Synchronization and estimation of gravity-induced time difference for quantum clocks
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It has recently been reported [PNAS 114, 2303 (2017)] that, under an operational definition of time, quantum clocks would get entangled through gravitational effects. Here we study an alternative scenario: the clocks have different masses and energy gaps, which would produce time difference via gravitational interaction. The proposal of quantum clock synchronization for the gravity-induced time difference is discussed. We illustrate how the stability of measurement probability in the quantum clock synchronization proposal is influenced by the gravitational interaction induced by the clock themselves. It is found that the precision of clock synchronization depends on the energy gaps of the clocks and the improvement of precision in quantum metrology is in fact an indicator of entanglement generation. We also present the quantum enhanced estimation of time difference and find that the quantum Fisher information is very sensitive to the distance between the clocks.

INTRODUCTION

The present quantum clocks are conventionally considered as ideal objects since the flow of time isn’t affect by nearby clocks [1]. This assumption is artificial because the clocks are not treated as physical entities. However, according to general relativity, the picture of spacetime assigns each quantum clock a worldline [2, 3]. Therefore, gravitational effects of the clocks cannot be ignored and the flow of time according to one clock is indeed influenced by the presence of clocks along nearby worldlines [4, 5]. Furthermore, realistic quantum clocks, which consist of microscopic particles such as atoms, should obey the theory of quantum mechanics [6, 7]. Recently, Castro-Ruiz et al. found that the clocks necessarily get entangled through gravitational interactions if time is defined operationally [8]. Marletto et al. [9] proposed a scheme to detect the generated entanglement between two masses via gravitational interactions. In addition, quantum entanglement is found to be significant at energy scales which exist naturally in sub-atomic particle bound states [10].

As showed in [8], the clock’s precision is inversely proportional to the energy difference between the eigenstates and each energy eigenstate of the clock corresponds to a particular strength of gravitational field. According to general relativity, different energy gaps lead to different time dilation in the clocks. Therefore, the time records may be different in the clocks because they experience different mass-induced gravitational field background. In other words, the quantum clocks would become unsynchronized if the masses and energy gaps of the clocks are not identical. From this perspective the study of clock synchronization for gravity-induced time difference will give some new insights in relativistic quantum information, which is an interdiscipline of quantum physics and general relativity.

In this paper we study how to synchronize gravity-induced time difference via a quantum clock synchronization (QCS) proposal and the estimation of the gravity-induced time difference. The QCS plays an important role in modern society and scientific research such as fundamental physics, astronomy and navigation [11, 12]. In addition, the synchronization schemes based on quantum mechanics can gain significant improvements in precision over their classical counterparts [13–25]. Unlike previous QCS protocols, our quantum clocks are two-level particles in the superposition of energy eigenstates and gravitational effects only originate from the clock themselves. We present how the precision of QCS is affected by the energy gaps of the clocks and the distance between the clocks. We also employ the method of quantum metrology to enhance the estimation of the gravity-induced time difference. It is found that the precision for the estimation of time difference depends on energy gaps. In addition, the improvement of precision in quantum metrology is found to be an indicator for the generation of quantum correlation.

The outline of the paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we introduce the evolution of two clocks under gravitational interactions. In Sec. III, we study the two-party QCS for the gravity-induced time difference. The quantum enhanced estimation of time difference between two clocks is studied in Sec. IV. We make a conclusion in the last section.
THE EVOLUTION OF QUANTUM CLOCK UNDER THE GRAVITATIONAL INTERACTION

A system with a superposition of energy eigenstates can be considered as a quantum clock. The simplest case of a clock is a two-level particle which follows an approximately static semiclassical trajectory, which has approximately zero momentum with respect to the far away observer. The clocks are required to be point like because only in this case one can assign each quantum clock a world-line. In addition, the clock (particle)’s spacetime has a non-fixed metric background when the quantum mechanical superposition of energy eigenstates is considered [8, 27].

The model of the present paper is based on the following assumptions [8]: (i) the general relativistic mass-energy equivalence which implies gravitational interaction between the clocks; (ii) the quantum mechanical superposition of energy eigenstates which leads to a non-fixed metric background. The whole mass-energy contribution to the gravitational field can be interpreted as a sum of static mass and internal energy (dynamical mass) corresponding to the energy of the internal degrees of freedom [8]. It is worthy noting that the gravitational effect of space-time background is not considered in the present model. In fact, the dynamical mass is of relativistic nature, which arises from the gravitational interaction between the clocks. The clocks would become unsynchronized because the masses and energy gaps of the clocks are not identical. Therefore, precision of quantum clock synchronization is influenced by the gravitational interaction induced by the clock themselves.

We implement the mass energy equivalence by considering the composite particles are emerged from the interaction of two scalar fields $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ [8, 27]. The Lagrangian density of the scalar field is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \left( \sum_A g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \varphi_A)(\partial_\nu \varphi_A) + M_{AB}^2 \varphi_A \varphi_B \right),$$

where $g$ is the determinant of the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and $M_{AB}$ is the symmetric matrix in which the fields $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are coupled [8, 27]. For the sake of simplicity, we employ natural units ($c = \hbar = 1$) in this part. The eigenvalues of $M_{AB}$ can be denoted by $m$ and $m + \Delta E$. In general relativity, there is fundamentally no difference between mass and interaction energy. Therefore, the distinction between the static mass and the dynamical mass depends on the energy scale with which the system is probed. In this sense, the matrix $M_{AB}$ includes both the static mass ($m$) contribution and the internal energy (or dynamical mass with eigenvalue $\Delta E$) contribution. In the weak field limit [8, 27], the non-fixed metric background of the particle reads

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi(x))dt^2 + dx \cdot dx,$$

where $\Phi$ is the gravitational potential. Then the Hamiltonian is obtained via the Legendre transformation, which is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left( \sum_A (\pi_A + (\nabla \varphi_A)^2) + \sum_{AB} M_{AB}^2 \varphi_A \varphi_B \right).$$

To quantize the field, we diagonalize the matrix $M_{AB}$ and write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \int d^3x \Phi \left( \pi_A^2 + (\nabla \varphi_A)^2 + \rho_A^2 \right),$$

where $\Psi_A = \sum_B C_{AB} \varphi_B$, $p_A = \text{the moment conjugate to } \Psi_A$, and $C_{AB}$ is the matrix diagonalizing $M_{AB}$. By employing the slow velocity approximation of the fields and Fourier-expanding $\Psi$ and $p_A$, we obtain $\Psi_A(x) \approx -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho}} (\chi_A(x) + \chi_A^\dagger(x))$ and $p_A(x) \approx i \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\pi}} (\chi_A(x) - \chi_A^\dagger(x))$, where

$$\chi_A(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^3k \epsilon_{ijkl} p_{A \ell} e^{-ik \cdot x} \delta_{\ell k},$$

$\Phi$ obeys the Poisson equation

$$\sum_A \int d^3x \partial_\mu \varphi_A \partial^\mu \varphi_A = \sum_A \int d^3x \partial_\mu \varphi_A \partial^\mu \varphi_A,$$

where $\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\pi}} \chi_A(x) - \chi_A^\dagger(x)$.

The gravitational potential $\Phi$ obeys the equation $\nabla^2 \Phi = -4\pi \rho$, where $\rho$ is the energy density of the matter field. Solving the Poisson equation under the gravitational potential $\Phi(x) = -G \int d^3x \frac{\rho(x)}{|x - x'|}$ and inserting the solution into [27], one obtains the normal-ordered Hamiltonian for the clock particle

$$H = \sum_{AB} \int d^3x \left( M_{AB} \phi_A \phi_B - \frac{1}{2} M_{AB}^2 \nabla^2 \phi_B \right) - G \sum_{ABCD} \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{M_{AB} \phi_A \phi_B (M_{CD} \phi_C \phi_D)}{|x - x'|},$$

where $\phi_A = \sum_B C_{AB}^\dagger \chi_B$ and $\rho(x) = \sum_{AB} M_{AB} \phi_A^\dagger \phi_B$ is the mass-energy density of the field. However, it is not a well-defined operator since it involves the product of two field operators at the same point which induces divergencies. This requires a suitable regularization procedure and leads to a renormalisation of the mass [16], which is

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(x) = \sum_{AB} \int d^3x f_{\delta}(x - x') M_{AB} \phi_A^\dagger (x') \phi_B (x').$$

This expression $f_{\delta}$ is a normalized positive function, which reduces to $f_{\delta}(x) \rightarrow \delta^3(x)$ when the regularization parameter $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

To obtain the evolution of the two-particle state in the Fock space, we calculate the matrix element

$$\langle \xi(1), \eta(1) | H | \xi(2), \eta(2) \rangle,$$

where

$$\langle \xi(1), \eta(1) | H | \xi(2), \eta(2) \rangle = 2^{-1/2} \sum_{AB} \int d^3x d^3x' \xi_A(x) \psi_B (x') \phi_A^\dagger (x') \phi_B (x') |0\rangle,$$

is a two-particle state for $i = 1, 2$, and $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuo of the field. Then the two particle Hamiltonian is found to be

$$\hat{H} = \hat{M}_r \otimes I + I \otimes \hat{M}_{rcn} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{M}^2 \otimes I + \frac{1}{2} \hat{M} \otimes \hat{M}^2 \otimes I + \frac{1}{2} \hat{M} \otimes \hat{M}^2 \otimes I,$$

where $\hat{M}_r = \hat{M} - (\pi \delta^2)^{-1/2} G \hat{M}^2$ is the renormalized mass matrix and $\langle \xi | M | \eta \rangle = \sum_{AB} \int d^3x \xi_A(x) M_{AB} \eta_B (x).$ By
 projecting it in the corresponding subspace, one can obtain the Hamiltonian for an arbitrary number of particles.

The whole mass-energy contribution to the gravitational field can be interpreted as a sum of static mass and internal energy (dynamical mass), which corresponds to the energy of the internal degrees of freedom $M \to \dot{H}_i/c^2$ with $i = A, B$. According to relativistic mass-energy equivalence, the dynamical mass is of a purely relativistic nature, and arises from the interaction between the clocks. In the low velocity limit, the kinetic part since equivalence, the dynamical mass is of a purely relativistic interaction. If the clocks have identical mass and units, the evolution of the whole system at time $t$ according to the far away observer $C$ is found to be

$$\hat{H}_{\text{tot}} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B - G \frac{\hat{H}_A \hat{H}_B}{r_A - r_B},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

We assume that the initial state of the clocks is an uncorrelated state and the clocks are fixed at a static background

$$|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle_{AB} = |\psi\rangle_A \otimes |\psi\rangle_B,$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $|\psi\rangle_{A(B)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_{A(B)} + |1\rangle_{A(B)})$. Employing the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (4) and releasing the natural units, the evolution of the whole system at time $t$ according to the far away observer $C$ is found to be

$$|\psi_f(t)\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \left( |0\rangle_A |0\rangle_B + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} |0\rangle_A |1\rangle_B \right.$$  

$$+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t} |0\rangle_A e^{-\frac{i}{2\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} (1 - \frac{G \Delta E_1}{c^2}) |0\rangle_B \right.$$  

$$+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} |1\rangle_A |0\rangle_B \right) \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

which shows that the clocks get entangled through gravitational interaction. If the clocks have identical mass and energy gaps, the final state Eq. (6) for the clocks is consistent with Eq. (5) in [3]. In the previous equation, the following facts are used: $\hat{H}_A |0\rangle_A = E_0 |0\rangle_A$, $\hat{H}_A |1\rangle_A = E_1 |1\rangle_A$ and $\hat{H}_B |0\rangle_B = E_0 |0\rangle_B$, $\hat{H}_B |1\rangle_B = E_2 |1\rangle_B$. For convenience, we have put $E_0 = 0$, therefore the energy gaps can be defined as $\Delta E_1 = E_1 - E_0 = E_1$ and $\Delta E_2 = E_2 - E_0 = E_2$. In fact, Eq. (6) describes the evolution of clock $A$ and clock $B$ under the time coordinate $t$ of the observer $C$. Since the entire system is observed by the far away observer $C$, the time coordinate $t$ of the observer $C$ is selected as the time-line [3].

It is worth to mention that the picture studied here is different from [3] because the energy gaps of the clocks are not identical to each other, which leads to different dynamic mass and different metric background. That is to say, the time of each clock is changed due to the un-fixed spacetime metric arising from nearby clocks. Based on the assumptions that both hold in this situation, we can safely conclude that the evolution of clock $A$ is different from clock $B$ at excited state due to gravitational interaction. In other words, different energy gaps for the clocks leads to different time dilation. Therefore, clock $A$ does not synchronize with clock $B$ anymore and clock synchronization is required in this situation.

**QUANTUM CLOCK SYNCHRONIZATION FOR GRAVITY-INDUCED TIME DIFFERENCE**

In this section, we present the QCS protocol between clock $A$ and clock $B$ when the initial state of the clocks is given by Eq. (5). The main task of clock synchronization is to determine the time difference between two spatially separated clocks. We assume that clock $A$ is the standard clock, while clock $B$ need to be synchronized due to the gravity-induced time dilation. In addition, Alice and Bob arrange a starting time $\tau$ of their measurements at the beginning of the evolution. Since they do not have a pair of synchronized clocks to start with, they have to start the measurement at the arranged proper time $\tau(i = A, B)$ relative to the time reading of their local clocks, which are different due to the gravitational interaction. To synchronize the clocks, we adopt the dual basis $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and $|\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ as the measurement basis, which can be obtained from $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ through the Hadamard transformation. Then the total system has following form

$$\rho_{AB}(t) = \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} |\beta|^2 & \beta \alpha^* & \beta \eta^* & \beta \gamma^* \\ \alpha \beta^* & |\alpha|^2 & \alpha \eta^* & \alpha \gamma^* \\ \eta \beta^* & \eta \alpha^* & |\eta|^2 & \eta \gamma^* \\ \gamma \beta^* & \gamma \alpha^* & \gamma \eta^* & |\gamma|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

in the $|++, |+-, |+-, |--$ basis, where

$$\alpha = (1 + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t}),$$  

$$\beta = (1 + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t} + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t}),$$  

$$\eta = (1 - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t}),$$  

$$\gamma = (1 - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} - e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t} + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_1 t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta E_2 t}),$$

and

$$\Delta E'_2 = \Delta E_2 (1 - \frac{G \Delta E_1}{c^2}).$$

From the viewpoint of the observer $C$, the entire system evolves under the time coordinate $t$. To find out the time difference, we assume that the observer $C$ observes the entire system far from the clocks, while Alice and Bob measure their own local quantum system. After measuring each qubit at proper time $\tau_A = \tau$, Alice publishes the results of her measurement. It’s worth noting that clock $A$ and clock $B$ measure the system according to their own proper time. However, Bob’s proper time is changed by the gravitational effects induced by the mass of Alices’ clock since the energy gap of the clocks is not identical to each other. In other words, it would be a time difference $\tau_B - \tau_A = \delta$ between the proper time $\tau_A$ and the
ties in Eq. (9) can be rewritten as Planck energy. Without loss of generality, the probability of his local measurements.

proper time $\tau_B$. After Alice’s measurement, Bob’s state immediately collapses to

$$\rho_B(\tau_B = \tau) = \frac{1}{12 + 4 \cos(\zeta \delta)} \left( |\zeta|^2 \frac{\zeta \kappa^*}{|\kappa|^2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\zeta = (2 + e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_2 \delta} + e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_2 \delta})$, $\kappa = (2 - e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_2 \delta} - e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta E_2 \delta})$ and $\zeta = \frac{G \Delta E_2 \delta p}{\hbar}$ normalizes the final density operator. Therefore, the probability for Bob measuring $|+\rangle$ or $|-\rangle$ at time $\tau_B = \tau$ are

$$P_B(|\pm\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \zeta_1 \left( \cos \left( \frac{\Delta E_2 \delta}{\hbar} \right) + \cos \left( \frac{\Delta E_2 \delta}{\hbar} \right) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \cos(\zeta \delta)}$. This allows us to estimate the time difference $\delta$ between the clocks after the gravitational interaction. Then the information of $\delta$ is exposed by the observable probabilities and Bob can adjust his clock accordingly.

Considering the interaction distance of quantum effect in the gravitational field is exceptionally small, we can employ the dimensionless Planck units to develop a quantitative study. To this end we define $\delta_p = \delta / t_p$, $\varepsilon = \Delta E / E_p$, $\xi = x / l_p$, where $l_p = \sqrt{\hbar G / c^3}$ is the Planck length, $t_p = l_p / c$ is the Planck time and $E_p = h / t_p$ is the Planck energy. Without loss of generality, the probabilities in Eq. (9) can be rewritten as

$$P_B(|\pm\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \zeta_2 \left( \cos(\varepsilon_2 \delta p) + \cos((\varepsilon_2 - \zeta) \delta p) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\zeta_2 = (3 + \cos(\frac{\xi \varepsilon \delta p}{2}))^{-1}$. It is not difficult to recognize that the term $\cos((\varepsilon_2 - \zeta) \delta p)$ is induced by the gravitational interaction. In the limit of $\zeta \rightarrow 0$, which corresponds to the limit of the distance between the clocks is much longer than the Planck length, the measurement probabilities for clock $B$ became $P_B(|\pm\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \cos(\varepsilon_2 \delta p)$. This result is consistent with the measurement probabilities in flat spacetime [13, 22, 23].

In Fig. (1), we show the measurement probability $P_B$ with respect to variations of energy gap $\varepsilon_1$ for different distances. The measurement probability $P_B$ are found to be periodic oscillation with increasing energy gap of the clock $A$. That is to say, the stability of the QCS proposal is influenced by the gravitational interaction induced by the clock themselves. In addition, the period of oscillation increases with increasing distance between Alice and Bob, which means that the magnitude of the gravitational field reduced by the mass of clock $A$ inevitably influences the performance of QCS. It is not difficult to infer that if clock $A$ is very far away from clock $B$, the measurement probability would tend to a steady value. This indicates that the probability of clock synchronization is not affected by the negligible gravitational interaction in this case.

**QUANTUM METROLOGY AND THE ESTIMATION OF THE TIME DIFFERENCE**

Quantum metrology aims to estimate a parameter with higher precision than classical approaches by using quantum approaches [29]. For a given measurement scheme, the ultimate limit on the variance of the errors for the parameter $\theta$ is bounded by the Cramér-Rao inequality [30, 51] $Var(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{n F_Q(\theta)}$, where $n$ is the number
for different interaction distances. It is shown that the equality can be obtained, such that ∆ can see that the precision of quantum parameter estimation for the time difference depends to energy gap ε2 of the clocks. It was found in 3 that quantum entanglement is generated by the gravitational interaction induced by the masses of the clocks. Therefore, the improvement of precision in quantum metrology is in fact an indicator of entanglement generation in a relativistic setting. On the other hand, the increase of quantum Fisher information verifies the fact that the precision of quantum metrology can be enhanced by quantum resources such as entanglement. In addition, the quantum Fisher information is found to be very discriminable for different distances between two clocks. It is worthy noting that the quantum Fisher information doesn’t have significant changes for larger distances, which indicates that effects of gravitational interaction induced by the mass of the clocks is very sensitive to the distance.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied the time difference induced by gravitational interaction and the protocol of QCS for two spatially separated clocks with different energy gaps. It is shown that the stability of the QCS proposal is influenced by the gravitational interaction induced by the clock themselves. In case clock A is very far away from clock B, the measurement probability tends to steady, which means that the probability of clock synchronization is not affected by gravitational interaction in this situation. We also present how the precision of clock synchronization is affected by the gravitational interaction. The precision for the estimation of time difference depends to energy gaps and the improvement of precision in quantum metrology is in fact an indicator for the generation of quantum correlations. In addition, the quantum Fisher information is found to be very discriminable for different distances between two clocks and it doesn’t have a significant change for larger distances.
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