

TOP-NILPOTENT ENVELOPING SEMIGROUPS AND PRO-NILSYSTEMS

JIAHAO QIU AND JIANJIE ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, it is shown that for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a minimal system (X, T) is a d -step pro-nilsystem if its enveloping semigroup is a d -step top-nilpotent group, answering an open question by Donoso. Thus, combining the previous result of Donoso, it turns out that a minimal system (X, T) is a d -step pro-nilsystem if and only if its enveloping semigroup is a d -step top-nilpotent group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Maximal factor of order ∞ of dynamical cubespaces	8
4. Cubesgroups of Ellis-groups	10
5. Minimal systems with top-nilpotent enveloping semigroups	21
6. Furstenber tower of minimal nilsystems	24
7. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4	31
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

By a *topological dynamical system* or just a *dynamical system*, we mean a pair (X, G) , where X is a compact metric space with a metric ρ and G acts on it as a group of homeomorphisms. In this paper, we only focus on abelian group actions. When G is the group induced by some homeomorphism T , we just write it as (X, T) .

1.1. The history of the question. In order to study the asymptotic behaviors of a dynamical system (X, G) , Ellis introduced in 1960 the enveloping semigroup $E(X, G)$ which has been proved to be a very powerful tool in the theory of topological dynamical systems. It is defined as the closure of the set $\{g : g \in G\}$ in X^X (with its compact, usually non-metrizable, pointwise convergence topology). Ellis shown that a system (X, G) is equicontinuous if and only if $E(X, G)$ is a group of homeomorphisms, and (X, G) is distal if and only if $E(X, G)$ is a group. Furthermore, when the system (X, G) is minimal, then it is equicontinuous if and only if $E(X, G)$ is an abelian group. So, it is natural to ask: can we give a finer classification of

Date: November 14, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37B05, 37A99.

Key words and phrases. Nilsystems, enveloping semigroup.

minimal distal systems using Ellis semigroup? This is the main motivation of the current paper.

In the recent years, the study of the dynamics of rotations on nilmanifolds and inverse limits of this kind of dynamics has drawn much interest, since it relates to many dynamical properties and has important applications in number theory. We refer to [10] and the references therein for a systematic treatment on the subject.

In a pioneer work, Host-Kra-Maass in [12] introduced the notion of *regionally proximal relation of order d* for a dynamical system (X, T) , denoted by $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that a minimal system is a *system of order d* if $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X) = \Delta$ and this is equivalent for (X, T) to be a d -step pro-nilsystem, i.e. it is an inverse limit of rotations on d -step nilsystems (see [12, Theorem 2.8]). For a minimal distal system (X, T) , it was proved that $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation and $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is the maximal factor of order d [12]. Then Shao-Ye [15] showed that in fact for any minimal system, $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation and $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ has the so-called lifting property.

We note that for general group actions, high order regional proximality can also be defined. Meanwhile, using similar method, the result obtained by Shao-Ye [15] can be generalized to general group actions [8].

An earlier open question is the following: is it true that a minimal system (X, T) is a d -step pro-nilsystem if and only if its enveloping semigroup is a d -step nilpotent group? It is Glasner who considered the question firstly. In [6], Glasner proved that the question has an affirmative answer, when $d = 2$ and the system is an extension of its maximal equicontinuous factor by a torus. In [5], Donoso shown that the enveloping semigroup of a d -step pro-nilsystem is a d -step top-nilpotent group and hence a d -step nilpotent group. Note that a group E with a topological structure is *top-nilpotent*, if the descending sequence $E = E_1^{\text{top}} \supseteq E_2^{\text{top}} \supseteq \dots$ terminates at some point, where E_{j+1}^{top} is defined as the closure of the group spanned by $[E_j^{\text{top}}, E]$. By using the notation of top-nilpotency, Donoso [5] proved that a minimal system with a 2-step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup has to be a 2-step pro-nilsystem.

So, it seems that a more suitable question according to the result of Donoso is that (Question 1.3 in [5]): Let (X, T) be a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup with $d > 2$. Is (X, T) a d -step pro-nilsystem? We will address this question in the current paper and give an affirmative answer.

1.2. The main result. Now we state the main result of the paper and describe briefly how we obtain it. Host-Kra-Maass [12], and Shao-Ye [15] provided a method to describe the high order regional proximality by using the dynamical cubespaces which are also called dynamical parallelepipeds. Assume (X, T) is a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. To show (X, T) is a d -step pro-nilsystem, the first step we do is to show that (X, T) is an ∞ -step pro-nilsystem. Then in the second step we prove that indeed (X, T) is a d -step pro-nilsystem.

In the proof of the first step, we first show that the enveloping semigroups of the dynamical cubespaces associated with (X, T) are also top-nilpotent. Then we study the general top-nilpotent Ellis-groups and their cubegroups, which are defined

similar to the Host-Kra group of some Lie group. Inspired by the ideas of Green-Tao in [9] and Host-Kra in [10, Chapter 12] handling Host-Kra groups, we give a precise description of the elements in cubegroups, and we prove that the corresponding topological commutators subgroups can be bounded in some face groups which are easy to deal with. So there is some restriction on the order of regional proximality, meaning that the system cannot admit non-trivial regionally proximal of order ∞ pairs at least. The proof of the first step indicates that we only need to focus on ∞ -step pro-nilsystems.

In the proof of the second step we study the Furstenberg tower of a minimal nilsystem and show that indeed this tower coincides with the pro-nilfactors. Following these facts, we deduce that the question has an affirmative answer.

Now we state the main result and the results we need to get it.

Theorem 1.1. *For abelian group action, a minimal system with a top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup is a system of order ∞ .*

The remarkable theorem of Furstenberg on minimal distal systems states that a minimal distal system (X, G) is the inverse limit of isometric extensions, which we will refer as the Furstenberg tower. The following result shows that for a minimal nilsystem, the Furstenberg tower and the pro-nilfactors coincide. This fact seems easy to prove, but in fact the proof is much involved.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s \geq 2$ and let (X, T) be a minimal s -step nilsystem. Then the maximal isometric extension of $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ below X is $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$, $1 \leq d < s$.*

Given a minimal system (X, G) with a top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup, define $\mathbf{R}_j(X) = \{(x, px) : x \in X, p \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We can show that indeed every $\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is an equivalence relation and $X/\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is the maximal factor of X with a j -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. By using this fact we can show that the extension $X/\mathbf{R}_j(X) \rightarrow X/\mathbf{R}_{j-1}(X)$ is isometric.

Following Theorem 1.2, for a minimal nilsystem, the Furstenberg tower, the pro-nilfactors and the factors defined above all coincide. By Theorem 1.1, we show that such property holds for any minimal system with a top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup, which answering the open question asked by Donoso.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, T) be a minimal system. Then, it is a d -step pro-nilsystem if it has a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup.*

Note that the converse statement was proved in [5]. Thus, we conclude that a minimal system is a d -step pro-nilsystem if and only if it has a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup.

As a consequence, we obtain an interesting result immediately.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, T) be a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Let integer $1 \leq k < d$ and points $x, y \in X$, then $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$ if and only if there exists some element $p \in E_{k+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$ such that $y = px$.*

1.3. Organization of paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the basic notions used in the paper are introduced. In Section 3, a description of the maximal factors of order ∞ of dynamical cubespaces is given. In Section 4, we show

that the cubegroups of an Ellis-group with a top-filtration also form a top-filtration. In Section 5, we show that any minimal system with a top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup is a system of order ∞ (Theorem 1.1). In Section 6, we prove that for a minimal nilsystem, the distal tower and topological factors coincide (Theorem 1.2). In the final section, we give proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Professors W. Huang, S. Shao, X. Ye and Dr. F. Cai for helping discussions and remarks. We thank Professor V. Bergelson for bringing our attention to this problem again. The authors were supported by NNSF of China (11431012).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we gather definitions and preliminary results that will be necessary later on. Let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} be the set of all positive integers and integers respectively. Let F be a finite set and denote by $|F|$ the number of the elements of F .

2.1. Topological dynamical systems. Let G be a countable abelian group and let (X, G) be a minimal system; i.e. X is a compact metric space and G acts on it as a group of homeomorphisms in such a way that for every $x \in X$, its orbit $\mathcal{O}(x, G) = \{gx : g \in G\}$ is dense in X . We denote the metric by $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$. When G is induced by some homeomorphism T , i.e. $G = \{T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, just write it as (X, T) .

A *homomorphism* $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ between systems (X, G) and (Y, G) is a continuous onto map such that $\pi \circ g = g \circ \pi$ for every $g \in G$; one says that (Y, G) is a *factor* of (X, G) and that (X, G) is an *extension* of (Y, G) , and one also refers to π as a *factor map* or an *extension*. The systems are said to be *conjugate* if π is bijective. An extension π is determined by the corresponding closed invariant equivalence relation $R_\pi = \{(x_1, x_2) : \pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2)\}$.

Suppose that we have a compact group K of homeomorphisms of X commuting with G , i.e. $kg = gk$ for any $g \in G$ and $k \in K$ (where K is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence). Let $R_K = \{(x, kx) : x \in X, k \in K\}$ and it is an equivalence relation. We can define a factor Y by setting $Y = X/R_K$ and we say that (X, G) is an extension of (Y, G) by the group K .

Let (X, G) and (Y, G) be minimal systems and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a factor map. We say that (X, G) is an *isometric extension* of (Y, G) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\rho(gx, gy) < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in G$ whenever $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ and $\rho(x, y) < \delta$.

Note that any group extension is isometric.

2.2. Cubes and dynamical cubespaces. For an integer $d \geq 1$, we denote the set of maps $\{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow X$ by $X^{[d]}$ and we always write these elements $\mathbf{x} \in X^{[d]}$ as $\mathbf{x} = (x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d)$. For $x \in X$, write $x^{[d]} = (x, x, \dots, x) \in X^{[d]}$. The *diagonal* of $X^{[d]}$ is $\Delta^{[d]} = \{x^{[d]} : x \in X\}$. We can isolate the first coordinate, writing $X_*^{[d]} = X^{2^d-1}$ and writing $\mathbf{x} \in X^{[d]}$ as $\mathbf{x} = (x_{\vec{0}}, \mathbf{x}_*)$, where $\mathbf{x}_* = (x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{\vec{0}\}) \in X_*^{[d]}$.

Identifying $\{0, 1\}^d$ with the set of vertices of the Euclidean unit cube, an Euclidean isometry of the unit cube permutes the vertices of the cube and thus the coordinates of a point $\mathbf{x} \in X^{[d]}$. These permutations are the *Euclidean permutations* of $X^{[d]}$.

We next define two groups action on $X^{[d]}$, the *face group action* $\mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ and the *parallelepiped group action* $\mathcal{G}^{[d]}$. These actions are representations of $G^d = G \times G \times \cdots \times G$ (d times and G^{d+1} respectively, as subgroups of $\text{Homeo}(X^{[d]})$).

For the $\mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ action, $\mathcal{F}^{[d]} \times X^{[d]} \rightarrow X^{[d]}$,

$$((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d), (x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d)) \mapsto (g(\omega)x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d),$$

where $g(\omega)x_\omega = (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq d, \omega_i=1} g_i)x_\omega$, and $g(\vec{0})x_{\vec{0}} = x_{\vec{0}}$. We can represent the homeomorphism $\tau \in \mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ which corresponds to $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d) \in G^d$ as

$$(1) \quad \tau = \tau_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d)}^{[d]} = (g(\omega) : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d).$$

The action of G^{d+1} on $X^{[d]}$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{[d]}$, is the action generated by the face group action $\mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ and the *diagonal θ -action* of G , $G \times X^{[d]} \rightarrow X^{[d]}$, defined by

$$(g, x) \rightarrow \theta_g^{[d]}x = (gx_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d).$$

Thus for the $\mathcal{G}^{[d]}$ action $\mathcal{G}^{[d]} \times X^{[d]} \rightarrow X^{[d]}$,

$$((g_1, \dots, g_d, g_{d+1}), (x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d)) \mapsto (g_{d+1}g(\omega)x_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d).$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X) = \overline{\{\tau x^{[d]} : x \in X, \tau \in \mathcal{F}^{[d]}\}}$. We say this set a *dynamical cubespace of dimension d* of dynamical system (X, G) . It is important to note that $\mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$ is invariant under the Euclidean permutations of $X^{[d]}$.

For convenience, we denote the orbit closure of $\mathbf{x} \in X^{[d]}$ under $\mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{F}^{[d]}(\mathbf{x})}$, instead of $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}^{[d]})}$. Let $\mathbf{Q}_x^{[d]}(X) = \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X) \cap (\{x\} \times X^{2^d-1})$.

Theorem 2.1. [15] *Let (X, G) be a minimal system and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (1) $(\mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[d]})$ is a minimal system.
- (2) $(\overline{\mathcal{F}^{[d]}(x^{[d]})}, \mathcal{F}^{[d]})$ is minimal for all $x \in X$.
- (3) $(\overline{\mathcal{F}^{[d]}(x^{[d]})}, \mathcal{F}^{[d]})$ is the unique $\mathcal{F}^{[d]}$ -minimal subset in $\mathbf{Q}_x^{[d]}(X)$ for all $x \in X$.

2.3. Proximity and regional proximity of order d . Let (X, G) be a dynamical system. A pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is a *proximal* pair if

$$\inf_{g \in G} \rho(gx, gy) = 0$$

and a *distal* pair if it is not proximal. Denote by $\mathbf{P}(X)$ the set of all proximal pairs of X . The dynamical system (X, G) is *distal* if (x, y) is a distal pair whenever $x, y \in X$ are distinct.

Definition 2.2. The *regionally proximal relation of order d* is the relation $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ defined by: $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ if and only if for every $\delta > 0$, there is a pair $x', y' \in X$ and $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d \in G$ such that: $\rho(x, x') < \delta, \rho(y, y') < \delta$ and

$$\sup\{\rho(g(\omega)x', g(\omega)y') : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^d, \omega \neq \vec{0}\} < \delta.$$

We say a minimal system is a *system of order d* if its regionally proximal relation of order d is trivial.

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is a closed and invariant relation. Note that

$$\mathbf{P}(X) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X) \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[2]}(X) \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[1]}(X).$$

Theorem 2.3. [15] *Let (X, G) be a minimal system and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

- (1) $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ if and only if $(x, y, y, \dots, y) = (x, y_*^{[d+1]}) \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d+1]}(X)$ if and only if $(x, y, y, \dots, y) = (x, y_*^{[d+1]}) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}^{[d+1]}(x^{[d+1]})}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation.

The regionally proximal relation of order d allows to construct the maximal factor of order d of a minimal system. That is, any factor of order d factorizes through this system.

Theorem 2.4. [15] *Let $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ be the factor map between minimal systems and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

- (1) $\pi \times \pi(\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)) = \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(Y)$.
- (2) (Y, G) is a system of order d if and only if $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X) \subset R_\pi$.

In particular, the quotient of (X, G) under $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is the maximal factor of order d of X .

It follows that for any minimal system (X, G) ,

$$\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X) = \bigcap_{d \geq 1} \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$$

is a closed invariant equivalence relation.

Now we formulate the definition of systems of order ∞ .

Definition 2.5. A minimal system (X, G) is a *system of order ∞* , if the equivalence relation $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$ is trivial, i.e. coincides with the diagonal.

Remark 2.6. By using similar method, we can show that Theorem 2.4 also holds for $d = \infty$.

The following result can be found in [3]. For completeness, we include the proof.

Proposition 2.7. Let (X, G) be a minimal distal system and let integer $d \geq 2$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X)$ be the factor map. If points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2d} \in X$ satisfy

$$\pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) = \dots = \pi(x_{2d}),$$

then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2d}) \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$.

Proof. We show the result by induction on d .

First, when $d = 2$. Let $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow X/\mathbf{RP}^{[1]}(X)$ be the factor map and let points $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in X$ satisfy $\pi_1(x_1) = \pi_1(x_2) = \pi_1(x_3) = \pi_1(x_4)$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. As the system (X, G) is minimal, there exists some sequence $\{g_i\} \subset G$ such that $g_i x_2 \rightarrow x_1, i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, assume that $g_i x_4 \rightarrow x'_4, i \rightarrow \infty$ for some $x'_4 \in X$. Thus

$$(\text{id} \times g_i \times \text{id} \times g_i)(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow (x_1, x_1, x_3, x'_4), i \rightarrow \infty.$$

As $\mathbf{RP}^{[1]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation, we have $\pi_1(x'_4) = \pi_1(x_1)$. Similarly, there exists some sequence $\{h_i\} \subset G$ such that $h_i x_3 \rightarrow x_1, i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, assume that $h_i x'_4 \rightarrow x''_4, i \rightarrow \infty$ for some point $x''_4 \in X$. Thus

$$(\text{id} \times \text{id} \times h_i \times h_i)(x_1, x_1, x_3, x'_4) \rightarrow (x_1, x_1, x_1, x''_4), i \rightarrow \infty.$$

As $\mathbf{RP}^{[1]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation, we have $\pi_1(x_4'') = \pi_1(x_1)$. This shows that

$$\mathbf{x}'' \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}', \mathcal{G}^{[2]})} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}^{[2]})},$$

where $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, x_1, x_3, x_4')$, $\mathbf{x}'' = (x_1, x_1, x_1, x_4'')$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathbf{x}'' \in \mathbf{Q}^{[2]}(X)$. The system (X, G) is distal, so is $(X^{[2]}, \mathcal{G}^{[2]})$. Thus $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}'', \mathcal{G}^{[2]})}$, which implies $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[2]}(X)$.

Let $d > 2$ and suppose the statement is true for all $2 \leq j < d$.

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X)$ be the factor map and let points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2d} \in X$ satisfy $\pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) = \dots = \pi(x_{2d})$. Put $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2d})$.

Let $F_i = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d : \omega_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Inductively we will construct elements $\mathbf{c}_d, \mathbf{c}_{d-1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_1 \in X^{[d]}$ such that for $i = d, d-1, \dots, 1$:

- (1) $(\mathbf{c}_i)_\omega = x_1$ for $\omega \in F_d \cup \dots \cup F_i$;
- (2) $\pi((\mathbf{c}_i)_\omega) = \pi(x_1)$ for $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d$;
- (3) $\mathbf{c}_d \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})}$;
- (4) $\mathbf{c}_{i-1} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})}$ for $i \leq d$.

Assume this has been achieved, then we have

$$\mathbf{c}_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{c}_d, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})}.$$

As $(\mathbf{c}_1)_\omega = x_1$ for all $\omega \in F_d \cup \dots \cup F_1$, there exists some point $y \in X$ such that $(\mathbf{c}_1)_\omega = x_1$ for $\omega \neq \vec{1}$ and $(\mathbf{c}_1)_{\vec{1}} = y$. Property (2) provides that $\pi(x_1) = \pi(y)$ which implies $(x_1, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X)$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathbf{c}_1 \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$. As the system (X, G) is distal, so is $(X^{[d]}, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})$. Thus $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathcal{G}^{[d]})}$, which implies $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$.

We now return to the inductive construction of $\mathbf{c}_d, \mathbf{c}_{d-1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_1 \in X^{[d]}$.

Since $\mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X) \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[d-2]}(X)$, by inductive hypothesis $\mathbf{x}_{|F_d} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d-1]}(X)$. By Theorem 2.3, there is some sequence $\{\tau_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{[d-1]}$ such that $\tau_k \mathbf{x}_{|F_d} \rightarrow x_1^{[d-1]}$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathbf{c}_d \in X^{[d]}$ be an accumulation point of the sequence $\{(\tau_k \times \tau_k) \mathbf{x}\}$. Thus $(\mathbf{c}_d)_\omega = x_1, \omega \in F_d$. As $\mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation, we have $\pi((\mathbf{c}_d)_\omega) = \pi(x_1)$ for all $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d$.

Assume that we have already constructed $\mathbf{c}_{i+1} \in X^{[d]}$. Then $\pi((\mathbf{c}_{i+1})_\omega) = \pi(x_1)$ for all $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d$. By inductive hypothesis $\mathbf{c}_{i+1}|_{F_i} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d-1]}(X)$. By Theorem 2.3, there exists some sequence $\{\tau'_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}^{[d-1]}$ such that $\tau'_k \mathbf{c}_{i+1}|_{F_i} \rightarrow x_1^{[d-1]}$, $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathbf{c}_i \in X^{[d]}$ be an accumulation point of the sequence $\{(\tau'_k \times \tau'_k) \mathbf{c}_{i+1}\}$. Thus $(\mathbf{c}_i)_\omega = x_1, \omega \in F_i$. Since $(\mathbf{c}_{i+1})_\omega = x_1, \omega \in F_d \cup \dots \cup F_{i+1}$, we also have $(\mathbf{c}_i)_\omega = x_1, \omega \in F_d \cup \dots \cup F_{i+1}$. As $\mathbf{RP}^{[d-1]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation, we have $\pi((\mathbf{c}_i)_\omega) = \pi(x_1)$ for $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d$.

This completes the proof. \square

2.4. Nilpotent groups, nilmanifolds and nilsystems. Let L be a group. For $g, h \in L$, we write $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$ for commutator of g and h , we write $[A, B]$ for the subgroup spanned by $\{[a, b] : a \in A, b \in B\}$. The commutator subgroups $L_j, j \geq 1$, are defined inductively by setting $L_1 = L$ and $L_{j+1} = [L_j, L]$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that L is k -step nilpotent if L_{k+1} is the trivial subgroup.

Let L be a k -step nilpotent Lie group and Γ a discrete cocompact subgroup of L . The compact manifold $X = L/\Gamma$ is called a k -step nilmanifold. The group L acts

on X by left translations and we write this action as $(g, x) \rightarrow gx$. Let $\tau \in L$ and T be the transformation $x \rightarrow \tau x$ of X . Then (X, T) is called a *basic k -step nilsystem*.

We also make use of inverse limits of nilsystems and so we recall the definition of an inverse limit of systems (restricting ourselves to the case of sequential inverse limits). If $\{(X_i, T_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are systems with $\text{diam}(X_i) \leq 1$ and $\phi_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ are factor maps, the *inverse limit* of the systems is defined to be the compact subset of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ given by $\{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : \phi_i(x_{i+1}) = x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is denoted by $\varprojlim \{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. It is a compact metric space endowed with the distance $\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 1/2^i \rho_i(x_i, y_i)$. We note that the maps $\{T_i\}$ induce a transformation T on the inverse limit.

The following structure theorem characterizes inverse limits of nilsystems using dynamical cubespaces.

Theorem 2.8 (Host-Kra-Maass). [12, Theorem 1.2] *Assume that (X, T) is a minimal system and let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *If $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$ have $2^d - 1$ coordinates in common, then $x = y$.*
- (2) *If $x, y \in X$ are such that $(x, y, \dots, y) \in \mathbf{Q}^{[d]}(X)$, then $x = y$.*
- (3) *X is an inverse limit of basic $(d - 1)$ -step minimal nilsystems.*

This result shows that a minimal system (X, T) is a system of order d if and only if it is an inverse limit of minimal d -step nilsystems. So we also say such system a *d -step pro-nilsystem*.

Theorem 2.9. [4, Theorem 3.6] *A minimal system (X, T) is a system of order ∞ if and only if it is an inverse limit of minimal nilsystems.*

2.5. The Enveloping semigroup. The *enveloping semigroup* (or *Ellis semigroup*) $E(X)$ of a system (X, G) is defined as the closure in X^X of the set $\{g : g \in G\}$ endowed with the product topology. For an enveloping semigroup $E(X)$, the maps $E(X) \rightarrow E(X), p \mapsto pq$ and $p \mapsto gp$ are continuous for all $g \in G, q \in E(X)$.

This notion was introduced by Ellis and has proved to be a useful tool in studying dynamical systems. Algebraic properties of $E(X)$ can be translated into dynamical properties of (X, G) and vice versa. To illustrate this fact, we recall the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. [2, Chapter 3,4 and 5] *Let (X, G) be a minimal system. Then*

- (1) *$E(X)$ is a group if and only if (X, G) is distal.*
- (2) *$E(X)$ is an abelian group if and only if (X, G) is equicontinuous if and only if $E(X)$ is a group of continuous transformations.*

3. MAXIMAL FACTOR OF ORDER ∞ OF DYNAMICAL CUBESPACES

In this section, we discuss the maximal factor of order ∞ of dynamical cubespaces of minimal systems and show that indeed such factor is the dynamical cubespace generated by its maximal factor of order ∞ . We start with some simple observations.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, G) be a minimal system and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ if and only if for each neighborhood V of y , there exist $g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} \in G$ such that $g(\omega)x \in V$ for all $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{d+1} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.3. □

The following result is easy to check.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, G) be a system of order d , where $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is also a system of order d .*

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, G) be a minimal distal system and let $X_\infty = X/\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$. Then for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximal factor of order ∞ of $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$.*

Proof. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\pi : X \rightarrow X_\infty$ be the factor map. Note that $\pi^{[l]} : \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty)$ is a factor map, where $\pi^{[l]} : X^{[l]} \rightarrow X_\infty^{[l]}$ is defined from π coordinatewise. It is sufficient to show that

$$\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)) = R_{\pi^{[l]}}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is a system of order ∞ . Thus by Remark 2.6,

$$\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)) \subset R_{\pi^{[l]}}.$$

It remains to show that if $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in R_{\pi^{[l]}}$, then $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$. For convenience, we denote the regionally proximal of order ∞ pair (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) in $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ by $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ instead of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$.

We need following claims.

Claim 1: Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ with $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ and f be an Euclidean permutation, then we still have $f(\mathbf{x}) \sim f(\mathbf{y})$.

Proof of Claim 1. Notice that the Euclidean permutation f leaves $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G}^{[l]}$ invariant. We obtain Claim 1 by using this fact. \square

Fix $x \in X$ and let

$$A_x = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)\}.$$

Claim 2: Let $y \in A_x$, then $x^{[l]} \sim (x, y_*^{[l]})$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let W be a neighbourhood of x and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $(x, y_*^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}) \in \mathbf{Q}^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}(X)$. By Theorem 2.1, $\overline{\mathcal{F}^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}(x^{[(k+1) \cdot l]})}$ is the unique minimal set in $\mathbf{Q}_x^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}(X)$, thus $x^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}$ belongs to the orbit closure of $(x, y_*^{[(k+1) \cdot l]})$ under the action $\mathcal{F}^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}$. Since $W^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}$ is a neighborhood of $x^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}$, there exists some element $\tau \in \mathcal{F}^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}$ with

$$\tau(x, y_*^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}) \in W^{[(k+1) \cdot l]}.$$

There exist $g_1, \dots, g_{(k+1) \cdot l} \in G$ such that $g(\eta)y \in W$ for all $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{(k+1) \cdot l} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$. Let $\tau_i \in \mathcal{F}^{[l]}$ generated by $g_{(i-1)l+1}, \dots, g_{il}$. For every $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1} \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$, we have

$$\tau(\omega)(x, y_*^{[l]}) \in W^{[l]}, \quad \tau(\omega) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k+1, \omega_i=1} \tau_i,$$

which implies $(x^{[l]}, (x, y_*^{[l]})) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$ by Lemma 3.1. As k is arbitrary, we conclude that $x^{[l]} \sim (x, y_*^{[l]})$. This shows Claim 2. \square

Claim 3: Let $\mathbf{y} \in A_x^{[l]}$, then $x^{[l]} \sim \mathbf{y}$.

Proof of Claim 3. First, by Proposition 2.7, we obtain $A_x^{[l]} \subset \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$.

For $\mathbf{y} \in A_x^{[l]}$, let $N_{\mathbf{y}} := |\{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : x \neq y_\omega\}|$, then $0 \leq N_{\mathbf{y}} \leq 2^l$. We show Claim 3 by induction on $N_{\mathbf{y}}$.

When $N_{\mathbf{y}} = 0$, $\mathbf{y} = x^{[l]}$, the result is trivial.

When $N_{\mathbf{y}} = 1$. Choose an Euclidean permutation f such that $f(\mathbf{y}) = (y, x_*^{[l]})$ for some $y \in A_x$. By Claim 2, $(y, x_*^{[l]}) \sim y^{[l]}$. Note that $x^{[l]} \sim y^{[l]}$ and so by equivalence of $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$, $(y, x_*^{[l]}) \sim x^{[l]}$. Again by Claim 1, we deduce that $x^{[l]} \sim \mathbf{y}$.

Let integer $1 < d \leq 2^l$. Suppose the statement is true for all $1 \leq j < d \leq 2^l$. That is, if there is some point $\mathbf{z} \in A_x^{[l]}$ with $N_{\mathbf{z}} < d$, then $x^{[l]} \sim \mathbf{z}$.

Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_\omega) \in A_x^{[l]}$ with $N_{\mathbf{y}} = d$. Choose $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^l$ with $y_\eta \neq x$, and let $\mathbf{u} = (u_\omega)$ such that $u_\eta = x$ and $u_\omega = y_\omega$ otherwise. Then $\mathbf{u} \in A_x^{[l]}$ and $N_{\mathbf{u}} = d - 1$, and so $\mathbf{u} \sim x^{[l]}$ by inductive hypothesis. On the other hand, as $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ and by minimality of the system $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ there is some sequence $\{\tau_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}^{[l]}$ such that $\tau_i \mathbf{y} \rightarrow x^{[l]}, i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\tau_i \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}' = (u'_\omega), i \rightarrow \infty$. Then $u'_\eta = u'$ for some $u' \in X$ and $u'_\omega = x$ otherwise. Since $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$ is an equivalence relation, we obtain $u' \in A_x$. So $N_{\mathbf{u}'} = 1$ and by inductive hypothesis, we have $\mathbf{u}' \sim x^{[l]}$. The system (X, G) is distal, so is $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X) \times \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$. Thus the point (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) also belongs to the orbit closure of the point $(\mathbf{u}', x^{[l]})$ under $\mathcal{G}^{[l]}$ -action. Since $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$ is an equivalence relation, we have $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{y}$ and so $x^{[l]} \sim \mathbf{y}$.

This completes the proof of Claim 3. \square

Now let $\mathbf{x} = (x_\omega), \mathbf{y} = (y_\omega) \in \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ with $(x_\omega, y_\omega) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X), \omega \in \{0, 1\}^l$. By minimality of the system $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$, there exists some sequence $\{\tau_i\} \subset \mathcal{G}^{[l]}$ such that $\tau_i \mathbf{x} \rightarrow x^{[l]}, i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\tau_i \mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{z}, i \rightarrow \infty$. Then by equivalence of the relation $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$, we have $\mathbf{z} \in A_x^{[l]}$ and so $\mathbf{z} \sim x^{[l]}$ by Claim 3. Moreover we deduce that $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$, which meaning $R_{\pi^{[l]}} \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$, as was to be shown.

We conclude that the maximal factor of order ∞ of $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$ is $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty)$. \square

4. CUBESGROUPS OF ELLIS-GROUPS

In this section, we discuss the cubegroups of an Ellis-group with a top-filtration and show that cubegroups also form a top-filtration. We start by recalling the definition of Ellis-groups.

4.1. Ellis-groups. A set E is called an *Ellis-group* if it satisfies

- (1) E is a group.
- (2) E is a compact Hausdorff space.
- (3) The map $E \rightarrow E, q \mapsto qp$ is continuous for every $p \in E$.

In the sequel, we assume that E is an Ellis-group and denote by e the unit element. We remark that a subset K of E is closed (open) if and only if Kg is closed (open) for every $g \in E$ by right-continuity. If H is a closed subgroup of E , then H with the subtopology is also an Ellis-group.

A *top-filtration* on an Ellis-group E is a descending sequence $\{E_n\}$ of closed subgroups of E such that $E = E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ and for any j we have $[E_j, E] \subset E_{j+1}$.

We always assume that the top-filtration terminates at some point, in the sense that for some d we have $E_{d+1} = \{e\}$. The least such d is the *length* of the top-filtration.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. A set of the form $F = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_k} = a_k\}$ for some $k \geq 1$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ is called a *face* of *codimension* k of the discrete cube $\{0, 1\}^l$. One writes $\text{codim}(F) = k$. For $g \in E$, the *diagonal element* $g^{[l]}$ of $E^{[l]}$ is defined to be

$$g^{[l]} = (g, g, \dots, g) \quad (2^l \text{ terms}).$$

If F is a face of $\{0, 1\}^l$, for $g \in E$ we define $g^{(F)} \in E^{[l]}$ by

$$(g^{(F)})_\omega = \begin{cases} g, & \omega \in F; \\ e, & \omega \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.1. Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . For $l > 2^d$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, let $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ be the subgroup of $E^{[l]}$ spanned by

$$\{g^{(F)} : g \in E_k, F \subset \{0, 1\}^l \text{ of } \text{codim}(F) = 2^k, j \leq k \leq d\}.$$

The groups $\{\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)\}_{j=1}^d$ are called *cubegroups of dimension* l of E .

Endow $E^{[l]}$ with the product topology. We next show that the cubegroups are closed and also the condition $[\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)] \subset \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$ holds for each j . This shows that the group $\mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$ with the subtopology induced from the product topology on $E^{[l]}$ is an Ellis-group. Moreover, the cubegroups form a top-filtration of length d on $\mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$. Before proving, we give a description of elements of $\mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$. For convenience, denote $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$.

For integer $j < l$, let Ω_j^l be the collection of all faces of $\{0, 1\}^l$ of codimension j . We first inductively construct some subsets Λ_j^l of Ω_j^l .

Let $F_0 = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_1 = 1\}$, $F_i = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_i = 0\}$, $i \in [l]$ and put

$$\Lambda_1^l = \{F_i : 0 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Assume that we have already chosen Λ_j^l from Ω_j^l . Let $F \in \Lambda_j^l$, then

$$F = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j\},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq l$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let $\sigma : [l-j] \rightarrow [l] \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_j\}$ be the map such that if $i < i'$, then $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i')$. For $i \in [l-j]$, let

$$F_i = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j, \sigma(i) = 0\},$$

$$F_0 = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j, \sigma(1) = 1\},$$

and put

$$\Lambda_{j+1}^l = \{F_i : 0 \leq i \leq l-j, F \in \Lambda_j^l\}.$$

Notice that for any $F \in \Lambda_j^l$, there exist faces $F^+, F^- \in \Lambda_{j+1}^l$ such that

$$F = F^+ \cup F^-.$$

Proposition 4.2. Let $g \in E$ and $F \in \Omega_j^l$, then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F_i \in \Lambda_j^l, g_i \in \{g, g^{-1}\}, i \in [N]$ such that

$$g^{(F)} = \prod_{i \in [N]} g_i^{(F_i)}.$$

Proof. Fix $g \in E$. We show the statement by induction on j .

When $j = 1$. Let F be a face of codimension 1, then F can be written as

$$F = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_i = a\},$$

where $i \in [l]$ and $a \in \{0, 1\}$.

If $a = 0$ or $i = 1$, by the definition of Λ_1^l , we have $F \in \Lambda_1^l$.

If $a = 1$ and $i > 1$. Let $F' = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_i = 0\}$, then $F' \in \Lambda_1^l$ and we have

$$g^{(F)} = g^{(F_0)} g^{(F_1)} (g^{-1})^{(F')},$$

where $F_i = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_1 = i\}, i = 0, 1$. This shows the statement for $j = 1$.

Let integer $j > 1$ and assume that the statement is true for all $1 \leq i < j$. Let F be a face of codimension j , then F can be written as

$$F = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j\},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq l$ and $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Let

$$F^U = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_{j-1}} = a_{j-1}\},$$

then F^U is a face of codimension $j - 1$ which also contains F . By inductive hypothesis, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F_k \in \Lambda_{j-1}^l, g_k \in \{g, g^{-1}\}, k \in [N]$ such that

$$(2) \quad g^{(F^U)} = \prod_{k \in [N]} g_k^{(F_k)}.$$

For each $k \in [N]$, F_k can be written as

$$F_k = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1^{(k)}} = a_1^{(k)}, \omega_{i_2^{(k)}} = a_2^{(k)}, \dots, \omega_{i_{j-1}^{(k)}} = a_{j-1}^{(k)}\},$$

where $1 \leq i_1^{(k)} < i_2^{(k)} < \dots < i_{j-1}^{(k)} \leq l$ and $a_i^{(k)} \in \{0, 1\}$. By induction, we may assume additionally that $i_{j-1}^{(k)} \leq i_{j-1}$. Put

$$F_k^{(j)} = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1^{(k)}} = a_1^{(k)}, \omega_{i_2^{(k)}} = a_2^{(k)}, \dots, \omega_{i_{j-1}^{(k)}} = a_{j-1}^{(k)}, \omega_{i_j} = a_j\},$$

then by equation (2) we have

$$g^{(F)} = \prod_{k \in [N]} g_k^{(F_k^{(j)})}.$$

If $i_j = j$ or $a_j = 0$, then $F_k^{(j)} \in \Lambda_j^l$ for every $k \in [N]$.

If $i_j > j$ and $a_j = 1$. For $k \in [N]$, let $F_k^{(j)+}, F_k^{(j)-} \in \Lambda_j^l$ with $F_k^{(j)+} \cup F_k^{(j)-} = F_k$ and

$$F_k'^{(j)} = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1^{(k)}} = a_1^{(k)}, \omega_{i_2^{(k)}} = a_2^{(k)}, \dots, \omega_{i_{j-1}^{(k)}} = a_{j-1}^{(k)}, \omega_{i_j} = 0\},$$

then $F_k'^{(j)} \in \Lambda_j^l$ and

$$g_k^{(F_k^{(j)})} = g_k^{(F_k^{(j)+})} g_k^{(F_k^{(j)-})} (g_k^{-1})^{(F_k'^{(j)})}.$$

Hence we have

$$g^{(F)} = \prod_{k \in [N]} g_k^{(F_k^{(j)})} = \prod_{k \in [N]} g_k^{(F_k^{(j)+})} g_k^{(F_k^{(j)-})} (g_k^{-1})^{(F_k'^{(j)})},$$

as was to be shown.

This completes the proof. \square

For distinct faces F, F' of Ω_j^l ,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} F &= \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j\}, \\ F' &= \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i'_1} = a'_1, \omega_{i'_2} = a'_2, \dots, \omega_{i'_j} = a'_j\}, \end{aligned}$$

we say that $F \succ F'$ if and only if either of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $i_k = i'_k$ for every $k < k_0$ and $i_{k_0} < i'_{k_0}$, for some $k_0 \in [j]$;
- (2) $i_k = i'_k$ and $a_k \geq a'_k$ for every $k \in [j]$.

We always assume that $F \succ F'$ if $F \in \Omega_j^l, F' \in \Omega_{j'}^l$ and $j < j'$. For $F \in \Omega_j^l$, let γ^F be the maximal element of F , which meaning

$$\gamma^F \in F \text{ and } \gamma_i^F \geq \omega_i \text{ for all } i \in [l], \omega \in F.$$

For example, if $F \in \Omega_j^l$ has form (3), then $\gamma_i^F = a_k, k \in [j]$ and $\gamma_i^F = 1$ otherwise.

Proposition 4.3. For $F, F' \in \Lambda_j^l$, if $F \succ F'$, then $\gamma^F \notin F'$. For any $j_1 < j_2$,

$$\{\gamma^F : F \in \Lambda_{j_1}^l\} \subset \{\gamma^F : F \in \Lambda_{j_2}^l\}.$$

Proof. Let $F, F' \in \Lambda_j^l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j\}, \\ F' &= \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i'_1} = a'_1, \omega_{i'_2} = a'_2, \dots, \omega_{i'_j} = a'_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i_k = i'_k$ and $a_k \geq a'_k$ for every $k \in [j]$, it is easy to see that $\gamma^F \notin F'$.

If there exists $k_0 \in [j]$ such that $i_k = i'_k$ for every $k < k_0$ and $i_{k_0} < i'_{k_0}$. By the definition of Λ_j^l , we obtain that $a'_k = 0, k \geq k_0$. So for any $\omega \in F'$,

$$|\{i : k_0 < i \leq l, \omega_i = 0\}| \geq j - k_0 + 1.$$

We deduce that $\gamma^F \notin F'$ as

$$|\{i : k_0 < i \leq l, \gamma_i^F = 0\}| \leq |\{i : k_0 < i \leq j, a_i = 0\}| \leq j - k_0.$$

This shows the first statement.

For F , let $i' = \min(\{k \in [j] : i_k > k\} \cup \{j + 1\})$, put

$$F_1 = \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l : \omega_{i_1} = a_1, \omega_{i_2} = a_2, \dots, \omega_{i_j} = a_j, \omega_{i'} = 1\},$$

then $F_1 \in \Lambda_{j+1}^l$ and $\gamma^F = \gamma^{F_1}$. This shows that

$$\{\gamma^F : F \in \Lambda_j^l\} \subset \{\gamma^F : F \in \Lambda_{j+1}^l\},$$

and thus the second statement follows it. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . Then every element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_k^{[l]}(E)$ has a unique form*

$$\mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i^{(F_i)},$$

where $g_i \in E_{k_i}$, $F_i \in \Lambda_{2^{k_i}}^l$, $k_i \geq k$ and for any $i < i'$, $F_i \succ F_{i'}$.

Proof. We first show that such form exists. Let $j \in [d]$.

Claim 1: Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$, then \mathbf{g} can be written as

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i^{(F_i)},$$

where $g_i \in E_{k_i}$, $F_i \in \Lambda_{2^{k_i}}^l$, $k_i \geq j$ and for any $i < i'$, $F_i \succ F_{i'}$.

Proof of Claim 1. By Definition 4.1, \mathbf{g} can be written as

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^N h_i^{(F_i)},$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_i \in E_{k_i}$, $F_i \in \Omega_{2^{k_i}}^l$, $k_i \geq j$. By Proposition 4.2, we may assume without loss of generality that $F_i \in \Lambda_{2^{k_i}}^l$.

Indeed, let $j \leq s \leq t \leq d$ and let $a_s \in E_s$, $a_t \in E_t$, $F_s \in \Lambda_{2^s}^l$, $F_t \in \Lambda_{2^t}^l$. If $F_s = F_t$, then $a_t^{(F_t)} a_s^{(F_s)} = (a_t a_s)^{(F_s)}$. If $F_s \succ F_t$, then

$$a_t^{(F_t)} a_s^{(F_s)} = a_s^{(F_s)} a_t^{(F_t)} [(a_t^{(F_t)})^{-1}, (a_s^{(F_s)})^{-1}] = a_s^{(F_s)} a_t^{(F_t)} [a_t^{-1}, a_s^{-1}]^{(F_s \cap F_t)}.$$

Note that $\text{codim}(F_s \cap F_t) \leq \text{codim}(F_s) + \text{codim}(F_t) \leq 2^{t+1}$, we can choose $F_{k_1}^{(t+1)}, \dots, F_{k_n}^{(t+1)} \in \Lambda_{2^{t+1}}^l$ such that

$$a_{t+1}^{(F_s \cap F_t)} = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{F_{k_i}^{(t+1)}},$$

where $a_{t+1} = [a_t^{-1}, a_s^{-1}] \in [E_t, E] \subset E_{t+1}$ and $b_i \in \{a_{t+1}, a_{t+1}^{-1}\}$. Hence we have

$$a_t^{(F_t)} a_s^{(F_s)} = a_s^{(F_s)} a_t^{(F_t)} b_1^{(F_{k_1}^{(j+1)})} \dots b_n^{(F_{k_n}^{(j+1)})}.$$

As the set $\cup_{k=j}^d \Lambda_{2^k}^l$ is finite, by the argument above, we can eventually change form (5) to form (4). This shows Claim 1. \square

For every $j \in [d]$, let

$$\Lambda_{2^j}^l = \{F_1^{(j)} \succ F_2^{(j)} \succ \dots \succ F_{n_j}^{(j)}\},$$

where $n_j = |\Lambda_{2^j}^l|$. Let $\gamma_i^{(j)}$ be the maximal element of $F_i^{(j)}$, then $\gamma_i^{(j)} \notin \cup_{k>i} F_k^{(j)}$.

Claim 2: Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$, if $\mathbf{g}_{\gamma_i^{(j)}} \in E_{j+1}$, $i \in [n_j]$, then $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$. By Claim 1, \mathbf{g} can be written as

$$\mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i^{(F_i)},$$

where $g_i \in E_{k_i}$, $F_i \in \Lambda_{2^{k_i}}^l$, $k_i \geq j$ and for any $i < i'$, $F_i \succ F_{i'}$. There exists a maximal $n_0 \in [n]$ such that $F_i \in \Lambda_{2^j}^l$, $i \leq n_0$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} g_i^{(F_i)} \cdot \prod_{i=n_0+1}^n g_i^{(F_i)}$$

Notice that $\mathbf{h}^{-1} = \prod_{i=n_0+1}^n g_i^{(F_i)} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E) \subset E_{j+1}^{[l]}$, thus

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_0} g_i^{(F_i)} \right)_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} = (\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{h})_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} = \mathbf{g}_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} \in E_{j+1}, \quad k \in [n_j].$$

As $\gamma^{F_i} \notin \cup_{k=i+1}^{n_0} F_k$, we deduce inductively that $g_i \in E_{j+1}$, $i \in [n_0]$. We conclude that $g_i^{(F_i)} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$ and so $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$ as was to be shown.

This shows Claim 2. \square

We now return to the proof of the lemma.

Step j : Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ and define a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ inductively:

$$\mathbf{g}^{(1)} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{g}^{(k)} (g_k^{-1})^{(F_k^{(j)})}, \quad k \in [n_j],$$

where $g_k = e$ if $(\mathbf{g}^{(k)})_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} \in E_{j+1}$, otherwise $g_k = (\mathbf{g}^{(k)})_{\gamma_k^{(j)}}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{g} = g_1^{(F_1^{(j)})} \cdots g_{n_j}^{(F_{n_j}^{(j)})} \mathbf{g}^{(n_j+1)}.$$

Clearly, $(\mathbf{g}^{(n_j+1)})_{\gamma_k^{(j)}} \in E_{j+1}$, $k \in [n_j]$, thus by Claim 2, $\mathbf{g}^{(n_j+1)} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$. By the definition of each $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$, we deduce that every g_i is totally determined by $\mathbf{g}, g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}$. Therefore, such decomposition is unique.

By applying **Step j** from $j = k$ to d , we get the result. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . Then*

$$[\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)] \subset \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E), \quad 1 \leq j < d.$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq j < d$. Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$, then by Definition 4.1, we have

$$\mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^M g_i^{(F_i)}, \quad \mathbf{h} = \prod_{k=1}^N h_k^{(F'_k)},$$

where $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i \in [M]$, $k \in [N]$, $g_i \in E_{s_i}$, $s_i \geq j$, $h_k \in E_{t_k}$, $t_k \in [d]$ and faces F_i, F'_k of $\{0, 1\}^l$ of $\text{codim}(F_i) \leq 2^{s_i}$, $\text{codim}(F'_k) \leq 2^{t_k}$. Notice that $\text{codim}(F_i \cap F'_k) \leq \text{codim}(F_i) + \text{codim}(F'_k) \leq 2^{s_i} + 2^{t_k}$.

If $s_i \geq t_k$, then $[g_i, h_k] \in E_{s_i+1}$ and $\text{codim}(F_i \cap F'_k) \leq 2^{s_i+1}$, we have

$$[g_i^{(F_i)}, h_k^{(F'_k)}] = [g_i, h_k]^{(F_i \cap F'_k)} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

If $s_i < t_k$, then $[g_i, h_k] \in E_{t_k+1}$ and $\text{codim}(F_i \cap F'_k) \leq 2^{t_k+1}$, we also have

$$[g_i^{(F_i)}, h_k^{(F'_k)}] = [g_i, h_k]^{(F_i \cap F'_k)} \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

By induction, we obtain that

$$(6) \quad [g_{i_1}^{(F_{i_1})} [g_{i_2}^{(F_{i_2})} \dots [g_{i_u}^{(F_{i_u})}, h_{k_v}^{(F'_{k_v})}] \dots h_{k_2}^{(F'_{k_2})}] h_{k_1}^{(F'_{k_1})}] \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E),$$

for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_u \leq M$ and $1 \leq k_1, \dots, k_v \leq N$.

Recall that $[\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}]$ is the product of the elements formed as (6) and by the argument above, we deduce that $[\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$ and thus

$$[\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)] \subset \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

This completes the proof. \square

4.2. Quotient Ellis-groups. Let E be an Ellis-group and H be a normal subgroup of E . In this subsection, we discuss when the quotient group E/H with the quotient topology can be an Ellis-group?

Lemma 4.6. *Let H be a closed normal subgroup of E . If H satisfies the condition that for any neighbourhood U of H , there is a neighbourhood V of e such that $VH \subset U$, then the quotient group E/H with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group.*

Proof. As H is normal, we can define the quotient group $\tilde{E} = E/H$ and let $\pi : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ be the quotient map. Endow \tilde{E} with the quotient topology. Then \tilde{E} with such topology is compact, as E is compact and the map π is continuous and onto.

Let $p, q \in E$ with $\pi(p) \neq \pi(q)$, then $pH \neq qH$. Since H is normal, then $pH = Hp, qH = Hq$ and $Hp \cap Hq = \emptyset$. By right-continuity, Hp and Hq are closed. We can choose neighbourhoods U_1, U_2 of Hp, Hq respectively such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, as E is a compact Hausdorff space. Put $U = U_1 p^{-1} \cap U_2 q^{-1}$, then by right-continuity U is open and $H \subset U$. By assumption, there is a neighbourhood V of e such that $VH \subset U$, then $VpH \cap VqH = \emptyset$. Notice that the map π is open, so $\pi(Vp), \pi(Vq)$ are neighbourhoods of $\pi(p), \pi(q)$ respectively in \tilde{E} . Moreover, $\pi(Vp) \cap \pi(Vq) = \emptyset$, this shows that \tilde{E} with the quotient topology is a Hausdorff space.

Fix $q \in E$. Let p_α be a net in E with $\pi(p_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi(p)$ for some $p \in E$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p_\alpha \rightarrow p' \in E$, then $\pi(p') = \pi(p)$. By right-continuity in E , we obtain that $p_\alpha q \rightarrow p'q$. As π is continuous, we deduce that

$$\pi(p_\alpha)\pi(q) = \pi(p_\alpha q) \rightarrow \pi(p'q) = \pi(p')\pi(q) = \pi(p)\pi(q).$$

This shows that the multiplication in \tilde{E} is right-continuous.

We conclude that the group E/H with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group. \square

Theorem 4.7. [14, Appendix B, Theorem B.17] *Let T be a group with compact Hausdorff topology such that all right translations $t \mapsto ts : T \rightarrow T$ for $s \in T$ are continuous. Let Y be a compact Hausdorff space and let $\pi : T \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be action of T on Y which is separately continuous, i.e. each translation $\pi^t : Y \rightarrow Y$ and each motion $\pi_y : T \rightarrow Y$ is continuous ($t \in T, y \in Y$). Then π is jointly continuous.*

Corollary 4.8. [14, Appendix B, Corollary B.18] Let G be a group with a compact Hausdorff topology such that the multiplication in G is separately continuous, i.e. all left translations $g \mapsto hg$ and all right translations $h \mapsto hg$ are continuous. Then G is a topological group.

Lemma 4.9. *Let H be a closed subgroup of E . If H is included in the center of the group E , then the quotient group E/H with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group.*

Proof. Notice that the group H with the subtopology induced from E is also an Ellis-group. Consider the map σ from $H \times E$ to E given by $(h, g) \mapsto hg$. As H is included in the center of the group E , we obtain that the map σ is separately continuous, and by Theorem 4.7, it is jointly continuous.

Let $W \subset E$ be a neighbourhood of H . For $h \in H$, by joint-continuity of σ , there exist open subsets $U_h, V_h \subset E$ such that $h \in U_h, e \in V_h$ and $V_h(U_h \cap H) = (U_h \cap H)V_h \subset W$. As H is compact and $\cup_{h \in H}(U_h \cap H) = H$, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$ with $\cup_{i=1}^n (U_{h_i} \cap H) = H$. Put $V = \cap_{i=1}^n V_{h_i}$, then V is open in E and $e \in V$. Let $g \in H$, then $g \in U_{h_j} \cap H$ for some $j \in [n]$ and

$$Vg \subset V(U_{h_j} \cap H) \subset V_{h_j}(U_{h_j} \cap H) \subset W.$$

Hence we have $VH = \cup_{g \in H} Vg \subset W$. By Lemma 4.6, the quotient group E/H with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . Then for every $j \in [d]$, the quotient group E/E_j with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length $j - 1$.*

Proof. Let integer $d \geq 2$. We show the result by induction on j .

Notice that E_j is normal in E for every $j \in [d]$. By the argument in Lemma 4.6, it suffices to show that E/E_j with the quotient topology is a Hausdorff space.

As $[E_d, E] \subset E_{d+1} = \{e\}$, we have that E_d is included in the center of E . It follows from Lemma 4.9 that the result holds for $j = d$.

Let integer $1 \leq j < d$. Suppose the statement is true for all $j + 1 \leq i \leq d$. Then the quotient group $\tilde{E} = E/E_{j+1}$ with the quotient topology from \tilde{E} is an Ellis-group. Let $\phi_1 : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ be the quotient map, then ϕ_1 is continuous. As ϕ_1 is a continuous map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space, it is closed and thus $\tilde{E}_j = \phi_1(E_j)$ is a closed subset of \tilde{E} . By the condition $[E, E_j] \subset E_{j+1}$, \tilde{E}_j is included in the center of \tilde{E} . Notice that \tilde{E}_j is also a group. By Lemma 4.9, the quotient group \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j with the quotient topology from \tilde{E} is an Ellis-group. Let $\phi_2 : \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j$ be the quotient map, then ϕ_2 is continuous, and so is the map $\phi = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1 : E \rightarrow \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j$.

On the other hand, notice that the maps ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are also group homomorphisms, so is ϕ . We obtain that the quotient group $E/\ker(\phi)$ is isomorphic to the group \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j by group isomorphism theorem. Clearly $\ker(\phi) = E_j$, so the quotient group E/E_j is isomorphic to \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j and we denote this map by f . Then f is one to one. Endow E/E_j with the quotient topology from E and let $\pi : E \rightarrow E/E_j$ be the quotient map, then $\phi = f \circ \pi$. We claim that f is continuous. Indeed, let V be an open subset of \tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_j , then $\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(V)) = \phi^{-1}(V)$ is open. By the definition of

the quotient topology, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in E/E_j . This shows that f is continuous. Moreover, f is homeomorphic. As $\widetilde{E}/\widetilde{E}_j$ is a Hausdorff space, so is E/E_j .

By the argument above, it is easy to see that

$$E/E_j = E_1/E_j \supset E_2/E_j \supset \cdots \supset E_{j-1}/E_j \supset E_j/E_j = \{\tilde{e}\}$$

is a top-filtration of length $j - 1$ on E/E_j .

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . Let p_α be a net in E and q_α be a net in E_j such that $p_\alpha \rightarrow p$ and $q_\alpha \rightarrow q \in E_j$. Then every limit point of the net $\{p_\alpha q_\alpha\}$ belongs to pqE_{j+1} .*

Proof. Let $u \in E$ be a limit point of the net $\{p_\alpha q_\alpha\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_\alpha q_\alpha \rightarrow u$. By Theorem 4.10, the quotient group E/E_{j+1} with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow E/E_{j+1}$ be the quotient map, then π is continuous. Also E_j/E_{j+1} is a closed subgroup of E/E_{j+1} which is included in the center of E/E_{j+1} . Consider the map from $E_j/E_{j+1} \times E/E_{j+1}$ to E/E_{j+1} given by $(\pi(h), \pi(g)) \mapsto \pi(hg)$. We obtain that such map is separately continuous, and thus by Theorem 4.7, it is jointly continuous. It follows that

$$\pi(p_\alpha q_\alpha) = \pi(p_\alpha)\pi(q_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi(p)\pi(q) = \pi(pq)$$

which implies that $u = pqr$ for some $r \in E_{j+1}$.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let E be an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length d . Let integer $l > 2^d$, then*

(1) for every $\mathbf{g} = (g_\omega) \in \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$ we have

$$\prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^l} g_\omega^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = e,$$

where $|\omega|$ denotes the number of 1 appearing in ω .

(2) $\{\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)\}_{j=1}^d$ is a top-filtration of length d on $\mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$.

Proof. Proof of statement (1): Let $g \in E_d$ and $F \in \Omega_{2^d}^l$. It is easy to see that

$$\prod_{\omega \in F} g^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = e,$$

this shows that the statement (1) holds for $\mathbf{g} = g^{(F)}$.

For general case, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_i \in E_d, F_i \in \Omega_{2^d}^l, i \in [n]$ such that

$$\mathbf{g} = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i^{(F_i)}.$$

Notice that E_d is included in the center of the group of E , thus

$$\prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^l} g_\omega^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^l} \prod_{\omega \in F_i, i \in [n]} g_i^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\omega \in F_i} g_i^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = e.$$

Proof of statement (2): It suffices to show cubegroups are closed by Lemma 4.5.

Claim : $\mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$ is closed.

Proof of Claim. As E_d is included in the center of the group E , by Corollary 4.8, E_d with the subtopology is an abelian topological group. Also $E_d^{[l]}$ is an abelian topological group. Let \mathbf{g}_α be a net in $\mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$ with $\mathbf{g}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$. Let

$$\Lambda_{2^d}^l = \{F_1^{(d)} \succ F_2^{(d)} \succ \cdots \succ F_{n_d}^{(d)}\},$$

where $n_d = |\Lambda_{2^d}^l|$. By Lemma 4.4, for every α , there exist $g_{i,\alpha} \in E_d, i \in [n_d]$ with

$$\mathbf{g}_\alpha = \prod_{i \in [n_d]} g_{i,\alpha}^{(F_i^{(d)})},$$

As E_d is compact, by taking subnets, we may assume without loss of generality that $g_{i,\alpha} \rightarrow g_i \in E_d, i \in [n_d]$. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E) \subset E_d^{[l]}$ and $E_d^{[l]}$ is an abelian topological group, we have

$$\mathbf{g}_\alpha = \prod_{i \in [n_d]} g_{i,\alpha}^{(F_i^{(d)})} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} = \prod_{i \in [n_d]} g_i^{(F_i^{(d)})} \in \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E),$$

as was to be shown. \square

We next show statement (2) by induction on d .

When $d = 1$. Then E is an abelian Ellis-group. By the argument of the claim above, we can easily obtain that $\mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)$ is closed.

Let integer $d > 1$ and suppose the result is true for all $1 \leq i < d$. Let $G = E/E_d$. It follows from Theorem 4.10 that G is an Ellis-group with a top-filtration of length $d - 1$. By inductive hypothesis, $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(G), j \in [d - 1]$ are all closed in $G^{[l]}$.

By Claim above, $\mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$ is closed. Let $j < d$ and assume that we have already shown that $\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E), \dots, \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$ are all closed in $E^{[l]}$. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow G$ be the quotient map. The map $\pi^{[l]} : E^{[l]} \rightarrow G^{[l]}$ is defined as usual by $(\pi^{[l]}(\mathbf{g}))_\omega = \pi(\mathbf{g}_\omega), \omega \in \{0, 1\}^l$. Then $\pi^{[l]}(g^{(F)}) = \pi(g)^{(F)}$ for any $g \in E_i$ and $F \in \Omega_{2^i}^l, i \in [d]$ and we have that $\pi^{[l]}(\mathcal{C}_i^{[l]}(E)) = \mathcal{C}_i^{[l]}(G), i \in [d - 1]$.

We next show that $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ is closed. Notice that $\pi^{[l]}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(G)$ is closed in $G^{[l]}$, we deduce that $(\pi^{[l]})^{-1}\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(G) = \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}$ is closed in $E^{[l]}$ and so $\overline{\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)} \subset \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}$. Recall that E_d is included in the center of the group E , thus $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}$ is a group. Now the group $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}$ with the subtopology induced from $E^{[l]}$ is an Ellis-group. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$[\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}, \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}] \subset [\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)] \subset \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

By Lemma 4.9, the quotient group

$$W = \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]} / \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$$

with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group. Moreover, it is abelian.

Let \mathbf{g}_α be a net in $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ and assume that $\mathbf{g}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$. Then $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E) \cdot E_d^{[l]}$ and there exist $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ and $\mathbf{r} \in E_d^{[l]}$ with $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{h}$. By right-continuity in

$E^{[l]}$, without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{h} = e^{[l]}$. It suffices to show that $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$. By Lemma 4.4, for every α , we have

$$\mathbf{g}_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_{\alpha,i}^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \cdot \mathbf{h}_\alpha,$$

where $g_{\alpha,i}^{(j)} \in E_j$ and $\mathbf{h}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$. As E_j is compact, by taking subnets, we may assume that $g_{\alpha,i}^{(j)} \rightarrow g_i^{(j)} \in E_j, i \in [n_j]$. Put \mathbf{g}_α in W we have

$$\mathbf{g}_\alpha \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_{\alpha,i}^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

On the other hand, as W is an abelian Ellis-group and thus by Corollary 4.8, it is an abelian topological group. Therefore

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_{\alpha,i}^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_i^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E),$$

which implies that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_i^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E) = \mathbf{r} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E).$$

Note that $\prod_{i=1}^{n_j} (g_i^{(j)})^{(F_i^{(j)})} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$, so $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ is closed.

We conclude inductively that all $\mathcal{C}_i^{[l]}(E), i \in [d]$ are closed.

This shows statement (2). \square

4.3. Top-nilpotent Ellis-groups. Let E be an Ellis-group. For $A, B \subset E$, define $[A, B]_{\text{top}}$ as the closure of the subgroup spanned by $\{[a, b] : a \in A, b \in B\}$. The topological commutators subgroups $E_j^{\text{top}}, j \geq 1$, are defined by setting $E_1^{\text{top}} = E$ and $E_{j+1}^{\text{top}} = [E_j^{\text{top}}, E]_{\text{top}}$. It is easy to see that $E_j^{\text{top}} \supseteq E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}, j \geq 1$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that E is *d-step top-nilpotent* if E_{d+1}^{top} is the trivial subgroup.

Lemma 4.13. *Let E be an Ellis-group, then E_d^{top} is a subgroup of E .*

Proof. The result is trivial when $d = 1$ as $E_1^{\text{top}} = E$. Let integer $d \geq 2$ and let $p, q \in E_d^{\text{top}}$. We show that $pq, p^{-1} \in E_d^{\text{top}}$ and thus E_d^{top} is a group.

As E_d^{top} is the closure of the group $[E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E]$, let p_α, q_β be nets in $[E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E]$ with $p_\alpha \rightarrow p$ and $q_\beta \rightarrow q$. Let $r \in [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E]$, by right-continuity we have $q_\beta r \rightarrow qr$, which implies $qr \in E_d^{\text{top}}$. For every α , we have $[p_\alpha^{-1}, q^{-1}] \in [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E] \subset [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E]$. As $p_\alpha q = qp_\alpha [p_\alpha^{-1}, q^{-1}]$ and $p_\alpha [p_\alpha^{-1}, q^{-1}] \in [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}, E]$, then $p_\alpha q \in E_d^{\text{top}}$. By taking limit, we obtain $pq \in E_d^{\text{top}}$.

Put $H = E_d^{\text{top}} p$. Then $H \subset E_d^{\text{top}}$ and H is closed. Let B be a minimal closed subset of H satisfying $B \cdot B \subset B$. B exists by Zorn's lemma. Now if $g \in B$, then Bg is again closed and $Bg \cdot Bg \subset Bg$. So $Bg = B$. Therefore there exists $u \in B$ with $ug = g$. Then $u = e$ and $e \in H$, this implies $p^{-1} \in E_d^{\text{top}}$.

We conclude that E_d^{top} is a subgroup of E for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let integer $d \geq 2$ and let E be a d -step top-nilpotent Ellis-group. Then for $2 \leq j \leq d$, the quotient group E/E_j^{top} with the quotient topology is a $(j-1)$ -step top-nilpotent Ellis-group.*

Proof. Note that $[E_j^{\text{top}}, E] \subset E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}$ for every $j \in [d]$, by Theorem 4.10, the quotient group E/E_j^{top} with the quotient topology is an Ellis-group.

Let $2 \leq j \leq d$, then by induction we have

$$(E/E_j^{\text{top}})_k^{\text{top}} = E_k^{\text{top}}/E_j^{\text{top}}, 1 \leq k \leq j,$$

which meaning E/E_j^{top} is $(j-1)$ -step top-nilpotent. \square

We give a summary of what we will need in future to end this section.

Proposition 4.15. Let $d, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let E be a d -step top-nilpotent Ellis-group and let $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), j \in [d]$ be the subgroup of $E^{[l]}$ spanned by

$$\{g^{(F)} : g \in E_k^{\text{top}}, F \subset \{0, 1\}^l, \text{codim}(F) = 2^k, j \leq k \leq d\}.$$

- (1) For $l > 2^d, j \in [d], [\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E), \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E)] \subset \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^{[l]}(E)$ and $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E)$ is closed in $E^{[l]}$.
- (2) Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_\omega) \in \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E)$, then

$$\prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^l} g_\omega^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = e,$$

where $|\omega|$ denotes the number of 1 appearing in ω .

- (3) Let p_α be a net in E and q_α be a net in E_j^{top} such that $p_\alpha \rightarrow p$ and $q_\alpha \rightarrow q$. Then every limit point of the net $\{p_\alpha q_\alpha\}$ belongs to pqE_{j+1}^{top} .

5. MINIMAL SYSTEMS WITH TOP-NILPOTENT ENVELOPING SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we discuss minimal systems with top-nilpotent enveloping semigroups and show that such systems are indeed systems of order ∞ .

For a distal system (X, G) , let $\{E_d^{\text{top}}(X)\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the sequence of topological commutators of $E(X)$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be the factor map between systems (X, G) and (Y, G) . There exists a unique continuous semigroup homomorphism $\pi^* : E(X) \rightarrow E(Y)$ such that $\pi(ux) = \pi^*(u)\pi(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $u \in E(X)$.

Note that if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a factor map between distal systems, we have that $\pi^*(E_d^{\text{top}}(X)) = E_d^{\text{top}}(Y)$ for every $d \geq 1$.

5.1. Enveloping semigroups of systems of order d . The results in this subsection have been proven in [5] for $G = \mathbb{Z}$. When G is abelian, it is easy to generalize the proof. So we refer [5] for the proofs.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (X, G) be a system of order d . Then, its enveloping semigroup is d -step top-nilpotent.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let (X, G) be a minimal distal system. If $E(X)$ is d -step top-nilpotent, then $E_d^{\text{top}}(X)$ is a compact group of automorphisms of (X, G) in the uniform topology.*

5.2. Equivalence relations generated by enveloping semigroups.

Lemma 5.3. *Let integer $d \geq 2$ and let (X, G) be a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup $E(X)$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$\mathbf{R}_j(X) = \{(x, px) : x \in X, p \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)\},$$

then $\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is a closed invariant equivalence relation, and the factor $X_j = X/\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ has a j -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Moreover it is the maximal factor of X with this property and consequently (X_j, G) is an extension of $(X/\mathbf{RP}^{[j]}(X), G)$.

Proof. When $j \geq d$, $\mathbf{R}_j(X) = \Delta$, the result is trivial. Let integer $1 \leq j < d$. We first show that $\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is a closed invariant equivalence relation.

$\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is G -invariant as every element of $E(X)$ commutes with G . Let $p_1, p_2 \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$ and $x \in X$, then $(x, p_1x), (x, p_2x) \in \mathbf{R}_j(X)$. By Lemma 4.13, $E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$ is a group, thus $p_1p_2^{-1} \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$ and $(y, p_1p_2^{-1}y) \in \mathbf{R}_j(X)$ for every $y \in X$. Particularly, let $y = p_2x$, we have $(p_1x, p_2x) \in \mathbf{R}_j(X)$. This shows that $\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is an equivalence relation. We next show that it is also closed.

Let points $\{(x_n, p_nx_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{R}_j(X)$ and assume that $(x_n, p_nx_n) \rightarrow (x, y), n \rightarrow \infty$. As (X, G) is minimal, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $q_n \in E(X)$ such that $x_n = q_nx$. Without loss of generality, assume that $q_n \rightarrow q \in E(X)$, then $q_nx \rightarrow qx$ and $qx = x$. By property (3) of Proposition 4.15, there is some element $r \in E_{j+2}^{\text{top}}(X)$ such that $p_nq_n \rightarrow pqr$. Let $u = p[q, r]r = pqrq^{-1}$, then $u \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$ and we have

$$(x_n, p_nx_n) = (q_nx, p_nq_nx) \rightarrow (qx, pqrqx) = (qx, uqx) = (x, ux), n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies $y = ux$. This shows that $\mathbf{R}_j(X)$ is closed.

Now we can build factors by letting $X_j = X/\mathbf{R}_j(X), j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\pi_j : X \rightarrow X_j$ be the factor map. Let $v \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$, then we have $\pi_j(x) = \pi_j(vx) = \pi_j^*(v)\pi_j(x)$ for every $x \in X$, and thus $\pi_j^*(v) = \text{id}_{X_j}$. This shows that $E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X_j)$ is trivial.

Let (Z, G) be a factor of (X, G) with a j -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup and let $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ be the factor map. As $\phi^*(E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)) = \text{id}_Z$, then for $u \in E_{j+1}^{\text{top}}(X)$, we have $\phi(ux) = \phi^*(u)\phi(x) = \phi(x)$ and therefore ϕ can be factorized through X_j .

By Lemma 5.1, $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[j]}(X)$ has a j -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup, we deduce that (X_j, G) is an extension of $(X/\mathbf{RP}^{[j]}(X), G)$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let (X, G) be a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Let $X_k = X/\mathbf{R}_k(X)$, then the extension $X_j \rightarrow X_{j-1}$ is isometric.*

Proof. Let integer $2 \leq j \leq d$. By Lemma 5.3, the system (X_j, G) has a j -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Let $X'_{j-1} = X_j/\mathbf{R}_{j-1}(X_j)$. By Lemma 5.2, the extension $X_j \rightarrow X'_{j-1}$ is a group extension and thus it is an isometric extension. Note that X'_{j-1} is also a factor of X_{j-1} , thus the extension $X_j \rightarrow X_{j-1}$ is isometric. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 5.5. *Let (X, G) be a system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. For integer $l > 2^d$, let $E(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ be the enveloping semigroup of the system $(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$. Then we have*

$$E_j^{\text{top}}(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]}) \subset \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E(X)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d,$$

where $E(X)$ is the enveloping semigroup of system (X, G) . In particular, $E(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is d -step top-nilpotent.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, (X, G) is distal, so is the product system

$$(X, G)^{[l]} = \underbrace{(X, G) \times \cdots \times (X, G)}_{2^l \text{ times}}.$$

Hence $E((X, G)^{[l]}) = E(X)^{[l]}$ is a closed group in $(X^{[l]})^{X^{[l]}}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E(X))$ is defined as the subgroup of $E(X)^{[l]}$ spanned by

$$\{g^{(F)} : g \in E_k^{\text{top}}(X), F \subset \{0, 1\}^l, \text{codim}(F) = 2^k, j \leq k \leq d\}.$$

It follows from property (1) in Proposition 4.15 that $\mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E(X))$ is closed in $E(X)^{[l]}$ and so it is also closed in $(X^{[l]})^{X^{[l]}}$.

By the definition of parallelepiped groups, we have $\mathcal{G}^{[l]} \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E(X))$. Note that $E(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is the closure of $\mathcal{G}^{[l]}$ in $(X^{[l]})^{X^{[l]}}$ we deduce that

$$E(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]}) \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{[l]}(E(X)).$$

By induction and property (3) in Proposition 4.15, we obtain that

$$E_j^{\text{top}}(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]}) \subset \mathcal{C}_j^{[l]}(E(X)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d,$$

hence $E(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is d -step top-nilpotent. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let (X, G) be a distal system and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let Y be a subsystem of X , then for any $p \in E_d^{\text{top}}(Y)$, there exists $p' \in E_d^{\text{top}}(X)$ such that $p'|_Y = p$. That is, $p'(y) = p(y)$ for every $y \in Y$.*

Proof. To show the proof clearly, write subsystem (Y, G) as $(Y, G|_Y)$.

We show it by induction on d .

When $d = 1$. Let $p \in E(Y)$, then there is some sequence $\{g_i|_Y\} \subset G|_Y$ such that $g_i|_Y \rightarrow p$ pointwise. Without loss of generality, assume that $g_i \rightarrow p' \in E(X)$. By pointwise convergence, we obtain that $p'(y) = p(y)$ for every $y \in Y$.

Let integer $d > 1$ and suppose the statement is true for all $j < d$. Let $q \in E_d^{\text{top}}(Y)$, then there is some net $\{q_\alpha\} \subset [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}(Y), E(Y)]$ with $q_\alpha \rightarrow q$ pointwise. By inductive hypothesis, for every α there is $q'_\alpha \in [E_{d-1}^{\text{top}}(X), E(X)]$ such that $q'_\alpha|_Y = q_\alpha$. Without loss of generality, assume that $q'_\alpha \rightarrow q' \in E_d^{\text{top}}(X)$. By pointwise convergence, we obtain that $q'(y) = q(y)$ for every $y \in Y$.

This completes the proof. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 5.5 and 5.6, we have following result.

Corollary 5.7. *Let (X, G) be a system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Let Y be a subsystem of X . Then the enveloping semigroup of Y is also d -step top-nilpotent.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We show it by induction on the nilpotency class d .

When $d = 1$, the result follows from Theorem 2.10 immediately.

Let integer $d > 1$ and suppose the statement is true for every $1 \leq j < d$. Now let (X, G) be a minimal system with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup and let

$E(X)$ be its enveloping semigroup. By Lemma 5.3, the factor $X_{d-1} = X/\mathbf{R}_{d-1}(X)$ has a $(d-1)$ -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. By inductive hypothesis, we obtain that X_{d-1} is a system of order ∞ . Thus by Remark 2.6,

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X) \subset \mathbf{R}_{d-1}(X) = \{(x, px) : x \in X, p \in E_d^{\text{top}}(X)\}.$$

Suppose for a contradiction that $\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$ is nontrivial. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$ with $x \neq y$. By relation (7), there is some element $p \in E_d^{\text{top}}(X)$ with $y = px$.

For integer $l > 2^d$, let $\tilde{E}^{[l]}$ be the enveloping semigroup of the system $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$. It follows from Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.7 that $\tilde{E}^{[l]}$ is also d -step top-nilpotent. As the system $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is minimal, by Lemma 5.3, we obtain that $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)/\mathbf{R}_{d-1}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X))$ has a $(d-1)$ -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup. Again by inductive hypothesis, it is a system of order ∞ . It follows from Theorem 3.3 that the maximal factor of order ∞ of system $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$, where $X_\infty = X/\mathbf{RP}^{[\infty]}(X)$. So we have following factor maps:

$$\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)/\mathbf{R}_{d-1}(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)).$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = (px, x_*^{[l]})$, then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$. Moreover the images of the points $x^{[l]}$ and \mathbf{x} in $\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X_\infty)$ are equal. Hence there exists some element $\mathbf{q} \in (\tilde{E}^{[l]})_d^{\text{top}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{q}x^{[l]}.$$

As $(\mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X), \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ is a subsystem of $(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$, by Lemma 5.6 there exists some element $\mathbf{p} \in E_d^{\text{top}}(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]})$ such that $\mathbf{q}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{p}\mathbf{y}$ for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Q}^{[l]}(X)$. In particular, we have $\mathbf{q}x^{[l]} = \mathbf{p}x^{[l]}$ and so

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{p}x^{[l]} = \mathbf{x}.$$

It follows from Lemma 5.5 that

$$E_d^{\text{top}}(X^{[l]}, \mathcal{G}^{[l]}) \subset \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E(X)).$$

Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_\omega : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^l)$, then $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{C}_d^{[l]}(E(X))$ and the following equation holds,

$$(9) \quad \prod_{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l} p_\omega^{(-1)^{|\omega|}} = \text{id},$$

by property (2) in Proposition 4.15.

We now return to the equation (8). For $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^l$, by considering the projection on the ω -component, we have $p_{\bar{0}}x = px$ and $p_\omega x = x$ otherwise. As elements p_ω, p belong to $E_d^{\text{top}}(X)$ which is included in the center of $E(X)$, we deduce that $p_{\bar{0}} = p$ and $p_\omega = \text{id}$ otherwise. It is a contradiction as on this case the element \mathbf{p} does not satisfy equation (9). We conclude that X is a system of order ∞ .

This completes the proof. \square

6. FURSTENBER TOWER OF MINIMAL NILSYSTEMS

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a factor map between minimal systems. Then the family of factors of X above Y that are isometric extensions of Y admits a maximal element, called the *maximal isometric extension* of Y below X . In this section, we show that for a minimal nilsystem, Furstenber tower and pro-nilfactors coincide. Precisely, let

integer $s \geq 2$ and let (X, T) be a minimal s -step nilsystem, the maximal isometric extension of $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ below X is $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$, $1 \leq d \leq s - 1$.

6.1. Maximal isometric extensions. We start with the following characterizations of maximal isometric extension.

Definition 6.1. Let (X, T) be a minimal system and $R \subset X \times X$ be a closed invariant equivalence relation, we define

$$Q(R) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (T \times T)^{-n} \Delta_{\frac{1}{k}} \cap R},$$

where $\Delta_{\frac{1}{k}} := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \rho(x, y) < \frac{1}{k}\}$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $X_1 \xrightarrow[\phi]{} X_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} X_3$ be factor maps between minimal systems (X_i, T) , $i = 1, 2, 3$, if π is isometric, so is ϕ .

Proof. It follows from the definition of isometric extension. \square

Lemma 6.3. [2, Chapter 7] Let (X, T) be a minimal distal system and $R \subset X \times X$ be a closed invariant equivalence relation. Then the maximal isometric extension of X/R below X is $X/Q(R)$.

Lemma 6.4. [14, Appendix E.15] Let $(X, T), (Y_1, T), (Y_2, T)$ be systems and $\pi_i : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y_i, T)$, $i = 1, 2$ be factor maps. If $R_{\pi_1} \subset R_{\pi_2}$, then there exists a factor map θ from Y_1 to Y_2 , such that $\theta \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2$.

Theorem 6.5. Let (X, T) be a minimal distal system and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the extension $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X) \rightarrow X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is isometric.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.4 and the fact $\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X) \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ that the extension between systems $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$ and $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ exists. We may assume that this extension is not trivial, otherwise there is nothing to prove, that is, $\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$ is not equal to $\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$. By Lemma 6.3, it suffices to show that $Q(\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)) \subset \mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$. If $Q(\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X))$ is trivial, then the result holds.

Otherwise, let $(x, y) \in Q(\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X))$ with $x \neq y$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Definition 6.1, there exist $(x', y') \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\rho(x, x') < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \rho(y, y') < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \text{ and } \rho(T^n x', T^n y') < \varepsilon.$$

There is $\delta > 0$ such that $\rho(T^n u, T^n v) < \varepsilon$ whenever $u, v \in X$ with $\rho(u, v) < \delta < \varepsilon$. As $(x', y') \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$, there exist $x'', y'' \in X$ and $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$\rho(x', x'') < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \rho(y', y'') < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \text{ and } \rho(T^{\vec{n} \cdot \omega} x'', T^{\vec{n} \cdot \omega} y'') < \delta,$$

for all $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$, where $\vec{n} \cdot \omega = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \omega_i$. Put $\vec{m} = (\vec{n}, n)$, then $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. We have $\rho(x, x'') < \varepsilon, \rho(y, y'') < \varepsilon$ and

$$\rho(T^{\vec{m} \cdot \alpha} x'', T^{\vec{m} \cdot \alpha} y'') < \varepsilon, \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{d+1} \setminus \{\vec{0}\},$$

which implies $(x, y) \in \mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X)$.

We conclude that the extension $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d+1]}(X) \rightarrow X/\mathbf{RP}^{[d]}(X)$ is isometric. \square

6.2. Nilsystems. We start by recalling some basic results in nilsystems. For more details and proofs, see [1, 16]. If G is a nilpotent Lie group, let G^0 denote the connected component of its unit element 1_G . Then G^0 is an open, normal subgroup of G . In the sequel, $s \geq 2$ is an integer and $(X = G/\Gamma, T)$ is a minimal s -step nilsystem. We let τ denote the element of G defining the transformation T . Without loss of generality, we can restrict to the case that G is spanned by G^0 and τ . We can also assume that G^0 is simply connected. This in turns implies that the commutator subgroups $G_i, 2 \leq i \leq s$ are connected and included in G^0 . In this case, G^0 can be endowed with a *Mal'cev basis*. Using this basis, we can identify G^0/G_2 with \mathbb{R}^p for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If $s \geq 3$, G_{s-1}/G_s is an abelian group and this group can be identified with \mathbb{R}^q for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and such that the subgroup $(\Gamma \cap G_{s-1})/(\Gamma \cap G_s)$ corresponds to \mathbb{Z}^q . Let $\pi : G \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection.

For $1 \leq r \leq s-1$, define $Z_r = G/G_{r+1}\Gamma$. Let $\pi_r : X \rightarrow Z_r$ be the quotient map.

The factors Z_r define a decreasing sequence of factors between nilsystems, starting with $X = Z_s$ and ending with Z_1 :

$$(10) \quad Z_s \rightarrow Z_{s-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_r \rightarrow Z_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1.$$

Refer [4] for following description of pro-nilfactors of a minimal nilsystem.

Lemma 6.6. *Let (X, T) be a minimal s -step nilsystem. For $1 \leq r \leq s$, if X_r is the maximal r -step pro-nilfactor of X , then X_r has the form $G/(G_{r+1}\Gamma)$, endowed with the translation by the projection of τ on G/G_{r+1} .*

Lemma 6.6 tells us that for $1 \leq r \leq s$, Z_r defined in (10) is indeed the maximal r -step pro-nilfactor of X . By Theorem 6.5, we obtain that the extension $Z_{r+1} \rightarrow Z_r$ is isometric. It suffices to show that such extension is maximal.

If $s = 2$, the result holds. We assume that $s \geq 3$.

First, we choose a metric d_G on the group G that defines its topology. For the moment, we only assume that this distance is invariant under right translations, meaning that for all $g, g', h \in G$,

$$d_G(gh, g'h) = d_G(g, g').$$

The nilmanifold X is endowed with the quotient distance, meaning that $x, y \in X$,

$$d_X(x, y) = \inf \{ d_G(g, h) : \pi(g) = x \text{ and } \pi(h) = y \}.$$

In other words, for all $g, h \in G$, we have

$$d_X(\pi(g), \pi(h)) = \inf_{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma} d_G(g\alpha, h\beta) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_G(g, h\gamma).$$

Remark 6.7. For any $g, h \in G_{s-1}, r \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$[gh, r] = [g, r][h, r], \quad [g^n, r] = [g, r]^n = [g, r^n].$$

The maps

$$G \rightarrow G, \quad \cdot \mapsto [g, \cdot], \quad \cdot \mapsto [\cdot, g]$$

and

$$G_{s-1} \rightarrow G_{s-1}, \quad \cdot \mapsto [\cdot, r] \quad \cdot \mapsto [r, \cdot]$$

are all continuous.

Proof. As $[h, r]$ belongs to G_s and thus belongs to the center of G , we have

$$[gh, r] = ghrh^{-1}g^{-1}r^{-1} = g(hrh^{-1}r^{-1})rg^{-1}r^{-1} = [g, r][h, r].$$

Moreover, for any integer $n \geq 1$, $[g^n, r] = [g, r]^n = [g, r^n]$.

Now let $u, u' \in G_{s-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_G([u, g], [u', g]) &= d_G([u, g], [uu^{-1}u', g]) \\ &= d_G([u, g], [u^{-1}u', g][u, g]) \\ &= d_G(1_G, [u^{-1}u', g]) \quad (\text{by right-invariance}) \\ &\leq d_G(u, u') + d_G(gug^{-1}, gu'g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of the map $G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$, we deduce that the map $G_{s-1} \rightarrow G_{s-1}, \cdot \mapsto [\cdot, g]$ is also continuous.

By similar argument, we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 6.8. *For any $g \in G^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $h \in G^0$ such that $h^n = g$.*

Proof. See Remark 6.10. \square

The following result can be found in [13], here we give a direct proof.

Lemma 6.9. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in G_s$, there exist $h \in G_{s-1}, \theta \in G_s \cap \Gamma$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $d_G([h, \tau^k], t\theta) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Fix $t \in G_s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u \in G_{s-1}$ and $v \in G$ such that $[u, v] = t$. As the group G is spanned by G^0 and τ , there exist $v_1 \in G^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $v = v_1\tau^n$. Recall that G_{s-1}/G_s is an abelian group, we can regard this group as \mathbb{R}^q for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that rational elements are dense in \mathbb{R}^q , there exists $u_1 \in G_{s-1}$ such that u_1G_s is rational in G_{s-1}/G_s (i.e. $u_1^l a_2 \in \Gamma \cap G_{s-1}$ for some $a_2 \in G_s$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$) and it is also close enough to uG_s in G_{s-1}/G_s which meaning for some $a_1 \in G_s$,

$$d_G([u, v_1\tau^n], [u_1, v_1\tau^n]) = d_G([u, v_1\tau^n], [u_1 a_1, v_1\tau^n]) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By Lemma 6.8, let $v_2 \in G^0$ with $v_2^l = v_1$. By Remark 6.7, we obtain

$$[u_1, v_1\tau^n] = [u_1, v_2^l\tau^n] = [u_1^l, v_2][u_1, \tau^n].$$

As (X, T) is minimal and by continuity of the map $\cdot \mapsto [u_1^l, \cdot]$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$d_G([u_1^l, v_2], [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma]) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(t, [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma][u_1, \tau^n]) &= d_G([u, v_1\tau^n], [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma][u_1, \tau^n]) \\ &< d_G([u_1, v_1\tau^n], [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma][u_1, \tau^n]) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= d_G([u_1^l, v_2][u_1, \tau^n], [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma][u_1, \tau^n]) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= d_G([u_1^l, v_2], [u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma]) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Again by Remark 6.7,

$$[u_1^l, \tau^m\gamma][u_1, \tau^n] = [u_1, \tau^{ml+n}][u_1^l, \gamma] = [u_1, \tau^{ml+n}][u_1^l a_2, \gamma].$$

Put $h = u_1, k = ml + n, \theta = [u_1^l a_2, \gamma]^{-1} \in G_s \cap \Gamma$. We have $d_G([h, \tau^k], t\theta) < \varepsilon$. \square

Remark 6.10. Indeed, in Lemma 6.9, we can choose h small enough satisfying the inequality. Now assume that h, θ, k have been chosen satisfying Lemma 6.9. Let K be the closed ball $\{g \in G^0 : d_G(g, 1_G) \leq 2d_G(h, 1_G)\}$ in G^0 and let the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G^0 endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let L be a closed ball in \mathfrak{g} centered at 0 such that $\{\exp \xi : \xi \in L\} \supset K$. Since the exponential map \exp is a diffeomorphism from \mathfrak{g} onto G^0 , we have that the restriction of the exponential map L is Lipschitz. Thus there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\xi \in L$,

$$C^{-1}\|\xi\| \leq d_G(\exp(\xi), 1_G) \leq C\|\xi\|.$$

Writing $h = \exp(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in L$ and setting $h_m = \exp(\xi/m)$, it follows that $(h_m)^m = \exp(\xi) = h$ and

$$(11) \quad d(h_m, 1_G) \leq C\|\xi/m\| = C\|\xi\|/m \leq C^2 d_G(h, 1_G)/m.$$

In inequality (11), let integer m large enough such that $d_G(h_m, 1_G) < \varepsilon$, then

$$d_G([h_m, \tau^{mk}], t\theta) = d_G([(h_m)^m, \tau^k], t\theta) = d_G([h, \tau^k], t\theta) < \varepsilon.$$

We emphasize that there is no other restriction on k in Lemma 6.9.

Lemma 6.11. *The extension $\pi_{s-2} : X \rightarrow Z_{s-2}$ is not isometric.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that the extension π_{s-2} is isometric. Let $t \in G_s$ with $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_G(t, \gamma) > 0$. We claim that $(\Gamma, t\Gamma)$ is a proximal pair in X .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the extension π_{s-2} is isometric, there is $(\varepsilon >) \delta > 0$ such that whenever $g, h \in G$ satisfying $(g\Gamma, h\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-2}}$ and $d_X(g\Gamma, h\Gamma) < \delta$, then we have $d_X(T^n g\Gamma, T^n h\Gamma) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By Lemma 6.9, there exist $u \in G_{s-1}, \theta \in G_s \cap \Gamma$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$d_G(u, 1_G) < \delta \text{ and } d_G([u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}], t\theta) < \delta.$$

As t, θ belong to the center of the group G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(\tau^{n_0} u, \tau^{n_0} t\theta) &= d_G(u[u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}], t\theta) \\ &\leq d_G(u[u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}], [u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}]) + d_G([u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}], t\theta) \\ &= d_G(u, 1_G) + d_G([u^{-1}, \tau^{n_0}], t\theta) \\ &< 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $(\Gamma, u\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-2}}$ and $d_X(\Gamma, u\Gamma) \leq d_G(1_G, u) < \delta$, so we have $d_X(T^n \Gamma, T^n u\Gamma) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, it follows from the definition of the metric d_X that $d_X(T^n \Gamma, T^n u\Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_G(\tau^n, \tau^n u\gamma)$. Let $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ with $d_G(\tau^{n_0}, \tau^{n_0} u\gamma_0) = d_X(T^{n_0} \Gamma, T^{n_0} u\Gamma) < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(T^{n_0} \Gamma, T^{n_0} t\Gamma) &\leq d_G(\tau^{n_0}, \tau^{n_0} t\theta\gamma_0) \\ &\leq d_G(\tau^{n_0}, \tau^{n_0} u\gamma_0) + d_G(\tau^{n_0} u\gamma_0, \tau^{n_0} t\theta\gamma_0) \\ &= d_G(\tau^{n_0}, \tau^{n_0} u\gamma_0) + d_G(\tau^{n_0} u, \tau^{n_0} t\theta) < 3\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\Gamma, t\Gamma)$ is a proximal pair in X . This is a contradiction as the system (X, T) is distal.

We conclude that the extension π_{s-2} is not isometric. \square

Lemma 6.12. *The maximal isometric extension of Z_{s-2} below X is Z_{s-1} .*

Proof. Let $Y = X/Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$. By Theorem 6.5, there are factor maps:

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z_{s-1} \rightarrow Z_{s-2}.$$

By Lemma 6.11, we deduce that $Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$ is not trivial.

Claim 1: If elements $u, v \in G$ satisfy $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}}) \setminus \Delta$, then for every $t \in G_s$, we have $(u\Gamma, tv\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$.

Proof of the Claim 1. Fix $t \in G_s$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u, v \in G$ such that $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}}) \setminus \Delta$. We may assume that t does not belong to Γ , otherwise there is nothing to prove. Since $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$, by the definition of maximal isometric extension, there exist $(u'\Gamma, v'\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-2}}$ and infinite $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$(12) \quad d_X(u\Gamma, u'\Gamma) < \varepsilon, \quad d_X(v\Gamma, v'\Gamma) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(T^n u'\Gamma, T^n v'\Gamma) < \varepsilon.$$

As $t \in G_s$, by Lemma 6.9, there exist $h \in G_{s-1}, \theta \in G_s \cap \Gamma$ and integer n which also satisfies the inequality (12), such that

$$(13) \quad d_G(h, 1_G) < \varepsilon, \quad d_G([h^{-1}, u'], 1_G) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad d_G([h^{-1}, \tau^n], t\theta) < \varepsilon.$$

As $(u'\Gamma, v'\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-2}}$, we have $u'^{-1}v' \in G_{s-1}\Gamma$ and

$$(u'h)^{-1}tv' = th^{-1}u'^{-1}v' \in G_{s-1}\Gamma,$$

which implies $(u'h\Gamma, tv'\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-2}}$. We next show

- (1) $d_X(u\Gamma, u'h\Gamma) < 3\varepsilon$;
- (2) $d_X(tv\Gamma, tv'\Gamma) < \varepsilon$;
- (3) $d_X(T^n u'h\Gamma, T^n tv'\Gamma) < 4\varepsilon$.

From this, we get that $(u\Gamma, tv\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$.

For inequality (2). As t belongs to the center of the group G , we have

$$d_X(tv\Gamma, tv'\Gamma) = d_X(v\Gamma, v'\Gamma) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{by (12).}$$

For inequality (1). Choose $\gamma, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ such that

$$(14) \quad d_G(u, u'\gamma_1) = d_X(u\Gamma, u'\Gamma) < \varepsilon \quad \text{by (12),}$$

$$(15) \quad d_G(\tau^n u', \tau^n v'\gamma) = d_X(T^n u'\Gamma, T^n v'\Gamma) < \varepsilon \quad \text{by (12).}$$

By right-invariance of the metric d_G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(u\Gamma, u'h\Gamma) &\leq d_G(u, u'h\gamma_1) = d_G(u, h[h^{-1}, u']u'\gamma_1) \\ &\leq d_G(u, u'\gamma_1) + d_G(u'\gamma_1, h[h^{-1}, u']u'\gamma_1) \\ &= d_G(u, u'\gamma_1) + d_G(1_G, h[h^{-1}, u']) \\ &\leq d_G(u, u'\gamma_1) + d_G(1_G, [h^{-1}, u']) + d_G([h^{-1}, u'], h[h^{-1}, u']) \\ &= d_G(u, u'\gamma_1) + d_G(1_G, [h^{-1}, u']) + d_G(1_G, h) < 3\varepsilon \quad \text{by (13)(14).} \end{aligned}$$

For inequality (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_X(T^n u' h \Gamma, T^n t v' \Gamma) \\
& \leq d_X(T^n u' h \Gamma, T^n u' t \Gamma) + d_X(T^n u' t \Gamma, T^n t v' \Gamma) \\
& = d_X(T^n u' h \Gamma, T^n u' t \Gamma) + d_X(T^n u' \Gamma, T^n v' \Gamma) && (t \in G_s) \\
& < d_X(T^n u' h \Gamma, T^n u' t \Gamma) + \varepsilon && \text{by (12)} \\
& \leq d_G(\tau^n u' h, \tau^n u' t \theta) + \varepsilon \\
& = d_G(\tau^n h [h^{-1}, u'] u', \tau^n t \theta u') + \varepsilon \\
& = d_G([h^{-1}, u'] \tau^n h, \tau^n t \theta) + \varepsilon && ([h^{-1}, u'] \in G_s) \\
& \leq d_G([h^{-1}, u'] \tau^n h, \tau^n h) + d_G(\tau^n h, \tau^n t \theta) + \varepsilon \\
& = d_G([h^{-1}, u'], 1_G) + d_G(h [h^{-1}, \tau^n], t \theta) + \varepsilon \\
& = d_G([h^{-1}, u'], 1_G) + d_G(h, 1_G) + d_G([h^{-1}, \tau^n], t \theta) + \varepsilon \\
& < 4\varepsilon && \text{by (13)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows Claim 1. \square

Let $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$ be the factor map and $\varphi = \theta \circ \pi : G \rightarrow Y$, then φ is continuous. Let $H = \{g \in G : \varphi(g) = \varphi(1_G)\}$. Clearly, we have $\Gamma \subset H \subset G_s \Gamma$.

Claim 2: $(g\Gamma, gh\Gamma) \in R_\theta$ for any $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, then $(\Gamma, h\Gamma) \in R_\theta$. Since $H \subset G_s \Gamma$, we may assume that $h \in G_s$. As the system (X, T) is minimal, there is some sequence $\{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T^{n_k} \Gamma \rightarrow g\Gamma, k \rightarrow \infty$. As h belongs to the center of the group G ,

$$T^{n_k} h \Gamma = h T^{n_k} \Gamma \rightarrow h g \Gamma = g h \Gamma, k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$(T \times T)^{n_k}(\Gamma, h\Gamma) \rightarrow (g\Gamma, gh\Gamma), k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that R_θ is an equivalence relation, we have $(g\Gamma, gh\Gamma) \in R_\theta$. \square

Claim 3: There is some element $h \in H \cap G_s$ such that $h \notin \Gamma$.

Proof of Claim 3. Recall that $R_\theta = Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$ is not trivial. We can choose $u, v \in G$ such that $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in R_\theta \setminus \Delta$. Then $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-1}}$ which implies that there is some $h \in G_s$ and $h \notin \Gamma$ such that $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) = (u\Gamma, uh\Gamma)$. It suffices to show that $h \in H$.

As the system (X, T) is minimal, there is some sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T^{m_k} u\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma, k \rightarrow \infty$. As h belongs to the center of the group G ,

$$T^{m_k} u h \Gamma = h T^{m_k} u \Gamma \rightarrow h \Gamma, k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$(T \times T)^{m_k}(u\Gamma, uh\Gamma) \rightarrow (\Gamma, h\Gamma), k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that R_θ is an equivalence relation, we have $(\Gamma, h\Gamma) \in R_\theta$ which implies that $h \in H$ as was to be shown. \square

We next show that $Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}}) = R_{\pi_{s-1}}$.

It is easy to see that $Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}}) \subset R_{\pi_{s-1}}$ by Theorem 6.5. Conversely. Let $u, v \in G$ with $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) \in R_{\pi_{s-1}}$. Then there exist $g \in G_s$ such that $(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) = (u\Gamma, ug\Gamma)$. By Claim 3, there is some element $h \in H \cap G_s$ such that $h \notin \Gamma$. Then $(u\Gamma, uh\Gamma) \in R_\theta = Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}})$ by Claim 2. Notice that $u\Gamma$ and $uh\Gamma$ are two distinct points in X by the choice of h , so applying Claim 1 by taking $t = h^{-1}g$, we obtain that

$$(u\Gamma, v\Gamma) = (u\Gamma, ug\Gamma) = (u\Gamma, (h^{-1}g)uh\Gamma) \in Q(R_{\pi_{s-2}}),$$

as was to be shown.

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6.13. *Let $s \geq 3$ and let (X, T) be a minimal s -step nilsystem. Then for $3 \leq r \leq s$, the maximal isometric extension of Z_{r-2} below X is Z_{r-1} .*

Proof. Let $3 \leq r \leq s$. Let $G' = G/G_{r+1}$, $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the quotient homomorphism, $\Gamma' = \phi(\Gamma)$ and $\tau' = \phi(\tau)$. Then for every $j \geq 1$, we have that $\phi(G_j) = G'_j$. Therefore $G'_{r+1} = \{1_{G'}\}$ and (X', T') is an r -step nilsystem. Moreover, since (X', T') is a factor of (X, T) , it is minimal.

Applying Lemma 6.12 for $s = r$, we obtain that the maximal isometric extension of Z'_{r-2} below X' is Z'_{r-1} where $Z'_{r-2} = G'/G'_{r-1}\Gamma'$ and $Z'_{r-1} = G'/G'_r\Gamma'$.

Recalling that $G' = G/G_{r+1}$, we obtain that Z_i is conjugate to Z'_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus the maximal isometric extension of Z_{r-2} below Z_r is Z_{r-1} .

We now return to the proof of the statement. We show it by induction on r .

When $r = s$, it follows from Lemma 6.12.

Suppose the result holds for all $r < j \leq s$. For r , assume that Y is the maximal isometric extension of Z_{r-2} below X . There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Z_r & \longrightarrow & Z_{r-1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{r-2} \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \nearrow \\ & & & & Y & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.2, the extension $Y \rightarrow Z_{r-1}$ is also isometric. By inductive hypothesis, the maximal isometric extension of Z_{r-1} below X is Z_r . This shows that Y is a factor of Z_r and thus Y is an isometric extension of Z_{r-2} below Z_r . By the argument above, we deduce that Y is a factor of Z_{r-1} and so $Y = Z_{r-1}$.

This completes the proof. \square

7. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

Lemma 7.1. *Let integer $d \geq 2$ and let (X, T) be a minimal nilsystem with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup, then it is a d -step nilsystem.*

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Z_k = X/\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$ and $X_k = X/\mathbf{R}_k(X)$. By Lemma 5.3, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (X, T) & \longrightarrow & (X_{d-1}, T) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & (X_2, T) & \longrightarrow & (X_1, T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Z_d, T) & \longrightarrow & (Z_{d-1}, T) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & (Z_2, T) & \longrightarrow & (Z_1, T) \end{array}$$

We will show inductively that $Z_j = X_j, 1 \leq j < d$.

For $j = 1$, X_1 is a factor of X , for which its enveloping semigroup is abelian. By Theorem 2.10, X_1 is an equicontinuous system. Since Z_1 is the maximal equicontinuous factor of X , we obtain that X_1 is a factor of Z_1 and thus $X_1 = Z_1$.

Let an integer $1 \leq j < d$. Suppose the statement is true for all $1 \leq k < j$. That is, $Z_k = X_k, 1 \leq k < j$. Note that the extension $X_j \rightarrow X_{j-1}$ is isometric by Lemma 5.4. On the other hand, by Theorem 6.13, the maximal isometric extension of Z_{j-1} below X is Z_j . This implies that X_j is a factor of Z_j . Thus X_j is equal to Z_j indeed.

We conclude that $Z_j = X_j, 1 \leq j < d$. Suppose for a contradiction that X is not a d -step nilsystem. Then by Theorem 6.13, the maximal isometric extension of Z_{d-1} below X is Z_d . Again by Lemma 5.4, we obtain that $X \rightarrow X_{d-1} = Z_{d-1}$ is isometric which implies that X is a factor of Z_d . It is a contradiction!

We conclude that X is a d -step nilsystem. \square

Corollary 7.2. Let integer $d \geq 2$ and let (X, T) be a system of order ∞ with a d -step top-nilpotent enveloping semigroup, then it is a d -step pro-nilsystem.

Proof. By Theorem 2.9, there exists a sequence of minimal nilsystems $\{(X_i, T)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $X = \varprojlim \{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

As the enveloping semigroup of (X, T) is d -step top-nilpotent, we obtain that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the enveloping semigroup of (X_i, T) is also d -step top-nilpotent. So by Lemma 7.1, they are all d -step nilsystems.

We conclude that X is a d -step pro-nilsystem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. When $d = 1$, it follows from Theorem 2.10.

When $d \geq 2$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 7.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let integer $1 \leq k < d$.

It follows from Lemma 5.3 that $X/\mathbf{R}_k(X)$ is an extension of $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$.

On the other hand, the enveloping semigroup of $X/\mathbf{R}_k(X)$ is k -step top-nilpotent, thus by Theorem 1.3, it is a k -step pro-nilsystem. Recall that $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$ is the maximal k -step pro-nilfactor of X , so $X/\mathbf{R}_k(X)$ is a factor of $X/\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$.

Following these, we deduce that $X/\mathbf{R}_k(X) = X/\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X)$ which implies

$$\mathbf{RP}^{[k]}(X) = \{(x, px) : x \in X, p \in E_{k+1}^{\text{top}}(X)\}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Auslander, L. Green and F. Hahn, *Flows on homogeneous spaces*, Annals of Math. Studies **53**, Princeton 1963.
- [2] J. Auslander, *Minimal flows and their extensions*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **153**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988.
- [3] F. Cai and S. Shao, *Topological characteristic factors along cubes of minimal systems*, Dis. and Con. Dynam. Sys. **39** (2019), no. 9, 5301-5317.
- [4] P. Dong, S. Donoso, A. Maass, S. Shao and X. Ye, *Infinite-step nilsystems, independence and complexity*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **33**(2013), 118-143.
- [5] S. Donoso, *Enveloping semigroups of systems of order d* , Dis. and Con. Dynam. Sys. **34** (2014), no. 7, 2729–2740.
- [6] E. Glasner, *Minimal Nil-transformations of class two*, Israel J. Math. **81** (1993), 31-51.

- [7] E. Glasner, *Enveloping semigroups in topological dynamics*, Topology Appl. **154**(2007), no. 11, 2344-2363.
- [8] E. Glasner, Y. Gutman and X. Ye, *Higher order regionally proximal equivalence relations for general group actions*, Adv. in Math., **333** (2018), 1004-1041.
- [9] B. Green and T. Tao, *Linear equations in primes*, Ann. of Math., **171** (2010), no. 3, 1753-1850.
- [10] B. Host and B. Kra, *Nilpotent Structures in Ergodic Theory, Mathematical surveys and monographs 236*, Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [11] B. Host and B. Kra, *Nonconventional averages and nilmanifolds*, Ann. of Math., **161** (2005), 398-488.
- [12] B. Host, B. Kra and A. Maass, *Nilsequences and a structure theory for topological dynamical systems*, Adv. in Math., **224** (2010), 103-129.
- [13] B. Host, B. Kra and A. Maass, *Variations on topological recurrence*, Monatshefte für Mathematik, **179** (2016), 57-89.
- [14] J. de Vries, *Elements of Topological Dynamics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (**993**), Dordrecht.
- [15] S. Shao and X. Ye, *Regionally proximal relation of order d is an equivalence one for minimal systems and a combinatorial consequence*, Adv. in Math., **231** (2012), 1786-1817.
- [16] W. Parry, *Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds*, Am. J. Math., **91**(1969), 757-771.

(J. Qiu) WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, USTC, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: qiujh@mail.ustc.edu.cn

(J. Zhao) WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, USTC, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: zjianjie@mail.ustc.edu.cn