

TENSOR STRUCTURES IN THE THEORY OF MODULUS PRESHEAVES WITH TRANSFERS

KAY RÜLLING, RIN SUGIYAMA AND TAKAO YAMAZAKI

ABSTRACT. The tensor product of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves with transfers introduced by Voevodsky is generalized to reciprocity sheaves via the theory of modulus presheaves with transfers. We prove several general properties of this construction and compute it in some cases. In particular we obtain new (motivic) presentations of the absolute Kähler differentials and the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Products of modulus pairs	5
3. Monoidal structures on modulus presheaves with transfers	11
4. Lax monoidal structure for reciprocity sheaves	16
5. Applications	36
5a. The case of homotopy invariant sheaves	36
5b. Comparison with the K-group of reciprocity functors	39
5c. Tensors of additive groups	40
5d. Tensors of the additive - with the multiplicative group	49
5e. Twists of reciprocity sheaves	53
5f. Some applications to zero-cycles with modulus	55
References	58

Date: February 2, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F42 (19E15, 19D45).

Key words and phrases. Suslin homology with modulus, non-homotopy invariant motive, Somekawa K -group.

The first author is supported the DFG Heisenberg Grant RU 1412/2-2. The second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (16K17579). The third author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (18K03232).

1. INTRODUCTION

The tensor structure on Voevodsky's category **HI** of homotopy invariant presheaves with transfers can be generalized to reciprocity sheaves. We study some properties of this construction.

1.1. Let **Sm** be the category of smooth separated varieties over a perfect base field k , and let **Cor** be the category of finite correspondences. Denote by **PST** the category of presheaves with transfers, i.e., additive functors $\mathbf{Cor}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, and by **HI** the full subcategory of **PST** consisting of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant presheaves. Any $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, has a maximal \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quotient denoted by $h_0(F) \in \mathbf{HI}$. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, we write $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X) := \mathbf{Cor}(-, X)$ for the presheaf with transfers represented by X , and set $h_0(X) := h_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X))$. The group $h_0(X)(\mathrm{Spec} k)$ agrees with the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ if X is proper. There exists a tensor structure $\otimes^{\mathbf{PST}}$ on **PST** characterized by right exactness and

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X) \otimes^{\mathbf{PST}} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X \times Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}.$$

By definition $F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}} G := h_0(F \otimes^{\mathbf{PST}} G)$ for $F, G \in \mathbf{HI}$. In this way **HI** becomes a symmetric monoidal category and it holds that

$$(1.1.1) \quad h_0(X) \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}} h_0(Y) \cong h_0(X \times Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}.$$

For example, by the work of many authors we have for every regular local k -algebra R

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}(R) \cong \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n))(R) = K_n^M(R),$$

where \mathbb{G}_m is the multiplicative group, $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ is Voevodsky's motivic complex, and K_n^M is Milnor K -theory. Furthermore, $F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}} G(k)$ can be explicitly described in terms of generators and relations in the style of Somekawa's K -groups, see [KY13].

1.2. The generalization of $\otimes^{\mathbf{HI}}$ is based on the theory of *modulus pairs*, developed in [KMSYa, KMSYb, KSY]. Recall that a modulus pair $M = (\overline{M}, M^\infty)$ is a pair of a separated k -scheme of finite type and an effective Cartier divisor M^∞ on \overline{M} such that $M^\circ := \overline{M} \setminus M^\infty$ is smooth over k (see §2.2 for details). We say M is *proper* if \overline{M} is. We have the categories **MSm** and **MCor** at our disposal whose objects are proper modulus pairs (see §2.11). Denote by **MPST** the category of additive functors $\mathbf{MCor}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ and by **CI** its full subcategory of \square -invariant presheaves, where $\square = (\mathbb{P}^1, (\infty))$. For $M, N \in \mathbf{MSm}$ we put

$$(1.2.1) \quad M \otimes N := (\overline{M} \times \overline{N}, \overline{M} \times N^\infty + M^\infty \times \overline{N}).$$

Any $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$ admits a maximal quotient $h_0^\square(F)$ that belongs to **CI**. For each $M \in \mathbf{MSm}$, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M)$ the object of **MPST** represented by M , and set $h_0^\square(M) := h_0^\square(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M))$. As we assumed \overline{M} is proper, $h_0^\square(M)(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset)$ is isomorphic to the *Chow group with modulus* $\text{CH}_0(M)$, introduced by Kerz-Saito [KS16] and studied by many others [Iwa19, KM18, KP17b, Miy19, RS18].

There exists a tensor structure \otimes on **MPST** characterized by the right exactness and,

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M \otimes N), \quad M, N \in \mathbf{MSm}.$$

By [KSY, Proposition 2.1.9], $F \overset{\text{CI}}{\otimes} G := h_0^\square(F \otimes G)$ defines a symmetric monoidal structure on **CI**. Consider the functor

$$\omega : \mathbf{MCor} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}, \quad \omega(\overline{M}, M^\infty) = \overline{M} \setminus M^\infty.$$

It induces $\omega^* : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{MPST}$ by pre-composition with ω , and $\omega_! : \mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ by the left Kan extension. The essential image of $\omega_!$ agrees with the full category **RSC** of **PST** consisting of $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ having *SC-reciprocity*, introduced in [KSY] (see §3.13).

In this article we study the local sections of

$$h_0(F \otimes G) := \omega_! h_0^\square(F \otimes G),$$

for certain $F, G \in \mathbf{MPST}$. Our first technical main result is a presentation in terms of generators and relations of $h_0(F \otimes G)(K)$ for any k -field K , see Theorem 4.9. This result allows us to compute explicitly the local sections of $h_0(F \otimes G)$ in several cases. For example, using that for $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$ the Nisnevich - and the Zariski sheafification agree, see [KSY, Corollary 3.2.2], Theorem 5.14 and Theorem 5.19, give the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Set $\mathbb{G}_a^\# := \ker(h_0^\square(\mathbb{P}^1, 2(\infty)) \rightarrow h_0^\square(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset) = \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{G}_m^\# := \ker(h_0^\square(\mathbb{P}^1, (0) + (\infty)) \rightarrow h_0^\square(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset))$. Let R be a regular local k -algebra.*

(1) *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2$. Then*

$$h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(R) \cong (R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) / I_{\Delta_R}^2 \cong R \oplus \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^1,$$

where I_{Δ_R} is the kernel of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow R$, $a \otimes b \rightarrow ab$.

(2) *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2, 3, 5$. Then*

$$h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(R) \cong \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^n,$$

where $\Omega_{-/\mathbb{Z}}^n$ denotes the absolute Kähler differentials of degree n .

As a corollary we obtain for any field K of $\text{ch}(K) \neq 2$

$$\text{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}_K^1 \times \mathbb{P}_K^1, 2(\mathbb{P}_K^1 \times (\infty) + (\infty) \times \mathbb{P}_K^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus K^{\oplus 3} \oplus \Omega_{K/\mathbb{Z}}^1.$$

1.4. The sheaves $\mathbb{G}_a^\#$ and $\mathbb{G}_m^\#$ from Theorem 1.3 are lifts to **CI** of \mathbb{G}_a and \mathbb{G}_m , respectively, in the sense that

$$\omega_! \mathbb{G}_a^\# = \mathbb{G}_a, \quad \omega_! \mathbb{G}_m^\# = \mathbb{G}_m.$$

On the other hand, there is also a functorial way to lift any $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$ to **CI**. Indeed, the restriction of $\omega_!$ to **CI** has a right adjoint $\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} : \mathbf{RSC} \rightarrow \mathbf{CI}$ and we have $\omega_! \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F = F$. We obtain functors

$$\mathbf{RSC}^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}, \quad (F_1, \dots, F_n) \mapsto h_0(\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F_n).$$

We don't know whether this defines a symmetric monoidal structure on **RSC** since associativity is not clear; see Corollaries 4.18 and 4.21 for something in this direction. However, the sheaf on the right has a universal property in the sense that it represents certain multi-linear maps, see Theorem 4.17. Using this we get the natural maps in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (cf Theorems 5.3, 5.8, 5.15, 5.20). *Let R be a regular local k -algebra.*

(1) *Assume $F_i \in \mathbf{HI}$, then*

$$h_0(\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F_n)(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F_1^{\mathbf{HI}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\mathbf{HI}})(R).$$

In the following we assume $\mathrm{ch}(k) = 0$.

(2) *Let A be an abelian variety and $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$, then*

$$h_0(\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} A \otimes \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F)(R) = 0.$$

(3)

$$h_0(\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_a \otimes \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_a)(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} (R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R) / I_{\Delta_R}^2.$$

(4)

$$h_0(\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_a \otimes (\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_m)^{\otimes n})(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^n.$$

All the isomorphisms above can be enhanced to isomorphisms in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$ the category of Nisnevich sheaves in **RSC**. An important ingredient in the proofs is Saito's result that Nisnevich sheafification preserves **RSC**, which generalizes the analogue statement for **HI** by Voevodsky, see [Sai]. Another key point is the injectivity property of reciprocity sheaves proved in [KSY16], which implies that a morphism between reciprocity sheaves is an isomorphism if it is so on all function fields. Computations from [RS] are used to define the natural maps in the above theorem.

We want to stress, that (3) and (4) are not true in positive characteristic. For example, if $\mathrm{ch}(k) = p > 0$ the left hand side in (3) vanishes for $R = k$ (see Corollary 5.17); also in this case $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ acts on the left hand side in (4), but it does not on the right hand side.

As an application of these methods, we obtain that certain higher Chow groups of zero-cycles with modulus condition defined via either the *sum* or the *ssup* convention do agree, see Corollary 5.28.

1.6. We are going to study another tensor structure $\underline{\otimes}$ on \mathbf{MPST} . This arises as follows. Recall that we employed the monoidal structure on \mathbf{MSm} defined by (1.2.1). Unlike the case of \mathbf{Sm} , this is *not* a (categorical) product. As we will see in Proposition 2.9, there does exist a product, which we denote by $\underline{\otimes}$. This in turn induces another tensor structure $\underline{\otimes}$ on \mathbf{MPST} . Although it does not behave very well with \mathbf{CI} (see Remark 2.10), we will also explore this second tensor product. For instance, we will prove an analogue of the Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 for $\underline{\otimes}$. We also show, that on function fields we get in this way the K -groups of reciprocity functors from [IR17] back, see Theorem 5.7. In fact 1.5(2) is a consequence of this and the analogous result for the K -groups of reciprocity functors from [RY14].

Acknowledgment. Part of this work was done while the first author was a visiting professor at the TU München. He thanks Eva Viehmann for the invitation and the support. The project started when the third author was visiting Freie Universität Berlin. He thanks their hospitality and support.

Many of the results in §2 and §3 are obtained in the collaboration of the third author with Bruno Kahn and Shuji Saito. He would like to acknowledge special thanks to them.

2. PRODUCTS OF MODULUS PAIRS

We fix once and for all a perfect field k .

2.1. Let \mathbf{Sch} be the category of separated schemes of finite type over k . Denote by \mathbf{Sm} the full subcategory of \mathbf{Sch} consisting of smooth schemes over k . Both \mathbf{Sch} and \mathbf{Sm} have finite products given by the (scheme-theoretic) fiber product over k . We write them simply by \times (instead of \times_k). For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ and $Y \in \mathbf{Sch}$, an integral closed subscheme of $X \times Y$ that is finite and surjective over a component of X is called a prime correspondence from X to Y . The category \mathbf{Cor} of finite correspondences has the same objects as \mathbf{Sm} , and for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$ the group of morphisms $\mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$ is the free abelian group on the set of all prime correspondences from X to Y . We consider \mathbf{Sm} as a subcategory of \mathbf{Cor} by regarding a morphism in \mathbf{Sm} as its graph in \mathbf{Cor} . The product \times on \mathbf{Sm} yields a symmetric monoidal structure on \mathbf{Cor} .

2.2. We recall the definition of the category \mathbf{MCor} from [KMSYa, Definition 1.3.1]. A pair $M = (\overline{M}, M^\infty)$ of $\overline{M} \in \mathbf{Sch}$ and an effective Cartier divisor M^∞ on \overline{M} such that $M^\circ := \overline{M} \setminus |M^\infty| \in \mathbf{Sm}$ is called a modulus pair. Let M, N be modulus pairs and $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Cor}(M^\circ, N^\circ)$ be a prime

correspondence. Let $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \bar{M} \times \bar{N}$ be the closure of $\Gamma \subset M^\circ \times N^\circ$, and let $\bar{\Gamma}^N \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ be the normalization. We say Γ is admissible if $M^\infty|_{\bar{\Gamma}^N} \geq N^\infty|_{\bar{\Gamma}^N}$, where $(-)|_{\bar{\Gamma}^N}$ denotes the pull-back of a Cartier divisor to $\bar{\Gamma}^N$. We say Γ is left proper if $\bar{\Gamma}$ is proper over \bar{M} . Let $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M, N)$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{Cor}(M^\circ, N^\circ)$ generated by all admissible left proper prime correspondences. The category $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ has modulus pairs as objects and $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M, N)$ as the group of morphisms from M to N .

2.3. We recall the category $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ from [KMSYa, Definition 1.3.2]. It has the same objects as $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, and the morphisms are intersection of those of $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ and \mathbf{Sm} . This means that for modulus pairs M, N , a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ is given by a morphism $f^\circ : M^\circ \rightarrow N^\circ$ in \mathbf{Sm} such that its graph $\Gamma_{f^\circ} \in \mathbf{Cor}(M^\circ, N^\circ)$ is admissible and left proper. We regard $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ as a subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$.

2.4. We have functors

(2.4.1)

$$\lambda : \mathbf{Cor} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}, \lambda(X) = (X, \emptyset); \quad \underline{\omega} : \underline{\mathbf{MCor}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}, \underline{\omega}(M) = M^\circ,$$

and λ is a left adjoint of $\underline{\omega}$ [KMSYa, Lemma 1.5.1]. They restrict to a corresponding adjoint pair

(2.4.2)

$$\lambda : \mathbf{Sm} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}, \lambda(X) = (X, \emptyset); \quad \underline{\omega} : \underline{\mathbf{MSm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sm}, \underline{\omega}(M) = M^\circ.$$

2.5. There is a symmetric monoidal structure \otimes on $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ given by

$$(2.5.1) \quad (\bar{M}, M^\infty) \otimes (\bar{N}, N^\infty) = (\bar{M} \times \bar{N}, M^\infty \times \bar{N} + \bar{M} \times N^\infty).$$

which is used in [KSY]. All of the functors in (2.4.1) and (2.4.2) are monoidal with respect to \otimes and \times . However, \otimes is *not* a product in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. (For instance, the diagonal map on M° is not admissible for $M \rightarrow M \otimes M$ unless $M^\infty = \emptyset$.) Nevertheless, it is shown in [KMSYa, Corollary 1.10.8] that the category $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ has finite products, and it yields another symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, see Proposition 2.9 below. (See also Remark 2.10 for a drawback.) For this, we need a preparation.

2.6. Let M be a modulus pair. A *modulus replacement* of M is a morphism $f : M' \rightarrow M$ in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ such that (i) $f^\circ : M'^\circ \rightarrow M^\circ$ is an isomorphism, (ii) f° extends to a proper morphism $\bar{f} : \bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{M}$ in \mathbf{Sch} , and (iii) $M'^\infty = \bar{f}^* M^\infty$.

Lemma 2.7. (1) Any modulus replacement $f : M' \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$.

- (2) Let $f : M' \rightarrow M$ be a modulus replacement and let $N \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. Then, a prime correspondence $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Cor}(M^\circ, N^\circ)$ defines an element of $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M, N)$ if and only if $\Gamma' := (f^\circ \times \text{id}_{N^\circ})^{-1}(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{Cor}(M'^\circ, N^\circ)$ belongs to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M', N)$.
- (3) Let M, N be modulus pairs and let $g^\circ : M^\circ \rightarrow N^\circ$ be a morphism in \mathbf{Sm} . Suppose that the closure $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \bar{M} \times \bar{N}$ of the graph of g° is proper over \bar{M} . Then there is a modulus replacement $f : M' \rightarrow M$ such that $g'^\circ := g^\circ \circ f^\circ : M'^\circ \rightarrow N^\circ$ extends to a morphism $\bar{g}' : \bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{N}$ in \mathbf{Sch} . Moreover, g° defines a morphism $g : M \rightarrow N$ in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ if and only if g'° defines a morphism $g' : M' \rightarrow N$ in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$.

Proof. The closure of the graph $\Gamma_{(f^\circ)^{-1}}$ of $(f^\circ)^{-1} : M^\circ \rightarrow M'^\circ$ in $\bar{M} \times \bar{M}'$ is the transpose ${}^t\Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ of the graph of $\bar{f} : \bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{M}$, which is proper over \bar{M} as \bar{f} is. The condition (iii) in the definition of modulus replacement immediately shows that $\Gamma_{(f^\circ)^{-1}}$ is admissible as well. Thus $(f^\circ)^{-1}$ defines the inverse of f in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. This proves (1), and (2) is an immediate consequence.

We prove (3). Let $\bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ be the normalization. The composition $\bar{f} : \bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \bar{M} \times \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is a proper morphism restricting to an isomorphism $f^\circ : \bar{f}^{-1}(M^\circ) \cong M^\circ$. Hence it defines a modulus replacement $f : M' = (\bar{M}', \bar{f}^* M^\circ) \rightarrow M$, and $g^\circ \circ f^\circ$ extends to a morphism $\bar{g}' : \bar{M}' \rightarrow \bar{N}$ in \mathbf{Sch} . This proves the first statement. The rest follows from (2). \square

2.8. Take $M_1, M_2 \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. Let $\pi : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_1 \times \bar{M}_2$ be the blow-up along $M_1^\infty \times M_2^\infty$. Define closed subschemes E, D_1 and D_2 of \bar{M} as the exceptional divisor, the strict transform of $M_1^\infty \times \bar{M}_2$, and that of $\bar{M}_1 \times M_2^\infty$, respectively. They can be locally described as follows. Suppose $\bar{M}_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ and M_i^∞ is defined by the ideal generated by $t_i \in A_i$. Then $\bar{M} = \text{Spec } R_1 \cup \text{Spec } R_2$, where

$$R_1 = A_1 \otimes A_2[u]/(t_1 \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes t_2)) \text{ and } R_2 = A_1 \otimes A_2[v]/(1 \otimes t_2 - v(t_1 \otimes 1)),$$

and patching is given by $uv = 1$. The divisor E is defined by the ideals generated by $1 \otimes t_2 \in R_1$ and $t_1 \otimes 1 \in R_2$. Similarly, D_1 (resp. D_2) is defined by $u \in R_1$ and $1 \in R_2$ (resp. $1 \in R_1$ and $v \in R_2$). From this description, we see that D_i are effective Cartier divisors and that

$$(2.8.1) \quad |D_1| \cap |D_2| = \emptyset, \quad \bar{p}_i^* M_i^\infty = E + D_i,$$

where $\bar{p}_i : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}_i$ is the canonical map. Since E is also a Cartier divisor, we can define

$$(2.8.2) \quad M_1 \underline{\otimes} M_2 := (\bar{M}, E + D_1 + D_2) \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}.$$

Put $M := M_1 \underline{\otimes} M_2$. Note that \bar{p}_i defines a morphism in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$

$$(2.8.3) \quad p_i : M \rightarrow M_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, the blow-up π defines a modulus replacement

$$M' := (\overline{M}, 2E + D_1 + D_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} M_1 \otimes M_2.$$

Since $M'^\infty \geq M^\infty$, the identity map on $M_1^\circ \times M_2^\circ$ defines a canonical morphism

$$(2.8.4) \quad M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \underline{\otimes} M_2.$$

This is an isomorphism if and only if $M_1^\infty = \emptyset$ or $M_2^\infty = \emptyset$. Note also that this becomes an isomorphism in \mathbf{Sm} after applying $\underline{\omega}$ (2.4.2).

We now prove that the category $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ has finite products. Part (1) of the following proposition is obtained in [KMSYa, Corollary 1.10.8], but we include its proof to keep this article self-contained.

Proposition 2.9. (1) Let $M_1, M_2 \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ and let $M = M_1 \underline{\otimes} M_2$ be the object defined in (2.8.2). Then M is a categorical product of M_1, M_2 in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. In particular, $\underline{\otimes}$ is bifunctorial in M_1 and M_2 .
 (2) Let $M_i, N_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, $\Gamma_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M_i, N_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and let $M := M_1 \underline{\otimes} M_2$, $N := N_1 \underline{\otimes} N_2$. Then $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \in \mathbf{Cor}(M_1^\circ \times M_2^\circ, N_1^\circ \times N_2^\circ)$ belongs to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M, N)$. Consequently, $\underline{\otimes}$ yields a symmetric monoidal structure on $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$.
 (3) All of the functors in (2.4.1) and (2.4.2) are monoidal with respect to $\underline{\otimes}$ and \times .

Proof. (1) Take $N \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ and $f_i : N \rightarrow M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$. We must show that there exists a unique $f : N \rightarrow M$ such that $f_i = p_i \circ f$, where p_i is from (2.8.3). By construction, we find the functor $\underline{\omega}$ from (2.4.2) commutes with $\underline{\otimes}$ and \times (as it should be, since $\underline{\omega}$ has a left adjoint λ , and $\underline{\otimes}$ will be seen to be a product in $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$). Hence f should be given by the product map $f^\circ : N^\circ \rightarrow M_1^\circ \times M_2^\circ$ of f_1° and f_2° , showing uniqueness.

Now we turn to the existence. Let $\overline{\Gamma} \subset \overline{N} \times \overline{M}$ be the closure of the graph of $f^\circ := f_1^\circ \times f_2^\circ : N^\circ \rightarrow M_1^\circ \times M_2^\circ$. Let $\overline{\Gamma}_i$ be the closure of the graph of $f_i^\circ : N^\circ \rightarrow M_i^\circ$ in $\overline{N} \times \overline{M}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\Gamma}_1 \times \overline{\Gamma}_2 \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \overline{N} \times \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\delta \times \pi} & \overline{N} \times \overline{N} \times \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{N} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \overline{N} \times \overline{N}, \end{array}$$

where $\pi : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2$ is the blow-up and $\delta : \overline{N} \rightarrow \overline{N} \times \overline{N}$ is the diagonal closed immersion. The right winding arrow is proper because $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ are proper over \overline{N} . We conclude that $\overline{\Gamma}$ is proper over \overline{N} .

Therefore, by Lemma 2.7, we may assume that $f^\circ := f_1^\circ \times f_2^\circ : N^\circ \rightarrow M_1^\circ \times M_2^\circ$ extends to a morphism $\overline{f} : \overline{N} \rightarrow \overline{M}$. In particular, $f_i^\circ : N^\circ \rightarrow M_i^\circ$ extends to a morphism $\overline{f}_i : \overline{N} \rightarrow \overline{M}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. The admissibility of f_i means that

$$N^\infty \geq \overline{f}_i^* M_i^\infty = \overline{f}^* \overline{p}_i^* M_i^\infty = \overline{f}^* E + \overline{f}^* D_i,$$

where the last equality follows from (2.8.1). This proves the desired inequality

$$(2.9.1) \quad N^\infty \geq \overline{f}^* E + \overline{f}^* D_1 + \overline{f}^* D_2 = \overline{f}^* M^\infty$$

restricted to $\overline{M} \setminus |\overline{f}^* D_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$, but we have $|\overline{f}^* D_1| \cap |\overline{f}^* D_2| = \emptyset$ by (2.8.1), hence (2.9.1) holds on the whole \overline{M} . This completes the proof of (1).

(2) We may suppose Γ_i are prime correspondences. Let $\overline{\Gamma}_i$ (resp. $\overline{\Gamma}$) be the closure of Γ_i (resp. $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$) in $\overline{M}_i \times \overline{N}_i$ (resp. $\overline{M} \times \overline{N}$). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\Gamma}_1 \times \overline{\Gamma}_2 \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \overline{M} \times \overline{N} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M \times \pi_N} & \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2 \times \overline{N}_1 \times \overline{N}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2 \end{array}$$

(The diagram is completed by two curved arrows: one from $\overline{\Gamma}$ to \overline{M} and another from $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \times \overline{\Gamma}_2$ to $\overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2$.)

where $\pi_M : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2$ and $\pi_N : \overline{N} \rightarrow \overline{N}_1 \times \overline{N}_2$ are the blow-up maps. By assumption $\overline{\Gamma}_i$ are proper over \overline{M}_i , hence so is the right winding arrow. It follows that the left winding arrow is proper too.

Let $\overline{\Gamma}^N$ be the normalization of $\overline{\Gamma}$ and let $\nu : \overline{\Gamma}^N \rightarrow \overline{M} \times \overline{N}$ be the canonical map. It remains to prove

$$(2.9.2) \quad \nu^*(M^\infty \times \overline{N}) \geq \nu^*(\overline{M} \times N^\infty).$$

Let $\overline{\Gamma}_i^N$ be the normalization of $\overline{\Gamma}_i$. The composition map $\overline{\Gamma}^N \rightarrow \overline{M} \times \overline{N} \rightarrow \overline{M}_i \times \overline{N}_i$ factors through $\mu_i : \overline{\Gamma}^N \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_i^N$. By assumption, we have $\nu_i^*(M_i^\infty \times \overline{N}_i) \geq \nu_i^*(\overline{M}_i \times N_i^\infty)$, where $\nu_i : \overline{\Gamma}_i^N \rightarrow \overline{M}_i \times \overline{N}_i$ is the canonical

map. Using a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \overline{\Gamma}^N & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \overline{M} \times \overline{N} & \xrightarrow{\pi_M \times \pi_N} & \overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2 \times \overline{N}_1 \times \overline{N}_2 \\
 \mu_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{p}_i & \swarrow q_i & \\
 \overline{\Gamma}_i^N & \xrightarrow{\nu_i} & \overline{M}_i \times \overline{N}_i & &
 \end{array}$$

where q_i is the projection and $\overline{p}_i = q_i \circ (\pi_M \times \pi_N)$, we obtain

$$(2.9.3) \quad \nu^* \overline{p}_i^*(M_i^\infty \times \overline{N}_i) \geq \nu^* \overline{p}_i^*(\overline{M}_i \times N_i^\infty) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

We write D_1^M , D_2^M and E^M for the strict transform of $M_1^\infty \times \overline{M}_2$, that of $\overline{M}_1 \times M_2^\infty$ and the exceptional divisor, respectively. They are effective Cartier divisors on \overline{M} , and we have $M^\infty = D_1^M + D_2^M + E^M$. We use similar notations for D_1^N , D_2^N , $E^N \subset \overline{N}$. Then we have $\overline{p}_i^*(M_i^\infty \times \overline{N}_i) = (E^M + D_i^M) \times \overline{N}$ and $\overline{p}_i^*(\overline{M}_i \times N_i^\infty) = \overline{M} \times (E^N + D_i^N)$. Hence (2.9.3) can be rewritten as

$$(2.9.4) \quad \nu^*((E^M + D_i^M) \times \overline{N}) \geq \nu^*(\overline{M} \times (E^N + D_i^N)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, we also have $|D_1^M| \cap |D_2^M| = |D_1^N| \cap |D_2^N| = \emptyset$. Hence it suffices to show (2.9.2) after restricting to

$$U_{i,j} := \nu^{-1}((\overline{M} \setminus |D_i^M|) \times (\overline{N} \setminus |D_j^N|)) \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2.$$

Suppose first $(i, j) = (1, 1)$. We have

$$M^\infty|_{\overline{M} \setminus |D_1^M|} = (E^M + D_2^M)|_{\overline{M} \setminus |D_1^M|}, \quad N^\infty|_{\overline{N} \setminus |D_1^N|} = (E^N + D_2^N)|_{\overline{N} \setminus |D_1^N|}.$$

Hence (2.9.2) on U_{11} follows from (2.9.4) for $i = 2$. The case $(i, j) = (2, 2)$ is shown in the same way. Suppose now $(i, j) = (2, 1)$. We have

$$M^\infty|_{\overline{M} \setminus |D_2^M|} = (E^M + D_1^M)|_{\overline{M} \setminus |D_2^M|} \geq E^M|_{\overline{M} \setminus |D_2^M|}.$$

The left hand side of (2.9.4) for $i = 2$ restricts to $\nu^*(E^M \times \overline{N})$ on U_{21} . Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu^*(M^\infty \times \overline{N})|_{U_{21}} &\geq \nu^*(E^M \times \overline{N})|_{U_{21}} \\
 &\geq \nu^*(\overline{M} \times (E^N + D_2^N))|_{U_{21}} = \nu^*(\overline{M} \times N^\infty)|_{U_{21}},
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The case $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ is shown in the same way. (2) is proved. (3) is obvious. \square

Remark 2.10. Let $\overline{\square} := (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty) \in \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ so that $\overline{\square}^\circ = \mathbb{A}^1$. The map $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, (x, y) \mapsto xy$ is *not* admissible for $\overline{\square} \otimes \overline{\square} \rightarrow \overline{\square}$. In particular, the interval structure on \mathbb{A}^1 used in [MV99] cannot be lifted to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ with respect to the monoidal structure \otimes . On the other hand, the same map is admissible for $\overline{\square} \boxtimes \overline{\square} \rightarrow \overline{\square}$, and it yields such a lift with respect to \boxtimes . This is the main advantage of \boxtimes over \otimes .

2.11. Recall from [KMSYa, Definition 1.1] that a modulus pair M is called proper if \overline{M} is. Denote by \mathbf{MSm} and \mathbf{MCor} the full subcategory of $\underline{\mathbf{MSm}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ consisting of proper modulus pairs, respectively. Our construction of $\underline{\otimes}$ from (2.8.2) restricts to a product on \mathbf{MSm} and to a symmetric monoidal structure on \mathbf{MCor} . (The construction of \otimes from (2.5.1) restricts to symmetric monoidal structures on \mathbf{MSm} and \mathbf{MCor} as well.) We use the same letter τ

$$(2.11.1) \quad \tau : \mathbf{MSm} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MSm}}, \quad \tau : \mathbf{MCor} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$$

for the inclusion functors, which are thus monoidal for both \otimes and $\underline{\otimes}$. It follows that $\omega := \underline{\omega}\tau$ is monoidal for both (\otimes, \times) and $(\underline{\otimes}, \times)$:

$$(2.11.2) \quad \omega : \mathbf{MSm} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sm}, \quad \omega : \mathbf{MCor} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}.$$

3. MONOIDAL STRUCTURES ON MODULUS PRESHEAVES WITH TRANSFERS

After recalling known facts on the extension of a monoidal structure on a category to the category of modules over it we shall apply these result to $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Cor}, \mathbf{MCor}$ and \mathbf{MCor} to obtain tensor products of (modulus) presheaves with transfers.

3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category with a symmetric monoidal structure \bullet , and let $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ be the abelian category of all additive functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$. There exists a symmetric monoidal structure \bullet on $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ (unique up to equivalence) such that the (additive) Yoneda functor $y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ is monoidal, and such that \bullet is right exact (see, e.g., [KY13, A8, A9]). This comes equipped with a bilinear map

$$(3.1.1) \quad \bullet : F(A) \times F'(A') \rightarrow (F \bullet F')(A \bullet A'),$$

for $F, F' \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ and $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$, described as follows. We identify $a \in F(A)$ with a morphism $a : y(A) \rightarrow F$ by Yoneda's lemma, and similarly for $a' \in F'(A')$. Then $a \bullet a' \in (F \bullet F')(A \bullet A')$ is given by

$$y(A \bullet A') = y(A) \bullet y(A') \xrightarrow{a \bullet a'} F \bullet F'.$$

3.2. One can compute $F \bullet F'$ for $F, F' \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ by taking exact sequences of the form

$$(3.2.1) \quad \bigoplus_j y(B_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i y(A_i) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\bigoplus_{j'} y(B'_{j'}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i'} y(A'_{i'}) \rightarrow F' \rightarrow 0,$$

and then taking a quotient (see [Voe00, §3.2], [KY13, A10])

$$(3.2.2) \quad F \bullet F' =$$

$$\text{Coker} \left(\bigoplus_{i,j'} y(A_i \bullet B'_{j'}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i',j} y(B_j \bullet A'_{i'}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,i'} y(A_i \bullet A'_{i'}) \right).$$

As a consequence, for each $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a canonical bijection (see [SV00, Lemma 2.1])

$$(3.2.3) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})}(F \bullet F', G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}(F, F'; G),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(F, F'; G)$ is the set of all families of bilinear maps

$$(\phi_{A,A'} : F(A) \times F'(A') \rightarrow G(A \bullet A'))_{A,A' \in \mathcal{A}}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(3.2.4) \quad (f \bullet \text{id}_{A'})^* \phi_{A,A'} = \phi_{B,A'}(f^* \times \text{id}_{A'}^*), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } A, A', B \text{ and} \\ f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, A); \end{array}$$

$$(3.2.5) \quad (\text{id}_A \bullet f')^* \phi_{A,A'} = \phi_{A,B'}(\text{id}_A^* \times f'^*), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } A, A', B' \text{ and} \\ f' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B', A'). \end{array}$$

3.3. The monoidal structure \bullet on $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed, that is, $(-) \bullet F$ has a right adjoint $\underline{\text{Hom}}(F, -)$, for all $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$. For a representable object, it is given by

$$(3.3.1) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(y(A), F')(B) = F'(A \bullet B), \quad A, B \in \mathcal{A}, F' \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}).$$

For general $F, F' \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$, we set

$$(3.3.2) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(F, F') = \ker \left(\prod_i \underline{\text{Hom}}(y(A_i), F') \rightarrow \prod_j \underline{\text{Hom}}(y(B_j), F') \right),$$

where we used the resolution (3.2.1). It is straightforward to see that this construction yields a well-defined right adjoint $\underline{\text{Hom}}(F, -)$ of $(-) \bullet F$.

3.4. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be additive categories and let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. We have the left Kan extension $f_! : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{B})$ of f through the Yoneda embeddings. This is a left adjoint of the (exact) functor $f^* : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ given by $f^*(G)(A) = G(f(A))$.

Suppose now that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are equipped with symmetric monoidal structures, and that $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is monoidal. Then $f_! : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{B})$ is monoidal (see [KY13, A12]).

3.5. The standard closed symmetric monoidal structure on the category of presheaves with transfers $\mathbf{PST} := \text{Mod}(\mathbf{Cor})$ is obtained by applying the general machinery in §3.1 to $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Cor}$ and $\bullet = \times$ from §2.1. We denote

it by $\overset{\text{PST}}{\otimes}$, or simply by \otimes when no confusion can occur. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, we use the standard notation $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X) = y(X)$ for the Yoneda embedding.

3.6. Let us apply the results of §3.1 to $\mathcal{A} = \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ from §2.2. The category $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}} := \text{Mod}(\underline{\mathbf{MCor}})$ of modulus presheaves with transfers carries two closed symmetric monoidal structures $\otimes = \overset{\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}}{\otimes}$ and $\underline{\otimes} = \overset{\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}}{\underline{\otimes}}$, which are deduced by \otimes from §2.5 and by $\underline{\otimes}$ from (2.8.2), respectively. For $M \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, we write $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) = y(M) \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ for the Yoneda embedding. The left Kan extension of $\underline{\omega}$ from (2.4.1)

$$(3.6.1) \quad \underline{\omega}_! : \underline{\mathbf{MPST}} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}$$

is monoidal with respect to both $(\overset{\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}}{\otimes}, \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\otimes})$ and $(\overset{\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}}{\underline{\otimes}}, \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\underline{\otimes}})$. It is a localization and is exact, as it has both left and right adjoints (see [KMSYa, Proposition 2.3.1]).

Lemma 3.7. *There is a natural transformation of bifunctors $\Psi : \otimes \rightarrow \underline{\otimes}$ which is compatible with (2.8.4) through the Yoneda embedding. Moreover, for any $F, F' \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ we have*

$$\underline{\omega}_!(\text{Coker}(\Psi_{F, F'} : F \otimes F' \rightarrow F \underline{\otimes} F')) = 0.$$

Proof. We are reduced to the case $F = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M)$, $F' = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M')$ for $M, M' \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ by §3.2. Then the morphism (2.8.4) yields an injective morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M), \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(N)} : \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(N) &= \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M \otimes N) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M \underline{\otimes} N) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) \underline{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(N) \end{aligned}$$

in $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ with desired property $\underline{\omega}_!(\text{Coker}(\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M), \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(N)})) = 0$. \square

3.8. Similarly, we apply §3.1 to $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{MCor}$ from §2.11 to obtain two closed symmetric monoidal structures $\otimes = \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes}$ and $\underline{\otimes} = \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\underline{\otimes}}$ on $\mathbf{MPST} := \text{Mod}(\mathbf{MCor})$. The functor $\tau : \mathbf{MCor} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ from (2.11.1) induces a functor

$$(3.8.1) \quad \tau_! : \mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MPST}},$$

which is monoidal for both $(\overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes}, \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes})$ and $(\overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\underline{\otimes}}, \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\underline{\otimes}})$. It is also exact by [KMSYa, Proposition 2.4.1]. Hence the same holds for the left Kan extension

$$(3.8.2) \quad \omega_! = \underline{\omega}_! \tau_! : \mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST},$$

of $\omega : \mathbf{MCor} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cor}$ from (2.11.2). We use the same notation $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) = y(M) \in \mathbf{MPST}$ for the Yoneda embedding of $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$.

3.9. We say $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$ is $\overline{\square}$ -invariant if $p^* : F(M) \rightarrow F(M \otimes \overline{\square})$ is an isomorphism for any $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$, where $\overline{\square} := (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty) \in \mathbf{MCor}$ and $p : M \otimes \overline{\square} \rightarrow M$ is the projection. Let \mathbf{CI} be the full subcategory of \mathbf{MPST} consisting of all $\overline{\square}$ -invariant objects. Recall from [KSY, Theorem 2.1.8] that \mathbf{CI} is a Serre subcategory of \mathbf{MPST} , and that the inclusion functor $i^{\overline{\square}} : \mathbf{CI} \rightarrow \mathbf{MPST}$ has a left adjoint $h_0^{\overline{\square}}$ and a right adjoint h_0^0 :

$$(3.9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h_0^{\overline{\square}}(F)(M) &= \text{Coker}(i_0^* - i_1^* : F(M \otimes \overline{\square}) \rightarrow F(M)), \\ h_0^0(F)(M) &= \text{Hom}(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(M), F), \end{aligned}$$

for $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$ and $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$. Here $i_\epsilon : (\text{Spec } k, \emptyset) \rightarrow \overline{\square}$ denotes the embedding with image ϵ , for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that $h_0^{\overline{\square}}$ is right exact and a localization. We write $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(M) := h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M))$ for $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$.

Remark 3.10. We stress that the monoidal structure involved here is \otimes and not $\underline{\otimes}$. Indeed, if we simply replace \otimes by $\underline{\otimes}$ in the above discussion, then we may loose the $\overline{\square}$ -invariance of $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(F)$, because $\overline{\square}$ does not admit an interval structure with respect to $\underline{\otimes}$ (see Remark 2.10).

Remark 3.11. In [KSY, Proposition 2.1.9], it is proved that \mathbf{CI} has a symmetric monoidal structure $\otimes = \overset{\mathbf{CI}}{\otimes}$ for which $h_0^{\overline{\square}}$ is monoidal. In particular, for $F, F' \in \mathbf{CI}$, one can compute $F \otimes F'$ by taking $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{F}' \in \mathbf{MPST}$ such that $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\widetilde{F}) = F$ and $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\widetilde{F}') = F'$ (one may simply take $\widetilde{F} = i^{\overline{\square}}(F)$, $\widetilde{F}' = i^{\overline{\square}}(F')$), and then

$$(3.11.1) \quad F \overset{\mathbf{CI}}{\otimes} F' = h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\widetilde{F} \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes} \widetilde{F}').$$

It is also closed:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{CI}}(F, F') = h_0^0(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{MPST}}(F, F')).$$

Unfortunately, a similar construction for $\underline{\otimes}$ does not work, since our definition of \mathbf{CI} is based on \otimes .

Lemma 3.12. *We have*

$$h_0^{\overline{\square}}(F \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes} G) \cong h_0^{\overline{\square}}(F \overset{\mathbf{MPST}}{\otimes} h_0^{\overline{\square}}(G)), \quad F, G \in \mathbf{MPST}.$$

Proof. This follows, e.g., from the fact that (3.11.1) is well-defined. \square

3.13. We define $h_0(F) := \omega_!(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(F)) \in \mathbf{PST}$, for $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$, and $h_0(M) := h_0(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M))$, for $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ (see (3.8.2), (3.9.1)). It comes equipped with a canonical surjection $\omega_!(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M)) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M^\circ) \twoheadrightarrow h_0(M)$. We say $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ has *SC-reciprocity* if for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$ and $a \in F(X)$, there exists a *modulus* M , i.e., $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ such that $M^\circ = X$ and the Yoneda map $a : \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X) \rightarrow F$ defined by a factors through $h_0(M)$.

We define **RSC** to be the full subcategory of **PST** consisting of objects having SC-reciprocity. It is an abelian subcategory of **PST**. Denote by $i^\sharp : \mathbf{RSC} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ the inclusion functor, which is exact and has a right adjoint $\rho : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}$. For $F \in \mathbf{PST}$, the counit map $i^\sharp \rho F \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism if and only if $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$ (see [KSY, Remark 2.2.5, Proposition 2.2.6, Corollary 2.2.7]).

3.14. Recall from [KSY, Proposition 2.3.7] that $\omega_!$ from (3.8.2) restricts to an exact localization functor

$$(3.14.1) \quad \omega_{\mathbf{CI}} : \mathbf{CI} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}.$$

Thus we obtain a functor

$$(3.14.2) \quad h_0 : \mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}, \quad F \mapsto h_0(F) = \omega_!(h_0^\square(F)).$$

Note that by the exactness and monoidality of $\omega_!$, the natural surjection $F \rightarrow h_0^\square(F)$, for $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$, induces a natural surjection

$$(3.14.3) \quad (\omega_! F_1) \otimes^{\mathbf{PST}} \dots \otimes^{\mathbf{PST}} (\omega_! F_n) \twoheadrightarrow h_0(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n),$$

where $F_i \in \mathbf{MPST}$ and $\bullet \in \left\{ \otimes^{\mathbf{MPST}}, \underline{\otimes}^{\mathbf{MPST}} \right\}$.

The functor $\omega_{\mathbf{CI}}$ has a right adjoint $\omega^{\mathbf{CI}} : \mathbf{RSC} \rightarrow \mathbf{CI}$, and the counit map $\omega_{\mathbf{CI}} \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{RSC}}$ is an isomorphism. Concretely, for $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$ and $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ we have

$$(3.14.4) \quad \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F(M) = \{a \in F(M^o) \mid a \text{ has modulus } M\}.$$

For brevity we set

$$(3.14.5) \quad \tilde{F} := \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} F \in \mathbf{CI}, \quad F \in \mathbf{RSC}.$$

3.15. For a proper modulus pair $M = (\overline{M}, M_\infty) \in \mathbf{MCor}$, we denote by $\text{CH}^i(M; j)$ the Chow group with modulus introduced in [BS]. When \overline{M} is of pure dimension d , we also write $\text{CH}_0(M) := \text{CH}^d(M) := \text{CH}^d(M; 0)$. Let K be a k -field, then have an isomorphism

$$\text{CH}^d(M_K) \cong h_0^\square(M)(K),$$

where $M_K = (\overline{M} \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } K, M_\infty \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } K)$, see [RY16, 3.5 (3)].

3.16. Let **HI** be the full subcategory of **PST** consisting of $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ such that $p^* : F(X) \rightarrow F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is an isomorphism for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, where $p : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is the projection. We have **HI** \subset **RSC**, by [KSY, Corollary 2.3.4].

The inclusion functor $i^\flat : \mathbf{HI} \rightarrow \mathbf{PST}$ has a left adjoint

$$(3.16.1) \quad h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} : \mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{HI}, \quad F \mapsto \text{Coker}(i_0^* - i_1^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{A}^1), F) \rightarrow F)$$

with the similar notation as (3.9.1). We write $h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) := h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X))$ for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. The symmetric monoidal structure $\otimes = \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}}$ is defined in such a way that $h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ becomes monoidal:

$$F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}} G = h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}((i^{\flat} F) \otimes^{\mathbf{PST}} (i^{\flat} G)), \quad F, G \in \mathbf{HI}.$$

3.17. Let $\mathbf{NST} \subset \mathbf{PST}$ be the category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers, and define $\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} := \mathbf{HI} \cap \mathbf{NST}$, $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} := \mathbf{RSC} \cap \mathbf{NST}$. Recall that the inclusion functor $\mathbf{PST} \rightarrow \mathbf{NST}$ admits a left adjoint $F \mapsto F_{\text{Nis}}$. We will need the following important results.

Theorem 3.18 ([Voe00, Theorem 3.1.12], [Sai, Theorem 0.1]).

- (1) We have $F_{\text{Nis}} \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$, for any $F \in \mathbf{HI}$.
- (2) We have $F_{\text{Nis}} \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$, for any $F \in \mathbf{RSC}$.

It follows that \mathbf{HI}_{Nis} and $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ are abelian categories, and that \mathbf{HI}_{Nis} has a symmetric monoidal structure $\otimes^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}}$ given by

$$F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} G = (F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}} G)_{\text{Nis}}, \quad F, G \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}.$$

For $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$ we set $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(F) := (h_0(F))_{\text{Nis}}$ and we obtain a functor

$$(3.18.1) \quad h_{0,\text{Nis}} : \mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}.$$

For $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ we also write $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(M) := h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M))$.

4. LAX MONOIDAL¹ STRUCTURE FOR RECIPROCITY SHEAVES

4.1. Recall the category $\mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}$ from [RS, 3.7]: The objects are pairs $\mathcal{X} = (\overline{X}, X^{\infty})$, where

- (1) \overline{X} is a separated noetherian scheme over k ;
- (2) $\overline{X} = \varprojlim_{i \in I} \overline{X}_i$, with $(\overline{X}_i)_{i \in I}$ a projective system of separated finite type k -schemes indexed by a partially ordered set with affine transition maps $\tau_{i,j} : \overline{X}_i \rightarrow \overline{X}_j$, $i \geq j$, and $X^{\infty} = \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i^{\infty}$, with X_i^{∞} an effective Cartier divisor on \overline{X}_i , such that $\overline{X}_i \setminus |X_i^{\infty}|$ is smooth, for all i , and $\tau_{i,j}^* X_{j,\infty} = X_{i,\infty}$, $i \geq j$;
- (3) $\mathcal{X}^{\circ} = \overline{X} \setminus |X^{\infty}|$ is regular.

The morphisms are given by the admissible left proper correspondences which are verbatim defined as in 2.2; the composition is defined as for \mathbf{MCor} , cf. [KMSYa, Proposition 1.2.3].

¹The term *lax monoidal* is used in a loose sense; it seems a correct mathematical notion which appears in the literature is *unbiased oplax monoidal category*.

We denote by $\mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}$ the full subcategory consisting of pairs $(X, \emptyset) = X$. Note, if $X = \text{Spec } A$, with A a regular ring over k , then $X \in \mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}$, by [Pop86, Proposition 1.8]. By [RS, Lemma 2.8], there is a faithful functor

$$(4.1.1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pro} \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}, \quad \varprojlim_i (\overline{X}_i, X_i^\infty) \mapsto (\overline{X}_i, X_i^\infty)_i.$$

In this way we can extend any $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$ by the formula

$$(4.1.2) \quad F(\mathcal{X}) := \varinjlim_i F(\mathcal{X}_i), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{X} = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \mathcal{X}_i = (\overline{X}_i, X_i^\infty).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $M \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{X}_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$. Then the natural map*

$$\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pro} \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((\mathcal{X}_i)_i, M) = \varinjlim_i \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{X}_i, M),$$

induced by (4.1.1), is an isomorphism, i.e., the extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M)$ to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$ is representable by $M \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$.

Proof. The morphism in the statement is defined as follows: Let $V \subset \mathcal{X}^\circ \times M^\circ$ be an integral prime correspondence; we find an index i_0 and a closed subscheme $V_{i_0} \subset \mathcal{X}_{i_0}^\circ \times M^\circ$ such that $V_{i_0} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{X}_{i_0}, M)$ and $V_{i_0} \times_{\mathcal{X}_{i_0}^\circ} \mathcal{X}^\circ = V$; then the map from the statement maps V to the class of $V_{i_0} \in \varinjlim_i \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{X}_i, M)$, see [RS, Lemma 3.8].

We define a map in the other direction: Let $\rho_i : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$ be the transition map. Let $W \subset \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{X}_i, M)$ be a prime correspondence. In particular, $W \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i^\circ$ is universally equidimensional of relative dimension. Hence the cycle-theoretic inverse image $\rho_i^* V$ from [Ser65, V, C), 7.] is defined; it is supported on the irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}^\circ \times_{\mathcal{X}_i^\circ} W$. Let $W' \in \mathbf{Cor}(\mathcal{X}^\circ, M^\circ)$ be such a component. By, e.g., [RY16, Proposition 2.3, (4)], W' is an admissible correspondence from \mathcal{X} to M ; further since W is left proper (see 2.2), so is W' . Hence $\rho_i^* V \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M)$. This gives a well-defined map

$$\varinjlim_i \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{X}_i, M) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M).$$

It is direct to check that it is inverse to the map from the statement. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \boxtimes\}$ denote one of the symmetric monoidal structures on $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ defined in 2.5 and Proposition 2.9, respectively; we also denote by \bullet their extension to $\underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$, see 3.8. Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$; we extend $F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$ to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$ as in (4.1.2). Then for all $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$, the group $(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X})$ is equal to the quotient of*

$$\bigoplus_{M_1, \dots, M_n \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}} F_1(M_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(M_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_n)$$

by the subgroup generated by elements of the form

$$(4.3.1) \quad a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes (\text{id}_{M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_{i-1}} \bullet f \bullet \text{id}_{M_{i+1} \bullet \dots \bullet M_n}) \circ h \\ - a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f^* a_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes h,$$

where $a_j \in F_j(M_j)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $f \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M', M_i)$, and $h \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_{i-1} \bullet M' \bullet M_{i+1} \bullet \dots \bullet M_n)$.

Proof. First assume $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$. Then the formula holds using the following presentation of $F \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$

$$\bigoplus_{f \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M', M)} F(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M') \rightarrow \bigoplus_{M \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}} F(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(M) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

cf. [SV00, §2]. For general $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{X}_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$, with $\mathcal{X}_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, we have by definition $(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}) = \varprojlim_i ((F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}_i))$; hence the formula follows from the exactness of filtered direct limits, their compatibility with (usual) tensor products, and Lemma 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.4. *We continue to use the notation $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$ from Lemma 4.3. Let $M_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$, $i = 1, 2$, and set $M := M_1 \bullet M_2$. Let $Y \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be a morphism from a locally factorial scheme Y , such that the image of no component of Y is contained in M^∞ . Then*

$$(M^\infty)_{|Y} = \begin{cases} (M_1^\infty)_{|Y} + (M_2^\infty)_{|Y}, & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{(M_1^\infty)_{|Y}, (M_2^\infty)_{|Y}\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}, \end{cases}$$

where the restrictions are along the morphisms $Y \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}_i$ induced by the projections. Note, the right hand side makes sense as Weil divisors and so it does as Cartier divisors, by the assumptions on Y .

Proof. For $\bullet = \otimes$, this is immediate from the definition. For $\bullet = \underline{\otimes}$ recall that \overline{M} is the blow-up of $\overline{M}_1 \times \overline{M}_2$ in $M_1^\infty \times M_2^\infty$ and that $M^\infty = D_1 + D_2 + E$, with D_i the strict transform of $p_i^* M_i^\infty$ and E the exceptional divisor. Set $U_i := Y \times_{\overline{M}} (\overline{M} \setminus D_i)$. Then

$$(M^\infty)_{|U_1} = (D_2 + E)_{|U_1} = \max\{(M_1^\infty)_{|U_1}, (M_2^\infty)_{|U_1}\}$$

and similar with $(M^\infty)_{|U_2}$. The statement follows from $Y = U_1 \cup U_2$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$. Assume $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{X}_i$ with $\mathcal{X}_i = (X_i, D_i) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$ such that the projection maps $X \rightarrow X_i$ are flat. Let Y be a regular scheme with a finite surjective morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and let E be an effective Cartier divisor on Y . Then $(Y, E) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$. In particular the graph of π and its transpose define morphisms*

$$\Gamma_\pi \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((Y, \pi^* D), \mathcal{X}), \quad \Gamma_\pi^t \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, (Y, \pi^* D)).$$

Proof. Since X is noetherian, the \mathcal{O}_X -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. It follows that there exists an index i_0 and a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{i_0}}$ -algebra \mathcal{A}_{i_0} such that $\mathcal{A}_{i_0|X} = \mathcal{A}$. Set $Y_{i_0} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_{i_0}$ and $Y_i = X_i \times_{X_{i_0}} Y_{i_0}$ for $i \geq i_0$. It follows that for all $i \geq i_0$ we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y_i \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & X_i, \end{array}$$

and $\varprojlim_i Y_i \cong Y$. The horizontal maps in this diagram are flat by assumption. Since Y is regular, we find regular open neighborhoods $U_i \subset Y_i$ around the images of the projections $Y \rightarrow Y_i$, see [Gro65, Corollaire (6.5.2)]. Since the U_i are of finite type over the perfect ground field k , they are smooth, and we can arrange them into a projective system $(U_i)_i$ with $Y = \varprojlim_i U_i$. If E is an effective Cartier divisor on Y , we clearly find a large enough index i_0 and an effective Cartier divisor E_{i_0} on U_{i_0} , such that $E = E_{i_0|Y}$. Hence $(Y, E) = \varprojlim_{i \geq i_0} (U_i, E_{i_0|U_i}) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, D) = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{X}_i \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$, where $\mathcal{X}_i = (X_i, D_i) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$. Assume*

- (1) $\dim X \leq 1$;
- (2) X is excellent and connected;
- (3) the projection $X \rightarrow X_i$ is flat for all i .

Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \underline{\mathbf{MPST}}$, and for $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$ extend $F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n$ to $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$ as in (4.1.2). Then

$$(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}) = \left(\bigoplus_{(Y, E_1, \dots, E_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})} F_1(Y, E_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(Y, E_n) \right) / R,$$

where

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{X}) := \left\{ (Y \rightarrow X, E_1, \dots, E_n) \left| \begin{array}{l} Y \text{ is regular, } Y \rightarrow X \text{ is finite surjec-} \\ \text{tive, } E_i \text{ are effective Cartier divisors} \\ \text{on } Y, \text{ with } D|_Y \geq E_1 \bullet \dots \bullet E_n \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

here we use the notation

$$E_1 \bullet \dots \bullet E_n = \begin{cases} E_1 + \dots + E_n, & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}, \end{cases}$$

and R is the subgroup generated by elements

$$(4.6.2) \quad (a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_* b_i \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) - (f^* a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_i \otimes f^* a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes f^* a_n),$$

where $(Y, E_1, \dots, E_n), (Y', E'_1, \dots, E'_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a_j \in F_j(Y, E_j)$, $b_i \in F_i(Y', E'_i)$ $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is finite surjective, $E'_j \geq f^* E_j$, for $j \neq i$, and $f^* E_i \geq E'_i$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [IR17, Proposition 5.1.3] (there for PST). We will give a detailed proof, since we have to take care of the moduli. For a morphism g we also denote by g the finite correspondence determined by the graph of g ; if g is finite and surjective, we denote by g^t the finite correspondence determined by the transpose of the graph of g . Let $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be as in (4.6.2). Then $f \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((Y', E'_j), (Y, E_j))$, for $j \neq i$, and $f^t \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((Y, E_i), (Y', E'_i))$, see Lemma 4.5. Hence R is well-defined. Denote the right hand side of (4.6.1) by $T(\mathcal{X})$. For $\underline{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_n) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\times n}$ set $\underline{M}^\bullet := M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_n$ and define

$$(4.6.3) \quad \theta_{\underline{M}} : F_1(M_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(M_n) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, \underline{M}^\bullet) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{X})$$

as follows: Let $V \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, \underline{M}^\bullet)$ be a prime correspondence and denote by $\overline{V} \subset X \times \underline{M}^\bullet$ its closure. In particular, $V \rightarrow X^\circ := X \setminus D$ is finite surjective; hence $\dim V = \dim X \leq 1$ and $\overline{V} \rightarrow X$ is also finite and surjective. Let $\tilde{V} := \overline{V}^N$ be the normalization of \overline{V} ; it is a regular scheme. Since X is excellent the induced map $\tilde{V} \rightarrow X$ is finite surjective, hence $(\tilde{V}, E) \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}$, for any effective Cartier divisor E on \tilde{V} , by Lemma 4.5. Denote by $p_i : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \overline{M}_i$ the maps induced by projections and set $E_i := p_i^* M_{i,\infty}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have $D_{|\tilde{V}} \geq E_1 \bullet \dots \bullet E_n$. Hence $(\tilde{V} \rightarrow X, E_1, \dots, E_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})$. For $a_i \in F_i(M_i)$, we define

$$\theta_{\underline{M}}(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes V) := \text{class of } (p_1^* a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n^* a_n) \text{ in } T(\mathcal{X}).$$

We extend this additively to obtain the map (4.6.3). Define

$$\theta := \oplus_{\underline{M}} \theta_{\underline{M}} : \bigoplus_{\underline{M}} F_1(M_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(M_n) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, \underline{M}^\bullet) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{X}).$$

We claim

$$(4.6.4) \quad \theta((4.3.1)) = 0.$$

We show this in the case $i = 1$ in (4.3.1). (The proof for general i is similar.) Thus we have to show the following: Let $a_j \in F_j(M_j)$, $f \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(M', M_1)$, and $h \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M' \bullet N)$, with $N := M_2 \bullet \dots \bullet M_n$; then

$$(4.6.5) \quad \theta(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes (f \bullet \text{id}_N) \circ h) = \theta(f^* a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes h).$$

We may assume that $f = V \subset M'^o \times M_1^o$ and $h = W \subset X^o \times M'^o \times N^o$ are prime correspondences. We have

$$(4.6.6) \quad (f \bullet \text{id}_N) \circ h = \sum_U m_U \cdot d_U \cdot \sigma(U),$$

where the sum is over the irreducible components U of $W \times_{M'^o \times N^o} V \times N^o$, σ is the natural map induced by projection

$$\sigma : W \times_{M'^o \times N^o} V \times N^o \rightarrow X^o \times M_1^o \times N^o,$$

$d_U = [U : \sigma(U)] = \text{degree of } U/\sigma(U)$, and

$$(4.6.7) \quad m_U = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{length}(\text{Tor}_j^{\mathcal{O}_{M'^o \times N^o, \eta_U}}(\mathcal{O}_{W, \eta_U}, \mathcal{O}_{V \times N^o, \eta_U})),$$

where by abuse of notation we denote by η_U the images of the generic point of U in the various schemes. Denote by $\widetilde{\sigma(U)}$, \widetilde{U} , \widetilde{W} the normalizations of the closures $\overline{\sigma(U)}$, \overline{U} , \overline{W} , respectively. We obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{\sigma(U)} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \overline{M_1} \\ & \nearrow \sigma_U & & \searrow p_j & \\ X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \widetilde{U} & & \overline{N} \xrightarrow{\quad} \overline{M_j}, \quad j \geq 2 \\ & \searrow s & & \nearrow q_j & \\ & & \widetilde{W} & \xrightarrow{q_1} & \overline{M'}, \end{array}$$

in which all maps are induced projections. Set

$$E_{\sigma(U),j} := p_j^* M_{j,\infty}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1,$$

$$E_{W,1} := q_1^* M'_{\infty}, \quad E_{W,j} := q_j^* M_{j,\infty}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 2,$$

and

$$E_{U,1} := \sigma_U^* E_{\sigma(U),1}, \quad E_{U,j} := \sigma_U^* E_{\sigma(U),j} = s^* E_{W,j}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 2.$$

Note that the tuples $(\widetilde{\sigma(U)} \rightarrow X, E_{\sigma(U)})$, $(\widetilde{U} \rightarrow X, E_U)$, $(\widetilde{W} \rightarrow X, E_W)$ are in $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$, where $E_U = (E_{U,1}, \dots, E_{U,n})$, etc. Furthermore, on \widetilde{U} we have

$$(4.6.8) \quad s^* E_{W,1} \geq E_{U,1}.$$

Indeed, the natural maps $\overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{M_1}$, and $\overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{M'}$ factor via the natural maps $\overline{V} \rightarrow \overline{M_1}$ and $\overline{V} \rightarrow \overline{M'}$, respectively. Since $M'_{\infty|\widetilde{V}} \geq M_{1,\infty|\widetilde{V}}$ by the modulus condition which V satisfies, the analog inequality also holds on

\widetilde{U} , see e.g. [RY16, Proposition 2.3(4)]. In the following we will use the notation

$$(4.6.9) \quad [a]_i := a_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, \quad [p^*a]_i := p_i^* a_i \otimes \dots \otimes p_n^* a_n, \quad \text{etc.}$$

We compute in $T(\mathcal{X})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta([a]_1 \otimes (f \bullet \text{id}_N) \circ h) &= \sum_U m_U \cdot d_U \cdot [p^*a]_1, && \text{by defn,} \\ &= \sum_U m_U \cdot (\sigma_U^* \sigma_U^* p_1^* a_1) \otimes [p^*a]_2 \\ &= \sum_U m_U \cdot [\sigma_U^* p^*a]_1, && \text{by (4.6.2),} \\ &= \sum_U m_U \cdot (\sigma_U^* p_1^* a_1) \otimes [s^* q^* a]_2 \\ &= \left(\sum_U m_U \cdot s_* \sigma_U^* p_1^* a_1 \right) \otimes [q^*a]_2, && \text{by (4.6.2),} \end{aligned}$$

for the last equality we use (4.6.8) to apply (4.6.2) in the situation

$$(f, E, E', b_1, a) = (s, E_W, E_U, \sigma_U^* p_1^* a_1, q^* a).$$

We have

$$q_1 \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((\widetilde{W}, E_{W,1}), M'), \quad p_1 \circ \sigma_U \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((\widetilde{U}, E_{U,1}), M_1)$$

and by (4.6.8) also

$$s^t \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((\widetilde{W}, E_{W,1}), (\widetilde{U}, E_{U,1})).$$

By (4.6.7) and flat base change for Tor we have

$$m_U = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{length}(\text{Tor}_j^{\mathcal{O}_{M'^o}, \eta_U}(\mathcal{O}_{W, \eta_U}, \mathcal{O}_{V, \eta_U})).$$

Hence in $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((\widetilde{W}, E_{W,1}), M_1)$

$$(4.6.10) \quad \sum_U m_U \cdot (p_1 \circ \sigma_U) \circ s^t = \sum_U m_U \cdot U' = f \circ q_1,$$

where $U' \subset (\widetilde{W} \setminus |E_{W,1}|) \times M_1^o$ is the restriction of the image of $\widetilde{U} \rightarrow \widetilde{W} \times \overline{M}_1$. Together with the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta([a]_1 \otimes (f \bullet \text{id}_N) \circ h) &= \left(\sum_U m_U \cdot (p_1 \circ \sigma_U \circ s^t)^* a_1 \right) \otimes [q^*a]_2 \\ &= q_1^* f^* a_1 \otimes [q^*a]_2 \\ &= \theta(f^* a_1 \otimes [a]_2 \otimes h). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that θ satisfies (4.6.4). Thus θ factors to give a well-defined map

$$\bar{\theta} : (F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{X}).$$

We define a map in the other direction. Let $(\pi : Y \rightarrow X, E_1, \dots, E_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})$ and $a_i \in F_i(Y, E_i)$. By Lemma 4.5 we find a smooth k -scheme U with a k -morphism $Y \rightarrow U$, effective Cartier divisors $E_{U,i}$, and elements $\tilde{a}_i \in F_i(U, E_{U,i})$, such that $E_{U,i}|_Y = E_i$, $\tilde{a}_i|_Y = a_i$. Denote by $\Gamma \subset (Y \setminus |\pi^*D|) \times U^{\times n}$ the graph of the diagonal map $Y \setminus |\pi^*D| \rightarrow U^{\times n}$. By definition of $\Lambda(\mathcal{X})$ and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\Gamma \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}((Y, \pi^*D), (U, E_{U,1}) \bullet \dots \bullet (U, E_{U,n})).$$

Using the description of $F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n$ from Lemma 4.3 (and the notation from (4.6.9)) we set

$$(4.6.11) \quad \psi_Y([a]_1) := \text{class of } ([\tilde{a}]_1 \otimes \Gamma) \in (F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(Y, \pi^*D)$$

and

$$\psi([a]_1) := \pi_* \psi_Y([a]_1) = \text{class of } ([\tilde{a}]_1 \otimes (\Gamma \circ \pi^t)) \in (F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}).$$

We claim that $\psi_Y([a]_1)$ (and hence $\psi([a]_1)$) is well-defined, i.e., independent of the choices of $Y \rightarrow U$, $E_{U,i}$, and \tilde{a}_i . Indeed, let $Y \rightarrow V$, $E_{V,i}$, and a'_i be different choices; it suffices to consider the case in which we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & V \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ Y & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

and $E_{V,i} = f^* E_{U,i}$, $a'_i = f^* \tilde{a}_i$. Set $\mathcal{U}_i = (U, E_{U,i})$ and $\mathcal{V}_i = (V, E_{V,i})$ and denote by Γ_U and Γ_V the graphs of $Y \setminus |\pi^*D| \rightarrow U^{\times n}$ and $Y \setminus |\pi^*D| \rightarrow V^{\times n}$, respectively. We have in $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((Y, \pi^*D), \mathcal{U}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_n)$

$$\Gamma_U = \underbrace{f \bullet \dots \bullet f}_{n\text{-times}} \circ \Gamma_V = (f \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}_2 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{V}_n}) \circ \dots \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{V}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{V}_{n-1}} \bullet f) \circ \Gamma_V.$$

Thus $[\tilde{a}]_1 \otimes \Gamma_U = [a']_1 \otimes \Gamma_V$ in $(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(Y, \pi^*D)$, by (4.3.1). Hence $\psi([a]_1)$ is well-defined and we can extend it additively to obtain a map

$$\psi : \bigoplus_{(Y, E_1, \dots, E_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})} F(Y, E_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(Y, E_n) \rightarrow (F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(\mathcal{X}).$$

We claim

$$(4.6.12) \quad \psi((4.6.2)) = 0.$$

We show this in the case $i = 1$ in (4.6.2). (The proof for general i is similar.) To this end, let $\alpha := (f, E, E', a, b_1)$ be as in (4.6.2). We find a

finite surjective map between smooth k -schemes $\tilde{f} : U' \rightarrow U$ which fits in a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \longrightarrow & U' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ Y & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

effective Cartier divisors \tilde{E}_i on U and \tilde{E}'_i on U' , elements $\tilde{a}_j \in F_j(U, \tilde{E}_j)$, $j \geq 2$, and $\tilde{b}_1 \in F_1(U', \tilde{E}'_1)$, such that $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{E}', \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}_1)$ restricts to α ; furthermore we can assume

$$\tilde{f}^* \tilde{E}_1 \geq \tilde{E}'_1, \quad \tilde{E}'_j \geq \tilde{f}^* \tilde{E}_j, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Let Γ and Γ' be the graphs of $Y \setminus |D_Y| \rightarrow U^{\times n}$ and $Y' \setminus |D_{Y'}| \rightarrow (U')^{\times n}$, respectively. For $j \geq 1$, set

$$\mathcal{U}_j := (U, \tilde{E}_j), \quad \mathcal{U}'_j := (U', \tilde{E}'_j), \quad \mathcal{Y} := (Y, D_Y), \quad \mathcal{Y}' := (Y', D_{Y'}).$$

We write $\mathcal{U}_j^\circ = U \setminus |\tilde{E}_j|$, etc. By assumption we have

$$\Gamma \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_n), \quad \Gamma' \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{U}'_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}'_n)$$

$$f \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Y}), \quad f^t \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}')$$

$$\tilde{f}^t \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}'_1), \quad \tilde{f} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{U}'_j, \mathcal{U}_j), \quad j \geq 2.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{U}_2 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_n, \quad \mathcal{V}' := \mathcal{U}'_2 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}'_n.$$

We obtain

$$\tilde{f}^{\bullet n-1} = \underbrace{\tilde{f} \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{f}}_{(n-1)\text{-times}} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}).$$

In $\underline{\mathbf{MCor}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U}'_1 \bullet \mathcal{V})$ the following equality holds

$$(4.6.13) \quad (\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}'_1} \bullet \tilde{f}^{\bullet n-1}) \circ \Gamma' \circ f^t = (\tilde{f}^t \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Gamma.$$

Indeed, this is a purely cycle theoretic question; using that $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}'_1} \bullet \tilde{f}^{\bullet n-1}) \circ \Gamma'$ is the graph of $(\mathcal{Y}')^\circ \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}'_1)^\circ \times \prod_{j=2}^n \mathcal{U}_j^\circ$ we obtain

$$f^t \times_{(\mathcal{Y}')^\circ} ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}'_1} \bullet \tilde{f}^{\bullet n-1}) \circ \Gamma') \cong (\mathcal{Y}')^\circ \times_{(\mathcal{Y}')^\circ} (\mathcal{Y}')^\circ \cong (\mathcal{Y}')^\circ.$$

On the other hand using the isomorphisms $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y}^\circ$ and $(\tilde{f}^t \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{U}'_1)^\circ \times \mathcal{V}^\circ$ we obtain the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma \times_{\mathcal{U}'_1^\circ \times \mathcal{V}^\circ} (\tilde{f}^t \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{U}'_1)^\circ \times \mathcal{V}^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \times \text{id} \\ \mathcal{Y}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}'_1^\circ \times \mathcal{V}^\circ. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\Gamma \times_{\mathcal{U}_1^o \times \mathcal{V}^o} (\tilde{f}^t \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) \cong \mathcal{Y}^o \times_{\mathcal{U}_1^o} (\mathcal{U}_1')^o \cong (\mathcal{Y}')^o.$$

This yields (4.6.13). We compute in $F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n(\mathcal{Y})$

$$\begin{aligned} f_* \psi_{Y'}(b_1 \otimes [f^* a]_2) &= \tilde{b}_1 \otimes [\tilde{f}^* \tilde{a}]_2 \otimes (\Gamma' \circ f^t), & \text{by defn,} \\ &= \tilde{b}_1 \otimes [\tilde{a}]_2 \otimes ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}_1'} \bullet \tilde{f}^{\bullet n-1}) \circ \Gamma' \circ f^t), & \text{by (4.3.1)} \\ &= \tilde{b}_1 \otimes [\tilde{a}]_2 \otimes ((\tilde{f}^t \bullet \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Gamma), & \text{by (4.6.13)} \\ &= (\tilde{f}^t)^* \tilde{b}_1 \otimes [\tilde{a}]_2 \otimes \Gamma, & \text{by (4.3.1)} \\ &= \tilde{f}_* \tilde{b}_1 \otimes [\tilde{a}]_2 \otimes \Gamma \\ &= \psi_Y(f_* b_1 \otimes [a]_2), & \text{by defn.} \end{aligned}$$

Pushing forward to \mathcal{X} we obtain $\psi(b_1 \otimes [f^* a]_2) = \psi(f_* b_1 \otimes [a]_2)$, which proves Claim (4.6.12). Thus ψ factors to give a well-defined map

$$\bar{\psi} : T(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n(\mathcal{X}).$$

By definition of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ we have

$$\bar{\theta} \circ \bar{\psi} = \text{id}_{T(\mathcal{X})}.$$

Thus it remains to show that $\bar{\psi}$ is surjective. To this end, let $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathbf{MCor}$, $a_i \in F_i(M_i)$, and let $V \in \mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}(\mathcal{X}, M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_n)$ be a prime correspondence. Denote by \tilde{V} the normalization of $\bar{V} \subset X \times \overline{M_1 \bullet \dots \bullet M_n}$ and denote by $p_i : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \overline{M_i}$ the maps induced by the projection maps. By Lemma 4.5, we find a morphism $\tilde{V} \rightarrow U$ with U a smooth k -scheme such that p_i factors via $\tilde{p}_i : U \rightarrow \overline{M_i}$. Set $E_i := p_i^* M_{i,\infty}$ and $E_{U,i} := \tilde{p}_i^* M_{i,\infty}$. Then $(\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow X, E_1, \dots, E_n) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{X})$. Let Γ be the graph of $\tilde{V} \setminus |\pi^* D| \rightarrow U^{\times n}$. We compute in $F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n(\mathcal{X})$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi([p^* a]_1) &= [\tilde{p}^* a]_1 \otimes \Gamma \circ \pi^t, & \text{by defn,} \\ &= [a]_1 \otimes (\tilde{p}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{p}_n) \circ \Gamma \circ \pi^t, & \text{by (4.3.1),} \\ &= [a]_1 \otimes V. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that ψ is surjective and completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.7. Taking $\mathcal{X} = (X, \emptyset)$ in Proposition 4.6 we see that

$$(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(X, \emptyset) = (\omega_1 F_1^{\text{PST}} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_1 F_n^{\text{PST}})(X)$$

equals the tensor product of Mackey functors evaluated at X , see, e.g., [KY13, 2.8], or [IR17, 4.1]. In particular, the above proposition is a generalization of [IR17, Proposition 5.1.3].

4.8. We introduce some notations: Let $F \in \mathbf{PST}$ (resp. $F \in \mathbf{MPST}$). If K is a field containing k , then we write (see 4.1)

$$F(K) := F(\mathrm{Spec} K) \quad (\text{resp. } F(K) := \omega_! F(\mathrm{Spec} K)).$$

If $a \in F(K)$ and L/K is a field extension inducing $f : \mathrm{Spec} L \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} K$, then we write

$$a_L := f^* a \in F(L),$$

and if f is finite and $b \in F(L)$, then we write

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(b) := f_* b := (f^t)^* b \in F(K).$$

If C/K is a regular projective curve, D an effective divisor on C , $a \in F(C \setminus D)$ (resp. $a \in F(C, D)$) and $i : x \hookrightarrow C \setminus D$ is a closed point, then we write

$$a(x) = i^* a \in F(x) = F(K(x)).$$

Theorem 4.9. Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{MPST}$. Let K be a field containing k . Let $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$, then (see (3.14.2) and Notation 4.8)

$$h_0(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(K) = \left(\bigoplus_{L/K} F_1(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(L) \right) / R(K),$$

where the sum is over all finite field extensions L/K (one may restrict to those inside a fixed algebraic closure of K) and $R(K)$ is the subgroup generated by the following elements:

(R1) $(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{Tr}_{L'/L}(a_i) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) - (a_{1,L'} \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n,L'})$, where $L'/L/K$ is a tower of finite field extensions, $i \in [1, n]$, $a_j \in F_j(L)$, for $j \neq i$, $a_i \in F_i(L')$;

(R2)

$$\sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} v_x(f) \cdot a_1(x) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n(x),$$

where C is a regular projective curve over K , $D = D_1 \bullet \dots \bullet D_n$, with D_i effective divisors on C , such that $a_i \in (\tau_! F_i)(C, D_i)$, and $f \in K(C)^\times$ satisfies $f \equiv 1 \pmod{D}$, and v_x denotes the normalized valuation of $K(C)$ attached to x . Here we use the notation

$$D_1 \bullet \dots \bullet D_n = \begin{cases} D_1 + \dots + D_n, & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}, \end{cases}$$

and for a divisor $D = \sum_j n_j x_j$ on C the notation $f \equiv 1 \pmod{D}$ means $v_{x_j}(f - 1) \geq n_j$, for all j .

Proof. Let $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ and $G \in \mathbf{MPST}$. With the notations from 3.8, 3.9, and 3.14 we have

$$(4.9.1) \quad h_0^\square G(M) \cong \text{Coker}(G(M \otimes (\mathbb{P}^1, 1)) \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_\infty^*} G(M)).$$

(Here we use the canonical isomorphism $(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) \cong \square$, which is induced by the unique automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 which switches 1 and ∞ and fixes 0.) Now let $G = F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n$. Combining (4.9.1) with the fact that $\omega_! = \underline{\omega}_! \tau_!$ and that $\tau_!$ is exact and monoidal for \bullet (see (3.8.1)), we obtain for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$

$$h_0(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(X) = \frac{(\tau_! F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \tau_! F_n)(X, \emptyset)}{(i_0^* - i_\infty^*)(\tau_! F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \tau_! F_n)(\mathbb{P}_X^1, 1_X)}.$$

We can write $K = \varinjlim_i A_i$ (filtered direct limit) with A_i smooth k -algebras such that the induced maps $A_i \rightarrow K$ are flat. Hence, taking $X = \text{Spec } A_i$ in the above formula and taking the direct limit yields

$$h_0(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)(K) = \frac{(\tau_! F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \tau_! F_n)(K)}{(i_0^* - i_\infty^*)(\tau_! F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{MPST}} \bullet \tau_! F_n)(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 1_K)}.$$

Now the statement follows from Proposition 4.6 and the observation that $f \in K(C)^\times$ in (R2) is the same as a finite surjective map $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$ such that $f^*(1) \geq D_1 \bullet \dots \bullet D_n$. \square

4.10. Denote

$\Phi = \{\text{henselian discrete valuation fields of geometric type over } k\},$

i.e., Φ is the set of henselian discrete valuation fields of the form $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{U,x}^h)$, where $U \in \mathbf{Sm}$, $x \in U^{(1)}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{U,x}^h$ denotes the henselization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{U,x}$. For $L \in \Phi$ we denote by \mathcal{O}_L its underlying DVR, and by $\mathfrak{m}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ the maximal ideal. Set $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ and denote by $s \in S$ the closed point. For $G \in \mathbf{MPST}$ and $n \geq 0$ we set

$$G(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}) := \begin{cases} G(S, \emptyset), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ G(S, n \cdot s), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4.11. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{MPST}$ and set $F := F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n \in \mathbf{MPST}$, with $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. Let $L \in \Phi$, then $h_0(F)(L)$ is generated by all the elements of the form*

$$\pi_*([a_1, \dots, a_n]_{L'}),$$

where L'/L is a finite field extension, $[a_1, \dots, a_n]_{L'}$ denotes the image of $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in F_1(L') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(L')$ under the natural map

$$F_1(L') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(L') \rightarrow (F_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{PST}} \bullet \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{PST}} \bullet F_n)(L') \xrightarrow{(3.14.3)} F(L'),$$

and $\pi : \operatorname{Spec} L' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} L$ is the finite morphism. Furthermore, if $a_i \in \operatorname{Im}(\tau_! F_i(\mathcal{O}_{L'}, \mathfrak{m}_{L'}^{-r_i}) \rightarrow F_i(L'))$, where the $r_i \geq 0$, then,

$$(4.11.1) \quad \pi_*([a_1, \dots, a_n]_{L'}) \in \operatorname{Im}(\tau_! h_0^{\square}(F)(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}) \rightarrow h_0(F)(L)),$$

where

$$n = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{e(L'/L)} \rceil, & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \lceil \frac{\max\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}}{e(L'/L)} \rceil, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}, \end{cases}$$

with $e(L'/L)$ the ramification index of L'/L and the map in (4.11.1) is induced from $h_0(F)(L) = \tau_! h_0^{\square}(F)(\operatorname{Spec} L, \emptyset)$.

Proof. The first statement holds by Theorem 4.9, the second by Proposition 4.6. \square

4.12. Let $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Let $a \in F(L)$, $L \in \Phi$, (see 4.10). Recall from [RS, Definition 4.14] that the *motivic conductor* $c_L^F(a)$ of a at L is defined by:

$$c_L^F(a) := \min\{n \geq 0 \mid a \in \tau_! \tilde{F}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n})\}.$$

Corollary 4.13. Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Set $F := h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n)$, with $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$ (see (3.14.5)). Let $L \in \Phi$ and $a_i \in F_i(L)$. Then (with the notation from Corollary 4.11)

$$c_L^F([a_1, \dots, a_n]_L) \leq \begin{cases} c_L^{F_1}(a_1) + \dots + c_L^{F_n}(a_n), & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{c_L^{F_1}(a_1), \dots, c_L^{F_n}(a_n)\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the adjointness of $(\omega_{\mathbf{CI}}, \omega^{\mathbf{CI}})$ we have a natural transformation $h_0^{\square} \rightarrow \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} h_0$ of functors $\mathbf{MPST} \rightarrow \mathbf{CI}$. Thus the statement follows from Corollary 4.11 and the natural map

$$\tau_! \omega^{\mathbf{CI}}(h_0(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n))(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-r}) \hookrightarrow \tau_! \omega^{\mathbf{CI}}(F)(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-r}), \quad r \geq 0.$$

\square

Definition 4.14 (cf. [IR17, 4.2.1]). Let $F_1, \dots, F_n, H \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Denote by

$$\operatorname{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^{\bullet}(F_1, \dots, F_n; H), \quad \bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\},$$

the set of collections of maps

$$\phi = \left\{ \phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n} : F_1(X_1) \times \dots \times F_n(X_n) \rightarrow H(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) \right\}_{X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbf{Sm}},$$

satisfying the following properties:

(L1) ϕ_{X_1, \dots, X_n} is a multilinear morphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules, for all $X_i \in \mathbf{Sm}$.

(L2) For all $i \in [1, n]$, $X_1, \dots, X_n, X'_i \in \mathbf{Sm}$, $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(X'_i, X_i)$, and all $a_j \in F_j(X_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\phi_{X_1, \dots, X'_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n}(a_1, \dots, f^* a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = f_i^* \phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where $f_i = \text{id}_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_{i-1}} \times f \times \text{id}_{X_{i+1} \times \dots \times X_n}$.

(L3) For all $L \in \Phi$ and all $a_i \in F_i(L)$ we have (see 4.12 for notation)

$$c_L^H(\phi_L(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq \begin{cases} c_L^{F_1}(a_1) + \dots + c_L^{F_n}(a_n), & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{c_L^{F_1}(a_1), \dots, c_L^{F_n}(a_n)\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}. \end{cases}$$

Here $\phi_L = \phi_{\text{Spec } L}$, where ϕ_S , for $S \in \mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}$, is defined as the composition

$$(4.14.1) \quad \phi_S : F_1(S) \times \dots \times F_n(S) = \varinjlim_U (F_1(U) \times \dots \times F_n(U)) \\ \xrightarrow{\phi} \varinjlim_U H(U \times \dots \times U) \xrightarrow{\Delta_U^*} \varinjlim_U H(U) = H(S),$$

where U runs through all smooth k -models of S , $\Delta_U : U \rightarrow U \times \dots \times U$ denotes the diagonal, and we use (L2) to extend ϕ to the colimit.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\phi = \{\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}\}$ be a collection of maps as in Definition 4.14. Assume ϕ satisfies (L1), (L2). Then ϕ satisfies (L3) if and only if for all $a_i \in \tilde{F}_i(\mathcal{X}_i)$, with proper modulus pairs \mathcal{X}_i we have*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X}_1^{\circ}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^{\circ}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \tilde{H}(\mathcal{X}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{X}_n).$$

Proof. Set $\alpha := \phi_{\mathcal{X}_1^{\circ}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n^{\circ}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, and $\mathcal{X}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{X}_n =: (\overline{X}, X_{\infty})$. By [RS, Theorem 4.15, (4)] we have

$$\alpha \in \tilde{H}(\mathcal{X}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{X}_n) \iff c_L^H(\rho^* \alpha) \leq v_L(X_{\infty}), \quad \forall L \in \Phi, \rho \in \overline{X}(\mathcal{O}_L),$$

where on the right hand side $v_L(X_{\infty})$ is the multiplicity of $\rho^* X_{\infty}$. Observe that $\rho^* \alpha = \phi_L(\rho_1^* a_1, \dots, \rho_n^* a_n)$, where $\rho_i : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i^{\circ}$ are induced by ρ and projection. Thus the *only if* direction follows directly from Lemma 4.4. For the other direction let $b_i \in F_i(L)$, $L \in \Phi$, and set $r_i := c_L^{F_i}(b_i)$. We find smooth models (U, Z) of $(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L)$ with compactification $\mathcal{U} = (\overline{U}, \overline{Z} + B)$ and elements $\tilde{b}_i \in F_i(\mathcal{U}_{r_i})$ restricting to b_i , where $\mathcal{U}_{r_i} = (\overline{U}, r_i \overline{Z} + B)$. Note that the map $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow U$ (coming from the structure as model of \mathcal{O}_L) maps the closed point to the generic point of \overline{Z} and hence is not contained in B . By assumption $\phi_{\mathcal{U}_1^{\circ}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n^{\circ}}(\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_n) \in \tilde{H}(\mathcal{U}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_n)$. Denote by $\rho : \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_n}$ the diagonal

map. Thus (by Lemma 4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} c_L^H(\phi_L(b_1, \dots, b_n)) &= c_L^H(\rho^* \phi_{\mathcal{U}_1^\circ, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n^\circ}(\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_n)) \leq v_L(\mathcal{U}_{r_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{U}_{r_n}) = \\ &\begin{cases} v_L(\mathcal{U}_{r_1}) + \dots + v_L(\mathcal{U}_{r_n}) = r_1 + \dots + r_n, & \text{if } \bullet = \otimes, \\ \max\{v_L(\mathcal{U}_{r_1}), \dots, v_L(\mathcal{U}_{r_n})\} = \max\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}, & \text{if } \bullet = \underline{\otimes}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence ϕ satisfies (L3). \square

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Then φ is an isomorphism if and only if $\varphi(K) : F(K) \rightarrow G(K)$ is an isomorphism, for all finitely generated k -fields K .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.18, the category $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ is abelian. Hence it suffices to show that a sheaf $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ is zero if and only if $F(K) = 0$, for all K . This follows from [KSY16, Theorem 6] and [KSY, Corollary 3.2.3]. \square

Theorem 4.17. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n, H \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{RSC}}(h_0(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n), H) = \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n; H), \quad \bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\text{Lin}_{\mathbf{PST}}(F_1, \dots, F_n; H)$ the set of those $\phi = \{\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_n}\}$ satisfying only (L1) and (L2). We have

$$(4.17.1) \quad \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n; H) \subset \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{PST}}(F_1, \dots, F_n; H).$$

Set

$$F := h_0(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n).$$

The surjection (3.14.3) induces an inclusion

$$(4.17.2) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{RSC}}(F, H) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PST}}(F_1 \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\otimes} F_n, H).$$

Since H is a Nisnevich sheaf we can replace F by F_{Nis} and \mathbf{RSC} by $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ on the left hand side and on the right hand side \mathbf{PST} by \mathbf{NST} . By [SV00, Lemma 2.1] we have a canonical identification

$$(4.17.3) \quad \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{PST}}(F_1, \dots, F_n; H) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PST}}(F_1 \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\mathbf{PST}}{\otimes} F_n, H).$$

Observe that if $\varphi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and $a \in H_1(L)$, $L \in \Phi$, then $c_L^{H_2}(\varphi(a)) \leq c_L^{H_1}(a)$, by definition of the motivic conductor, see 4.12. Hence it follows directly from Corollary 4.13 that (4.17.3) induces an inclusion

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{RSC}}(F, H) \subset \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n; H).$$

For the other inclusion, let $\phi \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n; H)$ and denote by

$$\hat{\phi} : F_1 \overset{\mathbf{NST}}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\mathbf{NST}}{\otimes} F_n \rightarrow H$$

the induced map. Set

$$G := \text{Ker}(F_1 \overset{\text{NST}}{\otimes} \dots \otimes F_n \xrightarrow{(3.14.3)_{\text{Nis}}} F_{\text{Nis}}).$$

It remains to show

$$(4.17.4) \quad \hat{\phi}(G)_{\text{Nis}} = 0.$$

By definition $\hat{\phi}(G)_{\text{Nis}}$ is a sub-NST of H , hence it is in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. By Lemma 4.16 it suffices to show $0 = \hat{\phi}(G)_{\text{Nis}}(K) = \hat{\phi}(G(K))$, for all function fields K over k . By Theorem 4.9, the group $G(K)$ is generated by elements of the form

$$\alpha := \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} v_x(f) \cdot a_1(x) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n(x)$$

with C/K , $D = D_1 \bullet \dots \bullet D_n$, $a_i \in \tau_1 \tilde{F}_i(C, D_i)$ and $f \equiv 1 \pmod{D}$ as in 4.9(R2). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(\alpha) &= \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} v_x(f) \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K} (\phi_{K(x)}(a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))), \\ &= \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} v_x(f) \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K} (\phi_{C \setminus |D|}(a_1, \dots, a_n)(x)), \quad \text{by (L2),} \\ &= \text{Div}_C(f)^* \phi_{C \setminus |D|}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \end{aligned}$$

where we view $\text{Div}_C(f) \in \mathbf{Cor}^{\text{pro}}(\text{Spec } K, C \setminus |D|)$. For the first equality, note, if K'/K is a finite field extension, then the composition

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(K') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_n(K') &\xrightarrow{\psi_{K'}} (F_1 \overset{\text{NST}}{\otimes} \dots \otimes F_n)(K') \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{K'/K}} (F_1 \overset{\text{NST}}{\otimes} \dots \otimes F_n)(K) \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} H(K) \end{aligned}$$

maps an element $b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n$ to $\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\phi_{K'}(b_1, \dots, b_n))$, with $\phi_{K'} = \phi_{\text{Spec } K'}$ defined as in (4.14.1) and $\psi_{K'}$ defined as in (4.6.11). We have

$$(4.17.5) \quad \phi_{C \setminus |D|}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \tau_1 \tilde{H}(C, D).$$

Indeed, this follows directly from Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 4.4. Thus

$$\hat{\phi}(\alpha) = (i_0^* - i_\infty^*)(f^t)^* \phi_{C \setminus |D|}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0,$$

where $f^t \in \mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}((\mathbb{P}_K^1, 1), (C, D))$ denotes the transpose of the graph of $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$. This proves (4.17.4). \square

Corollary 4.18. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. Let $0 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r = n$, $r \geq 1$. For $j = 1, \dots, r$ set*

$$\underline{\tilde{E}}_j := \tilde{F}_{m_{j-1}+1} \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_{m_j} \in \mathbf{MPST}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_j := h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{\tilde{E}}_j}) \in \mathbf{CI}.$$

Denote by

$$\tau_j \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_{m_{j-1}+1}, \dots, F_{m_j}; h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_j))$$

and

$$\tau_{1,\dots,r} \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_1), \dots, h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_r); h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1 \bullet \dots \bullet H_r))$$

the maps corresponding via Theorem 4.17 to the identity on $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_j)$ and on $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1 \bullet \dots \bullet H_r)$, respectively. Then the composition

$$\tau := \tau_{1,\dots,r} \circ (\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_r) : F_1 \times \dots \times F_n \rightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1 \bullet \dots \bullet H_r)$$

lies in $\text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n; h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1, \dots, H_r))$ and the induced map

$$(4.18.1) \quad h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \widetilde{F}_n) \rightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1 \bullet \dots \bullet H_r)$$

is compatible with the natural surjection of $F_1^{\text{NST}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\text{NST}}$ to either side (see (3.14.3)); in particular it is surjective.

Proof. It is direct to see that τ satisfies (L1) and (L2) of Definition 4.14. Hence by (4.17.3) it factors via the natural surjection

$$F_1^{\text{NST}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\text{NST}} \twoheadrightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(H_1 \bullet \dots \bullet H_r) =: G.$$

Thus for $L \in \Phi$ and $a_i \in F_i(L)$ we have

$$\tau_L(a_1, \dots, a_n) = [[\underline{a}_1]_L, \dots, [\underline{a}_r]_L]_L \in G(L),$$

where τ_L is defined as in (4.14.1), $\underline{a}_j = (a_{m_{j-1}+1}, \dots, a_{m_j})$, and the bracket notation on the right is as in Corollary 4.11. Therefore, Corollary 4.13 yields

$$c_L^G(\tau_L(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^r c_L^{h_{0,\text{Nis}}(F_j)}([\underline{a}_j]_L) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_L^{F_i}(a_i),$$

i.e., τ satisfies (L3); hence the statement. \square

Remark 4.19. Corollary 4.18, shows that

$$\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}, \quad (F_1, \dots, F_n) \mapsto h_{0,\text{Nis}}(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n),$$

$\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$, is a lax monoidal structure on $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$, in the sense that there is only a weak form of associativity. See also Corollary 4.21 below.

Lemma 4.20. *Let $H \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}$. Set $Y := \mathcal{Y}^\circ$. Let $H_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the presheaf on \mathbf{Sm} defined by $H_{\mathcal{Y}}(X) := \tau_1 \widetilde{H}((X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y})$. Then $H_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Moreover, if M and $N \in \mathbf{MCor}$ are modulus compactifications of X and Y , respectively, such that $a \in H(X \times Y) \cap H_{\mathcal{Y}}(X)$ has modulus $M \bullet N$, with $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$, then M is a modulus for $a \in H_{\mathcal{Y}}(X)$.*

Proof. The inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Cor}(X', X) &= \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset), (X, \emptyset)) \\ &\hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y}, (X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y}), \end{aligned}$$

given by $f \mapsto f \times \text{id}_Y$, endows H_Y with the structure of a presheaf with transfers, which is also a Nisnevich sheaf. Let $a \in H_Y(X)$ and assume there exist modulus compactifications M and N of X and Y , respectively, such that $a \in H(X \times Y)$ has modulus $M \bullet N$, i.e., the Yoneda map $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X \times Y) \rightarrow H$ induced by a factors via $h_0(M \bullet N)$ (see 3.13). The exterior product with id_Y also induces an inclusion

$$(4.20.1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes \overline{\square}, M) \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes (Y, \emptyset) \otimes \overline{\square}, M \bullet N).$$

Indeed, for $\bullet = \otimes$ observe that if $Z \subset X' \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \times X$, then the closure of $Z \times \text{id}_Y$ in $X' \times Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{M} \times \overline{N}$ is contained in $X' \times Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{M} \times Y$; for $\bullet = \underline{\otimes}$ it follows from the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes (Y, \emptyset) \otimes \overline{\square}, M \otimes N) \\ \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes (Y, \emptyset) \otimes \overline{\square}, M \underline{\otimes} N) \end{aligned}$$

induced by the natural map $M \otimes N \rightarrow M \underline{\otimes} N$, see (2.8.4). By definition of $\tilde{H} = \omega^{\text{CI}} H$ we have $a \in \tilde{H}(M \bullet N) \cap H_Y(X)$. Since \tilde{H} is $\overline{\square}$ -invariant, so is $\tau_! \tilde{H}$ (see [Sai, Lemma 1.14]); thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \in \underline{\mathbf{MCor}}((X', \emptyset) \otimes (Y, \emptyset) \otimes \overline{\square}, M \bullet N) \\ \implies i_0^* \gamma^* a = i_1^* \gamma^* a \quad \text{in } H(X \times Y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the natural map $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X) \rightarrow H_Y$ induced by $a \in H_Y(X)$ factors by (4.20.1) via $h_0(M)$, i.e., M is a modulus for $a \in H_Y(X)$. Finally, observe for any $a \in \tau_! \tilde{H}((X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y})$ we always find compactifications M and N of X and Y , respectively, such that $M \otimes N$ is a modulus for a . It follows that any $a \in H_Y(X)$ has a modulus, thus $H_Y \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. \square

Corollary 4.21. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, \dots, G_r \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. Set $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n$, $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{G}_r \in \mathbf{MPST}$. There is a natural surjection*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\tilde{F})^{\text{NST}} \otimes h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\tilde{G}) \twoheadrightarrow h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \quad \text{in NST},$$

which is compatible with the surjection of $F_1^{\text{NST}} \otimes \dots \otimes G_r^{\text{NST}}$ to either side.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and $\phi \in \text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, \dots, G_r; H)$. Fix $b_i \in G_j(Y_j)$, set $\underline{b} := b_1, \dots, b_r$, $Y := Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_r$, $H_Y(X) := H(X \times Y)$, for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$, and define

$$\phi_{\underline{b}, X_1, \dots, X_n} : F_1(X_1) \times \dots \times F_n(X_n) \rightarrow H_Y(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n)$$

by

$$\phi_{\underline{b}, X_1, \dots, X_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \phi(a_1, \dots, a_n, \underline{b}).$$

By Lemma 4.20 we have $H_Y \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Furthermore, it is clear that $\phi_{\underline{b}} := \{\phi_{\underline{b}, X_1, \dots, X_n}\}$ satisfies (L1) and (L2) of Definition 4.14; that it satisfies (L3) follows from the Lemmas 4.15 and 4.20. More precisely, if $a_i \in \widetilde{F}_i(\mathcal{X}_i)$ and $b_j \in \widetilde{G}_j(\mathcal{Y}_j)$, for some $\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y}_j \in \mathbf{MCor}$ with $\mathcal{X}_i^o = X$ and $\mathcal{Y}_j^o = Y_j$, then

$$\phi_{\underline{b}, X_1, \dots, X_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \widetilde{H}(\mathcal{X}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{X}_n \bullet \mathcal{Y}_1 \dots \bullet \mathcal{Y}_r) \subset \widetilde{(H_Y)}(\mathcal{X}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{X}_n),$$

where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{Y}_r$ and H_Y is defined as in Lemma 4.20.

Thus $\phi_{\underline{b}}$ induces by Theorem 4.17 a well-defined map

$$\hat{\phi}_{\underline{b}} : h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{F}}) \rightarrow H_Y \subset H_X.$$

Fix X and $\alpha \in h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{F}})(X)$. Define

$$\phi_{\alpha, Y_1, \dots, Y_r} : G_1(Y_1) \times \dots \times G_r(Y_r) \rightarrow H_X(Y) := H(X \times Y)$$

by

$$\phi_{\alpha, Y_1, \dots, Y_r}(b_1, \dots, b_r) := \hat{\phi}_{\underline{b}}(\alpha).$$

It is direct to check that $\phi_{\alpha} = \{\phi_{\alpha, Y_1, \dots, Y_r}\}$ satisfies (L1) and (L2). Assume the b_j have moduli $\mathcal{Y}_j \in \mathbf{MCor}$ as above. Let $\mathcal{X} = (\overline{X}, X_{\infty})$ be a compactification of (X, \emptyset) . Set $\mathcal{X}^{(m)} = (\overline{X}, mX_{\infty})$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \mathcal{Y}_r$. Then (see e.g. [Sai, Lemma 1.4(5, Remark 1.5)])

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{H}((X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y}) = \varinjlim_m \widetilde{H}(\mathcal{X}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{Y}),$$

i.e., any $c \in \pi_1 \widetilde{H}((X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \subset H_X(Y)$ has a modulus of the form $\mathcal{X}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$, some $m \geq 1$. Thus

$$\phi_{\alpha, Y_1, \dots, Y_r}(b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \pi_1 \widetilde{H}((X, \emptyset) \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \subset \widetilde{(H_X)}(\mathcal{Y}),$$

where the inclusion holds by Lemma 4.20 (with the role of X and Y interchanged). Therefore, ϕ_{α} satisfies (L3), by Lemma 4.15. Hence we obtain an induced map $\hat{\phi}_{\alpha} : h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{G}}) \rightarrow H_X$. It is direct to check that the induced map $\hat{\phi} = \{\hat{\phi}_{X, Z}\}$, with

$$\phi_{X, Z} : h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{F}})(X) \times h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{G}})(Z) \rightarrow H(X \times Z), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}(\beta),$$

satisfies (L1) and (L2) and therefore induces the map from the statement. \square

Lemma 4.22. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and set $\widetilde{\underline{F}} = \widetilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \widetilde{F}_n \in \mathbf{MPST}$, $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. Let $G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$.*

(1) *The natural map $h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{F}} \bullet \widetilde{G}) \twoheadrightarrow h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\underline{F}} \bullet \widetilde{G}'')$ is surjective.*

(2) Assume that the induced maps

$$(4.22.1) \quad \tau_1 \widetilde{G}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}) \twoheadrightarrow \tau_1 \widetilde{G}''(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}), \quad L \in \Phi, n \geq 0,$$

are surjective. Then the following sequence is exact

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \bullet \widetilde{G}') \rightarrow h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \bullet \widetilde{G}) \rightarrow h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \bullet \widetilde{G}'') \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We write Lin^\bullet instead of $\text{Lin}_{\text{RSC}}^\bullet$ and $\underline{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$. For (1) it suffices by Theorem 4.17 to show that for all $H \in \text{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ the natural map $\text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G''; H) \rightarrow \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G; H)$ is injective. This follows directly from the surjectivity $G \twoheadrightarrow G''$ in RSC_{Nis} . Similar for (2) we have to show that for all $H \in \text{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G''; H) \rightarrow \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G; H) \rightarrow \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G'; H)$$

is exact. It remains to show the exactness in the middle. To this end, let $\phi \in \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G; H)$ map to zero in $\text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G'; H)$. For $a_j \in F_j(X_j)$ and $b \in G''(Y)$, take a Nisnevich cover $\{V_i \rightarrow Y\}_i$ such that there exist $\tilde{b}_i \in G(V_i)$ lifting $b|_{V_i}$. Set $\bar{\phi}_{\underline{X}, V_i}(\underline{a}, b|_{V_i}) := \phi_{\underline{X}, Y}(\underline{a}, \tilde{b}_i)$ (with the obvious shorthand notation). By assumption this glues to give an element

$$\bar{\phi}_{\underline{X}, Y}(\underline{a}, b) \in H(\underline{X} \times Y).$$

It is immediate to check that this is independent of the lifts \tilde{b}_i of $b|_{V_i}$ and the choice of the cover $\{V_i \rightarrow Y\}_i$. It is also direct to check that $\bar{\phi} = \{\bar{\phi}_{\underline{X}, Y}\}_{\underline{X}, Y \in \text{Sm}}$ satisfies (L1) and (L2) of Definition 4.14. For (L3), let $a_j \in F_j(L)$ and $b \in G''(L)$; by (4.22.1) we find $\tilde{b} \in G(L)$ with $c_L^G(\tilde{b}) = c_L^{G''}(b)$; hence

$$c_L^H(\bar{\phi}_L(\underline{a}, b)) = c_L^H(\phi_L(\underline{a}, \tilde{b})) \leq \sum_i c_L^{F_i}(a) + c_L^G(\tilde{b}) = \sum_i c_L^{F_i}(a) + c_L^{G''}(b).$$

Thus $\bar{\phi} \in \text{Lin}^\bullet(\underline{F}, G''; H)$ maps to ϕ . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.23. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \text{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and assume all but one of the F_i are proper. Then the natural surjection (see 2.8.4, (3.14.3))*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \widetilde{F}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F}_1 \underline{\otimes} \dots \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{F}_n)$$

is an isomorphism. (Recall that $F \in \text{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ is called proper if $c_L^F(a) = 0$, for all $a \in F(L)$, $L \in \Phi$.)

Proof. By assumption $\text{Lin}_{\text{RSC}}^{\otimes}(\underline{F}; H) = \text{Lin}_{\text{RSC}}^{\otimes}(\underline{F}; H)$, where $\underline{F} = F_1, \dots, F_n$; thus the statement follows from Theorem 4.17. \square

5. APPLICATIONS

In this section we compute $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(F_1 \bullet \dots \bullet F_n)$, $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \boxtimes\}$, in certain cases, where the $F_i \in \mathbf{MPST}$ are modulus lifts of the multiplicative - or the additive group, of abelian varieties, or of generalized Jacobians. We also compare it to the reciprocity functors defined in [IR17].

5.1. For $M \in \mathbf{MCor}$ we write

$$(5.1.1) \quad h_0^\square(M)^0 := \ker(h_0^\square(M) \rightarrow h_0^\square(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset) = \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathbf{CI},$$

where the map is induced by the structure morphism of M . We have

$$\omega_{\mathbf{CI}} h_0^\square(M)^0 = h_0(M)^0 := \text{Ker}(h_0(M) \rightarrow h_0(\text{Spec } k, \emptyset)) \in \mathbf{RSC}.$$

Hence we get a natural map

$$h_0^\square(M)^0 \rightarrow \omega^{\mathbf{CI}} h_0(M)^0 = \widetilde{h_0(M)^0}.$$

This map is in general not an isomorphism (e.g. not in the case $M = \mathbb{G}_a^M$ considered below.)

Set $\mathbb{G}_m^M := (\mathbb{P}^1, (0) + (\infty))$, $\mathbb{G}_a^M := (\mathbb{P}^1, 2(\infty))$. Fix $*$ $\in \{m, a\}$. We put

$$\mathbb{G}_*^\# := h_0^\square(\mathbb{G}_*^M)^0 \in \mathbf{CI}.$$

By [RY16, Theorem 1.1], we have an isomorphism in \mathbf{RSC}

$$(5.1.2) \quad (\omega_{\mathbf{CI}} \mathbb{G}_*^\#)_{\text{Nis}} \cong \mathbb{G}_*.$$

For any field K containing k , we identify $\mathbb{G}_*^\#(K) = \mathbb{G}_*(K)$. We regard a rational function $f \in K(t)$ as a morphism $f : \mathbb{P}_K^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1 = (\overline{\mathbb{G}_*^M})_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{G}_*^M}$. Let D be an effective divisor on \mathbb{P}_K^1 such that $D \geq f^*((\mathbb{G}_*^M)^\infty)$. Let $\Gamma_f \in \mathbf{MCor}((\mathbb{P}_K^1, D), \mathbb{G}_*^M)$ be the graph of f (restricted to $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus |D|$). Set $\epsilon = 1$ (resp. 0) if $*$ = m (resp. a), regarded as a constant function. Then the image of $\Gamma_f - \Gamma_\epsilon$ by the natural map

$$\mathbf{MCor}((\mathbb{P}_K^1, D), \mathbb{G}_*^M) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_*^M)(\mathbb{P}_K^1, D) \rightarrow h_0^\square(\mathbb{G}_*^M)(\mathbb{P}_K^1, D)$$

belongs to $\mathbb{G}_*^\#(\mathbb{P}_K^1, D)$, which we denote by $f^\#$. For a closed point $i : x \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus |D|$, we write $f(x) := i^*(f^\#) \in \mathbb{G}_*^\#(K(x)) = \mathbb{G}_*(K(x))$.

5a. The case of homotopy invariant sheaves.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Assume there exists a natural number $n \geq 0$, such that $c_L^F(a) \leq n$, for all $L \in \Phi$ and all $a \in F(L)$ (see 4.10, 4.12 for notation). Then $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$ and we can take $n = 1$.*

Proof. By [RS, Corollaries 4.33, 4.36] we have for general $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$(5.2.1) \quad F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}} \iff c_L^F(a) \leq 1, \text{ for all } L \in \Phi, a \in F(L).$$

By [RS, Theorem 4.15(2)] the motivic conductor $c^F = \{c_L^F\}_{L \in \Phi}$ is a conductor in the sense of *loc. cit.*, in particular it satisfies

$$(5.2.2) \quad c_L^F(f_*a) \leq \lceil c_{L'}^F(a)/e \rceil,$$

where $f : \text{Spec } L' \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ is finite of ramification index e and $a \in F(L')$. Now assume F is as in the assumptions of the lemma. Let $L \in \Phi$ and $a \in F(L)$. Let L_i/L be a totally ramified extensions of degree $n + i$, with $i = 0, 1$, and denote by $\pi_i : \text{Spec } L_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ the corresponding morphisms. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} c_L(a) &= c_L((n+1)a - na) \\ &\leq \max\{c_L((n+1)a), c_L(na)\} \\ &= \max\{c_L(\pi_{1*}\pi_1^*a), c_L(\pi_{0*}\pi_0^*a)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\lceil c_{L_1}(\pi_1^*a)/(n+1) \rceil, \lceil c_{L_0}(\pi_0^*a)/n \rceil\}, \quad \text{by (5.2.2)} \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by assumption. This proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$, $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. Then*

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n) = F_1^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}}.$$

Proof. Denote by F the left hand side. It follows from the Corollaries 4.11 and 4.13, (5.2.1), (5.2.2), and Lemma 5.2 that $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$. We have natural surjections (see (3.14.3))

$$F_1^{\text{NST}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\text{NST}} \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow F_1^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}}.$$

Applying $h_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ (see 3.16) and Nisnevich sheafifying yields a factorization of the identity

$$F_1^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow F_1^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_n^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}}.$$

This proves the statement. \square

Problem 5.4. Suppose that $G_i \in \mathbf{MPST}$ such that $F_i := \omega_! G_i \in \mathbf{RSC}$. We have a natural surjection

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}(G_1 \otimes \dots \otimes G_n) \twoheadrightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\tilde{F}_1 \bullet \dots \bullet \tilde{F}_n).$$

This is in general not an isomorphism, see, e.g., Theorem 5.14 and Corollary 5.17. What happens if $G_i \in \mathbf{CI}$ and $F_i \in \mathbf{HI}$? Is the map then also injective?

5.5. Let \mathcal{K}_n^M be the restriction to \mathbf{Sm} of the improved Milnor K -sheaf from [Ker10, 1.]; in particular it is a Zariski sheaf. By [Ker10, Proposition 10, (8)] (see also [Ker09, Theorem 7.6])

$$\mathcal{K}_n^M \cong \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n)),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ is Voevodsky's motivic complex. In particular, $\mathcal{K}_n^M \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$, by [Voe00, Theorem 3.1.12]. Employing $\mathbb{Z}(1)[1] \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ in \mathbf{DM} (see [MVW06, Theorem 4.1]) we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_n^M \cong \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}(n)[n]) \cong \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}(1)[1]^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}.$$

Thus Theorem 5.3 yields an isomorphism

$$(5.5.1) \quad h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{\bullet n}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_n^M, \quad \bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}.$$

It is direct to check that when evaluated at a k -field K this map is given by

$$(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)_{K'/K} \mapsto \text{Nm}_{K'/K}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\},$$

where K'/K is a finite field extension and $a_i \in (K')^\times$. However, Theorem 5.3 does not imply the following result.

Proposition 5.6. *We have isomorphisms in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$*

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}((\mathbb{G}_m^M/1)^{\bullet n}) \cong h_{0,\text{Nis}}((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\bullet n}) \cong \mathcal{K}_n^M, \quad \bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\},$$

where $\mathbb{G}_m^M/1 = \text{Coker}(i_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_m^M)) \in \mathbf{MPST}$ and $i_1 : \{1\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (see 5.1 for notation).

Proof. The first isomorphism for $\bullet = \otimes$ follows from $h_0^\square(\mathbb{G}_m^M/1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m^\#$ and Lemma 3.12. Thus for any $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ appearing in the statement, we have a chain of surjective maps

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n}) \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}}) \cong \mathcal{K}_n^M.$$

Hence by Lemma 4.16 it suffices to show that $\alpha : h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K) \rightarrow K_n^M(K)$ is bijective for any finitely generated k -field K . For this, we construct a surjection $\beta : K_n^M(K) \twoheadrightarrow h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$ such that $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}$. We want to define β by $\beta(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}) = a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$. If this is well defined, then β is automatically surjective since it is compatible with the surjection of $\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes \text{PST}^n}(K)$ to either side. Showing well-definedness of β amounts to show

$$(5.6.1) \quad a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = 0 \text{ in } h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K^\times$ such that $a_i + a_j = 1$ for some $i < j$. This can be shown by a slight modification of the proof of [IR17, Proposition 5.3.1], so we will be brief.

We may suppose $(i, j) = (1, 2)$, and put $a := a_1 = 1 - a_2$, $\mathbf{b} := a_3 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$. Let $K' = K(c, \mu)$ be a finite extension of K generated by $c, \mu \in$

K'^\times such that $c^6 = a$ and such that μ is of order 12 (resp. 4, resp. 3) if the characteristic is neither 2 nor 3 (resp. 3, resp. 2). Consider rational functions in $K'(t)$

$$f = \frac{t^6 - a}{t^6 - (a+1)t^4 + (a+1)t^2 - a} = \frac{(t^2 - c^2)(t^2 - \mu^2 c^2)(t^2 - \mu^4 c^2)}{(t^2 - c^6)(t^2 - \mu^2)(t^2 - \mu^{10})},$$

$$g_1 = t, \quad g_2 = 1 - t, \quad g_i = a_i \quad (i = 3, \dots, n)$$

so that (with the notation from 5.1)

$$g_1^\# \in \mathbb{G}_m^\#(\mathbb{P}_{K'}^1, (0) + (\infty)),$$

$$g_2^\# \in \mathbb{G}_m^\#(\mathbb{P}_{K'}^1, (1) + (\infty)),$$

$$g_i^\# \in \mathbb{G}_m^\#(\mathbb{P}_{K'}^1, \emptyset) \quad (i = 3, \dots, n).$$

Since $f \equiv 1 \pmod{(0) + (1) + 2(\infty)}$, we may apply Theorem 4.9 (R2) to get a vanishing element in $h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K')$. A slight modification of the computation in *loc. cit.* shows that $4(a \otimes (1 - a) \otimes \mathbf{b}) = 0$ in $h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K')$. Since $[K' : K]$ is divisible by 24, we get $96(a \otimes (1 - a) \otimes \mathbf{b}) = 0$ in $h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$. Now, (5.6.1) follows from exactly the same argument as [MVW06, Lemma 5.8]. \square

5b. Comparison with the K-group of reciprocity functors.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} = \mathbf{RSC} \cap \mathbf{NST}$. Let $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1} \subset \mathbf{MCor}^{\text{pro}}$ be the full subcategory whose objects are regular schemes of dimension ≤ 1 , which are of finite type and separated over a finitely generated field extension of k . Then the restriction (via 4.1.2) of F_i to $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1}$ is a reciprocity functor in the sense of [IR17, Definition 1.5.1]. Furthermore, if $T(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ denotes the reciprocity functor defined in [IR17, 4.2.3] and K/k is a finitely generated field, then*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\tilde{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{F}_n)(K) = T(F_1, \dots, F_n)(K).$$

Proof. For the first statement, observe that $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ clearly restricts to a Nisnevich sheaf with transfers on $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1}$ in the sense of [IR17, Definition 1.2.1] satisfying the condition (FP) from [IR17, Definition 1.3.5]. The condition (Inj) from *loc. cit.*, i.e., the injectivity of the restriction $F(X) \hookrightarrow F(U)$ for $U \subset X$ open dense in $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1}$ is satisfied by [KSY16, Theorem 6] and [KSY, Corollary 3.2.3]. Finally, note by [KSY16, Lemma 5.1.7] and [KSY, Corollary 3.2.3], the reciprocity sheaf F has weak reciprocity in the sense of [KSY16, 5.1.6], which implies that any element $a \in F(K(C))$, where $K(C)$ is the function field of a regular projective curve over a finitely generated k -field, has a modulus in the sense of [IR17, Definition 1.4.1]. Hence F defines a reciprocity functor in the sense of [IR17, Definition 1.5.1]. This shows the first statement.

For the second statement observe that by Theorem 4.9 we have a morphism of presheaves with transfers on $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1}$

$$(5.7.1) \quad LT(F_1, \dots, F_n) \rightarrow h_0(\widetilde{F}_1 \underline{\otimes} \dots \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{F}_n) := F,$$

where $LT(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ is defined in [IR17, Definition 4.2.3(1)], and it induces an isomorphism

$$(5.7.2) \quad LT(F_1, \dots, F_n)(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(K).$$

Let Σ be the functor from [IR17, Proposition 3.1.4]. By the adjunction property of Σ , the composition of (5.7.1) with the natural map $F \rightarrow F_{\text{Nis}}$ factors as follows in the category of presheaves with transfers on $\mathbf{Reg}^{\leq 1}$

$$LT(F_1, \dots, F_n) \rightarrow T(F_1, \dots, F_n) \stackrel{\text{defn}}{=} \Sigma(LT(F_1, \dots, F_n)) \rightarrow F_{\text{Nis}}.$$

Thus the isomorphism (5.7.2) factors as

$$LT(F_1, \dots, F_n)(K) \twoheadrightarrow T(F_1, \dots, F_n)(K) \rightarrow F_{\text{Nis}}(K) = F(K),$$

where the first map on the left is surjective by the construction of Σ , see [IR17, Proposition 3.1.4]. This implies the statement. \square

5c. Tensors of additive groups.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$.*

(1) *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2$. We have*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{F}) = 0.$$

(2) *Assume the characteristic of k is zero. Let G be a unipotent commutative group scheme over k and A an abelian k -variety. Then $G, A \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ and*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{A} \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{G} \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{F}) = h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{A} \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{G} \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{F}) = 0.$$

Proof. (1). By [IR17, Theorem 5.5.1], we have $T(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_a, F)(K) = 0$, for all function fields K . Hence the statement follows from Theorem 5.7 and Lemma 4.16. (2). The first statement follows from [KSY, Corollary 3.2.5]. To show the vanishing, recall that a unipotent commutative group scheme in characteristic zero is a product of \mathbb{G}_a . By [RY14, Corollary 1.2] we have $T(A, \mathbb{G}_a)(K) = 0$. Thus the statement follows from Corollary 4.21, Corollary 4.23, and Theorem 5.7. \square

Remark 5.9. The vanishing results above were conjectured by Bruno Kahn, even before a precise definition of the terms were available.

Next we compute $h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a)$, in particular it does not vanish.

5.10. Denote by $\Omega^n = \Omega^n_{-/ \mathbb{Z}}$ the sheaf of absolute Kähler differentials. By [KSY, Corollary 3.2.5] we have $\Omega^n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. Note also that $\mathbb{G}_a = \Omega^0$ in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. For $L \in \Phi$ and $a \in \Omega^n_L$ we have by [RS, Theorem 6.4]

$$(5.10.1) \quad c_L^{\Omega^n}(a) \leq r \iff a \in \begin{cases} \Omega^n_{\mathcal{O}_L}, & \text{if } r = 0, \\ \frac{1}{t^{r-1}} \cdot \Omega^n_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\log), & \text{if } r \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

see 4.10, 4.12 for notation. Furthermore, for a function field K and a regular projective K -curve C , the local symbol at a closed point $x \in C$ (in the sense of [KSY16, Proposition 5.9]) $(-, -)_{C/K, x} : \Omega^q_{K(C)} \times K(C)^\times \rightarrow \Omega^q_K$ is given by

$$(5.10.2) \quad (a, f)_{C/K, x} = \text{Res}_{C/K, x}(a \, d\log f),$$

where $\text{Res}_{C/K, x}$ is the residue symbol at x (see e.g. [Kun86, 17.4]). If K is non-perfect, this requires a small argument, see [RS, Lemma 7.11].

5.11. Let \mathcal{P}^1 be the sheaf on the Zariski site of all schemes given by

$$\mathcal{P}^1(X) = \Gamma(X, \Delta_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} X} / I_{\Delta_X}^2)),$$

where $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ is the diagonal and I_{Δ_X} is the ideal sheaf defined by Δ_X . We have the isomorphisms

$$(5.11.1) \quad \varphi, \varphi' : \mathcal{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^1 \oplus \mathbb{G}_a$$

given by

$$\varphi(a \otimes b) = adb \oplus ab \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'(a \otimes b) = bda \oplus ab.$$

The inverse of φ is given by

$$(5.11.2) \quad \varphi^{-1}(adb \oplus c) = a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 + c \otimes 1,$$

and similarly for φ' . The restriction of \mathcal{P}^1 to \mathbf{Sm} can be equipped with transfers via φ or φ' , but the following lemma shows they are the same. We obtain $\mathcal{P}^1 \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$.

Lemma 5.12. *The two transfer structures on \mathcal{P}^1 induced by φ and φ' coincide.*

Proof. Let $Z \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, Y)$ be a finite prime correspondence. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^1(Y)$ denote by $Z^*\alpha$ the action defined via φ , and by $Z^\star\alpha$ the action defined by φ' . We have to show $Z^*\alpha = Z^\star\alpha$ in $\mathcal{P}^1(X)$. This question is local on X . After shrinking X we can therefore assume that Z is smooth. Denote by $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ the maps induced by projection and write $f^* = \Gamma_f^*$ and $g_* = (\Gamma_g^t)$, where Γ_f and Γ_g^t are the graph of f and the transpose of the graph of g , respectively, similar with \star . We obtain $Z^* = g_*f^*$ and $Z^\star = g_\star f^\star$. Obviously we have $f^* = f^\star$. It remains to show $g_* = g_\star$. This is local in X and we can therefore assume

$X = \operatorname{Spec} K$, with K a field and g corresponds to a finite field extension L/K . Via φ (resp. φ') the pushforward g_* (resp. g_\star) corresponds to $\operatorname{Tr} \oplus \operatorname{Tr}$ on $\Omega^1 \oplus \mathbb{G}_a$ (we write Tr for $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}$). Note

$$\varphi^{-1}(adb \oplus c) = a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 + c \otimes 1, \quad \varphi'^{-1}(adb \oplus c) = b \otimes a - 1 \otimes ab + 1 \otimes c.$$

By transitivity it suffices to consider the cases in which L/K is either separable or purely inseparable of degree p .

1st case: L/K is separable: From the isomorphism φ we find that any element in $\mathcal{P}^1(L)$ can be written as a sum of elements

$$\alpha := a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 + c \otimes 1, \quad a, c \in L, b \in K.$$

Using that Tr is K -linear and commutes with d we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_\star(\alpha) &= \operatorname{Tr}(a) \otimes b - 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(a)b + 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(a)b \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Tr}(a)b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(a)b - 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(a)b \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Tr}(c) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(c) + 1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}(c) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}(a) \otimes b - \operatorname{Tr}(a)b \otimes 1 + \operatorname{Tr}(c) \otimes 1 \\ &= f_*(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

2nd case: L/K is purely inseparable of degree p . We can write $L = K[x]$ with $x \in L \setminus L^p$ and $x^p =: y \in K$. From the isomorphism φ we see that we can write any element as a sum of the following elements

$$\alpha = ax^i \otimes x - ax^{i+1} \otimes 1 + c \otimes 1, \quad a \in K, i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, c \in L$$

$$\beta = ax^i \otimes b - abx^i \otimes 1 + c \otimes 1, \quad a, b \in K, i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, c \in L.$$

Note that $0 = \operatorname{Tr} : L \rightarrow K$, and for $a \in K$ we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x^i da) = 0, \quad \text{all } i, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(ax^i dx) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in \{0, \dots, p-2\} \\ ady, & \text{if } i = p-1. \end{cases}$$

Using that $(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)(1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1) = 0$ in $\mathcal{P}^1(K)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_\star \alpha &= \varphi'^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} \varphi'(\alpha) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}, \\ -(y \otimes a - 1 \otimes ya), & \text{if } i = p-1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in \{0, \dots, p-2\}, \\ a \otimes y - ay \otimes 1, & \text{if } i = p-1, \end{cases} \\ &= \varphi^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} \varphi(\alpha) \\ &= f_* \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it follows directly from the above formulas and $\operatorname{Tr} d = d \operatorname{Tr}$ that we have $f_* \beta = 0 = f_\star \beta$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.13. *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2$. Let K be a k -field. Then the morphism*

$$\Psi_K : \mathcal{P}^1(K) \rightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto [a, b]_K$$

is well-defined and surjective (see Corollary 4.11 for the bracket notation on the right).

Proof. We have $\mathcal{P}^1(K) = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K / I_{\Delta_K}^2$. As a group $I_{\Delta_K}^2$ is generated by elements of the form

$$(5.13.1) \quad (c \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes ab + ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes b - b \otimes a), \quad a, b, c \in K \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus for the well-definedness of Ψ it suffices to show that this element is mapped to zero in $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K)$. To this end, consider the following functions on \mathbb{P}_K^1 (where $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{\infty\} = \text{Spec } K[t]$)

$$f = \frac{(t^2 - \frac{a^2}{4})(t^2 - b^2)(t^2 - (1 + \frac{ab}{2})^2)}{(t^2 - 1)(t^2 - \frac{a^2 b^2}{4})(t^2 - (\frac{a}{2} + b)^2)}, \quad g_1 = c \cdot t, \quad g_2 = t.$$

One can check that $f \equiv 1 \pmod{4(\infty)}$ and that $g_1^\#, g_2^\# \in \mathbb{G}_a^\#(\mathbb{P}^1, 2\infty)$ (see 5.1 for notation). Therefore, by Theorem 4.9, in $(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes^{\text{CI}} \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}} v_x(f) \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K}([g_1(x), g_2(x)]_{K(x)}) \\ &= ca \otimes \frac{a}{2} + 2cb \otimes b + c(2 + ab) \otimes (1 + \frac{ab}{2}) \\ &\quad - 2c \otimes 1 - cab \otimes \frac{ab}{2} - c(a + 2b) \otimes (\frac{a}{2} + b) \\ &= c \otimes ab + cab \otimes 1 - ca \otimes b - cb \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Ψ_K is well-defined. By Corollary 4.11 Ψ_K is surjective if we show

$$(5.13.2) \quad \text{Tr}_{K'/K} \circ \Psi_{K'} = \Psi_K \circ \text{Tr}_{K'/K} : \mathcal{P}^1(K') \rightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K),$$

for all finite field extensions K'/K . By definition of the transfer structure on \mathcal{P}^1 we have to show this equality after precomposing with (5.11.2). By the transitivity of the trace it suffices to consider separately the cases in which K'/K is either separable or purely inseparable of degree $p = \text{ch}(k)$. Set $\Psi'_K := \Psi_K \circ (5.11.2)$ and $\text{Tr} := \text{Tr}_{K'/K}$.

1st case: K'/K separable: In this case $\Omega_{K'}^1$ is generated by elements of the form $a'db$ with $a' \in K'$ and $b \in K$. Let $c' \in K'$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'_K \operatorname{Tr}(a'db \oplus c') &= \Psi'_K(\operatorname{Tr}(a')db \oplus \operatorname{Tr}(c')) \\ &= [\operatorname{Tr}(a'), b]_K - [\operatorname{Tr}(a')b, 1]_K + [\operatorname{Tr}(c'), 1]_K \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}([a', b]_{K'} - [a'b, 1]_{K'} + [c', 1]_{K'}) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \Psi'_{K'}(a'db \oplus c'), \end{aligned}$$

where for the pre-last equality we use projection formulas. This yields (5.13.2) in this case. Note that the argument on \mathbb{G}_a works for any field extension K'/K , thus we can assume $c' = 0$ in the following.

2nd case: K'/K is purely inseparable of degree p . In this case we can write $K' = K[x]$, where $x \in K'$ and $y := x^p \in K$. It follows that every element in $\Omega_{K'}^1$ can be written as a sum of elements of the form

$$x^iadb, \quad x^iadx, \quad a, b \in K, i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}.$$

For x^iadb we argue as in the case above. For $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ we have $x^iadx = \frac{1}{i+1}adx^{i+1}$ and thus $\operatorname{Tr}(x^iadx) = \frac{1}{i+1}ad\operatorname{Tr}(x^{i+1})$ and we can again argue similarly as in the above case. (In fact these traces are zero, but this is not needed.) It remains to consider the element $x^{p-1}adx$. We have

$$\Psi'_K \operatorname{Tr}(x^{p-1}adx) = \Psi'_K(ady) = [a, y]_K - [ay, 1]_K.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} \Psi'_{K'}(x^{p-1}adx) &= \operatorname{Tr}([ax^{p-1}, x]_{K'} - [ay, 1]_{K'}) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}[ax^{p-1}, x]_{K'}, \end{aligned}$$

for the last equality we use the projection formula and $\operatorname{Tr}(1) = p = 0$ (in the case under consideration). Thus it remains to show

$$(5.13.3) \quad \operatorname{Tr}([ax^{p-1}, x]_{K'}) - [a, y]_K + [ay, 1]_K = 0.$$

To this end, consider the following functions on \mathbb{P}_K^1 (where $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{\infty\} = \operatorname{Spec} K[t]$)

$$f = \frac{(t^{2p} - y^2)(t^2 - 1)}{(t^2 - y^2)(t^{2p} - 1)}, \quad g_1 = \frac{ay}{t}, \quad g_2 = t.$$

Then $g_1^\# \in \mathbb{G}_a^\#(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2 \cdot 0)$, $g_2 \in \mathbb{G}_a^\#(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2 \cdot \infty)$ and $f \equiv 1 \pmod{2 \cdot (0 + \infty)}$. Note that $V(t^p - y)$ defines a point in $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ with residue field K' .

By Theorem 4.9 we obtain in $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}} v_z(f) \cdot \text{Tr}_{K(z)/K}([g_1(z), g_2(z)]_{K(z)}) \\ &= 2 \text{Tr}_{K'/K}([ay/x, x]_{K'}) + 2[ay, 1]_K - 2[a, y]_K - 2p[ay, 1]_K \\ &= 2(\text{Tr}_{K'/K}([ax^{p-1}, x]_{K'}) + [ay, 1]_K - [a, y]_K). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \neq 2$, this shows (5.13.3) and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Theorem 5.14. *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2$. Then there are canonical isomorphisms in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$*

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M/0) \cong h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#) \cong \mathcal{P}^1,$$

where $\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 = \text{Coker}(i_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M))$ (see 5.1 for notation).

Proof. The first isomorphism follows from $h_0^\square(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0) \cong \mathbb{G}_a^\#$ and Lemma 3.12. For a prime correspondence $Z \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, \mathbb{P}_t^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}_s^1 \setminus \{\infty\})$ (here t, s are the coordinates away from ∞) we define

$$\theta(Z) := Z^*(s \otimes t) \in \mathcal{P}^1(X),$$

where we view $s \otimes t \in \mathcal{P}^1(\mathbb{P}_s^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}_t^1 \setminus \{\infty\})$ and Z^* denotes the transfer action. Clearly θ extends to a well-defined morphism in \mathbf{PST}

$$\theta : \frac{\underline{\omega}_! \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M)}{(i_0 \times \text{id}) \underline{\omega}_! \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M) + (\text{id} \times i_0) \underline{\omega}_! \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1,$$

where $i_0 : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1, 2 \cdot \infty)$ is induced by the zero-section. We want to show that θ factors via

$$(5.14.1) \quad \bar{\theta} : h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M/0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1.$$

To this end it suffices to show that if $Z \in \mathbf{MCor}((\mathbb{P}_X^1, 1_X), \mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M)$ is a prime correspondence then

$$\theta(Z \circ i_0 - Z \circ i_\infty) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}^1(X).$$

It suffices to show this in the case where $X = \text{Spec } K$ is a function field. Hence we can assume that the correspondence Z factors via

$$Z = \pi \circ (f^t),$$

where $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a morphism from a proper regular K -curve, $f \in K(C)^\times$ is a function satisfying $f \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^*(2 \cdot \infty \times \mathbb{P}^1 + \mathbb{P}^1 \times 2 \cdot \infty)} := D$,

and $f^t \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ is the transpose of the graph of f . Using the identification (5.11.1) and (5.10.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(Z \circ i_0 - Z \circ i_\infty) &= \text{Div}_C(f)^* \pi^*(sdt \oplus st) \\ &= \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} \text{Res}_{C/K,x}(\pi^*(sdt) \text{dlog } f) \\ &\quad \oplus \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} \text{Res}_{C/K,x}(\pi^*(st) \text{dlog } f). \end{aligned}$$

Now $s \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}_s^1 \setminus \{\infty\})$ has pole divisor equal to ∞ thus $\pi^*s \in \mathcal{O}(C \setminus |D|)$ has pole equal to $\pi^*(p_1^*\infty)$, where $p_1 : \mathbb{P}_s^1 \times \mathbb{P}_t^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_s^1$ is the first projection; similar with π^*t . Thus if $x \in |D|$ and n_i is the multiplicity of x in $\pi^*(p_1^*\infty)$, then $\pi^*(sdt)$ and $\pi^*(st)$ have a pole of order at most $n_1 + n_2 + 1$; on the other hand we have $f \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_x^{2n_1+2n_2}$. We find

$$\pi^*(sdt) \text{dlog } f \in \Omega_{C,x}^2, \quad \pi^*(st) \text{dlog } f \in \Omega_{C,x}^1, \quad \text{for } x \in |D|.$$

We obtain

$$\theta(Z \circ i_0 - Z \circ i_\infty) = \sum_{x \in C} \text{Res}_{C/K,x}((\pi^*(sdt) \oplus \pi^*(st)) \text{dlog } f) = 0,$$

by the reciprocity law for the residual symbol. This shows the existence of $\bar{\theta}$. By Lemma 4.16 it suffices to show that this is an isomorphism on function fields K/k . It is direct to check that the composition

$$\mathcal{P}^1(K) \xrightarrow{\Psi_K} h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K) \cong h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M/0)(K) \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} \mathcal{P}^1(K)$$

is the identity, where Ψ_K is the map from Proposition 5.13. Since Ψ_K is surjective it follows that $\bar{\theta}(K)$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.15. *Assume k is of characteristic zero. Then, in RSC_{Nis} , we have isomorphisms*

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M/0) \cong h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#) \cong h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a}) \cong \mathcal{P}^1.$$

Proof. The first isomorphism follows from $h_0^\square(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0) \cong \mathbb{G}_a^\#$ and Lemma 3.12. For $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$ define

$$\phi_{X,Y} : \mathbb{G}_a(X) \times \mathbb{G}_a(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1(X \times Y)$$

by

$$\phi_{X,Y}(a, b) := p^*(a) \otimes q^*(b) \bmod I_{\Delta_{X \times Y}}^2,$$

where $p_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $q : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projections and set $\phi := \{\phi_{X,Y}\}_{X,Y}$. Clearly ϕ satisfies (L1) from Definition 4.14. For (L2) consider $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(X', X)$, $a \in \mathbb{G}_a(X)$, $b \in \mathbb{G}_a(Y)$. We have to show

$$(5.15.1) \quad (f \times \text{id}_Y)^* \phi_{X,Y}(a, b) = \phi_{X',Y}(f^*a, b) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}^1(X' \times Y).$$

It suffices to show this after shrinking X' arbitrarily around the generic points of X' . Thus we can assume that f factors as $f = h \circ g^t$, where $h : Z \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in \mathbf{Sm} and $g : Z \rightarrow X'$ is finite and surjective and $g^t \subset X' \times Z$ is the transpose of the graph. Clearly (5.15.1) holds for $f = h$. Thus we can assume $f = g^t$ with $g : X \rightarrow X'$ finite and surjective. Let $p' : X' \times Y \rightarrow X'$ and $q' : X' \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projections and set $g_Y := g \times \text{id}_Y$. Note

$$(5.15.2) \quad q = q' \circ g_Y.$$

Recall that the transfer action is induced by (5.11.1). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (f \times \text{id}_Y)^* \phi_{X,Y}(a, b) &= g_{Y*} \phi_{X,Y}(a, b) \\ &= g_{Y*}(p^*(a) dq^*(b)) \\ &\quad \oplus g_{Y*}(p^*(a) \cdot q^*(b)), && \text{via (5.11.1),} \\ &= g_{Y*}(p^*(a) \cdot g_Y^* dq'^*(b)) \\ &\quad \oplus g_{Y*}(p^*(a) \cdot g_Y^* q'^*(b)), && \text{by (5.15.2),} \\ &= (g_{Y*} p^*(a)) \cdot dq'^*(b) \\ &\quad \oplus (g_{Y*} p^*(a)) \cdot q'^*(b), && \text{proj. formula,} \\ &= p'^*(g_* a) \cdot dq'^* b \oplus p'^*(g_* a) \cdot q'^* b \\ &= \phi_{X',Y}(g_* a, b), && \text{via (5.11.1),} \\ &= \phi_{X',Y}(f^* a, b). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (5.15.1). The corresponding formula with $f \in \mathbf{Cor}(Y', Y)$ involving $(\text{id}_X \times f)^*$ is proved similarly. (Use that the differential $d : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ is a morphism in \mathbf{PST} hence $g_* d = dg_*$.) Thus ϕ satisfies (L2). Let $L \in \Phi$ and $a, b \in L$. For (L3) we have to show

$$(5.15.3) \quad c_L^{\mathcal{P}^1}(\phi_L(a, b)) \leq c_L^{\mathbb{G}_a}(a) + c_L^{\mathbb{G}_a}(b).$$

By (5.11.1) we have

$$c_L^{\mathcal{P}^1}(\phi_L(a, b)) = \max\{c_L^{\Omega^1}(adb), c_L^{\mathbb{G}_a}(a \cdot b)\}.$$

Thus (L3) follows from (5.10.1) (with $n = 0, 1$). By Theorem 4.17 we obtain a morphism in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$\phi : h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1.$$

Composing with the natural surjection $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a}^\#) \twoheadrightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a})$ we obtain the morphism

$$(5.15.4) \quad h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^1.$$

By Lemma 4.16 it suffices to show that this is an isomorphism on function fields K/k . On such a K the morphism Ψ_K from Proposition 5.13 is clearly inverse to (5.15.4); this proves the theorem. \square

Remark 5.16. The isomorphism $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a) \cong \mathcal{P}^1$ from Theorem 5.15 does not generalize to positive characteristic, see Corollary 5.17 below. One reason is that formula (5.10.1) does not hold in this case. Indeed, if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, then for $L \in \Phi$ with local parameter t and $r \geq 2$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-r}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \geq 0} F^j(\frac{1}{t^{r-1}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_L), & \text{if } (r, p) = 1, \\ \sum_{j \geq 0} F^j(\frac{1}{t^r} \cdot \mathcal{O}_L), & \text{if } p \mid r, \end{cases}$$

see [RS, Theorem 7.15]. Hence in positive characteristic (5.10.1) does not hold. (For example, $F(1/t^{r-1}) \cdot 1/t^{s-1} \notin \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-(r+s)})$ in general.)

Corollary 5.17. *Suppose the characteristic of k is $p \geq 3$ and let K be a perfect k -field. Then $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a)(K) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\Omega_K^1 = 0$, for perfect K , Proposition 5.13 yields a surjection (5.17.1)

$$K \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K) \twoheadrightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a)(K), \quad a \mapsto [a, 1]_K.$$

Take $a \in K$ and consider the following functions on \mathbb{P}_K^1

$$g_1 = at, \quad g_2 = t^p, \quad f = \frac{t^{p+1} - 1}{t^{p+1}} \in K(t).$$

Set

$$\alpha_a := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{\infty\}} v_x(f) \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K}([g_1(x), g_2(x)]_{K(x)}) \in h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K).$$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ be the points corresponding to the irreducible factors of $t^{p+1} - 1$ and denote by $K_i = K(x_i)$ their residue fields. Then

$$\alpha_a = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}_{K_i/K}([at(x_i), t(x_i)^p]_{K_i}).$$

Under the map $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K) \rightarrow K$ induced from the isomorphism in Theorem 5.14 α_a is sent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}_{K_i/K}(at(x_i)^{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n [K_i : K]a = (p+1)a = a.$$

Since this last map is the inverse of the first map in (5.17.1) we obtain

$$[a, 1]_K = \alpha_a \quad \text{in } h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^\#)(K).$$

On the other hand, since $f \equiv 1 \pmod{4(\infty)}$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2(\infty))$, by Remark 5.16, the image of α_a in $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a)(K)$ is zero by Theorem 4.9. This proves the statement. \square

5d. Tensors of the additive - with the multiplicative group.

Proposition 5.18. *Assume $\text{ch}(k) \neq 2, 3, 5$ and let K be a field containing k . Then the morphism*

$$(5.18.1) \quad \theta : \Omega_K^n \rightarrow h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K),$$

$$a \text{ dlog } b_1 \cdots \text{dlog } b_n \mapsto [a, b_1, \dots, b_n]_K,$$

is well-defined and surjective.

Proof. First we show the well-definedness. We employ the same strategy of Hiranouchi [Hir14] and Ivorra-Rülling [IR17], but with different functions, since the modulus condition is different. According to [BE03b, Lemma 4.1], our task is to show that the elements of the forms

- (i) $[ca, a, b_2, \dots, b_n]_K + [c(1-a), (1-a), b_2, \dots, b_n]_K$
- (ii) $[a, b_1, \dots, b_n]_K \quad (b_i = b_j \text{ for some } i < j)$

are zero in $h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$, where $a \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $b_i \in K^\times$, $c \in K$. We will use the notations introduced in §5.1.

(i) Set $\mathbf{b} := b_2, \dots, b_n$. If a is a root of $t^2 - t + 1 = 0$, then so is $1 - a$; furthermore, $a^3 = -1$ and hence

$$[ca, a, \mathbf{b}]_K = 0 = [c(1-a), (1-a), \mathbf{b}]_K.$$

Given $a \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ with $a^2 - a + 1 \neq 0$ and $b_i \in K^\times$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, we consider the following rational functions

$$f = \frac{(t-a)(t-(1-a))(t+1)(t^2+a^2-a+1)}{t^5+a(1-a)(a^2-a+1)},$$

$$g_0 = ct, \quad g_1 = t, \quad g_i = b_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, n)$$

so that

$$g_0^\# \in \mathbb{G}_a^\#(\mathbb{P}^1, 2(\infty)),$$

$$g_1^\# \in \mathbb{G}_m^\#(\mathbb{P}^1, (0) + (\infty)),$$

$$g_i^\# \in \mathbb{G}_m^\#(\mathbb{P}^1, \emptyset) \quad (i = 2, \dots, n).$$

One can easily check that $f \equiv 1 \pmod{(0) + 3(\infty)}$. Therefore (R2) shows that

$$(5.18.2) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}} v_x(f) \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K}([g_0(x), g_1(x), \mathbf{b}]_{K(x)}) = 0.$$

We write α_i, β_j for a root of $t^2 + a^2 - a + 1 = 0$ and $t^5 + a(1-a)(a^2 - a + 1) = 0$, respectively. Put $K' = K(\alpha_i, \beta_j)$. By the assumption on the characteristic, $[K' : K]$ is prime to $\text{ch}(K)$, in particular K'/K is separable. Denote by $\pi : \text{Spec } K' \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ the induced map. For $x \in \mathbb{P}_K^1$ with $v_x(f) \neq 0$ we have $\pi^* \text{Tr}_{K(x)/K} = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma$, where $\sigma : K(x) \hookrightarrow K'$ runs through all the K -embeddings. Thus $\pi^*(5.18.2)$ yields the following equality in $h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K')$ (we write $[-]$ instead of $[-]_{K'}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [ca, a, \mathbf{b}] + [c(1-a), 1-a, \mathbf{b}] \\
&\quad + [-c, -1, \mathbf{b}] + \sum_i [c\alpha_i, \alpha_i, \mathbf{b}] - \sum_j [c\beta_j, \beta_j, \mathbf{b}] \\
&= [ca, a, \mathbf{b}] + [c(1-a), 1-a, \mathbf{b}] + \sum_i \left[\frac{c\alpha_i}{2}, \alpha_i^2, \mathbf{b} \right] - \sum_j \left[\frac{c\beta_j}{5}, \beta_j^5, \mathbf{b} \right] \\
&= [ca, a, \mathbf{b}] + [c(1-a), 1-a, \mathbf{b}] + \left[\frac{c}{2} \cdot \sum_i \alpha_i, -a^2 + a - 1, \mathbf{b} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{c}{5} \cdot \sum_j \beta_j, a(1-a)(-a^2 + a - 1), \mathbf{b} \right] \\
&= [ca, a, \mathbf{b}]_{K'} + [c(1-a), 1-a, \mathbf{b}]_{K'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[K' : K]$ is prime to $\text{ch}(K)$ taking the norm yields the desired vanishing in $h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$.

(ii) We know that the element of the form

$$[b_1, \dots, b_n]_K \quad \text{with } b_i = b_j \text{ for some } i < j$$

is 2-torsion in $h_0((\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K) \cong K_n^M(K)$ (see Proposition 5.6). Thus the element $[(a/2), b_1, \dots, b_n]_K$ is also 2-torsion in $h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K)$, and we have

$$[a, b_1, \dots, b_r] = 2 \cdot \left[\frac{a}{2}, b_1, \dots, b_r \right]_K = 0.$$

Hence we have a well-defined map θ as in the statement. The surjectivity of θ follows once we know that it is compatible with traces, i.e., it suffices to show

$$(5.18.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{K'/K} \circ \theta_{K'} = \theta_K \circ \text{Tr}_{K'/K},$$

for a finite field extension K'/K . If K'/K is separable, this follows from the fact that $\text{Tr}_{K'/K}$ satisfies a projection formula on both sides of (5.18.1) (cf. the proof of Proposition 5.13). If $K' = K[x]$ for some $x \in K'$ with $x^p =: y \in K$, where $p = \text{ch}(K)$, then we can write any element in $\Omega_{K'}^1$ as a sum of elements of the form

$$\beta_i = ax^i \text{dlog } \mathbf{b}, \quad \gamma_i = ax^i \text{dlog } x \text{dlog } \mathbf{c}, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1,$$

where $a \in K$ and $b_i, c_j \in K^\times$. The equality (5.18.3) holds on the elements β_i again by the projection formula. We have $\mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}(\gamma_0) = a \mathrm{dlog} y \mathrm{dlog} c$. Thus on γ_0 the equality (5.18.3) translates into

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}([a, x, c]_{K'}) = [a, y, c]_K,$$

which holds by the projection formula. For $i \in [1, p-1]$, we can write $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{i} ad(x^i) \mathrm{dlog} c$. Thus $\mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}(\gamma_i) = 0$ and it remains to show

$$(5.18.4) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}([ax^i, x^i, c]_{K'}) = 0 \quad \text{in } h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K).$$

To this end define the functions

$$f = \frac{(t^p - y^i)^2}{t^{2p} + y^{2i}}, \quad g_0 := at, \quad g_1 := t, \quad g_j := c_j, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Then $g_i^\#$ are as in (i) at the beginning of this proof and $f \equiv 1 \pmod{(0) + 3(\infty)}$. Let $\epsilon \in \bar{K}$ with $\epsilon^2 = -1$ and set $K_1 := K[\epsilon]$ and $K'_1 := K_1[x] = K_1 \otimes_K K'$ and denote by $\pi : \mathrm{Spec} K_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} K$ the induced map.. Then by (R2) we obtain in $h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2 \mathrm{Tr}_{K'_1/K_1}([ax^i, x^i, c]_{K'_1}) \\ &\quad - \mathrm{Tr}_{K'_1/K_1}([a\epsilon x^i, \epsilon x^i, x]_{K'_1} + [-a\epsilon x^i, -\epsilon x^i, c]_{K'_1}) \\ &= 2 \cdot \pi^* \mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}([ax^i, x^i, c]_{K'}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[K_1 : K]$ divides 2 applying π_* yields the wanted vanishing . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.19. *Assume $\mathrm{ch}(k) \neq 2, 3, 5$. Then there are canonical isomorphisms in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$*

$$h_{0, \mathrm{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^M/1)^{\otimes n}) \cong h_{0, \mathrm{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n}) \cong \Omega^n.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.14, so we will be brief. For a prime correspondence $Z \in \mathbf{Cor}(X, \mathbb{P}_s^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \times \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{P}_{t_i}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}))$ define

$$\eta(Z) := Z^*(s \mathrm{dlog} t_1 \cdots \mathrm{dlog} t_n) \in \Omega^n(X).$$

We can extend η to a morphism in \mathbf{PST}

$$\eta : \frac{\omega_! \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^M)^{\otimes n})}{i_{0,0}(\omega_! \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}((\mathbb{G}_m^M)^{\otimes n})) + \sum_j i_{1,j}(\omega_! \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^M)^{\otimes n-1}))} \rightarrow \Omega^n,$$

where $i_{\epsilon,j}$ denotes the obvious closed immersion which inserts ϵ at the j th position. To show that η induces a well-defined map in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$

$$\bar{\eta} : h_{0, \mathrm{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^M/0 \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^M/1)^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \Omega^n$$

we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 5.14 to see that it suffices to show the following: Let K/k be a function field, $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^n$ be a k -morphism from a regular K -curve and $f \in K(C)$ be a function with

$$f \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^*(2 \cdot p_0^* \infty + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^*(0 + \infty))} =: D,$$

where $p_i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the projection to the i th factor; then we have to show

$$(5.19.1) \quad 0 = \sum_{x \in C \setminus |D|} \text{Res}_{C/K, x}(\pi^*(s \text{dlog } t_1 \cdots \text{dlog } t_n) \text{dlog } f).$$

As in *loc. cit.* we see that $\text{Res}_{C/K, x}(\pi^*(s \text{dlog } t_1 \cdots \text{dlog } t_n) \text{dlog } f) = 0$, for $x \in |D|$. Thus the vanishing (5.19.1) follows from the reciprocity law for the residual symbol. Now it follows from Proposition 5.18 that $\bar{\eta}$ is an isomorphism in the same way as Theorem 5.14 follows from Proposition 5.13. \square

Theorem 5.20. *Assume $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ and let $\bullet \in \{\otimes, \underline{\otimes}\}$. There are isomorphisms in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$*

$$h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \bullet (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\bullet n}) \cong h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \bullet (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)^{\bullet n}) \cong h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \bullet \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^M) \cong \Omega^n.$$

Proof. Using (5.10.1) it is direct to check that the collection of maps for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$

$$\phi_{X, Y} : \mathbb{G}_a(X) \times \mathcal{K}_n^M(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^n(X \times Y), \quad (a, b) \mapsto p_X^*(a) \cdot p_Y^* \text{dlog}(b)$$

define an element in $\text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^\bullet(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathcal{K}_n^M; \Omega^n)$, where $p_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are projections. By Theorem 4.17, $\{\phi_{X, Y}\}$ induces a morphism in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$\phi : h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \bullet \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^M) \rightarrow \Omega^n.$$

It follows from Corollary 4.18 and the natural maps $\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\otimes}$ and $\mathbb{G}_*^\# \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_*$ that we can arrange the other reciprocity sheaves in the statement in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n}) & \longrightarrow & h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \underline{\otimes} (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\underline{\otimes} n}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)^{\otimes n}) & \longrightarrow & h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \underline{\otimes} (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)^{\underline{\otimes} n}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^M) & \longrightarrow & h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_a \underline{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_n^M) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Omega^n. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.16, we only have to show that these maps are isomorphisms on all function fields K over k . Since all maps are surjective by construction, it suffices to show the injectivity of the map

$$\phi_1 : h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes (\mathbb{G}_m^\#)^{\otimes n})(K) \rightarrow \Omega_K^n.$$

But $\phi_1 \circ \theta = \text{id}_{\Omega^n}$, where θ is the surjective map from Proposition 5.18. This completes the proof. \square

5e. Twists of reciprocity sheaves.

5.21. Let $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$. We define the twist $F\langle n \rangle$, for $n \geq 0$, as follows

$$F\langle 0 \rangle := F, \quad F\langle n \rangle := h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F\langle n-1 \rangle} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus $F\langle 1 \rangle = h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m})$, $F\langle 2 \rangle = h_{0,\text{Nis}}((h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}))^\sim \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m})$, etc. (There is also a version with $\underline{\otimes}$ for which we don't introduce an extra notation.) We obtain the following properties:

- (1) $\langle n \rangle : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ is a functor which preserves surjections;
- (2) $\langle n \rangle \circ \langle m \rangle = \langle n+m \rangle : \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$;
- (3) for $F \in \mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$F\langle n \rangle = F \otimes^{\mathbf{HI}_{\text{Nis}}} (\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n}); \quad \text{in particular} \quad \mathbb{Z}\langle n \rangle = \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong \mathcal{K}_n^M,$$

see 5.5 for notation;

- (4) for all $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$ there are natural surjections

$$F \otimes^{\mathbf{NST}} \mathcal{K}_n^M \twoheadrightarrow F\langle n \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbf{NST}$$

and

$$(5.21.1) \quad h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{F} \otimes (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m})^{\otimes n}) \twoheadrightarrow F\langle n \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}};$$

Indeed, the second statement of (1) follows from Lemma 4.22; the first statement of (3) follows from Theorem 5.3, for the second statement see 5.5; finally (4) follows from this and the Corollaries 4.18 and 4.21.

Corollary 5.22. *Assume $\text{ch}(k) = 0$. Then the isomorphism from Theorem 5.20 factors as isomorphisms*

$$h_{0,\text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{K}_n^M}) \xrightarrow[(5.21.1)]{\cong} \mathbb{G}_a\langle n \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^n.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that we have a factorization as in the statement. Assume it is proven for $n-1$. Then the maps for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}$

$$\mathbb{G}_a\langle n-1 \rangle(X) \times \mathbb{G}_m(Y) = \Omega^{n-1}(X) \times \mathbb{G}_m(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^n(X \times Y),$$

$$(\alpha, b) \mapsto p_1^* \alpha \cdot p_2^* d\log b,$$

form an element in $\text{Lin}_{\mathbf{RSC}}^{\otimes}(\mathbb{G}_a\langle n-1\rangle, \mathbb{G}_m; \Omega^n)$ (use (5.10.1)). It is direct to check that the induced map $\phi : \mathbb{G}_a\langle n\rangle \rightarrow \Omega^n$ factors the isomorphism from Theorem 5.20 as stated. \square

5.23. We continue to assume $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ and explain how to reinterpret classical maps related to the de Rham complex in terms of twists of \mathbb{G}_a :

(1) We can define a morphism

$$\partial : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$$

as follows: Let $U = \text{Spec } A$ be a smooth affine k -scheme. For $a \in A$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ define

$$\partial_{\epsilon}(a) = \text{image of } (a - \epsilon) \otimes (a - \epsilon) \in h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{G}_m})(U_{a-\epsilon}),$$

where $U_{a-\epsilon} = \text{Spec } A_{a-\epsilon}$. By Theorem 5.20 we have $\partial_0(a) = \partial_1(a)$ when restricted to $U_a \cap U_{a-1}$ and hence we obtain a well-defined element $\partial_U(a) \in h_{0, \text{Nis}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a \otimes \mathbb{G}_m})(U) = \mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle(U)$. It follows from Theorem 5.20 that $\partial_U : \mathbb{G}_a(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle(U)$ is a group homomorphism and that $\partial_V = \partial_U$ on $U \cap V$. Hence these glue to give a morphism $\partial_X : \mathbb{G}_a(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle(X)$, for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}$. Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle \cong \Omega^1$ this map coincides with the differential $d : \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X)$, thus $\partial = \{\partial_X\}$ is a morphism of sheaves with transfers. By Theorem 5.20 the de Rham-complex is isomorphic to

$$\Omega^{\bullet} \cong \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{G}_a\langle 1\rangle \xrightarrow{\partial\langle 1\rangle} \mathbb{G}_a\langle 2\rangle \xrightarrow{\partial\langle 2\rangle} \dots \mathbb{G}_a\langle n\rangle \xrightarrow{\partial\langle n\rangle} \mathbb{G}_a\langle n+1\rangle \rightarrow \dots$$

(2) The twists of the natural inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ give rise to the dlog-map, i.e.,

$$[\text{dlog} : \mathcal{K}_n^M \rightarrow \Omega^n] = [\iota\langle n\rangle : \mathbb{Z}\langle n\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a\langle n\rangle].$$

(3) Consider the exact sequence in $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathbb{Z} is proper we have a surjection

$$\tau_! \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}) \twoheadrightarrow \tau_! (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_a/\mathbb{Z}})(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathfrak{m}_L^{-n}), \quad L \in \Phi, n \geq 0,$$

see [RS, Lemma 4.31]. Thus (2) and Lemma 4.22(2) yield (cf [RS, 6.10])

$$[X \mapsto H^1(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times} \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} \Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^1)] = (\mathbb{G}_a/\mathbb{Z})\langle 1\rangle.$$

5f. Some applications to zero-cycles with modulus.

Corollary 5.24. *Let $M_i := (C_i, D_i)$ be a proper modulus pair, where C_i is a smooth (proper) absolutely integral curve over k , for $i = 1, 2$. Let J_{M_i} be the generalized Jacobian for M_i . Put $J_{M_i}^\# = h_0^\square(M_i)^0$ (see (5.1.1)). Assume that C_i admits a degree one divisor. Then for any k -field K we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{CH}_0((M_1 \otimes M_2)_K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus J_{M_1}(K) \oplus J_{M_2}(K) \oplus h_0(J_{M_1}^\# \otimes J_{M_2}^\#)(K).$$

(See 3.15 for the notation.) In particular, for \mathbb{G}_a^M and \mathbb{G}_m^M we have the following (see notations in 5.1).

(1) In $\mathrm{ch}(k) \neq 2, 3, 5$, we have

$$\mathrm{CH}_0((\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^M)_K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus K \oplus K^\times \oplus \Omega_K^1.$$

(2) In $\mathrm{ch}(k) \neq 2$, we have (see 5.11 for the notation $I_\Delta(k)$)

$$\mathrm{CH}_0((\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \mathbb{G}_a^M)_K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus K^{\oplus 2} \oplus (K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K) / I_\Delta(K)^2.$$

Proof. By the approximation lemma, we may find a degree one divisor on $C_i \setminus |D_i|$, which gives rise to a decomposition $h_0^\square(M_i) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus J_{M_i}^\#$. By Lemma 3.12 we obtain

$$h_0(M_1 \otimes M_2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega_!(J_{M_1}^\#) \oplus \omega_!(J_{M_2}^\#) \oplus \omega_!(J_{M_1}^\# \otimes J_{M_2}^\#).$$

Taking the value at $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$, we have by [RY16, Theorem 1.1]

$$\mathrm{CH}_0((M_1 \otimes M_2)_K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus J_{M_1}(K) \oplus J_{M_2}(K) \oplus h_{0, \mathrm{Nis}}(J_{M_1}^\# \otimes J_{M_2}^\#)(K).$$

Now the isomorphisms (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 5.19 and Theorem 5.14. \square

Remark 5.25. Let us take $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{G}_a^M$ and suppose $k = \mathbb{C}$. Binda and Krishna showed that there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{CH}_0(M_1 \otimes M_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus k \oplus k \oplus k$ with non-trivial kernel [BK18, Theorem 10.10]. Corollary 5.24 shows that this kernel is isomorphic to $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1$.

Proposition 5.26. *Let $M = (X, D)$ be a proper modulus pair such that X is of pure dimension d , and let n be a positive integer. Then for any k -field K there is a surjection*

$$h_0(h_0^\square(M) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\otimes n})(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{d+n}(M_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}}.$$

Here $\mathrm{CH}^{d+n}(M_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}}$ is the higher Chow group with strong-sup-modulus defined in [Bin18, Definition 2.6].

Proof. We know the isomorphism

$$K^\times \cong \mathrm{CH}^1(K; 1).$$

By Proposition 5.27 below, the external product

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{CH}_0(M_K) \otimes (K^\times)^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{d+n}(M_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}}$$

induces a surjection

$$(h_0(M) \overset{\mathrm{PST}}{\otimes} \mathbb{G}_m \overset{\mathrm{PST}}{\otimes} \cdots \overset{\mathrm{PST}}{\otimes} \mathbb{G}_m)(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{d+n}(M_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}}.$$

We now consider the element as in (R2) of Theorem 4.9

$$(5.26.1) \quad \sum_{c \in C^\circ} v_c(f) \alpha(c) \otimes g_1(c) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n(c)$$

where (C, E) is a proper modulus pair with C regular projective curve over K and $\alpha \in h_0^\square(M_K)(C, E)$, $g_i \in \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}(C, E)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $f \in K(C)^\times$ such that $f \equiv 1 \pmod{E + n(E)_{\mathrm{red}}}$. Our task is to show that the element (5.26.1) goes zero in $\mathrm{CH}^{d+n}(M_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}}$ by the external product map. We may assume that α is elementary, i.e. it is given by one integral closed subscheme Z in $C^\circ \times X_K^\circ$ where $X_K^\circ = X_K \setminus |D_K|$.

Let \overline{Z}^N be the normalization of the closure of Z in $C \times X_K$ and let $p : \overline{Z}^N \rightarrow C$ and $q : \overline{Z}^N \rightarrow X_K$ be the projection. Then we have a proper map

$$\varphi := q \times p^* f \times p^* g_1 \times \cdots \times p^* g_n : \overline{Z}^N \longrightarrow X_K \times (\mathbb{P}_K^1)^{n+1}.$$

Let W be the restriction of $\varphi_*(\overline{Z}^N)$ to $X_K^\circ \times (\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{1\})^{n+1}$. We will show that W is in good position. For a face F of $\mathrm{codim} F = 1$, it is enough to show that $W \not\subset X_K^\circ \times F$. If $W \subset X_K^\circ \times F$, then by the construction of W , one of f, g_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is a constant function on C with value 0 or ∞ . But this cannot happen since $f = 1$ on $|E|$ and g_i are non-zero regular functions on $C \setminus |E|$. These conditions on g_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and f also yield that $W \cap X_K^\circ \times F = \emptyset$ for $\mathrm{codim} F \geq 2$. Thus W is in good position. By the conditions on α, g_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(D_K \times (\mathbb{P}_K^1)^{n+1}) &= q^*(D_K) \leq p^*(E) \leq (p^* f)^*(\{1\}) \\ &= \varphi^*(X_K \times \{1\} \times (\mathbb{P}_K^1)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $W \in z^{d+n}(M_K; n+1)_{\mathrm{ssup}}$. The base change formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(W) &= (\alpha \times g_1 \times \cdots \times g_n)_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{c \in C^\circ} v_c(f) \mathrm{Tr}_{K(c)/K}[(\alpha(c), g_1(c), \dots, g_n(c))] \end{aligned}$$

which is the image of (5.26.1) by the external product map. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.27 ([KP17a, Lemma 3.6, Proposition 3.7]). *Let $M = (X, D)$ be a modulus pair and let Y be a smooth variety over k . Denote a modulus pair $(X \times Y, D \times Y)$ by $M \otimes Y$. There is an external product*

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{CH}^r(M; n_1)_{\mathrm{ssup}} \otimes \mathrm{CH}^s(Y; n_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{r+s}(M \otimes Y; n_1 + n_2)_{\mathrm{ssup}}$$

compatible with flat pull-back and proper push-forward.

Concerning a conjecture and a result [KP12, Conjecture 2.8, Corollary 3.5] for a relation among modulus conditions, we give an example for higher 0-cycles with modulus.

Corollary 5.28. *Suppose that $\mathrm{ch}(k) \neq 2, 3, 5$. Let n be a positive integer. Then for any k -field K there are the following isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2\infty; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}} \cong \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2\infty; n) \cong K_n^M(K) \oplus \Omega_K^n.$$

Proof. We use the notation $(\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K = (\mathbb{P}_K^1, 2\infty)$. From Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.26, we obtain the following commutative diagram with split rows

(5.28.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\otimes n})(K) & \longrightarrow & h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^M \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\otimes n})(K) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^M} & h_0(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\otimes n})(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \xleftarrow{i_{0*}} & \downarrow \cong \\ \ker(\pi_*^{\mathrm{ssup}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}((\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K; n)_{\mathrm{ssup}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{\mathrm{ssup}}} & K_n^M(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \xleftarrow{i_{0*}} & \parallel \\ \ker(\pi_*) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}((\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K; n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & K_n^M(K) \\ & & & \xleftarrow{i_{0*}} & \end{array}$$

where the map π_*^M is induced by the structure map $\pi : \mathbb{G}_a^M \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, and the maps $\pi_*^{\mathrm{ssup}}, \pi_*$ are the proper push-forward to $\mathrm{CH}^n(K; n) \simeq K_n^M(K)$ via π . Since the map π has a section given by the closed immersion $i_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^M$ into 0, our task is to show that the left terms of (5.28.1) are isomorphic to Ω_K^n .

We have a surjection

$$\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}((\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K; n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0\}, 2\infty; n)$$

given by the pull-back along the open immersion $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$. The unique automorphism of \mathbb{P}_K^1 which switches 0 and ∞ and fixes 1 induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0\}, 2\infty; n) \cong \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_K^1, 2[0]; n).$$

The right hand side is the additive Chow group and Bloch–Esnault [BE03a] proved the following isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_K^1, 2[0]; n) \cong \Omega_K^n,$$

which sends a K -rational point (a, b_1, \dots, b_n) to $\frac{1}{a} \mathrm{dlog} b_1 \cdots \mathrm{dlog} b_n$. Thus we have a surjection

$$\mathrm{CH}^{n+1}((\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K; n) \longrightarrow \Omega_K^n,$$

which sends a K -rational point (a, b_1, \dots, b_n) to $a \mathrm{dlog} b_1 \cdots \mathrm{dlog} b_n$.

We now consider the following composite map

$$\Omega_K^n \longrightarrow h_0(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\otimes n})(K) \longrightarrow \ker(\pi_*) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{n+1}((\mathbb{G}_a^M)_K; n) \longrightarrow \Omega_K^r,$$

where the first map is the surjective map in Proposition 5.18. The composite map is the identity of Ω_K^n , which yields that the left terms of (5.28.1) are isomorphic to Ω_K^n . This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [BE03a] Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault. The additive dilogarithm. *Doc. Math. Extra Volume Kato*, pages 131–152, 2003.
- [BE03b] Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault. An additive version of higher Chow groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 36(3):463–477, 2003.
- [Bin18] Federico Binda. A cycle class map from Chow groups with modulus to relative K -theory. *Doc. Math.*, 23:407–444, 2018.
- [BK18] F. Binda and A. Krishna. Zero cycles with modulus and zero cycles on singular varieties. *Compositio Math.*, 154(1):120–187, 2018.
- [BS] Federio Binda and Shuji Saito. Relative cycle with modulus and regulator maps. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, To appear (2017)*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0385v3>.
- [Gro65] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques*, (24):231, 1965.
- [Hir14] Toshiro Hiranouch. An additive variant of somekawa’s K -groups and kähler differentials. *J. K-theory*, 13:481–516, 2014.
- [IR17] Florian Ivorra and Kay Rülling. K -groups of reciprocity functors. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 26(2):199–278, 2017.
- [Iwa19] Ryomei Iwasa. Relative K_0 and relative cycle class map. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 223(1):48–71, 2019.
- [Ker09] Moritz Kerz. The Gersten conjecture for Milnor K -theory. *Invent. Math.*, 175(1):1–33, 2009.
- [Ker10] Moritz Kerz. Milnor K -theory of local rings with finite residue fields. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 19(1):173–191, 2010.
- [KM18] Wataru Kai and Hiroyasu Miyazaki. Suslin’s moving lemma with modulus. *Ann. K-Theory*, 3(1):55–70, 2018.
- [KMSYa] Bruno Kahn, Hiroyasu Miyazaki, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Motives with modulus, I: Modulus sheaves with transfers for non-proper modulus pairs. Preprint 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02975>.

- [KMSYb] Bruno Kahn, Hiroyasu Miyazaki, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Motives with modulus, II: Modulus sheaves with transfers for proper modulus pairs. Preprint 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02975>.
- [KP12] Amalendu Krishna and Jinhyun Park. Moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(2):293–326, 2012.
- [KP17a] Amalendu Krishna and Jinhyun Park. A module structure and a vanishing theorem for cycles with modulus. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 24(4):1147–1176, 2017.
- [KP17b] Amalendu Krishna and Jinhyun Park. A moving lemma for cycles with very ample modulus. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 17(4):1521–1549, 2017.
- [KS16] Moritz Kerz and Shuji Saito. Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and higher-dimensional class field theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(15):2811–2897, 2016.
- [KSY] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Reciprocity sheaves, II. Preprint 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.07398>.
- [KSY16] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Reciprocity sheaves. *Compos. Math.*, 152(9):1851–1898, 2016. With two appendices by Kay Rülling.
- [Kun86] Ernst Kunz. *Kähler differentials*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1986.
- [KY13] Bruno Kahn and Takao Yamazaki. Voevodsky’s motives and Weil reciprocity. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(14):2751–2796, 2013.
- [Miy19] Hiroyasu Miyazaki. Cube invariance of higher Chow groups with modulus. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 28(2):339–390, 2019.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. A^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Pop86] Dorin Popescu. General Néron desingularization and approximation. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 104:85–115, 1986.
- [RS] Kay Rülling and Shuji Saito. Reciprocity sheaves and abelian ramification theory. Preprint 2018, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08716>.
- [RS18] Kay Rülling and Shuji Saito. Higher Chow groups with modulus and relative Milnor K -theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(2):987–1043, 2018.
- [RY14] Kay Rülling and Takao Yamazaki. K -groups of reciprocity functors for \mathbb{G}_a and abelian varieties. *J. K-Theory*, 14(3):556–569, 2014.
- [RY16] Kay Rülling and Takao Yamazaki. Suslin homology of relative curves with modulus. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 93(3):567–589, 2016.
- [Sai] Shuji Saito. Purity of reciprocity sheaves. Preprint 2017, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.02442>.
- [Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Algèbre locale. Multiplicités*, volume 11 of *Cours au Collège de France, 1957–1958, rédigé par Pierre Gabriel. Seconde édition, 1965. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- [SV00] Andrei Suslin and Vladimir Voevodsky. Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 117–189. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

- [Voe00] Vladimir Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 188–238. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.

FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, ARNIMALLEE 7, 14195 BERLIN, GERMANY, AND

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, BOLTZMANNSTR. 3, 85748 GARCHING

E-mail address: kay.ruelling@fu-berlin.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, JAPAN WOMEN'S
UNIVERSITY, 2-8-1 MEJIRODAI, BUNKYO-KU TOKYO, 112-8681 JAPAN

E-mail address: sugiyamar@fc.jwu.ac.jp

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578,
JAPAN

E-mail address: ytakao@math.tohoku.ac.jp