

Nested Bethe Ansatz for RTT–Algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ Type

Č. Burdík¹⁾, O. Navrátil²⁾

¹⁾ Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, CTU,
Trojanova 13, Prague, Czech Republic
email: burdices@kmlinux.fjfi.cvut.cz

²⁾ Faculty of Transportation Sciences, CTU,
Na Florenci 25, Prague, Czech Republic
email: navraond@fd.cvut.cz

Abstract

We study the highest weight representations of the RTT–algebras for the R–matrix of $\mathfrak{sp}_q(2n)$ type by the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz. It is a generalization of our study for R–matrix of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ type.

1 Introduction

The formulation of the quantum inverse scattering method, or algebraic Bethe ansatz, by the Leningrad school [1] provides eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. The latter is the generating function of the conserved quantities of a large family of quantum integrable models. The transfer matrix eigenvectors are constructed from the representation theory of the RTT–algebras. In order to construct these eigenvectors, one should first prepare Bethe vectors, depending on a set of complex variables. The first formulation of the Bethe vectors for the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ –invariant models was given by P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin in [2] where the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz was introduced. These vectors are given by recursion on the rank of the algebra. Our calculation is some q –generalization of the construction which we published in recent works [3], [4] and [6] for the non-deformed case of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$. Our construction of Bethe vectors used the new RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ which is defined in section 3 and is not the RTT–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}_q(2n)$ type. This algebra has two RTT–subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}_q(n)$ type and the study of the nested Bethe ansatz for this RTT–algebra is in progress. The simplest case for $n = 2$ was really solved and we will publish it in the next paper. Our construction of Bethe vectors is in any sense a generalization of Reshetikhin’s results [7]. Another approach to the nested Bethe ansatz for very special representations of RTT–algebras of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ type was given by Martin and Ramas [8].

The proofs of many claims are explained from the reason of transparency of the main text in the Appendix.

2 Basic definitions and notation

Let indices go through the set $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$. We will denote by \mathbf{E}_i^k the matrices that have all elements equal to zero with the exception of the element on the i -th row and k -th

column that is equal to one. Then relation $\mathbf{E}_i^k \mathbf{E}_r^s = \delta_r^k \mathbf{E}_i^s$ is valid and $\mathbf{I} = \sum_{k=-n}^n \mathbf{E}_k^k$ is the unit matrix.

We will consider the R–matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ which has the shape

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} & \left(\sum_{i,k;i \neq \pm k} \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(x) \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i + f(x^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \right. \\ & + g(x) \sum_{k < i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k - g(x^{-1}) \sum_{i < k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k - \\ & \left. - g(xq^{n+1}) \sum_{k < i} q^{k-i} \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} + g(x^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{i < k} q^{k-i} \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_i = \text{sign}(i)$ and

$$f(x) = \frac{xq - x^{-1}q^{-1}}{x - x^{-1}}, \quad g(x) = \frac{x(q - q^{-1})}{x - x^{-1}}, \quad \alpha(x) = 1 + \frac{q - q^{-1}}{x - x^{-1}}.$$

This R–matrix satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,3}(xy) \mathbf{R}_{2,3}(y) = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}(y) \mathbf{R}_{1,3}(xy) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x)$$

and is invertible. Concretely, the inverse matrix has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha(x^{-1})} & \left(\sum_{i,k;i \neq \pm k} \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(x^{-1}) \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i + f(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} - \right. \\ & - g(x) \sum_{k < i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k + g(x^{-1}) \sum_{i < k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k + \\ & \left. + g(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_{k < i} q^{i-k} \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} - g(x^{-1}q^{n+1}) \sum_{i < k} q^{i-k} \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The RTT–algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ type is an associative algebra \mathcal{A} with unit that is generated by $T_k^i(x)$ for which the monodromy operator

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \sum_{i,k=-n}^n \mathbf{E}_i^k \otimes T_k^i(x)$$

fulfills the RTT–equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_1(x) \mathbf{T}_2(y) = \mathbf{T}_2(y) \mathbf{T}_1(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}).$$

From the invertibility of the R–matrix we have that the operator

$$H(x) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}(x)) = \sum_{i=-n}^n T_i^i(x)$$

fulfills the equation $H(x)H(y) = H(y)H(x)$ for any x and y .

We suppose that in the representation space \mathcal{W} of the RTT–algebra \mathcal{A} there exists a vacuum vector $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, for which $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}\omega$ and

$$T_k^i(x)\omega = 0 \quad \text{for } i < k, \quad T_i^i(x)\omega = \lambda_i(x)\omega \quad \text{for } i = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n.$$

In the vector space $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}\omega$, we will look for eigenvectors of $H(x)$.

3 RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$

In the RTT–algebra \mathcal{A} , we have the RTT–subalgebras $\mathcal{A}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(-)}$ that are generated by the elements $T_k^i(x)$ and $T_{-k}^{-i}(x)$, where $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. First, we will study the subspace

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{A}^{(+)} \mathbf{A}^{(-)} \omega \subset \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A} \omega.$$

Lemma 1. For any $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and any $\Omega \in \mathcal{W}_0$ $T_k^{-i}(x)\Omega = 0$ is valid.

Lemma 2. If we denote

$$\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^k \otimes T_k^i(x), \quad \mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \otimes T_{-k}^{-i}(x),$$

then relation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(xy^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_1^{(\epsilon_1)}(x) \mathbf{T}_2^{(\epsilon_2)}(y) = \mathbf{T}_2^{(\epsilon_2)}(y) \mathbf{T}_1^{(\epsilon_1)}(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(xy^{-1}), \quad (1)$$

is for any $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm$ valid on the space \mathcal{W}_0 , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x)} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g(x) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k - g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k \right) \\ \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x)} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g(x) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} - g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \right) \\ \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x) &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x^{-1}q) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} - \\ &\quad - g(xq^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} + g(x^{-1}q) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \\ \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(x) &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(x^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i - \\ &\quad - g(xq^{n+1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^i + g(x^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^i. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. If we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x) &= \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(x) + \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x) + \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(x) + \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(x) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{T}}(x) &= \mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x) + \mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

we have on the space \mathcal{W}_0 the RTT–equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}) \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1(x) \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_2(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_2(y) \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_1(x) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}).$$

Moreover, the R–matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x)$ fulfills the Yang–Baxter equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x)$$

and has the inverse matrix

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x))^{-1} = (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(x))^{-1} + (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x))^{-1} + (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(x))^{-1} + (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(x))^{-1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(x))^{-1} &= \frac{1}{f(x^{-1})} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(x^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i - \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(x) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k + g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k \right) \\ (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(x))^{-1} &= \frac{1}{f(x^{-1})} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. -g(x) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} + g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \right) \\ (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x))^{-1} &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \\ &\quad + g(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} - g(x^{-1}q^{n+1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \\ (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(x))^{-1} &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^k + f(xq) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^i + \\ &\quad + g(xq) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^i - g(x^{-1}q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_k^i \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The validity of the RTT–equation is Lemma 2. The Yang–Baxter equation, which is equivalent to the equations

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3)}(xy) \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}(y) = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}(y) \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3)}(xy) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(x) \quad (2)$$

and the conditions for the inverse R –matrix, i.e. the relations

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(x) (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)}(x))^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_{\epsilon_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\epsilon_2}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{I}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i, \quad \mathbf{I}_- = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i},$$

can be shown by direct calculation.

Definition. We denote the RTT–algebra defined by the R –matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x)$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$.

We find out by the standard procedure from the RTT–equation (1) that in the RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ mutually commute not only the operators $\tilde{H}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}(y)$, where

$$\tilde{H}(x) = \text{Tr}_{(+,-)}(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}(x)) = \text{Tr}_+(\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x)) + \text{Tr}_-(\mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i^i(x) + T_{-i}^{-i}(x))$$

but also all operators $\tilde{H}^{(\pm)}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}^{(\pm)}(y)$, where

$$\tilde{H}^{(+)}(x) = \text{Tr}_+(\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^i(x), \quad \tilde{H}^{(-)}(x) = \text{Tr}_-(\mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{-i}^{-i}(x).$$

4 General shape of eigenvectors

Let $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M)$ be an ordered set of mutually different complex numbers. We will look for eigenvectors in the form

$$\mathfrak{B}(\vec{u}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_M, k_1, \dots, k_M=1}^n T_{-k_1}^{i_1}(u_1) T_{-k_2}^{i_2}(u_2) \dots T_{-k_M}^{i_M}(u_M) \Phi_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M}^{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_M}$$

where $\Phi_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_M}^{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_M} \in \mathcal{W}_0$. Let us denote

$$\mathbf{B}(u) = \sum_{i, k=1}^n \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-k} \otimes T_{-k}^i(u) \in \mathcal{V}_+ \otimes \mathcal{V}_-^* \otimes \mathcal{A}$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is the basis of the space \mathcal{V}_+ and \mathbf{f}^{-k} is the basis of the space \mathcal{V}_-^* and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{1, \dots, M}(\vec{u}) &= \mathbf{B}_1(u_1) \otimes \mathbf{B}_2(u_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{B}_M(u_M) = \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_M, k_1, \dots, k_M}^n \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_M} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-k_M} \otimes T_{-k_1}^{i_1}(u_1) \dots T_{-k_M}^{i_M}(u_M) \end{aligned}$$

If \mathbf{f}^r is the dual basis with respect to \mathbf{e}_i in the space \mathcal{V}_+^* and \mathbf{e}_{-s} is the dual basis with respect to \mathbf{f}^{-k} in the space \mathcal{V}_- and we denote

$$\Phi = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_M, s_1, \dots, s_M} \mathbf{f}^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{r_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s_M} \otimes \Phi_{r_1, \dots, r_M}^{s_1, \dots, s_M}$$

we can write the general shape of Bethe vectors in the form¹

$$\mathfrak{B}(\vec{u}) = \langle \mathbf{B}_{1, \dots, M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle.$$

5 Commutation relations $\mathbf{T}_0^{(\pm)}(x) \mathbf{B}_{1, \dots, M}(\vec{u})$

On the space $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \mathcal{V}_{1+}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_{1-} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ we define²

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(+)}(x; u) &= (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,+)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu^{-1}) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(-)}(x; u) &= (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(-,+)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(-,-)}(xu^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

¹Another option is to introduce $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(u) = \sum_{i, k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^{-k} \otimes T_{-k}^i(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{1, \dots, M}(\vec{u}) &= \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u_1) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(u_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_M(u_M) \\ \tilde{\Phi} &= \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_M, s_1, \dots, s_M=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-s_1}^{r_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-s_2}^{r_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-s_M}^{r_M} \otimes \Phi_{r_1, \dots, r_M}^{s_1, \dots, s_M} \end{aligned}$$

and write the Bethe vectors in the form $\mathfrak{B}(\vec{u}) = \text{Tr}_{1, \dots, M}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{1, \dots, M}(\vec{u}) \tilde{\Phi})$

²Further we will use the Yang–Baxter equation on different spaces. Therefore, we give their equivalent shapes. If we write the R–matrix in the form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x) = \sum_{i, k, r, s} R_{i,r}^{k,s}(x) \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_s^r$$

the Yang–Baxter equation

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,+)}(x))^{-1} &= \frac{1}{f(x^{-1})} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_k^k \otimes \mathbf{I}_- + f(x^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_- + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^k \otimes \mathbf{I}_- - g(x) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^k \otimes \mathbf{I}_- \right) \\
(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(-,+)}(x))^{-1} &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_k^k \otimes \mathbf{I}_- + f(xq) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_- + \\
&\quad + g(xq) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_- - g(x^{-1}q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_- \\
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(x) &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x^{-1}q) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \\
&\quad + g(x^{-1}q) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} - g(xq^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{k-i} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(-,-)}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x)} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(x) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} - g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. In the RTT-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ type the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s} \rangle &= f(x^{-1}u) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(+)}(x; u) (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s}) \rangle + \\
&\quad + g(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(+)}(u; u) (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s}) \rangle \\
\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s} \rangle &= f(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(-)}(x; u) (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s}) \rangle - \\
&\quad - g(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(-)}(u; u) (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{f}^r \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

are valid.

For ordered M -tuples $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_M)$, let \bar{u} denote the set $\bar{u} = \{u_1, \dots, u_M\}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u}_k &= (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_M), & \bar{u}_k &= \bar{u} \setminus \{u_k\} = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_M\}, \\
F(x; \bar{u}^{-1}) &= \prod_{k=1}^M f(xu_k^{-1}), & F(x^{-1}, \bar{u}) &= \prod_{k=1}^M f(x^{-1}u_k).
\end{aligned}$$

gives the relations

$$\sum_{a,b,c} R_{a,b}^{k,s}(x) R_{i,c}^{a,q}(xy) R_{r,p}^{b,c}(y) = \sum_{a,b,c} R_{i,r}^{a,b}(x) R_{a,p}^{k,c}(xy) R_{b,c}^{s,q}(y) \quad (3)$$

If we define

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2^*} = \sum_{i,k,r,s} R_{i,r}^{k,s}(x) \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_s^r, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,2^*}(x) = \sum_{i,k,r,s} R_{i,r}^{k,s}(x) \mathbf{F}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_s^r,$$

it is possible to write equality (3) in one of the following shapes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3^*}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}(xy) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3^*}(y) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x) \\
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2^*}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}(y) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2^*}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}(xy) \\
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,2^*}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,3^*}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}(y) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,3^*}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,2^*}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and introduce the operators

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) = \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,+)}(xu_1^{-1})\right)^{-1} \dots \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M^*}^{(+,+)}(xu_M^{-1})\right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M}^{(+,-)}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu_1^{-1})$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) = \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(-,+)}(xu_1^{-1})\right)^{-1} \dots \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M^*}^{(-,+)}(xu_M^{-1})\right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M}^{(-,-)}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(-,-)}(xu_1^{-1})$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k) = \mathbf{B}_k(x) \otimes \mathbf{B}_1(u_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{B}_{k-1}(u_{k-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{k+1}(u_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{B}_M(u_M)$$

Proposition 2. The following relationships are applied:

$$\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle = F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle + \\ + \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u})\right)^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle = F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle - \\ - \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u})\right)^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{(k-1)^*,k^*}^{(+,+)}(u_{k-1}u_k^{-1}) \dots \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,k^*}^{(+,+)}(u_2u_k^{-1}) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,k^*}^{(+,+)}(u_1u_k^{-1}) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,k}^{(-,-)}(u_1u_k^{-1}) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,k}^{(-,-)}(u_2u_k^{-1}) \dots \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{k-1,k}^{(-,-)}(u_{k-1}u_k^{-1}) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,2^*}^{(+,+)}(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} \left(\sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{F}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_k^k + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^i - \right. \\ \left. -g(x^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} \mathbf{F}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^k + g(x) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} \mathbf{F}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{F}_i^k \right)$$

6 Bethe conditions and eigenvectors of the operator $H(x)$

Let us denote by $\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u})$ and $\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})$ the operators defined by the relations

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^k \otimes \widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u}), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \otimes \widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u}).$$

The following statement, which gives part of the Bethe conditions, follows from the previous part.

Theorem 1. Let Φ be common eigenvector of the operators

$$\widehat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) = \text{Tr}_0 \left(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{T}_i^i(x; \vec{u}), \\ \widehat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) = \text{Tr}_0 \left(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{T}_{-i}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})$$

with eigenvalues $\widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u})$ and $\widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u})$. If for each $u_k \in \vec{u}$ the relations

$$\widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u})F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) = \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u})F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) \quad (4)$$

are true, then $\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \mathbf{\Phi} \rangle$ is the eigenvector of the operator $H(x) = H^{(+)}(x) + H^{(-)}(x)$, where $H^{(\pm)}(x) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(\pm)}(x))$ with the eigenvalue

$$E_{1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}) = \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u})F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) + \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u})F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}).$$

Thus, to find the eigenvectors of the operators $H(x)$, it is sufficient to find common eigenvectors of the operators $\widehat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u})$ and $\widehat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u})$.

Theorem 2. The operators $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(\pm)}(x; \vec{u})$ fulfill the RTT–equation³

$$\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(xy^{-1})\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0';1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon')}(y; \vec{u}) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0';1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon')}(y; \vec{u})\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(x; \vec{u})\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(xy^{-1})$$

for any \vec{u} and $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm$ and thus generate the RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$.

Theorem 3. The vector

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \underbrace{\mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1}_{M \times} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1}}_{M \times} \otimes \omega$$

is a vacuum vector for representation of the RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ with the weights

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(x; \vec{u}) &= \lambda_1(x)F(x^{-1}q; \vec{u}) & \mu_k(x; \vec{u}) &= \lambda_k(x)F(xq^{-1}; \vec{u}^{-1}) & k &= 2, \dots, n \\ \mu_{-1}(x; \vec{u}) &= \lambda_{-1}(x)F(xq; \vec{u}^{-1}) & \mu_{-k}(x; \vec{u}) &= \lambda_{-k}(x)F(x^{-1}q^{-1}; \vec{u}) & k &= 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

So to find eigenvectors of the operators $H(x)$ for the RTT–algebra of $U_q(\text{sp}(2n))$ type, it is enough to formulate the Bethe ansatz for the RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$.

Appendix

A1 Commutation relations in the RTT–algebra of $U_q(\text{sp}(2n))$ type

If we denote

$$\theta_{r-i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r > i \\ 0 & \text{for } r \leq i \end{cases}$$

we obtain from the RTT–equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1(x)\mathbf{T}_2(y) = \mathbf{T}_2(y)\mathbf{T}_1(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})$$

³We cannot write this RTT–equation, even for $M = 1$, using the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(u)$. Therefore, we prefer to use the formulation using the spaces \mathcal{V}_{\pm} and \mathcal{V}_{\pm}^* .

that the generators $T_k^i(x)$ fulfill these commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) + \delta_{i,r}(f(xy^{-1}) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) + \delta_{i,-r}(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) + \\
& \quad + g(xy^{-1})\theta_{r-i}T_k^r(x)T_s^i(y) - g(x^{-1}y)\theta_{i-r}T_k^r(x)T_s^i(y) - \\
& \quad - \delta_{i,-r}g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p>i}q^{i-p}\epsilon_i\epsilon_pT_k^p(x)T_s^{-p}(y) + \\
& \quad \quad + \delta_{i,-r}g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p<i}q^{i-p}\epsilon_i\epsilon_pT_k^p(x)T_s^{-p}(y) = \\
& = T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{k,s}(fxy^{-1}) - 1)T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{k,-s}(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - 1)T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) + \\
& \quad + g(xy^{-1})\theta_{k-s}T_k^r(y)T_s^i(x) - g(x^{-1}y)\theta_{s-k}T_k^r(y)T_s^i(x) - \\
& \quad - \delta_{k,-s}g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p<k}q^{p-k}\epsilon_k\epsilon_pT_{-p}^r(y)T_p^i(x) + \\
& \quad \quad + \delta_{k,-s}g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p>k}q^{p-k}\epsilon_k\epsilon_pT_{-p}^r(y)T_p^i(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and further the RTT-equation

$$\mathbf{T}_1(x)\mathbf{T}_2(y)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{-1}(xy^{-1}) = \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{-1}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_2(y)\mathbf{T}_1(x).$$

leads to the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) + \delta_{k,s}(f(x^{-1}y) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) + \delta_{k,-s}(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_s^r(y) - \\
& \quad - g(xy^{-1})\theta_{k-s}T_s^i(x)T_k^r(y) + g(x^{-1}y)\theta_{s-k}T_s^i(x)T_k^r(y) + \\
& \quad + \delta_{k,-s}g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\sum_{p<k}q^{k-p}\epsilon_k\epsilon_pT_p^i(x)T_{-p}^r(y) - \\
& \quad \quad - \delta_{k,-s}g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1})\sum_{p>k}q^{k-p}\epsilon_k\epsilon_pT_p^i(x)T_{-p}^r(y) = \\
& = T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{i,r}(f(x^{-1}y) - 1)T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{i,-r}(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_s^r(y)T_k^i(x) - \\
& \quad - g(xy^{-1})\theta_{r-i}T_s^i(y)T_k^r(x) + g(x^{-1}y)\theta_{i-r}T_s^i(y)T_k^r(x) + \\
& \quad + \delta_{i,-r}g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\sum_{p>i}q^{p-i}\epsilon_i\epsilon_pT_s^{-p}(y)T_k^p(x) - \\
& \quad \quad - \delta_{i,-r}g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1})\sum_{p<i}q^{p-i}\epsilon_i\epsilon_pT_s^{-p}(y)T_k^p(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

A2 Proof of Lemma 1

It is enough to prove that if $T_k^{-i}(x)\Omega = 0$ is valid for $\Omega \in \mathcal{W}_0$, the relations

$$T_k^{-i}(x)T_s^r(y)\Omega = 0, \quad T_k^{-i}(x)T_{-s}^{-r}(y)\Omega = 0$$

also hold true.

The commutation relations (5) give

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_k^{-i}(x)T_s^r(y)\Omega + \delta_{i,r}\left((f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^{-i}(x)T_s^r(y)\Omega - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}q^{p-i}T_k^{-p}(x)T_s^p(y)\Omega + g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p=i+1}^nq^{p-i}T_k^{-p}(x)T_s^p(y)\Omega\right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and relations (6) lead to the equality

$$T_k^{-i}(x)T_{-s}^{-r}(y)\Omega + \delta_{k,s}\left((f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^{-i}(x)T_{-s}^{-r}(y)\Omega + g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\sum_{p=1}^{k-1}q^{k-p}T_p^{-i}(x)T_{-p}^{-r}(y)\Omega - g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1})\sum_{p=k+1}^nq^{k-p}T_p^{-i}(x)T_{-p}^{-r}(y)\Omega\right) = 0$$

So the first relation holds for $i \neq r$ and the second relation for $k \neq s$.

It remains to show that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})Z_i - g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}Z_p + g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p=i+1}^nZ_p &= 0 \\ f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\widehat{Z}_k + g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\sum_{p=1}^{k-1}\widehat{Z}_p - g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1})\sum_{p=k+1}^n\widehat{Z}_p &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$Z_i = q^i T_k^{-i}(x) T_s^i(y) \Omega, \quad \widehat{Z}_k = q^{-k} T_k^{-i}(x) T_{-k}^{-r}(y) \Omega$$

is true. If we subtract the first two relationships for $i + 1$ and i and the second for $k + 1$ and k , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\right)Z_{i+1} &= \left(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) + g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\right)Z_i \\ \left(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) + g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1})\right)\widehat{Z}_{k+1} &= \left(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1})\right)\widehat{Z}_k \end{aligned}$$

or

$$q^{-1}Z_{i+1} = qZ_i, \quad q\widehat{Z}_{k+1} = q^{-1}\widehat{Z}_k$$

Thus, $Z_i = cq^{2i}$ and $\widehat{Z}_k = \widehat{c}q^{-2k}$, where c and \widehat{c} are some constants. Substituting the latter into (7), we obtain $c = \widehat{c} = 0$.

A3 Proof of Lemma 2

It is easy to see that the RTT-algebras $\mathcal{A}^{(\pm)}$ are the RTT-subalgebras of the RTT-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ type. Therefore, the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)\mathbf{T}_2^{(+)}(y) &= \mathbf{T}_2^{(+)}(y)\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(xy^{-1}) \\ \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1^{(-)}(x)\mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y) &= \mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y)\mathbf{T}_1^{(-)}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(xy^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

are the RTT-relation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1(x)\mathbf{T}_2(y) = \mathbf{T}_2(y)\mathbf{T}_1(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})$$

restricted on the spaces $\mathcal{A}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(-)}$.

Since $T_k^{-i}(x) = 0$ on the space \mathcal{W}_0 , we get using the commutation relation (5) that on the space \mathcal{W}_0

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-k}^{-i}(x)T_s^r(y) + \delta_{i,r}(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - 1)T_{-k}^{-i}(x)T_s^r(y) - \\ - \delta_{i,r}g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}q^{p-i}T_{-k}^{-p}(x)T_s^p(y) + \delta_{i,r}g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p=i+1}^nq^{p-i}T_{-k}^{-p}(x)T_s^p(y) = \\ = T_s^r(y)T_{-k}^{-i}(x) + \delta_{k,s}(f(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1}) - 1)T_s^r(y)T_{-k}^{-i}(x) - \\ - \delta_{k,s}g(xy^{-1}q^{n+1})\sum_{p=k+1}^nq^{-p+k}T_p^r(y)T_{-p}^{-i}(x) + \delta_{k,s}g(x^{-1}yq^{-n-1})\sum_{p=1}^{k-1}q^{-p+k}T_p^r(y)T_{-p}^{-i}(x) \end{aligned}$$

hold true. The equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1^{(-)}(x)\mathbf{T}_2^{(+)}(y) = \mathbf{T}_2^{(+)}(y)\mathbf{T}_1^{(-)}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,+)}(xy^{-1})$$

is only the matrix representation of these relations.

By narrowing commutation relations (6) to the space \mathcal{W}_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_k^i(x)T_{-s}^{-r}(y) + \delta_{k,s}(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_{-s}^{-r}(y) + \\ & + \delta_{k,s}g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} q^{k-p}T_p^i(x)T_{-p}^{-r}(y) - \delta_{k,s}g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1}) \sum_{p=k+1}^n q^{k-p}T_p^i(x)T_{-p}^{-r}(y) = \\ & = T_{-s}^{-r}(y)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{i,r}(f(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_{-s}^{-r}(y)T_k^i(x) + \\ & + \delta_{i,r}g(xy^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{p=i+1}^n q^{p-i}T_{-s}^{-p}(y)T_k^p(x) - \delta_{i,r}g(x^{-1}yq^{n+1}) \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} q^{p-i}T_{-s}^{-p}(y)T_k^p(x) \end{aligned}$$

These relations can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)\mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y)(\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(xy^{-1}))^{-1} = (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(xy^{-1}))^{-1}\mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y)\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x))^{-1} &= \sum_{i,k=1; i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \\ & + g(xq^{-n-1}) \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} - g(x^{-1}q^{n+1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{i-k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

The proven equality

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)\mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y) = \mathbf{T}_2^{(-)}(y)\mathbf{T}_1^{(+)}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(xy^{-1})$$

then results from the relations

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x)(\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x))^{-1} = (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x))^{-1}\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,-)}(x) = \mathbf{I}^{(+)} \otimes \mathbf{I}^{(-)}$$

where $\mathbf{I}^{(+)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i$ and $\mathbf{I}^{(-)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i}$, which can be checked by direct calculations.

A4 Proof of Lemma 3

These relationships are actually the commutation relations for $T_k^i(x)T_{-s}^r(u)$ and $T_{-k}^{-i}(x)T_{-s}^r(u)$. Using commutation relations (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T_k^i(x)T_{-s}^r(u) + \delta_{k,s}(f(xu^{-1}q^{-n-1}) - 1)T_k^i(x)T_{-s}^r(u) + \\ & + \delta_{k,s}g(xu^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} q^{k-p}T_p^i(x)T_{-p}^r(u) - \\ & - \delta_{k,s}g(x^{-1}uq^{n+1}) \sum_{p=k+1}^n q^{k-p}T_p^i(x)T_{-p}^r(u) = \\ & = T_{-s}^r(u)T_k^i(x) + \delta_{i,r}(f(x^{-1}u) - 1)T_{-s}^r(u)T_k^i(x) - \\ & - g(xu^{-1})\theta_{r-i}T_{-s}^i(u)T_k^r(x) + g(x^{-1}u)\theta_{i-r}T_{-s}^i(u)T_k^r(x) + \\ & + g(xu^{-1})T_{-s}^i(x)T_k^r(u) + \delta_{k,s}g(xu^{-1}q^{-n-1}) \sum_{p=1}^n q^{k+p}T_{-p}^i(x)T_p^r(u). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) = \sum_{r,s=1}^n \mathbf{E}_r^{-s} \otimes T_{-s}^r(u), \quad \mathbf{P}_{0,1}^{(+,+)} = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_i^k, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{0,1}^{(+,-)} = \sum_{i,k=1}^n q^{i+k} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i},$$

these relationships can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} &= f(x^{-1}u) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,+)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) + \\ &+ g(xu^{-1}) \mathbf{P}_{0,1}^{(+,+)} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(u) \left(\mathbf{I}_0^{(+)} \otimes \mathbf{I}_1^{(-)} + \frac{q^{-n-1}(xu^{-1} - x^{-1}u)}{xu^{-1}q^{-n-1} - x^{-1}uq^{n+1}} \mathbf{Q}_{0,1}^{(+,-)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

And since the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{I}_0^{(+)} \otimes \mathbf{I}_1^{(-)} + \frac{q^{-n-1}(xu^{-1} - x^{-1}u)}{xu^{-1}q^{-n-1} - x^{-1}uq^{n+1}} \mathbf{Q}_{0,1}^{(+,-)} \right) \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu^{-1}) &= \\ = \sum_{i \neq k} \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + \sum_i (q + q^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \sum_{k < i} q^{k-i-1} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} + \sum_{i < k} q^{k-i+1} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

holds, after multiplying the equality by the matrix $\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu^{-1})$ from the right, we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) &= f(x^{-1}u) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,+)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(xu^{-1}) + \\ &+ g(xu^{-1}) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,+)}(1))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(u) \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,+)}(1) &= (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,+)}(1))^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{0,1}^{(+,+)} \\ \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(1) &= \sum_{i,k=1, i \neq k}^n \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + \sum_{i=1}^n (q + q^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_i^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k < i \leq n} q^{k-i-1} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} q^{k-i+1} \mathbf{E}_k^i \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using commutation relation (5), it can be proved that the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) &= f(xu^{-1}) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(-,+)}(xu^{-1}))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(u) \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(-,-)}(xu^{-1}) - \\ &- g(xu^{-1}) (\mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(-,+)}(1))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(u) \mathbf{R}_{0,1}^{(-,-)}(1) \end{aligned}$$

is valid.

The relations from the Lemma are just a transcription of these sessions into our formalism.

A5 Proof of Proposition 2⁴

According to Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \Phi \rangle &= f(x^{-1}u) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0,1}^{(+)}(x; u) \Phi \rangle + g(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0,1}^{(+)}(u; u) \Phi \rangle \\ \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \Phi \rangle &= f(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(u), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0,1}^{(-)}(x; u) \Phi \rangle - g(xu^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0,1}^{(-)}(u; u) \Phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

⁴These claims can be substantiated induction by the number of \bar{u} elements. However, arising expressions are too long. Therefore, we choose a more intuitive approach.

Hence, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle_{1,\dots,M} &= \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(u_1), \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1 = \\
&= f(x^{-1}u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(u_1), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(+)}(x; u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1 + \\
&\quad + g(xu_1^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(+)}(u_1; u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1 \\
\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle_{1,\dots,M} &= \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(u_1), \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1 = \\
&= f(x^{-1}u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(u_1), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(-)}(x; u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1 - \\
&\quad - g(xu_1^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(x), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1}^{(-)}(u_1; u_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{2,\dots,M}(\vec{u}_1), \Phi \right\rangle_{2,\dots,M} \right\rangle_1
\end{aligned}$$

With these relations it is easy to see that the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle &= F(x^{-1}, \vec{u}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + g(xu_1^{-1}) F(u_1; \vec{u}_1) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_1), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_1; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{1;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_1) \\
\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle &= F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle - \\
&\quad - g(xu_1^{-1}) F(u_1; \vec{u}_1^{-1}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_1), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_1; \vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{1;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_1)
\end{aligned}$$

are true.

To get terms that contain $\mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k)$ also for $k > 1$, we commute $\mathbf{B}_k(u_k)$ in the first place in $\mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u})$.

The commutation relations (5) in the RTT-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ type

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{-k}^i(x) T_{-s}^r(y) + \delta_{i,r} (a(xy^{-1}) - 1) T_{-k}^i(x) T_{-s}^r(y) + \\
+ b(xy^{-1}) \theta_{r-i} T_{-k}^r(x) T_{-s}^i(y) - b(x^{-1}y) \theta_{i-r} T_{-k}^r(x) T_{-s}^i(y) = \\
= T_{-s}^r(y) T_{-k}^i(x) + \delta_{k,s} (a(xy^{-1}) - 1) T_{-s}^r(y) T_{-k}^i(x) + \\
+ b(xy^{-1}) \theta_{s-k} T_{-k}^r(y) T_{-s}^i(x) - b(x^{-1}y) \theta_{k-s} T_{-k}^r(y) T_{-s}^i(x)
\end{aligned}$$

can be written in the matrix form as

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(xy^{-1}) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(y) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(xy^{-1})$$

or

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(y) = (\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(+,+)}(xy^{-1}))^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_2(y) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_1(x) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(xy^{-1})$$

In our formalism, this is the relationship

$$\left\langle \mathbf{B}_1(x) \otimes \mathbf{B}_2(y), \Phi \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{B}_2(y) \otimes \mathbf{B}_1(x), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,2^*}^{(+,+)}(xy^{-1}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}^{(-,-)}(xy^{-1}) \Phi \right\rangle$$

Gradually applying this relationship, we find that it holds

$$\left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(u_k; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \right\rangle$$

As for $k = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(u_k; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle = \\
&= F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(u_k; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k^*}^{(+,+)}(xu_k^{-1}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,\widehat{k},\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}_k) \\
&\quad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k}^{(+,-)}(xu_k^{-1}) (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k^*}^{(+,+)}(1))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,\widehat{k},\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u}_k) \\
&\quad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k}^{(+,-)}(1) (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k) \\
\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= \mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(u_k; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle = \\
&= F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(u_k; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k^*}^{(-,+)}(xu_k^{-1}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,\widehat{k},\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}_k) \\
&\quad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k}^{(-,-)}(xu_k^{-1}) (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle - \\
&\quad - g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k^*}^{(-,+)}(1))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,\widehat{k},\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u}_k) \\
&\quad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,k}^{(-,-)}(1) (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of the given operators and the Yang–Baxter equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}^{(\pm,+)}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2^*}^{(\pm,+)}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}^{(+,+)}(y) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2^*,3^*}^{(+,+)}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2^*}^{(\pm,+)}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3^*}^{(\pm,+)}(xy) \\
\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}^{(\pm,-)}(x) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}^{(\pm,-)}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}^{(-,-)}(y) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}^{(-,-)}(y) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}^{(\pm,-)}(xy) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}^{(\pm,-)}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

it is relatively easy to show that the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k) \\
\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) \Phi \rangle - \\
&\quad - g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u}) \Phi \rangle + \\
&\quad + \text{terms without } \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k)
\end{aligned}$$

are true. This proves our claim.

A6 Proof of Theorem 1

Following Proposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \text{Tr}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u})_0 \Phi) \rangle + \\ &+ \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u})_0 \Phi) \rangle \\ \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \text{Tr}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u})_0 \Phi) \rangle - \\ &- \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} g(xu_k^{-1}) F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u})_0 \Phi) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the eigenvector Φ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle + \\ &+ \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) g(xu_k^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle \\ \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle - \\ &- \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u}) F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) g(xu_k^{-1}) \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and thus the relation

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x)) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle = \\ &= \left(\widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) F(x^{-1}; \vec{u}) + \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) F(x; \vec{u}^{-1}) \right) \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle + \\ &+ \sum_{u_k \in \vec{u}} \left(\widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \vec{u}) F(u_k^{-1}; \vec{u}_k) - \widehat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \vec{u}) F(u_k; \vec{u}_k^{-1}) \right) g(xu_k^{-1}) \\ &\quad \langle \mathbf{B}_{k;1,\dots,M}(x; \vec{u}_k), (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1^*,\dots,k^*}^{(+,+)}(\vec{u}))^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{1,\dots,k}^{(-,-)}(\vec{u}) \Phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is valid.

So if the condition (4) is fulfilled, $\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\vec{u}), \Phi \rangle$ is the eigenvector of the operator $H(x)$.

A7 Proof of Theorem 2

The left-hand side of the proven equality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')} (xy^{-1}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0';1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon')}(y; \vec{u}) &= \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')} (xy^{-1}) \left((\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(\epsilon,+)}(xu_1^{-1}))^{-1} (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1^*}^{(\epsilon',+)}(yu_1^{-1}))^{-1} \right) \dots \\ &\quad \left((\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M^*}^{(\epsilon,+)}(xu_M^{-1}))^{-1} (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',M^*}^{(\epsilon',+)}(yu_M^{-1}))^{-1} \right) \left(\mathbf{T}_0^{(\epsilon)}(x) \mathbf{T}_{0'}^{(\epsilon')}(y) \right) \\ &\quad \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M}^{(\epsilon,-)}(xu_M^{-1}) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',M}^{(\epsilon',-)}(yu_M^{-1}) \right) \dots \left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(\epsilon,-)}(xu_1^{-1}) \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1}^{(\epsilon',-)}(yu_1^{-1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The statement of the Theorem then results from the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(x)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1^*}^{(\epsilon,+)}(y)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(\epsilon',+)}(xy) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(\epsilon',+)}(xy)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1^*}^{(\epsilon,+)}(y)\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(x) \\ \mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(x)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(\epsilon,-)}(xy)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1}^{(\epsilon',-)}(y) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0',1}^{(\epsilon',-)}(y)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(\epsilon,-)}(xy)\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(x)\end{aligned}$$

which are a direct result of the Yang–Baxter equations (2) for the RTT–algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ and RTT–equations (1).

A8 Proof of Theorem 3

First, we calculate $\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega}$ for $i, k > 0$. If we write

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,+)}(x)\right)^{-1} &= \sum_{r,s,a,b=1}^n \widehat{R}_{s,b}^{r,a}(x)\mathbf{E}_r^s \otimes \mathbf{F}_a^b \otimes \mathbf{I}_-, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1}^{(+,-)}(x) &= \sum_{p,q,c,d=1}^n \widehat{R}_{q,-d}^{p,-c}(x)\mathbf{E}_p^q \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c}^{-d},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{R}_{s,b}^{r,a}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x^{-1})} \left(\delta_s^r \delta_b^a (1 + \delta_{r,a}(f(x^{-1}) - 1)) + \delta_b^r \delta_s^a (g(x^{-1})\theta_{r-s} - g(x)\theta_{s-r}) \right) \\ \widehat{R}_{q,-d}^{p,-c}(x) &= \delta_q^p \delta_d^c \left(1 + \delta_{p,c}(f(x^{-1}q) - 1) \right) + \delta^{p,c} \delta_{q,d} q^{p-q} \left(g(x^{-1}q)\theta_{p-q} - g(xq^{-1})\theta_{q-p} \right),\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x; \vec{u}) &= \widehat{R}_{s_1,b_1}^{r_1,a_1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{s_2,b_2}^{r_2,a_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{s_M,b_M}^{r_M,a_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{q_M,-d_M}^{p_M,-c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{q_2,-d_2}^{p_2,-c_2}(xu_2^{-1})\widehat{R}_{q_1,-d_1}^{p_1,-c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{E}_{r_1}^{s_1}\mathbf{E}_{r_2}^{s_2} \dots \mathbf{E}_{r_M}^{s_M}\mathbf{E}_v^u \mathbf{E}_{p_M}^{q_M} \dots \mathbf{E}_{p_2}^{q_2}\mathbf{E}_{p_1}^{q_1} \otimes \\ &\quad \mathbf{F}_{a_1}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_2}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_M}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_1}^{-d_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_2}^{-d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_M}^{-d_M} \otimes T_u^v(x)\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the relationship

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u}) &= \widehat{R}_{s_1,b_1}^{i,a_1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{s_2,b_2}^{s_1,a_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{s_M,b_M}^{s_{M-1},a_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{p_{M-1},-d_M}^{p_M,-c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{p_1,-d_2}^{p_2,-c_2}(xu_2^{-1})\widehat{R}_{k,-d_1}^{p_1,-c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{F}_{a_1}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_2}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_M}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_1}^{-d_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_2}^{-d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_M}^{-d_M} \otimes T_{p_M}^{s_M}(x)\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{s_1,b_1}^{i,1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{s_2,b_2}^{s_1,1}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{s_M,b_M}^{s_{M-1},1}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{p_{M-1},-1}^{p_M,-c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{p_1,-1}^{p_2,-c_2}(xu_2^{-1})\widehat{R}_{k,-1}^{p_1,-c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{p_M}^{s_M}(x)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

For $k > 1$ $\widehat{R}_{k,-1}^{p,-c}(x) = \delta_k^p \delta_1^c$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{s_1,b_1}^{i,1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{s_2,b_2}^{s_1,1}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{s_M,b_M}^{s_{M-1},1}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes T_k^{s_M}(x)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_k^{s_M}(x)\omega = 0$ for $s_M < k$, we have $k = 2, \dots, s_M$, and therefore

$$\widehat{R}_{s_M, b_M}^{s_M-1, 1}(xu_M^{-1}) = \frac{1}{f(x^{-1}u_M)} \delta_{s_M}^{s_M-1} \delta_{b_M}^1 = f(xu_M^{-1}q^{-1}) \delta_{s_M}^{s_M-1} \delta_{b_M}^1$$

Using this relationship, we gradually get

$$\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} = F(xq^{-1}; \vec{u}^{-1}) \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes T_k^i(x)\omega$$

Since ω is a vacuum vector, we get for $k \geq 2$

$$\widehat{T}_k^i(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{for } i < k, \quad \widehat{T}_k^k(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} = \lambda_k(x) F(xq^{-1}; \vec{u}^{-1}) \widehat{\Omega}$$

For $i = k = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_1^1(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{s_1, b_1}^{1, 1}(xu_1^{-1}) \widehat{R}_{s_2, b_2}^{s_1, 1}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{s_M, b_M}^{s_M-1, 1}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{p_{M-1}, -1}^{p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{p_1, -1}^{p_2, -c_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \widehat{R}_{1, -1}^{p_1, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{p_M}^{s_M}(x)\omega \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{R}_{s, b}^{1, 1}(xu^{-1}) = \delta_s^1 \delta_b^1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_1^1(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{p_{M-1}, -1}^{p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{p_1, -1}^{p_2, -c_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \widehat{R}_{1, -1}^{p_1, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{\otimes} \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{p_M}^1(x)\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It is assumed that $T_{p_M}^1(x)\omega = \lambda_1(x) \delta_{p_M}^1 \omega$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_1^1(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} &= \lambda_1(x) \widehat{R}_{p_{M-1}, -1}^{1, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{p_1, -1}^{p_2, -c_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \widehat{R}_{1, -1}^{p_1, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{\otimes} \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{R}_{p, -1}^{1, -c}(xu^{-1}) = \delta_p^1 \delta_1^c f(x^{-1}uq)$, we have

$$\widehat{T}_1^1(x; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega} = \lambda_1(x) F(x^{-1}q; \vec{u}) \widehat{\Omega}.$$

In a similar way for the negative part we write

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0, 1^*}^{(-, +)}(x))^{-1} &= \sum_{r, s, a, b=1}^n \widehat{R}_{-s, b}^{-r, a}(x) \mathbf{E}_{-r}^{-s} \otimes \mathbf{F}_a^b \otimes \mathbf{I}_-, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{0, 1}^{(-, -)}(x) &= \sum_{p, q, c, d=1}^n \widehat{R}_{-q, -d}^{-p, -c}(x) \mathbf{E}_{-p}^{-q} \otimes \mathbf{I}_+^* \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c}^{-d} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}_{-s, b}^{-r, a}(x) &= \delta_s^r \delta_b^a \left(1 + \delta_{r, a} (f(xq) - 1) \right) + \delta^{r, a} \delta_{s, b} q^{r-s} \left(g(xq) \theta_{r-s} - g(x^{-1}q^{-1}) \theta_{s-r} \right) \\ \widehat{R}_{-q, -d}^{-p, -c}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x)} \left(\delta_q^p \delta_d^c (1 + \delta_{p, c} (f(x) - 1)) + \delta_d^p \delta_q^c (g(x) \theta_{p-q} - g(x^{-1}) \theta_{q-p}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x; \vec{u}) &= \widehat{R}_{-s_1, b_1}^{-r_1, a_1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-s_2, b_2}^{-s_1, a_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-s_M, b_M}^{-s_{M-1}, a_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{-q_M, -d_M}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -d_{M-1}}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-q_1, -d_1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{E}_{-r_1}^{-q_1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_1}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_2}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_M}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_1}^{-d_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_2}^{-d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_M}^{-d_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-s_M}(x)\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u}) &= \widehat{R}_{-s_1, b_1}^{-i, a_1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-s_2, b_2}^{-s_1, a_2}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-s_M, b_M}^{-s_{M-1}, a_M}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{-q_M, -d_M}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -d_{M-1}}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-q_1, -d_1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{F}_{a_1}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_2}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{F}_{a_M}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_1}^{-d_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_2}^{-d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-c_M}^{-d_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-s_M}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{-s_1, b_1}^{-i, 1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-s_2, b_2}^{-s_1, 1}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-s_M, b_M}^{-s_{M-1}, 1}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{-q_M, -1}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -1}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-k, -1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-s_M}(x)\omega\end{aligned}$$

For $i \geq 2$ we have $\widehat{R}_{-s, b}^{-i, 1}(xu^{-1}) = \delta_s^i \delta_b^1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{-q_M, -1}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -1}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-k, -1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-i}(x)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{-p_M}^{-i}(x)\omega = 0$ for $i > p_M$, there must be $i = 2, \dots, p_M$. But then we have

$$\widehat{R}_{-q, -1}^{-p_M, -c}(xu_M^{-1}) = \frac{1}{f(xu_M^{-1})} \delta_q^{p_M} \delta_1^c = f(x^{-1}u_M q^{-1}) \delta_q^{p_M} \delta_1^c$$

and hence

$$\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} = F(x^{-1}q^{-1}; \vec{u})\mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes T_{-k}^{-i}(x)\omega.$$

Since ω is the vacuum vector, we get for $i \geq 2$

$$\widehat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{for } i > k, \quad \widehat{T}_{-i}^{-i}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} = \lambda_{-i}(x)F(x^{-1}q^{-1}; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega}$$

For $i = k = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{-1}^{-1}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= \widehat{R}_{-s_1, b_1}^{-1, 1}(xu_1^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-s_2, b_2}^{-s_1, 1}(xu_2^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-s_M, b_M}^{-s_{M-1}, 1}(xu_M^{-1}) \\ &\quad \widehat{R}_{-q_M, -1}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -1}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-1, -1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^{b_1} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{b_M} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-s_M}(x)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{R}_{-s, b}^{-1, 1}(xu^{-1}) = f(xu^{-1}q)\delta_s^1 \delta_b^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T}_{-1}^{-1}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} &= F(xq; \vec{u}^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_M, -1}^{-p_M, -c_M}(xu_M^{-1})\widehat{R}_{-q_{M-1}, -1}^{-q_M, -c_{M-1}}(xu_{M-1}^{-1}) \dots \widehat{R}_{-1, -1}^{-q_2, -c_1}(xu_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-c_M} \otimes T_{-p_M}^{-1}(x)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

By definition we have $\widehat{R}_{-1,-1}^{-q,-c}(xu^{-1}) = \delta_1^q \delta_1^c$, and so the following relations holds:

$$\widehat{T}_{-1}^{-1}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} = F(xq; \vec{u}^{-1})\mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes T_{-1}^{-1}(x)\omega.$$

And since ω is a vacuum vector, we hence get

$$\widehat{T}_{-1}^{-1}(x; \vec{u})\widehat{\Omega} = \lambda_{-1}(x)F(xq; \vec{u}^{-1})\widehat{\Omega}.$$

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge financial support by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000778.

References

- [1] Faddeev L.D., Sklyanin E.K., Takhtajan L.A.: Quantum Inverse Problem. I *Theor. Math. Phys.* **40** (1979) 688–706.
- [2] Kulish P.P., Reshetikhin N.Yu.: Diagonalization of $GL(N)$ invariant transfer matrices and quantum N -wave system (Lee model) *J. Phys. A.* **16** (1983) L591–L596.
- [3] Burdík Č., Navrátil O.: Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT—algebra of $so(2n)$ type. *Phys. of Atom. Nucl.* **81** No. 6 (2018) 810–814.
- [4] Burdík Č., Navrátil O.: Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT—algebra of $sp(2n)$ type. *Phys. of Part. and Nucl.* **69** No. 5 (2018) 936–942.
- [5] Burdík Č., Navrátil O.: Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT—algebra of $sp(4)$ type. *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **198** No. 1 (2019) 1–16.
- [6] Burdík Č., Navrátil O.: Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT—algebra of $sp(4)$ type. (2017) arXiv:1708.05633v1 [math-ph].
- [7] Reshetikhin N.Yu.: Integrable models of Quantum one-dimensional magnets with $O(n)$ and $Sp(2k)$ symmetry *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **63** (1985) 347.
- [8] Martins M.J., Ramos P.B.: The algebraic Bethe ansatz for rational braid-monoid lattice models *Nuclear Physics B.* **500** (1997) 579–620.