ON THE CAUCHY TRANSFORM VANISHING OUTSIDE A COMPACT

GENADI LEVIN

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a problem in holomorphic dynamics, we present a certain generalization of the celebrated F. and M. Riesz Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a finite complex measure ν with a compact support on C, let

$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \int \frac{d\nu(w)}{w-z}$$

be the Cauchy transform of ν . For the following facts, see e.g. [12]: $\hat{\nu}$ is locally in $L^1(dxdy)$, $\hat{\nu}$ exists almost everywhere on **C** and holomorphic outside of the compact support supp (ν) of ν , and $\nu(\infty) = 0$. Moreover, if for an open set U and an analytic on U function h, $h = \nu dxdy$ -almost everywhere on U, then $|\nu|(U) = 0$

The F. and M. Riesz Theorem asserts that, given a measure ν on the unit circle S^1 , if $\int_{S^1} w^n d\nu(w) = 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, in other words, if the Cauchy transform $\hat{\nu}$ of ν vanishes outside the closed unit disk, then ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on S^1 . Theorem 1, see below, is a generalization of this theorem to the union of pairwise disjoint bounded finitely connected domains.

We use the following notations and terminology. Given a compact subset K of the plane, A(K) is the algebra of all continuous function on K which are analytic in the interior of K and R(K) is the algebra of uniform limits on K of rational functions with poles outside K (=uniform limits on K of functions holomorphic on K). If R(K) = A(K), we call K a A-compact, and if a A-compact K is nowhere dense, K is a C-compact (C=continuous since in this case A(K) = C(K), the set of all continuous functions on K). Given an open bounded set U, let A(U) be the algebra of all analytic in U functions which extend continuously to \overline{U} .

Necessary and sufficient conditions for a compact in the plane to be A- or C-compact are given by Vitushkin [22]. Here are some sufficient conditions

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30E10; Secondary 37F50.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy transform, F. and M. Riesz Theorem, rational approximation, rotation domain.

[11], [22]. K is a C-compact if the area of K is zero. K is C-(respectively, A-)compact if every point of K (respectively, every point of ∂K) belongs to the boundary of a component of the complement $\mathbf{C} \setminus K$. Besides, the boundary of a A-compact is always a C-compact, see e.g. [11], though not the opposite.

We would like to know about non-trivial measures ν supported on the boundary ∂K of K such that $\hat{\nu} = 0$ outside K. It is well known [11], that $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off K if and only if $\int f d\nu = 0$ for all $f \in R(K)$. This implies that if K is nowhere dense, such a non-trivial measure ν exists if and only if K is not a C-compact.

Let us formulate a question motivated by a problem in holomorphic dynamics. Given a nowhere dense compact E, let $V = \bigcup_k \Omega_k$ be the union of some non-empty collection $\{\Omega_k\}$ of bounded components of the complement $\mathbf{C} \setminus E$. The problem we are interested in is the following:

Describe the sets V and E for which any measure ν supported on E and such that $\hat{\nu} = 0$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (E \cup V)$ is in fact supported on $\cup_k \partial \Omega_k$ and absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measures of Ω_k .

We prove in Theorem 1 that this is the case if each component Ω_k is finitely connected without isolated points in its boundary and the following three conditions hold: (I) $\{\Omega_k\}$ is a so-called D-collections, (II) $A(\overline{V}) = A(V)$, (III): (i) \overline{V} is a A-compact, (ii) E is a C-compact.

Let us comment about (I)-(III), see below for more details. In condition (I), $\{\Omega_k\}$ is said to be a D-collection if harmonic measures of different domains are mutually singular and for each domain Ω of the collection, a holomorphic homeomorphism from a bounded circular domain Δ onto Ω extends as a one-to-one map onto a subset of the full (arc) measure to $\partial \Delta$. Condition (II) means that every continuous in \overline{V} function which is holomorphic in V is in fact holomorphic in the interior of \overline{V} . This is obviously the case if $\operatorname{Int}(\overline{V}) = V$. In condition (III), (ii) along with $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off $E \cup V$ imply that $\nu = 0$ on $E \setminus \partial V$ (see Lemma 2.1), i.e., in fact ν is supported on ∂V . Note that (III) holds, for example, if the complement $\mathbf{C} \setminus E$ consists of a finitely many components.

If $E = S^1$, the unit circle, and $V = \mathbf{D}$, the unit disk, then (I)-(III) are satisfied and we recover the F. and M. Riesz theorem.

All conditions of Theorem 1 turn out to be essential: the conclusion about the measure ν breaks down in general if one of the conditions (I), (II) or (III) does not hold, see Proposition 1 along with Examples 1-2. There exists the abstract F. and M. Riesz Theorem, see [11], Theorem 7.6. It would be interesting to derive Theorem 1 from this result. The reason we proved Theorem 1 was to apply it to a problem in holomorphic dynamics, see Corollary 2.1 and [19].

After completing this note (arXiv:1911.05336, Nov 13, 2019) we found Erret Bishop's papers [1] and its sequel [2]. The main Theorem 3 of [2] is a particular case of Theorem 1 of the present note in the case when the boundary E of $E \cup V$ is equal to the boundary of the unbounded component of the complement to $E \cup V$ (it is fairly easy to see that this implies all conditions (I)-(III) to be hold). In the concluding Remark III of [2] E. Bishop asks whether the analog of his Theorem 3 holds in a more general setting noting that "this seems to be a difficult question" and that it is clear that some extra hypotheses are necessary. Our Theorem 1 thus answers partially this question.

For another line of development of F. and M. Riesz Theorem and [1]-[2], see more recent [16], [17] by Dmitry Khavinson. Theorem 1 of the present paper is close in spirit to [17, Theorem 1]. While we study measures on ∂X of a compact X by uniformazing components of the interior of X, in [16], [17] the author takes an approach in which measures on ∂X are approximated from outside X. Theorem 1 of [17] essentially states that given a compact X for which the Dirichlet problem is always solvable, every measure μ on ∂X such that $\hat{\mu} = 0$ off X is a weak-* limit of $\{\mu_n\}$ with $||\mu_n|| \leq ||\mu||$ and $d\mu_n = f_n(z)dz|_{\partial X_n}$, for any decreasing to X sequence $X_n(\supset X)$ of compacts with analytic boundaries and some $f_n \in R(X_n)$.

Let's remark finally that we try to keep the proofs as self-contained and elementary as possible.

2. Statements

2.1. Main result and (counter-)examples. All measures unless stated otherwise are assumed to be complex and finite. Given two measures λ and μ where μ is positive we write $\lambda \ll \mu$ if λ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ , and $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$ for two mutually singular measures λ_1, λ_2 . Given a bounded plane domain Ω (i.e., a connected open set of the plane) let ω_{Ω} denote the harmonic measure on $\partial\Omega$ of the domain Ω w.r.t. a fixed point in Ω .

Recall that a domain of the plane is *circular* if its boundary consists of a finite number of disjoint circles. An example is the unit disk **D**. Given a finitely connected bounded domain Ω whose boundary contains no isolated points, it is a classical result that there exist a bounded circular domain Δ_{Ω} and a conformal homeomorphism

$$\psi_{\Omega}: \Delta_{\Omega} \to \Omega.$$

By the Fatou Theorem on radial limits, for (Lebesgue) almost every point $w \in \partial \Delta_{\Omega}$, the radial boundary value $\psi_{\Omega}(w)$ is defined.

Definition 2.1. (cf. [13], [3]) Given a (finite or infinite) collection $\{\Omega_i\}$ of pairwise disjoint finitely connected domains without isolated points on their boundaries, we call $\{\Omega_i\}$ a **D-collection** (D=Davie, see [7]) if: each ψ_{Ω_i} extends radially as a one-to-one map on a set of full measure of the boundary of Δ_{Ω_i} , and $\omega_{\Omega_i} \perp \omega_{\Omega_j}$ for $i \neq j$.

Main result is

Theorem 1. Let V be a bounded open set such that each component of V is finitely connected without isolated boundary points. Let $\{\Omega_i, \kappa_i\}_{i=1}^N, 1 \leq N \leq \infty$ be a set of couples where $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a collection of all components of V and, for each i, κ_i is a holomorphic function on Ω_i . For each i, let us fix a uniformization $\psi_{\Omega_i} : \Delta_{\Omega_i} \to \Omega_i$. Let $E \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a compact set without interior points such that $E \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus V$ and $E \supset \partial V$.

P1. Assume that

(I) {Ω_i}^N_{i=1} is a D-collection,
(II) A(V) = A(V),
(III) (i) V is a A-compact, (ii) E is a C-compact.
Then (a) implies (b) where:
(a) there exists a measure ν supported in E such that:

(1)
$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (E \cup V) \end{cases}$$

(b) for every i,

(2)
$$||\kappa_i|| := \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega_{i,\epsilon}} |\kappa_i(z)| |dz| < \infty$$

where $\Omega_{i,\epsilon} = \psi_{\Omega_i}(\{w : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_{\Omega_i}) > \epsilon\})$. Moreover, (b1)

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ||\kappa_i|| < \infty,$$

(b2) the following representation holds:

(4)
$$\nu = \sum_{i}^{N} \nu_{i}$$

where $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are pairwise mutually singular measures, ν_i is a measure on $\partial\Omega_i$ such that $\nu_i \ll \omega_{\Omega_i}$ and $||\kappa_i|| = ||\nu_i||$, the total variation of ν_i . In particular, ν has no atoms.

P2. Vice versa, assume that (2)-(3) hold. Then there exists a measure ν supported on $\cup_{k=1}^{N} \partial \Omega_k$ such that

(5)
$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if harmonic measures on different Ω_k are mutually singular, then ν admits a representation (4) as in (b2).

Notice the case $E = \partial V$. Then III(i) implies III(ii).

Applying Theorem 1 with $E = \partial V$ and $\kappa_i = 1$ for all i we get an answer to the Problem 4.2, p.55, [12] for the sets V that satisfy conditions (I)-(III): Let $V = \bigcup_k \Omega_k$ be a bounded open set such that its components $\{\Omega_k\}$ form a D-collection and $A(V) = A(\overline{V}) = R(\overline{V})$. Then there is a measure μ on ∂V such that $\hat{\mu} = 1$ in V and $\hat{\mu} = 0$ off \overline{V} if and only if for each k the linear measure $\Lambda(\partial \Omega_k)$ of $\partial \Omega_k$ is finite and $\sum_k \Lambda(\partial \Omega_k) < \infty$.

Given a measure supported on a compact in the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$, the unit circle can be used as a "screen" to kill the Cauchy transform of this measure off $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$:

Example 1. (A. Volberg) Let $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus K$ where $\mathbf{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ and K = [0,1]. Let ν_K be a measure support in K. Assume that $\hat{\nu}_K(e^{it}) \in L^1(0,2\pi)$. On the unit circle $S^1 = \{|z| = 1\}$ with the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure (i.e., the arc length measure $dt, 0 \le t \le 2\pi$), we define a new measure ν_c so that $d\nu_c(e^{it}) = h_c dt$ with the density

$$h_c(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{it} \hat{\nu}_K(e^{it})$$

Let ν be a (finite) measure on ∂V which is defined as follows: $\nu = \nu_K$ on Kand $\nu = \nu_c$ on S^1 . Then

(6)
$$\hat{\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{\nu}_K(z) & \text{if } z \in V, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (K \cup S^1)$,

$$\hat{\nu}_c(z) = \int_{S^1} \frac{d\nu_c(w)}{w-z} = \int_K \frac{d\nu_K(u)}{u-z} \int_{S^1} \frac{dw}{2\pi i(w-z)(u-w)}$$

where the inner integral is equal to -1 for |z| > 1 and 0 for $z \in \mathbf{D} \setminus K$. The same calculation, hence, (6) as well, hold if $K \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ is any compact such that the length of $K \cap \partial \mathbf{D}$ is zero and ν_K is any measure on K such that $\hat{\nu}_K(e^{it}) \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$.

Proposition 1. Conditions (I)-(III) of Theorem 1 are necessary for its conclusion: there exist open sets V and measures ν supported on $E := \partial V$ such that V is simply connected, $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off \overline{V} and ν has atoms (so not absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measure on ∂V) while, in notations of Theorem 1, for the sets V and E one and only one condition (I), (II), (III) breaks down.

Proof. Let K be any nowhere dense compact as in Example 1 such that $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus K$ is simply connected. Let $E = K \cup S^1 = \partial V$. Since $\overline{V} = \overline{\mathbf{D}}, \overline{V}$ is a A-compact and $E = \partial V$ is a C-compact, so the condition III holds. On the other hand, taking ν_K a discrete measure with $\operatorname{supp}(\nu) = K$ we see that the conclusion of Theorem 1 does not hold. It means that at least one of the conditions I-II has to break down. In particular, for K = [0, 1], condition I does not hold while II does so the condition I is necessary indeed. As for the necessity of condition II, we choose K = J where J is a Jordan arc such that $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus J$ is a simply connected domain which satisfies the condition I, i.e., the Riemann map $\psi_V : \mathbf{D} \to V$ extends to a one-to-one map on a set of a full (arc) measure on S^1 . Hence, the condition II cannot hold in this case (this follows also directly from Theorem 1' of [6], see also recent [4], [5]). The existence of such Jordan arc J follows from Browder and Wermer [6]. Indeed, in [6] an example of a Jordan arc J is constructed such that the Riemann map $h: \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbf{D}} \to \mathbf{C} \setminus J$ from the complement to the closed unit disk onto the complement to $J, h(\infty) = \infty$, extends one-to-one on a set of full Lebesgue measure on S^1 . Then it is easy to see that if we take $J \subset \overline{\mathbf{D}}, J \cap S^1 = \{1\}$, then $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus J$ satisfies the condition I. [Proof: since $V \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus J, h^{-1}(V)$ is a well defined simply connected bounded domain with pairwise analytic boundary; hence, if $\beta : \mathbf{D} \to h^{-1}(V)$ is a Riemann map then $\psi_V := h \circ \beta : \mathbf{D} \to V$ extends to a one-to-one-map on a set of a full measure on S^1 .]

That the condition III is necessary as well, see the following example. \Box

Example 2. Let J be the Jordan arc as in [6], see the proof of Proposition 1. One can assume that $J \subset \mathbf{D} \cup \{1\}$ and the endpoints of J are 0 and 1. Let $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a collection of open disks in $\mathbf{D} \setminus J$ with pairwise disjoint closures such that each D_j touches J at precisely one point which is neither 0 nor 1, the set of all such points is dense in J and such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_j}{d_j} < \infty$$

where r_j is the radius of D_j and d_j is the distance between 0 and D_j . (It's not difficult to realize that such choice of disks is possible.) Define sets V and E as: $V = \mathbf{D} \setminus (J \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{D}_j)$ and $E = \partial V = S^1 \cup J \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$ where $S_j = \partial D_j$. Since there are non constant continuous on the Riemann sphere functions which are holomorphic in $\mathbf{C} \setminus J$, by [9], [11] the compact $\overline{V} = \overline{\mathbf{D}} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j$ is not a A-compact, i.e., $R(\overline{V}) \neq A(\overline{V})$.

Now we define the measure ν on E as follows. Let $\nu = \delta_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nu_j$ where δ_0 is the Dirac measure at 0, $d\nu_0(w) = \hat{\delta}_0(w)dw$, $w \in S^1$, is a measure on S^1 and, for each j, $d\nu_j(w) = -\hat{\delta}_0(w)dw$, $w \in S_j$, is a measure on S_j . Since for every $j \ge 0$, $||\nu_j|| \le 2\pi r_j/d_j$, then

$$||\nu|| \le 1 + 2\pi (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{r_j}{d_j}) < \infty$$

Similar to Example 1, we get $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off \tilde{E} and $\hat{\nu} = \hat{\delta}_0$ in V. On the other hand, ν is not absolutely continuous w.r.t. harmonic measure of V because ν has the atom at 0. Notice that V is simply connected and, for the sets V, E as above, conditions (I), (II) of Theorem 1 holds but (III) does not: \overline{V} is not A-compact. Note that at the same time, $E = \partial V$ is a C-compact as every point of E is at the boundary of the component V of the complement to E.

2.2. Local removability of C-compacts. We need the following

Lemma 2.1. (a) Any closed subset of a C-compact is C-compact.

 (b) Let K be a nowhere dense compact in C and μ a measure on K. Suppose that for a neighborhood W of a point x ∈ K, K ∩ W is a C-compact and μ̂ = 0 on W \ K. Then μ vanishes on K ∩ W, i.e., |μ|(W) = 0.

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that any continuous function on a closed subset of a compact extends to a continuous function on the whole compact. Let us prove (b). Let U be the union of all components of $\mathbb{C}\setminus K$ that intersect W. Then $W \setminus K \subset U$ and $\hat{\mu} = 0$ on U. One can assume that $\infty \in U$ as otherwise, for $M(z) = 1/(x_0 - z)$ with some $x_0 \in U$, we replace K by $\tilde{K} = M(K)$ and μ by a measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on \tilde{K} such that $d\tilde{\mu}(w) = wd\mu(x_0 - 1/w)$. Thus $\infty \in U$. Now, for $|\mu|(W) = 0$ it is enough to prove that for each $x \in$ $K \cap W$ there is a neighborhood $W_x \subset W$ such that for all continuous with compact support in W_x functions $g, \int gd\mu = 0$. So fix $x \in K \cap W$ and choose $W_x = B(x, r)$ where r > 0 is so that $B(x, 2r) \subset W$. Let g be a continuous function on \mathbb{C} which is compactly supported in B(x, r). Let $\hat{K} = \mathbb{C} \setminus U$. It is enough to prove that $g \in R(\hat{K})$. Indeed, assume that there is a sequence of rational functions R_n with poles outside \hat{K} converging uniformly on \hat{K} to g. Perturbing some of R_n if necessary one can further assume that all R_n have simple poles. If say $R_n(z) = P(z) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j / (z - b_j)$ where P is a polynomial and all $b_j \in U$, then $\int R_n d\mu = \int P d\mu + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \hat{\mu}(b_j) = 0$ because $\hat{\mu} = 0$ in U and $\int z^n d\mu(z) = 0$ for all n > 0 (this is because $\hat{\mu} = 0$ in a neighborhood of ∞). Then $\int g d\mu = \lim_n \int R_n d\mu = 0$. It remains to show that $g \in R(K)$. We use Bishop's theorem (11.8 of [23]): Given a compact $X \subset \mathbf{C}$ and a continuous on **C** function f, assume that for each $z \in X$ there is a closed neighborhood $B_z = \{ |w - z| \leq \delta_z \}, \ \delta_z > 0 \text{ such that } f|_{X \cap B_z} \in R(X \cap B_z).$ Then $f \in R(X)$. Applying this to the compact \hat{K} and the function g, if $z \in \hat{K} \setminus \overline{B}(x,r)$, then, for $\delta_z = |z-x| - r > 0$, $g|_{\hat{K} \cap B_z} = 0 \in R(\hat{K} \cap B_z)$. On the other hand, for $z \in \overline{B}(x,r)$ and $\delta_z = r, g|_{\hat{K} \cap B_z} \in R(\hat{K} \cap B_z)$ because $\hat{K} \cap B_z \subset \hat{K} \cap \overline{B}(x,2r) \subset K \cap \overline{W}$ while $K \cap \overline{W}$ is a C-compact. Notice that $g \in R(K)$ follows also from Vitushkin's necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be in R(X), [22].

2.3. A particular case: rotation domains. Let $\{\Omega_i\}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint Jordan domains such that $\overline{\Omega}_i \cap \overline{\Omega}_j$ is at most a single point for all $i \neq j$, $V = \bigcup_i \Omega_i$ is bounded. Then obviously the conditions I-II of Theorem 1 hold.

Here is a more interesting case which is originated in holomorphic dynamics. Recall that a simply or doubly connected domain A is called a rotation domain for a rational function f of degree at least 2 if $f^Q : A \to A$ is a homeomorphism for some $Q \ge 1$ which is conjugate to an irrational rotation: for a conformal homeomorphism $\psi_A : \Delta_A \to A$ where Δ_A is either a round disk or a round annulus, the conjugate mam $R := \psi_A^{-1} \circ f^Q \circ \psi_A : \Delta_A \to \Delta_A$ is an irrational rotation of Δ_A . (A is called a Siegel disk or a Herman ring depending on whether Δ_A is a disk or an annulus.)

Proposition 2. Let $\{A\}$ be a collection of different rotation domains of a rational function f, i.e., each A is either a Siegel disk or a Herman ring. Then $\{A\}$ satisfies the conditions (I)-(II) of Theorem 1, i.e.,

(1) for every A, there is a subset \tilde{X} of $\partial \Delta_A$ of the full Lebesgue measure (length) on which ψ_A is one-to-one,

(2) for any two different rotation domains A_1, A_2 , the harmonic measures ω_{A_1} of A_1 and ω_{A_1} of A_2 are mutually singular.

(3) let $V_f := \bigcup_{A \in \{A\}} A$, then the interior of \overline{V}_f is equal to V_f , therefore, $A(V_f) = A(\overline{V}_f).$ Part (1) is an easy corollary of the following claim which is proved in [20], Theorem 2 for the Siegel disk and its proof holds with obvious modifications for the Herman ring:

Lemma 2.2. Let A be a rotation domain of a rational function f such that f(A) = A and $\psi_A : \Delta_A \to A$ as above. There are only two cases:

(i) All radial limits $\psi_A(w)$ are different,

(ii) There is a point $a \in \partial A$ such that f(a) = a, moreover, if $\psi_A(w_1) = \psi_A(w_2)$ for some $w_1, w_2 \in \partial \Delta_A$ then $\psi_A(w_1) = \psi_A(w_2) = a$.

of Proposition 2. (1) follows at once from Lemma 2.2 and Riesz's uniqueness theorem for bounded analytic functions. Let's prove (2). It's enough to show that the following is impossible: $\omega_{A_1}(Y) > 0$ and $\omega_{A_2}(Y) > 0$ for $Y = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2}$ where Y is a subset of a component L_1 of ∂A_1 as well as a component L_2 of ∂A_2 . So by a contradiction assume this is the case. First, since $f^Q(L_i) = L_i$ for some Q > 0 and $i = 1, 2, f^Q(Y) \subset Y$. Secondly, by the Fatou theorem on radial limits, there is a set $\tilde{Y} \subset \partial \Delta_{A_1}$ of positive length $|\tilde{Y}| > 0$ such that for all $w \in \tilde{Y}$, the radial limit $\psi_{A_1}(w)$ exists and in Y. Moreover, since $f^Q(Y) \subset Y$, one can assume that $R(\tilde{Y}) \subset \tilde{Y}$ where $R = \psi_{A_1}^{-1} \circ f^Q \circ \psi_{A_1} : \Delta_{A_1} \to \Delta_{A_1}$ is an irrational rotation of Δ_{A_1} . Since $|\tilde{Y}| > 0$, we get that \tilde{Y} has a full measure. Then Y is a closed subset of L_1 of the full harmonic measure ω_{A_1} , hence, $Y = L_1$. Since $\omega_{A_2}(Y) > 0$, then $Y = L_2$ as well, i.e., $L_1 = L_2$. Taking now a small disk B around some $x \in L_1 = L_2$, we see that all iterates $f^{jQ}B$, $j \ge 0$, stay in $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2}$, which is possible only if x in the Fatou set, a contradiction. Moreover, that the interior of $\overline{V_f}$ coincides with V_f is also proved by a very similar argument. \Box

Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 immediately imply

Corollary 2.1. Suppose \mathcal{H} is a non empty collection of bounded rotation domains of a rational function f. Let $V = \bigcup \{A : A \in \mathcal{H}\}, E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus V$ a nowhere dense compact set such that $\partial V \subset E$, and ν be a measure supported on E such that $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off $E \cup V$. If E is a C-compact and \overline{V} is a A-compact, then ν is, in fact, supported on $\partial V = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{H}} \partial A$ and, for each A, $\nu|_{\partial A} \ll \omega_A$. In particular, ν is non-atomic. Moreover, the function $\hat{\nu} \circ \psi'_A$ is in the H^1 -Hardy space, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\{z \in \Delta_A: \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial A) = \epsilon\}} |\hat{\nu} \circ \psi'_A(z)| |dz| < \infty$$

Conjecturally, $\overline{V} = \bigcup \{\overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is *always* a A-compact.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

3.1. **Preparatory statements.** The proof is heavily based on some general results, mainly of \sim 1960's, see Theorems 2, 3 and 4. The first one is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach and the Riesz representation theorems, see [12]:

Theorem 2. (V.P. Havin) Let $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ be compact and let g analytic on $\mathbf{C} \setminus F$ and $g(\infty) = 0$. There is a measure ν on F such that $g(z) = \hat{\nu}(z)$ for all $z \notin F$ if and only if there is C_g such that for all functions h which are analytic in a neighborhood of F,

$$|T_g(h)| \le C_g ||h||_F$$

where $||h||_F = \sup_{z \in F} |h(z)|$ and

$$T_g(h) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} g(z)h(z)dz$$

where U is any small enough neighborhood of F such that ∂U consists of a finitely many analytic curves that surround E in positive direction. When this is the case we may take $C_q = ||\nu||$, the total variation of ν .

Theorem 3. (G.G. Tumarkin, [21]) Let g be analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S^1$ and $g(\infty) = 0$. Then $g = \hat{\eta}$ for some measure η (with $supp(\eta) \subset S^1$) if and only if

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{S^1} |g(r\theta) - g(\frac{\theta}{r})| |d\theta| < \infty.$$

Comment 1. Notice a particular case when g = 0 off $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$.

For completeness, we prove here this statement for the direction we need. So let $g = \hat{\eta}$, for a measure η on S^1 . Given $\zeta = e^{i\theta}, w = e^{it} \in S^1$ and 0 < r < 1,

$$\frac{1}{w-r\zeta} - \frac{1}{w-\frac{\zeta}{r}} = -\frac{\zeta(r^2-1)}{w\zeta(1-\frac{r\zeta}{w})(\frac{rw}{\zeta}-1)} = e^{-it}P_r(\theta-t)$$

where $P_r(\theta - t) = (1 - r^2)/(1 + r^2 - 2r\cos(\theta - t))$ in the Poisson kernel. Therefore,

$$\hat{\eta}(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}(\frac{\zeta}{r}) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t)e^{-it}d\eta(e^i t)$$

and

$$\int_{S^1} |g(r\zeta) - g(\frac{\zeta}{r})| |d\zeta| \le \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) d|\eta| (e^{it})$$
$$\le \int_0^{2\pi} d|\eta| (e^{it}) \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) d\theta = 2\pi ||\eta||$$

where $||\eta||$ is the total variation of the measure η .

Next two statements must be well known, too, though we are not aware of precise references and will give for completeness proofs here, cf. [1]-[2]. For the first one, recall the following definition. Let D be a bounded or unbounded circular domain, i.e., $\partial D = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \ldots \cup S_p$ where S_1, \cdots, S_p are pairwise disjoint circles. The Hardy space $H^1(D)$ is a set of all holomorphic in D functions F with $F(\infty) = 0$ if $\infty \in D$ such that

$$||F||_{H^1(D)} := \limsup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \int_S |F(w)| |dw| < \infty$$

where S is a collection of all circles $S \subset D$ in a small neighborhood of ∂D that are concentric to one of S_j , $j = 1, \dots, p$. It is well known e.g. [14] that any $F \in H^1(D)$ has a non-tangential limit F(w) at almost every $w \in$ ∂D w.r.t. the Lebedgue (arc) measure on ∂D . We need also the following representation for $F \in H^1(D)$ assuming D is bounded and S_1 is the outer boundary of D:

(7)
$$F = F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_p$$

where $F_j \in D_j$, D_1 is a bounded domain with the boundary S_1 and D_j , $j = 2, \dots, p$, is an unbounded domain with $\partial D_j = S_j$. This representation follows essentially from the Cauchy formula, see [14]. Note that each F_j is holomorphic in a domain that contains all other S_k , $k \neq j$.

Lemma 3.1. Let Ω be a finitely connected bounded domain with no isolated points of the boundary and $\kappa : \Omega \to \mathbf{C}$ is holomorphic. Assume that

(8)
$$||\kappa|| := \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon}} |\kappa(w)| |dw| < \infty$$

where $\Omega_{\epsilon} = \psi_{\Omega}(\{w : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_{\Omega}) > \epsilon\})$. Then there is a measure ν^{κ} supported on $\partial\Omega$ such that $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa}(z) = \kappa(z)$ for $z \in \Omega$ and $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa}(z) = 0$ for $z \ni \overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, $\nu^{\kappa} \ll \omega_{\Omega}$ with $||\nu^{\kappa}|| = ||\kappa||$.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and given *n* define a measure ν_n on $\partial \Omega_{\epsilon_n}$ by $d\nu_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\kappa(z)dz$. By (8), $\sup_n ||\nu_n|| < \infty$. Let ν^{κ} be a weak^{*} limit of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}$. By the Cauchy formula,

$$\hat{\nu}^{\kappa}(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon_n}} \frac{\kappa(\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - z}$$

is equal to $\kappa(z)$ for $z \in \Omega$ and 0 off $\overline{\Omega}$. Denote $\psi = \psi_{\Omega}$, $\Delta = \Delta_{\Omega}$, $\Gamma_n = \{w : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta) = \epsilon_n\}$) and $\tilde{\kappa} = (\kappa \circ \psi)\psi'$. Note that (8) is equivalent to : $\tilde{\kappa} \in H^1(\Delta)$. Let $\psi(w)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(w)$, $w \in \partial \Delta$, denote also corresponding limits (existing almost everywhere) of $\psi(u)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(u)$ as $u \to w$ non-tangentially.

We have to prove that $\nu^{\kappa} \ll \omega_{\Omega}$ For this, it is enough to show that for any continuous compactly supported function h on \mathbf{C} ,

(9)
$$\int h d\nu^{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Delta} h(\psi(w)) \tilde{\kappa}(w) dw$$

This would imply that $\nu^{\kappa} \ll \omega_{\Omega}$ and that $||\nu_{\kappa}|| = ||\kappa||$. One can check (9) separately for each component of $\partial \Delta$. Let us do this for the outer component S_1 of $\partial \Delta = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_p$ (for other components, the proof is the same with straightforward modifications). One can assume $S_1 = S^1$, the unit circle. Since $\int h d\nu^{\kappa} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int h d\nu_n$, we have to check that, for $r_n = 1 - \epsilon_n$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw.$$

As $\tilde{\kappa} \in H^1(\Delta)$, let $\tilde{\kappa} = \sum_{j=1}^p \tilde{\kappa}_j$ the corresponding representation as in (7). Then

$$\int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}(w)dw = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw$$

Let j > 1. Since $\tilde{\kappa}_j$, $j \neq 1$, is a holomorphic function in a domain that contains S^1 , $h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)$ is bounded in $\{r < |w| < 1\}$ for some r < 1, hence, one can apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw = \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_j(w)dw.$$

It remains to check that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw = \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw$$

Here we have to use that $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$, the Hardy space in the unit disk. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{|w|=r_n} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} h(\psi(w))\tilde{\kappa}_1(w)| \leq \\ \int_0^{2\pi} |r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it}))| |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it})| dt + \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\psi(e^{it}))| |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here are some details. Let I_n be the first integral and J_n be the second one. Then

$$J_n \le \sup_{t \in [0,2\pi]} |h(\psi(e^{it}))| \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt \to 0$$

because $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$ ([18], ch.II,B,2°). Let's prove that $\lim_n I_n = 0$. Let $I := \lim \sup_n I_n$. Since $r\psi(re^{it}) \to \psi(e^{it})$ as $r \to 1$ a.e. in t and h is continuous, for every $\sigma > 0$ there is $E_{\sigma} \subset [0, 2\pi]$ such that $l(E_{\sigma}) > 2\pi - \sigma$ (where l is the

lebesgue measure on $(0, 2\pi)$ and $r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it})) \to 0$ uniformly in $t \in E_{\sigma}$. Since also $\tilde{\kappa}_1 \in H^1(\mathbf{D})$,

$$\lim_{n} \int_{E_{\sigma}} |r_n h(\psi(r_n e^{it})) - h(\psi(e^{it}))| \tilde{\kappa}_1(r_n e^{it}) | dt = 0.$$

Hence,

$$I \leq 2 \sup |h| \limsup_{n} \int_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_{1}(r_{n}e^{it})| dt$$

where $F_{\sigma} = [0, 2\pi] \setminus E_{\sigma}$ so that $l(F_{\sigma}) \leq \sigma$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} |\int_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_{1}(r_{n}e^{it})|dt - \int_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_{1}(e^{it})|dt| &\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \chi_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_{1}(r_{n}e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}(e^{it})|dt \leq \\ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\tilde{\kappa}_{1}(r_{n}e^{it}) - \tilde{\kappa}_{1}(e^{it})|dt \to 0 \end{split}$$

as $r \to 1$. Thus

$$I \le 2\sup|h| \int_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt.$$

By the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\sigma > 0$ such that for every $F_{\sigma} \subset [0, 2\pi]$ with $l(F_{\sigma}) < \sigma$,

$$I \le 2\sup|h| \int_{F_{\sigma}} |\tilde{\kappa}_1(e^{it})| dt < 2\sup|h|\epsilon.$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, I = 0.

In the following, for a bounded function $h: X \to \mathbf{C}$, let

$$||h||_X = \sup_{x \in X} |h(x)|.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $g \not\equiv 0$ be analytic in a bounded circular domain Δ . Assume that there is $C_g > 0$ such that for every function h which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\partial \Delta$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

(10)
$$|\int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} g(w)h(w)dw| \le C_g ||h||_{\partial\Delta}$$

where $\Gamma_{\epsilon} = \{ w \in \Delta : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta) = \epsilon \}$ Then: (a) there is a measure η which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesque (arc) measure on $\partial \Delta$ such that $\hat{\eta}$ is g in Δ and 0 off $\overline{\Delta}$, and (b)

(11)
$$||g|| := limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} |g(w)| |dw| < \infty$$

Moreover, $||g|| = ||\eta||$, the total variation of η , and there are a sequence $\{h_j\}$ of locally analytic on $\partial \Delta$ functions and a sequence $\epsilon_j \to 0$ such that $||h_j||_{\partial\Delta} \to 1 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ and}$

(12)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon_j}} g(w) h_j(w) dw = ||\eta|| = ||g||.$$

Conversely, (11) implies (obviously) (10).

13

Proof. (10) means that conditions of the Theorem 2 of Havin are satisfied for the compact $F = \partial \Delta$ and the function which is g in Δ and 0 outside of $\overline{\Delta}$. Hence, there exists a measure η supported on $\partial \Delta$ such that $\hat{\eta} = g$ in Δ and $\hat{\eta} = 0$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Delta}$. Let us prove (b) first. We can assume that $\partial \Delta$ is the union of $S_1 = S^1$ and a finitely many disjoint circles S_k , $k = 2, \dots, p$ inside the unit circle S^1 . Let $\eta_k = \eta|_{S_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq p$. If $w \in \Delta$ is close to the component $S_1 = S^1$ of $\partial \Delta$, so that $w = r\zeta$, $\zeta \in S^1$, we have:

$$g(r\zeta) = (\hat{\eta}_1(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}_1(\zeta/r)) + \delta(r,\zeta)$$

where $\delta(r,\zeta) = \sum_{k=2}^{p} (\hat{\eta}_k(r\zeta) - \hat{\eta}_k(\zeta/r))$ tends to 0 uniformly in ζ as $r \to 1$ because $\hat{\eta}_k$ is analytic off S_k . Hence, applying Theorem 3 to the measure η_1 we get (11) for a component Γ_{ϵ} which is near S_1 . The proof for other components of Γ_{ϵ} is very similar. This proves (11). In turn, (11) means that the condition of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied where $\Omega = \Delta$ (so that $\Delta_{\Omega} = \Delta$ and $\psi_{\Omega} = id$) and $\kappa = g$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a measure ν^{g} supported on $\partial \Delta$ and absolutely continuous w.r.t. the arc measure on $\partial \Delta$ such that $\hat{\nu}^g = g$ in Δ and $\hat{\nu}^g = 0$ outside of $\overline{\Delta}$. But then the Cauchy transform of a measure $\eta - \nu^g$ vanishes outside of $\partial \Delta$. Since $\partial \Delta$ is a C-compact, we conclude that $\nu^g = \eta$. This proves part (a) along with $||\eta|| = ||g||_{H^1}$. It remains to find a sequence $\{h_i\}$ as in (12). Note that since Δ consists of a finitely many components (which are circles), it is enough to find $\{h_i\}$ for each component separately. So let $S_b(R) = \{w = b + Re^{it}, 0 \le t \le 2\pi\}$ be such a component. As $g \in H^1(\Delta)$ and $g \neq 0$, the non-tangential limit \tilde{g} of g exists and non-zero for almost every $w \in S_b(R)$. Note that for $w \in S_b(R)$, $|dw| = \frac{\alpha}{w-b}dw$ where $\alpha = \frac{R}{i}$. Since $\tilde{g} \neq 0$ almost everywhere, the function $H(w) = \frac{\alpha}{w-b} \frac{|\tilde{g}(w)|}{\tilde{g}(w)} \in L^{\infty}(S_b(R)).$ By Luzin's theorem, given $\delta > 0$, there is a continuous on $S_b(R)$ function H^{δ} such that $\sup_w |H^{\delta}(w)| \leq \sup_w |H(w)| = 1$ and $l(\{w : H(w) \neq H^{\delta}(w)\}) < \delta$ where l is the Lebesgue (arc) measure on $S_b(R)$. In turn, let h^{δ} be a locally holomorphic on $S_b(R)$ function such that $\sup_{w \in S_b(R)} |H^{\delta}(w) - h^{\delta}(w)| < \delta$. Then the sequence of functions $h_j := h^{1/j}$, defined for all j big enough, and a sequence ϵ_j tending to zero fast enough, work.

Here are details. Assuming for simplicity $S_b(R) = S^1$, for each $j \ge 1$ choose $\epsilon_j > 0$ such that $|h^{1/j}(r_j e^{it}) - h^{1/j}(e^{it})| < 1/j$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and for $r_j = 1 - \epsilon_j$. One write:

$$\left|\int_{|w|=r_{j}} g(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} |\tilde{g}(w)||dw|\right| \le A_{j} + B_{j} + C_{j}$$

where

$$A_j := |\int_{|w|=r_j} g(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw - \int_{|w|=1} \tilde{g}(w)h^{1/j}(w)dw| \to 0$$

because

$$\begin{split} A_{j} &\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |r_{j}g(r_{j}e^{it}) - \tilde{g}(e^{it})| |h^{1/j}(r_{j}e^{it})| dt + \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\tilde{g}(e^{it})| |h^{1/j}(r_{j}e^{it}) - h^{1/j}(e^{it})| dt \to 0 \\ \text{as } \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(re^{it}) - \tilde{g}(e^{it}))| dt \to 0 \text{ with } r \to 1 \text{ and by the choice of } \epsilon_{j}, \\ B_{j} &:= |\int_{|w|=1} (\tilde{g}(w)h^{1/j}(w) - \tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w)) dw| \to 0 \\ \text{as } \sup_{|w|=1} |H^{1/j}(w) - h^{1/j}(w)| \to 0 \text{ for } j \to \infty \text{ and} \\ C_{j} &:= |\int_{|w|=1} \tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w) dw - \int_{|w|=1} |\tilde{g}(w)|| dw| = \end{split}$$

$$|\int_{|w|=1} (\tilde{g}(w)H^{1/j}(w) - \tilde{g}(w)H(w))dw| \to 0$$

$$w: H(w) \neq H^{1/j}(w)\} \to 0 \text{ for } i \to \infty.$$

as $l(\{w : H(w) \neq H^{1/j}(w)\}) \to 0$ for $j \to \infty$

Another result we are going to use belongs to the approximation theory. Note that we don't use it in full generality, see comments right after the statement.

Theorem 4. (A. Davie [7], [8], Zhijian Qiu [15]) Let U be a bounded open subset of \mathbf{C} such that each of its components is finitely connected and the complement to U contains no isolated points. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the collection of components of U is a D-collection,
- (ii) A(U) is strongly boundedly pointwise dense in $H^{\infty}(U)$: each bounded analytic function h on U is a pointwise limit of a sequence $h_n \in A(U)$ with $||h_n||_{\overline{U}} \leq ||h||_U$.

Comments on Theorem 4 and the way we apply it: (1) We need the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) only. (2) By Davie [8], (ii) is equivalent to a seemingly weaker statement (ii'): each bounded analytic function h on U is a pointwise limit of a bounded sequence $\{h_n\} \subset A(U)$. (3) Davie [7] (see preceding [6] though) proved that (i) and (ii') are equivalent when every component of U is simply connected. (4) The case when all but finitely many components of U are simply connected can be reduced easily to (3) with help of a simple geometric construction (by covering every finitely connected component by finitely many simply connected ones using only inner smooth cuts and then applying a local criterium of [10] that A(U) is pointwise boundedly dense in $H^{\infty}(U)$; see Lemma 2.1 of [15] for details). (5) We employ Theorem 4 only to

functions h which are non zero on a finitely many components U_1, \dots, U_m of U. This case can be reduced to (4) as follows. Firstly, since $h = \sum_{i=1}^m h\chi_{U_i}$, it is enough to prove the claim for each $h\chi_{U_i}$ separately, i.e., when h is non zero only on a single component, say, U_1 . Now, if U_2, U_3, \dots are all other components of U, let us modify U to get a bigger open set \tilde{U} roughly by joining to each U_j (j > 1) some components of $\mathbf{C} \setminus U_j$ disjoint with U_1 to turn it into a simply connected domain; see details in the proof of Theorem 2.1, [15]. Then $h\chi_{U_1}$ is bounded analytic in \tilde{U} and $A(\tilde{U}) \subset A(U)$, and we apply the case (4) to \tilde{U} .

We need the following consequence of Theorem 4:

Corollary 3.1. Let V and $(\Omega_i)_{i=1}^N$ be as in Part 1 of Theorem 1, i.e., conditions (I)-(II) hold and \overline{V} is a A-compact. Fix a finite $m, 1 \leq m \leq N$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, let h be a bounded analytic function in $D_{\epsilon} := \bigcup_{k=1}^m \Omega_k \setminus \overline{\Omega_{k,\epsilon}}$. Then given a positive sequence $\sigma_n \to 0$, there is a sequence of rational functions R_n with poles outside of $E_{\epsilon} := \overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m \Omega_{k,\epsilon}$ such that $||R_n||_{E_{\epsilon}} \leq ||h||_{D_{\epsilon}} + \sigma_n, R_n(z) \to h(z)$ for every $z \in D_{\epsilon}$ and $R_n \to 0$ in $V \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m \overline{\Omega_k}$.

Proof. Since \overline{V} is a A-compact and the boundary of E_{ϵ} is the disjoint union of ∂V and a finitely many analytic curves $\partial\Omega_{k,\epsilon}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, then E_{ϵ} is a A-compact as well. This follows e.g. from Vitushkin's theorem [22]. Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, $\{\sigma_n\}$ and h as in the condition of the statement, let us extend hfrom D_{ϵ} to a (bounded analytic) function h^1 in $V_{\epsilon} := \bigcup_{k=1}^N \Omega_k \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m \overline{\Omega_{k,\epsilon}}$ by defining $h^1 = 0$ on $\bigcup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$. In view of condition (I), by Theorem 4, there is a sequence $h_n \in A(V_{\epsilon})$ such that $h_n(z) \to h^1(z)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $z \in V_{\epsilon}$ and $||h_n||_{\overline{V_{\epsilon}}} \leq ||h^1||_{V_{\epsilon}} = ||h||_{D_{\epsilon}}$. By the condition (II), $h_n \in A(\overline{V_{\epsilon}}) = A(E_{\epsilon})$. Since E_{ϵ} is a A-compact, for each n there is a rational function R_n with poles outside of E_{ϵ} such that $||R_n - h_n||_{E_{\epsilon}} < \sigma_n$. The sequence R_n is as required. Indeed, for any $z \in E_{\epsilon}$, $|R_n(z) - h^1(z)| \leq |R_n(z) - h_n(z)| + |h_n(z) - h^1(z)| < \sigma_n + |h_n(z) - h^1(z)|$, hence, $\{R_n\}$ tends to $h^1(z) = h(z)$ on D_{ϵ} and to $h^1(z) =$ 0 on $\bigcup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$. At the same time, $||R_n||_{E_{\epsilon}} \leq ||h_n||_{E_{\epsilon}} + \sigma_n \leq ||h||_{D_{\epsilon}} + \sigma_n$. \Box

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let us start with a more difficult Part 1: assume that there is a measure ν on E such that (1) holds and then prove (b). First of all, since $\hat{\nu} = 0$ off $E \cup \overline{V}$ and E is a C-compact by condition III(ii), Lemma 2.1 immediately tells us that $\nu = 0$ on $E \setminus \partial V$. In other words, one can assume from the beginning that

Note at this point that since \overline{V} is a A-compact by III(i), its boundary E is a C-compact, see [11], p.227.

Now, let $\tilde{C} = C_g$ be the constant guaranteed by Theorem 2, for the compact $E = \partial V$ and the function $g = g_{\kappa}$ where

(13)
$$g_{\kappa}(z) = \begin{cases} \kappa_i(z) & \text{if } z \in \Omega_i, \text{ for each } i \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V} \end{cases}$$

Let us fix a collection of uniformizations $\psi_{\Omega_k} : \Delta_{\Omega_k} \to \Omega_k$, where the circular domains Δ_{Ω_k} are pairwise disjoint with their closures. Write $\psi_k = \psi_{\Omega_k}$, $\Delta_k = \Delta_{\Omega_k}$. Let

$$\tilde{\kappa}_k(w) = \kappa_k(\psi_k(w))\psi'_k(w)$$

for $w \in \Delta_k$. Let us fix an arbitrary finite $m, 1 \leq m \leq N$, and prove the following

Claim. For every function h which is holomorphic in a small enough neighborhood of $\Gamma := \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \partial \Delta_k$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

(14)
$$|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\Gamma_{k,\epsilon}} \tilde{\kappa}_{k}(w)\tilde{h}(w)dw| \leq \tilde{C}||\tilde{h}||_{\Gamma}$$

where $\Gamma_{k,\epsilon} = \{ w \in \Delta_k : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_k) = \epsilon \}.$

Proof of the Claim. Fix any $\epsilon > 0$ such that \tilde{h} is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the set $\cup_{k=1}^{m} \{ w \in \Delta_k : \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_k) \leq \epsilon \}$. Then, for each $\tilde{\epsilon} \in (0, \epsilon)$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, m\},\$

(15)
$$\int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w) \tilde{h}(w) dw = \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w) \tilde{h}(w) dw.$$

Let $h = \tilde{h} \circ \phi_k$ where $\phi_k = \psi_k^{-1} : \Omega_k \to \Delta_k$. Let $\epsilon_0 \in (\tilde{\epsilon}, \epsilon)$. Then h is defined in $D_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \Omega_k \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{k,\epsilon_0}$. Moreover, h is holomorphic and bounded in D_{ϵ_0} . Let $E_{\epsilon_0} = \overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m \Omega_{k,\epsilon_0}$. Fix a positive sequence $\sigma_n \to 0$ and, by Corollary 3.1, find a sequence of rational functions R_n with poles outside of E_{ϵ_0} such that $R_n(z) \to h(z)$ for all $z \in D_{\epsilon_0}, R_n(z) \to 0$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{k=m+1}^N \Omega_k$ and $||R_n||_{E_{\epsilon_0}} \leq ||h||_{D_{\epsilon_0}} + \sigma_n$ for all n. Hence, for $k = 1, \dots, m$ and fixed $\tilde{\epsilon}$,

(16)
$$\int_{\Gamma_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} \tilde{\kappa}_k(w) \tilde{h}(w) dw = \int_{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_k(z) h(z) dz = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_k(z) R_n(z) dz.$$

Consider a finite collection of closed analytic curves $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} := \{\partial \Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}\}_{k=1}^m$. Let us complete it by a finite collection $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ of another pairwise disjoint closed analytic curves in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \overline{\Omega}_k$ so that all together $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cup B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \partial U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ where $U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ is a (small) neighborhood of E. Note that $R_n \to h$ on $A_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, R_n \to 0$ on $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cap \bigcup_{k=m+1}^{N} \Omega_k$ while $\hat{\nu} = 0$ on $B_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \cap (\mathbf{C} \setminus \overline{V})$. Therefore, by the choice of \tilde{C} , $\lim_{n \to \infty} |\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\partial \Omega_{k,\tilde{\epsilon}}} \kappa_{k}(z) R_{n}(z) dz| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\int_{\partial U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} g_{\kappa}(z) R_{n}(z) dz| \le \limsup_{n} \tilde{C} ||R_{n}||_{E_{\epsilon_{0}}} \le ||R_{n}||_{E_{\epsilon_{0}}} \le$

$$\lim_{n} \tilde{C}(||h||_{D_{\epsilon_{0}}} + \sigma_{n}) = \tilde{C}||h||_{D_{\epsilon_{0}}} = \tilde{C}||\tilde{h}||_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \{w \in \Delta_{k}: \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_{k}) \le \epsilon_{0}\}}$$

Thus, by the latter inequality along with (15) and (16), for every $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$,

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} \tilde{\kappa}_{k}(w) \tilde{h}(w) dw\right| \leq \tilde{C} ||\tilde{h}||_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \{w \in \Delta_{k}: \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Delta_{k}) \leq \epsilon_{0}\}}.$$

But $\lim_{\epsilon_0\to 0} ||\tilde{h}||_{\{w\in\Delta_k: \operatorname{\mathbf{dist}}(w,\partial\Delta_k)\leq\epsilon_0\}} = ||\tilde{h}||_{\partial\Delta_k}$ because \tilde{h} is continuous up to $\partial\Delta_k$. This proves (14) and the Claim.

We proceed as follows. Since the closures of Δ_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$ (where *m* is finite) are pairwise disjoint, given $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the Claim immediately implies that the condition of Lemma 3.2 holds where $\Delta = \Delta_k$ and $g = \tilde{\kappa}_k(w)$. We conclude there exist a measure η_k which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue on $\partial \Delta_k$, a sequence of functions $\{h_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ locally analytic near $\partial \Delta_k$ and a sequence $\epsilon_j \to 0$ such that $||h_{k,j}||_{\partial \Delta_k} \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$ and

(17)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{k,\epsilon_j}} \kappa_k(\psi_k(w))\psi'_k(w)h_{k,j}(w)dw = ||\eta_k|| = ||\kappa_k||,$$

where $||\kappa_k|| = \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Omega_{k,\epsilon}} |\kappa_k(z)| |dz|$. Now, apply the Claim with \tilde{h}_j to be $\tilde{h}_{k,j}$ near $\partial \Delta_k$, $1 \le k \le m$. By Lemma 3.2 and the Claim:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} ||\kappa_k|| = \sum_{k=1}^{m} ||\eta_k|| = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma_{k,\epsilon}} |\kappa_k(\psi_k(w))\psi'_k(w)||dw| \le \tilde{C}.$$

Since \tilde{C} is *independent* on m, this proves (3), that is, $\sum_{k=1}^{N} ||\kappa_k|| < \infty$. This allows us to finish easily the proof that (a) implies (b) as follows. For each finite $k, 1 \leq k \leq N$, by Lemma 3.1 (with $\Omega = \Omega_k$ and $\kappa = \kappa_k$) there is a measure ν^{κ_k} such that $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k} = \kappa_k$ in Ω_k and $\hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k} = 0$ off $\overline{\Omega_k}$, moreover, $\nu^{\kappa_k} \ll \omega_{\Omega_k}$ and $||\nu_{\kappa_k}|| = ||\kappa_k||$. Define $\nu_w = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nu^{\kappa_k}$. Then $||\nu_w|| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} ||\nu^{\kappa_k}|| < \infty$. Since by condition (I) harmonic measures of different Ω_k are singular, $\{\nu^{\kappa_k}\}_{k=1}^{N}$ are pairwise singular as well. Now, $\hat{\nu}_w =$ $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{\nu}^{\kappa_k}$ is equal to κ_k in Ω_k for each k and 0 off \overline{V} . Let us compare measures ν and ν_{ω} . For the difference measure $\tau = \nu - \nu_{\omega}$, we have: $\hat{\tau} = 0$ off E where E is a C-compact. Hence, $\tau = \nu - \nu_{\omega} = 0$, and we are done with the implication (a) implies (b). In fact, above considerations prove Part 2, too, using again Lemma 3.1.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Alexander Volberg for communicating Example 1 and for useful comments, and the referee for the reference [17]. The author acknowledges the support of ISF Grant No. 1226/17.

References

- Erret Bishop, The structure of certail measures. Duke Math. J. 25(2) (1958), 283-289
- [2] Erret Bishop, Boundary measures of analytic differentials. Duke Math. J. 27(3) (1960), 331-340
- [3] Christopher J. Bishop, A characterization of Poissonian domains. Ark. Mat. 29(1991), no 1-2, 1-24
- [4] C. J. Bishop, L. Carleson, J. B. Garnett and P. W. Jones, *Harmonic measures supported on curves*. Pacific Journak of Mathematics, 138 (1989), no 2, 233-236
- [5] Christopher J. Bishop, Constructing continuous functions holomorphic off a curve. Journal of Functional Analysis 82 (1989), 113-137
- [6] A. Browder, J. Wermer, Some algebras of functions on an arc. J. Math. and Mech., 12 (1963), no 1, 119-130
- [7] A. M. Davie, Dirichlet algebras of analytic functions. Journal of Functional Analysis 6 (1970), 348-356
- [8] Alexander M. Davie, Bounded approximation and Dirichlet sets. Journal of Functional Analysis 6 (1970), 460-467
- [9] E. P. Dolzhenko, On approximation closed regions and on nullsets. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 143 (1962), 771-774
- [10] T. Gamelin and J. Garnett, Constructive techniques in rational approximation. Amer. J. Math., 89 (1967), 932-941
- [11] T. Gamelin, Uniform Algebras, Prentice Hall, 1969.
- [12] John Garnett, Analytic Capacity and Measure. Lecture Notes in Math. 297, Springer-Verlag, 1972
- [13] L. Glicksberg, A remark on analyticity of function algebras. Pacific J. Math. 13(1963), 1181-1185
- [14] S. Fisher, Function Theory on Planar Domains. John Wiley & Sons, 1983
- [15] Zhijian Qiu, On pointwise bounded approximation. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 25 (2009), no.7, 1217-1222
- [16] Dmitry Khavinson, Annihilating measures of the algebra R(X). Journal of Functional Analysis 58 (1984), 175-193
- [17] Dmitry Khavinson, F. and M. Riesz Theorem, analytic balayage, and problems in rational approximation. Constr. Approx. 4(1988), no.4, 341-356
- [18] P. Koosis, Introduction to H_p Spaces. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980

- [19] G. Levin, Fixed points of the Ruelle-Thurston operator and the Cauchy transform. https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03430
- [20] Ch.Pommerenke and B.Rodin, Intristic rotations of simply connected regions, II. Complex Variables and Applications, v.4(1985), 223-232
- [21] G. G. Tumarkin, On integrals of Cauchy-Stieltjes type. Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 11(1956), no 4(70), 163-166
- [22] A. G. Vitushkin, Analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory. Russian Math. Surveys 22(1968),139-200
- [23] Zalcman, L., Analytic Capacity and Rational Approximation. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 50, 1968

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

E-mail address: levin@math.huji.ac.il