

Isometric and selfadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable form*

Jonathan V. Caalim[†] Vyacheslav Futorny[‡]
 Vladimir V. Sergeichuk[§] Yu-ichi Tanaka[¶]

Abstract

Let V be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} with scalar product given by a nondegenerate sesquilinear form whose matrix is diagonal in some basis. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then we give canonical matrices of isometric and selfadjoint operators on V using known classifications of isometric and selfadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form. If \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic different from 2, then we give canonical matrices of isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on V up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of \mathbb{F} .

Keywords: indefinite inner product spaces; selfadjoint operators; isometric operators; unitary operators; H -unitary matrices.

AMS classification: 15A21; 15A63; 46C20; 47B50.

*Published in: **Linear Algebra Appl.** **587** (2020) 92–110.

[†]University of the Philippines-Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines
 jcaalim@math.upd.edu.ph

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of São Paulo, Brazil, futorny@ime.usp.br

[§]Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, Ukraine, sergeich@imath.kiev.ua

[¶]Joso Gakuin High School, Tsuchiura City, Ibaraki Prefecture, Japan math-logic.ty@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Our main results are the following:

- In *Theorem 2.1*, the problem of classifying isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution is reduced to the problem of classifying such operators on a vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form over \mathbb{F} . *Corollary 1.1* of Theorem 2.1 gives canonical matrices of isometric and selfadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form.
- In [18, Theorem 6], selfadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 are classified up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of \mathbb{F} . *Theorem 3.1* is an extended version of [18, Theorem 6] that includes skewadjoint operators. *Corollary 1.2* of Theorem 3.1 gives known canonical matrices of selfadjoint and skewadjoint operators on a complex or real vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form.

We denote by \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic different from 2 with involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$; i.e., a bijection $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies $\widetilde{a+b} = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b}$, $\widetilde{ab} = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}$, and $\tilde{\tilde{a}} = a$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$.

We denote by V a vector space over \mathbb{F} with nondegenerate sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that is semilinear in the first argument and linear in the second (\mathcal{F} is bilinear if the involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$ is the identity). We suppose that \mathcal{F} is *diagonalizable*; i.e., its matrix is diagonal in some basis.

A linear operator $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ is *isometric* if $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, \mathcal{A}v) = \mathcal{F}(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$, *selfadjoint* if $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, v)$, and *skewadjoint* if $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) = -\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, v)$.

If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is a pair consisting of a linear operator and a sesquilinear form on V , and (A, F) is its matrix pair in some basis of V , then we can reduce it by transformations

$$(A, F) \mapsto (S^{-1}AS, S^*FS), \quad S^* := \tilde{A}^T, \quad S \text{ is nonsingular}$$

by changing the basis. Write

$$J_n(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (n\text{-by-}n, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}).$$

The *direct sum* of matrix pairs (A, B) and (A', B') is $(A \oplus A', B \oplus B')$.

The following corollary is proved in Section 4 using [18, Theorem 5] and Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 1.1 (of Theorem 2.1). *Let $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator on a complex vector space V with scalar product given by a nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F}: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

(a) *If \mathcal{A} is isometric, then there exists a basis of V in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is given by a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of two types:*

(i) $\left(\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 2 \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mu i^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & \ddots \\ & & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, in which the matrices are n -by- n , $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|\lambda| = |\mu| = 1$;

(ii) $\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & J_n(\lambda)^{-*} \end{bmatrix}, \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, in which $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| \neq 1$, and λ is determined up to replacement by $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$; $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\mu| = 1$, and μ is determined up to replacement by $-\mu$.

(b) *If \mathcal{A} is selfadjoint, then there exists a basis of V in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is given by a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of two types:*

(i) $\left(J_n(\lambda), \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, in which $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|\mu| = 1$;

(ii) $\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & J_n(\lambda)^* \end{bmatrix}, \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, in which $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and λ is determined up to replacement by $\bar{\lambda}$; $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\mu| = 1$, and μ is determined up to replacement by $-\mu$.

Canonical forms of isometric, selfadjoint, and skewadjoint operators on a complex or real vector space with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are given in [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17].

By an ε -Hermitian form with $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ we mean a Hermitian form if $\varepsilon = 1$ and a skew-Hermitian form if $\varepsilon = -1$. By a ζ -adjoint operator with $\zeta \in \{1, -1\}$ we mean a selfadjoint operator if $\zeta = 1$ and a skewadjoint operator if $\zeta = -1$.

In Corollary 1.2, we give canonical matrices of ζ -adjoint operators on a vector space V with nondegenerate ε -Hermitian form over the following fields:

- (A) An algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 with the identity involution.
- (B) An algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} with nonidentity involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$. By [20, Lemma 2.1(b)], the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is 0, the field $\mathbb{P} := \{a \in \mathbb{F} \mid a = \tilde{a}\}$ is a *real closed field* (i.e., a field whose algebraic closure has degree 2), $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{P} + i\mathbb{P}$ with $i^2 = -1$, and the involution on \mathbb{F} is $\alpha + \beta i \mapsto \alpha - \beta i$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}$.
- (C) A real closed field \mathbb{P} . By [20, Lemma 2.1(a)], there exists a unique linear ordering \leq on \mathbb{P} such that $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ imply $a + b > 0$ and $ab > 0$; its algebraic closure is $\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{P}i$ with $i^2 = -1$ and with the involution $a + bi \mapsto a - bi$.

If \mathbb{F} is (B), then it is sufficient to study the case

$$\varepsilon = \zeta = 1 \tag{1}$$

since if \mathcal{F} is a skew-Hermitian form, then $i\mathcal{F}$ is Hermitian, and if \mathcal{A} is a skewadjoint operators on V , then $i\mathcal{A}$ is a selfadjoint operator:

$$\mathcal{F}(u, i\mathcal{A}v) = i\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) = -i\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, v) = -\mathcal{F}(\tilde{i}\mathcal{A}u, v) = \mathcal{F}(i\mathcal{A}u, v).$$

Define the $n \times n$ matrices

$$Z_n := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z_n(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \zeta \\ & & 1 & \\ & \zeta & & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \zeta \in \{1, -1\}, \tag{2}$$

and the $2n \times 2n$ matrices $K_n(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$:

$$K_n(\varepsilon) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \ddots \\ & -K(\varepsilon) & & \\ & K(\varepsilon) & & \\ & -K(\varepsilon) & & \\ K(\varepsilon) & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ in which } K(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \varepsilon = (-1)^{n+1}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \varepsilon = (-1)^n. \end{cases}$$

If \mathbb{F} is (C) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}$, then we define the $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$J_n(\alpha + i\beta)^\mathbb{P} := \begin{bmatrix} M & I_2 & & 0 \\ & M & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & I_2 \\ 0 & & & M \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } M := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

which is the realification of $J_n(\alpha + i\beta)$.

Canonical matrices of selfadjoint and skewadjoint operators on a vector space over \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} with nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are given in [3, 5, 6, 11], or see [13, Theorems 7.2, 7.3, 8.2, 8.3] and [14, Theorems 5.1, 5.2]. In Section 4, we reprove these results over (A)–(C) in a uniform manner and obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2 (of Theorem 3.1). *Let $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ be a ζ -adjoint operator on a vector space V over a field \mathbb{F} with nondegenerate ε -Hermitian form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, where $\varepsilon, \zeta \in \{1, -1\}$. If \mathbb{F} is one of the fields (A)–(C), then there exists a basis of V in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is given by a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of matrix pairs of the following types, respectively¹:*

(A) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ determined up to replacement by $\zeta\lambda$,

• if $\lambda \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(\lambda), Z_n) & \text{if } \varepsilon = \zeta = 1, \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta J_n(\lambda)^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } (\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (1, 1); \end{cases}$$

• if $\lambda = 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(0), Z_n(\zeta)) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1 \text{ for } n \text{ odd, } \varepsilon = \zeta \text{ for } n \text{ even,} \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(0) & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta J_n(0)^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \text{ for } n \text{ odd, } \varepsilon \neq \zeta \text{ for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

¹“Up to replacement by $\zeta\lambda$ ” in (A) means that λ and $\zeta\lambda$ give the same $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ in different bases; “ $(J_n(\lambda), \pm Z_n)$ ” in (B) is the abbreviation of “ $(J_n(\lambda), Z_n)$ and $(J_n(\lambda), -Z_n)$ ”.

(B) For $\varepsilon = \zeta = 1$ (see (1)) and for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ determined up to replacement by $\tilde{\lambda}$,

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(\lambda), \pm Z_n) & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{P}, \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & J_n(\lambda)^* \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{P}. \end{cases}$$

(C) (c₁) For every $a \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement by ζa ,

• if $a \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(a), \pm Z_n) & \text{if } \varepsilon = \zeta = 1, \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta J_n(a)^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } (\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (1, 1); \end{cases}$$

• if $a = 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(0), \pm Z_n(\zeta)) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1 \text{ for } n \text{ odd, } \varepsilon = \zeta \text{ for } n \text{ even,} \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(0) & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta J_n(0)^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \text{ for } n \text{ odd, } \varepsilon \neq \zeta \text{ for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

(c₂) For every $a \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement by ζa and every nonzero $b \in \mathbb{P}$ determined up to replacement by $-b$,

• if $\zeta = 1$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}}, Z_{2n}) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}} & 0 \\ 0 & (J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}})^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_{2n} \\ I_{2n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1; \end{cases}$$

• if $\zeta = -1$ then

$$\begin{cases} (J_n(ib)^{\mathbb{P}}, \pm K_n(\varepsilon)) & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}} & 0 \\ 0 & -(J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}})^T \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_{2n} \\ I_{2n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) & \text{if } a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Analogous canonical matrices over (A)–(C) (and over the skew field of quaternions) of isometric operators on vector spaces with nondegenerate symmetric/Hermitian form and of bilinear/sesquilinear forms are given in a uniform manner in [20, Theorem 2.1] and [9, Theorem 2.1]; they are easily derived from [18, Theorems 5 and 3].

Note that the problems of classifying isometric operators and selfadjoint operators on a vector space with a possibly degenerate symmetric or Hermitian form are wild; see [20, Theorem 6.1]. Recall that a classification problem

is *wild* if it contains the problem of classifying pairs of linear operators, and hence (see [2]) the problem of classifying arbitrary systems of linear operators.

2 Operators on a vector space with diagonalizable form

Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let \mathbb{F} be a quadratic extension of its subfield \mathbb{K} (i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{F} = 2$). By [12, Chapter VI, §2, Example 1], there exists $j \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K}(j)$ and $j^2 \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K} + j\mathbb{K}$ and $a + bj \mapsto a - bj$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{K}$) is an involution on \mathbb{F} .

Conversely, let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$. Let us choose $a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\tilde{a} \neq a$ and write $j := a - \tilde{a}$. Then $\tilde{j} = -j$ and $\tilde{j}^2 = \tilde{\tilde{j}} = j^2$. The result of Artin [12, Chapter VI, Theorem 1.8] ensures that \mathbb{F} has dimension 2 over the fixed field $\mathbb{F}_0 := \{a \in \mathbb{F} \mid \tilde{a} = a\}$, and so

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_0 + j\mathbb{F}_0, \quad \tilde{j} = -j, \quad j^2 \in \mathbb{F}_0. \quad (3)$$

We do not consider skew-Hermitian forms over \mathbb{F} with nonidentity involution since if \mathcal{F} is skew-Hermitian over \mathbb{F} , then

$$j\mathcal{F} \text{ is a Hermitian form.} \quad (4)$$

Let \mathbb{F}^\times and \mathbb{F}_0^\times be the multiplicative groups of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}_0 . We choose an element in each coset of the quotient group $\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times$ and denote by $r(\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times)$ the set of chosen elements. In particular, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and we can take

$$r(\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times) = r(\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{R}^\times) = \{\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi \mid 0 \leq \varphi < \pi\}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2.1. (a) *Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic different from 2 with nonidentity involution. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ be an isometric, selfadjoint, or skewadjoint linear operator. Then there exists a basis of V , in which \mathcal{F} is given by a diagonal matrix*

$$D = e_1 D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_t D_t, \quad e_1, \dots, e_t \in r(\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times), \quad (6)$$

in which all e_1, \dots, e_t are distinct and D_1, \dots, D_t are diagonal matrices over \mathbb{F}_0 . In each such basis, the matrix of \mathcal{A} has the block diagonal form

$$A = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_t, \quad \text{every } A_i \text{ has the size of } D_i.$$

(b) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then the decomposition (6) can be taken in the form

$$D = e_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_{p_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{q_1} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus e_t \begin{bmatrix} I_{p_t} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{q_t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

in which $e_1, \dots, e_t \in \{\cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi \mid 0 \leq \varphi < \pi\}$ are distinct, $p_l, q_l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $p_l + q_l \geq 1$ for all $l = 1, \dots, t$.

Proof. (a) Let the form \mathcal{F} be given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ in some basis of V . Permuting the diagonal entries, we obtain D of the form (6) in some basis of V . Then

$$e_t^{-1}D = D' \oplus D_t, \quad D' := e_t^{-1}(e_1D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{t-1}D_{t-1}). \quad (8)$$

Write

$$D' = \Delta_0 + j\Delta_1, \quad \Delta_0 \text{ and } \Delta_1 \text{ are over } \mathbb{F}_0.$$

For each index $i < t$, we have $e_i\mathbb{F}_0 \neq e_t\mathbb{F}_0$, and so $e_t^{-1}e_i \notin \mathbb{F}_0$. Hence

$$\text{the matrix } \Delta_1 \text{ is nonsingular.} \quad (9)$$

Since D' and D_t are diagonal matrices,

$$D' - D'^* = D' - \tilde{D}' = (\Delta_0 + j\Delta_1) - (\Delta_0 - j\Delta_1) = 2j\Delta_1, \quad D_t - D_t^* = 0. \quad (10)$$

Let $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator and let A be its matrix in the basis, in which \mathcal{F} is given by the matrix (6). We partition it into blocks conformally with (8):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & X \\ Y & A_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_t \text{ has the size of } D_t. \quad (11)$$

Case 1: \mathcal{A} is an isometric operator. The equality $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, \mathcal{A}v) = \mathcal{F}(u, v)$ implies that $A^*DA = D$. Thus, $A^*(e_t^{-1}D)A = e_t^{-1}D$; i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} B^* & Y^* \\ X^* & A_t^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D' & 0 \\ 0 & D_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & X \\ Y & A_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D' & 0 \\ 0 & D_t \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

It suffices to prove that $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$ since then $B^*D'B = D'$ and we can use induction on t .

By (12),

$$B^*D'B + Y^*D_tY = D', \quad (13)$$

$$B^*D'X + Y^*D_tA_t = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$X^*D'B + A_t^*D_tY = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$X^*D'X + A_t^*D_tA_t = D_t. \quad (16)$$

Applying the star to (13) and subtracting the obtained equality from (13), we get

$$B^*(D' - D'^*)B + Y^*(D_t - D_t^*)Y = D' - D'^*.$$

By (9) and (10), $B^*\Delta_1B = \Delta_1$ and the matrix B is nonsingular.

Applying the star to (15) and subtracting the obtained equality from (14), we get

$$B^*(D' - D'^*)X + Y^*(D_t - D_t^*)A_t = 0.$$

By (10), $B^*\Delta_1X = 0$. Since B and Δ_1 are nonsingular, $X = 0$. The equality (16) implies that $A_t^*D_tA_t = D_t$. Hence A_t is nonsingular. By (15), $A_t^*D_tY = 0$, and so $Y = 0$.

Therefore, $A = B \oplus A_t$, which proves the lemma if the operator \mathcal{A} is isometric.

Case 2: \mathcal{A} is a ζ -adjoint operator; $\zeta \in \{1, -1\}$. The equality $\mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) = \zeta\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, v)$ implies that its matrix (11) satisfies $DA = \zeta A^*D$. With the notation (8), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} D' & 0 \\ 0 & D_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & X \\ Y & A_t \end{bmatrix} = \zeta \begin{bmatrix} B^* & Y^* \\ X^* & A_t^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D' & 0 \\ 0 & D_t \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

It suffices to prove that $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$ since then $D'B = \zeta B^*D'$ and we can use induction on t .

By (17),

$$D'X = \zeta Y^*D_t, \quad (18)$$

$$D_tY = \zeta X^*D'. \quad (19)$$

We apply the star to (19), multiply it by ζ , interchange its sizes, substitute the obtained equality from (18), and get

$$(D' - D'^*)X = \zeta Y^*(D_t - D_t^*).$$

By (10), $\Delta_1 X = 0$. By (9), $X = 0$. The equality (19) ensures that $Y = 0$.

(b) Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. We take $r(\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times)$ in the form (5). Let the form \mathcal{F} be given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. For each index i , there exist $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c_i| = 1$ such that $d_i = c_i a_i^2$. Replacing D by $S^* D S$ with $S := \text{diag}(1/a_1, \dots, 1/a_n)$ and permuting the diagonal entries, we obtain D of the form (7). \square

Two pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H})_W$ consisting of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms on vector spaces V and W are *isomorphic* if there exists a linear bijection $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ that transforms \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{H} ; that is, $\varphi \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \varphi$ and $\mathcal{F}(v, v') = \mathcal{H}(\varphi v, \varphi v')$ for all $v, v' \in V$.

The pairs $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{V_i}$ from the following theorem are classified in [18, Theorems 5 and 6] and in Theorem 3.1 up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of \mathbb{F} .

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic different from 2 with non-identity involution. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ be an isometric (respectively, ζ -adjoint with $\zeta \in \{1, -1\}$) linear operator. Then*

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V = (\mathcal{A}_1, e_1 \mathcal{F}_1)_{V_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{A}_t, e_t \mathcal{F}_t)_{V_t}, \quad e_1, \dots, e_t \in r(\mathbb{F}^\times/\mathbb{F}_0^\times), \quad (20)$$

in which all e_1, \dots, e_t are distinct and each \mathcal{A}_i is an isometric (respectively, ζ -adjoint) linear operator on a vector space V_i over \mathbb{F} with a nondegenerate Hermitian form $\mathcal{F}_i : V_i \times V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The summands in (20) are uniquely determined by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$, up to permutations and replacements of all $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{V_i}$ by isomorphic pairs.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$ that ensures (20). It remains to prove that the summands in (20) are uniquely determined, up to permutations and isomorphisms.

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then the uniqueness follows from the fact that each system of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms over \mathbb{C} is uniquely decomposed, up to permutations and isomorphisms of summands, into a direct sum of indecomposable systems; see [18, Theorem 2] or [20, Corollary of Theorem 3.2].

If $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{C}$, the proof is more complicated. We use the method that was developed in [18] (or see [20]). Systems of linear mappings and sesquilinear forms are considered as representations of *mixed graphs*; i.e., graphs with

undirected and directed edges. Directed edges represent linear mappings, and undirected edges represent forms. Each pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ from Theorem 2.1 defines the representation

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} V \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \mathcal{F} \quad \text{of the mixed graph} \quad G : \alpha \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} v \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \gamma \quad (21)$$

Let V^* be the **dual space* of all semilinear forms $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The linear mapping $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ defines the **adjoint linear mapping* $\mathcal{A}^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $(\mathcal{A}^*\varphi)v := \varphi(\mathcal{A}v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $\varphi \in V^*$. The sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defines both the linear mapping (we denote it by the same letter) $\mathcal{F} : V \rightarrow V^*$ via $v \mapsto \mathcal{F}(?, v)$ and the **adjoint linear mapping* $\mathcal{F}^* : V \rightarrow V^*$ via $u \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{F}(v, ?)}$. The representation \mathcal{P} in (21) defines the representation

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} V \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}^*} \end{array} V^* \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \mathcal{A}^* \quad \text{of the quiver} \quad \underline{G} : \alpha \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} v \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \xleftarrow{\gamma^*} \end{array} v^* \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \alpha^*$$

Theorem 1 from [18,] (or see [20, Theorem 3.1]) ensures the following statement:

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_t = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_t$. Let all indecomposable direct summands of \mathcal{P}_i be not isomorphic to all indecomposable direct summands of \mathcal{R}_j if $i \neq j$. Then \mathcal{P}_i is isomorphic to \mathcal{R}_i for all i . (22)

The sesquilinear form $\mathcal{F} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ from the pair (20) is given by a nonsingular diagonal matrix $F = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in some basis of V . Let $H = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be its matrix in another basis. Then $S^*FS = H$ for some nonsingular $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Hence $F^{-*}F$ and $H^{-*}H$ are similar via S , and so there is a renumbering of μ_1, \dots, μ_n such that $\tilde{\lambda}_i^{-1}\lambda_i = \tilde{\mu}_i^{-1}\mu_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We have $\lambda_i\tilde{\mu}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i\mu_i \in \mathbb{F}_0$ and

$$\lambda_i\mathbb{F}_0 = \lambda_i(\lambda_i\tilde{\mu}_i)^{-1}\mathbb{F}_0 = \tilde{\mu}_i^{-1}\mathbb{F}_0 = \tilde{\mu}_i^{-1}\tilde{\mu}_i\mu_i\mathbb{F}_0 = \mu_i\mathbb{F}_0.$$

Therefore, t , e_1, \dots, e_t , and $\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_t$ in (20) are uniquely determined by \mathcal{F} . Let us consider the decomposition (20) and another decomposition

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V = (\mathcal{B}_1, e_1\mathcal{H}_1)_{W_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{B}_t, e_t\mathcal{H}_t)_{W_t},$$

in which the forms $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_t$ are Hermitian. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_t \quad (23)$$

be the corresponding decompositions of the representation \mathcal{P} of the mixed graph G . Then $\mathcal{P}_i : \mathcal{A}_i \circlearrowleft V_i \circlearrowright_{e_i \mathcal{F}_i}$ and $\mathcal{R}_j : \mathcal{B}_j \circlearrowleft W_j \circlearrowright_{e_j \mathcal{H}_j}$. The corresponding representations

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}}_i : \mathcal{A}_i \circlearrowleft V_i \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{e_i \mathcal{F}_i} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{e}_i \mathcal{F}_i} \end{array} V_i^\star \circlearrowright \mathcal{A}_i^\star \quad \underline{\mathcal{R}}_j : \mathcal{B}_j \circlearrowleft W_j \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{e_j \mathcal{H}_j} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{e}_j \mathcal{H}_j} \end{array} W_j^\star \circlearrowright \mathcal{B}_j^\star$$

of the quiver \underline{G} are isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{A}_i \circlearrowleft V_i \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_i^{-1} e_i 1_{V_i}} \\ \xleftarrow{1_{V_i}} \end{array} V_i \circlearrowright \mathcal{F}_i^{-1} \mathcal{A}_i^\star \mathcal{F}_i \quad \mathcal{B}_j \circlearrowleft W_j \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_j^{-1} e_j 1_{W_j}} \\ \xleftarrow{1_{W_j}} \end{array} W_j \circlearrowright \mathcal{H}_j^{-1} \mathcal{B}_j^\star \mathcal{H}_j \quad (24)$$

Let $i \neq j$. Then $\tilde{e}_i^{-1} e_i \neq \tilde{e}_j^{-1} e_j$. By Jordan canonical form under similarity, the representations (24) have no common indecomposable summands. By (22), the summands \mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{R}_i in (23) are isomorphic for all i . Hence, the summands in (20) are uniquely determined up to permutations and isomorphisms. \square

Let us apply Lemma 2.1 to the group

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}) := \{A : V \rightarrow V \mid \mathcal{F}(Au, Av) = \mathcal{F}(u, v) \text{ for all } u, v \in V\}$$

of isometric operators on the complex vector space V with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form \mathcal{F} . The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ is the group of isometries of \mathcal{F} . (Đoković [4] and Szechtman [21] study the structure of the group of isometries of an arbitrary bilinear form.) The matrices of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ form the matrix group

$$U(D) := \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^* D A = D\},$$

in which D is the matrix (7) of \mathcal{F} . Its special case is the *indefinite unitary group* (which is also called the *pseudo-unitary group*)

$$U(p, q) := \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{(p+q) \times (p+q)} \mid A^* I_{p,q} A = I_{p,q}\}, \quad I_{p,q} := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $n \times n$ complex matrix E , we define the Lie matrix algebra

$$S(E) := \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid EA = -A^* E\}.$$

Corollary 2.1 (of Lemma 2.1). (a) *The group of isometric operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form is isomorphic to a direct product of indefinite unitary groups. If D is the diagonal matrix (6), then*

$$U(D) = U(p_1, q_1) \times \cdots \times U(p_r, q_r).$$

(b) *The Lie algebra of skewadjoint operators on a complex vector space with nondegenerate diagonalizable sesquilinear form is isomorphic to a direct product of Lie algebras of skewadjoint operators on indefinite inner product spaces. If D is the diagonal matrix (6), then*

$$S(D) = S(I_{p_1, q_1}) \times \cdots \times S(I_{p_r, q_r}). \quad \square$$

3 Classification of skewadjoint operators

Every square matrix over \mathbb{F} is similar to a direct sum, determined uniquely up to permutation of summands, of *Frobenius blocks*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -c_0 \\ 1 & \ddots & -c_1 \\ & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & -c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

whose characteristic polynomials $\chi(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n$ are integer powers of irreducible polynomials.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be obtained from the set of Frobenius blocks over \mathbb{F} by replacing each Frobenius block by a similar matrix. Thus, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\Phi}(x)$ of $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an integer power of an irreducible polynomial $p_{\Phi}(x)$. For example, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ can consist of all Frobenius blocks if \mathbb{F} is an arbitrary field; $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ can consist of all Jordan blocks if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

For each $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\varepsilon, \zeta \in \{1, -1\}$, if there exists a nonsingular matrix M satisfying

$$M = \varepsilon M^{\star}, \quad M\Phi = \varepsilon\zeta(M\Phi)^{\star}, \quad (25)$$

then we choose one and denote it by $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$. The existence conditions and explicit form of $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ for Frobenius blocks Φ are given in Lemma 3.1.

For each polynomial $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, we write

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_1x + \cdots + \tilde{a}_nx^n.$$

Selfadjoint operators on a vector space V with a nondegenerate symmetric or Hermitian form over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 are classified in [18, Theorem 6] up to classification of symmetric and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of \mathbb{F} . The following theorem is an extended version of [18, Theorem 6], which is supplemented by skewadjoint operators. It is formulated as [18, Theorem 6].

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a ζ -adjoint operator on a vector space V with nondegenerate ε -Hermitian form \mathcal{F} over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 with involution, where $\varepsilon, \zeta \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ for nonidentity involution on \mathbb{F} (see (4)). Then there exists a basis of V in which the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is given by a direct sum of matrix pairs of the following types:*

- (i) $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)} := (\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} f(\Phi))$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exists, $0 \neq f(x) = \tilde{f}(\zeta x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, and $\deg(f(x)) < \deg(p_{\Phi}(x))$.
- (ii) $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta\Phi^* \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ does not exist.

The summands are determined to the following extent:

Type (i) up to replacement of the whole group of summands $A_{\Phi}^{f_1(x)} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{\Phi}^{f_s(x)}$ with the same Φ by $A_{\Phi}^{g_1(x)} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{\Phi}^{g_s(x)}$ such that the Hermitian forms

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\omega)x_1^{\circ}x_1 + \dots + f_s(\omega)x_s^{\circ}x_s, \\ g_1(\omega)x_1^{\circ}x_1 + \dots + g_s(\omega)x_s^{\circ}x_s \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

are equivalent over the field

$$\mathbb{F}[\omega] = \mathbb{F}[x]/p_{\Phi}(x)\mathbb{F}[x] \text{ with involution } f(\omega) \mapsto f(\omega)^{\circ} = \tilde{f}(\zeta\omega). \tag{27}$$

Type (ii) up to replacement of Φ by $\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $\chi_{\Psi}(x) = \zeta^{\deg(\chi_{\Psi})} \tilde{\chi}_{\Phi}(\zeta x)$.

Proof. This proof is a slight modification of the proof of [18, Theorem 6], which uses the method of reducing the problem of classifying systems of forms and linear mappings to the problem of classifying systems of linear mappings. This method is developed in [18] and is applied to the problems of classifying bilinear/sesquilinear forms, pairs of symmetric/skewsymmetric/Hermitian

forms, and isometric/selfadjoint operators on a vector space with nondegenerate symmetric/skewsymmetric/Hermitian form in [18, Theorems 3–6]. It is presented in detail in [20] (see also [9, 10, 16, 19]).

The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ satisfies the conditions

$$\mathcal{F}(u, Av) = \zeta \mathcal{F}(Av, u), \quad \mathcal{F}(u, v) = \varepsilon \overline{\mathcal{F}(v, u)}, \quad \det \mathcal{F} \neq 0;$$

therefore, it defines the representation $\alpha \circlearrowleft V \circlearrowright \mathcal{F}$ of the mixed graph with relations

$$G: \alpha \circlearrowleft v \circlearrowright \gamma \quad \gamma \alpha = \zeta \alpha^* \gamma, \quad \gamma = \varepsilon \gamma^*, \quad \det \gamma \neq 0. \quad (28)$$

Its quiver with involutions is

$$\underline{G}: \alpha \circlearrowleft v \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \xleftarrow{\gamma^*} \end{array} v^* \circlearrowright \alpha^* \quad \gamma \alpha = \zeta \alpha^* \gamma, \quad \gamma = \varepsilon \gamma^*, \quad \det \gamma \neq 0. \quad (29)$$

1° Let us describe the set $\text{ind}(\underline{G})$ of nonisomorphic indecomposable matrix representations of \underline{G} . Each matrix representation of (29) is of the form

$$A_\alpha \circlearrowleft \mathbb{F}^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{A_\gamma} \\ \xleftarrow{A_{\gamma^*} = \varepsilon A_\gamma} \end{array} \mathbb{F}^n \circlearrowright A_{\alpha^*} \quad A_\gamma A_\alpha = \zeta A_{\alpha^*} A_\gamma, \quad \det A_\gamma \neq 0;$$

we give it by the triple $(A_\alpha, A_\gamma, A_{\alpha^*})$ of $n \times n$ matrices, in which A_γ is nonsingular and $A_\gamma A_\alpha = \zeta A_{\alpha^*} A_\gamma$. The adjoint representation is given by

$$(A, B, C)^\circ = (C^*, \varepsilon B^*, A^*).$$

Every matrix representation of the quiver \underline{G} is isomorphic to one of the form $(A, I, \zeta A)$. The set $\text{ind}(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$ in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

2°. Let us find the sets $\text{ind}_0(\underline{G})$ and $\text{ind}_1(\underline{G})$. We have that

$$(\Psi, I, \zeta \Psi) \simeq (\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)^\circ = (\zeta \Phi^*, I, \Phi^*)$$

if and only if Ψ is similar to $\zeta \Phi^*$, if and only if $\det(xI - \Psi) = \det(xI - \zeta \Phi^*) = \det(xI - \zeta \tilde{\Phi}) = \pm \det(\zeta xI - \tilde{\Phi})$, if and only if $\chi_\Psi(x) = \pm \tilde{\chi}_\Phi(\zeta x)$.

Suppose that $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$ is isomorphic to a selfadjoint matrix representation. By [18, Lemma 6], there exists an isomorphism

$$h = [I, H] : (\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi) \rightarrow (A, B, A^*), \quad B = \varepsilon B^*.$$

Then

$$A = \Phi, \quad B = H, \quad B^\star = \varepsilon H, \quad A^\star H = \zeta H \Phi;$$

i.e.,

$$B = \varepsilon B^\star, \quad B \Phi = \zeta \Phi^\star B = \varepsilon \zeta (B \Phi)^\star.$$

By [18, Lemma 8], if Φ is nonsingular, then $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon \zeta = 1$ (i.e., $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = \zeta = -1$); if $\Phi = J_n(0)$, then $\varepsilon = 1$ for n odd and $\varepsilon \zeta = 1$ for n even.

We can take $B = \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$. Thus, the set $\text{ind}_0(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $A_\Phi := (\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}, \Phi^\star)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ exists. The set $\text{ind}_1(\underline{G})$ consists of the matrix representations $(\Phi, I, \zeta \Phi)$, in which $\Phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a matrix for which $\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}$ does not exist, and $\chi_\Phi(x)$ is determined up to replacement by $\pm \tilde{\chi}_\Phi(\zeta x)$.

3°. Let us describe the orbits of representations from $\text{ind}_0(\underline{G})$. Let $g = [G_1, G_2] \in \text{End}(A_\Phi)$. Then

$$\Phi G_1 = G_1 \Phi, \quad \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} G_1 = G_2 \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}, \quad \Phi^\star G_2 = G_2 \Phi^\star.$$

Since G_1 commutes with Φ , which is similar to a Frobenius block, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= f(\Phi) \quad \text{for some } f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x], \\ G_2 &= \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} f(\Phi) \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1} = f(\Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} \Phi \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta}^{-1}) = f(\zeta \Phi^\star). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\text{End}(A_\Phi) = \{[f(\Phi), f(\zeta \Phi^\star)] \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]\}$ is the algebra with involution

$$[f(\Phi), f(\zeta \Phi^\star)]^\circ = [\tilde{f}(\zeta \Phi), f(\Phi)^\star].$$

The field $T(A_\Phi)$ can be identified with the field $\mathbb{F}[\omega] = \mathbb{F}[x]/p_\Phi(x)\mathbb{F}[x]$ with involution $f(\omega)^\circ = \tilde{f}(\zeta \omega)$. The orbit of A_Φ consists of the matrix representations $A_\Phi^{f(\omega)} : \Phi \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \bullet \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \Phi_{\varepsilon \zeta} f(\Phi)$ of (28) given by nonzero polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ of degree $< \deg(p_\Phi(x))$ that satisfy $f(x) = \tilde{f}(\zeta x)$.

4°. From 2°, 3°, and [18, Theorem 1], the proof of Theorem 3.1 now follows. \square

Note that there is an unexpected bijective correspondence between all pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ from Theorem 3.1 and all pairs consisting of an $\varepsilon \zeta$ -Hermitian form and a nondegenerate ζ -Hermitian form on V . The pair corresponding to $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})_V$ is $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})_V$, in which $\mathcal{E} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) := \mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V.$$

This form is $\varepsilon\zeta$ -Hermitian since

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \mathcal{F}(u, \mathcal{A}v) = \zeta\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u, v) = \varepsilon\zeta\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{v}, \mathcal{A}u) = \varepsilon\zeta\mathcal{E}(\widetilde{v}, u).$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 could be derived from [18, Theorem 4], in which the pairs of Hermitian, or symmetric, or skew-symmetric forms over a field of characteristic different from 2 are classified. However, the direct proof of Theorem 3.1 helps to construct simpler canonical forms.

The existence conditions and explicit form of $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ for Frobenius blocks Φ are given in the following lemma, which is an extended version of [18, Theorem 8]. By (25), $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ is defined by the equalities

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} = \varepsilon\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{\star}, \quad \Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}\Phi = \varepsilon\zeta(\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}\Phi)^{\star}. \quad (30)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let Φ be a Frobenius block with the characteristic polynomial*

$$\chi_{\Phi}(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n \quad (31)$$

over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic different from 2 with involution. Let $\varepsilon, \zeta \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ if the involution on \mathbb{F} is nonidentity.

(a) *If Φ is nonsingular, then $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exists if and only if*

$$(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (-1, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\Phi}(x) = \zeta^{\deg(p_{\Psi})}\tilde{p}_{\Phi}(\zeta x). \quad (32)$$

With these conditions satisfied, we can take

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} = [\zeta^i a_{i+j}]_{i,j=1}^n = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ \zeta a_3 & \cdots & \zeta a_{n+1} & \zeta a_{n+2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \zeta^n a_{n+1} & \zeta^n a_{n+2} & \cdots & \zeta^n a_{2n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

in which

$$(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) := \begin{cases} (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1, \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

and

$$a_{l+n} := -c_0 a_l - c_1 a_{l+1} - \cdots - c_{n-1} a_{l+n-1} \quad \text{for } l = 2, \dots, n. \quad (35)$$

(b) *If Φ is singular, then $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exists if and only if*

$$\varepsilon = 1 \text{ for } n \text{ odd, and } \varepsilon = \zeta \text{ for } n \text{ even.} \quad (36)$$

With these conditions satisfied, we can take $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} = Z_n(\zeta)$, which is defined in (2).

Proof. (a) Let Φ be nonsingular and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exist. Then $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (-1, 1)$ according to [18, Lemma 8]. By (30),

$$\Phi = \varepsilon\zeta\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{-1}\Phi^*\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}^* = \zeta\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{-1}\Phi^*\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta},$$

and so

$$\chi_\Phi(x) = \det(xI - \zeta\Phi^*) = \det(xI - \zeta\tilde{\Phi}) = \zeta^n \det(\zeta xI - \tilde{\Phi}) = \zeta^n \tilde{\chi}_\Phi(\zeta x). \quad (37)$$

Since $\chi_\Phi(x) = p_\Phi(x)^k$, we have $p_\Phi(x)^k = \zeta^n \tilde{p}_\Phi(\zeta x)^k$. The unique factorization property leads to $p_\Phi(x) = \zeta^{\deg(p_\Phi)} \tilde{p}_\Phi(\zeta x)$, which proves (32).

From (31) and (37) we have

$$c_0 = \zeta^n \tilde{c}_0, \quad c_1 = \zeta^{n+1} \tilde{c}_1, \quad \dots, \quad c_{n-1} = \zeta^{2n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1}. \quad (38)$$

Let us prove that the matrix $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ defined in (33) is nonsingular. This follows from (34) and (35) if $\varepsilon = 1$. Let $\varepsilon = -1$. Then the involution on \mathbb{F} is the identity. From (32) we have $\zeta = -1$. By (37), $\chi_\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x^2]$. Hence $c_1 = 0$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ is nonsingular, which follows from (34) and (35).

The equalities (35) imply that $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}\Phi = [\zeta^i a_{i+j+1}]_{i,j=1}^n$; and so the relations (30) can be written in the form

$$\zeta^i a_{i+j} = \varepsilon \zeta^j \tilde{a}_{j+i}, \quad \zeta^i a_{i+j+1} = \varepsilon \zeta^{j+1} \tilde{a}_{j+i+1},$$

i.e., in the form

$$a_t = \varepsilon \zeta^t \tilde{a}_t \quad \text{for } t = 2, \dots, 2n+1. \quad (39)$$

The relations (39) hold for all $t \leq n+1$ since if $\varepsilon = -1$ then $\zeta = -1$. Let $l \in \{2, \dots, n+1\}$ and let (39) hold for all $t < n+l$. Then the relations (39) hold for $t = n+l$ since from (35) and (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+l} &= -c_0 a_l - c_1 a_{l+1} - \dots - c_{n-1} a_{l+n-1} \\ &= -\zeta^n \tilde{c}_0 \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^l \tilde{a}_l - \zeta^{n+1} \tilde{c}_1 \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^{l+1} \tilde{a}_{l+1} - \dots - \zeta^{2n-1} \tilde{c}_{n-1} \cdot \varepsilon \zeta^{l+n-1} \tilde{a}_{l+n-1} \\ &= \varepsilon \zeta^{n+l} (-\tilde{c}_0 \tilde{a}_l - \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{a}_{l+1} - \dots - \tilde{c}_{n-1} \tilde{a}_{l+n-1}) = \varepsilon \zeta^{n+l} \tilde{a}_{n+l}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (39). Hence, $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ satisfies (30).

(b) Let Φ be singular. If $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exists, then (36) holds according to [18, Lemma 8]. The matrix $Z_n(\zeta)$ satisfies (30). \square

4 Proofs of Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Corollary 1.2. (A) We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{J_n(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$. The field (27) is \mathbb{F} with the identity involution. All forms (26) are equivalent to exactly one form $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_s^2$. Hence, each pair $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)}$ is isomorphic to exactly one direct sum of pairs $A_{\Phi}^1 = (\Phi, \Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta})$. Let $\Phi = J_n(\lambda)$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exist. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then by (32) $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (-1, 1)$ and $x - \lambda = x - \zeta\lambda$; thus $\zeta = 1$, $(\varepsilon, \zeta) = (1, 1)$; we take $\Phi_{11} = Z_n$. If $\lambda = 0$, then (36) holds and we take $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} = Z_n(\zeta)$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (A).

(B) We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} = \{J_n(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$. The field (27) is \mathbb{F} with nonidentity involution. Each form (26) is equivalent to exactly one form $-\tilde{x}_1x_1 - \cdots - \tilde{x}_lx_l + \tilde{x}_{l+1}x_{l+1} + \cdots + \tilde{x}_sx_s$. Hence, each pair $A_{\Phi}^{f(x)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of pairs $A_{\Phi}^{\pm 1} = (\Phi, \pm\Phi_{11})$, determined uniquely up to permutations of summands. We take $\Phi_{11} = Z_n$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (B).

(C) Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{P}$ be a real closed field, and let $\mathbb{P} + i\mathbb{P}$ be its algebraic closure. We take $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} = \{J_n(a), J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}, b \neq 0\}$, in which b is determined up to replacement by $-b$.

(c₁) Let $\Phi = J_n(a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{P}$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exist. Then the field (27) is \mathbb{P} , and so each form (26) is equivalent to exactly one form $-x_1^2 - \cdots - x_l^2 + x_{l+1}^2 + \cdots + x_s^2$. If $a \neq 0$, then by (32) $(\varepsilon, \zeta) \neq (-1, 1)$ and $x - a = x - \zeta a$; thus $\zeta = 1$, $(\varepsilon, \zeta) = (1, 1)$, and we take $\Phi_{11} = Z_n$. If $a = 0$, then (36) holds and we take $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta} = Z_n(\zeta)$.

(c₂) Let $\Phi = J_n(a + ib)^{\mathbb{P}}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$, $b \neq 0$, and let $\Phi_{\varepsilon\zeta}$ exist. If $\zeta = 1$, then the field (27) is $\mathbb{P} + i\mathbb{P}$ with the identity involution, $\varepsilon = 1$ by (32), and we take $\Phi_{11} = Z_{2n}$. If $\zeta = -1$, then the field (27) is $\mathbb{P} + i\mathbb{P}$ with nonidentity involution, $p_{\Phi}(x) = x^2 - 2ax + (a^2 + b^2)$, $a = 0$ by (32), and we take $\Phi_{11} = K_n(\varepsilon)$.

Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the summands (C). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ with complex conjugation. By (5),

$$\pm r(\mathbb{F}^{\times}/\mathbb{F}_0^{\times}) = \pm r(\mathbb{C}^{\times}/\mathbb{R}^{\times}) = \{e \in \mathbb{C} \mid |e| = 1\}. \quad (40)$$

(a) This statement is obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 and (40) to the canonical form of an isometric operator on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form given in [20, Theorem 2.1(b)].

(b) This statement is obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 and (40) to the canonical form of a selfadjoint operator on a complex vector space with nondegenerate Hermitian form given in Corollary 1.2(B). \square

Acknowledgements

V. Futorny was supported by the CNPq (304467/2017-0) and the FAPESP (2018/23690-6). V.V. Sergeichuk wishes to thank the University of Sao Paulo, where the paper was written, for hospitality and the FAPESP for financial support (2018/24089-4).

References

- [1] Y.H. Au-Yeung, C.K. Li, L. Rodman, H -unitary and Lorentz matrices: a review, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 25 (2004) 1140–1162.
- [2] G.R. Belitskii, V.V. Sergeichuk, Complexity of matrix problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 361 (2003) 203–222.
- [3] D.Ž. Djoković, J. Patera, P. Winternitz, H. Zassenhaus, Normal forms of elements of classical real and complex Lie and Jordan algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 24 (1983) 1363–1373.
- [4] D.Ž. Đoković, Structure of isometry group of bilinear spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006) 414–436.
- [5] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, *Matrices and Indefinite Scalar Products*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [6] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, *Indefinite Linear Algebra and Applications*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [7] I. Gohberg, B. Reichstein, On H -unitary and block-Toeplitz H -normal operators, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 30 (1991) 17–48.
- [8] N.J. Higham, J -orthogonal matrices: Properties and generation, *SIAM Rev.* 45 (2003) 504–519.
- [9] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Canonical matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008) 193–223.
- [10] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Representations of quivers and mixed graphs, Chapter 34 in: L. Hogben (Ed.), *Handbook of Linear Algebra*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, 2014.

- [11] P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, Algebraic Riccati Equations, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [12] S. Lang, Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [13] C. Mehl, On classification of normal matrices in indefinite inner product spaces, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 15 (2006) 50–83.
- [14] C. Mehl, Essential decomposition of polynomially normal matrices in real indefinite inner product spaces, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 15 (2006) 84–106.
- [15] V. Mehrmann, H. Xu, Structured Jordan canonical forms for structured matrices that are Hermitian, skew Hermitian or unitary with respect to indefinite inner products, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 5 (1999) 67–103.
- [16] J. Meleiro, V.V. Sergeichuk, T. Solovera, A. Zaidan, Classification of linear mappings between indefinite inner product spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 531 (2017) 356–374.
- [17] L. Rodman, Similarity vs unitary similarity and perturbation analysis of sign characteristics: Complex and real indefinite inner products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006) 945–1009.
- [18] V.V. Sergeichuk, Classification problems for systems of forms and linear mappings, *Math. USSR-Izv.* 31 (no. 3) (1988) 481–501; Available from: arxiv.org/abs/0801.0823.
- [19] V.V. Sergeichuk, Linearization method in classification problems of linear algebra, *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.* 1 (2007) 219–240.
- [20] V.V. Sergeichuk, Canonical matrices of isometric operators on indefinite inner product spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008) 154–192.
- [21] F. Szechtman, Structure of the group preserving a bilinear form, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 13 (2005) 197–239.