canonical forms.

The existence conditions and explicit form of $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ for Frobenius blocks $\Phi$ are given in the following lemma, which is an extended version of [18, Theorem 8]. By (25), $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ is defined by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}=\varepsilon \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{\dot{\omega}}, \quad \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} \Phi=\varepsilon \zeta\left(\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} \Phi\right)^{\hbar} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Phi$ be a Frobenius block with the characteristic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\Phi}(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}+x^{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 with involution. Let $\varepsilon, \zeta \in$ $\{1,-1\}$ and $\varepsilon=1$ if the involution on $\mathbb{F}$ is nonidentity.
(a) If $\Phi$ is nonsingular, then $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(-1,1) \quad \text { and } \quad p_{\Phi}(x)=\zeta^{\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\Psi}\right)} \tilde{p}_{\Phi}(\zeta x) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these conditions satisfied, we can take

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}=\left[\zeta^{i} a_{i+j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{2} & a_{3} & . & a_{n+1}  \tag{33}\\
\zeta a_{3} & . & \zeta a_{n+1} & \zeta a_{n+2} \\
. & . \cdot & . \cdot & . \cdot \\
\zeta^{n} a_{n+1} & \zeta^{n} a_{n+2} & . \cdot & \zeta^{n} a_{2 n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

in which

$$
\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right):= \begin{cases}(1,0,0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } \varepsilon=1  \tag{34}\\ (0,1,0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } \varepsilon=-1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{l+n}:=-c_{0} a_{l}-c_{1} a_{l+1}-\cdots-c_{n-1} a_{l+n-1} \quad \text { for } l=2, \ldots, n . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\Phi$ is singular, then $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=1 \text { for } n \text { odd, and } \varepsilon=\zeta \text { for } n \text { even. } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these conditions satisfied, we can take $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}=Z_{n}(\zeta)$, which is defined in (2).

Proof. (a) Let $\Phi$ be nonsingular and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exist. Then $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(-1,1)$ according to [18, Lemma 8]. By (30),

$$
\Phi=\varepsilon \zeta \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1} \Phi^{\star} \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{\stackrel{\bowtie}{\alpha}}=\zeta \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1} \Phi^{\star} \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta},
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\Phi}(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-\zeta \Phi^{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}(x I-\zeta \tilde{\Phi})=\zeta^{n} \operatorname{det}(\zeta x I-\tilde{\Phi})=\zeta^{n} \tilde{\chi}_{\Phi}(\zeta x) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\chi_{\Phi}(x)=p_{\Phi}(x)^{k}$, we have $p_{\Phi}(x)^{k}=\zeta^{n} \tilde{p}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)^{k}$. The unique factorization property leads to $p_{\Phi}(x)=\zeta^{\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\Psi}\right)} \tilde{p}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)$, which proves (32).

From (31) and (37) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=\zeta^{n} \tilde{c}_{0}, \quad c_{1}=\zeta^{n+1} \tilde{c}_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad c_{n-1}=\zeta^{2 n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove that the matrix $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ defined in (33) is nonsingular. This follows from (34) and (35) if $\varepsilon=1$. Let $\varepsilon=-1$. Then the involution on $\mathbb{F}$ is the identity. From (32) we have $\zeta=-1$. By (37), $\chi_{\Phi}(x) \in \mathbb{F}\left[x^{2}\right]$. Hence $c_{1}=0$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ is nonsingular, which follows from (34) and (35).

The equalities (35) imply that $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} \Phi=\left[\zeta^{i} a_{i+j+1}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$; and so the relations (30) can be written in the form

$$
\zeta^{i} a_{i+j}=\varepsilon \zeta^{j} \tilde{a}_{j+i}, \quad \zeta^{i} a_{i+j+1}=\varepsilon \zeta^{j+1} \tilde{a}_{j+i+1},
$$

i.e., in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}=\varepsilon \zeta^{t} \tilde{a}_{t} \quad \text { for } t=2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (39) hold for all $t \leqslant n+1$ since if $\varepsilon=-1$ then $\zeta=-1$. Let $l \in\{2, \ldots, n+1\}$ and let (39) hold for all $t<n+l$. Then the relations (39) hold for $t=n+l$ since from (35) and (38) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n+l} & =-c_{0} a_{l}-c_{1} a_{l+1}-\cdots-c_{n-1} a_{l+n-1} \\
& =-\zeta^{n} \tilde{c}_{0} \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^{l} \tilde{a}_{l}-\zeta^{n+1} \tilde{c}_{1} \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^{l+1} \tilde{a}_{l+1}-\cdots-\zeta^{2 n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^{l+n-1} \tilde{a}_{l+n-1} \\
& =\varepsilon \zeta^{n+l}\left(-\tilde{c}_{0} \tilde{a}_{l}-\tilde{c}_{1} \tilde{a}_{l+1}-\cdots-\tilde{c}_{n-1} \tilde{a}_{l+n-1}\right)=\varepsilon \zeta^{n+l} \tilde{a}_{n+l},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (39). Hence, $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ satisfies (30).
(b) Let $\Phi$ be singular. If $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists, then (36) holds according to [18, Lemma 8]. The matrix $Z_{n}(\zeta)$ satisfies (30).

## 4 Proofs of Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Corollary1.2. (A) We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}=\left\{J_{n}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$. The field (27) is $\mathbb{F}$ with the identity involution. All forms (26) are equivalent to exactly one form $x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{s}^{2}$. Hence, each pair $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)}$ is isomorphic to exactly one direct sum of pairs $A_{\Phi}^{1}=\left(\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}\right)$. Let $\Phi=J_{n}(\lambda)$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exist. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then by (32) $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(-1,1)$ and $x-\lambda=x-\zeta \lambda$; thus $\zeta=1,(\varepsilon, \zeta)=(1,1)$; we take $\Phi_{11}=Z_{n}$. If $\lambda=0$, then (36) holds and we take $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}=Z_{n}(\zeta)$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (A).
(B) We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}=\left\{J_{n}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$. The field (27) is $\mathbb{F}$ with nonidentity involution. Each form (26) is equivalent to exactly one form $-\tilde{x}_{1} x_{1}-\cdots-\tilde{x}_{l} x_{l}+$ $\tilde{x}_{l+1} x_{l+1}+\cdots+\tilde{x}_{s} x_{s}$. Hence, each pair $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of pairs $A_{\Phi}^{ \pm 1}=\left(\Phi, \pm \Phi_{11}\right)$, determined uniquely up to permutations of summands. We take $\Phi_{11}=Z_{n}$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (B).
(C) Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{P}$ be a real closed field, and let $\mathbb{P}+i \mathbb{P}$ be its algebraic closure. We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}=\left\{J_{n}(a), J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}, b \neq 0\right\}$, in which $b$ is determined up to replacement by $-b$.
$\left(c_{1}\right)$ Let $\Phi=J_{n}(a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{P}$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exist. Then the field (27) is $\mathbb{P}$, and so each form (26) is equivalent to exactly one form $-x_{1}^{2}-\cdots-x_{l}^{2}+x_{l+1}^{2}+$ $\cdots+x_{s}^{2}$. If $a \neq 0$, then by (32) $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq(-1,1)$ and $x-a=x-\zeta a$; thus $\zeta=1$, $(\varepsilon, \zeta)=(1,1)$, and we take $\Phi_{11}=Z_{n}$. If $a=0$, then (36) holds and we take $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}=Z_{n}(\zeta)$.
$\left(c_{2}\right)$ Let $\Phi=J_{n}(a+i b)^{\mathbb{P}}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{P}, b \neq 0$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exist. If $\zeta=1$, then the field (27) is $\mathbb{P}+i \mathbb{P}$ with the identity involution, $\varepsilon=1$ by (32), and we take $\Phi_{11}=Z_{2 n}$. If $\zeta=-1$, then the field (27) is $\mathbb{P}+i \mathbb{P}$ with nonidentity involution, $p_{\Phi}(x)=x^{2}-2 a x+\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right), a=0$ by (32), and we take $\Phi_{11}=K_{n}(\varepsilon)$.

Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (C).
Proof of Corollary 1.1. Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ with complex conjugation. By (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm r\left(\mathbb{F}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{0}^{\times}\right)= \pm r\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times} / \mathbb{R}^{\times}\right)=\{e \in \mathbb{C}| | e \mid=1\} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) This statement is obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 and (40) to the canonical form of an isometric operator on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form given in [20, Theorem 2.1(b)].
(b) This statement is obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 and (40) to the canonical form of a selfadjoint operator on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form given in Corollary 1.2(B).
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