

# On the Cauchy transform vanishing outside a compact

Genadi Levin

Institute of Mathematics, the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

## Abstract

Motivated by a problem in holomorphic dynamics, we present a certain generalization of the celebrated F. and M. Riesz Theorem.

## 1 Introduction

Given a finite complex measure  $\nu$  with a compact support on  $\mathbf{C}$ , let

$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \int \frac{d\nu(w)}{w - z}$$

be the Cauchy transform of  $\nu$ . For the following facts, see e.g. [7]:  $\hat{\nu}$  is locally in  $L^1(dxdy)$ ,  $\hat{\nu}$  exists almost everywhere on  $\mathbf{C}$  and holomorphic outside of the compact support  $\text{supp}(\nu)$  of  $\nu$ , and  $\nu(\infty) = 0$ . Moreover, if for an open set  $U$  and an analytic on  $U$  function  $h$ ,  $h = \nu$   $dxdy$ -almost everywhere on  $U$ , then  $|\nu|(U) = 0$

The F. and M. Riesz Theorem asserts that, given a measure  $\nu$  on the unit circle  $S^1$ , if  $\int_{S^1} w^n d\nu(w) = 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , in other words, if the Cauchy transform  $\hat{\nu}$  of  $\nu$  vanishes outside the closed unit disk, then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on  $S^1$ . Theorem 1, see below, is a generalization of this theorem to the union of pairwise disjoint bounded finitely connected domains.

We use the following notations and terminology. Given a compact subset  $K$  of the plane,  $A(K)$  is the algebra of all continuous function on  $K$  which are analytic in the interior of  $K$  and  $R(K)$  is the algebra of uniform limits on  $K$  of rational functions with poles outside  $K$  (=uniform limits on  $K$  of functions holomorphic on  $K$ ). If  $R(K) = A(K)$ , we call  $K$  a *A-compact*, and if a A-compact  $K$  is nowhere dense,  $K$  is a *C-compact* (C=continuous since in this case  $A(K) = C(K)$ , the set of all continuous functions on  $K$ ). Given an open bounded set  $U$ , let  $A(U)$  be the algebra of all analytic in  $U$  functions which extend continuously to  $\bar{U}$ .

Necessary and sufficient conditions for a compact in the plane to be A- or C-compact are given by Vitushkin [14]. Here are some sufficient conditions [6], [14].  $K$  is a C-compact if the area of  $K$  is zero.  $K$  is C-(respectively, A-)compact if every point of  $K$  (respectively, every point of  $\partial K$ ) belongs to the boundary of a component of the complement  $\mathbf{C} \setminus K$ . Besides, the boundary of a A-compact is always a C-compact, see e.g. [6], though not the opposite.

We would like to know about non-trivial measures  $\nu$  supported on the boundary  $\partial K$  of  $K$  such that  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  outside  $K$ . It is well known [6], that  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $K$  if and only if  $\int f d\nu = 0$  for all  $f \in R(K)$ . This implies that if  $K$  is nowhere dense, such a non-trivial measure  $\nu$  exists if and only if  $K$  is not a C-compact.

Let us formulate a question motivated by a problem in holomorphic dynamics. Given a nowhere dense compact  $E$ , let  $V = \cup_k \Omega_k$  be the union of some non-empty collection  $\{\Omega_k\}$  of bounded components of the complement  $\mathbf{C} \setminus E$ . The problem we are interested in is the following:

Describe the sets  $V$  and  $E$  for which any measure  $\nu$  supported on  $E$  and such that  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus (E \cup V)$  is in fact supported on  $\cup_k \partial\Omega_k$  and absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measures of  $\Omega_k$ .

We prove in Theorem 1 that this is the case if each component  $\Omega_k$  is finitely connected without isolated points in its boundary and the following three conditions hold: (I)  $\{\Omega_k\}$  is a so-called D-collections, (II)  $A(\overline{V}) = A(V)$ , (III): (i)  $\overline{V}$  is a A-compact, (ii)  $E$  is a C-compact.

Let us comment about (I)-(III), see below for more details. In condition (I),  $\{\Omega_k\}$  is said to be a D-collection if harmonic measures of different domains are mutually singular and for each domain  $\Omega$  of the collection, a holomorphic homeomorphism from a bounded circular domain  $\Delta$  onto  $\Omega$  extends as a one-to-one map onto a subset of the full (arc) measure to  $\partial\Delta$ . Condition (II) means that every continuous in  $\overline{V}$  function which is holomorphic in  $V$  is in fact holomorphic in the interior of  $\overline{V}$ . This is obviously the case if  $\text{Int}(\overline{V}) = V$ . In condition (III), (ii) along with  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $E \cup V$  imply that  $\nu = 0$  on  $E \setminus \partial V$  (see Lemma 2.1), i.e., in fact  $\nu$  is supported on  $\partial V$ . Note that (III) holds, for example, if the complement  $\mathbf{C} \setminus E$  consists of a finitely many components.

If  $E = S^1$ , the unit circle, and  $V = \mathbf{D}$ , the unit disk, then (I)-(III) are satisfied and we recover the F. and M. Riesz theorem.

All conditions of Theorem 1 turn out to be essential: the conclusion about the measure  $\nu$  breaks down in general if one of the conditions (I), (II) or (III) does not hold, see Proposition 1 along with Examples 1-2.

There exists the abstract F. and M. Riesz Theorem, see [6], Theorem 7.6. It would be interesting to derive Theorem 1 from this result. The reason we proved Theorem 1 was to apply it to a problem in holomorphic dynamics, see Corollary 2.1 and [11].

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Alexander Volberg for communicating Example 1 and for useful comments.

## 2 Statements

### 2.1 Main result and (counter-)examples

All measures unless stated otherwise are assumed to be complex and finite. Given two measures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  where  $\mu$  is positive we write  $\lambda \ll \mu$  if  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$ , and  $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$  for two mutually singular measures  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ . Given a bounded plane domain  $\Omega$  (i.e., a connected open set of the plane) let  $\omega_\Omega$  denote the harmonic measure on  $\partial\Omega$  of the domain  $\Omega$  w.r.t. a fixed point in  $\Omega$ .

Recall that a domain of the plane is *circular* if its boundary consists of a finite number of disjoint circles. An example is the unit disk  $\mathbf{D}$ . Given a finitely connected bounded domain  $\Omega$  whose boundary contains no isolated points, it is a classical result that there exist a bounded circular domain  $\Delta_\Omega$  and a conformal homeomorphism

$$\psi_\Omega : \Delta_\Omega \rightarrow \Omega.$$

By the Fatou Theorem on radial limits, for (Lebesgue) almost every point  $w \in \partial\Delta_\Omega$ , the radial boundary value  $\psi_\Omega(w)$  is defined.

**Definition 2.1** *Given a (finite or infinite) collection  $\{\Omega_i\}$  of pairwise disjoint finitely connected domains without isolated points on their boundaries, we call  $\{\Omega_i\}$  a **D-collection** ( $D$ =Davie, see [2]) if: each  $\psi_{\Omega_i}$  extends radially as a one-to-one map on a set of full measure of the boundary of  $\Delta_{\Omega_i}$ , and  $\omega_{\Omega_i} \perp \omega_{\Omega_j}$  for  $i \neq j$ .*

Main result is

**Theorem 1** . *Let  $V$  be a bounded open set such that each component of  $V$  is finitely connected without isolated boundary points. Let  $\{\Omega_i, \kappa_i\}_{i=1}^N$ ,  $1 \leq N \leq \infty$  be a set of couples where  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a collection of all components of  $V$  and, for each  $i$ ,  $\kappa_i$  is a holomorphic function on  $\Omega_i$ . For each  $i$ , let us fix a uniformization  $\psi_{\Omega_i} : \Delta_{\Omega_i} \rightarrow \Omega_i$ . Let  $E \subset \mathbf{C}$  be a compact set without interior points such that  $E \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus V$  and  $E \supset \partial V$ .*

**P1.** *Assume that*

- (I)  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a  $D$ -collection,
- (II)  $A(V) = A(\overline{V})$ ,
- (III) (i)  $\overline{V}$  is a  $A$ -compact, (ii)  $E$  is a  $C$ -compact.

*Then (a) implies (b) where:*

- (a) *there exists a measure  $\nu$  supported in  $E$  such that:*

$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (E \cup V) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(b) for every  $i$ ,

$$\|\kappa_i\| := \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega_{i,\epsilon}} |\kappa_i(z)| |dz| < \infty \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega_{i,\epsilon} = \psi_{\Omega_i}(\{w : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_{\Omega_i}) > \epsilon\})$ . Moreover,

(b1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \|\kappa_i\| < \infty, \quad (3)$$

(b2) the following representation holds:

$$\nu = \sum_i^N \nu_i \quad (4)$$

where  $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^N$  are pairwise mutually singular measures,  $\nu_i$  is a measure on  $\partial\Omega_i$  such that  $\nu_i \ll \omega_{\Omega_i}$  and  $\|\kappa_i\| = \|\nu_i\|$ , the total variation of  $\nu_i$ . In particular,  $\nu$  has no atoms.

**P2.** Vice versa, assume that (2)-(3) hold. Then there exists a measure  $\nu$  supported on  $\cup_{k=1}^N \partial\Omega_k$  such that

$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{V} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Moreover, if harmonic measures on different  $\Omega_k$  are mutually singular, then  $\nu$  admits a representation (4) as in (b2).

Notice the case  $E = \partial V$ . Then III(i) implies III(ii).

Applying Theorem 1 with  $E = \partial V$  and  $\kappa_i = 1$  for all  $i$  we get an answer to the Problem 4.2, p.55, [7] for the sets  $V$  that satisfy conditions (I)-(III): Let  $V = \cup_k \Omega_k$  be a bounded open set such that its components  $\{\Omega_k\}$  form a D-collection and  $A(V) = A(\bar{V}) = R(\bar{V})$ . Then there is a measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial V$  such that  $\hat{\mu} = 1$  in  $V$  and  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  off  $\bar{V}$  if and only if for each  $k$  the linear measure  $\Delta(\partial\Omega_k)$  of  $\partial\Omega_k$  is finite and  $\sum_k \Delta(\partial\Omega_k) < \infty$ .

Given a measure supported on a compact in the closed unit disk  $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ , the unit circle can be used as a "screen" to kill the Cauchy transform of this measure off  $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ :

**Example 1** (A. Volberg) Let  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus K$  where  $\mathbf{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $K = [0, 1]$ . Let  $\nu_K$  be a measure support in  $K$ . Assume that  $\hat{\nu}_K(e^{it}) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$ . On the unit circle  $S^1 = \{|z| = 1\}$  with the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure (i.e., the arc length measure  $dt$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ ), we define a new measure  $\nu_c$  so that  $d\nu_c(e^{it}) = h_c dt$  with the density

$$h_c(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{it} \hat{\nu}_K(e^{it}).$$

Let  $\nu$  be a (finite) measure on  $\partial V$  which is defined as follows:  $\nu = \nu_K$  on  $K$  and  $\nu = \nu_c$  on  $S^1$ . Then

$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{\nu}_K(z) & \text{if } z \in V, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{V} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Indeed, for  $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (K \cup S^1)$ ,

$$\hat{\nu}_c(z) = \int_{S^1} \frac{d\nu_c(w)}{w-z} = \int_K \frac{d\nu_K(u)}{u-z} \int_{S^1} \frac{dw}{2\pi i(w-z)(u-w)}$$

where the inner integral is equal to  $-1$  for  $|z| > 1$  and  $0$  for  $z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus K$ . The same calculation, hence, (6) as well, hold if  $K \subset \bar{\mathbf{D}}$  is any compact such that the length of  $K \cap \partial \mathbf{D}$  is zero and  $\nu_K$  is any measure on  $K$  such that  $\hat{\nu}_K(e^{it}) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$ .

**Proposition 1** *Conditions (I)-(III) of Theorem 1 are necessary for its conclusion: there exist open sets  $V$  and measures  $\nu$  supported on  $E := \partial V$  such that  $V$  is simply connected,  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $\bar{V}$  and  $\nu$  has atoms (so not absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measure on  $\partial V$ ) while, in notations of Theorem 1, for the sets  $V$  and  $E$  one and only one condition (I), (II), (III) breaks down.*

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be any nowhere dense compact as in Example 1 such that  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus K$  is simply connected. Let  $E = K \cup S^1 = \partial V$ . Since  $\bar{V} = \bar{\mathbf{D}}$ ,  $\bar{V}$  is a A-compact and  $E = \partial V$  is a C-compact, so the condition III holds. On the other hand, taking  $\nu_K$  a discrete measure with  $\text{supp}(\nu) = K$  we see that the conclusion of Theorem 1 does not hold. It means that at least one of the conditions I-II has to break down. In particular, for  $K = [0, 1]$ , condition I does not hold while II does so the condition I is necessary indeed. As for the necessity of condition II, we choose  $K = J$  where  $J$  is a Jordan arc such that  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus J$  is a simply connected domain which satisfies the condition I, i.e., the Riemann map  $\psi_V : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow V$  extends to a one-to-one map on a set of a full (arc) measure on  $S^1$ . Hence, the condition II cannot hold in this case (this follows also directly from Theorem 1' of [1]). The existence of such Jordan arc  $J$  follows from Browder and Wermer [1]. Indeed, in [1] an example of a Jordan arc  $J$  is constructed such that the Riemann map  $h : \mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \setminus J$  from the complement to the closed unit disk onto the complement to  $J$ ,  $h(\infty) = \infty$ , extends one-to-one on a set of full Lebesgue measure on  $S^1$ . Then it is easy to see that if we take  $J \subset \bar{\mathbf{D}}$ ,  $J \cap S^1 = \{1\}$ , then  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus J$  satisfies the condition I. [Proof: since  $V \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus J$ ,  $h^{-1}(V)$  is a well defined simply connected bounded domain with pairwise analytic boundary; hence, if  $\beta : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow h^{-1}(V)$  is a Riemann map then  $\psi_V := h \circ \beta : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow V$  extends to a one-to-one-map on a set of a full measure on  $S^1$ .]

That the condition III is necessary as well, see the following example.

□

**Example 2** Let  $J$  be the Jordan arc as in [1], see the proof of Proposition 1. One can assume that  $J \subset \mathbf{D} \cup \{1\}$  and the endpoints of  $J$  are 0 and 1. Let  $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a collection of open disks in  $\mathbf{D} \setminus J$  with pairwise disjoint closures such that each  $D_j$  touches  $J$  at precisely one point which is neither 0 nor 1, the set of all such points is dense in  $J$  and such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_j}{d_j} < \infty$$

where  $r_j$  is the radius of  $D_j$  and  $d_j$  is the distance between 0 and  $D_j$ . (It's not difficult to realize that such choice of disks is possible.) Define sets  $V$  and  $E$  as:  $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus (J \cup \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{D}_j)$  and  $E = \partial V = S^1 \cup J \cup \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$  where  $S_j = \partial D_j$ . Since there are non constant continuous on the Riemann sphere functions which are holomorphic in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus J$ , by [4], [6] the compact  $\overline{V} = \overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$  is not a  $A$ -compact, i.e.,  $R(\overline{V}) \neq A(\overline{V})$ .

Now we define the measure  $\nu$  on  $E$  as follows. Let  $\nu = \delta_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nu_j$  where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac measure at 0,  $d\nu_0(w) = \hat{\delta}_0(w)dw$ ,  $w \in S^1$ , is a measure on  $S^1$  and, for each  $j$ ,  $d\nu_j(w) = -\hat{\delta}_0(w)dw$ ,  $w \in S_j$ , is a measure on  $S_j$ . Since for every  $j \geq 0$ ,  $\|\nu_j\| \leq 2\pi r_j/d_j$ , then

$$\|\nu\| \leq 1 + 2\pi(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_j}{d_j}) < \infty.$$

Similar to Example 1, we get  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\hat{\nu} = \hat{\delta}_0$  in  $V$ . On the other hand,  $\nu$  is not absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measure of  $V$  because  $\nu$  has the atom at 0. Notice that  $V$  is simply connected and, for the sets  $V$ ,  $E$  as above, conditions (I), (II) of Theorem 1 holds but (III) does not:  $\overline{V}$  is not  $A$ -compact. Note that at the same time,  $E = \partial V$  is a  $C$ -compact as every point of  $E$  is at the boundary of the component  $V$  of the complement to  $E$ .

## 2.2 Local removability of $C$ -compacts

We need the following

**Lemma 2.1** (a) Any closed subset of a  $C$ -compact is  $C$ -compact.

(b) Let  $K$  be a nowhere dense compact in  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mu$  a measure on  $K$ . Suppose that for a neighborhood  $W$  of a point  $x \in K$ ,  $K \cap \overline{W}$  is a  $C$ -compact and  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  on  $W \setminus K$ . Then  $\mu$  vanishes on  $K \cap W$ , i.e.,  $|\mu|(W) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (a) follows from the fact that any continuous function on a closed subset of a compact extends to a continuous function on the whole compact. Let us prove (b). Let  $U$  be the union of all components of  $\mathbf{C} \setminus K$  that intersect  $W$ . Then

$W \setminus K \subset U$  and  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  on  $U$ . One can assume that  $\infty \in U$  as otherwise, for  $M(z) = 1/(x_0 - z)$  with some  $x_0 \in U$ , we replace  $K$  by  $\tilde{K} = M(K)$  and  $\mu$  by a measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\tilde{K}$  such that  $d\tilde{\mu}(w) = wd\mu(x_0 - 1/w)$ . Thus  $\infty \in U$ . Now, for  $|\mu|(W) = 0$  it is enough to prove that for each  $x \in K \cap W$  there is a neighborhood  $W_x \subset W$  such that for all continuous with compact support in  $W_x$  functions  $g$ ,  $\int gd\mu = 0$ . So fix  $x \in K \cap W$  and choose  $W_x = B(x, r)$  where  $r > 0$  is so that  $B(x, 2r) \subset W$ . Let  $g$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbf{C}$  which is compactly supported in  $B(x, r)$ . Let  $\hat{K} = \mathbf{C} \setminus U$ . It is enough to prove that  $g \in R(\hat{K})$ . Indeed, assume that there is a sequence of rational functions  $R_n$  with poles outside  $\hat{K}$  converging uniformly on  $\hat{K}$  to  $g$ . Perturbing some of  $R_n$  if necessary one can further assume that all  $R_n$  have simple poles. If say  $R_n(z) = P(z) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j/(z - b_j)$  where  $P$  is a polynomial and all  $b_j \in U$ , then  $\int R_n d\mu = \int Pd\mu + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \hat{\mu}(b_j) = 0$  because  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  in  $U$  and  $\int z^n d\mu(z) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$  (this is because  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $\infty$ ). Then  $\int gd\mu = \lim_n \int R_n d\mu = 0$ . It remains to show that  $g \in R(\hat{K})$ . We use Bishop's theorem (11.8 of [15]): *Given a compact  $X \subset \mathbf{C}$  and a continuous on  $\mathbf{C}$  function  $f$ , assume that for each  $z \in X$  there is a closed neighborhood  $B_z = \{|w - z| \leq \delta_z\}$ ,  $\delta_z > 0$  such that  $f|_{X \cap B_z} \in R(X \cap B_z)$ . Then  $f \in R(X)$ .* Applying this to the compact  $\hat{K}$  and the function  $g$ , if  $z \in \hat{K} \setminus \overline{B}(x, r)$ , then, for  $\delta_z = |z - x| - r > 0$ ,  $g|_{\hat{K} \cap B_z} = 0 \in R(\hat{K} \cap B_z)$ . On the other hand, for  $z \in \overline{B}(x, r)$  and  $\delta_z = r$ ,  $g|_{\hat{K} \cap B_z} \in R(\hat{K} \cap B_z)$  because  $\hat{K} \cap B_z \subset \hat{K} \cap \overline{B}(x, 2r) \subset K \cap \overline{W}$  while  $K \cap \overline{W}$  is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -compact. Notice that  $g \in R(\hat{K})$  follows also from Vitushkin's necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be in  $R(X)$ , [14].

□

### 2.3 A particular case: rotation domains

Let  $\{\Omega_i\}$  be a collection of pairwise disjoint Jordan domains such that  $\overline{\Omega}_i \cap \overline{\Omega}_j$  is at most a single point for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $V = \cup_i \Omega_i$  is bounded. Then obviously the conditions I-II of Theorem 1 hold.

Here is a more interesting case which is originated in holomorphic dynamics. Recall that a simply or doubly connected domain  $A$  is called a rotation domain for a rational function  $f$  of degree at least 2 if  $f^Q : A \rightarrow A$  is a homeomorphism for some  $Q \geq 1$  which is conjugate to an irrational rotation: for a conformal homeomorphism  $\psi_A : \Delta_A \rightarrow A$  where  $\Delta_A$  is either a round disk or a round annulus, the conjugate map  $R := \psi_A^{-1} \circ f^Q \circ \psi_A : \Delta_A \rightarrow \Delta_A$  is an irrational rotation of  $\Delta_A$ . ( $A$  is called a Siegel disk or a Herman ring depending on whether  $\Delta_A$  is a disk or an annulus.)

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\{A\}$  be a collection of different rotation domains of a rational function  $f$ , i.e., each  $A$  is either a Siegel disk or a Herman ring. Then  $\{A\}$  satisfies the conditions (I)-(II) of Theorem 1, i.e.,*

(1) for every  $A$ , there is a subset  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\partial\Delta_A$  of the full Lebesgue measure (length) on which  $\psi_A$  is one-to-one,

(2) for any two different rotation domains  $A_1, A_2$ , the harmonic measures  $\omega_{A_1}$  of  $A_1$  and  $\omega_{A_2}$  of  $A_2$  are mutually singular.

(3) let  $V_f := \cup_{A \in \{A\}} A$ , then the interior of  $\overline{V}_f$  is equal to  $V_f$ , therefore,  $A(V_f) = A(\overline{V}_f)$ .

Part (1) is an easy corollary of the following claim which is proved in [12], Theorem 2 for the Siegel disk and its proof holds with obvious modifications for the Herman ring:

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $A$  be a rotation domain of a rational function  $f$  such that  $f(A) = A$  and  $\psi_A : \Delta_A \rightarrow A$  as above. There are only two cases:*

- (i) All radial limits  $\psi_A(w)$  are different,
- (ii) There is a point  $a \in \partial A$  such that  $f(a) = a$ , moreover, if  $\psi_A(w_1) = \psi_A(w_2)$  for some  $w_1, w_2 \in \partial\Delta_A$  then  $\psi_A(w_1) = \psi_A(w_2) = a$ .

**Proof.** [of Proposition 2] (1) follows at once from Lemma 2.2 and Riesz's uniqueness theorem for bounded analytic functions. Let's prove (2). It's enough to show that the following is impossible:  $\omega_{A_1}(Y) > 0$  and  $\omega_{A_2}(Y) > 0$  for  $Y = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2}$  where  $Y$  is a subset of a component  $L_1$  of  $\partial A_1$  as well as a component  $L_2$  of  $\partial A_2$ . So by a contradiction assume this is the case. First, since  $f^Q(L_i) = L_i$  for some  $Q > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $f^Q(Y) \subset Y$ . Secondly, by the Fatou theorem on radial limits, there is a set  $\tilde{Y} \subset \partial\Delta_{A_1}$  of positive length  $|\tilde{Y}| > 0$  such that for all  $w \in \tilde{Y}$ , the radial limit  $\psi_{A_1}(w)$  exists and in  $Y$ . Moreover, since  $f^Q(Y) \subset Y$ , one can assume that  $R(\tilde{Y}) \subset \tilde{Y}$  where  $R = \psi_{A_1}^{-1} \circ f^Q \circ \psi_{A_1} : \Delta_{A_1} \rightarrow \Delta_{A_1}$  is an irrational rotation of  $\Delta_{A_1}$ . Since  $|\tilde{Y}| > 0$ , we get that  $\tilde{Y}$  has a full measure. Then  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $L_1$  of the full harmonic measure  $\omega_{A_1}$ , hence,  $Y = L_1$ . Since  $\omega_{A_2}(Y) > 0$ , then  $Y = L_2$  as well, i.e.,  $L_1 = L_2$ . Taking now a small disk  $B$  around some  $x \in L_1 = L_2$ , we see that all iterates  $f^j B$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , stay in  $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2}$ , which is possible only if  $x$  in the Fatou set, a contradiction. Moreover, that the interior of  $\overline{V}_f$  coincides with  $V_f$  is also proved by a very similar argument. □

Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 immediately imply

**Corollary 2.1** *Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is a non empty collection of bounded rotation domains of a rational function  $f$ . Let  $V = \cup\{A : A \in \mathcal{H}\}$ ,  $E \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus V$  a nowhere dense compact set such that  $\partial V \subset E$ , and  $\nu$  be a measure supported on  $E$  such that  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $E \cup V$ . If  $E$  is a  $C$ -compact and  $\overline{V}$  is a  $A$ -compact, then  $\nu$  is, in fact, supported on  $\partial V = \cup_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \partial A$  and, for each  $A$ ,  $\nu|_{\partial A} \ll \omega_A$ . In particular,  $\nu$  is non-atomic. Moreover, the function  $\hat{\nu} \circ \psi'_A$  is in the  $H^1$ -Hardy space, i.e.,*

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{z \in \Delta_A : \text{dist}(z, \partial A) = \epsilon\}} |\hat{\nu} \circ \psi'_A(z)| |dz| < \infty.$$



Conjecturally,  $\overline{V} = \cup\{\overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is *always* a  $\Lambda$ -compact.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

#### 3.1 Preparatory statements

The proof is heavily based on some general results, mainly of ~1960's, see Theorems 2, 3 and 4. The first one is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach and the Riesz representation theorems, see [7]:

**Theorem 2** (*V.P. Havin*) *Let  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$  be compact and let  $g$  analytic on  $\mathbf{C} \setminus F$  and  $g(\infty) = 0$ . There is a measure  $\nu$  on  $F$  such that  $g(z) = \hat{\nu}(z)$  for all  $z \notin F$  if and only if there is  $C_g$  such that for all functions  $h$  which are analytic in a neighborhood of  $F$ ,*

$$|T_g(h)| \leq C_g \|h\|_F$$

where  $\|h\|_F = \sup_{z \in F} |h(z)|$  and

$$T_g(h) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} g(z)h(z)dz$$

where  $U$  is any small enough neighborhood of  $F$  such that  $\partial U$  consists of a finitely many analytic curves that surround  $E$  in positive direction. When this is the case we may take  $C_g = \|\nu\|$ , the total variation of  $\nu$ .

**Theorem 3** (*G.G. Tumarkin, [13]*) *Let  $g$  be analytic in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus S^1$  and  $g(\infty) = 0$ . Then  $g = \hat{\eta}$  for some measure  $\eta$  (with  $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset S^1$ ) if and only if*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{S^1} |g(r\theta) - g(\frac{\theta}{r})| |d\theta| < \infty.$$

**Comment 1** *Notice a particular case when  $g = 0$  off  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ .*

For completeness, we prove here this statement for the direction we need. So let  $g = \hat{\eta}$ , for a measure  $\eta$  on  $S^1$ . Given  $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $w = e^{it} \in S^1$  and  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{w - r\zeta} - \frac{1}{w - \frac{\zeta}{r}} = -\frac{\zeta(r^2 - 1)}{w\zeta(1 - \frac{r\zeta}{w})(\frac{rw}{\zeta} - 1)} = e^{-it} P_r(\theta - t)$$

where  $P_r(\theta - t) = (1 - r^2)/(1 + r^2 - 2r \cos(\theta - t))$  in the Poisson kernel. Therefore,

$$\hat{\eta}(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}(\frac{\zeta}{r}) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) e^{-it} d\eta(e^{it})$$

and

$$\int_{S^1} |g(r\zeta) - g\left(\frac{\zeta}{r}\right)| |d\zeta| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta-t) d|\eta|(e^{it}) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} d|\eta|(e^{it}) \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta-t) d\theta = 2\pi \|\eta\|$$

where  $\|\eta\|$  is the total variation of the measure  $\eta$ .

Next two statements must be well known, too, though we are not aware of a reference and will give complete proofs here. For the first one, recall the following definition. Let  $D$  be a bounded or unbounded circular domain, i.e.,  $\partial D = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_p$  where  $S_1, \dots, S_p$  are pairwise disjoint circles. The Hardy space  $H^1(D)$  is a set of all holomorphic in  $D$  functions  $F$  with  $F(\infty) = 0$  if  $\infty \in D$  such that

$$\|F\|_{H^1(D)} := \limsup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \int_S |F(w)| |dw| < \infty$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a collection of all circles  $S \subset D$  in a small neighborhood of  $\partial D$  that are concentric to one of  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . It is well known e.g. [8] that any  $F \in H^1(D)$  has a non-tangential limit  $F(w)$  at almost every  $w \in \partial D$  w.r.t. the Lebedgue (arc) measure on  $\partial D$ . We need also the following representation for  $F \in H^1(D)$  assuming  $D$  is bounded and  $S_1$  is the outer boundary of  $D$ :

$$F = F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_p \quad (7)$$

where  $F_j \in D_j$ ,  $D_1$  is a bounded domain with the boundary  $S_1$  and  $D_j$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, p$ , is an unbounded domain with  $\partial D_j = S_j$ . This representation follows essentially from the Cauchy formula, see [8]. Note that each  $F_j$  is holomorphic in a domain that contains all other  $S_k$ ,  $k \neq j$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (cf. [7]) *Let  $\Omega$  be a finitely connected bounded domain with no isolated points of the boundary and  $\kappa : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is holomorphic. Assume that*

$$\|\kappa\| := \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon} |\kappa(w)| |dw| < \infty \quad (8)$$

where  $\Omega_\epsilon = \psi_\Omega(\{w : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_\Omega) > \epsilon\})$ . Then there is a measure  $\nu^\kappa$  supported on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\hat{\nu}^\kappa(z) = \kappa(z)$  for  $z \in \Omega$  and  $\hat{\nu}^\kappa(z) = 0$  for  $z \ni \bar{\Omega}$ . Furthermore,  $\nu^\kappa \ll \omega_\Omega$  with  $\|\nu^\kappa\| = \|\kappa\|$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and given  $n$  define a measure  $\nu_n$  on  $\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_n}$  by  $d\nu_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \kappa(z) dz$ . By (8),  $\sup_n \|\nu_n\| < \infty$ . Let  $\nu^\kappa$  be a weak\* limit of the sequence  $\{\nu_n\}$ . By the Cauchy formula,

$$\hat{\nu}^\kappa(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon_n}} \frac{\kappa(\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - z}$$

is equal to  $\kappa(z)$  for  $z \in \Omega$  and 0 off  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Denote  $\psi = \psi_\Omega$ ,  $\Delta = \Delta_\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_n = \{w : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta) = \epsilon_n\}$  and  $\tilde{\kappa} = (\kappa \circ \psi)\psi'$ . Note that (8) is equivalent to:  $\tilde{\kappa} \in H^1(\Delta)$ .

Let  $\psi(w)$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}(w)$ ,  $w \in \partial\Delta$ , denote also corresponding limits (existing almost everywhere) of  $\psi(u)$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}(u)$  as  $u \rightarrow w$  non-tangentially. We have to prove that  $\nu^\kappa \ll \omega_\Omega$ . For this, it is enough to show that for any continuous compactly supported function  $h$  on  $\mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\int h d\nu^\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Delta} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw. \quad (9)$$

This would imply that  $\nu^\kappa \ll \omega_\Omega$  and that  $\|\nu^\kappa\| = \|\kappa\|$ . One can check (9) separately for each component of  $\partial\Delta$ . Let us do this for the outer component  $S_1$  of  $\partial\Delta = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_p$  (for other components, the proof is the same with straightforward modifications). One can assume  $S_1 = S^1$ , the unit circle. Since  $\int h d\nu^\kappa = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h d\nu_n$ , we have to check that, for  $r_n = 1 - \epsilon_n$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw.$$

As  $\tilde{\kappa} \in H^1(\Delta)$ , let  $\tilde{\kappa} = \sum_{j=1}^p \tilde{\kappa}_j$  the corresponding representation as in (7). Then

$$\int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw$$

Let  $j > 1$ . Since  $\tilde{\kappa}_j$ ,  $j \neq 1$ , is a holomorphic function in a domain that contains  $S^1$ ,  $h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)$  is bounded in  $\{r < |w| < 1\}$  for some  $r < 1$ , hence, one can apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw = \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw.$$

It remains to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw = \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw.$$

Here we have to use that  $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$ , the Hardy space in the unit disk. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw \right| \leq \\ & \int_0^{2\pi} |r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it}))| |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it})| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\psi(e^{it}))| |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here are some details. Let  $I_n$  be the first integral and  $J_n$  be the second one. Then

$$J_n \leq \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} |h(\psi(e^{it}))| \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0$$

because  $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$  ([10], ch.II,B,2<sup>o</sup>). Let's prove that  $\lim_n I_n = 0$ . Let  $I := \limsup_n I_n$ . Since  $r\psi(re^{it}) \rightarrow \psi(e^{it})$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  a.e. in  $t$  and  $h$  is continuous, for every  $\sigma > 0$  there is  $E_\sigma \subset [0, 2\pi]$  such that  $l(E_\sigma) > 2\pi - \sigma$  (where  $l$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $(0, 2\pi)$ ) and  $r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it})) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $t \in E_\sigma$ . Since also  $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$ ,

$$\lim_n \int_{E_\sigma} |r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it}))| |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it})| dt = 0.$$

Hence,

$$I \leq 2 \sup |h| \limsup_n \int_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it})| dt$$

where  $F_\sigma = [0, 2\pi] \setminus E_\sigma$  so that  $l(F_\sigma) \leq \sigma$ . On the other hand,

$$\left| \int_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it})| dt - \int_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \chi_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0$$

as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Thus

$$I \leq 2 \sup |h| \int_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt.$$

By the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\sigma > 0$  such that for every  $F_\sigma \subset [0, 2\pi]$  with  $l(F_\sigma) < \sigma$ ,

$$I \leq 2 \sup |h| \int_{F_\sigma} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt < 2 \sup |h| \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $I = 0$ .

□

In the following, for a bounded function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , let

$$\|h\|_X = \sup_{x \in X} |h(x)|.$$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $g \neq 0$  be analytic in a bounded circular domain  $\Delta$ . Assume that there is  $C_g > 0$  such that for every function  $h$  which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\partial\Delta$  and all  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough,*

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} g(w) h(w) dw \right| \leq C_g \|h\|_{\partial\Delta} \quad (10)$$

where  $\Gamma_\epsilon = \{w \in \Delta : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta) = \epsilon\}$ . Then: (a) there is a measure  $\eta$  which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue (arc) measure on  $\partial\Delta$  such that  $\hat{\eta}$  is  $g$  in  $\Delta$  and 0 off  $\overline{\Delta}$ , and (b)

$$\|g\| := \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} |g(w)| |dw| < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Moreover,  $\|g\| = \|\eta\|$ , the total variation of  $\eta$ , and there are a sequence  $\{h_j\}$  of locally analytic on  $\partial\Delta$  functions and a sequence  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\|h_j\|_{\partial\Delta} \rightarrow 1$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon_j}} g(w)h_j(w)dw = \|\eta\| = \|g\|. \quad (12)$$

Conversely, (11) implies (obviously) (10).

**Proof.** (10) means that conditions of the Theorem 2 of Havin are satisfied for the compact  $F = \partial\Delta$  and the function which is  $g$  in  $\Delta$  and 0 outside of  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Hence, there exists a measure  $\eta$  supported on  $\partial\Delta$  such that  $\hat{\eta} = g$  in  $\Delta$  and  $\hat{\eta} = 0$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}$ . Let us prove (b) first. We can assume that  $\partial\Delta$  is the union of  $S_1 = S^1$  and a finitely many disjoint circles  $S_k$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, p$  inside the unit circle  $S^1$ . Let  $\eta_k = \eta|_{S_k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p$ . If  $w \in \Delta$  is close to the component  $S_1 = S^1$  of  $\partial\Delta$ , so that  $w = r\zeta$ ,  $\zeta \in S^1$ , we have:

$$g(r\zeta) = (\hat{\eta}_1(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}_1(\zeta/r)) + \delta(r, \zeta)$$

where  $\delta(r, \zeta) = \sum_{k=2}^p (\hat{\eta}_k(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}_k(\zeta/r))$  tends to 0 uniformly in  $\zeta$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  because  $\hat{\eta}_k$  is analytic off  $S_k$ . Hence, applying Theorem 3 to the measure  $\eta_1$  we get (11) for a component  $\Gamma_\epsilon$  which is near  $S_1$ . The proof for other components of  $\Gamma_\epsilon$  is very similar. This proves (11). In turn, (11) means that the condition of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied where  $\Omega = \Delta$  (so that  $\Delta_\Omega = \Delta$  and  $\psi_\Omega = id$ ) and  $\kappa = g$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists a measure  $\nu^g$  supported on  $\partial\Delta$  and absolutely continuous w.r.t. the arc measure on  $\partial\Delta$  such that  $\hat{\nu}^g = g$  in  $\Delta$  and  $\hat{\nu}^g = 0$  outside of  $\bar{\Delta}$ . But then the Cauchy transform of a measure  $\eta - \nu^g$  vanishes outside of  $\partial\Delta$ . Since  $\partial\Delta$  is a C-compact, we conclude that  $\nu^g = \eta$ . This proves part (a) along with  $\|\eta\| = \|g\|_{H^1}$ . It remains to find a sequence  $\{h_j\}$  as in (12). Note that since  $\Delta$  consists of a finitely many components (which are circles), it is enough to find  $\{h_j\}$  for each component separately. So let  $S_b(R) = \{w = b + Re^{it}, 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi\}$  be such a component. As  $g \in H^1(\Delta)$  and  $g \not\equiv 0$ , the non-tangential limit  $\tilde{g}$  of  $g$  exists and non-zero for almost every  $w \in S_b(R)$ . Note that for  $w \in S_b(R)$ ,  $|dw| = \frac{\alpha}{w-b} dw$  where  $\alpha = \frac{R}{i}$ . Since  $\tilde{g} \neq 0$  almost everywhere, the function  $H(w) = \frac{\alpha}{w-b} \frac{|\tilde{g}(w)|}{\tilde{g}(w)} \in L^\infty(S_b(R))$ . By Luzin's theorem, given  $\delta > 0$ , there is a continuous on  $S_b(R)$  function  $H^\delta$  such that  $\sup_w |H^\delta(w)| \leq \sup_w |H(w)| = 1$  and  $l(\{w : H(w) \neq H^\delta(w)\}) < \delta$  where  $l$  is the Lebesgue (arc) measure on  $S_b(R)$ . In turn, let  $h^\delta$  be a locally holomorphic on  $S_b(R)$  function such that  $\sup_{w \in S_b(R)} |H^\delta(w) - h^\delta(w)| < \delta$ . Then the sequence of functions  $h_j := h^{1/j}$ , defined for all  $j$  big enough, and a sequence  $\epsilon_j$  tending to zero fast enough, work.

Here are details. Assuming for simplicity  $S_b(R) = S^1$ , for each  $j \geq 1$  choose  $\epsilon_j > 0$  such that  $|h^{1/j}(r_j e^{it}) - h^{1/j}(e^{it})| < 1/j$  for all  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$  and for  $r_j = 1 - \epsilon_j$ . One write:

$$\left| \int_{|w|=r_j} g(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} |\tilde{g}(w)||dw| \right| \leq A_j + B_j + C_j$$

where

$$A_j := \left| \int_{|w|=r_j} g(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} \tilde{g}(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw \right| \rightarrow 0$$

because

$$A_j \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |r_j g(r_j e^{it}) - \tilde{g}(e^{it})| |h^{1/j}(r_j e^{it})| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{g}(e^{it})| |h^{1/j}(r_j e^{it}) - h^{1/j}(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{it}) - \tilde{g}(e^{it})| dt \rightarrow 0$  with  $r \rightarrow 1$  and by the choice of  $\epsilon_j$ ,

$$B_j := \left| \int_{|w|=1} (\tilde{g}(w)h^{1/j}(w) - \tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w))dw \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\sup_{|w|=1} |H^{1/j}(w) - h^{1/j}(w)| \rightarrow 0$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$C_j := \left| \int_{|w|=1} \tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} |\tilde{g}(w)|dw \right| = \left| \int_{|w|=1} (\tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w) - \tilde{g}(w)H(w))dw \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $l(\{w : H(w) \neq H^{1/j}(w)\}) \rightarrow 0$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

□

Another result we are going to use belongs to the approximation theory. Note that we don't use it in full generality, see comments right after the statement.

**Theorem 4** (A. Davie [2], [3], Zhijian Qiu [9]) *Let  $U$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  such that each of its components is finitely connected and the complement to  $U$  contains no isolated points. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the collection of components of  $U$  is a  $D$ -collection,*
- (ii)  *$A(U)$  is strongly boundedly pointwise dense in  $H^\infty(U)$ : each bounded analytic function  $h$  on  $U$  is a pointwise limit of a sequence  $h_n \in A(U)$  with  $\|h_n\|_{\overline{U}} \leq \|h\|_U$ .*

Comments on Theorem 4 and the way we apply it: (1) We need the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) only. (2) By Davie [3], (ii) is equivalent to a seemingly weaker statement (ii)': *each bounded analytic function  $h$  on  $U$  is a pointwise limit of a bounded sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset A(U)$ .* (3) Davie [2] (see preceding [1] though) proved that (i) and (ii') are equivalent when every component of  $U$  is simply connected. (4) The case when all but finitely many components of  $U$  are simply connected can be reduced easily to (3) with help of a simple geometric construction (by covering every finitely connected component by finitely many simply connected ones using only inner smooth cuts and then applying a local criterium of [5] that  $A(U)$  is pointwise boundedly dense in  $H^\infty(U)$ ; see Lemma 2.1 of [9] for details). (5) We employ Theorem 4 only to functions  $h$  which are non zero on a finitely many

components  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  of  $U$ . This case can be reduced to (4) as follows. Firstly, since  $h = \sum_{i=1}^m h\chi_{U_i}$ , it is enough to prove the claim for each  $h\chi_{U_i}$  separately, i.e., when  $h$  is non zero only on a single component, say,  $U_1$ . Now, if  $U_2, U_3, \dots$  are all other components of  $U$ , let us modify  $U$  to get a bigger open set  $\tilde{U}$  roughly by joining to each  $U_j$  ( $j > 1$ ) some components of  $\mathbf{C} \setminus U_j$  disjoint with  $U_1$  to turn it into a simply connected domain; see details in the proof of Theorem 2.1, [9]. Then  $h\chi_{U_1}$  is bounded analytic in  $\tilde{U}$  and  $A(\tilde{U}) \subset A(U)$ , and we apply the case (4) to  $\tilde{U}$ .

We need the following consequence of Theorem 4:

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $V$  and  $(\Omega_i)_{i=1}^N$  be as in Part 1 of Theorem 1, i.e., conditions (I)-(II) hold and  $\bar{V}$  is a A-compact. Fix a finite  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq N$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough, let  $h$  be a bounded analytic function in  $D_\epsilon := \cup_{k=1}^m \Omega_k \setminus \overline{\Omega_{k,\epsilon}}$ . Then given a positive sequence  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$ , there is a sequence of rational functions  $R_n$  with poles outside of  $E_\epsilon := \bar{V} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m \Omega_{k,\epsilon}$  such that  $\|R_n\|_{E_\epsilon} \leq \|h\|_{D_\epsilon} + \sigma_n$ ,  $R_n(z) \rightarrow h(z)$  for every  $z \in D_\epsilon$  and  $R_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $V \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m \overline{\Omega_k}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\bar{V}$  is a A-compact and the boundary of  $E_\epsilon$  is the disjoint union of  $\partial V$  and a finitely many analytic curves  $\partial\Omega_{k,\epsilon}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , then  $E_\epsilon$  is a A-compact as well. This follows e.g. from Vitushkin's theorem [14]. Now, given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$  and  $h$  as in the condition of the statement, let us extend  $h$  from  $D_\epsilon$  to a (bounded analytic) function  $h^1$  in  $V_\epsilon := \cup_{k=1}^N \Omega_k \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m \overline{\Omega_{k,\epsilon}}$  by defining  $h^1 = 0$  on  $\cup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$ . In view of condition (I), by Theorem 4, there is a sequence  $h_n \in A(V_\epsilon)$  such that  $h_n(z) \rightarrow h^1(z)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $z \in V_\epsilon$  and  $\|h_n\|_{\bar{V}_\epsilon} \leq \|h^1\|_{V_\epsilon} = \|h\|_{D_\epsilon}$ . By the condition (II),  $h_n \in A(\bar{V}_\epsilon) = A(E_\epsilon)$ . Since  $E_\epsilon$  is a A-compact, for each  $n$  there is a rational function  $R_n$  with poles outside of  $E_\epsilon$  such that  $\|R_n - h_n\|_{E_\epsilon} < \sigma_n$ . The sequence  $R_n$  is as required. Indeed, for any  $z \in E_\epsilon$ ,  $|R_n(z) - h^1(z)| \leq |R_n(z) - h_n(z)| + |h_n(z) - h^1(z)| < \sigma_n + |h_n(z) - h^1(z)|$ , hence,  $\{R_n\}$  tends to  $h^1(z) = h(z)$  on  $D_\epsilon$  and to  $h^1(z) = 0$  on  $\cup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$ . At the same time,  $\|R_n\|_{E_\epsilon} \leq \|h_n\|_{E_\epsilon} + \sigma_n \leq \|h\|_{D_\epsilon} + \sigma_n$ . □

## 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us start with a more difficult Part 1: assume that there is a measure  $\nu$  on  $E$  such that (1) holds and then prove (b). First of all, since  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  off  $E \cup \bar{V}$  and  $E$  is a C-compact by condition III(ii), Lemma 2.1 immediately tells us that  $\nu = 0$  on  $E \setminus \partial V$ . In other words, one can assume from the beginning that

$$E = \partial V.$$

Note at this point that since  $\bar{V}$  is a A-compact by III(i), its boundary  $E$  is a C-compact, see [6], p.227.

Now, let  $\tilde{C} = C_g$  be the constant guaranteed by Theorem 2, for the compact  $E = \partial V$  and the function  $g = g_\kappa$  where

$$g_\kappa(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Let us fix a collection of uniformizations  $\psi_{\Omega_k} : \Delta_{\Omega_k} \rightarrow \Omega_k$ , where the circular domains  $\Delta_{\Omega_k}$  are pairwise disjoint with their closures. Write  $\psi_k = \psi_{\Omega_k}$ ,  $\Delta_k = \Delta_{\Omega_k}$ . Let

$$\tilde{\kappa}_k(w) = \kappa_k(\psi_k(w))\psi_k'(w)$$

for  $w \in \Delta_k$ . Let us fix an arbitrary finite  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq N$ , and prove the following

**Claim.** *For every function  $\tilde{h}$  which is holomorphic in a small enough neighborhood of  $\Gamma := \cup_{k=1}^m \partial\Delta_k$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough,*

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Gamma_{k,\epsilon}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)\tilde{h}(w)dw \right| \leq \tilde{C} \|\tilde{h}\|_\Gamma \quad (14)$$

where  $\Gamma_{k,\epsilon} = \{w \in \Delta_k : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_k) = \epsilon\}$ .

*Proof of the Claim.* Fix any  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\tilde{h}$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the set  $\cup_{k=1}^m \{w \in \Delta_k : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_k) \leq \epsilon\}$ . Then, for each  $\tilde{\epsilon} \in (0, \epsilon)$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)\tilde{h}(w)dw = \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)\tilde{h}(w)dw. \quad (15)$$

Let  $h = \tilde{h} \circ \phi_k$  where  $\phi_k = \psi_k^{-1} : \Omega_k \rightarrow \Delta_k$ . Let  $\epsilon_0 \in (\tilde{\epsilon}, \epsilon)$ . Then  $h$  is defined in  $D_{\epsilon_0} = \cup_{k=1}^m \Omega_k \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{k,\epsilon_0}$ . Moreover,  $h$  is holomorphic and bounded in  $D_{\epsilon_0}$ . Let  $E_{\epsilon_0} = \overline{V} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m \Omega_{k,\epsilon_0}$ . Fix a positive sequence  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  and, by Corollary 3.1, find a sequence of rational functions  $R_n$  with poles outside of  $E_{\epsilon_0}$  such that  $R_n(z) \rightarrow h(z)$  for all  $z \in D_{\epsilon_0}$ ,  $R_n(z) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $z \in \cup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$  and  $\|R_n\|_{E_{\epsilon_0}} \leq \|h\|_{D_{\epsilon_0}} + \sigma_n$  for all  $n$ . Hence, for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and fixed  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)\tilde{h}(w)dw = \int_{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_k(z)h(z)dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_k(z)R_n(z)dz. \quad (16)$$

Consider a finite collection of closed analytic curves  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} := \{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}\}_{k=1}^m$ . Let us complete it by a finite collection  $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  of another pairwise disjoint closed analytic curves in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m \overline{\Omega}_k$  so that all together  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cup B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \partial U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  where  $U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  is a (small) neighborhood of  $E$ . Note that  $R_n \rightarrow h$  on  $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ ,  $R_n \rightarrow 0$  on  $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cap \cup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$  while  $\hat{\nu} = 0$  on  $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cap (\mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V})$ . Therefore, by the choice of  $\tilde{C}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_k(z)R_n(z)dz \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\partial U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} g_\kappa(z)R_n(z)dz \right| \leq \limsup_n \tilde{C} \|R_n\|_{E_{\epsilon_0}} \leq$$

$$\lim_n \tilde{C} (\|h\|_{D_{\epsilon_0}} + \sigma_n) = \tilde{C} \|h\|_{D_{\epsilon_0}} = \tilde{C} \|\tilde{h}\|_{\cup_{k=1}^m \{w \in \Delta_k : \mathbf{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_k) \leq \epsilon_0\}}.$$



Thus, by the latter inequality along with (15) and (16), for every  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w) \tilde{h}(w) dw \right| \leq \tilde{C} \|\tilde{h}\|_{\cup_{k=1}^m \{w \in \Delta_k : \text{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_k) \leq \epsilon_0\}}.$$

But  $\lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0} \|\tilde{h}\|_{\{w \in \Delta_k : \text{dist}(w, \partial\Delta_k) \leq \epsilon_0\}} = \|\tilde{h}\|_{\partial\Delta_k}$  because  $\tilde{h}$  is continuous up to  $\partial\Delta_k$ . This proves (14) and the Claim.

We proceed as follows. Since the closures of  $\Delta_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$  (where  $m$  is finite) are pairwise disjoint, given  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , the Claim immediately implies that the condition of Lemma 3.2 holds where  $\Delta = \Delta_k$  and  $g = \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)$ . We conclude there exist a measure  $\eta_k$  which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue on  $\partial\Delta_k$ , a sequence of functions  $\{h_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  locally analytic near  $\partial\Delta_k$  and a sequence  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\|h_{k,j}\|_{\partial\Delta_k} \rightarrow 1$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{k, \epsilon_j}} \kappa_k(\psi_k(w)) \psi'_k(w) h_{k,j}(w) dw = \|\eta_k\| = \|\kappa_k\|, \quad (17)$$

where  $\|\kappa_k\| = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega_{k, \epsilon}} |\kappa_k(z)| |dz|$ . Now, apply the Claim with  $\tilde{h}_j$  to be  $\tilde{h}_{k,j}$  near  $\partial\Delta_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . By Lemma 3.2 and the Claim:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \|\kappa_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^m \|\eta_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^m \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{k, \epsilon}} |\kappa_k(\psi_k(w)) \psi'_k(w)| |dw| \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Since  $\tilde{C}$  is independent on  $m$ , this proves (3), that is,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \|\kappa_k\| < \infty$ . This allows us to finish easily the proof that (a) implies (b) as follows. For each finite  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , by Lemma 3.1 (with  $\Omega = \Omega_k$  and  $\kappa = \kappa_k$ ) there is a measure  $\nu^{\kappa_k}$  such that  $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k} = \kappa_k$  in  $\Omega_k$  and  $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k} = 0$  off  $\overline{\Omega_k}$ , moreover,  $\nu^{\kappa_k} \ll \omega_{\Omega_k}$  and  $\|\nu^{\kappa_k}\| = \|\kappa_k\|$ . Define  $\nu_w = \sum_{k=1}^N \nu^{\kappa_k}$ . Then  $\|\nu_w\| = \sum_{k=1}^N \|\nu^{\kappa_k}\| < \infty$ . Since by condition (I) harmonic measures of different  $\Omega_k$  are singular,  $\{\nu^{\kappa_k}\}_{k=1}^N$  are pairwise singular as well. Now,  $\hat{\nu}_w = \sum_{k=1}^N \hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k}$  is equal to  $\kappa_k$  in  $\Omega_k$  for each  $k$  and 0 off  $\overline{V}$ . Let us compare measures  $\nu$  and  $\nu_w$ . For the difference measure  $\tau = \nu - \nu_w$ , we have:  $\hat{\tau} = 0$  off  $E$  where  $E$  is a C-compact. Hence,  $\tau = \nu - \nu_w = 0$ , and we are done with the implication (a) implies (b). In fact, above considerations prove Part 2, too, using again Lemma 3.1.

## References

- [1] Browder, A., Wermer, J.: *Some algebras of functions on an arc*. J. Math. and Mech., 12 (1963), no 1, 119-130
- [2] A. M. Davie: *Dirichlet algebras of analytic functions*. Journal of Functional Analysis 6 (1970), 348-356

- [3] Alexander M. Davie: *Bounded approximation and Dirichlet sets*. Journal of Functional Analysis 6 (1970), 460-467
- [4] Dolzhenko, E.P.: *On approximation on closed regions and on null-sets*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 143 (1962), 771-774
- [5] T. Gamelin and J. Garnett: *Constructive techniques in rational approximation*. Amer. J. Math., 89 (1967), 932-941
- [6] Gamelin, T.: *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice Hall, 1969.
- [7] John Garnett: *Analytic Capacity and Measure*. Lecture Notes in Math. 297, Springer-Verlag, 1972
- [8] Fisher, S.: *Function Theory on Planar Domains*. John Wiley & Sons, 1983
- [9] Zhijian Qiu: *On pointwise bounded approximation*. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 25 (2009), no.7, 1217-1222
- [10] Koosis, P.: *Introduction to  $H_p$  Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980
- [11] Levin, G.: *Fixed points of the Ruelle-Thurston operator and the Cauchy transform*. 2019
- [12] Ch.Pommerenke and B.Rodin: *Intrinsic rotations of simply connected regions, II*. Complex Variables and Applications, v.4(1985), 223-232
- [13] G. G. Tumarkin: *On integrals of Cauchy-Stieltjes type*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 11(1956), no 4(70), 163-166
- [14] Vitushkin, A.G.: *Analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory*. Russian Math. Surveys 22(1968), 139-200
- [15] Zalcman, L.: *Analytic Capacity and Rational Approximation*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 50, 1968