

On generalized Coulomb-Amontons' law in the context of rigid body dynamics

A.S. Vaganian

*Herzen State Pedagogical University of Russia
48 Moyka Embankment (Naberezhnaya r. Moyki) St. Petersburg, 191186, Russia*

Abstract

A generalization of Coulomb-Amontons' law of dry friction recently proposed by V. V. Kozlov is considered in the context of rigid body dynamics. Universal principles of motion, formulated by V. V. Kozlov are complemented by the condition taking into account the contact nature of dry friction, and applied to several models. For the famous Painleve problem a generalized Coulomb-Amontons' force without singularities, yet such that the friction arises only at the point of contact, is found. Further, by the example of the motion of a rigid ball on a plane with a single point of contact, also considered in the article by V. V. Kozlov, it is shown that these principles are consistent with the well-known equations, studied by G.-G. Coriolis. In case of the ball simultaneously touching two perpendicular planes at two points of contact, it is shown that the support reactions of surfaces do depend on the friction forces. The corresponding equations of motion are derived and analyzed. An exact particular solution that describes a technique used in practice in billiards is obtained.

Keywords: Dry friction, rigid body dynamics, Coulomb-Amontons' law, Painleve paradox

2010 MSC: 70F40

1. Introduction

In article [1] V. V. Kozlov suggested a generalization of Coulomb-Amontons' law of dry friction for constrained Lagrangian systems and applied it to an arbitrary motion of a rigid body on a fixed surface in case, where at any moment the contact between the body and the surface takes place at exactly one point. According to V. V. Kozlov, the generalized Coulomb-Amontons' force of dry friction must meet the following conditions:

- i. Support reaction and dry friction must be mutually orthogonal.

Email address: armay@yandex.ru (A.S. Vaganian)

- ii. Power of the friction force must be non-positive, which means dissipativity of this force.

Here orthogonality is meant in the metrics, defined by the quadratic form of kinetic energy of the rigid body with respect to the generalized velocities. In particular, i implies that the support reaction can be found from the friction-free equations of motion, and the friction force itself is determined by condition i.

In the same article explicit expressions for generalized dry friction forces, that satisfy conditions i and ii are derived. These expressions contain terms, corresponding to the moments of rolling and pivoting friction forces, that in case of a point contact between rigid body and surface imply these forces themselves to be infinitely large.

Thus, in problems where the rigid body and undeformable surface are ultimate idealizations of bodies regularly interacting in a finite region of contact, the model of dry friction proposed by V. V. Kozlov is applicable as it stands, if the size of the region of contact can be neglected. On the other hand, a rigid body in mechanics is a rigorously defined concept, playing its own significant role in problems of great practical and theoretical interest. In order to find out whether the concept of dry friction is applicable to rigid bodies in the strict sense, Painleve formulated in his work [2] the problems known as the *Painleve paradoxes*.

From the above it follows that the moments of rolling and pivoting friction forces must vanish, when strictly considering the motion of a rigid body on a fixed surface with a single point contact. In this regard, see also comments by V. F. Zhuravlev [3].

In the presented work an additional condition complementary to i and ii is suggested, that defines the contact nature and ensures no non-physical infinite forces arise. On examples of the Painleve problem and a problem of motion of a rigid ball on a plane, we find the equations of motion with dry friction, that satisfy the proposed model. Then we study the motion of a rigid ball touching two steady perpendicular planes at two points of contact. It is shown that in this case condition i is not satisfied. We suggest a modification of condition i suitable for the case of multiple points of contact and show that it is equivalent to i for the Painleve problem.

2. Painleve problem

Problem setting and support reaction in the absence of friction. Consider a mechanical system consisting of two material points M_1 and M_2 of mass m_1 and m_2 , connected to each other by a weightless rigid rod of length l (see Fig. 1). Line Ox constraints the motion of point M_1 to half-plane $y \geq 0$. Write down the Lagrange equations in generalized coordinates x, y, θ taking into account condition $y \geq 0$, where x, y are the Cartesian coordinates of point M_1 , and θ is the angle between the rod M_1M_2 and the axis Ox (positive angles measured

counterclockwise).

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x} - lm_2\ddot{\theta} \sin \theta &= lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta, \\
lm_2(\ddot{x} \sin \theta - \ddot{y} \cos \theta - l\ddot{\theta}) &= lm_2g, \\
(m_1 + m_2)\ddot{y} + lm_2\ddot{\theta} \cos \theta &= -(m_1 + m_2)g + lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + \lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where g is the acceleration of gravity, and λ is the Lagrange multiplier, proportional to the absolute value of support reaction: when $y > 0$, there is no contact, hence $\lambda = 0$; contact takes place when $\lambda > 0$ and is possible only for $y = 0$.




Figure 1: Painleve problem

Put y together with derivatives equal to zero, we get the support reaction

$$\lambda = \frac{2m_1((m_1 + m_2)g - lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta)}{2m_1 + m_2(1 + \cos 2\theta)} \tag{2}$$

and contact condition

$$(m_1 + m_2)g - lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta > 0. \tag{3}$$

Painleve paradox. Coulomb-Amontons' law of dry friction for the considered system is defined by the expression

$$F = -\lambda \mu \sigma(\dot{x}), \quad \sigma(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 0, \\ [-1, 1], & x = 0, \\ +1, & x > 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the coefficient of friction, and $[-1, 1]$ on the right-hand side means that $\sigma(0)$ can take any value from this range.

Inclusion of Painleve friction in mechanical system (1) comes down to adding to the right side of the Lagrange equation corresponding to the coordinate x the force F :

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x} - lm_2\ddot{\theta} \sin \theta &= lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta + F, \\
lm_2(\ddot{x} \sin \theta - \ddot{y} \cos \theta - l\ddot{\theta}) &= lm_2g, \\
(m_1 + m_2)\ddot{y} + lm_2\ddot{\theta} \cos \theta &= -(m_1 + m_2)g + lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

Put y together with derivatives equal to zero, we get the multiplier λ :

$$\lambda = \frac{2m_1((m_1 + m_2)g - lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta)}{2m_1 + m_2(1 + \cos 2\theta) + \mu m_2 \sigma(\dot{x}) \sin 2\theta}.$$

As can be seen, for sufficiently large values of the friction coefficient the denominator may turn to zero, leading to infinite values of the support reaction and friction forces, which is devoid of physical sense.

V. V. Kozlov's approach to accounting for friction. Based on conditions i-ii, obtain the corresponding generalized Coulomb-Amontons' force F .

Lemma 1. *The generalized Coulomb-Amontons' force for the Painleve problem, satisfying i-ii, must have the form*

$$F_1 = -\lambda \mu \sigma(p_x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where λ is the same as in (2), $\mu \geq 0$ and p_x denotes the generalized momentum corresponding to Lagrangian variable x :

$$p_x = (m_1 + m_2)\dot{x} - lm_2\dot{\theta} \sin \theta.$$

PROOF. Substitute the vector of force of generic form $F_1 = (F_x, F_\theta, 0)$ into the right-hand side of the system (1):

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x} - lm_2\ddot{\theta} \sin \theta &= lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta + F_x, \\ lm_2(\ddot{x} \sin \theta - \ddot{y} \cos \theta - l\ddot{\theta}) &= lm_2g + F_\theta, \\ (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{y} + lm_2\ddot{\theta} \cos \theta &= -(m_1 + m_2)g + lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where λ is the same as in (2). Solving the resulting system with respect to \ddot{y} , we get

$$\ddot{y} = \frac{\cos \theta (F_x lm_2 \sin \theta + F_\theta (m_1 + m_2))}{lm_1(m_1 + m_2)}.$$

Under the condition of contact (3), \ddot{y} is equal to zero for all x and θ , which is possible only if

$$\frac{F_\theta}{F_x} = -\frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, multiplying scalarly F_1 by the velocity vector, we get the following expression for the power:

$$W_1 = F_x \left(\dot{x} - \frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2} \dot{\theta} \right).$$

From here and from condition ii, F_x must have the form

$$F_x = -\lambda \mu \sigma(p_x),$$

where μ is an arbitrary non-negative function. □

Expression (5) gives the most generic form of the force satisfying i-ii. Condition i eliminates singularities in the expression for λ , that arise in the Painleve problem, and condition ii means that upon friction there is energy dissipation.

On the other hand, for sufficiently large values of $\dot{\theta}$ inequality

$$\dot{x} p_x < 0, \quad (8)$$

holds, which in turn implies the inequality $\dot{x} F_x > 0$, inconsistent with the contact nature of friction.

Condition of contact interaction. A correct model of dry friction for absolutely rigid bodies in case of isotropic surfaces besides i-ii must satisfy one more condition, namely:

- iii. Friction force acting on the body at the point of contact is either opposite-directed to the relative velocity of the point of contact with the surface, or is equal to zero.

Theorem 1. *For the Painleve problem there exists such a generalized Coulomb-Amontons' law of dry friction that satisfies i-iii.*

PROOF. Consider at the right-hand side of the system (1) a force of the form

$$F_2 = -\lambda \mu \sigma(\dot{x}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The corresponding equations of motion have the form

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x} - lm_2\ddot{\theta} \sin \theta &= lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta - \lambda \mu \sigma(\dot{x}), \\ lm_2(\ddot{x} \sin \theta - \ddot{y} \cos \theta - l\ddot{\theta}) &= lm_2g + \lambda \mu \sigma(\dot{x}) \frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2}, \\ (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{y} + lm_2\ddot{\theta} \cos \theta &= -(m_1 + m_2)g + lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations, we can verify that this force meets conditions i and iii. On the other hand, (9) does not satisfy condition ii. Indeed, multiplying F_2 scalarly by vector $(\dot{x}, \dot{\theta}, \dot{y})$, we obtain the power in the form

$$W_2 = -\frac{\lambda \mu \sigma(\dot{x}) p_x}{m_1 + m_2}.$$

Thus, the power turns out to be positive when inequality (8) is satisfied. Note, that the same inequality (8) led to the violation of iii for the force (5).

Let us show that the force of the form

$$F_\Sigma = \frac{F_1 + F_2}{2} = -\frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\sigma(\dot{x}) + \sigma(p_x)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

satisfies conditions i-iii. The equations of motion in this case take the form

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{x} - lm_2\ddot{\theta} \sin \theta &= lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta - \frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\sigma(\dot{x}) + \sigma(p_x)), \\ lm_2(\ddot{x} \sin \theta - \ddot{y} \cos \theta - l\ddot{\theta}) &= lm_2g + \frac{\lambda \mu}{2} (\sigma(\dot{x}) + \sigma(p_x)) \frac{lm_2 \sin \theta}{m_1 + m_2}, \\ (m_1 + m_2)\ddot{y} + lm_2\ddot{\theta} \cos \theta &= -(m_1 + m_2)g + lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta + \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Condition i is verified by direct substitution of λ from (2) into (11). At the same time \ddot{y} turns to zero, whence and from $\lambda > 0$ it follows that the constraint $y = 0$ is met.

Now turn to the conditions ii and iii. If the left-hand side of (8) is greater than zero, i.e. the arguments of the function σ have the same sign, then $F_\Sigma = F_2$ from (9), and the condition iii is met. Now, if (8) takes place, then $F_\Sigma = 0$, which also does not contradict iii.

Power of the force F_Σ equals to

$$W_\Sigma = -\frac{\lambda \mu (\sigma(\dot{x}) + \sigma(p_x)) p_x}{2(m_1 + m_2)}.$$

Hence and from the definition of σ (see (4)), it follows that condition ii is also satisfied. \square

Consider the behaviour of the system when the left-hand side of inequality (8) is zero.

The case where $\dot{x} = 0$ corresponds to the rotation of the rod without sliding. In other words, the point of contact is at rest, and the force F_Σ at the point of contact is the static friction force. Herewith, the minimal friction force must be greater in absolute value than the resultant of the remaining forces. Substituting in (11) $\sigma(\dot{x})$ with parameter η , $|\eta| \leq 1$, putting derivatives of x equal to zero and solving the resulting equations with respect to η and $\ddot{\theta}$, we get

$$\eta = \sigma(lm_2\dot{\theta} \sin \theta) + \frac{2m_2(m_1 + m_2)(l\dot{\theta}^2 - g \sin \theta) \cos \theta}{m_1\mu(g(m_1 + m_2) - lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta)},$$

$$\ddot{\theta} = -\frac{\lambda \cos \theta}{lm_1}.$$

Hence, F_Σ is obtained by the substitution of the found η into (10) instead of $\sigma(\dot{x})$.

For the case of zero momentum p_x , substituting in (11) $\sigma(p_x)$ with parameter ζ , $|\zeta| \leq 1$, and \ddot{x} with $lm_2(\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta + \ddot{\theta} \sin \theta)/(m_1 + m_2)$, we get

$$\zeta = -\sigma(\dot{x}),$$

$$\ddot{\theta} = -\frac{\lambda \cos \theta}{lm_1},$$

which leads to the equality $F_\Sigma = 0$.

Conditions i–iii in aggregate lead to the unexpected effect, when in case of unlike signs of the x -components of the velocity of mass m_1 and the momentum p_x the friction force F_Σ vanishes, even though the support reaction may be non-zero. At the same time, the indicated state is short-term, since the opposite-directional motion of the masses is constrained by the rod length. The absence of friction after a short time is replaced by friction, and this process might repeat. This effect may serve as a model of the well-known phenomenon, when a chalk leaves an intermittent trail on the blackboard, while staying in continuous contact with its surface.

3. Rigid ball on a plane

Consider the problem of motion of a rigid ball on a rigid plane. Herewith, in the absence of deformations of the ball and the surface, all types of friction other than sliding friction are excluded. In the most important from practical point of view isotropic case, the equations of motion of the ball on a plane with friction take the form

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{x} &= \frac{-\lambda\mu(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)}{\sqrt{(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2 + (\dot{y} + R\omega_x)^2}}, & mR\dot{\omega}_x &= \frac{-5\lambda\mu(\dot{y} + R\omega_x)}{2\sqrt{(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2 + (\dot{y} + R\omega_x)^2}}, \\ m\ddot{y} &= \frac{-\lambda\mu(\dot{y} + R\omega_x)}{\sqrt{(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2 + (\dot{y} + R\omega_x)^2}}, & mR\dot{\omega}_y &= \frac{5\lambda\mu(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)}{2\sqrt{(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2 + (\dot{y} + R\omega_x)^2}}, \\ m\ddot{z} &= \lambda - mg, & mR\dot{\omega}_z &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where m is the mass of the ball, R is its radius, (x, y, z) are the coordinates of the center of the ball C , $\omega = (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$ is the vector of angle velocity of the rotation of the ball with center at C , and a holonomic unilateral constraint takes place

$$z - R \geq 0.$$

As follows from (12), with continuous contact, the Lagrange multiplier is equal to $\lambda = mg$.

Theorem 2. *The generalized Coulomb-Amontons' friction force in (12) meets conditions i-iii.*

PROOF. The friction force that enters equations (12) is a special case of forces considered in [1] and hence satisfies conditions i-ii. In addition, this force is proportional with a minus sign to the relative velocity of the ball and the surface at the contact point, and hence automatically meets condition iii. \square

System (12) is well-known and was studied among others by G.-G. Coriolis in his famous book [4]. Particularly, the quantities

$$\dot{x} + \frac{2R}{5}\omega_y, \quad \dot{y} - \frac{2R}{5}\omega_x$$

are first integrals of this system and represent by themselves velocity components of the so-called *upper center of percussion, or oscillation, of the ball*, i.e. point of the ball, located at distance $2/5$ of the radius vertically above the center of the ball (see Fig. 2).




Figure 2: Upper center of percussion

4. Motion of a rigid ball in contact with two perpendicular planes

In case when the bodies touch at one point, according to condition i the Lagrange multiplier is evaluated without taking friction into account. As will be shown, in the case of two or more points of contact this condition is too restrictive. Instead of condition i, unique solvability with respect to the Lagrange multipliers with the account of friction is sufficient.

Equations of motion of the ball along the cushion. Consider equations of motion of the ball on a horizontal half-plane $z = 0$, $y \geq 0$, bounded by a vertical plane $y = 0$. Further, these planes will be referred to as *the table* and *the cushion*. In the absence of contact between the ball and the cushion, we are in the setting of the previously discussed case. For instant contact, we have the ball hit the cushion, again the case previously reviewed in the literature (see, eg. [4]).

Below we consider the case of continuous contact of the ball with the cushion and the table, which is expressed by the conditions $y = R$ and $z = R$. Herewith, we put the first and second derivatives of y and z with respect to t equal to zero. Note, that these conditions should be applied after obtaining the full system of Lagrange equations with friction.

Denote the corresponding Lagrange multipliers by λ_1 and λ_2 , and the coefficients of sliding friction of the ball and the surfaces of cushion and table by μ_1 and μ_2 . Upon continuous contact of the ball with cushion and table, forces of dry friction depend on relative velocities of the ball at points of contact, which leads to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m\ddot{x} &= -\frac{\lambda_2\mu_2(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}} - \frac{\lambda_1\mu_1(\dot{x} + R\omega_z)}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}, \\
 0 &= \lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_2\mu_2R\omega_x}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}}, \\
 0 &= -mg + \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_1\mu_1R\omega_x}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}, \\
 mR\dot{\omega}_x &= -\frac{5}{2}R\omega_x \left(\frac{\lambda_2\mu_2}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}} + \frac{\lambda_1\mu_1}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}} \right), \\
 mR\dot{\omega}_y &= \frac{5}{2} \frac{\lambda_2\mu_2(\dot{x} - R\omega_y)}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}}, \\
 mR\dot{\omega}_z &= -\frac{5}{2} \frac{\lambda_1\mu_1(\dot{x} + R\omega_z)}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From the second and the third equations we obtain the Lagrange multipliers, and consequently normal reactions of the cushion and the table:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_1 &= \frac{mg\mu_2R\omega_x\sqrt{\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}{\mu_1\mu_2\omega_x^2 + \sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}, \\
 \lambda_2 &= \frac{mg\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}{\mu_1\mu_2\omega_x^2 + \sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that without taking into account friction, the expression for λ_1 would turn to 0, and the expression for λ_2 would come down to mg , which is not enough to describe the motions observed in practice (see the next paragraph).

Stability of contacts is determined by the signs of λ_1 and λ_2 : the contact is stable if the corresponding Lagrange multiplier is positive. For λ_2 this is always true, i.e. continuous contact with the table during the motion along the cushion is never violated. For λ_1 the condition of positiveness is equivalent to the inequality $\omega_x > 0$, which corresponds to the rotation pressing the ball to the cushion.

Theorem 3. *The generalized Coulomb-Amontons' friction force in (13) meets conditions ii–iii.*

PROOF. Evaluating power of friction forces from (13), we obtain

$$W = -\lambda_1\mu_1\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2} - \lambda_2\mu_2\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2},$$

which, taking into account the positiveness of λ_i and μ_i , implies condition ii.

Write down the terms corresponding to friction forces in (13):

$$F_1 = -\frac{\lambda_1\mu_1}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} + R\omega_z)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} + R\omega_z \\ 0 \\ -R\omega_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F_2 = -\frac{\lambda_2\mu_2}{\sqrt{R^2\omega_x^2 + (\dot{x} - R\omega_y)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} - R\omega_y \\ R\omega_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Velocities of the ball at the contact points are

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \dot{\mathbf{x}} - [\boldsymbol{\omega} \times R\mathbf{e}_y],$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{x}} - [\boldsymbol{\omega} \times R\mathbf{e}_z],$$

whence it can be seen that the velocities and the corresponding friction forces have opposite directions, which implies iii. \square

Substituting the obtained expressions for λ_1 and λ_2 into the system (13) and applying the change of variables

$$\omega_x = \Omega_x, \quad \omega_y = \Omega_y + \frac{\dot{x}}{R}, \quad \omega_z = \Omega_z - \frac{\dot{x}}{R},$$

we find the final equations of motion of the ball along the cushion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x} &= -\frac{g\mu_2(\mu_1\Omega_x\Omega_z - \Omega_y\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}{\mu_1\mu_2\Omega_x^2 + \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2}\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2}}, \\
\dot{\Omega}_x &= -\frac{5g\mu_2\Omega_x(\mu_1\Omega_x + \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}{2R(\mu_1\mu_2\Omega_x^2 + \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2}\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}, \\
\dot{\Omega}_y &= \frac{g\mu_2(2\mu_1\Omega_x\Omega_z - 7\Omega_y\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}{2R(\mu_1\mu_2\Omega_x^2 + \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2}\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}, \\
\dot{\Omega}_z &= -\frac{g\mu_2(7\mu_1\Omega_x\Omega_z - 2\Omega_y\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})}{2R(\mu_1\mu_2\Omega_x^2 + \sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2}\sqrt{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2})},
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It can be easily seen, that the function

$$J = 9\dot{x} + 2R(\Omega_y - \Omega_z) = 5\dot{x} + 2\omega_y - 2\omega_z \tag{15}$$

is an integral for this system. Thus, the problem comes down to solving the system of the last three equations of (14).

Integral (15) has the mechanical sense of the x -component of velocity of the upper side point of the ball, located at distance $2\sqrt{2}/5$ of the radius from its center along the line, perpendicular to the intersection of the supporting planes and passing through the center of the ball. In this regard, this point could be referred to as *the upper side center of percussion, or oscillation, of the ball* (see Fig. 3).




Figure 3: Upper side center of percussion

The "Frenchman" stroke in billiards. We will look for a solution of system (14) such that

$$\Omega_y = \eta\Omega_x, \quad \Omega_z = \zeta\Omega_x \quad (\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{16}$$

Finding the time derivative of (16) using (14), we arrive at the equations for η and ζ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2} - 5\mu_1)\eta - 2\mu_1\zeta &= 0, \\
(5\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2} - 2\mu_1)\zeta + 2\eta\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

These equations have the following solutions:

1. $\eta = 0, \zeta = 0$. This case corresponds to rolling of the ball along the cushion without sliding at both points of contact.
2. $\eta = \pm 2\sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}, \zeta = \mp\sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}$. In order for these solutions to correspond to real motion, the friction coefficient of the ball and the cushion must satisfy the inequality $\mu_1 \geq 0.5$. The upper sign corresponds to a slow translational motion of the ball along the cushion with a fast rotation, and the lower to

the slow rotation with fast translational motion. Herewith, the direction of vector $(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z)$ does not change until the moment $T > 0$, when the spin (called *english* in billiards) pressing the ball to the cushion disappears due to friction and the ball does not go over to the rolling regime described previously.

3. $\eta = \pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\mu_1^2 - 4}$, $\zeta = \mp \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mu_1^2 - 4}$. In order for these solutions to correspond to real motion, the friction coefficient of the ball and the cushion must satisfy the inequality $\mu_1 \geq 2$. In practice, such large friction coefficients do not arise, at least in billiards, thence we will not stop on consideration of this case.

Write down explicit dependencies of velocities on time for the solution 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= v_0 \pm \frac{5\mu_2 g t \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{16\mu_1^2 - 3} + \mu_2}, \\
\omega_x(t) &= \omega_{x,0} - \frac{15\mu_2 g t}{2R(2\sqrt{16\mu_1^2 - 3} + \mu_2)}, \\
\omega_y(t) &= \frac{v_0}{R} \pm 2 \left(\omega_{x,0} - \frac{5\mu_2 g t}{R(2\sqrt{16\mu_1^2 - 3} + \mu_2)} \right) \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}, \\
\omega_z(t) &= -\frac{v_0}{R} \mp \left(\omega_{x,0} - \frac{5\mu_2 g t}{2R(2\sqrt{16\mu_1^2 - 3} + \mu_2)} \right) \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= v_0 \pm \frac{2}{3} R \omega_{x,0} \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}, \\
\omega_x(t) &= 0, \\
\omega_y(t) &= \frac{v_0}{R} \pm \frac{2}{3} \omega_{x,0} \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}, \\
\omega_z(t) &= -\frac{v_0}{R} \mp \frac{2}{3} \omega_{x,0} \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1},
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [T, +\infty)$, where v_0 and $\omega_{x,0}$ are the initial values of the longitudinal velocity of the ball center and side spin, and

$$T = \frac{2\omega_{x,0}R(\mu_2 + 2\sqrt{16\mu_1^2 - 3})}{15\mu_2 g}.$$

The initial values $\omega_{y,0}$ and $\omega_{z,0}$ are obtained by substituting $t = 0$ into (17):

$$\omega_{y,0} = \frac{v_0}{R} \pm 2\omega_{x,0} \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}, \quad \omega_{z,0} = -\frac{v_0}{R} \mp \omega_{x,0} \sqrt{4\mu_1^2 - 1}.$$

The equations obtained describe one of the most recognizable techniques in Russian pyramid, called the "*Frenchman*". A similar, but slightly different in execution, stroke occurs in artistic pool and is sometimes called "*Rocket masse*".

5. Conclusion

Let us formulate the principles of accounting for friction forces in equations of motion, that are consistent with the generalized Coulomb-Amontons' law of dry friction and do not lead to non-physical consequences for strictly rigid bodies, at least in the cases considered above:

- I. Equations of motion including constraints and friction forces must be uniquely solvable with respect to the Lagrange multipliers.
- II. Total power of friction forces must be non-positive.
- III. At each contact point the scalar product of friction force by relative velocity of the body must be non-positive.

Theorem 4. *For the Painleve problem, principle I implies i.*

PROOF. Put in (6) $F_x = \lambda Q_x$ and $F_\theta = \lambda Q_\theta$. Taking into account the constraint $y = 0$, letting $\ddot{y} = 0$, and solving the resulting equations with respect to λ , we get

$$\lambda = \frac{2m_1l((m_1 + m_2)g - lm_2\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta)}{l(2m_1 + m_2(1 + \cos 2\theta) - m_2Q_x \sin 2\theta) - 2(m_1 + m_2)Q_\theta \cos \theta}.$$

Without restrictions on θ , Q_x and Q_θ , the denominator can turn to zero, unless (7) is satisfied, when the terms including Q_x and Q_θ mutually annihilate each other. Hence, solvability of the system (6) with respect to λ implies (7). On the other hand, from lemma 1 it follows that (7) is equivalent to i, which proves our statement. \square

References

- [1] V. V. Kozlov, Lagrangian mechanics and dry friction, *Rus. J. Nonlin. Dyn.* 6 (4) (2010) 855–868, in Russian. doi:10.20537/nd1004009.
- [2] P. Painlevé, *Leçons sur le frottement*, A. Hermann, Paris, 1895, in French. ark:/13960/t44q8b537.
- [3] V. F. Zhuravlev, Comments on "Lagrangian mechanics and dry friction" by V. V. Kozlov, *Rus. J. Nonlin. Dyn.* 7 (1) (2011) 147–149, in Russian. doi:10.20537/nd1101008.
- [4] G.-G. Coriolis, *Théorie mathématique des effets du jeu de billard*, Carilian-Goeury, Paris, 1835, in French. ark:/12148/bpt6k29318f.