

TRANSITIONING BETWEEN TABLEAUX AND SPIDER BASES FOR SPECHT MODULES

MEE SEONG IM AND JIERU ZHU

ABSTRACT. Regarding the Specht modules associated to the two-row partition (n, n) , we provide a combinatorial path model to study the transitioning matrix from the tableau basis to the A_1 -web basis (i.e. cup diagrams), and prove that the entries in this matrix are positive in the upper-triangular portion with respect to a certain partial order. Regarding the Specht modules associated to the three-row partition (n, n, n) , we construct an isomorphism using the tableau basis and the A_2 -web basis (i.e. spiders), and provide a graphical calculus-based algorithm to compute the coefficients of the transitioning matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Specht modules for symmetric groups S_d started as mathematicians studied the irreducible representations for the symmetric groups. These modules are parametrized by the conjugacy classes of S_d , which are partitions of the integer d . Their partitions are represented by Young diagrams with d boxes (see §2.3 for more detail). For a given partition λ , the associated Specht module S^λ is known to admit a so-called polytabloid basis, denoted as $\{v_T\}$, where T ranges over all standard Young tableau of shape λ . The theory of Specht modules using polytabloid is well-established, and a full description of all relations among the polytabloids is known [Ful97, §3.5]. In particular, the polytabloids are defined using Young symmetrizers and idempotents of the symmetric group, with connection to other aspects of representation theory such as Schur-Weyl duality.

There is also motivation from geometric representation theory, where the top cohomology group of type A Springer fibers form a module for the symmetric group. Fix a finite-dimensional vector space V and an element $x \in GL(V)$, these fibers consist of complete flags in V subject to a further condition in linear algebra terms. We focus on the case when the Jordan type of x is (n, n) , i.e., x is conjugate to a block diagonal matrix with two equal-sized blocks. In this case, the top cohomology has a basis parametrized by the irreducible components of the Springer fibers, which are in bijection with all standard Young tableaux of shape (n, n) (cf. [Spa76, Ste88, Var79]). These tableaux are well-known to be in bijection with the so-called cup diagrams, or crossingless matchings between $2n$ dots on a horizontal line. These cup diagrams are related to Temperley–Lieb algebras, and by [Fun03, SW12], they also record the geometric information of the corresponding irreducible components, via graphical calculus on their singular cohomology. Also see [ILW] on the usage of geometric and topological techniques to single out each irreducible component of two-row Springer fibers for all classical types. The diagrammatics also have a connection to the Kazhdan–Lusztig (KL) theory, where the action of the KL generators can be realized as vertical stacking of cup diagrams.

Since an isomorphism between irreducible modules is unique up to a scalar, an obvious question is regarding an S_d -module isomorphism from the tableau basis to the cup diagram basis. Although a simple combinatorial bijection exists between standard tableaux and cup diagrams of type (n, n) , the transition matrix should be viewed with respect to the module

structure. Recent work of Russell–Tymoczko (RT) in [RT19] studied the transitional matrix between the two bases by giving an explicit algorithm of computing the entries in this matrix.

If one fixes a total order on the set of all standard tableaux of shape (n, n) , then it is known that the transition matrix is upper-triangular with respect to this order (cf. [GM88, Nar89]). Russell–Tymoczko improved the results further by providing the vanishing entries in the transition matrix; they used the Bruhat order on the set of tableaux, which is a partial order compatible with previously used total order. They also carried this order over to the cup diagrams, and described an equivalent condition for this partial order in terms of cup combinatorics, along with interesting properties. As a result, they further proved vanishing entries when two tableaux (or two cup diagrams) are not comparable according to this partial order. To be more precise, they showed that the coefficient c_T^R in $v_T = \sum_R c_T^R R$, where the sum is over all cup diagram basis vector R , has the property that $c_T^R = 0$ unless $R \leq T$. By realizing a cup diagram as a product of 2×2 minors of a certain matrix and using the consequent Plücker relations, Rhoades [Rho18] further proved that $c_T^R \geq 0$ at the nonvanishing entries.

In this paper, we make a diagrammatic description of Rhoades’ approach explicit. Namely, we extend the notion of cup diagrams to diagrams which allow crossings. These correspond to perfect matchings on the $2n$ dots, rather than just crossingless matchings. The RT algorithm of computing the coefficients c_T^R in [RT19] is now rephrased as a sequence of moves to resolve a crossing in such a diagram (see §2.4 for more detail). Dual to RT’s Hasse graph of cup diagrams, whose vertices are ranked by the number of nestings, there is a dual picture, consisting of nestless, crossing-only matchings in each vertex, whose rank is given by the number of crossings. Using the diagrammatic algorithm of resolving the crossings, we proved the following conjecture raised by Russell–Tymoczko (see Corollary 2.9):

Theorem 1.1. The coefficients c_T^R in the transition matrix are positive whenever $R \leq T$, and zero otherwise.

In general, the sequence of moves that resolve the crossings is related to a well-known combinatorial algorithm of computing dual KL polynomials. This has further connections to representation theory, such as weight diagrams and crystal structures in Lie superalgebras (cf. [Bru03, Bru04]).

We further give an algorithm of computing the entries in the inverse matrix of (c_T^R) , i.e., the coefficients g_T^R in $T = \sum_R g_T^R v_R$. To be more precise, the image of a web basis is in fact a single polytabloid, although not necessarily standard (see Lemma 2.14):

Theorem 1.2. Up to a scalar, the web w is equal to the polytabloid v_T , where each column in T has two entries joined by an arc in w , and the entries in the first row always label a left endpoint.

By using the Garnir relations (see §2.6), one can expand such a polytabloid as a linear combination of standard polytabloids. This gives an algorithm of computing the transitioning matrix in the opposite direction. We conjecture that these coefficients are 0 or ± 1 . This resembles a result in type C/D obtained from studying top cohomology group of the Springer fibers [SW19].

The cup diagrams are sometimes referred to as A_1 -webs as they are related to tensor invariants of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . A higher rank generalization of this result is the A_2 -webs (or spiders) invented by Kuperberg [Kup96], which addresses the question of \mathfrak{sl}_3 -invariants. These are trivalent graphs with boundary points labeled by $+$ or $-$ obtained from vertical and horizontal stacking of certain diagrams (see §3.1). Using A_2 -webs, we aim to generalize the Russell–Tymoczko construction

to the case of three-row partition (n, n, n) . In particular, we are interested in properties of the base change matrix between the tableau and web basis.

Let $W^{(n,n,n)}$ be the vector space spanned by all A_2 -webs with $3n$ boundary points labeled by $+$. By Khovanov–Kuperberg [KK99], any such web is an explicit element in $V^{\otimes 3n}$, where V is the natural \mathfrak{sl}_3 -module. We point out in §3.2 a simple fact that $W^{(n,n,n)}$ admits an S_{3n} -action by permuting the tensor factors. Pictorially, we record this action by permuting the free strands on a web, hence each simple transposition creates an under or over crossings which are regarded as equivalent, e.g.,

$$s_i \cdot \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ \cdots \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ \cdots \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ \cdots \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right|.$$

Since webs represent elements in $V^{\otimes 3n}$, further relations may hold between webs with crossings. We then give an algorithm of resolving the crossing in for the A_2 -webs (see Lemma 3.2):

Theorem 1.3. As elements in $V^{\otimes 3n}$, the A_2 -webs with crossings satisfy the following local relation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array}.$$

Although this relation was already mentioned in Petersen–Pylyavskyy–Rhoades [PPR09], it was viewed as a purely combinatorial definition without regards to the natural action of S_{3n} on $V^{\otimes 3n}$. The strength of our approach is to add in the representation-theoretical context, which allows for a direct proof for other related results, such as a dimension count for $W^{(n,n,n)}$ in §3.4. Independent from the combinatorial setup in [PPR09], we give an alternative short proof of the following result (see Theorem 3.9).

Theorem 1.4. The S_{3n} -module $W^{(n,n,n)}$ is isomorphic to the Specht module $S^{(n,n,n)}$.

Our approaches establish an explicit isomorphism. In particular, let

$$W_0 = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array},$$

and let T_0 be the tableau by filling in integers $1, 2, \dots, 3n$ from top to bottom in the first column, then similarly along the second column, etc. By calculating the action of the Jucys–Murphy (JM) elements on these two vectors, we prove in Theorem 3.9 (Here we omit the isomorphism and identify a standard polytabloid with its image, as a linear combination of webs:)

Theorem 1.5. Up to a scalar, $v_{T_0} = W_0$.

This theorem gives an explicit way of computing the entries in the transition matrix. We further conjecture the following property on the coefficients of $v_T = \sum_R g_T^R T$:

Conjecture 1.6. For a fixed T , $g_T^R \geq 0$ for all R .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project started at the Summer Collaborators Program based at the School of Mathematics at the Institute for Advanced Study. We thank their hospitality in hosting our research group, their generous help throughout our stay at Princeton, and their financial support to facilitate this project.

We also thank the other two research members in our group, Chun-Ju Lai and Arik Wilbert, for their contribution to the project. Specifically, we thank A.W. for bringing to us the original problem and potential methods of attacking the problem; his broad knowledge on the subject matter has been our continuous go-to source for literature reference. We thank C.-J.L. for his sharp insight for pointing out several mistakes in our proofs and his suggestions for improvement, as well as coding resources for a portion of the diagrams in this article. This project would not have been successful without their engagement.

The authors also thank Jonathan Kujawa, Julianna Tymoczko, and Mikhail Khovanov for helpful conversations.

2. A_1 -WEBS

2.1. Preliminaries. Let S_d be the symmetric group on d letters. A *partition* of d is a sequence of weakly decreasing positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_s = d$. The *Young diagram* associated to the partition λ is the left justified diagram with λ_i boxes in i -th row, for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Denote $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_s$.

A *filling*, or *Young tableau* of the shape λ , is a filling of integers $1, 2, \dots, d$ where $d = |\lambda|$, such that each integer occurs exactly once. A *standard Young tableau* is such a filling so that the entries increase along each column and along each row. We make the distinction between a tableau and a standard tableau under the premise that a general tableau does not need to be standard.

On the other hand, a *cup diagram* with n cups is a diagram with $2n$ dots on a horizontal line, subdivided into n subsets, each with 2 elements joined by an arc beneath the horizontal line, such that these cups do not intersect. For example, a typical cup diagram with 4 cups appears as the following:



There is a well-known bijection f between the set of standard Young tableaux of shape (n, n) and cup diagrams with n cups, via the following. For T a standard Young tableaux of shape (n, n) , $f(T)$ is the cup diagram whose left endpoints are the entries in the first row of T . Since cup diagrams allow no crossing, these left endpoints uniquely determine the position of the arcs. This map is known to be surjective, where the noncrossing condition guarantees the standard condition of a tableau.

Following the convention in [RT19], we fix the partition (n, n) and define the *tableau graph*, whose vertices are standard tableaux of shape (n, n) . In addition, there is a directed edge $T \rightarrow T'$ labeled by s_i if and only if:

- 1) T' is the result of permuting entries i and $i + 1$ in T ,
- 2) i is in the second row of T , and $i + 1$ is in the first row of T .

A directed path in the tableau graph consists of a sequence of directed edges, one followed by another in the same direction. The partial order on the set of standard tableaux of shape (n, n) , is given by $T \leq T''$ whenever there is a directed path from T to T'' .

By Russell–Tymoczko, one could transfer this partial order to the set of cup diagrams and define a *cup diagram graph* where a vertex T is replaced by $f(T)$, and the edges remain fixed. This induces a partial order on the set of cup diagrams whose order can be described in a combinatorial way. The resulting cup diagram graph has the following property (see [RT19, Theorem 2.2]):

Theorem 2.1. For two cup diagrams w, w' , there is an edge $w \rightarrow w'$ labeled by s_i if and only if i is a right endpoint in w , $i + 1$ is a left endpoint in w , and w' differs from w only at cups incident to i and $i + 1$.

Russell–Tymoczko showed that the vertices in this new graph are ranked by the so-called nesting number of a cup diagram. In particular, for a cup diagram w , the *nesting number* $n(w)$ of w is the number such pairs (i, j) and (i', j') , such that i and j are joined by an arc, i' and j' are joined by an arc, and $i < i' < j' < j$. They further showed the following:

Lemma 2.2. ([RT19, Corollary 4.4]) Let $T = wT_0$ where w is a reduced word obtained by reading the labels off edges in a path from T_0 to T , and $n(\phi(T))$ be the number of crossings in $\phi(T)$. Then $\ell(w) = n(\phi(T))$.

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let $W^{(n,n)}$ be the \mathbf{k} -vector space spanned by all cup diagrams with n cups. There is a well-known action of S_{2n} on $W^{(n,n)}$ (see [RT19, §2.2]) as follows, where $s_i = (i \ i + 1)$ is a simple transposition in S_{2n} :

$$s_i \cdot w = \begin{cases} -w & \text{if } i, i + 1 \text{ are joined by an arc in } w, \\ w + w' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Here, w' is the unique cup diagram which differs from w at exactly arcs incident to i and $i + 1$.

2.2. Oriented Perfect Matchings. Rhoades [Rho18] reformulated the action of S_{2n} on $W^{(n,n)}$ using 2×2 minors of a certain matrix. We aim to give a diagrammatic description of this construction in connection to the cup diagrams. Let $M = (x_{i,j})$ be the $2 \times 2n$ matrix whose entries are variables $x_{i,j}$. Given $1 \leq \ell, k \leq 2n$, define $\Delta_{\ell,k}$ to be the minor $\Delta_{\ell,k} = x_{1,\ell}x_{2,k} - x_{2,\ell}x_{1,k}$.

Theorem 2.3. If $1 \leq i < j < k < \ell \leq 2n$, then factors of Δ_R satisfy the relations


$$\Delta_{ij} = -\Delta_{ji}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Delta_{ik}\Delta_{j\ell} = \Delta_{i\ell}\Delta_{jk} + \Delta_{ij}\Delta_{k\ell}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let X be the set of elements in the form below

$$I = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_n, j_n)\}, \quad \{i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Where each (i_k, j_k) is an ordered pair. Such an element $I \in X$ is referred to as an *oriented perfect matching*. Pictorially, an oriented perfect matching can be visualized as a picture with arcs connecting i_k and j_k , $\forall k$, with additional orientations, suggested by an arrow on each arc from i_k to j_k . Such diagram also allow crossings between these oriented arcs. It is straightforward to see such pictures, up to homotopy equivalence, are in bijection with X , which justifies the name. Occasionally we may also refer to these elements as oriented cup diagrams with potential crossings. For example, the oriented perfect matching $\{(1, 3), (4, 2), (5, 6)\}$ is given by the following picture:



Given $I \in X$, let

$$\Delta_I = \Delta_{i_1, j_2} \Delta_{i_2, j_2} \cdots \Delta_{i_n, j_n}.$$

Given $\sigma \in S_{2n}$, let S_n acts on the set of $\Delta_{\ell,k}$ via $\sigma \cdot \Delta_{\ell,k} = \Delta_{\sigma \cdot \ell, \sigma \cdot k}$. Let $M^{(n,n)}$ be the \mathbf{k} -vector space spanned by all Δ_I for all $I \in X$, then S_{2n} also acts on $M^{(n,n)}$, by acting on each factor in Δ_I . Particularly, each cup diagram can be regarded as an oriented perfect matching

by orienting each arc counterclockwise. In the absence of confusion we use w for the oriented perfect matching $\{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_n, j_n)\}$ such that i_1, \dots, i_n are the left endpoints in w and j_1, \dots, j_n are the associated right endpoints in that order. The following is a consequence of [Rho18, Lemma 2.1] and subsequent discussions.

Theorem 2.4. The set $\{\Delta_w\}$, where w runs over all crossingless matchings, spans $M^{(n,n)}$. Moreover, there exists an S_{2n} -module isomorphism $W^{(n,n)} \rightarrow M^{(n,n)}$ given by $w \mapsto \Delta_w$.

There is a natural action of S_{2n} on the set of oriented perfect matchings, given by permuting the free end of the strands. That is, each simple transposition s_i acts on an oriented cup diagram D with crossings D , via a stacking of diagrams, where $j = i + 1$:

$$s_i \cdot \boxed{D} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & i & j \\ & | & | \\ \dots & | & | \\ & | & | \\ & \times & \\ & | & | \\ \dots & | & | \end{array} \\ D \end{array}}$$

The relations in Theorem 2.3 can be realized as diagrammatic relations later on, in Proposition 2.6.

2.3. Polytabloids. In this section we review the standard construction of Specht modules using polytabloids. Fix a partition λ . A *tabloid* of shape λ is an equivalence class of tableaux of shape λ , where T_1 and T_2 are equivalent if and only if the entries each fixed row of T_1 and T_2 form the same set. For example,

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 5 & 3 & 6 \\ \hline 8 & 2 & 7 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 3 & 1 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 4 & 2 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Then T and S represent the same tabloid, denotes as $\{T\}$ or $\{S\}$, often visualized as the following.

$$\{T\} = \{S\} = \overline{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

The symmetric group S_d acts naturally on the set of tabloids via acting on each entry. Given a tableau T , a column stabilizer of T is a permutation which only permutes entries within each column in T . Let C_T be the set of column stabilizers of T . A *polytabloid* associated to the (not necessarily standard) tableau T is an alternating sum of tabloids, given by the following formula:

$$v_T = \sum_{\sigma \in C_T} \sigma \cdot \{T\}.$$

The symmetric group action on tabloids induces an action on the polytabloids, and it is a straightforward check that $\sigma \cdot v_T = v_{\sigma \cdot T}$.

Let S^λ be the \mathbf{k} -vector space spanned by all polytabloids. Then S^λ is an S_d -module with a basis $\{v_T\}$, where T runs over all standard tableau of shape λ (cf. [Ful97]). The module S^λ is called the Specht module for S_d associated to the partition λ .

We now establish an isomorphism between the Specht module and the module constructed by oriented perfect matchings. Given

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline i_1 & i_2 \\ \hline j_1 & j_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline i_n \\ \hline j_n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let T_\times be the associated oriented perfect matching defined as $T_\times = \{(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_n, j_n)\}$, where arcs are oriented counterclockwise.

Let T_\times be the oriented perfect matching with crossings which joins each pair of two entries in a column by an arc, and each arc is oriented counterclockwise.

Proposition 2.5. There exists a module isomorphism $S^{(n,n)} \rightarrow M^{(n,n)}$, $v_T \mapsto \Delta_{T_\times}$.

Proof. Let $T = wT_0$ where w is a reduced word obtained via a path from T_0 to T . Then $\phi(T) = w\phi(T_0)$. Label the arcs (note: not the endpoints!) in T_0 from left to right by $1, 2, \dots, n$, and leave the labels attached to the arcs while permuting the strands in $\phi(T_0)$ via w . We claim that the left endpoints in $\phi(T)$, listed from left to right, are attached to arcs labeled by $1, 2, \dots, n$ in $\phi(T)$, and same is true for the right endpoints.

Use induction on the length of w . When $\ell(w) = 0$, $T = T_0$ is straightforward to check. Suppose the claim holds for T , and $T \leq s_i.T$ in the tableau graph. Then i is in the second row of T , labeling a right endpoint, and $i + 1$ is in the first row of T , labeling a left endpoint. The graph locally looks like

$$\begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

where the arcs are labeled by a and b , respectively. After permuting the strands i and $i + 1$, the graph locally looks like

$$\begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

The left endpoint of b remains left to the left endpoint of any arc labeled by $b + 1, b + 2, \dots, n$, and it remains right to the left endpoint of any arc labeled by $1, 2, \dots, b - 1$. Hence the relative position of the left endpoints remains unchanged, and is attached to arcs $1, 2, \dots, n$ from left to right. A similar argument holds for the right endpoint of a , hence the main claim follows since $\phi(s_i.T) = s_i.\phi(T)$. \square

2.4. Admissible Moves. For an oriented perfect matching I , Rhoades [Rho18] gave an algorithm of expanding Δ_I into a linear combination of Δ_w 's, where w is a cup diagram. This algorithm uses the relations in Theorem 2.3. In this section we aim to reformulate these relations as diagrams, and rephrase this algorithm as diagrammatic manipulations via a sequence of admissible moves.

An *admissible move* on an oriented perfect matching is one of the following two ways to resolve a crossing in the diagram. When more than one crossing can be resolved, use the following prescribed sequence on the crossings to resolve one by one: for an arc joining i and j with $i < j$, we call j the *right foot* of such an arc. An admissible must start with a specific crossing, whose right foot is rightmost among the right feet of all crossings. If two crossings share the same right foot, then they must be on the same strand, and an admissible move must resolve the crossing closest to this foot.

The first type of moves, called *Type I moves*, are of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \quad d \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \quad \text{---} c \quad \text{---} d \\ \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \quad d \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \quad \text{---} c \quad \text{---} d \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

Here, the orientation on each strand (dashed vs. non-dashed) remains fixed. The second kind, called *Type II moves*, are of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \quad d \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \quad \text{---} c \quad \text{---} d \\ \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \quad d \\ \text{---} a \quad \text{---} b \quad \text{---} c \quad \text{---} d \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

Here, the orientation along each strand (dashed vs. non-dashed) remains the same.

Type I moves can be thought of as swapping the strands at b and c , where the Type II moves can be thought of as swapping the strands at c and d .

We reformulate Rhoades' algorithm of expanding Δ_I using the following diagrammatics. From now on we abuse the notation of an oriented perfect matching I , to denote the element $\Delta_I \in M^{(n,n)}$. Recall the isomorphism in Theorem 2.4. Let $\rho : S^{(n,n)} \rightarrow M^{(n,n)}$ be the composition of isomorphism $S^{(n,n)} \rightarrow W^{(n,n)} \rightarrow M^{(n,n)}$.

Proposition 2.6. The following identity holds in $M^{(n,n)}$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} = - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the orientation remains fixed on each respective strand. Moreover, if

$$T_x = M_1 + \dots + M_s,$$

where M_1, \dots, M_s are oriented perfect matchings, then

$$\rho(v_T) = M_1 + \dots + M_s.$$


Proof. For any oriented perfect matching M , let M_1 and M_2 be the two diagrams obtained by resolving a crossing in M via Type I and Type II moves, respectively. Then the relations in (2.3) can be represented using the diagrammatical relation (2.9). One can apply relation (2.3) to these two factors in Δ_M and obtain the expression. $\Delta_M = \Delta_{M_1} + \Delta_{M_2}$. The rest of the arcs remain the same in M, M_1, M_2 . The second statement follows from a combination of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.5. \square

Given m an oriented perfect matching, we use \overline{m} for the oriented perfect matching that has the same cup positions as m , where all the cups are oriented counterclockwise. In addition, $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a set. As a consequence of Proposition 2.6,

$$m \mapsto (-1)^{\# \text{ clockwise oriented cups}} \overline{m}.$$

Suppose M is an oriented perfect matching with n strands, a *coloring* of M is a bijection c between all strands in M and the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A colored perfect matching is a perfect matching M equipped with a coloring c , denoted as M^c . The *default coloring* of a perfect matching T is given by coloring the left endpoints with integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ and extend it to the associated strands, denoted as d . Hence M^d will always denote an oriented perfect matching with the default coloring.

Admissible moves on colored oriented perfect matchings are defined similarly as before, now in the light that the coloring should be consistent in the following way, where the Type I and Type II moves on a colored oriented perfect matching are given by the following



Here, red and blue denote distinct colors on each strand, and the orientation on each colored strand remains the same. The convention for choosing the specific crossing to resolve first, is the same as before.

Based on the discussion shortly after Proposition 2.6, any oriented perfect matching m can be written as $\pm\overline{m}$. Hence from this point on we assume the cups are always oriented counterclockwise and suppress the orientation. We will sometimes drop the word ‘‘oriented’’ and only refer to them as colored perfect matchings instead.

Given two colored perfect matchings D^c and $(D')^c$, a *path* from D^c to $(D')^c$ is a sequence of admissible moves applied to D^c in order to obtain $(D')^c$. Note that T_\otimes defined prior to Proposition 2.5 has all cups oriented counterclockwise by default. Let $\theta : S^{(n,n)} \rightarrow W^{(n,n)}$ be the module isomorphism and it is unique up to a scalar.

Lemma 2.7. Given a standard Young tableau of shape (n, n) , then up to a scalar we have

$$\theta(v_T) = \sum_{\substack{R \leq T \\ e: \text{a coloring of } R}} \#\{\text{path from } T_\times^d \text{ to } \phi(R)^e\} \phi(R),$$

Proof. The expansion of T_\times is obtained by using (2.9) repeatedly until there are no crossings left in each term. Therefore, each term of R in the expansion corresponds to a unique sequence of Type I or Type II moves, and the claim follows. \square

Based on this lemma we aim to prove the positivity conjecture by Russell–Tymoczko by providing an explicit path. We first need a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.8. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a directed edge in the tableau graph. Let a_i be the i -th entry in the upper row of T , and b_i the i -th entry in the upper row of S , then $a_i \geq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $S = s_i.T$ where s_i takes an integer i from the second row of T and swaps it with $i + 1$ from the first row of T . Therefore each entry in the first row gets replaced by a smaller entry or remains unchanged from T to S . \square

Corollary 2.9. If $S \leq T$ in the tableau graph, and a_i and b_i are defined as in Lemma 2.8, then $a_i \geq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 2.10. Let T and S be oriented perfect matchings with $S \leq T$. Then there is a sequence of admissible moves M_1, \dots, M_s such that the arc labeled by n in

$$T_n = M_s \circ M_{s-1} \circ \dots \circ M_1(T^d)$$

has the same position and orientation as the cup labeled by n in S . Moreover, any path from T^d to R^d must start with these moves in this particular sequence, followed by moves that do not touch the arc labeled by n .

Proof. Let a be the upper right entry of T . Since a is the rightmost left endpoint, the vertices $a+1, \dots, 2n-1$ must all be right endpoints of other arcs, and the arcs incident to $a+1, \dots, 2n-1$ must intersect the arc $(a, 2n)$ exactly once. If these arcs have further intersections among themselves, one can move the intersections outside the arc $(a, 2n)$ such that the right end of T^D looks like below, where red denotes the label n :


(2.10)

Let b be the upper right entry of S , which is the left endpoint of the arc labeled by n in S , and let d be the right endpoint of this arc. By Corollary 2.9, $b \geq a$. Moreover, since R contains no crossings, there are no strands going through (b, e) . Also, there are no more arcs inside (b, e) in R , because b is the rightmost left endpoint. Therefore $e = b + 1$. The labels follow the picture below



Denote the two circled crossings as X_1 and X_2 from left to right. Hence, one can resolve all crossings in the picture via the prescribed sequence, using only Type I moves for crossings to the left of X_1 (including X_1), followed by a single Type II move to resolve crossing X_2 . One has therefore created a cup incident to b and $b + 1$ which is labeled by n . It is also clear this is the unique way of seating such a cup, because all crossings should be resolved in this sequence, and at each stage, the choice of Type I or Type II move is uniquely determined. \square

We will refer to the sequence of moves prescribed in Lemma 2.4 as “seating the cup labeled by n ”. We now prove the positivity conjecture raised by Russell–Tymoczko. Given a standard Young tableau, T let c_T^R be the coefficients in $\theta(T) = \sum_{R \leq T} c_T^R \phi(R)$.

Theorem 2.11. Let R, T be two standard Young tableaux. If $R \leq T$, then there is a sequence of admissible moves M_1, \dots, M_t such that

$$\phi(R)^d = M_t \circ M_{t-1} \circ \dots \circ M_1(T_\times^d).$$


As a consequence, $c_T^R > 0$ whenever $R \leq T$.


Proof. One shall use the prescribed move in Lemma 2.4 to seat the cup labeled by n . Once seated, this cup contains no cup inside and does not interfere with any moves on the other arcs, and can therefore be considered as if it was removed from the picture. Use again the prescribed moves in Lemma 2.4 to seat the cup labeled by $n - 1$, etc, until one seats the cup labeled by 1. \square

2.5. An example. The following is an example regarding an admissible path suggested by Section 2.4. Let $n = 5$,


$$R = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 10 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad T = s_7 s_8 s_5 s_2 R = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The definition of ϕ suggests





The path of admissible moves from T_{\otimes}^d to $\phi(R)^d$ is given by:



2.6. The Inverse Matrix. In this section we study the inverse of the matrix (c_T^R) . Let $\psi : W^{(n,n)} \rightarrow S^{(n,n)}$ be the inverse of θ . Also let T_0 be following Young tableau, where $n_1 = 2n - 1$ and $n_2 = 2n$.

$$T_0 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline n_1 \\ \hline n_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let $w_0 = \theta(T_0)$.

Lemma 2.12. If $w = rw_0$ where $r = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_t}$ is a reduced word obtained via a path from w_0 to w , then

$$\psi(w) = (s_{i_1} - 1)(s_{i_2} - 1)\cdots(s_{i_t} - 1)v_{T_0}.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that whenever $R \xrightarrow{s_i} U$ is a directed edge in the tableau graph, then $U = (t_i - 1)R$. The claim then follows from the action of S_{2n} on the cup diagrams in (2.1). \square

The polytabloids satisfy certain relations, known as the Garnir relations, as given in [Ful97, Gre80, Sag01]. The following is a consequence of the theorem in the special case when the relevant partition is (n, n) or (n, n, n) .

Theorem 2.13. 1) If i and j are in the same column of T , then

$$(i \ j)v_T = -v_T. \tag{2.12}$$

2) If T has shape (n, n) or (n, n, n) and S is obtained from T by interchanging any two columns, then

$$v_T = v_S. \tag{2.13}$$

3) If T has shape (n, n) , then

$$v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a & c \\ \hline b & x \\ \hline \end{array} \dots = v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c & a \\ \hline b & x \\ \hline \end{array} \dots + v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a & b \\ \hline c & x \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \quad (2.14)$$

4) If T has shape (n, n, n) , then

$$v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline x & a \\ \hline y & \\ \hline z & \\ \hline \end{array} \dots = v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline a & x \\ \hline y & \\ \hline z & \\ \hline \end{array} \dots + v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline x & y \\ \hline a & \\ \hline z & \\ \hline \end{array} \dots + v \dots \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline x & z \\ \hline y & \\ \hline a & \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \quad (2.15)$$

Proposition 2.14. We have $\psi(w) = v_T$, where T is a tableau such that two entries in each column of T are connected by an arc in w , and the entry in the first row is the left endpoint of this arc. Moreover, v_T is well-defined by this condition.

Proof. By (2.13), one can always rearrange the columns such that v_T remains the same, hence v_T is well-defined. We induct on the number of nested cups in w . Suppose the statement holds for all w with $n(w) \leq N_0$. Then given w with $n(w) = N_0 + 1$, let w' be a web such that there is an edge $w' \rightarrow w$ via the simple transposition s_i . Hence $w = (s_i - 1)w'$ and

$$\psi(w) = (s_i - 1)\psi(w') = (s_i - 1)v_{T'},$$

where T' is a tableau obtained from w' via the condition stated in the lemma.

Since i is a right endpoint in w' and $i + 1$ a left endpoint in w' , let x be the left endpoint of the arc incident to i , and y be the right endpoint of the arc incident to $i + 1$. That is to say,

$$w' = \begin{array}{c} x \quad i \quad i+1 \quad y \\ \text{---} \quad \cup \quad \cup \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \cup \quad \cup \quad \text{---} \end{array}, \quad w = \begin{array}{c} x \quad i \quad i+1 \quad y \\ \text{---} \quad \cup \quad \cup \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \cup \quad \cup \quad \text{---} \end{array}.$$

Let $j = i + 1$, then

$$T' = \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline i \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w) &= (s_i - 1)v_{T'} \\ &= v \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline j \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline i \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots - v \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline i \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \\ &= v \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline j \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline i \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots + v \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline i \\ \hline x \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots = v \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline i \\ \hline j \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x \\ \hline y \\ \hline \end{array} \dots \end{aligned}$$

is in the form desired. The other columns in T and T' coincide because the other arcs in w' and w coincide. \square

Remark 2.15. Because the standard polytabloids span $S^{(n,n)}$, using the Garnir relations in Theorem 2.13, one is guaranteed to expand v_T as a linear combination of standard polytabloids. Hence this proposition gives an indirect algorithm of computing the coefficients in $\psi(w)$ for any cup diagram w .


We computed examples for small n and conjecture the following.

Conjecture 2.16. Given a tableau T of shape (n, n) , $v_T = \sum_{R \leq T} g_T^R v_R$, with g_T^R being 0 or ± 1 . In addition, $g_T^R = 1$ only if $\ell(R) - \ell(T)$ is even, and $g_T^R = -1$ only if $\ell(R) - \ell(T)$ is odd.

As a consequence, the entries in the inverse matrix $(c_T^R)^{-1}$ are 0 or ± 1 , and this matrix is upper-triangular with respect to the partial order on T .

3. A_2 -WEBS

3.1. Preliminaries. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field, q is transcendental over \mathbf{k} , and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the rank 2 quantum group over $\mathbf{k}(q)$. Let \mathbf{k} also denote the trivial module for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on which $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ acts as zero. Let V be the natural $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. It is well-known in classical invariant theory which states that all $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -tensor invariants, i.e., elements in $\text{Hom}_{U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes k})$ can be constructed via A_1 -webs. An analogue of this result in the \mathfrak{sl}_3 -setting is given by Kuperberg [Kup96] using spiders, also known as A_2 -webs, to describe all $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -tensor invariants. Specifically, an A_2 -web is a trivalent graph below a horizontal axis, with boundary points on the axis, each labeled by $+$ or $-$, where the edges are oriented. In addition, the graph is obtained by vertical and horizontal stacking of the following local diagrams, up to homotopy equivalence, where the orientation on each edge remains consistent through stacking:



Furthermore, the webs are equivalent under local relations:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{diamond shape with arrows} \\ \end{array} = [3], \tag{R1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{diamond shape with arrows} \\ \end{array} = -[2] \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{straight line with arrow} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{straight line with arrow} \\ \end{array}, \tag{R2}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{hexagon shape with arrows} \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \uparrow \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array}, \tag{R3}$$

where

$$[n] = \frac{q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}.$$













We specialize their results to the case as $q \rightarrow 1$. Let $V = V^+$ be the natural $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})$ -module, and $V^- = V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^+)$ be its dual, where \mathbf{k} is the trivial $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})$ -module. Associated to each of the above generators, Khovanov–Kuperberg [KK99] defined explicit $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})$ -module homomorphisms, such that, for example, $t^{+++} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, (V^+)^{\otimes 3})$. For explicit expressions of these morphisms in terms of basis elements in V^\pm , we refer readers to [KK99, §3]. As a result, a web as horizontal and vertical stacking of these generators form an element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^\pm \otimes \cdots \otimes V^\pm)$, where each tensor factor is either V^+ or V^- according to the corresponding label on the boundary point. Kuperberg [Kup96] then showed that these are indeed all \mathfrak{sl}_3 -invariants in the following sense. We specialize the theorem to the case where all boundary points are $+$, and the quantum parameter tends to 1.

Theorem 3.1. ([Kup96, Theorem 5.1, Theorem 6.1]) The space $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes d})$ is spanned by all A_2 -webs with d “+” signs labeling the top boundary points.

Khovanov–Kuperberg also gave an algorithm to compute a web as an explicit element in $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{sl}_3)}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes d})$, by computing the coefficients of each pure tensor via graphical calculus. This is given by a procedure that assigns so-called flow lines around each trivalent vertex and compute the weight of such assignment on a web.

For this article we are only considering A_2 -webs with $+$'s as boundary labels. Since the labels at the boundary determine those on the boundary strands, these orientations propagate into the rest of the web and hence determine the orientation on the whole web. For this reason, we will drop the orientation on edges to make room for a new notation called flow lines discussed below. Hence arrows on edges from now on will always denote flow lines rather than orientations.

Up to a homotopy equivalence of straightening the arcs, each trivalent vertex must be of either a Y or an upside-down Y shape. Around each vertex the three edges must be assigned flow lines according to one of the following cases, with associated weight underneath. Here we specialize the results in [KK99] to the case as the quantum parameter tends to 1.

						
weight	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
						
weight	1	1	1	1	1	1

Here, the convention is as follows:


1. Edges labeled 1 always have a downward flow,
2. Edges labeled -1 always have an upward flow,
3. Edges labeled 0 always have no flow.

Let $\{e_1, e_0, e_{-1}\}$ be the standard basis of V^+ . The weight for an A_2 -web with assigned flow lines is the product of weights of each vertex. Let $i_k \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$. The results in [KK99, §4] states that the coefficient of the tensor factor $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} \in V^{\otimes d}$ as a term inside a given web, is the sum of the weights of all possible consistent assignment of flow lines to the web, with i_1, \dots, i_d labeling the boundary points. For an example of computing the weights, we refer to the example prior to [KK99, §5].

3.2. An S_{3n} -module Structure. In this section we define a diagrammatic action of S_{3n} on the set of A_2 -webs with $3n$ boundary points labeled by $+$'s. The well-known results of Schur–Weyl duality state that the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})$ and the symmetric group S_d commute on $V^{\otimes d}$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes d})$, we identify f with $v = f(1) \in V^{\otimes d}$, where $x.v = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})$. Given any $s \in S_d$, $x.(s.v) = s.(x.v) = 0$. Therefore $s.v \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes d})$, and the space $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, V^{\otimes d})$ is an S_d -module, where the action is given by permuting tensor factors in $V^{\otimes d}$.

We now give a diagrammatic description of this action. To do this we extend the notion of A_2 -webs to A_2 -webs *with over and under crossings*. This is a trivalent graph with boundary points obtained by vertical and horizontal stacking of generators t^{+++} , t^{---} , b^{+-} , b^{-+} , σ_{-+} , σ_{+-} , as well as under- or over-crossings. Such graphs are equivalent to each other up to homotopy equivalence, subject to the further relation that under crossings are the same as over crossings. Because of this, we will simply refer to them as crossings. For example, a typical A_2 -webs with

crossings is as follows:



Each crossing is not considered a trivalent vertex inside the web. In this setting, the action of S_d given by permuting tensor factors in $V^{\otimes d}$ is pictorially presented as permuting the corresponding free strands. In particular, the simple transposition s_i acts by creating a crossing between the i -th and $(i + 1)$ -th strands (here $j = i + 1$):

$$s_i \cdot \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdots \\ i \\ j \\ \cdots \\ d \end{array} \right|$$

A web with crossings should also be interpreted as an element in $V^{\otimes d}$, taking into account that each crossing imposes a swap between adjacent elements. We now state an additional relation among A_2 -webs with crossings.

Lemma 3.2. As elements in $V^{\otimes d}$, the following local relation holds for an A_2 -web with crossings:

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \quad (R4)$$

Proof. For each assignment of flow lines, we show that the combined weight, i.e., the coefficient for a certain pure tensor, is the same for the left and right-hand sides of the equation. There are a total of 9 ways for assigning flow lines locally, h corresponds to a distinct “boundary condition” of this region that interacts with the rest of the picture. For each assignment of flow lines on the LHS of (R4), we fix the boundary condition, i.e., the flow orientation for the rest of the picture, and list all possibilities (in fact, the only possibility) to assign flow lines to the RHS of (R4). We list the individual weight for each term. *Note*, in addition to the usual distinction between arrows on the web and the flow lines (which is usually denoted as separate lines adjacent to the webs) we use arrows on the edges to emphasize the flow direction, and suppress the actual orientation of the edges (as indicated in relation R4), based on the consideration that the actual edge orientation is irrelevant to the assignment to the flow lines. Any arrows outside (R4) should be considered as an indication of the flow. With the exception of the first term on the RHS of (3.1), if we omit the arrows, it means no flow lines can be assigned consistently to the boundary conditions, in which case the weight is zero for that term. In each of the cases, there is at most one way to assign the flow lines on a particular diagram. The total weight of the RHS always remains 1, thus proving the identity.

$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}$
weights: 0 $(-1) \cdot (-1) = 1$	weights: 1 0
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad -1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad -1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad -1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}$
weights: 0 $1 \cdot 1 = 1$	weights: 0 $(-1) \cdot (-1) = 1$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 1 \quad 0 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \diagdown \end{array}} \right) \left(\vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \diagup \end{array}} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} \quad (3.1) \\
\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad 1 \quad -1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \diagdown \end{array}} \right) \left(\vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \diagup \end{array}} \right) + \begin{array}{c} -1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1 \end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad -1 \quad -1 \quad -1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 1 \quad 0 \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \diagdown \end{array}} \right) \left(\vphantom{\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \diagup \end{array}} \right) + \begin{array}{c} -1 \quad -1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array}
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. When a crossing is created by two free strands on the border which share a common vertex, as in the picture below, then

$$\begin{array}{c} + \quad + \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 1 \quad 0 \end{array} = - \begin{array}{c} + \quad + \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from the relation

$$\begin{array}{c} + \quad + \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 1 \quad 0 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} - 2 \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array} = - \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{weights: } 0 \end{array}$$

□

3.3. Action of the Jucys–Murphy elements. It is known in [PPR09] and [Tym12] that the set of A_2 -webs with $3n$ boundary points labeled by all +’s form the Specht module associated to the partition (n, n, n) . Their arguments either depend on a sophisticated “growth” algorithm in [KK99] or with the combinatorial tool of cyclic sieving. The goal of this section and the next is to prove this result independently from a representation-theoretic approach. In addition, this approach will provide an algorithm for computing the base-change matrix between the tableau basis and A_2 -web basis.

The *Jucys–Murphy* elements are elements t_1, \dots, t_d in S_d , $t_i = \sum_{1 \leq j < i} (j \ i)$ where $(j \ i)$ is the transposition that swaps i and j , and $t_1 = 0$. It is well-known that $t_i t_j = t_j t_i$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. In addition, the content of an integer k in a Young tableau T , is given via

$$c_T(k) = \text{column containing } k - \text{row containing } k$$

An easy observation is that the content function is constant on each diagonal. The following result gives an eigenspace decomposition with respect to the Jucys–Murphy elements.

Theorem 3.4. ([Ram97],[VO04, Theorem 5.8]) Let λ be a partition of d . The Specht module S^λ admits a basis $\{u_T\}$, called the seminormal basis, where T runs over all standard tableaux of shape λ , and

$$t_k \cdot u_T = c_T(k) u_T$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq d$.

Remark 3.5. The list of integers $c_T(1), \dots, c_T(k)$ uniquely determines the standard tableau T : once the integers $1, 2, \dots, i$ are filled inside a Young tableau, the position of $i+1$ is uniquely determined by the diagonal containing it, which is uniquely determined by the content of $i+1$.

Let T_0 be the tableau of shape (n, n, n) by filling in the entries $1, 2, \dots, 3n$ from top to bottom in a column, then from left to right along each column. In other words, let $n_1 = 3n - 2$, $n_2 = 3n - 2$, $n_3 = 3n$, then

$$T_0 = \begin{array}{ccc} \boxed{1} & \boxed{4} & \cdots & \boxed{n_1} \\ \boxed{2} & \boxed{5} & \cdots & \boxed{n_2} \\ \boxed{3} & \boxed{6} & \cdots & \boxed{n_3} \end{array}$$

In general, the seminormal basis and the polytabloid basis do not agree. However, we will calculate the scalar action of the Jucys-Murphy elements on v_{T_0} and a specific web, and conclude these are the same scalars.

Lemma 3.6. The following holds for $1 \leq k \leq 3n$:

$$t_k.v_{T_0} = c_{T_0}(k)v_{T_0}.$$

Proof. When k is 1, 2 or 3, the statement can be checked directly using relation (2.12). We now discuss by cases based on $k \bmod 3$.

When $k = 3m + 1$ where $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, k is in Row 1 and Column $m + 1$, therefore has content m . Let ℓ be an integer such that $1 \leq \ell < m$, and let $x = 3\ell + 1$, $y = 3\ell + 2$, $z = 3\ell + 3$. Then by (2.15) above,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((3\ell + 1 \ k) + (3\ell + 2 \ k) + (3\ell + 3 \ k)).v_{T_0} = \\ & u \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{k} \\ \dots \\ \boxed{y} \\ \dots \\ \boxed{z} \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{x} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \dots + u \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{x} \\ \dots \\ \boxed{k} \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{y} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \dots + u \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{x} \\ \dots \\ \boxed{y} \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \dots \begin{array}{c} \boxed{z} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \dots = v_{T_0} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by arranging the terms into groups of three,

$$\begin{aligned} t_k.v_{T_0} &= ((1 \ k) + (2 \ k) + (3 \ k)) + \cdots + ((3m - 2 \ k) + (3m - 1 \ k) + (3m \ k)).v_{T_0} \\ &= mv_{T_0} = c_{T_0}(k)v_{T_0} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

When $k = 3m + 2$, ($1 \leq k \leq n - 1$), k is in Row 2 and Column $m + 1$, and has content $m - 1$. Recall $s_{3m+1}.v_{T_0} = -v_{T_0}$ by relation (2.12). So

$$\begin{aligned} t_{3m+2}.v_{T_0} &= (s_{3m+1}t_{3m+1}s_{3m+1} + s_{3m+1})v_{T_0} \\ &= s_{3m+1}t_{3m+1}.(-v_{T_0}) - v_{T_0} \\ &= -s_{3m+1}c_{T_0}(3m + 1)v_{T_0} - v_{T_0} \\ &= (c_{T_0}(3m + 1) - 1)v_{T_0} = c_{T_0}(3m + 2)v_{T_0} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Similarly, when $k = 3m + 3$, k has content $m - 2$, the calculation is similar as above:

$$t_{3m+3}.v_{T_0} = (s_{3m+2}t_{3m+2}s_{3m+2} + s_{3m+2})v_{T_0} = (m - 2)v_{T_0} = c_{T_0}(3m + 3)v_{T_0}.$$

□

We now start with the A_2 -web

$$W_0 = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \cdots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \quad \cdots \quad | \quad | \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \cdots \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$$

and show it also has the same list of eigenvalues as v_{T_0} under the action of the Jucys–Murphy elements.

Lemma 3.7. The following is true for $1 \leq k \leq 3n$

$$t_k.W_0 = c_{T_0}(k)W_0.$$

Proof. First we prove the statement for $k = 3m + 1$, where $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$, by induction on m . The base case when $m = 0$ is true since $t_1 = 0$ and $c_{T_0}(1) = 0$. Suppose the claim is true for $k = 3(m - 1) + 1$, then for $k = 3m + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{3m+1} &= s_{3m}t_{3m}s_{3m} + s_{3m} \\ &= s_{3m}(s_{3m-1}t_{3m-1}s_{3m-1} + s_{3m-1})s_{3m} + s_{3m} \\ &= s_{3m}s_{3m-1}(s_{3m-2}t_{3m-2}s_{3m-2} + s_{3m-2})s_{3m-1}s_{3m} + s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m} + s_{3m} \\ &= s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}t_{3m-2}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m} + s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m} \\ &\quad + s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m} + s_{3m}. \end{aligned}$$

The calculation below omits the “m” shape prior to node $3m - 2$ and after the node $3m + 3$. The nodes displayed are labeled $3m - 2, 3m - 1, \dots, 3m + 3$ from left to right. Let $w = \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \end{array}$ (other “m”-shapes are omitted).

$$s_{3m}.w = w + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{3m-1}s_{3m}.w &= -w + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \\ &= -w + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \right) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}.w &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \\ &= - \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}.w &= - \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

Since the action is well-defined,

$$s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} = s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}.w = w. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence

$$s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}t_{3m-2}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}.w = s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}t_{3m-2} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array}. \quad (3.6)$$

Since the inside upside-down “m”-shape does not interact with permutations prior to node $3m - 1$, by induction hypothesis on $k = 3(m - 1) + 1 = 3m - 2$,

$$t_{3m-2} \cdot \left(\dots \text{ (upside-down m-shape) } \dots \right) = c_{T_0}(3m - 2) \left(\dots \text{ (upside-down m-shape) } \dots \right)$$

(here we add in the dots to emphasize there are parts of the picture that we omit). Hence (3.6) is equal to $c_{T_0}(3m - 2)w$ by considering (3.5).

Also, following (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}w_0 &= - \text{ (upside-down m-shape) }, \\ s_{3m}s_{3m-1}s_{3m-2}s_{3m-1}s_{3m}w_0 &= \text{ (upside-down m-shape) }. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By summing (3.2), (3.3), (3.6), (3.7), we obtain


$$t_{3m+1} \cdot w_0 = (c_{T_0}(3m - 2) + 1)w_0 = c_{T_0}(3m + 1)w_0.$$

For $k = 3m + 2$, s_{k-1} permutes strands $3m + 1$ and $3m + 2$, which are incident to edges that share a vertex, hence by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} t_k \cdot w_0 &= (s_{k-1}t_{k-1}s_{k-1} + s_{k-1}) \cdot w_0 \\ &= (s_{k-1}t_{k-1} + 1)(-w_0) \\ &= (s_{k-1}c_{T_0}(3m + 1) + 1)(-w_0) \\ &= (c_{T_0}(3m + 1) - 1)w_0 = c_{T_0}(3m + 2)w_0. \end{aligned}$$

The case $k = 3m + 3$ follows a similar calculation. □

3.4. A module isomorphism. Over an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0, $V^{\otimes d}$ is semisimple. Its irreducible summands are parametrized by partitions of integer d with at most 3 parts. Moreover, its decomposition follows a Bratteli graph, whose first four rows are shown as below:



In general, there is an edge from λ to μ if and only if $L(\mu)$ is a summand of $L(\lambda) \otimes V$. By the Pieri rule (cf. [Mac95]), this happens if and only if μ can be obtained from λ by adding a box. The trivial module \mathbf{k} is represented by the partitions with equal number of boxes in each row, hence as a summand of $V^{\otimes 3n}$, \mathbf{k} is represented by the partition (n, n, n) .

Each edge $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$ in the graph corresponds to choosing a summand in the tensor product $L(\lambda) \otimes V$, hence, a path from \square to a partition γ in Row d , corresponds to a sequence of repeatedly tensoring with V and choosing a summand at each stage. This leads to choosing a

specific copy of $L(\gamma)$ in $V^{\otimes d}$. Because of this, it is well-known that the multiplicity of $L(\gamma)$ in $V^{\otimes d}$ is counted by the number of directed paths from \square to γ . By letting $d = 3n$, $\gamma = (n, n, n)$, the multiplicity of the trivial module \mathbf{k} in $V^{\otimes d}$ is counted by the number of paths from \square to (n, n, n) .

On the other hand, each such path can be identified by a standard Young tableau of shape (n, n, n) : the sequence of added boxes is filled with integers $1, 2, \dots, d$ in the order of addition of boxes. The standard condition is guaranteed from this sequence, and vice versa, each standard Young tableau is uniquely corresponding to a directed path from \square to (n, n, n) . Hence it is also well-known that the multiplicity of \mathbb{C} in $V^{\otimes d}$ is the number of semistandard Young tableaux of shape (n, n, n) .

Lemma 3.8. The following holds

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k}))}(1, V^{\otimes 3n}) = \dim S^{(n,n,n)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{k}))}(1, V^{\otimes 3n}) &= \#\{\text{paths from } \epsilon_1 = (1) \text{ to } \lambda = (n, n, n)\} \\ &= \#\{\text{semistandard Young tableaux of shape } (n, n, n)\} \\ &= \dim S^{(n,n,n)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9. The S_{3n} -module $W^{(n,n,n)}$ is the irreducible Specht module associated to the partition (n, n, n) . Moreover, let $\rho : S^{(n,n,n)} \rightarrow W^{(n,n,n)}$ be a module isomorphism. Then up to a scalar, $\theta(v_{T_0}) = w_0$.

Proof. Since $W^{(n,n,n)}$ is an S_{3n} -module, it decomposes into simple modules over \mathbb{C} . Since $w_0 \in W^{(n,n,n)}$ shares the list of eigenvalues with v_{T_0} under the action of the JM elements, it follows that $S^{(n,n,n)} \subset W^{(n,n,n)}$. A dimension count shows that those two coincide. Moreover,

$$t_k \rho(v_{T_0}) = \rho(t_k v_{T_0}) = \rho(c_{T_0}(k)v_{T_0}) = c_{T_0}(k)\rho(v_{T_0})$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq 3n$, hence $\rho(v_{T_0})$ shares the list of eigenvalues with v_{T_0} . Such w_0 (up to a scalar) is the unique element in $W^{(n,n,n)}$ with such property. It follows that $\rho(v_{T_0}) = w_0$. □

Remark 3.10. The above result, combined with relation (R4), gives an algorithm of computing $\rho(v_T)$ for any T , by using the fact that $\rho(w.v_{T_0}) = w.W_0$ for any reduced word $w \in S_{3n}$. The web $w.W_0$ has potential crossings, and one can use relation (R4) to resolve these crossings, using (R1)-(R3) if necessary along the way. The result is then a linear combination of \mathfrak{sl}_3 -webs.

We now note a conjecture regarding the coefficients of the transitioning matrix, in the light of Russell–Tymoczko generalized to the (n, n, n) partition. Let $\rho(v_T) = \sum_R g_T^R w_R$.

Conjecture 3.11. The coefficients satisfy $g_T^R \geq 0$ for all R and T .

REFERENCES

- [Bru03] J. Brundan, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 185–231.
- [Bru04] ———, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n)$* , Adv. Math. **182** (2004), no. 1, 28–77.
- [Ful97] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [Fun03] F. Y.C. Fung, *On the topology of components of some Springer fibers and their relation to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory*, Adv. Math. **178** (2003), no. 2, 244–276.

- [GM88] A. M. Garsia and T. J. McLarnan, *Relations between Young's natural and the Kazhdan-Lusztig representations of S_n* , *Advances in Mathematics* **69** (1988), no. 1, 32–92.
- [Gre80] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of GL_n* , *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 830, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [ILW] M.S. Im, C.-J. Lai, and A. Wilbert, *Irreducible components of two-row Springer fibers and Nakajima quiver varieties*.
- [KK99] M. Khovanov and G. Kuperberg, *Web bases for $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ are not dual canonical*, *Pacific J. Math.* **188** (1999), no. 1, 129–153.
- [Kup96] G. Kuperberg, *Spiders for rank 2 Lie algebras*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), no. 1, 109–151.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [Nar89] H. Naruse, *On an isomorphism between Specht module and left cell of S_n* , *Tokyo J. Math.* **12** (1989), no. 2, 247–267.
- [PPR09] T. K. Petersen, P. Pylyavskyy, and B. Rhoades, *Promotion and cyclic sieving via webs*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **30** (2009), no. 1, 19–41.
- [Ram97] A. Ram, *Seminormal representations of Weyl groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **75** (1997), no. 1, 99–133.
- [Rho18] B. Rhoades, *The polytabloid basis expands positively into the web basis*, arXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1808.00445.
- [RT19] H. M. Russell and J. S. Tymoczko, *The transition matrix between the Specht and web bases is unipotent with additional vanishing entries*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2019), no. 5, 1479–1502.
- [Sag01] B. E. Sagan, *The symmetric group*, second ed., *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 203, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions.
- [Spa76] N. Spaltenstein, *The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold*, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **79**=*Indag. Math.* **38** (1976), no. 5, 452–456.
- [Ste88] R. Steinberg, *An occurrence of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence*, *J. Algebra* **113** (1988), no. 2, 523–528.
- [SW12] C. Stroppel and B. Webster, *2-block Springer fibers: convolution algebras and coherent sheaves*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **87** (2012), 477–520.
- [SW19] C. Stroppel and A. Wilbert, *Two-block Springer fibers of types C and D: a diagrammatic approach to Springer theory*, *Math. Z.* **292** (2019), no. 3-4, 1387–1430.
- [Tym12] J. Tymoczko, *A simple bijection between standard $3 \times n$ tableaux and irreducible webs for \mathfrak{sl}_3* , *J. Algebraic Combin.* **35** (2012), no. 4, 611–632.
- [Var79] J.A. Vargas, *Fixed points under the action of unipotent elements of SL_n in the flag variety*, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* **24** (1979), no. 1, 1–14.
- [VO04] A. M. Vershik and A. Yu. Okounkov, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups. II*, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **307** (2004), no. Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 10, 57–98, 281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY, WEST POINT, NY 10996

E-mail address: meeseongim@gmail.com (Im)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260

E-mail address: jieruzhu@buffalo.edu (Zhu)