

# STABLE CONNECTIVITY OVER A BASE

DRUZHININ A.

**ABSTRACT.** The Morel's stable connectivity theorems [Mor1] states that for an arbitrary field for any connective  $S^1$ -spectrum  $F$  of Nisnevich sheaves, the spectrum  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)$  is connective, and the same property for  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra of Nisnevich sheaves. Here  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$  denotes the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localisation in the stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(k)$  of  $S^1$ -spectra of Nisnevich sheaves over a field  $k$ . In view of the Ayoub's counterexamples to the same statement in the relative case of a  $S^1$ -spectra sheaves over a base scheme  $S$  [Ayo06] the conjecture states that  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)$  is  $(-d)$ -connective for any connective  $F$ , where  $d = \dim S$  is the Krull dimension. The conjecture is proven for the cases of dedekind schemes in [SS] and noetherian domains in [DHKY] under the assumption of the infiniteness of the residue fields. In the article we prove the general case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The stable connectivity theorem in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  and  $\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)$ .** Following the original definitions [MV99] a pointed motivic space  $F$  over a base scheme  $S$  is a Nisnevich simplicial sheave on the category of smooth schemes over  $S$ , and a motivic  $S^1$ -spectrum is an  $S^1$ -spectrum of pointed motivic spaces. Denote by  $\mathbf{Ssh}^\bullet(S)$  the category of pointed motivic spaces over  $S$ , and denote by  $\mathbf{Ssh}^{S^1}(S)$  the category of  $S^1$ -spectra of pointed motivic spaces. Equivalently we could say that  $\mathbf{Ssh}^{S^1}(S)$  is the category of Nisnevich sheaves of  $S^1$ -spectra.

According to [Mor1] an  $S^1$ -spectrum  $E$  is called  $n$ -connective, iff all the negative stable homotopy groups of  $E \wedge S^n$  are trivial; and an  $S^1$ -spectrum of pointed motivic spaces  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_i, \dots)$  is called  $n$ -connective, iff  $\forall U \in Sm_S$  the  $S^1$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{F}(U) = (F_0(U), F_1(U), \dots, F_i(U), \dots)$  is  $n$ -connective. Precisely, the  $S^1$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $n$ -connective iff  $\forall U \in Sm_S$  the stable homotopy groups

$$\pi_i(\mathcal{F}(U)) = 0, \forall i < n,$$

where

$$\pi_i(\mathcal{F}(U)) = [S^0, \mathcal{F}(U) \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}} = \varinjlim [S^j, \mathcal{F}(U) \wedge S^{i+j}]_{\mathbf{H}},$$

and  $\mathbf{SH}$  and  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet$  denotes the (classical topological) stable homotopy category and pointed unstable homotopy category.

The Morel's stable connectivity theorem

**Theorem 1** ( Theorem 6.1.8 [Mor1]). *If an  $S^1$ -spectrum of Nisnevich sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Ssh}^{S^1}(k)$  is 0-connective for an arbitrary base field  $k$ , then  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})$  is 0-connective, where  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}: \mathbf{Ssh}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ssh}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(k)$  is the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localisation functor, that is the localisation functor with respect to the Bousfield localisation on  $\mathbf{Ssh}^{S^1}$  generated by the equivalences of the form  $U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U$ .*

The original Morel's stable connectivity conjecture claims that the same property holds in  $\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)$  for an arbitrary base scheme  $S$ . In [Ayo06] it was shown by Ayoub that there are such contr-examples that are given by pointed motivic  $S^1$ -spectra  $F$  such that  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)$  is not 0-connective, but only  $(-d)$ -connective, where  $d = \dim S$ . The modified version of Morel's conjecture in view of Ayoub's counter-examples is the following statement for a base scheme  $S$ :

---

The research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-71-30002.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $S$  be a base scheme,  $\dim S = d$ . If an  $S^1$ -spectrum of Nisnevich sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Sh}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)$  is 0-connective, then the spectrum  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})$  is  $(-d)$ -connective, where  $\mathbf{Sh}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)$  denotes the category of  $S^1$ -spectrum of Nisnevich sheaves over  $S$ .*

The connectivity theorem in such form was proven in [SS] by J. Schmidt and F. Strunk for the case of dedekind base schemes with infinite residue fields. In [DHKY] the result is extended by N. Deshmukh, A. Hogadi, G. Kulkarni and S. Yadav to the case of an arbitrary noetherian domains with an infinite residue fields. In the article we prove the result for an arbitrary base scheme of a finite Krull dimension with an arbitrary residue fields.

In [SS] and [DHKY] the connectivity theorem is proven by using of the original scheme of the reasoning in the infinite base field case and by proving of the corresponding Gabber's presentation lemma for the corresponding cases of the base scheme. In the present work we don't use and prove the Gabber's presentation lemma (in general, see remark 2), but we follow the same kind of arguments as in the original reasoning [Mor1] to prove the connectivity theorem.

**1.2. The proof of stable connectivity over a field.** The proof of the stable connectivity theorem over a field  $k$  consists in short of two steps:

**Theorem** (Lemma 4.1.6, [Mor1]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over a field  $k$ . Let  $Z \subset X$  be a closed subscheme of positive codimension. Then the motivic space  $X/(X - Z)$  is 0-connective.*

**Theorem** (Theorem 4.14 [2], Lemma 4.3.1 [Mor1], Proposition 3.1 [SS]). *Let  $U \in \text{Sm}_S$  over a base scheme  $S$ , and  $E$  be a connective  $S^1$ -spectrum of Nisnevich sheaves. Then*

$$(1) \quad [U, E \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0, \forall i > \dim U.$$

The first theorem is equivalent to the the *injectivity* of the morphism of pointed sets

$$(2) \quad [U, F]_{\mathbf{H}(S)} \hookrightarrow [U - Z, F]_{\mathbf{H}(S)}, \forall U \in \text{EssSm}_S \text{ local henselian, and } \forall F \in \mathbf{H}(S).$$

The connectivity theorem follows, since if  $\eta \in U$  is the generic point, then  $\forall i > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} [U, Y \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(k)} &\stackrel{(2)}{\hookrightarrow} [\eta, Y \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(k)} \stackrel{(1)}{=} 0, \forall i > 0, \text{ and} \\ [U, Y \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} &= \varinjlim_n [\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^n U, (\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n Y) \wedge S^{i+n}]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(k)} \stackrel{(2)}{\hookrightarrow} [\eta \wedge (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge n}, (\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m}^n Y) \wedge S^{i+n}]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(k)} \stackrel{(1)}{=} 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\dim \eta = 0$ , and  $\dim \mathbb{P}_\eta^1 = 1$ ,  $\dim \infty_\eta = 0$ , where  $\infty_\eta$  is the infinity point.

In general relative case over a base scheme  $S$  the vanishing theorem (1) holds, and the injectivity (2) fails.

**1.3. The vanishing theorem (over a base).** The original argument for *vanishing theorem* (1) in [Mor1] is based on the "geometric" model for the stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localisation functor  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}, S^1}$  on the category  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)$  of  $S^1$ -spectra of Nisnevich sheaves, that leads to the isomorphism

$$[U, E]_{\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(S)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [U, L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}, S^1} E]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)} \simeq \varinjlim_n [U \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1 / \{0, 1\})^n, E]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)}.$$

Then form the case of  $E = \text{EM}(M)$  being Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum of an abelian group Nisnevich sheaf  $M$ , the vanishing (1) follows because of vanishing of Nisnevich cohomologies  $H_{\text{nis}}^i(X, M) = 0$  for all  $i > \dim X$ . Then analyse of the Postnikov tower leads to

$$[U, E \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)} = 0, \forall U \in \text{Sch}_S, i > \dim U, E \in \mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}, \geq 0}^{S^1}(\text{Sm}_S)$$

and implies (1) in the case of general connective spectrum of sheaves  $E$ . The complete analyse of the Postnikov towers and the proof of the vanishing theorem in the general relative case is given in [SS, Section 3].

**1.4. Injectivity over a field.** The original proof of *Injectivity* (2) is given by the independent arguments for the perfect base field case [Mor0] and the infinite base field case [Mor1].

In the perfect case for any closed  $Z \subset U$  there is a filtration  $Z_0 \subset \cdots \subset Z_{\dim Z} = Z$  with smooth  $Z_{i+1} - Z_i$ . This allows to reduce the question to the case of smooth  $Z$  that follows by purity isomorphism  $U/(U - Z) \simeq Th(T_{Z/U})$ .

The argument for the infinite field case is based on base on the Gabber's presentation lemma. The Gabber's presentation lemma over finite fields was proven later by A. Hogadi and G. Kulkarni in [HK], by this the second argument had covered the case of an arbitrary base field.

Let us point that also one universal proof of the injectivity theorem (2) in  $\mathbf{H}(k)$  over an arbitrary field follows from the constructions obtained in Panin's work [1] on the Grothendieck-Serre conjecture.

### 1.5. The proof of the connectivity theorem and the injectivity theorem over a base.

**Theorem** (Injectivity over a base). *The injectivity (2) in the case of a local (henselian) base scheme  $S$ , with a closed point  $\sigma \in S$ , holds for an essentially smooth local henselian  $U$  and a closed subset  $Z \subset U$  such that*

$$(3) \quad \text{codim}_{U_\Sigma} Z_\sigma > 0, U_\sigma = U \times_S \sigma, Z_\sigma = Z \times_S \sigma, \sigma \in S$$

*the closed fibre  $Z_\sigma$  is of a positive codimension in the closed fibre  $U_\sigma$ .*

Then connectivity theorem (1) for the case of a local  $S$  with a closed point  $\sigma \in S$  follows, since

$$[U, Y^\wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\mathfrak{s}1}(S)} \xrightarrow{(2)} [U_\eta, Y^\wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\mathfrak{s}1}(S)} \stackrel{(1)}{=} 0, \forall i > \dim U, \text{ where } \eta \in U \text{ is the generic point,}$$

since  $\dim U_\eta = \text{codim}_U U_\sigma = \text{codim}_S \sigma \leq \dim S$ . The general case of a base scheme  $S$  follows since for a local henselian  $U$  we have  $[U, Y^\wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\mathfrak{s}1}(S)} = [U, (Y \times_S S')^\wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\mathfrak{s}1}(S')}$  where  $S'$  is the local scheme of  $S$  at the point that is the image of the closed point of  $U$ .

## 2. INJECTIVITY THEOREM

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $S$  be a local henselian scheme, and  $\sigma \in S$  be the closed point. Let  $U$  be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over  $S$ . Let  $Z \subset U$  be a closed subscheme such that the closed fibre  $Z \times_S \sigma$  is a closed subscheme of positive codimension in  $U \times_S \sigma$ .*

*Then for any functor  $F: \mathbf{H}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SSet}$  the induced homomorphism  $F(U) \rightarrow F(U - Z)$  is injective.*

*Remark 1.* Equivalently the statement of the theorem means that there is a smooth scheme (of a finite type)  $X$ , with a point  $x \in X$ , and a closed subscheme  $W \subset X$  such that  $U$  and  $Z$  are equal to the local schemes of  $X$  and  $W$  at  $x$ , and the class of the canonical morphism  $U \rightarrow X/(X - W)$  in  $[U_+, X/(X - W)]_{\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)}$  is equal to the pointed morphism.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in U$  be the closed point. Now let us point that since  $U$  is local henselian, it follows that  $Z$  is either empty either local henselian scheme with the same closed point  $x$ . The case of empty  $Z$  is trivial, so we can assume that  $Z \neq \emptyset$ .

Firstly, we reduce the question to the case of a scheme  $U$  of a relative dimension one over  $S$ . Since  $Z \times_S \sigma$  is of positive codimension in  $U \times_S \sigma$ , and since  $U$  is essentially smooth, by lemma 2 there is a map  $p: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$  where  $n = \dim_S U$ , such that  $p$  is (essentially) smooth, and  $Z \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}} p(x)$  is a closed subscheme of positive codimension in  $\mathbb{A}_S^n$ . So we can redefine  $S$  as the henselization of  $\mathbb{A}_S^n$  at  $p(x)$  and assume by this that  $U$  is a essentially smooth local henselian scheme over  $S$ .

Since  $U$  now is of relative dimension one over  $S$ , and  $Z \times_S \sigma$  is of positive codimension in  $U \times_S \sigma$ , it follows that  $Z$  is quasi-finite over  $S$ . Then since  $S$  is local henselian, and  $Z$  is local by the above, it follows by lemma 3 that  $Z$  is finite over  $S$ .

By lemma 1 there is an etale map  $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$  that induces the isomorphism  $x \simeq \pi(x)$ . Consider the image  $\pi(Z) \subset \mathbb{A}_S^1$  that is a closed subscheme in  $\mathbb{A}_S^1$ , since  $Z$  is finite over  $S$ . Moreover it follows

that  $\pi(Z)$  is finite over  $S$ . Since  $U$  is local it follows that  $Z$  and  $\pi(Z)$  has one connected component; and since  $S$  is local henselian, it follows that  $Z$  and  $\pi(Z)$  are local henselian.

Now we see that the induced morphism  $Z \rightarrow \pi(Z)$  is finite, etale morphism of local henselian schemes, and it is an isomorphism on closed points; thus  $\pi$  induces the isomorphism  $Z \rightarrow \pi(Z)$  by Nakoyama's lemma.

Now we arguing like in [Mor1, Lemma 4.1.6]. Since  $\pi(Z) \subset \mathbb{A}_S^1$  is a closed subscheme finite over  $S$ , it is a closed subscheme in  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  that does not meet the infinite section  $\infty_S \subset \mathbb{P}_S^1$ . Consider the sequence of equivalences in  $\mathbf{H}(S)$ :

$$(4) \quad U/(U - Z) \simeq \mathbb{A}_S^1/(\mathbb{A}_S^1 - \pi(Z)) \simeq \mathbb{P}_S^1/(\mathbb{P}_S^1 - \pi(Z))$$

Looking on the following diagram we see that the class of the canonical morphism  $U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1/(\mathbb{P}_S^1 - Z)$  in  $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$  is equal to the class of the morphism  $U \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\infty} \mathbb{P}_S^1$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{pt}_S \\ \downarrow & \nearrow j & \searrow \infty & \nearrow * & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_S^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{P}_S^1/(\mathbb{P}_S^1 - \pi(Z)), & & \end{array}$$

where  $\infty: \text{pt}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  denotes the infinite section, and  $j$  is the immersion  $\mathbb{A}^1 \simeq \mathbb{P}_S^1 - \infty_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$ . Thus since  $\infty_S \subset \mathbb{P}_S^1 - \pi(Z)$  it follows that the class of the map  $U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1/(\mathbb{P}_S^1 - \pi(Z))$  in the category  $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$  is equal to the pointed one. Hence by the equivalences (4) the class of the canonical morphism  $U_+ \rightarrow U/(U - Z)$  is equal to the pointed morphism in the category  $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* By the above arguments we have proven the Gabber's presentation lemma for the henselian local essentially smooth schemes:

Let  $U$  be an essentially smooth henselian local scheme over a scheme  $S$  and  $Z \subset U$  be a closed subscheme of positive relative codimension,  $\text{codim}_{U/S} Z > 0$ . Then there is a map  $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{S'}^1$ , where  $S' = (\mathbb{A}^{\dim U - 1})_0^h$  is the henselisation at the point 0,  $\pi$  is etale,  $\pi$  induces the isomorphism  $Z \simeq \pi(Z)$ , and  $\pi(Z)$  is finite over  $S'$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $U$  be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over a base scheme  $S$ . Then there is an etale map  $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$  that induces the isomorphism  $x \rightarrow \pi(x)$ , where  $x \in U$  is the closed point, and  $n = \dim_S U$ .*

*Proof.* (The base field case) The claim is well known, and it is particular case of the Gabber's presentation lemma [Gab],[CTHK] and [HK].

Let us briefly recall the type of the arguments that are enough for the claimed statement. Choose the trivialisation  $\tau$  of the tangent space  $T_{U,x}$ .

In the case of a perfect base field  $k$  we use the fact that any separable extension of fields is primitive. Hence  $k(x) = k(\alpha)$ . Then any lift  $\pi$  of the vector of functions  $(\alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$  induces the isomorphism  $x \simeq \pi(x)$  and hence we have the isomorphism  $T_{U,x} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{A}^n, \pi(x)} \simeq \mathbb{1}_{k(x)}$ . Define  $\pi$  as a lift of the vector of function  $(\alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $k[U]$  such that the morphism  $\pi|_{T_{U,x}}: T_{U,x} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{A}^n, \pi(x)}$  is equal to  $\tau$  up to the mentioned isomorphism  $T_{U,x} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{A}^n, \pi(x)} \simeq \mathbb{1}_{k(x)}$ .

In the case of the infinite base field  $k$  consider a closed immersion  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^N$  and the affine space  $\Gamma$  of the linear projections  $\mathbb{A}_k^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Let  $\bar{k}$  be the algebraical closure. Then the set of point of  $\Gamma$  that parametrises the set of the projections that do not satisfy the claimed properties is the image of the similar set  $B$  in  $\Gamma_{\bar{k}}$ . Then we see that the set  $B \subset \Gamma_{\bar{k}}$  is the proper closed subset. Finally we use that any non-empty open subset of the affine space over an infinite field has a rational point.

(The relative case) Let  $\pi': U \times_S \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\sigma^n$  be the required morphism over the closed point  $\sigma \in S$ . Then  $x \simeq \pi'(x)$ . Let  $\pi$  be any lift of  $\pi'$ . Then it follows that  $\pi$  is etale and  $x \simeq \pi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme of dimension  $n$  over a base scheme  $S$ , and let  $x \in X$  be a point over a point  $\sigma \in S$ . Let  $Z \subset X, Z \ni x$ , be a closed subscheme of a positive codimension over  $\sigma$ .*

*Then there regular map  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}): X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$  smooth at  $x$  and such that  $X$  is of relative dimension 1 over  $\mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ , and  $Z$  is of a positive codimension over  $p(x) \in \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x \in X$  is a closed point (in a scheme of a finite type), and  $Z \subset X$  is a closed subscheme such that  $Z \times_S \sigma$  of a pure codimension one in  $X \times_S \sigma$ .

Since  $x$  is a smooth point it follows that there is a trivialisation  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n): \mathcal{O}(x)^n: \Omega_{x/X}$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the subset in  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{n-1}$  that consists of sets  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$  such that the differential of  $f$  is equal to  $\tau$ , i.e.  $df_i = \tau_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then for any  $f \in \Gamma$  the morphism of schemes  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{n-1}$  is smooth at  $x$ .

We are going to construct by induction on  $i$  the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$  such that  $f_j|_x = 0, df_j = \tau_j, \forall j = 1 \dots i$ , and such that  $Z$  is of a positive codimension over the point 0 that is the image  $f^i(x) \in \mathbb{A}_S^i$  of  $x \in X$  under the morphism  $f^i = (f_1, \dots, f_i): X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^i$ , and such that the dimension of the morphism  $f^i$  is  $n - i$ .

Assume that we have constructed the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_i, i < n - 1$ , we are going to construct  $f_{i+1}$ . The base is the case of  $i = 0$ .

Consider the map  $(f_1, \dots, f_i): X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^i$ . Denote

$$W_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^i} 0, F_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z(f_1, \dots, f_i) = X \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^i} 0 \subset X.$$

Then by assumption  $F_i$  is of a pure codimension  $i$  in  $X \times_S \sigma$ , and  $W_i$  is a closed subscheme in  $F_i$  of a pure codimension one. Hence  $W_i$  is of a pure codimension  $1 + i$  in  $X$ .

Choose a set of closed points  $C \subset W_i$  such that  $x \notin C$  and  $C$  contains at least one point in each irreducible component of  $W_i$ . Now choose  $f_{i+1}$  in a such way that

$$f_{i+1}|_x = 0, f_{i+1}|_{Z(I^2(x))} = \tau_{i+1}, f_i|_S = 1.$$

We need to prove that the relative dimension of the map  $f^{i+1} = (f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}): X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{i+1}$  is  $n - i + 1$ , and the relative codimension of  $Z$  in  $X$  is positive over the point  $0 \in \mathbb{A}_S^{i+1}$ . In other words we need to prove that  $\text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} F_{i+1} = i + 1$ , and  $\text{codim}_{F_{i+1}} W_{i+1} = 1$ , where  $W_{i+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{i+1}} 0, F_{i+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^{i+1}} 0 \subset X$ .

By assumptions it follows that  $f_i$  does not vanish on any irreducible component of  $W_i$ , and hence  $F_{i+1}$  is of a positive codimension in  $F_i$ , and  $W_{i+1}$  is of a positive relative codimension in  $W_i$ . So  $\text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} F_{i+1} = \text{codim}_X F_i + 1 = i + 1, \text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} W_{i+1} = \text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} W_i + 1 = i + 2$ . So the first claim is done, and since we see that  $\text{codim}_{F_{i+1}} W_{i+1} = \text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} W_{i+1} - \text{codim}_{X \times_S \sigma} F_{i+1} = 1$  the second claim follows too.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $Z$  be a quasi-finite local scheme over a henselian local scheme  $S$ . Then  $Z$  is finite over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By Zariski's main theorem [GD67, Theorem 8.12.6] there is a scheme  $\overline{Z}$  finite over  $S$  and a dense open immersion  $Z \rightarrow \overline{Z}$ . We are going to prove that  $Z = \overline{Z}$ , and equivalently that  $\overline{Z} \setminus Z = \emptyset$ . Since  $\overline{Z}$  is finite over  $S$  by Nakoyama's lemma the claim is equivalent to that  $(\overline{Z} \setminus Z) \times_S \sigma = \emptyset$ , where  $\sigma \in S$  is the closed point.

Assume that  $x \in (\overline{Z} \setminus Z) \times_S \sigma$ . Then  $x$  is not equal to the closed point of  $Z$  and hence the closed fibre  $\overline{Z} \times_S \sigma$  splits  $\overline{Z} \times_S \sigma = D_1 \amalg D_2$ . Since since  $S$  is henselian it follows that

$$\overline{Z} = E_1 \amalg E_2, x \in E_1, x \notin E_2.$$

But since  $Z$  is local by assumption it follows that  $\overline{Z}$  is irreducible.  $\square$

## 3. VANISHING THEOREM

Firstly we recall the so called  $S^1$ -stable Vanishing theorem, we refer the reader to the following references for the detailed arguments, here we present a sketch.

**Theorem 3** (Proposition 3.1. [SS], Lemma 4.2.1 [Mor1]). *Let  $S$  be a base scheme. Let  $U \in Sm_S$  be a scheme of Krull dimension  $d$ . Let  $F \in \mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)$  be a connected spectrum. Then  $[U, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0$  for all  $i > d$ .*

*Sketch of the proof.* Firstly let us recall the formula for the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localisation functor  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}, S^1}$  on the category  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)$  of  $S^1$ -spectra of Nisnevich sheaves

$$L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}, S^1}(F) = (\Omega_{S_{\mathbb{A}^1}}^{\infty} \Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty} F, \dots, \Omega_{S_{\mathbb{A}^1}}^{\infty} \Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty+i} F \dots), S_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 = \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 / \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^0 = \mathbb{A}^1 / \{0, 1\},$$

and precisely the isomorphism

$$[U, E]_{\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(S)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [U, L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}, S^1} E]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)} \simeq \varinjlim_n [U \wedge (\mathbb{A}^1 / \{0, 1\})^n, E]_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(S)}.$$

Briefly speaking the formula and the isomorphism follows since  $S_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 / \{0, 1\}$  is the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy equivalent model for the sphere  $S^1$ , and since the functor  $\Omega_{S_{\mathbb{A}^1}}^{\infty}$  (and  $\Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty}$ ) preserves the Nisnevich local objects in the category of  $S^1$ -spectra of Nisnevich sheaves.

By using of the Postnikov tower the case of a connective spectra of Nisnevich sheaves  $E$  is equivalent to the case of Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum  $E = \text{EM}(M)$  of an abelian Nisnevich sheaf  $M$ . Finally, since we have the vanishing of the Nisnevich cohomologies

$$H_{\text{nis}}^i(X, M) = 0, \forall i > \dim X,$$

the claim follows.

We refer the reader to [Mor1, Section 4.3] and [SS, Section 3] for more details.  $\square$

## 4. CONNECTIVITY THEOREM

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme of a Krull dimension  $d$ . Let  $U$  be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over a base scheme  $S$ . Let  $F \in \mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)$  be a connected spectrum. Then*

$$[U, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0, [U, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)} = 0 \forall i > d.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $S$  is local henselian since  $U$  is such. Denote by  $E$  the set of generic points of  $U \times_S \sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in S$  is the closed point. Consider the semi-local essentially smooth scheme  $V \subset U$ :

$$V = \varprojlim_{Z \subset U, Z \cap E = \emptyset} (U - Z),$$

where the limit is over the set of all closed subschemes  $Z$  in  $U$  that does not meet  $E$ . In other words  $V$  is the complement to the all closed subschemes in  $U$  of a positive relative codimension over  $\sigma$ . Then since the codimension of  $\sigma$  in  $S$  is less or equal to  $d$ , the dimension of the scheme  $V$  is less of equal to  $d$ . Hence by theorem 3 it follows that

$$[V, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0, \forall i > d, \text{ and } [V \wedge (\mathbb{P}^1 / \infty)^{\wedge l}, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0, \forall i > d + l,$$

and hence

$$[V, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} = 0, [V, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)} = 0, \forall i > d.$$

Finally, the claim follows, since by theorem 2 the canonical maps

$$[U, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} \rightarrow [V, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)}, [U, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}_{S^1}(S)} \rightarrow [V, F \wedge S^i]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

are injective.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ayo06] Joseph Ayoub. Un contre-exemple á la conjecture de A1-connexité de F. Morel, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342 (2006), no. 12, 943-948.
- [CTHK] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Raymond T Hoobler, and Bruno Kahn. The bloch-ogus-gabber theorem. Algebraic K-Theory (Toronto, ON, 1996), 16:31-94, 1997. 1, 5
- [DHKY] Neeraj Deshmukh, Amit Hogadi, Girish Kulkarni and Suraj Yadav. Gabber's presentation lemma over noetherian domains, arXiv:1906.09931v2.
- [GD67] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné. Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Troisième Partie), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 28 (1967).
- [Gab] Ofer Gabber. Gerstens conjecture for some complexes of vanishing cycles. *manuscripta mathematica*, 85(1):323-343, 1994.
- [HK] Amit Hogadi and Girish Kulkarni. Gabbers presentation lemma for finite fields. *Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2018.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel, Vladimir Voevodsky.  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 90 (1999), p. 45-143.
- [Mor0] Fabien Morel. An introduction to A1-homotopy theory ICTP Trieste, July 2002.
- [Mor1] Fabien Morel. The stable-connectivity theorems. *K-theory*, 35(1):1-68, 2005.
- [Mor2] Fabien Morel. A1-algebraic topology over a field, volume 2052. Springer, 2012.
- [SS] Johannes Schmidt and Florian Strunk. Stable A1-connectivity over dedekind schemes. *Annals of K-Theory*, 3(2):331-367, 2018.
- [1] [Pan-GS18] Ivan Panin, Proof of Grothendieck-Serre conjecture on principal bundles over regular local rings containing a finite field, arxiv: 2018.
- [2] [V98] Vladimir Voevodsky. The A1-homotopy theory. *Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians*, Berlin, 1998

CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, 14TH LINE V.O., 29B, SAINT PETERSBURG 199178  
RUSSIA