

# STRESS-ENERGY IN LIOUVILLE CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY

ANTTI KUPIAINEN<sup>1</sup> AND JOONA OIKARINEN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We construct the Stress-Energy tensor correlation functions in probabilistic Liouville Conformal Field Theory (LCFT) on the two dimensional sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  by studying the variation of the LCFT correlation functions with respect to a smooth Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . In particular we derive Conformal Ward identities for these correlations. This forms the basis for the construction of a representation of the Virasoro algebra on the canonical Hilbert space of the LCFT.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main result	1
1.1. Local conformal symmetry	1
1.2. Path Integrals and Liouville Conformal Field Theory	3
1.3. Main results	4
2. Covariant formulation of LCFT	4
2.1. Gaussian Free Field	4
2.2. Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos	6
2.3. Weyl invariance	6
2.4. Liouville expectation	8
2.5. Liouville correlation functions	9
3. Conformal Ward Identities	11
3.1. Beltrami equation	11
3.2. The stress-energy tensor	12
3.3. Ward identities	16
4. Prospects: Representation theory	19
5. Appendix	20
References	23

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

1.1. **Local conformal symmetry.** Two dimensional conformal field theory (CFT) is characterized by local conformal symmetry, an infinite dimensional symmetry that strongly constrains the theory. A formulation of this symmetry can be summarised as follows [7]. The basic data of CFT are *correlation functions*

$$(1.1) \quad \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle_{\Sigma, g}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by the Academy of Finland and ERC Advanced Grant 741487

of *primary fields*  $V_\alpha(z)$  defined on a compact two dimensional surface  $\Sigma$  equipped with a smooth Riemannian metric  $g$ . In a probabilistic formulation of CFT the angular bracket  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\Sigma, g}$  is an expectation in a suitable positive (not necessarily probability) measure and the primary fields often are (distribution valued) random fields.

The local conformal symmetry arises from the transformation properties of the correlation functions under the the action of the groups of smooth diffeomorphisms and local Weyl transformations of the metric. The former acts by pull back on the metric  $g \rightarrow \psi^*g$  and the latter acts by a local scale transformation  $g \rightarrow e^\varphi g$  with  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ . One postulates<sup>1</sup>

$$(1.2) \quad \langle \prod_i V_{\alpha_i}(\psi(x_i)) \rangle_{\Sigma, g} = \langle \prod_i V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, \psi^*g}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \langle \prod_i V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, e^\varphi g} = e^{cA(\varphi, g)} \prod_i e^{-\Delta_{\alpha_i} \varphi(x_i)} \langle \prod_i V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g}$$

where the *conformal anomaly* is given by

$$(1.4) \quad A(\varphi, g) = \frac{1}{96\pi} \int (|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 + 2R_g \varphi) dv_g$$

and the constant  $c$  is the central charge of the CFT. The number  $\Delta_\alpha$  is called the conformal weight of the field  $V_\alpha$ . We denoted by  $v_g$  the Riemannian volume measure and by  $R_g$  the curvature scalar (see Appendix).

The *stress-energy tensor* field  $T_{\mu\nu}(z)$  is a symmetric 2 by 2 matrix valued field defined indirectly through the formal variation of the metric at  $z$  in the correlation function (1.1):

$$(1.5) \quad \langle \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(y_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\Sigma, g} = (4\pi)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\mu_i \nu_i}(y_i)} \langle \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\Sigma, g}.$$

(for precise definition see Section 3). Let us specialize to the case of sphere,  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$ . Then every smooth metric  $g$  can be obtained from a given one  $\hat{g}$  by the action of diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations:

$$g = e^\varphi \psi^* \hat{g}.$$

Hence the relations (1.2) and (1.3) should completely determine the correlation functions (1.5) in terms of the ones (1.1). This fact is summarized by the *conformal Ward identities* that express (1.5) in terms of derivatives of (1.1).

Ward identities take an especially simple form in complex coordinates. Recall that a Riemannian metric determines a *complex structure* on  $\Sigma$ : a system of local coordinates where the metric takes the form

$$\hat{g} = \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma (dz \otimes d\bar{z} + d\bar{z} \otimes dz).$$

In such coordinates consider the  $zz$ -component of the stress-energy

$$T_{zz}(z) = \frac{1}{4}(T_{11} - T_{22} - 2iT_{12})$$

and define

$$(1.6) \quad T(z) = T_{zz}(z) + \frac{c}{12} t(z)$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad t(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_z \sigma(z))^2 - \partial_z^2 \sigma(z).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>for scalar fields  $V_\alpha$

Then for distinct points  $\{z_i, x_j\}$  the Ward identity reads (here  $\langle \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^2, \bar{g}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.8) \quad \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n T(z_k) \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{c}{(z_1 - z_j)^4} \left\langle \prod_{k \neq 1, j} T(z_k) \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{j=2}^n \left( \frac{2}{(z_1 - z_j)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_j}}{z_1 - z_j} \right) \left\langle \prod_{k=2}^n T(z_k) \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\Delta_{\alpha_j}}{(z_1 - x_j)^2} + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha_j} \partial_z \sigma(x_j)}{z_1 - x_j} + \frac{1}{z_1 - x_j} \partial_{x_j} \right) \left\langle \prod_{k=2}^n T(z_k) \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating this identity (1.5) will be expressed in terms of derivatives of (1.1). A corollary of this identity is that the functions (1.5) are holomorphic in the variables  $\{z_i\}$  in the region  $\{z_i \neq z_j, z_i \neq x_j\}$ .

For a flat background metric the Conformal Ward identities were initially derived in [3]. For a general metric and surface the identities were derived in [6], where also a term dealing with variation of the moduli of the surface appears.

**1.2. Path Integrals and Liouville Conformal Field Theory.** In Constructive Quantum Field Theory one attempts to construct the expectation as a path integral

$$(1.9) \quad \langle F \rangle_{\Sigma, g} := \int F(\phi) e^{-S(\phi, g)} D\phi,$$

over some space of fields  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (in the scalar case). The symmetries (1.2) and (1.3) should then arise from the corresponding symmetries of the action functional  $S$  with the anomaly (1.4) arising from the singular nature of the integral in (1.9). A case where this program can be carried out is the Liouville Conformal Field Theory (LCFT hereafter) which was introduced in 1981 by Polyakov [15] in the context of developing a path integral theory for two-dimensional Riemannian metrics.

Liouville field theory is described by the *Liouville action functional*

$$(1.10) \quad S_L(\phi, g) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\nabla_g \phi(z)|^2 + \frac{Q}{4} R_g(z) \phi(z) + \pi \mu e^{\gamma \phi(z)} \right) dv_g(z).$$

The theory has two parameters,  $\gamma \in (0, 2)$  and  $\mu > 0$ .  $Q$  is given by

$$Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

The primary fields for LCFT are the *vertex operators*

$$V_{\alpha}(z) = e^{\alpha \phi(z)}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Their conformal weights are given by

$$\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( Q - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right).$$

A rigorous construction of the path integral, and in particular the correlation functions of the vertex operators, was given in [5] and will be recalled in Section 2 in the present setup. In [10] the conformal Ward identities (1.8) were proven in the case of two  $T$ -insertions ( $n = 2$ ). Instead of deriving the conformal Ward identities by varying the background metric, the authors of [10] defined (a component of) the stress-energy tensor directly as the field

$$(1.11) \quad T_{zz}(x) = Q \partial_x^2 \phi(x) - (\partial_x \phi(x))^2$$

and computed the correlation functions (1.5) for  $n = 1, 2$  for a specific metric by Gaussian integration by parts. Generalizing this approach to arbitrary  $n$  was obstructed by a lack of proof of smoothness of the correlation functions (1.1) and the unwinding algebra of the integration by parts. It is however necessary to have (1.8) for arbitrary  $n$  in order to construct the representation of the Virasoro algebra for LCFT. This is the motivation and the objective of the present paper. Its main technical input is the recent proof of smoothness of the LCFT correlation functions by the second author [14].

**1.3. Main results.** Our main result is a proof of the conformal Ward identities for arbitrarily many  $T$ -insertions for arbitrary metrics on the sphere by varying the background metric.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $x_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  with  $N \geq 3$  be non-coinciding points and the real numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  satisfy the Seiberg bounds. The LCFT correlation functions (1.1) are smooth functions in the Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying the diffeomorphism and Weyl symmetries (1.2), (1.3). The derivatives (1.5) exist and are smooth in  $z_i, x_j$  in the region of non-coinciding points. The correlations for the field  $T$  defined by (1.6) satisfy the Ward identities. (1.8).*

The content of the article is as follows. In Section 2 we formulate and prove the diffeomorphism and Weyl covariance of LCFT on a compact surface  $\Sigma$ . In Section 3 we prove the Ward identities (1.8) and in Section 4 we discuss future work on the construction of the Virasoro representation of LCFT. The Appendix collects the elementary definitions and notations from Riemannian geometry used in the paper.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank Remi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas and Yichao Huang for helpful discussions. The authors would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Scaling limits, rough paths, quantum field theory where work on this paper was undertaken. The work is supported by the Academy of Finland and ERC Advanced Grant 741487. The work of J.O. is also supported by DOMAST.

## 2. COVARIANT FORMULATION OF LCFT

In this section we recall the construction of LCFT correlation functions given in [5] and extend it to include the diffeomorphism covariance (1.2). The main mathematical objects appearing in the construction are the *Gaussian Free Field* and *Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos* which we need to define in a covariant way. Appendix collects the elementary definitions and notations from Riemannian geometry used in this section.

**2.1. Gaussian Free Field.** Let  $(\Sigma, g)$  be a two dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold and  $\Delta_g$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator.  $\Delta_g$  is a positive self adjoint operator on  $L^2(\Sigma, dv_g)$  with a complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions  $e_{g,n}, n = 0, 1, \dots$ :

$$-\Delta_g e_{g,n} = \lambda_{g,n} e_{g,n}.$$

$\lambda_{g,n} > 0$  for  $n > 0$  and  $\lambda_{g,0} = 0$  with  $e_{g,0}$  the constant function.

The Gaussian Free Field (GFF)  $X_g$  on  $(\Sigma, g)$  is defined as the random generalised function

$$X_g = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \frac{e_{g,n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{g,n}}},$$

where and  $\alpha_n$  are i.i.d. standard Gaussians. The covariance of  $X_g$  has an integral kernel

$$G_g(x, y) := \mathbb{E} X_g(x) X_g(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{g,n}(x) e_{g,n}(y)}{\lambda_{g,n}}.$$

An application of Plancharel theorem gives

$$(2.1) \quad -\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta_g G_g(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g(x)}}\delta(x - y) - \frac{1}{v_g(\Sigma)}$$

i.e.  $G_g(x, y)$  is the Green function of  $-\Delta_g$  having zero average on  $\Sigma$ :

$$\int_{\Sigma} G_g(x, y) dv_g(x) = 0 = \int_{\Sigma} G_g(x, y) dv_g(y).$$

Define the average in the metric  $g$  as

$$m_g(f) = \frac{1}{v_g(\Sigma)} \int_{\Sigma} f dv_g.$$

Then the GFF satisfies the following covariance under diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations:

**Proposition 2.1.** (a) *Let  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma)$ . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad X_g \circ \psi \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_{\psi^*g}.$$

(b) *Let  $g$  and  $g'$  be conformally equivalent. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad X_{g'} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_g - m_{g'}(X_g).$$

*Proof.* (a) follows from covariance of the Laplacean:

$$\psi^* \Delta_g (\psi^{-1})^* = \Delta_{\psi^*g}$$

where  $\psi^*$  acts on functions by  $\psi^* f = f \circ \psi$ . Hence  $\psi^* e_{n,g} = e_{n,\psi^*g}$  from which (2.2) follows.

(b) We have  $g' = e^\varphi g$  for some  $\varphi$ . Since  $m_{g'}(X_g) = \frac{1}{v_{g'}(\Sigma)}(X_g, e^\varphi)_g$  the field  $X = X_g - m_{g'}(X_g)$  has covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X(z)X(z') &= G_g(z, z') - \frac{1}{v_{g'}(\Sigma)} \left( \int G_g(z, x) dv_{g'}(x) + \int G_g(x, z') dv_{g'}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{v_{g'}(\Sigma)^2} \int G_g(x, y) dv_{g'}(x) dv_{g'}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta_{e^\varphi g} = e^{-\varphi} \Delta_g$  we get from (2.1)

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{g'} G_g(z, z') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g'(z)}} \delta(z - z') - \frac{1}{v_g(\Sigma)} e^{-\varphi}$$

and thus

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{g'} \mathbb{E}X(z)X(z') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g'(z)}} \delta(z - z') - \frac{1}{v_{g'}(\Sigma)}$$

which yields the claim.  $\square$

Choose now a local conformal coordinate  $z$  on  $U \subset \Sigma$  so that the metric is

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma(z)} (dz \otimes d\bar{z} + d\bar{z} \otimes dz).$$

Then the equation (2.1) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G_g(x, y) = \delta(x - y) - \frac{1}{v_g(\Sigma)} e^\sigma$$

where  $\Delta$  is the standard Laplacean. Hence, for  $z, z' \in U$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad G_g(z, z') = \ln \frac{1}{|z - z'|} + h_g(z, z')$$

for a smooth function  $h_g$ . For later purpose we note that if  $\psi$  is conformal on  $U$  then

$$G_g(\psi(z), \psi(z')) = G_{\psi^*g}(z, z') = \ln \frac{1}{|z - z'|} + h_{\psi^*g}(z, z')$$

so that

$$(2.6) \quad h_g(\psi(x), \psi(x)) = h_{\psi^*g}(x, x) + \ln |\psi'(x)|.$$

In particular for  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$  we take  $U = \mathbb{C}$  and have

$$(2.7) \quad h_g(z, z') = \frac{1}{v_g(\mathbb{C})^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \ln \frac{|z - u||z' - u|}{|u - v|} dv_g(u) dv_g(v).$$

**2.2. Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos.** Next, we want to define  $e^{\gamma X_g}$ . We regularise the GFF by setting

$$(2.8) \quad X_{g,N}(z) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} e_{g,n}(z).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\gamma X_{g,N}(x)} = e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X_{g,N}(x)^2} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence it is natural to consider the measure

$$dm_{\gamma,g,N}(x) = e^{\gamma X_{g,N}(x) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} X_{g,N}(x)^2} dv_g(x).$$

If  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma)$  then  $\psi^* e_{n,g} = e_{n,\psi^*g}$  and  $\lambda_{\psi^*g,n} = \lambda_{g,n}$  imply

$$\psi^* X_{g,N} = X_{\psi^*g,N}.$$

Hence

$$(2.9) \quad \psi^* m_{\gamma,g,N} = m_{\psi^*g,N}.$$

Let  $\Sigma_N$  be the sigma-algebra generated by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Then, for  $M < N$

$$\mathbb{E}(m_{\gamma,g,N} | \Sigma_M) = m_{\gamma,g,M}$$

i.e.  $m_{\gamma,g,N}$  is a measure valued martingale. This leads to the almost sure existence of the weak limit

$$m_{\gamma,g} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m_{\gamma,g,N}.$$

The limiting measure is an instance of the *Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos* and it inherits the property (2.9)

$$(2.10) \quad \psi^* m_{\gamma,g} = m_{\psi^*g}.$$

**2.3. Weyl invariance.** To have the Weyl transformation law for LCFT we need to modify the chaos measure a bit. Fix conformal coordinates and consider the *circle average regularization* of  $X_g$  given by

$$(2.11) \quad X_{g,\epsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X_g(z + \epsilon e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

From (2.7) and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}| d\theta d\theta' = 0$$

we deduce

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbb{E}X_{g,\epsilon}(z)^2 = \ln \epsilon^{-1} + h_g(z, z) + o(1).$$

Using uniqueness of the GMC [4] we conclude

$$m_{\gamma,g} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} e^{\sigma(z)} e^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} h_g(z,z)} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{g,\epsilon}(z)} d^2 z.$$

Let

$$(2.13) \quad \rho_{\gamma,g}(z) := e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} \sigma(z) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} h_g(z,z)}$$

and define the measure

$$(2.14) \quad M_{\gamma,g} := \rho_{\gamma,g} m_{\gamma,g}.$$

Then

$$M_{\gamma,g} = e^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2} \sigma(z)} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{g,\epsilon}(z)} d^2 z.$$

From this formula and the fact that  $X_{e^\varphi g} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_g - m_{e^\varphi g}(X_g)$  we infer the Weyl transformation law

$$M_{\gamma,e^\varphi g} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} e^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2} \varphi - \gamma m_{e^\varphi g}(X_g)} M_{\gamma,g}.$$

Note that our definition of  $\rho_{\gamma,g}$  so far depends on the choice of conformal coordinates. Thus let  $\psi$  be a diffeomorphism. From Proposition 2.1 we infer

$$(2.15) \quad \psi^* M_{\gamma,g} = \rho_{\gamma,g} \circ \psi m_{\gamma,\psi^* g} = M_{\gamma,\psi^* g}$$

provided we define

$$\rho_{\gamma,\psi^* g} = \rho_{\gamma,g} \circ \psi.$$

We need to check this is well defined. Hence suppose  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are both conformal in our coordinate system (i.e.  $g_i = e^{\sigma_i} dz d\bar{z}$ ) and  $\psi_1^* g_1 = \psi_2^* g_2$ . Then  $g_1 = (\psi_1^{-1})^* \psi_2^* g_2 = (\psi_2 \circ \psi_1^{-1})^* g_2$  which implies  $\psi := \psi_2 \circ \psi_1^{-1}$  is a conformal map and

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \psi + 2 \ln |\psi'|$$

so that using (2.6) we conclude

$$\rho_{\gamma,g_1} \circ \psi_1 = e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} (\sigma_2 \circ \psi_2 + 2 \ln |\psi'| \circ \psi_1) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} h_{\psi^* g_2} \circ \psi_1} = \rho_{\gamma,g_2} \circ \psi_2$$

as required.

We can summarize these considerations to

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma)$  and  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (X_g \circ \psi, \psi^* M_{\gamma,g}) &\stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (X_{\psi^* g}, M_{\gamma,\psi^* g}) \\ (X_{e^\varphi g}, M_{\gamma,e^\varphi g}) &\stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (X_g - c_g(\varphi), e^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2} \varphi - \gamma c_g(\varphi)} M_{\gamma,g}). \end{aligned}$$

where the random variable  $c_g(\varphi)$  is given by

$$c_g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{v_{e^\varphi g}(\Sigma)} \int X_g dv_{e^\varphi g}.$$

**2.4. Liouville expectation.** The GFF  $X_g$  is a.s. an element of  $H^{-s}(\Sigma)$  for any  $s > 0$ . Let  $F : H^{-s}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The Liouville expectation of a functional  $F : H^{-s}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$(2.16) \quad \langle F \rangle_{\Sigma, g} = Z(\Sigma, g) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[ F(c + X_g) e^{-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int R_g(c + X_g) dv_g - \mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g, \gamma}(1)} \right] dc$$

where we use the notation  $M_{g, \gamma}(f) = \int_{\Sigma} f dM_{g, \gamma}$ . The factor  $Z(\Sigma, g)$  is the "partition function of the GFF", explicitly

$$Z(\Sigma, g) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \zeta'_{\Sigma, g}(0)} v_g(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where the zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta_{\Sigma, g}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{g, n}^s$$

for  $\Re s$  small enough and  $\zeta'_{\Sigma, g}(0)$  is defined by analytic continuation. Obviously

$$Z(\Sigma, \psi^* g) = Z(\Sigma, g)$$

and furthermore [1, 17]

$$Z(\Sigma, e^{\varphi} g) = e^{A(\varphi, g)} Z(\Sigma, g)$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad A(\varphi, g) = \frac{1}{96\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g \varphi|^2 + 2R_g \varphi) dv_g(z).$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\langle |F| \rangle_{\Sigma, g} < \infty$ . Then we have the diffeomorphism covariance*

$$\langle F \rangle_{\Sigma, \psi^* g} = \langle \psi^* F \rangle_{\Sigma, g},$$

where  $(\psi^* F)(X) := F(X \circ \psi)$  and the Weyl covariance

$$(2.18) \quad \langle F \rangle_{\Sigma, e^{\varphi} g} = e^{cA(\varphi, g)} \langle F(\cdot - \frac{Q}{2}\varphi) \rangle_{\Sigma, g},$$

where  $c = 1 + 6Q^2$ .

*Proof.* The first claim follows directly from the identities

$$(X_{\psi^* g}, M_{\psi^* g, \gamma}(1)) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (X_g \circ \psi, M_{g, \gamma}(1)), \\ R_{\psi^* g} = R_g \circ \psi$$

which follow from Proposition (2.2), and the fact that  $R_g$  is a scalar. The second claim follows along the same lines as in [5]. For completeness we give the main steps. Let  $g' = e^{\varphi} g$ . By Proposition 2.2 and a shift  $c' = c - c_g(\varphi)$  in the  $c$  integral we have

$$Y_{g'} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[ F(c + X_{g'}) e^{-\frac{Q}{4\pi} \int R_{g'}(c + X_{g'}) dv_{g'} - \mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g', \gamma}(1)} \right] dc \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} (F(c' + X_g) e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} Q R_{g'}(c' + X_g) dv_{g'} - \mu e^{\gamma c'} M_{g, \gamma}(e^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2}\varphi})}) dc'.$$

Using  $R_{g'} v_{g'} = (R_g - \Delta_g \varphi) v_g$  and dropping the prime from  $c$  this becomes

$$Y_{g'} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} (e^{\frac{Q}{4\pi} (X_g, \Delta_g \varphi)_g} F(c + X_g) e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} Q R_g(c + X_g) dv_g - \mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g, \gamma}(e^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2}\varphi})}) dc.$$



Next we apply the Girsanov theorem to the factor  $e^{\frac{Q}{4\pi}(X_g, \Delta_g \varphi)_g}$ . Denoting the rest of the integrand by  $G(X_g, M_{g, \gamma})$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}(G(X_g, M_{g, \gamma}) e^{\frac{Q}{4\pi}(X_g, \Delta_g \varphi)_g}) = e^{\frac{Q^2}{32\pi^2}(\Delta_g \varphi, G_g \Delta_g \varphi)_g} \mathbb{E}(G(X_g + h, e^{\gamma h} M_{g, \gamma}))$$

with

$$h = \frac{Q}{4\pi} G_g \Delta_g \varphi.$$

From (2.1) we obtain  $G_g \Delta_g \varphi = -2\pi\varphi + \tilde{c}$  with  $\tilde{c} = \frac{Q}{2} \frac{\int \varphi dv_g}{\int dv_g}$  so that  $h = -\frac{Q}{2}\varphi + \tilde{c}$  and thus

$$Y_{g'} = e^{\frac{Q^2}{32\pi^2}(\Delta_g \varphi, G_g \Delta_g \varphi)_g} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}(F(c + \tilde{c} + X_g - \frac{Q}{2}\varphi) e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} QR_g(c + \tilde{c} + X_g - \frac{Q}{2}\varphi) dv_g - \mu e^{\gamma(c + \tilde{c})} M_{g, \gamma}(1)}).$$

After a shift in the  $c$ -integral we obtain

$$Y_{g'} = e^{B(\varphi, g)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}(F(c + X_g - \frac{Q}{2}\varphi) e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} QR_g(c + X_g) dv_g - \mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g, \gamma}(1)})$$

with

$$B(\varphi, g) = \frac{Q^2}{32\pi^2}(\Delta_g \varphi, G_g \Delta_g \varphi)_g + \frac{Q^2}{8\pi} \int R_g \varphi dv_g$$

The claim follows since

$$(\Delta_g \varphi, G_g \Delta_g \varphi)_g = (\varphi, \Delta_g G_g \Delta_g \varphi)_g = -2\pi(\varphi, \Delta_g \varphi)_g.$$

□

**2.5. Liouville correlation functions.** Choose a local conformal coordinate and define

$$(2.19) \quad \rho_{\alpha, g}(z) := e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{4}\sigma(z) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}h_g(z, z)}$$

and define the regularized vertex operators by

$$V_{\alpha, g, N}(z) = e^{\alpha(c + X_{g, N}(z)) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \mathbb{E}X_{g, N}(z)^2} \rho_{g, \alpha}(z),$$

Again we set

$$\rho_{\gamma, \psi^* g} = \rho_{\gamma, g} \circ \psi.$$

which is well defined by the the same argument as with  $\alpha = \gamma$ . Hence

$$(2.20) \quad V_{\alpha, g, N} \circ \psi = V_{\alpha, \psi^* g, N}.$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The correlation functions*

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g}(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g} := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g, N}(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g},$$

exist and are non-zero if and only if the  $\alpha_i$ 's satisfy the Seiberg bounds

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > Q\chi(\Sigma), \quad \alpha_i < Q \quad \forall i,$$

where  $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2\text{genus}(\Sigma)$  is the Euler characteristic. Furthermore they satisfy the diffeomorphism and Weyl transformation laws (1.2) and (1.3) with  $\Delta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2}(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2})$ .

*Proof.* The proof of convergence is based on a representation of the correlation function in terms of an expectation of moment of a GMC integral. Consider (2.16) with  $F(X) = e^{(X,f)_g}$  with  $f$  smooth. Then since  $\int R_g dv_g = \chi(\Sigma)$

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle_{\Sigma,g} &= Z(\Sigma, g) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{c((f,1)_g - Q\chi(\Sigma))} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{((f - \frac{Q}{4\pi} R_g), X_g)_g} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g,\gamma}(1)} \right] dc \\ &= Z(\Sigma, g) \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{(h, X_g)_g} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{c((f,1)_g - Q\chi(\Sigma))} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{g,\gamma}(1)} dc \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we used Fubini and defined

$$h = f - \frac{Q}{4\pi} R_g.$$

Since  $M_{g,\gamma}(1) > 0$  almost surely the  $c$ -integral converges provided

$$(2.23) \quad (f, 1)_g > Q\chi(\Sigma)$$

and we get

$$\langle F \rangle_{\Sigma,g} = \gamma^{-1} \mu^{-s_f} \Gamma(s_f) Z(\Sigma, g) \mathbb{E} [e^{(h, X_g)_g} M_{\gamma,g}(1)^{-s_f}]$$

where

$$s_f = \frac{(f,1)_g - Q\chi(\Sigma)}{\gamma}.$$

Finally a shift in the Gaussian integral gives

$$\langle F \rangle_{\Sigma,g} = \gamma^{-1} \mu^{-s_f} \Gamma(s_f) Z(\Sigma, g) e^{\frac{1}{2}(h, G_g h)_g} \mathbb{E} [M_{\gamma,g}(e^{\gamma G_g h})^{-s_f}]$$

For the correlation functions we take  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \sum_{n=0}^N e_{g,n} e_{g,n}(z_i)$ . Then, the condition (2.23) becomes the first of the conditions (2.21). As  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in a neighborhood of  $z_i$

$$e^{\gamma G_g h(z)} = |z - z_i|^{-\gamma \alpha_i} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

and the condition  $\alpha_i < Q$  is needed for the  $M_{\gamma,g}$  integrability of this singularity [5].

Diffeomorphism covariance follows from (2.20) in the limit.

For the Weyl covariance lets again choose conformal coordinates around the insertion points  $z_i$  and use the circle average regularization

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g}(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g} := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g}^\epsilon(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g},$$

where

$$V_{\alpha, g}^\epsilon(z) = e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{4}\sigma} \epsilon^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2}} e^{\alpha(c + X_{g,\epsilon}(z))}$$

By (2.18) be have then

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, e^{\varphi_g}}^\epsilon(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, e^{\varphi_g}} = e^{cA(\varphi, g)} \prod_i e^{\frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}\varphi(z_i) - \frac{1}{2}Q\alpha_i\varphi_\epsilon(z_i)} \langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g}^\epsilon(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g}$$

which implies the claim since  $\varphi_\epsilon(z_i) \rightarrow \varphi(z_i)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

## 3. CONFORMAL WARD IDENTITIES

We will now specialize to the case  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2 = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and consider the metric dependence of the vertex correlation functions:

$$g \rightarrow F(g, \mathbf{x}) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle_g$$

where from now on we drop the  $\Sigma$  from the notation. Our objective is to construct the derivatives (1.5) and prove the identities (1.8). The identities (1.2) and (1.3) make this dependence quite explicit since the sphere has only one conformal class, a fact we will recall next.

**3.1. Beltrami equation.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of smooth metrics in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . We may work on the coordinate chart  $\mathbb{C}$  and identify  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  with a smooth function  $g(z) = \{g_{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$  taking values in positive matrices s.t.  $D\zeta^T g \circ \zeta D\zeta$  is smooth as well where  $\zeta(z) = z^{-1}$ . Similarly  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\hat{\mathbb{C}})$  can be identified with  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\psi \circ \zeta \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and let

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}(z) = e^{\sigma(z)} \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Let us look for a function  $\varphi$  and a diffeomorphism  $\psi$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad g = e^{\varphi} \psi^* \hat{g} = e^{\varphi + \sigma \circ \psi} D\psi^T D\psi.$$

By taking determinants we get

$$\det g = (e^{\varphi + \sigma \circ \psi} \det D\psi)^2,$$

and by plugging this back into the equation we get

$$D\psi^T D\psi = \gamma \det D\psi$$

where

$$\gamma := \frac{g}{\sqrt{\det g}}.$$

Hence  $D\psi^T = \gamma(D\psi)^{-1} \det D\psi$  which in complex coordinates becomes the Beltrami equation

$$(3.3) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi = \mu \partial_z \psi,$$

where

$$\mu := \frac{\gamma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}}{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_{z\bar{z}}}.$$

It is readily checked that

$$\|\mu\|_{\infty} < 1.$$

The Beltrami equation is solved by writing

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(z) = z + u(z)$$

whereby (3.3) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} u - \mu \partial_z u = \mu.$$

To solve this recall the Cauchy transform  $\mathcal{C} : C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$

$$(\mathcal{C}f)(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(z')}{z - z'} d^2 z',$$

and the Beltrami transform  $\mathcal{B} : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $\mathcal{B} := \partial_z \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \partial_z$ . We have  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{C} f = f$  so that (3.5) can be written for  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  as

$$(3.6) \quad (1 - \mathcal{C}(\mu \partial_z))u = \mathcal{C}\mu$$

and then as a Neumann series

$$(3.7) \quad u = (1 - \mathcal{C}\mu \partial_z)^{-1} \mathcal{C}\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{C}\mu \partial_z)^n \mathcal{C}\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}v_n$$

where

$$v_n = (\mu \mathcal{B})^n \mu.$$

The convergence of this series is classical and for what follows we state it in a slightly more general setup for a smooth family of Beltrami coefficients  $\mu(\epsilon, z)$ . Let for multi-index  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3)$   $D^l = \partial_\epsilon^{l_1} \partial_z^{l_2} \partial_{\bar{z}}^{l_3}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mu \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R})$  with  $k := \|\mu\|_\infty < 1$ . Then the series (3.7) converges uniformly together with all its derivatives and setting  $u_n = \mathcal{C}v_n$  we have*

$$(3.8) \quad |D^l u(z, \epsilon)|, |D^l u_n(z, \epsilon)| \leq C_l (1 + |z|)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore  $\psi(\epsilon, z) = z + u(\epsilon, z)$  define for each  $\epsilon$  a smooth diffeomorphism of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

*Proof.* This is a slight variation of the proof [2], Sections 5.1. and 5.2., so we will be brief. First, one uses  $S_p := \|\mathcal{B}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow 1$  as  $p \rightarrow 2$  to obtain

$$\|\mu \mathcal{B}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{C})} \leq k S_p < 1$$

for  $p$  close enough to 2. Hence the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mu \mathcal{B})^n \mu$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{C})$  uniformly in  $\epsilon$ . Then

$$\|D^l v_n\|_p = \left\| \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i = l} D^{k_1} \mu \mathcal{B} D^{k_2} \mu \mathcal{B} \dots D^{k_{n+1}} \mu \right\|_p \leq C(l, n) (k S_p)^{n - \|l\|}$$

where the constant  $C(l, n)$  depends on  $\|D^k \mu\|_\infty$  for  $|k| \leq |l|$ . This shows  $v := \sum v_n$  is in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{C})$  for all  $k$  and since  $v_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})$  we conclude  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})$ . The estimate (3.8) then follows.  $\square$

The function  $\varphi$  is given by

$$e^\varphi = e^{-\sigma \circ \psi} \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{\det D\psi} = e^{-\sigma \circ \psi} \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{|1 + \partial_z u|^2 - |\partial_{\bar{z}} u|^2}$$

where we used  $\det D\psi = |\partial_z \psi|^2 - |\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi|^2$ . Since  $g$  is a metric on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  we have

$$\ln \det g(z, \epsilon) = -4 \ln |z| + \rho(1/z, \epsilon)$$

where  $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R})$ . Hence (3.8) holds for  $\ln \det g$  and for  $\sigma$ . We conclude then

$$(3.9) \quad |D^l \varphi(z)| \leq C_l (1 + |z|)^{-1}.$$

**3.2. The stress-energy tensor.** To define the derivatives (1.5) let

$$\mathbb{C}_x := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$$

and let  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}_x, M_2)$  be a smooth function with compact support in  $\mathbb{C}_x$  and taking values in symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Consider the perturbed metric  $g(z, \epsilon)$  with the inverse given by

$$(3.10) \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \epsilon f^{\alpha\beta}$$

where  $\hat{g}$  is given by (3.1) so that  $\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} = e^{-\sigma} \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ .  $g$  defines a metric (i.e. is positive) if  $\epsilon$  is small enough. Then we have

**Proposition 3.2.** *The function  $F : \epsilon \rightarrow \langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_g$  is smooth in a neighborhood of origin. Furthermore,*

$$(3.11) \quad \partial_\epsilon^n F|_{\epsilon=0} = \mathcal{T}_n(f, \dots, f)$$

where the  $n$ -linear function  $\mathcal{T}_n$  defines a distribution  $\mathcal{T}_n \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C}_x^n, M_2^{\otimes n})$ . In particular let  $f_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}_x, M_2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  have disjoint compact supports. Then

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{T}_n(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \int F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i) dv_{\hat{g}}(z_i).$$

where  $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  are smooth functions in the region  $z_i \in \mathbb{C}_x$  with  $z_i \neq z_j$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1 for  $\epsilon$  small enough we have

$$g = e^\varphi \psi^* \hat{g}$$

Writing

$$(3.13) \quad g = e^\sigma (\delta + \zeta).$$

the Beltrami coefficient is

$$(3.14) \quad \mu = \frac{\zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}}{\sqrt{(1 + 4\zeta_{z\bar{z}})^2 - 4\zeta_{zz}\zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \zeta_{z\bar{z}}}}.$$

and the function  $\varphi$  is given by

$$(3.15) \quad \varphi = \sigma - \sigma \circ \psi + \frac{1}{2} \ln((1 + 4\zeta_{z\bar{z}})^2 - 4\zeta_{zz}\zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}) - \ln(|1 + \partial_z u|^2 - |\partial_{\bar{z}} u|^2).$$

Now by the diffeomorphism and Weyl transformation laws (1.2) and (1.3)

$$(3.16) \quad F = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i, g}(z_i) \right\rangle_g = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \right\rangle_{e^\varphi \psi^* \hat{g}} = e^{cA(\varphi, \psi^* \hat{g}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_{\alpha_i} \varphi(x_i)} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(\psi(x_i)) \right\rangle_{\hat{g}}.$$

where the anomaly term can be written as

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} cA(\varphi, \psi^* \hat{g}) &= \frac{c}{96\pi} \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi dv_g + 2 \int_{\mathbb{C}} R_{\psi^* \hat{g}} \varphi dv_{\psi^* \hat{g}} \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi + 2\varphi \Delta_g \varphi + 2R_g \varphi) dv_g \\ &= \frac{c}{96\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (-g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi + 2R_g \varphi) dv_g \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $e^{-\varphi} R_{\psi^* \hat{g}} = R_g + \Delta_g \varphi$ .

Smoothness of  $F$  in  $\epsilon$  follows now from that of  $\varphi$  and  $u$ . First, to prove smoothness of the expectation on the RHS of (3.16) we use the result of the second author [14] that the correlation function  $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_g$  is smooth in the region of non-coinciding points. Since  $\psi$  is a diffeomorphism the points  $\psi(x_i)$  are non-coinciding as well and smoothness of the expectation in  $\epsilon$  follows. Smoothness of the anomaly term follows from the bounds (3.8) and (3.9) which guarantee convergence of the integrals over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

To compute the derivative (3.11) we need to compute  $\partial_\epsilon^m u|_{\epsilon=0}$  for  $m \leq n$ . Using  $u_k = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^k)$  (3.8) implies

$$\partial_\epsilon^m u|_{\epsilon=0} = \sum_{k=1}^m \partial_\epsilon^m u_k|_{\epsilon=0}.$$

From (3.14) we have

$$\partial_\varepsilon^l \mu(z)|_{\varepsilon=0} = p_l(z)$$

where  $p_l(z)$  is a homogenous polynomial of degree  $l$  in the variables  $\{f^{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$ . In particular  $p_l \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}_x)$ . Hence

$$\partial_\varepsilon^m u_k|_{\varepsilon=0} = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^k l_i=m} C p_{l_1} \mathcal{B} p_{l_2} \dots \mathcal{B} p_{l_k}.$$

Let us now look at the various contributions to the derivative (3.11). Let  $m_l(f)$  denote a monomial of degree  $l$  in the variables  $\{D^k f^{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$  where  $D = \partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}$  and  $k \leq 2$ . The building blocks of the derivative (3.11) are  $l$ -linear functionals of  $\{f^{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$  of the form

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,1}(z, f, \dots, f) = (\mathcal{C}^p m_{l_1} \mathcal{B} m_{l_2} \dots \mathcal{B} m_{l_k})(z)$$

with  $p = 0, 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . The maps  $f \rightarrow m_l(f)$  and  $f, g \rightarrow f \mathcal{B} g$  are continuous maps  $C_0^\infty \rightarrow C_0^\infty$  and  $C_0^\infty \times C_0^\infty \rightarrow C_0^\infty$  (in the Frechet topology of  $C_0^\infty$ ) and  $f \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}f)(z)$  is continuous  $C_0^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{T}_{p,1}$  is continuous in its arguments and by the nuclear theorem defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C}_x^l)$ .

The derivative (3.11) is given in terms of products of  $\mathcal{T}_{p,1}(x_i, f, \dots, f)$  (from the last two terms in (3.16)) and integrals of products of  $\mathcal{T}_{p,1}(z, f, \dots, f)$  over  $z$  (from the anomaly term). From this we conclude that  $\mathcal{T}_n$  defines a distribution.

For the second claim let  $f_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}_x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  have disjoint supports and consider the perturbed metric

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i f_i^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_n(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (4\pi)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\varepsilon_i} \Big|_0 \langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_g$$

From the assumption that  $f_i$ 's have disjoint supports it follows that  $\partial_{\varepsilon_i} \partial_{\varepsilon_j} \mu(z)|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  so that  $l_i = 1$  above for all  $i$ . Furthermore

$$\partial_{\varepsilon_i} \mu|_{\varepsilon=0} = \partial_{\varepsilon_i} \gamma_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}|_{\varepsilon=0} = \partial_{\varepsilon_i} \zeta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}|_{\varepsilon=0} = -\frac{1}{4} e^\sigma f_i^{z\bar{z}}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{d}{d\varepsilon_i} \Big|_0 u_k(z) &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (\mathcal{C} e^\sigma f_{\pi(1)}^{z\bar{z}} \mathcal{B} e^\sigma f_{\pi(2)}^{z\bar{z}} \mathcal{B} \dots \mathcal{B} e^\sigma f_{\pi(k)}^{z\bar{z}})(z) \\ &= \int u_k(\mathbf{y}, z) \prod_{j=1}^k f_j^{z\bar{z}}(y_j) dv_g(y_j) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_k(\mathbf{y}, z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^k \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \frac{1}{z - y_{\pi(1)}} \frac{1}{(y_{\pi(1)} - y_{\pi(2)})^2} \dots \frac{1}{(y_{\pi(k-1)} - y_{\pi(k)})^2}.$$

We conclude that the function  $F$  in (3.12) has only components  $\mu_i = \nu_i = z$  or  $\mu_i = \nu_i = \bar{z}$  and these components are polynomials in  $(z_i - z_j)^{-a}$ ,  $(z_i - x_j)^{-b}$  and their complex conjugates.  $\square$

We will denote these derivatives of the correlation functions by

$$(3.18) \quad F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 4\pi \frac{\delta}{\delta g(z_i)^{\mu_i \nu_i}} \right) \Big|_0 \langle \prod_{i=1}^N V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle_g := \langle \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i) \prod V_{\alpha_i}(x_i) \rangle.$$

At the moment the  $T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i)$  on the RHS is just notation and in this paper we do not address the problem of realising it as a field. For the time being let us consider the variation of these  $T$ -correlation functions under diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations.

Let us define

$$a_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi, g, z) = 4\pi c \frac{\delta}{\delta g(z)^{\alpha\beta}} A(\varphi, g)$$

In conformal coordinates  $\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = e^\sigma \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  we have from a computation similar to Lemma 5.1

$$a_{\alpha\beta}(\varphi, \hat{g}, z) = \frac{c}{24\pi} (\partial_\alpha \varphi \partial_\beta \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} (\partial\varphi)^2 - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha \sigma \partial_\beta \varphi + \partial_\beta \sigma \partial_\alpha \varphi)).$$

Furthermore we have the locality property for  $z \neq z'$ :

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{\delta^2}{\delta g(z) \delta g(z')} A(\varphi, g) = 0.$$

Then

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $z_i \neq z_j \neq x_k$ . Then the SE tensor correlations satisfy*

$$(3.20) \quad \langle \prod_i T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\psi^* g} = \langle \prod_i \tilde{T}(z_i)_{\mu_i \nu_i} \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(\psi(x_j)) \rangle_g$$

where  $\tilde{T} = D\psi^T T \circ \psi D\psi$  and

$$(3.21) \quad \langle \prod_i T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{e^\varphi g} = e^{cA(\varphi, g)} \prod_j e^{-\Delta_{\alpha_j} \varphi(x_j)} \langle \prod_i (T_{\mu_i \nu_i}(z_i) + a_{\mu_i \nu_i}(\varphi, g, z_i)) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g$$

*Proof.* For the first claim let

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = (\psi^* g)^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i f_i^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then

$$h = \psi^* \tilde{g}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i \varepsilon_i \tilde{f}_i^{\alpha\beta} + \gamma^{\alpha\beta}$$

with  $\tilde{f} = D\psi^{-1}f \circ \psi^{-1}(D\psi^{-1})^T$  and  $\partial_{\epsilon_i}\partial_{\epsilon_j}\gamma = 0$ . Hence  $\gamma$  does not contribute and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle \prod_i T_{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\psi^*g} \prod_i f^{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) dv_{\psi^*g}(z_i) &= (4\pi)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\epsilon_i} \Big|_0 F(\psi^*\tilde{g}, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= (4\pi)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\epsilon_i} \Big|_0 F(\tilde{g}, \psi(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \int \langle \prod_i T_{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(\psi(x_j)) \rangle_g \prod_i \tilde{f}^{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) dv_g(z_i) \\ &= \int \langle \prod_i \tilde{T}_{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(\psi(x_j)) \rangle_g \prod_i f^{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) dv_{\psi^*g}(z_i) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

The second claim follows from the Weyl relation. Let  $h^{\alpha\beta} := e^{-\varphi}g^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i \epsilon_i f_i^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta} := g^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_i \epsilon_i e^{\varphi} f_i^{\alpha\beta}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle \prod_i T_{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{e^{\varphi}g} \prod_i f^{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) dv_{e^{\varphi}g} &= (4\pi)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\epsilon_i} \Big|_0 F(h, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= (4\pi)^n \prod_j e^{-\Delta_{\alpha_j}\varphi(x_j)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\epsilon_i} \Big|_0 (e^{cA(\varphi, \tilde{h})} F(\tilde{h}, \mathbf{x})) \\ &= \prod_j e^{-\Delta_{\alpha_j}\varphi(x_j)} e^{cA(\varphi, g)} \int \langle \prod_i (T_{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) + a_{\mu_i\nu_i}(\varphi, g, z_i)) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\varphi(z_i)} f^{\mu_i\nu_i}(z_i) dv_g(z_i) \end{aligned}$$

where we used locality (3.19). The claim follows from  $e^{\varphi}v_g = v_{e^{\varphi}g}$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Ward identities.** A corollary of Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 is that  $T$ -correlations can be computed inductively. By Proposition 3.3 it suffices to do this in the conformal coordinates. Furthermore only  $T_{zz}$  and  $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$  correlations are non trivial and they may be computed separately. Hence from now on we let  $T$  be given by (1.6) We have then

**Proposition 3.4.** *The Ward identity (1.8) holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{g} = e^{\sigma}\delta$  and consider the perturbed metric  $g$  with the inverse

$$g^{z\bar{z}} = \hat{g}^{z\bar{z}} = 2e^{-\sigma}, \quad g^{zz} = \epsilon f$$

where  $f$  is real and has compact support outside the points  $z_i$ ,  $i \geq 2$  and  $x_j$  and  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$  (so that  $g_{\epsilon}^{z\bar{z}} = \bar{\epsilon}f$ ). We have

$$\int f(z_1) \langle T_{zz}(z_1) \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\hat{g}} dv_{\hat{g}}(z_1) = 4\pi \partial_{\epsilon} \Big|_0 \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g$$

We write

$$g = e^{\varphi} \psi^* \hat{g}.$$



Using Proposition 3.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\epsilon|_0 \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g &= B(f) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\hat{g}} + \sum_{k=2}^n b(z_k, f) \langle \prod_{i \neq 1, k}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\hat{g}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n \partial_\epsilon|_0 \langle (D\psi^T T \circ \psi D\psi)_{zz}(z_k) \prod_{i \neq 1, k}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\hat{g}} \\ &+ \sum_l \partial_\epsilon|_0 (\psi(x_l) \partial_{x_l} - \Delta_{\alpha_l} \varphi(x_l)) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_{\hat{g}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(f) &= \partial_\epsilon|_0 (cA(\varphi, \psi^* g)), \\ b(z_j, f) &= \partial_\epsilon|_0 a_{zz}(\varphi, \psi^* g, z_j). \end{aligned}$$

To compute these coefficients we recall (3.14) and (3.13). To first order in  $\epsilon$  the metric is  $g_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{\epsilon}{4} e^{2\sigma} f$ . Hence

$$\zeta_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{\epsilon}{4} e^\sigma f + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

and  $\zeta_{z\bar{z}} = 0$ . Then to leading order  $\gamma_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{\epsilon}{4} e^\sigma f$  so that  $\mu = -\frac{\epsilon}{4} e^\sigma f$  and thus

$$(3.22) \quad \partial_\epsilon|_0 \psi(z) = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{C}(e^\sigma f)(z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{1}{z-x} f(x) dv_g(x) := u(z).$$

From (3.15) we infer

$$(3.23) \quad \partial_\epsilon|_0 \varphi = -u \partial_z \sigma - \partial_z u$$

Hence since  $\varphi = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  and  $e^\sigma R_{e^\sigma} = -4\partial_z \partial_z \sigma$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.24) \quad B(f) &= \frac{c}{48\pi} \int R_{e^\sigma \delta} \partial_\epsilon|_0 \varphi dv_{e^\sigma \delta}(z) = -\frac{c}{12\pi} \int \partial_z \partial_z \sigma (u \partial_z \sigma + \partial_z u) d^2 z \\ &= -\frac{c}{12\pi} \int u (\partial_z \partial_z \sigma \partial_z \sigma - \partial_z \partial_z^2 \sigma) d^2 z = \frac{c}{12\pi} \int \partial_z u (\frac{1}{2} (\partial_z \sigma)^2 - \partial_z^2 \sigma) d^2 z \\ &= -\frac{c}{48\pi} \int f (\frac{1}{2} (\partial_z \sigma)^2 - \partial_z^2 \sigma) dv_g = -\frac{c}{48\pi} \int f t dv_g \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  is defined in (1.7).

Next, consider  $b(z, f)$ . Using  $\varphi = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  and (3.23) we get

$$b(z, f) = -\frac{c}{12} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{zz}(z)} \int (u \partial_z \sigma + \partial_z u) R_g dv_g|_{g=e^\sigma \delta}.$$

The derivative is calculated in Lemma 5.1:

$$b(z, f) = -\frac{c}{12} (-\partial_z^2 + \partial_z \sigma \partial_z) (u \partial_z \sigma + \partial_z u).$$

Some algebra then gives

$$\begin{aligned} b(z, f) &= \frac{c}{12} (\partial_z^3 u - 2t \partial_z u - u \partial_z t)(z) \\ &= \frac{c}{48\pi} \int \left( \frac{6}{(z-x)^4} + \frac{2t(z)}{(z-x)^2} + \frac{\partial_z t(z)}{z-x} \right) f(x) dv_g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\epsilon|_0(D\psi^T T \circ \psi D\psi)_{zz}(z_k) &= (2\partial_z u T_{zz} + u\partial_z T_{zz})(z_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{2T_{zz}(z_k)}{(z_k - x)^2} - \frac{\partial_z T_{zz}(z_k)}{z_k - x} \right) f(x) dv_g(x).\end{aligned}$$

Finally for the last term we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial|_0(\psi\partial_z - \Delta_{\alpha_l}\varphi) &= u\partial_z + \Delta_{\alpha_l}(u\partial_z\sigma + \partial_z u) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{\partial_z}{x - z} + \Delta_{\alpha_l} \left( \frac{\partial_z\sigma(z)}{x - z} + \frac{1}{(z - x)^2} \right) \right) f(x) dx\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all terms we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_{zz}(z_1) \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g &= -\frac{c}{12} t(z_1) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g \\ &+ \frac{c}{12} \sum_{k=2}^n \left( \frac{6}{(z_1 - z_k)^4} + \frac{2t(z_1)}{(z_1 - z_k)^2} + \frac{\partial_z t(z_1)}{z_1 - z_k} \right) \langle \prod_{i \neq 1, k}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n \left( \frac{2}{(z_1 - z_k)^2} + \frac{1}{z_1 - z_k} \partial_{z_k} \right) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g \\ &+ \sum_l \left( \frac{\Delta_{\alpha_l}}{(z_1 - x_l)^2} + \frac{\Delta_{\alpha_l} \partial_z \sigma(x_l)}{z_1 - x_l} + \frac{1}{z_1 - x_l} \partial_{x_l} \right) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T_{zz}(z_i) \prod_j V_{\alpha_j}(x_j) \rangle_g.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition (1.6) the Ward identity follows.  $\square$

We finish this Section with a formulation of the Ward identity for the case where the vertex operators are replaced by a smooth version as follows. By Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\langle F(X) \rangle_g = \langle F(X) \rangle_{e^{\varphi\psi^*\hat{g}}} = e^{cA(\varphi, \psi^*\hat{g})} \langle F((X - \frac{Q}{2}\varphi) \circ \psi) \rangle_{\hat{g}}$$

so that recalling (3.22) and (3.23) we get

$$\partial_\epsilon|_0 \langle F(X) \rangle_g = \int \langle (u(z)\partial_z X(z) + \frac{Q}{2}(u\partial_z\sigma + \partial_z u)F_z(X)) \rangle_{\hat{g}} d^2z + c\partial_\epsilon|_0 A(\varphi, \psi^*\hat{g}) \langle F(X) \rangle_{\hat{g}},$$

where the last term was computed in (3.24) and we denoted

$$(3.25) \quad F_z = \frac{\delta F}{\delta X(z)}.$$

We conclude using (3.22)

$$(3.26) \quad \langle T(z)F \rangle_{\hat{g}} = \int \frac{1}{z-x} \langle (\partial_x X + \frac{Q}{2}\partial_x\sigma)F_x \rangle_{\hat{g}} d^2x + \frac{Q}{2} \int \frac{1}{(z-x)^2} \langle F_x \rangle_{\hat{g}} d^2x,$$

where  $T$  is given by (1.6). In what follows we are interested in  $F$  of the form

$$(3.27) \quad F(X) = e^{X(f)} \prod_{i=1}^n X(h_i)$$

where  $n \geq 0$ ,  $h_i, f$  are complex valued, smooth with compact support and  $X(f) = \int f(z)X(z)d^2z$ . Furthermore we require  $\Re \int_{\mathbb{C}} f > 2Q$  so that  $\langle |F| \rangle_{\hat{g}} < \infty$ , as was discussed in the proof of Proposition 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the linear span of such  $F$ . Then

$$F_x = (f(x) + \sum_i h_i(x)X(h_i)^{-1})F.$$

Let us denote by  $\text{supp}F$  the union of the supports of  $h_i, f$ . Then, if  $z \in (\text{supp}F)^c$

$$(3.28) \quad \langle T(z)F \rangle_{\hat{g}} = \langle \mathcal{T}_z F \rangle_{\hat{g}}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_z F \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\text{supp}\mathcal{T}_z F \subset \text{supp}F$ . Explicitly

$$(3.29) \quad \mathcal{T}_z F = (X(\tau_z f) + \rho_z f + \sum_{i=1}^n (X(\tau_z h_i) + \rho_z h_i) X(h_i)^{-1}) F$$

where

$$(\tau_z f)(x) = -\partial_x(f(x) \frac{1}{z-x})$$

and

$$\rho_z f = \frac{Q}{2} \int (\frac{1}{z-x} \partial_x \sigma(x) + \frac{1}{(z-x)^2}) f(x) d^2 x$$

The Ward identity then becomes

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle T(z_1) \prod_{i=2}^n T(z_i) F \rangle_g &= \frac{c}{12} \sum_{k=2}^n \left( \frac{6}{(z_1 - z_k)^4} + \frac{2t(z_1)}{(z_1 - z_k)^2} + \frac{\partial_z t(z_1)}{z_1 - z_k} \right) \langle \prod_{i \neq 1, k}^n T(z_i) F \rangle_g \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n \left( \frac{2}{(z_1 - z_k)^2} + \frac{1}{z_1 - z_k} \partial_{z_k} \right) \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T(z_i) F \rangle_g \\ &+ \sum_l \langle \prod_{i=2}^n T(z_i) \mathcal{T}_{z_l} F \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. PROSPECTS: REPRESENTATION THEORY

The Ward identities have well known algebraic consequences. To formulate these note that by iterating (3.30) for  $F \in \mathcal{V}$  we get

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^n T(z_i) F \rangle_g = \langle G \rangle_g$$

with  $G \in \mathcal{V}$ . This can be viewed as an action  $T(z) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ . This action gives rise to a representation of the *Virasoro algebra* on the physical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which is canonically related to LCFT. To describe the latter (see [13] for details) it is convenient to choose the metric  $g = e^\sigma |dz|^2$  with

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma = -2 \ln(\bar{z}z) \mathbf{1}_{|z| \geq 1}$$

i.e. the metric is Euclidean  $|dz|^2$  on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $|z|^{-4} |dz|^2$  on  $\mathbb{D}^c$ . The curvature of  $g$  is concentrated on the equator:  $R_g(z) = 4\delta(|z| - 1)$ . We denote the GFF  $X_g$  simply by  $X$ . It has the covariance

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{E}X(z)X(z') = \ln \frac{1}{|z - z'|} + \ln |z| \vee 0 + \ln |z'| \vee 0$$

The function (2.19) becomes in this metric

$$\rho_{\gamma, g} = e^\sigma$$

and thus the chaos measure is

$$(4.3) \quad dM_\gamma(z) = e^{\gamma X(z) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}X(z)^2} dv(z)$$

where the volume is  $dv(z) = e^\sigma d^2 z$ .

The Liouville expectation is then given by

$$(4.4) \quad \langle F \rangle = \int dc e^{-2Qc} \mathbb{E} F(X) e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_\gamma(\mathbb{C})}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}}$  consist of functions (3.27) with  $\text{supp } F \subset \mathbb{D}$  and  $\Re \int_{\mathbb{D}} f > Q$ . Let  $\theta : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  be the reflection  $\theta(z) = 1/\bar{z}$ . Given a  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}}$  define

$$(\Theta F)(X) = \overline{F(X \circ \theta)}$$

where  $X \circ \theta$  refers to the distribution  $f \rightarrow X(f_\theta)$  where  $f_\theta = |z^{-4}|f \circ \theta$ . Obviously  $\text{supp } \Theta F \subset \mathbb{D}^c$ .

We define a sesquilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(4.5) \quad (F, G) = \langle \overline{\Theta F} G \rangle.$$

Since  $\Re(\int_{\mathbb{C}}(f + \bar{f}_\theta)) = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{D}} f > 2Q$  this is well defined. Reflection positivity is the following statement:

**Proposition 4.1.** *The form (4.5) is positive semidefinite:*

$$(4.6) \quad (F, F) \geq 0.$$

For proof see [13]. We define the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of LCFT as the completion of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}}/\mathcal{N}$ , where

$$\mathcal{N} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{D}} \mid (F, F) = 0\}.$$

Let  $F, G$  have support in the disc  $\mathbb{D}_r$  with  $r < 1$  and let  $C_i$  be circles of radi  $1 > r_1 > r_2 \cdots > r_k > r$ . Define the objects

$$(4.7) \quad (F, L_{n_1} \cdots L_{n_k} G) := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^k \oint_{C_1} z_1^{n_1+1} dz_1 \cdots \oint_{C_k} z_k^{n_k+1} dz_k \langle \Theta F G T(z_1) \cdots T(z_k) \rangle.$$

Since the integrand on the RHS is analytic in  $z_i \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r$ ,  $z_i \neq z_j$  the RHS depends on the contours  $C_i$  only through their order. The Ward identities then imply (see [7])

$$(4.8) \quad [L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{n,-m}$$

in the obvious sense as a relation among the objects (4.7). However we would like to realize the  $L_n$  as operators acting in a suitable domain in  $\mathcal{H}$  and construct a representation of the Virasoro algebra (4.8). This will be the subject of the forthcoming publication [12].

## 5. APPENDIX

We collect here some notations from Riemannian Geometry. Let  $(\Sigma, g)$  be a smooth compact two dimensional Riemannian manifold. Given a local coordinate  $x = (x^1, x^2)$  we denote  $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$ . Hence vectors are given as  $u = u^\alpha \partial_\alpha$  and covectors as  $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha dx^\alpha$  and we use the Einstein summation convention over repeated indices.

The Riemannian metric  $g$  is given by

$$g(x) = g_{\alpha\beta}(x) dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$$

where  $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$  is a smooth function taking values in positive matrices.  $g$  determines a volume measure  $v_g$  on  $\Sigma$  given in local coordinates by

$$dv_g(x) = \sqrt{\det g(x)} d^2x$$

where  $d^2x$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote the scalar product by

$$(f, h)_g = \int \overline{f(x)} h(x) dv_g(x).$$

and then  $L^2(\Sigma, g) := \{f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid (f, f)_g < \infty\}$ .

The group of smooth diffeomorphisms  $\text{Diff}(\Sigma)$  acts on the space of smooth metrics by  $g \rightarrow \psi^*g$  where the pullback metric is given in coordinates as

$$(\psi^*g)(x) = D\psi(x)^T g(\psi(x)) D\psi(x).$$

Then we have the change of variables formula

$$(f, h)_g = (f \circ \psi, h \circ \psi)_{\psi^*g}.$$

Let us denote the inverse of the matrix  $g(x)$  by  $g^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ . The reason for the upper indices is that the a tensor field  $g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta$  is invariantly defined. It allows us to define the Dirichlet form

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_g(f, h) := \int_{\Sigma} g^{\alpha\beta} \overline{\partial_\alpha f} \partial_\beta h \, dv_g$$

and the Sobolev space  $H^1(\Sigma, g) = \{f \in L^2(\Sigma, g) : \mathcal{D}_g(f, f) < \infty\}$ . The Dirichlet form gives rise to a positive self-adjoint operator  $-\Delta_g$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_g(f, h) = -(f, \Delta_g h)_g.$$

On smooth functions  $f$  by integration by parts one gets the formula for the Laplace-Beltrami operator as

$$\Delta_g f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{\det g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta f).$$

The Dirichlet form satisfies the diffeomorphism invariance

$$\mathcal{D}_g(f, h) = \mathcal{D}_{\psi^*g}(f \circ \psi, h \circ \psi),$$

which implies

$$(5.2) \quad (\Delta_g f) \circ \psi = \Delta_{\psi^*g}(f \circ \psi).$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator has a discrete spectrum  $(\lambda_{g,n})_{n=0}^\infty$ ,  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \dots$ , and a complete (in  $L^2(\Sigma, g)$ ) set of smooth eigenfunctions  $(e_{g,n})_{n=0}^\infty$  with  $e_{g,0}$  the constant function. (5.2) implies

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{g,n} \circ \psi &= e_{\psi^*g,n}, \\ \lambda_{g,n} &= \lambda_{\psi^*g,n}. \end{aligned}$$

The zero-mean Green's function is defined by the formula

$$G_g(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{g,n}(x) e_{g,n}(y)}{\lambda_{g,n}}.$$

Then (5.3) implies

$$(5.4) \quad G_{\psi^*g}(x, y) = G_g(\psi(x), \psi(y)).$$

We can view the diffeomorphisms also passively as changes of coordinates. Then locally we can find a coordinate so that  $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^\sigma \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  with a smooth  $\sigma$  (the proof is a small variation of Proposition 3.1). An atlas of such coordinates defines a *complex structure* on  $\Sigma$  since the transition functions are easily seen to be analytic. Indeed, if on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we have  $g = \psi^*h$  with  $g$  and  $h$  diagonal matrices then  $\psi(x^1, x^2) = (u(x^1, x^2), v(x^1, x^2))$  where  $u, v$  satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations. We can then introduce complex coordinates  $z = x^1 + ix^2$ ,  $\bar{z} = x^1 - ix^2$  and write tensors in using them. E.g.  $T = T_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$  becomes

$$(5.5) \quad T = T_{zz} dz \otimes dz + T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} d\bar{z} \otimes d\bar{z} + T_{z\bar{z}} dz \otimes d\bar{z} + T_{\bar{z}z} d\bar{z} dz,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{zz} &= \frac{1}{4}(T_{11} - T_{22} - 2iT_{12}), \\ T_{z\bar{z}} &= T_{\bar{z}z} = \frac{1}{4}(T_{11} + T_{22}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \overline{T_{zz}}$ . Furthermore, we have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \det f &= 4f_{z\bar{z}}^2 - 4f_{zz}f_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \\ \operatorname{tr} f &= 4f_{z\bar{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the scalar curvature of  $g$  by  $R_g$ . It is defined by contracting the Ricci tensor  $R_{\mu\nu}$

$$R_g := g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu},$$

where the Ricci tensor comes from contracting the Riemann tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\alpha\nu}^{\alpha}.$$

Finally, the Riemann tensor is defined by the formula

$$R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^{\alpha} = \partial_{\gamma}\Gamma_{\beta\delta}^{\alpha} - \partial_{\delta}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\beta\delta}^{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\lambda\delta}^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\lambda},$$

where the  $\Gamma$ 's are the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\delta}(\partial_{\beta}g_{\delta\gamma} + \partial_{\gamma}g_{\delta\beta} - \partial_{\delta}g_{\beta\gamma}).$$

As  $R_g$  is defined by contractions of the metric and its derivatives, under diffeomorphisms it transforms as

$$(5.6) \quad \psi^* R_g = R_{\psi^* g}.$$

In coordinates where  $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{\sigma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  we have the formula

$$R_g = -4e^{-\sigma}\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\sigma.$$

From this together with (5.6) and the existence of conformal coordinates it is easy to infer that in general

$$(5.7) \quad R_{e^{\varphi}g} = e^{-\varphi}(R_g - \Delta_g\varphi).$$

As an application of these definitions we have the Lemma used in the text:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let*

$$F(g) = \int h R_g dv_g.$$

*Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{z\bar{z}}(z)} F(g)|_{g=e^{\sigma}\delta} = -\partial_z^2 h + \partial_z\sigma\partial_{\bar{z}}h$$

*in coordinates where  $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{\sigma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition

$$\partial_{\epsilon}|_0 F(g_{\epsilon}) = \int \mathcal{F}(z)\phi(z)dv_{g_{\epsilon}}(z)$$

where  $g_{\epsilon}^{z\bar{z}} = 2e^{-\sigma}$  and  $g_{\epsilon}^{zz} = \epsilon\phi$ . Let  $\tilde{g}_{\epsilon} = e^{-\sigma}g_{\epsilon}$ . Then

$$R_{g_{\epsilon}} dv_{g_{\epsilon}} = (R_{\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}} - \Delta_{\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}}\sigma)dv_{\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}}$$

and  $\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}^{z\bar{z}} = 2$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}^{zz} = \epsilon e^{\sigma}\phi$ . We have

$$(5.8) \quad \partial_{\epsilon}|_0 R_{\tilde{g}_{\epsilon}} = -\partial_z^2(e^{\sigma}\phi)$$

$\partial_\epsilon|_0 v_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} = 0$  and

$$\partial_\epsilon|_0 \Delta_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} \sigma = \partial_z(e^\sigma \phi \partial_z \sigma).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\epsilon|_0 F(g_\epsilon) &= \int h(-\partial_z^2(e^\sigma \phi) - \partial_z(e^\sigma \phi \partial_z \sigma)) d^2 z \\ &= \int (-\partial_z^2 h + \partial_z \sigma \partial_z h) \phi d v_g \end{aligned}$$

which yields the claim.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alvarez O.: Theory of strings with boundary, *Nucl. Phys. B* 216 (1983), 125-184
- [2] Astala K., Iwaniec T., and Martin G.: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations and Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Princeton University Press, 2009
- [3] Belavin A.A., Polyakov A.M., Zamolodchikov A.B.: Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nuclear. Physics., B* **241**, 333-380, (1984).
- [4] Berestycki, N.: An elementary approach to Gaussian multiplicative chaos. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **27**, 1-12 (2017).
- [5] David F., Kupiainen A., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Liouville Quantum Gravity on the Riemann sphere. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **342**, 869-907 (2016).
- [6] Eguchi T., Ooguri H.: Conformal and current algebras on a general Riemann surface. *Nucl.Phys. Section B*, Volume 282, p. 308-328.
- [7] Gawedzki K.: Lectures on conformal field theory. In *Quantum fields and strings: A course for mathematicians*, Vols. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), pages 727-805. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] Guillarmou C., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Polyakov's formulation of 2d bosonic string theory arXiv:1607.08467 [math-ph], 2017.
- [9] Kahane, J.-P.: Sur le chaos multiplicatif, *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, **9** no.2 (1985), 105-150.
- [10] Kupiainen A., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Local Conformal Structure of Liouville Quantum Gravity, [arXiv:1512.01802](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01802).
- [11] Kupiainen A., Rhodes R., Vargas V.: Integrability of Liouville theory: proof of the DOZZ Formula, [arXiv:1707.08785](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08785).
- [12] Kupiainen A., Oikarinen J., in preparation.
- [13] Kupiainen A.: Constructive Liouville Conformal Field Theory. [arXiv:1611.05243](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05243).
- [14] Oikarinen J.: Smoothness of correlation functions in Liouville Conformal Field Theory. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* (2019).
- [15] Polyakov A.M.: Quantum geometry of bosonic strings, *Phys. Lett.* **103B** 207 (1981).
- [16] Rhodes R., Vargas, V.: Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: a review, *Probab. Surveys*, Volume 11 (2014), 315-392.
- [17] Sarnak P., Osgood B., Phillips, R.: Extremals of determinants of Laplaceans, *J. Funct. Anal.* 20, 148-211 (1988)

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O. Box 68 , FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

*E-mail address:* [antti.kupiainen@helsinki.fi](mailto:antti.kupiainen@helsinki.fi)

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O. Box 68 , FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

*E-mail address:* [joona.oikarinen@helsinki.fi](mailto:joona.oikarinen@helsinki.fi)