

ON TORSION OF SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

WOJCIECH WAWRÓW

ABSTRACT. We give a structure theorem for the m -torsion of the Jacobian of a general superelliptic curve $y^m = F(x)$. We study existence of torsion on curves of the form $y^q = x^p - x + a$ over finite fields of characteristic p . We apply those results to bound from below the Mordell-Weil ranks of Jacobians of certain superelliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Our objects of study are *superelliptic curves*, defined by equations of the form

$$C : y^m = F(x)$$

for separable polynomials F and $m \geq 2$ not divisible by the characteristic of the base field. This family generalizes hyperelliptic curves, which are curves of the above form for $m = 2$, $\deg F > 4$, as well as Picard curves, which are the case $m = 3$, $\deg F = 4$.

We are specifically interested in the points of the form $(\alpha, 0)$, where α is a root of F . The line $x = \alpha$ intersects C at this point with multiplicity m . This lets us find certain divisor classes on the Jacobian $J(C)$, formed by such points and the points at infinity, which are m -torsion.

In particular, suppose that F factors as $(x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_r)$ in K . Consider the group Δ consisting of classes of divisors of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i R_i - \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \right) \infty,$$

where $d = \gcd(m, r)$, R_i is the point $(\alpha_i, 0)$ and ∞ is the formal sum of points at infinity of C . It is easy to see those classes are m -torsion in $J(C)$.

It appears to be a folklore result that for $m = 2$, Δ is the entire 2-torsion subgroup of $J(C)$. In particular, it has order $2^{r-1} = 2^{2g}$, where g is the genus of C .

For $m > 2$, those points cannot form all of m -torsion for cardinality reasons. For instance, when $\gcd(m, r) = 1$, Δ has order at most m^{r-1} , while theory of abelian varieties tells us that over the algebraic closure the m -torsion consists of m^{2g} points, and $2g > r - 1$ as soon as $m > 2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14H40, Secondary 14G10, 14H45.

Key words and phrases. Jacobian variety, superelliptic curves, Mordell-Weil group.

I thank Prof. Wojciech Gajda for suggesting the topic, Bartosz Naskręcki for help with computational aspects of my research, and Jędrzej Garnek for providing many useful references. I also thank all three of them for many invaluable discussions. Further I would like to thank Sebastian Petersen for his comments on an older version of this paper, as well as Royce Peng for proof-reading the final version.

Describing the entire m -torsion of a superelliptic Jacobian is an interesting problem. We provide a result in this direction, showing that Δ always has the maximal possible size, subject to some obvious relations. Specifically, we have

Theorem 1. Δ is a subgroup of $J(C)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{r-2} \times (\mathbb{Z}/\frac{m}{d}\mathbb{Z})$.

This theorem can be applied to find families of curves whose Jacobians have high Mordell-Weil ranks over number fields. As a sample application, we show the following.

Theorem 2. Suppose p is an odd prime, q is a prime factor of $p - 1$ and k is an integer divisible by some prime larger than p but not by p . Then the Jacobian of the curve

$$y^q = x(x - 1) \dots (x - (p - 1)) + k^q$$

has the Mordell-Weil rank at least $p - 1$ over \mathbb{Q} .

The first general result of this kind appears to be due to Coleman [1], where the effective Chabauty method is applied to find families of hyperelliptic curves with Jacobians of high ranks. In [7] Coleman's examples are improved, and using a different approach the Jacobians are shown to have even higher ranks under additional assumptions. The present work further extends this approach to families of superelliptic curves.

The results mentioned thus far require the genera of the curves, and hence the dimensions of their Jacobians, to grow unboundedly for the ranks to get arbitrarily large. It is a well-known open problem whether the ranks can be arbitrarily large for abelian varieties of a fixed dimension over a fixed number field. For the specific case of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , the example with the highest known rank was found by Elkies [3] and has rank at least 28 (exactly 28 assuming some standard conjectures, see [8]). For a recent heuristic argument for boundedness and a brief history of the problem, see [9].

All this is in stark contrast to the situation over function fields, where curves of arbitrarily high rank have been known for a long time, see [13] for the first construction and [14] for the first construction with nonisotrivial curves. Ulmer has further treated related problems for Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves over function fields. For instance in [15] he provides examples of families of hyperelliptic Jacobians with arbitrarily high rank, and further verifies the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for them. See [16] and [17] for general surveys on elliptic curves and general Jacobians over function fields respectively, including constructions of families with high ranks.

1.1. Structure of the paper. Below we recall all the notation, definitions and basic facts used in the following sections. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1, first in the case $d = 1$, then in the general case. In Section 3 we look at the curves of the form $y^q = x^p - x + a$ over a field of characteristic p . We show under suitable conditions they have no q -torsion over \mathbb{F}_p using methods similar to ones used in [6], involving Gauss sums and Hasse-Weil zeta functions. Lastly, in Section 4, we use those results and methods based on those in [7] to finish the proof of Theorem 2.

1.2. Notation and preliminaries. Let K be an arbitrary perfect field. We define a *superelliptic curve* over K to be a smooth projective model of an affine curve given

by an equation of the form

$$C : y^m = F(x),$$

where $m \geq 2$ is an integer not divisible by the characteristic of K and $F \in K[x]$ is separable, i.e. with no repeated factors over \overline{K} . We set $r = \deg F$ and $d = \gcd(m, r)$.

We observe that the affine curve above is smooth, therefore it can be identified with an open subset of its smooth projective model. We refer to the points not included in this open subset as the *points at infinity*. Unless necessary, we shall not distinguish between the projective and the affine model of the curve.

Considering the function field of C , from [12, Proposition 3.7.3] we can show its genus is equal to

$$g = \frac{1}{2}((m-1)(r-1) - (d-1))$$

and it has exactly d points at infinity over \overline{K} . We denote their formal sum by ∞ , which is a divisor of degree d defined over K .

We refer to [4] for basic facts about Jacobians of curves. We shall identify degree zero divisors with their classes in the Jacobian. We denote the Jacobian of a curve C by $J(C)$, and with $J(C)(K)$ we denote the group of its K -rational points.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We will first treat the case $d = 1$, which is technically slightly simpler, before tackling the general case in the following subsection.

2.1. Case $d = 1$. The assumption $d = 1$ implies that ∞ is a single point. That lets us consider a standard Albanese map $C \rightarrow J(C)$ given by $P \mapsto P - \infty$. We observe that images D_i of points R_i under this map generate Δ . It's easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(x - \alpha_i) &= mR_i - m\infty, \\ \operatorname{div}(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r R_i - r\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the following equalities in the Jacobian:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & mD_i = 0, \\ (2) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^r D_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 is then implied in this case by

Proposition 1. *The points D_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$ generate a subgroup of the Jacobian isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}$.*

Proof. We may assume K is algebraically closed. By equation (1) above, every point $D_i \in J(C)$ is m -torsion, so there is a well-defined map from $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}$ to $J(C)$ given by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \mapsto - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i D_i.$$

By (2), D_r is in the subgroup generated by the remaining points, so it's enough for us to show that this map has trivial kernel. To this end, take any divisor

$$D = -\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i D_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i (R_i - \infty)$$

with $0 \leq a_i < m$ not all zero. We wish to show it is not principal.

Consider the divisor

$$E = -\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (m-1)R_i.$$

Observe that $\deg E = (r-1)(m-1) - 1 = 2g - 1$, therefore Riemann-Roch theorem can be applied to deduce that $\ell(E) = \deg(E) - g + 1 = g$, where, as usual, $\ell(E)$ is the dimension of the K -vector space $L(E)$ of functions $f \in K(C)$ satisfying $E + \operatorname{div}(f) \geq 0$. With this in mind, we shall find an explicit basis of $L(E)$.

For any $0 < i < r, 0 < j < m$ let $f_{ij} \in K(C)$ be given by

$$f_{ij} = \frac{y^j}{\prod_{k \leq i} (x - \alpha_k)}.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{div}(f_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^i (j-m)R_k + \sum_{k=i+1}^r jR_k + (im-jr)\infty.$$

It's clear $f_{ij} \in L(E)$ iff $im - jr > 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(i, j) : 0 < i < r, 0 < j < m, im - jr > 0\}, \\ B &= \{(i, j) : 0 < i < r, 0 < j < m, im - jr < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d = 1$, m and r are relatively prime, so that for any $0 < i < r, 0 < j < m$ we have $im - jr \neq 0$. It follows that $A \cup B$ has $(m-1)(r-1)$ elements. Further, since $(r-i)m - (m-j)r = -(im-jr)$, the map $(i, j) \mapsto (r-i, m-j)$ gives a bijection from A to B , showing A has exactly $\frac{1}{2}(m-1)(r-1) = g$ elements.

It follows $\{f_{ij} : (i, j) \in A\}$ is a set of $g = \ell(E)$ elements of $L(E)$, so to show it is a basis it's enough to show their linear independence. Assume there exist $b_{ij} \in K$, not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in A} b_{ij} f_{ij} = 0.$$

Take the largest index k such that $b_{kj} \neq 0$ for some j . Observe f_{ij} for $i < k$ are all regular at R_k , while f_{kj} has a pole of order $m-j$ at this point. Thus, letting l be the least index such that $b_{kl} \neq 0$, the left-hand side above has a pole of order $m-l$ at R_k , so clearly is not zero. This contradiction establishes linear independence, and hence that the set above is a basis.

Let's get back to our divisor D . Suppose it is principal, that is, there is a nonzero $f \in K(C)$ such that $\operatorname{div}(f) = D$. We have

$$E + \operatorname{div}(f) = E + D = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (m-1-a_i)R_i + \left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i\right)\infty \geq 0$$

since the a_i are all at most $m - 1$ and their sum is positive. This means $f \in L(E)$, so that it can be written in terms of the basis we have found:

$$f = \sum_{(i,j) \in A} c_{ij} f_{ij}$$

with $c_{ij} \in K$. But each f_{ij} has a zero at R_r , hence so does f , which is clearly not the case since the coefficient of R_r in $D = \text{div}(f)$ is zero. We conclude D is not a principal divisor, as we wanted. \square

2.2. General case.

Proof of Theorem 1. It's not hard to see that Δ is generated by the following points:

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= R_i - R_{r-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r-2, \\ D_{r-1} &= dR_{r-1} - \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the former points has order m and the last one has order $\frac{m}{d}$, so we get a surjection from $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{r-2} \times (\mathbb{Z}/\frac{m}{d}\mathbb{Z})$ to Δ . We also see that if the kernel of this map is nontrivial, then, by adding suitable multiples of divisors $\text{div}(x - \alpha_i)$, there is a principal divisor of the form

$$D = -\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i R_i + \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \right) \infty$$

with $0 \leq a_i < m$ not all zero. We shall prove this is impossible.

The proof of this fact is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Proposition 1, so we will focus on where the argument differs. We again consider the divisor

$$E = -\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (m-1)R_i$$

which has degree $(r-1)(m-1) - d = 2g - 1$, so by Riemann-Roch we have $\ell(E) = g$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} &= \frac{y^j}{\prod_{k \leq i} (x - \alpha_k)}, \\ A &= \{(i, j) : 0 < i < r, 0 < j < m, im - jr > 0\}, \\ B &= \{(i, j) : 0 < i < r, 0 < j < m, im - jr < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

As before, $f_{ij} \in L(E)$ iff $(i, j) \in A$ and $(i, j) \mapsto (r-i, m-j)$ is a bijection between A and B . However, this time not every pair (i, j) with $0 < i < r, 0 < j < m$ lies in one of those sets. Indeed, $im - jr = 0$ has precisely $d - 1$ solutions in this range, so A has $\frac{1}{2}((m-1)(r-1) - (d-1)) = g$ elements.

The remainder of the proof can be repeated verbatim from before – we conclude $\{f_{ij} : (i, j) \in A\}$ is a basis of $L(E)$ and so every element of $L(E)$ has a zero at R_r , so D cannot be principal as $E + D \geq 0$ and D has coefficient of R_r equal to zero. \square

3. CURVES OF THE FORM $y^q = x^p - x + a$

We move on to study superelliptic curves C with equations of the form

$$C : y^q = x^p - x + a$$

over finite fields of characteristic p , where p, q are distinct primes and $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Observe that the polynomial on the right-hand side is always separable, since its derivative -1 doesn't vanish. We denote its Jacobian by J .

Assume first $q \mid p - 1$. We shall compute the zeta function of this curve using Gauss sums of additive and multiplicative characters.

For any additive character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ we define a character $\psi_n : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\psi_n(\alpha) = \psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p}(\alpha)),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p}$ denotes the field-theoretic trace map from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_p . Similarly, for a multiplicative character $\chi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define $\chi_n : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\chi_n(\alpha) = \chi(N_{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p}(\alpha)),$$

where $N_{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the field-theoretic norm.

Remark 1. We adopt the convention for multiplicative characters that $\chi(0) = 0$ for nontrivial characters χ , but $\chi(0) = 1$ for χ the trivial character.

Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, C has exactly one point at infinity, so we just need to count the points on its affine part.

Lemma 1. *C has exactly*

$$\sum_{w-z=a} \sum_{\psi} \sum_{\chi} \psi_n(z) \chi_n(w)$$

affine points over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , where the first sum ranges over all pairs $w, z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ satisfying $w - z = a$, the second over all additive characters of \mathbb{F}_p and the third one over multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_p of order dividing q .

Proof. For each $z, w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ we count the number of points satisfying $x^p - x = z$ and $y^q = w$. For such a solution to exist we need to have $w - z = a$, so we only need to consider pairs z, w satisfying this. For each such pair it's sufficient to count the number of solutions x, y to $x^p - x = z, y^q = w$.

It is standard that for any z, w the number of solutions to $y^q = w$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is equal to

$$\sum_{\chi} \chi_n(w),$$

while the number of solutions to $x^p - x = z$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\psi} \psi_n(z),$$

where the ranges of the sums are as in the statement of the lemma. Combining all of those observations we get the formula for the number of points in $C(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$. \square

We consider modified Gauss sums defined by

$$G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n) = \sum_{w-z=a} \psi_n(z) \chi_n(w)$$

with the sum over $z, w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ satisfying $z - w = a$. The previous lemma gives

$$|C(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})| = 1 + \sum_{\psi} \sum_{\chi} G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n).$$

The sums G_a have the following properties:

Lemma 2. *Let ψ be an additive character of \mathbb{F}_p and χ a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_p .*

- *If ψ, χ are both trivial, then $G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n) = p^n$.*
- *If exactly one of ψ, χ is trivial, then $G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n) = 0$.*
- *If both ψ, χ are nontrivial, then $-G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n) = (-G_a(\psi, \chi))^n$.*

Proof. The first two claims are immediate. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} G_a(\psi_n, \chi_n) &= \sum_{w-z=a} \psi_n(z) \chi_n(w) = \sum_w \psi_n(w-a) \chi_n(w) \\ &= \psi_n(-a) \sum_w \psi_n(w) \chi_n(w) = \psi(-a)^n G(\psi_n, \chi_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(\psi_n, \chi_n)$ is the usual Gauss sum. The last statement now follows from the usual Hasse-Davenport relations for Gauss sums, see [5, §11.4]. \square

We therefore have

$$|C(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})| = 1 + p^n - \sum_{\psi \neq 1} \sum_{\chi \neq 1} (-G_a(\psi, \chi))^n,$$

where with 1 we denote the trivial character (both additive and multiplicative). This gives us an explicit formula for the zeta function of C :

$$Z(C, T) = \frac{\prod_{\psi \neq 1} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 + G_a(\psi, \chi)T)}{(1-T)(1-pT)}.$$

By [10, Section 5.4], evaluating the numerator at $T = 1$ gives us the number of points on the Jacobian over \mathbb{F}_p , i.e.

$$|J(\mathbb{F}_p)| = \prod_{\psi \neq 1} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 + G_a(\psi, \chi)),$$

With this formula we can now establish

Theorem 3. *If $q \mid p-1$, the Jacobian of C has no q -torsion over \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof. Let ζ_{pq} be a primitive pq -th root of unity. Note that $G_a(\psi, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ for all a, ψ, χ , and that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ is a Dedekind domain. Take any prime ideal factor \mathfrak{q} of q in this ring.

We have a classical equality of ideals $(q) = (1 - \zeta_q)^{q-1}$ in any ring containing ζ_q , so that necessarily $1 - \zeta_q \in \mathfrak{q}$. It follows we have $\chi_n(w) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$, hence for $\psi, \chi \neq 1$ we get

$$G_a(\psi, \chi) \equiv \sum_{z \neq -a} \psi(z) = -\psi(-a) + \sum_z \psi(z) = -\psi(-a) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}},$$

thus

$$|J(\mathbb{F}_p)| \equiv \prod_{\psi \neq 1} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - \psi(-a)) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Observe that since $-a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, $\psi(-a)$ is a primitive p -th root of unity for every $\psi \neq 1$, so $1 - \psi(-a)$ is a factor of p in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$. It follows that $1 - \psi(-a) \notin \mathfrak{q}$, as that would imply $p \in \mathfrak{q}$, which cannot hold as p, q are distinct rational primes. It follows $1 - \psi(-a)$ is not in \mathfrak{q} , hence neither is $|J(\mathbb{F}_p)|$. Consequently $q \nmid |J(\mathbb{F}_p)|$, so $J(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has no q -torsion. \square

If q doesn't divide $p - 1$ but is merely different from p , we can instead reason using a field extension \mathbb{F}_{p^k} for k such that $q \mid p^k - 1$. This way we can give an exact condition for when q -torsion exists in \mathbb{F}_p :

Theorem 4. *If p, q are distinct primes and $k = \text{ord}_q p$ is the least k such that $q \mid p^k - 1$, then the Jacobian of C has no q -torsion over \mathbb{F}_p iff $p \nmid k$.*

Proof. We can repeat the reasoning preceding Theorem 3 and in the proof of the theorem to find

$$|J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})| = \prod_{\psi \neq 1} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - (-G_a(\psi_k, \chi))) \equiv \prod_{\psi \neq 1} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} (1 - \psi_k(-a)) \pmod{\mathfrak{q}},$$

where this time ψ ranges over all additive characters of \mathbb{F}_p , while χ ranges over all multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_{p^k} of order dividing q . It's clear that $\psi_k(-a) = \psi(-a)^k$, so as long as $p \nmid k$ it is again a primitive root of unity. Hence $J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ has no q -torsion, thus neither does its subgroup $J(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

When $p \mid k$ we have $\psi_k(-a) = \psi(-a)^k = 1$, so that $|J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})| \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$, hence $q \mid |J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})|$. From the following lemma we also have $q \mid |J(\mathbb{F}_p)|$, so $J(\mathbb{F}_p)$ contains a q -torsion point. \square

Lemma 3. *Let p, q, k be as above. Then*

$$|J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})| = |J(\mathbb{F}_p)|^k.$$

Proof. From the Weil conjectures it follows there is a unique (up to ordering) collection of $2g$ numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}$ such that, for all n ,

$$p^n + 1 - C(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n.$$

But for $k \nmid n$ we have q and $p^n - 1$ relatively prime, so that $y \mapsto y^q$ is a bijection on \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . It follows that $C(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = p^n + 1$, hence

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n = 0.$$

Note that if we multiply each of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}$ by ζ_k^j , where ζ_k is a primitive k -th root of unity and j is arbitrary, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2g} (\zeta_k^j \alpha_i)^n = \zeta_k^{nj} \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n$$

for all n – for $k \nmid n$ this follows from (3), while for $k \mid n$ it's immediate. The uniqueness statement above leads us to a conclusion that $\alpha_i \mapsto \zeta_k^j \alpha_i$ is a permutation for any j . Using again [10, Section 5.4],

$$|J(\mathbb{F}_p)|^k = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i) \right)^k = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - \zeta_k^j \alpha_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_i^k) = |J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})|. \quad \square$$

Remark 2. The lemma holds more generally for any superelliptic curve $y^q = F(x)$ with $F \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree not divisible by q . Further, numerical evidence suggests that the following holds:

Conjecture. *Consider a superelliptic curve C given by $y^q = F(x)$ with q prime, $F \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree not divisible by q and set $k = \text{ord}_q p$. There exists an isomorphism*

$$J(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \cong J(\mathbb{F}_p)^k$$

of abstract groups.

Remark 3. The previous two theorems still hold when we take C to be defined by an equation $y^{q^l} = x^p - x + a$. The proofs are analogous using the fact $1 - \zeta_{q^l} \in \mathfrak{q}$ for any prime \mathfrak{q} of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p q^l}]$ containing q . We get that $q \mid |J(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ iff $p \mid \text{ord}_q p$.

4. APPLICATIONS TO BOUNDING RANKS

We now apply the established theorems to reductions of certain curves over the rationals to bound their Mordell-Weil ranks from below. Specifically, consider curves defined by

$$C : y^m = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_r) + k^m,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_r and k are integers. We take the points $P_i = (a_i, k) \in C$, their images $D_i = P_i - \infty \in J(C)$ under the Albanese map and the subgroup Γ those images generate. It is clearly a subgroup of $J(C)(\mathbb{Q})$. The following result is implicit in [7] for $m = 2$.

Proposition 2. *Assume there is a prime p such that:*

- (1) *m is not divisible by p ,*
- (2) *k is divisible by p ,*
- (3) *the a_i are pairwise incongruent modulo p .*

Suppose further Γ contains no nontrivial m -torsion. Then Γ is free of rank $r - 1$.

Proof. The sum of all D_i is equal to $\text{div}(y)$, so Γ is generated by D_1, \dots, D_{r-1} . It's enough to show there is no relation between those points.

Since $p \mid k$, the reduction of the equation of C modulo p is

$$\tilde{C} : y^m = (x - a_1) \dots (x - a_r).$$

We have $p \nmid m$ by the first assumption, and the right-hand side is separable by the third, from which we deduce C has good reduction modulo p . It follows $J(C)$ also has good reduction (see [2, Corollary VII.12.3]), which induces a reduction homomorphism $J(C)(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(\tilde{C})(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Suppose there is a nontrivial relation between the points D_1, \dots, D_{r-1} , say

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i D_i = 0$$

with not all a_i zero. Reordering the points and changing the sign if necessary, we may assume $a_1 > 0$ and a_1 is the smallest possible among all such relations. The relation is preserved by the reduction homomorphism, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \tilde{D}_i = 0,$$

where \tilde{D}_i is the image of D_i under reduction. But the reduction of the point $P_i = (a_i, k)$ is $(\tilde{a}_i, 0)$ (as we assumed $p \mid k$), so the points \tilde{D}_i generate the subgroup considered in Proposition 1. This proposition implies that any relation between \tilde{D}_i for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ must have all coefficients divisible by m . Writing $a_i = mb_i$ we have $b_1 < a_1$, so, by minimality of a_1 , the point $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} b_i D_i \in J(C)(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero. However, the original relation gives us $mD = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that Γ has no m -torsion. \square

Remark 4. Suitable versions of this proposition also hold for curves satisfying $\gcd(m, r) > 1$, as well as for curves over arbitrary number fields in place of \mathbb{Q} .

Proof of Theorem 2. Let C be a curve as in the statement of the theorem. First we show C has no q -torsion over \mathbb{Q} . The reduction of the equation of this curve modulo p is

$$\tilde{C} : y^q = x^p - x + k^q$$

and, as we have noted before, the right-hand side is separable, so as before the curve and its Jacobian have good reduction and we get a reduction homomorphism $J(C)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow J(\tilde{C})(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

The kernel of this reduction homomorphism is isomorphic to a group associated to a g -parameter formal group over \mathbb{Z}_p (see [4, §C.2]). By [11, Theorems II.9.3 and II.9.4] this group has no torsion, so all torsion of $J(C)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ survives into $J(\tilde{C})(\mathbb{F}_p)$. But Theorem 3 tells us this last group has no q -torsion, therefore neither does $J(C)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ nor $J(C)(\mathbb{Q})$. We are now done by the previous proposition. \square

Using Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, this gives us, for any fixed q , families of superelliptic curves whose Jacobians have Mordell-Weil ranks that grow at least linearly with the genus of the curve.

Remark 5. The methods described above can be applied to curves which have the form $y^q = x^p + a$ after reduction modulo some prime $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$. Torsion on Jacobians of such curves is studied at length in [6], see for instance lemma 4 of that paper, where one can find a different proof of the analogue of Theorem 3 for the case $q = 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert F. Coleman. Effective Chabauty. *Duke Math. J.*, 52(3):765–770, 1985.
- [2] Gary Cornell and Joseph H. Silverman, editors. *Arithmetic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Papers from the conference held at the University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, July 30–August 10, 1984.
- [3] Noam D. Elkies. \mathbb{Z}^{28} in $E(\mathbb{Q})$, etc. NMBRTHRY mailing list, April 2006.
- [4] Marc Hindry and Joseph H. Silverman. *Diophantine geometry, An introduction*, volume 201 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [5] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen. *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, volume 84 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990.
- [6] Tomasz Jędrzejak. On the torsion of the Jacobians of superelliptic curves $y^q = x^p + a$. *J. Number Theory*, 145:402–425, 2014.
- [7] Kirti Joshi and Pavlos Tzermias. On the Coleman-Chabauty bound. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 329(6):459–463, 1999.
- [8] Zev Klagsbrun, Travis Sherman, and James Weigandt. The Elkies curve has rank 28 subject only to GRH. *Math. Comp.*, 88(316):837–846, 2019.
- [9] Jennifer Park, Bjorn Poonen, John Voight, and Melanie Matchett Wood. A heuristic for boundedness of ranks of elliptic curves. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1602.01431, Feb 2016.

- [10] Bjorn Poonen. Lectures on rational points on curves, March 2006. available at <https://math.mit.edu/~poonen/papers/curves.pdf>.
- [11] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Lie algebras and Lie groups*, volume 1500 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. 1964 lectures given at Harvard University, Corrected fifth printing of the second (1992) edition.
- [12] Henning Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*, volume 254 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2009.
- [13] D. T. Těit and I. R. Šafarevič. The rank of elliptic curves. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 175:770–773, 1967.
- [14] Douglas Ulmer. Elliptic curves with large rank over function fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(1):295–315, 2002.
- [15] Douglas Ulmer. L -functions with large analytic rank and abelian varieties with large algebraic rank over function fields. *Invent. Math.*, 167(2):379–408, 2007.
- [16] Douglas Ulmer. Elliptic curves over function fields. In *Arithmetic of L -functions*, volume 18 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 211–280. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [17] Douglas Ulmer. Curves and Jacobians over function fields. In *Arithmetic geometry over global function fields*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pages 283–337. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2014.

ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UMUL-
TOWSKA 87, 61-614 POZNAN, POLAND
E-mail address: `wojwaw2@st.amu.edu.pl`