

# The Pressure underneath a Skate at rest

J. M. J. van Leeuwen

January 31, 2022

Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden,  
Niels Bohrweg 2, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands.

pacs: material science, rheology, deformation of solids.

## Abstract

The pressure distribution is calculated underneath a skate which is pushed in the ice by the weight of a skater at rest. Due to the sharp edges of the skate the deformation is partly elastic and partly plastic. The ratio of the plastic and elastic contribution to the reaction force is determined. Using this ratio the deformation in ice with a finite hardness can be mapped on the problem of the deformation in a purely elastic medium with infinite hardness. Both the upright skate and the tilted position are exactly calculated.

## 1 Introduction

Skating is centuries old, but the reason why ice is so slippery that one can skate on it, is still controversial. Recently several theories [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] have been put forward explaining the low friction of steel on ice. Indeed it is a quite intricate problem to understand what happens between a skate and the ice, when a skater moves with a speed of 10 m/s and more. One class of theories explains the low friction by the formation of a layer of water due to the heat generated by the friction. Then the crucial problem is to find the pressure distribution in this water layer. The lubrication approximation yields the solution, but this solution depends on the assumed boundary conditions at the contact surface. The other class seeks the explanation in the structure of the surface of ice. As already suggested by Faraday [8], the surface of ice is wet, also at rest, i.e. the surface molecules have a high mobility. This has been recently confirmed by precision experiments of Weber et al. [5] and Smit et al. [6]. For this explanation of low friction is it necessary that the structure of the surface is not destroyed or in other words that the deformation is elastic.

So it is important to determine the balance between elastic and plastic deformation. An indicative answer is given by the pressure distribution for a skate at rest, which is a simpler problem as there is no created water layer involved. Moreover a perfectly polished skate cannot exert tangential forces in the contact surface. The problem of the pressure distribution at rest is a well-defined problem in the linear elastic approximation. The determination of the static pressure distribution is also interesting for other reasons. Objects with sharp edges, like skates, lead to divergencies in the pressure distribution. For a skate pressed into the ice we may distinguish three cases

- The skate has a sufficient large tilt with respect to the normal. Then one edge of the skate indents the ice and the other edge remains up in the air (see Fig. 1).
- The skate has a (very) small tilt, such that also the other edge touches the ice. Even for speed skates of small width ( $w = 1.1\text{mm}$ ), the tilt must be of the order of 0.01 radials in order to be in this regime.
- The skate is perfectly upright. In this case there is symmetry between the two edges of the blade.

The reason to distinguish these regimes is that each has typical singularities in the pressures distribution.

The upright skate can be related to the problem of a rectangular stamp, for which an exact solution exist [9, 10]. We can use this solution, but we must complete it, taking the finite length of the skate into account. The most common situation is the tilted skate with a sufficient large tilt. For this case we construct an exact solution. If the tilt angle  $\phi = \pi/4$  one can use the exact solution for a symmetric wedge [11], again to be completed to a finite length skate. The small angle tilt is the most difficult problem for which we did not find an exact solution. We focus on the first category mentioned and give for information the complete solution of the perfectly upright skate. This leaves a small gap in our analysis concerning the small tilts in between. Fortunately this regime is quite small in practice.

Our analytic solution takes advantage of the fact that a skate is much longer than its width, implying that one may, in first approximation, ignore the variations of the pressure with the length of the skate and later correct for the finite length of the skate. For an infinite length skate the powerful plane theory of elastic deformation applies [9, 10]. The plane theory solution leaves two parameters undetermined, one is related to the depth  $d$  of the indentation and one is related to the width of the contact zone. As the skate is circularly in shape in the longitudinal direction, the depth  $d$  can be linked to contact length  $l$  along the skate. The weight of the skaters provides one restriction on these parameters, the other condition has to be found from the proper asymptotic behaviour of the deformation far away from the skate. The plane theory of deformation gives the surface deformation and the pressure distribution as the real and imaginary part of a function which is analytic in the upper complex half plane. Real and imaginary parts of analytic functions are related by equations, which are called Kramers-Kronig relations in physics and Plemelj equations in mathematics. The drawback of the plane theory of deformation is that there is no systematic way to construct the analytic function. The only way to proof the validity of the proposed analytic solution is to show that it obeys all the conditions.

An elastic approximation leading to diverging pressures is, however, internally inconsistent, unless one assumes an infinite hardness. The hardness is the maximum pressure of an elastic deformation. Unfortunately there is a large spread in the measurements of the hardness of ice [1, 5, 12, 13]. For our numerical calculations we take the compromise value of the 10 MPa. Once the elastic pressure exceeds the hardness, the elastic approximation fails and one must use a different rheology. The simple rheology, used in this paper, keeps the elastic pressure till the hardness is reached and replaces the elastic pressure by the hardness for larger values.

First we formulate the geometry of the skate. Then we give the elastic deformation equations and discuss the plane theory in which the variations in the  $x$  direction are ignored. Next we isolate the two free parameters, for which we derive equations: one

based on the externally applied force and one following from the asymptotic match with the finite skate solution. The details of the analytic solutions for a infinite wedge and an upright skate are given in appendix A and B. After completing the elastic approximation (with infinite hardness), we construct the solution for a finite hardness. As the tilted skate is more general than the upright skate we focus on the tilted skate and use the upright skate for comparison.

The numerical calculations are carried out for standard conditions: a speed skate of blade width  $w = 1.1\text{mm}$  and curvature  $R = 22\text{m}$  and a skater of weight 75 kg.

## 2 Geometry of the Skate

A speed skate is almost flat, but due to the curvature it touches the ice over a contact length  $2l$ , which is shorter than the length of the skate. We consider the case where the skate makes a tilt angle  $\phi$  with the normal to the ice. The coordinate system in which we describe the deformation of the ice, has the  $x$  axis in the longitudinal direction of the skate, the  $y$  axis in the transverse direction and the  $z$  axis perpendicular to the undeformed ice. The ice fills the half space  $z < 0$ . The origin of the coordinate system is taken in the middle of the skate above the deepest indentation in the  $z$  direction at the level of the (undeformed) surface of the ice. The cross-section for a given value of  $x$ , looks in the  $y, z$  plane as shown in Fig. 1. The left hand side of the indentation is a surface with the slope  $-a_l = -1/\tan\phi$  and the right hand side is a surface with slope  $a_r = \tan\phi$ . For skating there is a marked distinction between the two surfaces. The right hand surface corresponds to the bottom of the blade, which is pushed into the ice with a large force. The left hand surface is the side of the blade which suffers little force during motion. For the static skate the two sides are equivalent, each being pushed into the ice, with a force depending on the tilt angle  $\phi$ .

The edge of the skate is part of a large circle with radius  $R$ . The locus  $-d(x)$  in the  $z$  direction is given by the function

$$d(x) = \left(d - \frac{x^2}{2R}\right) \cos\phi, \quad (1)$$

approximating the circle by a parabola. The  $\cos\phi$  corrects for the tilt. The contact length  $l$  is the point  $x = l$  where the indentation ends. We set

$$d - \frac{x^2}{2R} = d[1 - (x/l)^2], \quad \text{with} \quad l^2 = 2dR. \quad (2)$$

The skate touches the ice in an interval between  $-y_l$  at the left hand side and  $y_r$  at the right hand side. We call the region  $-y_l \leq y \leq y_r$  the basin of the deformation.

## 3 The Plane Theory relations

If we may ignore the variation in the  $x$  direction with respect to the faster variations in the  $y$  and  $z$  the direction, the elastic equations reduce to the plane theory for the deformation  $\mathbf{u}(y, z)$  in the  $y, z$  plane [9, 10]. We have outlined this reduction in Appendix C and shown that all quantities can be expressed in the two derivatives of the  $z$  component  $u_z(y, z)$  in the  $y$  and  $z$  direction. Consider the functions

$$p(y) = 2 \frac{1 - \nu}{1 - 2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial u_z(y, z)}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: Tilted skate indenting the ice.

and

$$q(y) = \frac{\partial u_z(y, 0)}{\partial y}. \quad (4)$$

Note that these functions relate to the deformation of the surface  $z = 0$ .  $p(y)$  gives the surface force distribution which is the reaction of the ice on the indentation.  $q(y)$  is the slope of the surface indentation in the  $y$  direction. The two functions are the real and imaginary part of a complex function  $H(y)$ , which is analytic in the upper half of the complex  $y$  plane

$$q(y) = \text{Re } H(y), \quad p(y) = -\text{Im } H(y). \quad (5)$$

In Appendix D we give the proof of these relation by an expansion in harmonic functions. This has the advantage that the whole deformation field is discussed and that it provides an independent proof for the Kramers-Kronig relations between the pressure distribution and the surface deformation. However the Eqns. (5) show that the problem of the surface indentation is self-contained.

Eq. (5) is equivalent with the Kramers-Kronig relations which express  $p(y)$  in terms of  $q(y)$  and vice versa. Since there is a multitude of complex functions, which are analytic in the upper half  $y$  plane, the specific solution has to be determined from the boundary conditions on the real  $y$  axis.

## 4 The boundary conditions for $q(y)$ and $p(y)$

The boundary conditions on  $q(y)$  and  $p(y)$  are of the mixed type.  $q(y)$  is dictated inside the basin by the shape of the skate and is therefore known inside the basin. Outside the basin  $q(y)$  is unknown, while  $p(y)$  is unknown inside the basin and vanishes outside. The inside value of  $q(y)$  follows from  $u_z(y, 0)$  as

$$\begin{cases} u_z(y, 0) &= -d - a_l y, & -y_l < y < 0, \\ u_z(y, 0) &= -d + a_r y, & 0 < y < y_r, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $a_r = \tan \phi$  viz.  $a_l = -1/\tan \phi$  are the right viz. left slope of the wedge. We call  $a_r$  the tilt slope, it varies from  $a_r = 0$  (upright) to  $a_r = 1$ . Larger tilts are unrealistic and could be treated by interchanging left and right. Differentiation of the Eqns (6) with respect to  $y$  gives the value of  $q(y)$  inside the basin. Thus we arrive at the following boundary conditions.

$$\begin{cases} p(y) = 0, & y < -y_l, \\ q(y) = -a_l, & -y_l < y < 0, \\ q(y) = a_r, & 0 < y < y_r, \\ p(y) = 0, & y > y_r. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

We see that  $q(y)$  has a jump  $A = a_l + a_r$  at the origin  $y = 0$  and that  $p(y)$  changes from a non-vanishing function to zero at the boundaries of the basin. These are the singularities that dictate the structure of the analytic function of which  $q(y)$  and  $p(y)$  are the real and imaginary parts. Frequently occurring combinations of the boundaries  $-y_l$  and  $y_r$ , are the arithmetic mean  $m$  and the ratio  $r^2$

$$m = \sqrt{y_r y_l}, \quad r = \sqrt{y_r / y_l}. \quad (8)$$

Inversely we find  $y_l$  and  $y_r$  as

$$y_l = m/r, \quad y_r = mr. \quad (9)$$

It will turn out that the ratio  $r$  is determined by the solution, but that the mean  $m$  is a free parameter in the solution.

## 5 The analytic solution

In Appendix A we give the solution for an infinitely long wedge which has a slope  $a_r$  in the positive direction and a slope  $-a_l$  in the negative direction. The skate is a special case as the edge is rectangular, implying  $a_r = \tan \phi$  and  $a_l = 1/\tan \phi$ . The generator of the solution is a function  $H(y)$ , which is analytic in the upper half complex  $y$  plane. The connection of the functions  $q(y)$  and  $p(y)$  with  $H(y)$  has been given in Eq. (5). Here we copy  $H(y)$  from Appendix A.

$$H(y) = a_r + \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log \left( \frac{X(y) + \sqrt{Y(y)}}{X(y) - \sqrt{Y(y)}} \right), \quad 0 < y < y_r. \quad (10)$$

The parameter  $A$  and the functions  $X(y)$  and  $Y(y)$  read in Eq. (7)

$$A = a_r + a_l, \quad X(y) = m + R_- y/2, \quad Y(s) = (y_r - y)(y_l + y), \quad (11)$$

with  $m$  and  $r$  defined in Eq. (8) and with  $R_{\pm}$  for the combinations

$$R_{\pm} = r \pm 1/r. \quad (12)$$

The function  $H(y)$  is represented by different expressions in the various regions along the real  $y$  axis (see Appendix A). For  $p(y)$  the imaginary part inside the basin is important. It reads

$$-\text{Im } H(y) = \frac{A}{2\pi} \log \left( \frac{X(y) + \sqrt{Y(y)}}{X(y) - \sqrt{Y(y)}} \right), \quad -y_r < y < y_r. \quad (13)$$

For  $q(y)$  the real part outside the basin is relevant. It is given by

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} H(y) = a_r - \frac{A}{\pi} \arctan(\sqrt{-Y(y)}/X(y)) & y > y_r, \\ \operatorname{Re} H(y) = -a_l + \frac{A}{\pi} \arctan(\sqrt{-Y(y)}/X(y)) & y < -y_l \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

From the requirement that  $H(y)$  remains well behaved at large  $y$  follows the value of the ratio  $r$ . It is given in terms of the angle  $\chi$


$$\chi = \frac{\pi a_r}{A} = \pi \sin^2 \phi. \quad (15)$$

The second equality holds for the skate as rectangular wedge. In Appendix A it is found that (Eq. (61))

$$r = \frac{1}{\tan(\chi/2)}. \quad (16)$$

While  $r$  is thus fixed by the tilt,  $m$  remains undetermined by the solution. In fact the mean  $m$  depends on the longitudinal coordinate  $x$ , as we shall see.

In order to get an idea on the shape of the pressure at the surface of the ice we have plotted in Fig. 2 the function  $p(y)$  for various values of the tilt  $a_r$ . Instead of using the argument  $y$ , we employ  $s = y/m$  which make the curves independent of  $m$ . All curves show a logarithmic singularity at  $y = 0$ . While for high slopes the range is short, it increases towards the upright position and would diverge for  $\phi = 0$  if the description of the tilted skate with one edge would hold all the way down till  $\phi = 0$ .



Thu Oct 31 11:03:38 2019

Figure 2:  $p(s)$  as function of position  $s=y/m$ .

As the function  $q(y)$  decays for large  $y$  as  $1/y$ , the function  $u_z(y, 0)$ , which is the integral of  $q(y)$ , grows logarithmically, implying that the deformation of the surface keeps

growing indefinitely far away from the skate. This is the result of treating the skate as infinitely long. Far away from the skate, for  $y$  of the order of the contact length, the solution does not apply and a cross-over to the real behaviour has to be found.

## 6 Elastic Forces on the surfaces

The determination  $m(x)$  turns out to be delicate. The first ingredient is the calculation of the forces on the contact surfaces.  $p(y)$  gives the force perpendicular to the surface. The total forces are given as the integrals

$$F_l = \frac{E^*}{2} \int_{-l}^l dx \int_{-y_l}^0 p(y) \frac{dy}{\sin \phi}, \quad F_r = \frac{E^*}{2} \int_{-l}^l dx \int_0^{y_r} p(y) \frac{dy}{\cos \phi}. \quad (17)$$

The differential  $dy$  has to be corrected for the tilt of the interface, as we have to integrate over the surface and not over the horizontal parameter  $y$ . The  $\sin \phi$  and  $\cos \phi$  in the denominator of the  $dy$  element take this into account. The integration over  $y$  can be evaluated, since the primitive of  $p(y)$  is explicitly known (see Eq. (65)).

$$\begin{cases} h_l(x) = \int_{-y_l}^0 p(y) dy = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \frac{R_-}{R_+} \right), \\ h_r(x) = \int_0^{y_r} p(y) dy = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \arcsin \frac{R_-}{R_+} \right). \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

These expressions can be simplified with Eq. (63)

$$\arcsin \frac{R_-}{R_+} = \arcsin(\cos \chi) = \pi/2 - \chi, \quad (19)$$

with the result for the forces

$$\begin{cases} h_l(x) = Am(x)(\chi/\pi) = Am(x) \sin^2(\phi), \\ h_r(x) = Am(x)(\pi - \chi)/\pi = Am(x) \cos^2(\phi). \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

For the total force on the surface we have to multiply by the integral over  $x$

$$S = \int_{-l}^l m(x) dx. \quad (21)$$

$S$  is the (mean) contact surface. Thus we find for the total forces

$$F_l = (E^* AS/2) \sin \phi, \quad \text{and} \quad F_r = (E^* AS/2) \cos \phi. \quad (22)$$

For the rectangular skate we may use the  $\phi$  dependence of  $A$  reading

$$A = \tan \phi + 1/\tan \phi = \frac{1}{\sin \phi \cos \phi} \quad (23)$$

With the partial forces we can construct the total force. The component perpendicular to the ice is given as

$$F_z = F_l \sin \phi + F_r \cos \phi = \frac{E^* SA}{2} \quad (24)$$

and the component tangential to the ice reads

$$F_y = F_l \cos \phi - F_r \sin \phi = 0 \quad (25)$$

The calculated  $F_z$  and  $F_y$  are the forces that the ice exerts on the skate. They have to be compensated by the total force of the skate on the ice, which thus is purely in the vertical direction. Therefore we may assume that  $F_z$  is given. It determines the value of  $S$ , which gives a condition on  $m(x)$ .

## 7 Determination of $m(x)$

Since the skate is finite, it may be considered, far away from the skate, as a distribution of point forces. As all the relations refer to the surface  $z = 0$  we omit the  $z$  as an argument. The asymptotic deformation of a point force is well known and decays inversely with the distance. For the distribution of the point forces we may take for  $f_z(x, y)$

$$f_z(x, y) = \frac{E^*}{2} p(y) \quad -y_l \leq y \leq y_r \quad (26)$$

So  $f_z$  is known up to the scale  $m(x)$  (implicitly in  $p(y)$ ). The surface deformation due this  $f_z(x, y)$  is given by [14]

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{1}{\pi E^*} \int_{-l}^l dx' \int_{-y_l(x')}^{y_r(x')} dy' \frac{f_z(x', y')}{[(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2]^{1/2}}. \quad (27)$$

The minus sign accounts for the fact that we have to take the pressure of the skate on the ice. It is opposite to the pressure in the ice, which we are calculating. This expression has to match the solution as derived from  $q(y)$  as

$$u_z(x, y) = -d(x) + \int_0^y dy' q(y'). \quad (28)$$

The matching region is  $m(x) \ll y \ll l$ , for which Eq. (27) still holds since  $m(x) \ll y$  and Eq. (27) still holds since  $y \ll l$ . The matching will imply an equation for  $m(x)$ . As a result the only remaining adaptable parameter is the intrusion depth  $d$  or equivalently the contact length  $l$ , which must be chosen such that  $F_z$  in Eq. (32) is matched by the external force.

### 7.1 Asymptotics far from the center

For  $y \gg m(x)$  one may drop the  $y'$  in the denominator of Eq. (27) and use the integral over  $p(y)$ , given in Eq. (66). This turns Eq. (27) into

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{A}{2\pi} \int_{-l}^l dx' \frac{m(x')}{[(x' - x)^2 + y^2]^{1/2}}. \quad (29)$$

The integration has a vanishing denominator for  $x' = x$  and  $y = 0$ , which causes the logarithmic increase for  $y \ll l$ . The function  $m(x')$  is regular at  $x' = x$ . So we may expand  $m(x')$  around  $x' = x$  and only the leading term influences the amplitude of the logarithmic increase. The higher orders mask the singular denominator. So we may write Eq. (29) as

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{Am(x)}{2\pi} \int_{-l}^l dx' \frac{1}{[(x' - x)^2 + y^2]^{1/2}}. \quad (30)$$

The integration over  $x'$  is now elementary, yielding

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{Am(x)}{2\pi} [\operatorname{asinh}((l - x)/y) - \operatorname{asinh}((-l - x)/y)]. \quad (31)$$

For  $y \ll l$ , where one starts to see the skate as very long, the deformation crosses over to

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{Am(x)}{2\pi} \log(4(l^2 - x^2)/y^2) \quad (32)$$



## 7.2 The asymptotic behaviour of $u_z$

For the comparison of the behaviour of  $u_z(x, y)$ , as given by Eq. (28), with that given by Eq. (32), we have to carry out the integral

$$\int^y q(y') dy' = \int^y \text{Re}H(y') dy' = yH(y) + \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} \text{acosh} \left( \frac{2y - y_r + y_l}{y_r + y_l} \right), \quad (33)$$

which has been worked out in Eq. (68) in Appendix A. The term  $yH(y)$  approaches a constant for large  $y$  (see Eq. (70))

$$yH(y)_{y \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi}. \quad (34)$$

The other contribution in Eq. (33) gives asymptotically a logarithmically increasing term and constant. Dropping the decaying terms we find

$$u_z(x, y)_{y \rightarrow \infty} \simeq -d(x) + \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} \left( 1 + \log \left( \frac{4y}{m(x)R_+} \right) \right). \quad (35)$$

## 7.3 The asymptotic condition

In Eqns. (32) and (35) we have two expressions for the asymptotic behaviour of the surface for a region  $m \ll y \ll l$  which both contain an increasing logarithm and a constant. The coefficients of the logarithm are in both cases equal to  $Am(x)/\pi$ . So the expression agree in shape. In order that the expressions coincide, we must require the constants to be equal

$$-\frac{Am(x)}{2\pi} \log(4(l^2 - x^2)/y^2) = -d(x) + \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} (1 + \log[4/(m(x)R_+)]), \quad (36)$$

which can also be written as

$$d(x) = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} \left[ 1 + \log \left( \frac{8\sqrt{l^2 - x^2}}{m(x)R_+} \right) \right]. \quad (37)$$

This is the desired second relation between  $d(x)$  and  $m(x)$ . In first approximation  $m(x)$  is proportional to  $d(x)$ . The expression between square brackets implies only a weak  $x$  dependence.

In Fig. (3) we have plotted the function  $m(x)/d(x)$  for a number of values of  $\tan \phi$ . As one sees the value is rather constant over the values of  $x$ . Only near the tip of the skate  $x \simeq l$  the value decreases noticeable. This effect is not very relevant since  $m(x)$  always occurs in combination of  $d(x)$ , which goes faster to 0 than  $m(x)$  for  $x \rightarrow l$ . Therefore one does not make a large error by replacing  $m(x)$  by its value  $m(0)$  at  $x = 0$ .

The value of  $m(x)$  decreases with the tilt angle  $\phi$ . At fixed force  $F_z$  the contact area should stay more or less constant. That implies that, if  $m(x)$  shrinks, the contact length  $l$  and therefore also the intrusion depth  $d$  have to grow. The depth  $d$  has been plotted in Fig. 4 as function of the tilt slope  $\tan(\phi)$ . The depth is maximal at large tilt angles. For the upright position it has a value for the same parameters of hardness and weight, which is quite far below the calculated values at non-zero tilt angles.




Figure 3: mean width  $m(x)/d(x)$  as function of  $x$ .

## 8 Finite hardness

In the preceding sections we have assumed that the deformation is purely elastic, while mentioning at the same time that at the sharp edges the pressure diverges. Elastic pressures are limited by the hardness of the deformed medium. So the elastic theory applies all the way if the hardness is infinite. The hardness of ice  $p_h$  is of the order of 10 MPa, with a large variation in the measured values [12, 5]. When the normal pressure exceeds the hardness, i.e when


$$E^* p(y)/2 > p_h, \quad \text{or} \quad p(y) > 2p_h/E^* \quad (38)$$

the elastic deformation will turn into a plastic deformation. Since  $2p_h/E^* \simeq 0.0213$ , one observes from Fig. 2 that only near the boundaries  $-y_l$  and  $y_r$  the pressure stays below the hardness.

In general, since the skate meets a lesser resistance than the calculated elastic force, the skate will intrude deeper into the ice due to the plastic deformation. A plastic deformation manifests itself when the skate is lifted from the ice. A permanent indentation is left behind. In fact from the size of this indentation and the applied external force on the skate, the hardness of ice can be measured (as in Brinell hardness measurements). The simple assumption is that the surface area of the indentation times the hardness equals the applied force. However, from the pressure distribution we see that not the whole contact area is plastically deformed by the skate.

In this section we make an estimate of the ratio between elastic and plastic deformation by replacing the elastic counter pressure by the hardness where it exceeds the hardness. Thus we have to determine the points  $-y_{cl}$  and  $y_{cr}$  where Eq. (38) turns into an equality. These points are the solution of the equation

$$p_h = E^* \frac{A}{2\pi} \log \left( \frac{X(y_c) + \sqrt{Y(y_c)}}{X(y_c) - \sqrt{Y(y_c)}} \right). \quad (39)$$



Thu Oct 31 12:45:20 2019

Figure 4: Depth  $d$  as function of the tilt slope  $\tan(\phi)$ .

This equation becomes more transparent by introducing the variable  $\alpha$

$$\alpha = \frac{2\pi p_h}{E^* A}, \quad (40)$$

which leads to the quadratic equation for  $y_c$

$$Y(y_c) = X^2(y_c) \tanh^2 \alpha. \quad (41)$$

The two roots will be denoted by  $y_{cr}$  and  $-y_{cl}$ . After some algebra one finds

$$y_{cl} = \frac{2m}{R_+ \cosh \alpha + R_-}, \quad y_{cr} = \frac{2m}{R_+ \cosh \alpha - R_-}. \quad (42)$$

Inside the region  $-y_{cl} < y < y_{cr}$  the pressure equals  $p_h$  and outside this region the elastic expression for the pressure holds. We can again compute the integrals  $h_l(x)$  and  $h_r(x)$  as in Eq. (18). The integrals are elementary with the result

$$h_l(x) = \int_{-y_{cl}}^0 dy p(y) = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} (\pi/2 - \arcsin \beta_l) \quad (43)$$

and

$$h_r(x) = \int_0^{y_{cr}} dy p(y) = \frac{Am(x)}{\pi} (\pi/2 - \arcsin \beta_r). \quad (44)$$

The values  $\beta_l$  and  $\beta_r$  are given by

$$\beta_l = \frac{R_+ + R_- \cosh \alpha}{R_+ \cosh \alpha + R_-}, \quad \beta_r = \frac{R_+ - R_- \cosh \alpha}{R_+ \cosh \alpha - R_-}. \quad (45)$$

For infinite hardness  $\alpha = \infty$ , the result coincides with Eq. (18) with  $\beta_l = -\beta_r = R_-/R_+ = \cos \chi$ . For zero hardness  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta_l = \beta_r = 1$ . In that case both  $h_l(x)$  and  $h_r(x)$  vanish since the ice gives no resistance against indentation.

The external force  $F_z$  in case of partial plastic deformation is less than the fully elastic counter force  $F_{\text{el}}$  with the ratio

$$\frac{F_z}{F_{\text{el}}} = f = (\pi - \arcsin \beta_l - \arcsin \beta_r)/\pi. \quad (46)$$

We have plotted this ratio in Fig. 5 as function of the tilt angle. Note that the value of  $f$  only depends on the tilt angle and of course on the ratio  $p_h/E$  of the hardness  $p_h$  and Young's modulus  $E$ . One observes that  $f$  is small for large tilt angles and substantial for small tilt angles. With the value of  $f$  one can map the problem for finite hardness on the




Figure 5: Elasticity  $f$  versus tilt slope  $\tan(\phi)$ .

purely elastic theory (for infinite hardness). If  $F_z$  is the force which pushes the skate in the ice of hardness  $p_h$ , then  $F_{\text{el}} = F_z/f$  is the force needed to give the same indentation in a purely elastic medium. So computing the indentation in the elastic limit suffices for the general case of a finite hardness.

## 9 Conclusion

The response of ice on a skate, pushed with force  $F_z = Mg$  into the ice, has been exactly calculated in the elastic approximation. The skate intrudes deeper in the ice with a growing tilt angle  $\phi$ . The upright skate has the smallest indentation. As the pressure distribution diverges at the sharp edges, the linear elastic theory only applies in the limit of an infinite hardness  $p_h$ . However the hardness of ice is relatively small, of the order of 10 MPa. The relevant dimensionless measure is the ratio of the hardness  $p_h$  and the effective Young's modulus  $E^*$ , which is small for ice:  $p_h/E^* \simeq 0.01$ . Consequently the deformation is to a large extent plastic and not elastic. We have introduced a fraction  $f$ , which is the ratio of external force needed for an indentation at a finite hardness and the external force for the same indentation in a pure elastic medium. With  $f$  we can map the plastic deformation under a load  $F_z$  on an purely elastic deformation under a load  $F_{\text{el}} = F_z/f$ . Fortunately  $f$  depends only on the tilt angle of the skate.

The larger the tilt angle  $\phi$ , the smaller the fraction  $f$  (see Fig. 5). The upright skate has the largest elastic fraction, but it is a separate case. The tilt angles that we treat, have only one edge intruding into the ice. Due to the finite width  $w = 1.1\text{mm}$ , the other edge will start to touch the ice for tilt angle  $\phi \sim < 0.01$ . We have not treated this intermediate region as we could not find the exact solution for the deformation. The upright skate permits again an exact solution.

The tilted skate and the upright skate not only differ in geometry but also in the way the free parameters appear in the solution. For the tilted skate the amplitude  $A$  is fixed by the slopes of the tilt and the freedom is in the width of the region that makes contact with the ice. The upright skate has a fixed width  $w$  of contact and a free amplitude  $B$  in the solution. In both cases the free parameter is determined by the match between the asymptotic behaviour of the deformation of the finite skate and that of the analytic solution for a quasi infinite long skate.

The tilted skate can be continuously moved to the upright position and the tilted solution should merge continuously into the upright solution. Coming back to the three regimes of the introduction, we summarise the singularities of each.

- In the case of a sufficiently large tilt, one has the central logarithmic singularity in the pressure distribution at the edge. The slope of the surface of the ice makes a jump from  $-1/\tan(\phi)$  to  $\tan(\phi)$ . At the points where the skate loses contact with the ice, the slope of the surface goes continuously from a prescribed value to a varying value. Also the pressure goes continuously to zero at these points.
- In the small angle regime the pressure distribution has two logarithmic singularities at the edges of the skate blade. At one side the jump in the slope of the ice surface is from  $-1/\tan(\phi)$  to  $\tan(\phi)$  and on the other side it is a jump from the slope  $\tan(\phi)$  underneath the skate to the finite slope of ice surface in contact with the air.
- The perfect upright skate has two symmetric square root singularities in the pressure, accompanied by two jumps of the slope from zero underneath the skate to infinite outside the skate.

The first and last regime are exactly analysed and the middle regime is left open.

**Acknowledgement** The author is indebted to Leen van Wijngaarden, Technical University Twente, for stimulating discussions and useful suggestions, in particular concerning the relevant literature.

## A The deformation of a long sharp wedge

In this section we discuss the function  $H(y)$ , which is the generator of the functions to be used for the deformations. It has singular points at  $y = 0$ ,  $y = -y_l$  and  $y = y_r$  on the real axis and it is analytic for  $\text{Im } y > 0$ .  $H(y)$  reads

$$H(y) = a_r + \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log \left( \frac{X(y) + \sqrt{Y(y)}}{X(y) - \sqrt{Y(y)}} \right), \quad 0 < y < y_r, \quad (47)$$

with the definitions

$$A = a_r + a_l, \quad X(y) = m + R_- y/2, \quad Y(y) = m^2 + R_- m y - y^2. \quad (48)$$

This solution agrees with the one found for the symmetric wedge, for which  $R_- = 0$  [11].

First we want to extend, by analytic continuation, the function  $H(y)$  to the other intervals. We note that  $X(0) = \sqrt{Y(0)} = m$ , thus  $H(y)$  has a (logarithmic) singularity at  $y = 0$

$$H(y) \simeq \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log \frac{1}{y^2} + \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (49)$$

So moving from  $y > 0$  to  $y < 0$ , the log picks up an imaginary part  $-2\pi i$ , resulting in an extra contribution  $-A$ . Therefore the function  $H(y)$  is represented, in the interval  $-y_l < y < 0$ , by

$$H(y) = -a_l + \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log \left( \frac{X(y) + \sqrt{Y(y)}}{X(y) - \sqrt{Y(y)}} \right), \quad -y_l < y < 0. \quad (50)$$

Next we extend the function  $H(y)$  to the interval  $y > y_r$ . The function  $Y(y)$  vanishes as a square root at  $y = y_r$ . Continuing through the upper half  $y$  plane  $\sqrt{y_r - y}$  to  $y > y_r$  one picks up a factor  $-i$  and  $H(y)$  is given, for  $y > y_r$  by

$$H(y) = a_r + \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log \left( \frac{X(y) - i\sqrt{-Y(y)}}{X(y) + i\sqrt{-Y(y)}} \right), \quad y > y_r. \quad (51)$$

We rewrite this using the relation

$$\log \left( \frac{X - i\sqrt{-Y}}{X + i\sqrt{-Y}} \right) = -2i \arctan(\sqrt{-Y}/X), \quad (52)$$

as

$$H(y) = a_r - \frac{A}{\pi} \arctan(\sqrt{-Y(y)}/X(y)), \quad y > y_r. \quad (53)$$

The function  $H(y)$  is in the last interval  $y < -y_l$  given by

$$H(y) = -a_l + \frac{A}{\pi} \arctan(\sqrt{-Y(y)}/X(y)) \quad y < -y_l. \quad (54)$$

using again Eq. (52) and the fact that the square root picks up a factor  $i$  in passing from  $y > -y_l$  to  $y < -y_l$ .

## A.1 Determination of $r$

The ratio  $r$  is determined by the asymptotic behaviour of  $H(y)$  for large  $y$ . For the argument of the arctan in Eq. (53) we find

$$\frac{\sqrt{-Y(y \rightarrow \infty)}}{X(y \rightarrow \infty)} = \frac{2}{R_-} \quad (55)$$

Thus  $H(y)$  approaches asymptotically the value

$$H(y \rightarrow \infty) = a_r - \frac{A}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{2}{R_-} \right). \quad (56)$$

In order that this value vanishes we have to require that

$$\tan \left( \frac{\pi a_r}{A} \right) = \frac{2}{R_-}. \quad (57)$$

Introducing the angle  $\chi$

$$\chi = \frac{\pi a_r}{A} = \pi \sin^2 \phi, \quad (58)$$

we may write Eq. (57) also as

$$\frac{2}{R_-} = \tan \chi, \quad (59)$$

which is the condition for  $r$ , taking the form

$$r = \frac{1 + \cos(\chi)}{\sin(\chi)} = \frac{1}{\tan(\chi/2)}. \quad (60)$$

We notice that, on the other side  $y \rightarrow -\infty$ , the argument of the arctan passes through infinity at the value  $y = -2m/R_-$  where  $X(y) = 0$ . This is not a singular point of the original expression involving the log of  $X(y) \pm \sqrt{Y(y)}$ . The value of the arctan approaches  $\pi/2$  at  $X(y) \simeq 0$  and picks up an additional contribution  $\pi$  when  $X(y) < 0$ . So

$$\arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{-Y(y \rightarrow -\infty)}}{X(y \rightarrow -\infty)} \right) = \pi - \arctan \left( \frac{2}{R_-} \right). \quad (61)$$

Using Eq. (58) to work out the arctan we get to fulfil

$$0 = -a_1 + \frac{A}{\pi} \left( \pi - \frac{\pi a_r}{A} \right), \quad (62)$$

which is an identity. So the value for  $r$  as following from Eq. (58) guarantees also the proper asymptotic behaviour at  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ . A convenient relation is

$$\frac{R_-}{R_+} = \cos \chi. \quad (63)$$

## A.2 Properties of $H(y)$

In view of the integrations for the pressure and the shape of the surface it is useful to note that the derivative of the function  $H(y)$  is relatively simple. We find inside the basin

$$\frac{dH(y)}{dy} = \frac{Ami}{\pi y \sqrt{Y(y)}} \quad -y_l < y < y_r. \quad (64)$$

We can use this relation for the integral over  $p(y)$ . We find by partial integration the primitive of  $p(y)$

$$\int p(y) dy = yp(y) + \frac{Am}{\pi} \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{Y(y)}} = yp(y) + \frac{Am}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{2y - y_r + y_l}{y_r + y_l} \right). \quad (65)$$

Applying this result to the whole interval  $-y_l < y < y_r$  gives

$$\int_{-y_l}^{y_r} dy p(y) = \frac{Am}{\pi} (\arcsin 1 - \arcsin(-1)) = Am. \quad (66)$$

Likewise we find outside the basin

$$\frac{dH(y)}{dy} = -\frac{Am}{\pi y \sqrt{-Y(y)}}, \quad y > y_r. \quad (67)$$

for the asymptotic behaviour of  $H(y)$ . The integral of the real part of  $H(y)$  is

$$\int H(y)dy = yH(y) + \frac{A}{\pi} \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{Y(-y)}} = yH(y) + \frac{Am}{\pi} \text{acosh} \left( \frac{2y - y_r + y_l}{y_r + y_l} \right). \quad (68)$$

The primitive of  $H(y)$  determines the asymptotic behaviour of  $q(y)$  for large  $y$ .

In addition we need the asymptotic behaviour of  $H(y)$  itself. For large  $y$  we find

$$\frac{\sqrt{-Y(y)}}{X(y)} = \frac{2}{R_-} - \frac{R_+^2 m}{R_-^2 y} + \dots \quad (69)$$

Expanding the arctan around the value  $2/R_-$  gives

$$\arctan \frac{\sqrt{-Y(y)}}{X(y)} = \arctan \frac{2}{R_-} - \frac{m}{y} + \dots \quad (70)$$

Thus the asymptotic behaviour of  $H(y)$  is given by

$$H(y) = a_r - \frac{A}{\pi} \left( \arctan \frac{2}{R_-} - \frac{m}{y} + \dots \right), \quad (71)$$

or in view of Eq. (56) given by

$$H(y \rightarrow \infty) \simeq \frac{Am}{\pi y}. \quad (72)$$

## B The analytic solution for the upright skate

For the upright skate the function  $H(y)$  has the form [9]

$$H(y) = \frac{B}{i\sqrt{w^2/4 - y^2}} \quad -w/2 < y < w/2, \quad (73)$$

with  $B$  a positive real constant. Outside the basin one finds  $H(y)$  by analytic continuation through the upper half complex  $s$  plane. From Eq. (73) we find for the pressure underneath the skate

$$p(y) = \frac{B}{\sqrt{w^2/4 - y^2}} \quad -w/2 < y < w/2. \quad (74)$$

Outside the basin the function  $H(y)$  becomes real and  $p(y) = 0$ . At the edges the pressure diverges as a square root. So the pressure will exceed the hardness at the edges and the deformation causing the deformation to become plastic. Inside the basin  $q(y)$  vanishes since the skate is flat and upright. Outside the basin the function  $q(y)$  becomes

$$q(y) = \frac{B}{\sqrt{y^2 - w^2/4}}, \quad y < -w/2 \quad \text{and} \quad y > w/2. \quad (75)$$

The amplitude  $B(x)$  is function of  $x$  and follows from a match between the asymptotic decay of the deformation of a finite skate and the exact solution in the region  $w \ll y \ll l$ . The expression for the asymptotic decay of a finite skate is as in Eq. (29)

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-l}^l dx' \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} dy' \frac{p(y')}{[(x' - x)^2 + (y - y')^2]^{1/2}}. \quad (76)$$



For  $y \gg w$  we may drop the  $y'$  dependence in the denominator and carry out the integration over  $y'$

$$\int_{-w/2}^{w/2} dy' p(y') = \pi B(x). \quad (77)$$

Then the integral over  $x'$  is elementary with the result

$$u_z(x, y) = -\frac{B(x)}{2} [\operatorname{asinh}((l-x)/y) - \operatorname{asinh}((-l-x)/y)], \quad (78)$$

which behaves asymptotically as

$$u_x(x, y) \simeq -\frac{B(x)}{2} \log \left( \frac{4(l^2 - x^2)}{y^2} \right). \quad (79)$$

This behaviour has to be compared with the exact solution

$$u_z(x, y) = -d(x) + \int_{w/2}^y dy q(y) = -d(x) + \frac{B(x)}{2} \log \left( \frac{y + \sqrt{y^2 - w^2/4}}{y - \sqrt{y^2 - w^2/4}} \right), \quad (80)$$

yielding asymptotically for the surface deformation

$$u_z(x, y) \simeq -d(x) - B(x) \log(4y/w). \quad (81)$$

Comparing Eqns. (79) and (81) we see the the amplitudes of the  $\log(y)$  terms are equal. The two forms coincide if also the constant terms agree which is the case when

$$B(x) = \frac{d(x)}{\log(8(\sqrt{l^2 - x^2}/w))}. \quad (82)$$

This relation is the equivalent of Eq. (37) for the tilted skate.

## C The elastic equations

The basic equations of linear elastic deformation are given as [14]

$$(1 - 2\nu)\Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (83)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is the deformation field and  $\nu$  is Poisson's ratio. The deformation generates a reaction force distribution  $\mathbf{f}$  at the surface, which is given by

$$g\mathbf{f} = [(1 - 2\nu)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u})) + 2\nu \mathbf{n}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})], \quad (84)$$

with  $g$  equal to

$$g = 2(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)/E \quad (85)$$

and  $E$  Young's modulus of ice  $E = 0.88 \cdot 10^9$  Pa.  $\mathbf{n}$  is the normal to the surface.

Due to the large curvature radius  $R$  the variations in the  $x$  are slow and we ignore them in the elastic equations. This reduces the elastic equations to the plane theory of deformation, which read

$$\begin{cases} 2(1 - \nu)u_{y,yy} + (1 - 2\nu)u_{y,zz} + u_{z,zy} &= 0, \\ 2(1 - \nu)u_{z,zz} + (1 - 2\nu)u_{z,yy} + u_{y,yz} &= 0, \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

where subscripts after the comma refer to differentiations with respect to  $y$  and  $z$ . Likewise we find the force distribution as

$$\begin{cases} gf_y &= [2(1-\nu)u_{y,y} + 2\nu u_{z,z}]n_y + (1-2\nu)(u_{y,z} + u_{z,y})n_z \\ gf_z &= (1-2\nu)(u_{y,z} + u_{z,y})n_y + [2(1-\nu)u_{z,z} + 2\nu u_{y,y}]n_z \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

More physical are the normal and tangential force,  $f_n$  and  $f_t$  defined as

$$f_n = n_y f_y + n_z f_z, \quad f_t = n_y f_z - n_z f_y. \quad (88)$$

Using the Eqns. (87) we find for them the expressions

$$\begin{cases} gf_t &= (1-2\nu)[(2n_y^2 - 1)(u_{y,z} + u_{z,y}) + 2n_y n_z (u_{z,z} - u_{y,y})] \\ gf_n &= 2(1-\nu)u_{z,z} + 2\nu u_{y,y} + 2(1-2\nu)[n_y^2(u_{y,y} - u_{z,z}) + 2n_y n_z (u_{y,z} + u_{z,y})] \end{cases} \quad (89)$$

Since skates are polished the tangential force vanishes, not only outside the basin, but also inside, i.e. everywhere. Using  $f_t = 0$  the equation for  $f_n$  becomes

$$gf_n = 2(1-\nu)u_{z,z} + 2\nu u_{y,y} + 2(1-2\nu)\frac{n_y^2}{1-2n_y^2}(u_{y,z} + u_{z,y}). \quad (90)$$

It can be shown (see Appendix D) that these equations can be solved by setting

$$u_{y,y} = u_{z,z}, \quad y_{y,z} + y_{z,y} = 0. \quad (91)$$

The second equality follows from the first and the requirement that  $f_t = 0$ . The normal force then obtains the form

$$gf_n = 2u_{z,z} \quad (92)$$

The pressure  $p(y)$  is proportional to the normal force

$$p(y) = \frac{2}{E^*} f_n(y) = 2\frac{1-\nu}{1-2\nu} u_{z,z} \quad (93)$$

The plain theory of deformation implies that

$$q(y) = u_{z,y} \quad (94)$$

and  $p(y)$  are real and imaginary parts of a complex function  $H(y)$ , which is analytic in the upper half  $y$  plane.

## D Expansion in biharmonic functions

We restrict ourselves to the case where there is mirror symmetry in the  $y$  direction, as is the case in the upright skate. Then  $u_y(y, z)$  is an odd function of  $y$  and  $u_z(y, z)$  and even function of  $y$ . The general case runs similar with an expansion of the even and odd parts. The following expansion in biharmonic functions then suffices.

$$\begin{cases} u_y(y, z) &= \int_0^\infty dk [\alpha(k) + \alpha'(k)z] \exp(-kz) \sin(ky), \\ u_z(y, z) &= \int_0^\infty dk [\gamma(k) + \gamma'(k)z] \exp(-kz) \cos(ky). \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

Note that the first bulk equation involves only odd terms such that only the terms with  $\sin(ky)$  appear. Inserting the expansion Eq. (95) into the first bulk equation leads to the equation for the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1-\nu)[\alpha(k) + \alpha'(k)z]k^2 = \\ (1-2\nu)[(\alpha(k) + \alpha'(k)z)k^2 - 2\alpha'(k)k] + (\gamma(k) + \gamma'(k)z)k^2 - \gamma'(k)k. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

The terms with and without  $z$  have to match and that implies the relations

$$\gamma'(k) = \alpha'(k), \quad (3-4\nu)\alpha'(k) = k(\gamma(k) - \alpha(k)). \quad (97)$$

The second bulk involves only even functions in  $y$  and therefore only terms with  $\cos(ky)$  appear. We then have to fulfil again the relation between the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1-\nu)[k^2(\gamma(k) + \gamma'(k)z) - k\gamma'(k)] = \\ (1-2\nu)[\gamma(k) + k^2\gamma'(k)z] + k^2(\alpha(k) + \alpha'(k)z) - k\alpha'(k). \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

Surprisingly enough equating the terms with and without  $z$  leads to exactly the same relations Eq. (98). This means that we can fulfil the bulk equations with the expansion (95) and still have  $\alpha(k)$  and  $\gamma(k)$  as free coefficients.

So we eliminate  $\alpha'(k)$  and  $\gamma'(k)$  with Eq. (97) and get for the relevant functions at the surface  $z = 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u_{y,y}(y, 0) &= \int_0^\infty dk k \alpha(k) \cos(ky), \\ u_{y,z}(y, 0) &= \frac{1}{3-4\nu} \int_0^\infty dk k [-4(1-\nu)\alpha(k) + \gamma(k)] \sin(ky), \\ u_{z,y}(y, 0) &= - \int_0^\infty dk k \gamma(k) \sin(ky), \\ u_{z,z}(y, 0) &= \frac{-1}{3-4\nu} \int_0^\infty dk k [\alpha(k) + 2(1-2\nu)\gamma(k)] \cos(ky). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (99)$$

We now note that if we take

$$\alpha(k) = -\frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)}\gamma(k), \quad (100)$$

The following combinations vanish

$$u_{y,z}(y, 0) + u_{z,y}(y, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{z,z}(y, 0) - u_{y,y}(y, 0) = 0. \quad (101)$$

Inserting Eq. (100) into the expressions (99) for the functions  $u_z$  and  $u_y$ , yield

$$u_{z,z}(y, 0) = u_{y,y}(y, 0) = -\frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)} \int_0^\infty dk k \gamma(k) \cos(ky). \quad (102)$$

This means that in Eq. (91) one has indeed  $f_t = 0$  and that the expression for  $f_n$  reduces to Eq. (92). In Eq. (91) the normal force is related to the function  $p(y)$  for which we now find the expansion

$$p(y) = - \int_0^\infty dk k \gamma(k) \cos(ky). \quad (103)$$

We see from the definition in Eq. (88) that the function  $q(y)$  is given by

$$q(y) = - \int_0^\infty dk k \gamma(k) \sin(ky). \quad (104)$$

Thus  $q(y)$  and  $p(y)$  are real and imaginary parts of the function

$$H(y) = i \int_0^\infty dk k \gamma(k) \exp(iky). \quad (105)$$

which is analytic in the upper half complex  $y$  plane.

In order to calculate the complete deformation we have to determine the expansion coefficients  $\gamma(k)$  from the inverse fourier relation

$$k\gamma(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} p(y) \cos(ky), \quad (106)$$

with  $p(y)$  given by Eq. (74). With the value of the  $\gamma(k)$  one can calculate all the other expansion coefficient and thus determine the complete deformation field.

## References

- [1] E. P. Lozowski and K. Szilder, Int. Journ. of Offshore and Polar Engineering (2013) Vol. **23**, 04.
- [2] M. Le Berre and Y. Pomeau, Int. Journ. of Non-linear Mech. **75** (2015) 77-86.
- [3] J. M. J. van Leeuwen, SciPost Phys. **3** (2017) 042.
- [4] J. M. J. van Leeuwen, arXiv, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13802>
- [5] B. Weber, Y. Nagata, S. Ketsetzi, F. Tang, W. J. Smit, H. J. Bakker, E. H. G. Backus, M. Bonn and D. Bonn. J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2018, 9 **11**, 2838-2842.
- [6] W. J. Smit and H. J. Bakker, Angew. Chem. **129** (2017) 15746  
W. J. Smit, F. Tang, M. A. Sánchez, E. H. G. Backus, L. Xu, T. Hasegawa, M. Bonn, H. J. Bakker, Y. Nagata. Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 133003.
- [7] L. Canale, J. Comtet, A. Niguès, C. Cohen, C. Clanet, A. Siria and L. Boquet, Phys. Rev. X **9**, 041025 (2019).
- [8] M. Faraday, *Experimental Researches in Chemistry and Physics*, Taylor and Francis, London (1859) p. 372.
- [9] N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Some Basic Problems of the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity* ISBN 9001 60701 2, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1962.
- [10] K. L. Johnson, *Contact Mechanics* ISBN 0 521 34796, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [11] see reference [10] on page 113, Eq. (5.9).
- [12] L. Pourier, R. I. Thompson, E. P. Lozowski, S. Maw and D. J. Stefanyshyn, *21st Int, Offshore and Polar Eng. Configurations*. (2011) Maui, ISOPE, **3** 1071.
- [13] A. Penny, E. P. Lozowski, T. Forest, C. Fong, C. Maw, P. Montgomery and N. Sinha in *Physics and Chemistry of Ice* (2007) 495, W. F. Kuhn, editor, Roy. Soc. Chem.
- [14] Landau and Lifshitz Vol 7, *Theory of Elasticity* Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1960.