

$\mathbb{A}^1$ -CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF RULED SURFACES

CHETAN BALWE AND ANAND SAWANT

ABSTRACT. A conjecture of Morel asserts that the sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of a space is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. Using purely algebro-geometric methods, we determine the sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of a smooth projective surface, which is birationally ruled over a curve of genus  $> 0$ . As a consequence, we show that Morel's conjecture holds for all smooth projective surfaces over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction .....	1
2. $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of schemes .....	4
3. Homotopies on a blowup .....	10
4. Geometry of ruled surfaces .....	15
5. The special case of nodal blowups .....	25
6. The general case .....	32
References .....	36

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since its inception in the foundational work of Morel and Voevodsky [9],  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory has provided a systematic framework to successfully adapt several techniques of algebraic topology to the realm of algebraic geometry by having the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$  play the role of the unit interval. Fix a base field  $k$  and let  $Sm/k$  denote the category of smooth, finite-type, separated schemes over  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(k)$  denote the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category, which is obtained by taking a suitable localization of the category of simplicial sheaves of sets (also called *spaces*) on  $Sm/k$  for the Nisnevich topology. In  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -algebraic topology, one then studies the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves of a (pointed) space. In topology, the set of connected components of a topological space and the homotopy groups of a (pointed) topological space are discrete as topological spaces. The counterpart of discreteness in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory is the notion of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance.

It is thus very natural to ask if the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves of a (pointed) space  $\mathcal{X}$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. Morel [8, Theorem 6.1, Corollary 6.2] has shown that the homotopy sheaves

---

Chetan Balwe was supported by SERB-DST MATRICS Grant: MTR/2017/000690.

Anand Sawant was supported by DFG Individual Research Grant SA3350/1-1 while he was affiliated to Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, where a part of this work was carried out.

$\pi_n^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X}, x)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. However, quite incredibly,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance of the sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components is not yet known and has been conjectured by Morel [8, Conjecture 1.12].

**Conjecture 1.1** (Morel). *For any space  $\mathcal{X}$ , the sheaf  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.*

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a space. A crucial drawback one faces while handling  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components as opposed to higher homotopy sheaves of  $\mathcal{X}$  is the lack of a natural group structure on  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  in general. Another serious difficulty in handling  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$  is presented by the fact that the explicit description of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrant replacement functor given in [9, p. 107] is uncomputable in general. Apart from some trivial examples ( $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid spaces, smooth projective curves etc.) in which Conjecture 1.1 clearly holds, it has been verified for  $H$ -groups and homogeneous spaces for  $H$ -groups [4] and projective non-uniruled surfaces [2]. Conjecture 1.1 is wide open in general, even for smooth projective schemes over an algebraically closed field. The main result of this paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective birationally ruled surface over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Then the sheaf  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.*

The case of non-uniruled surfaces (over an arbitrary field) was already handled in [2]. Thus, Conjecture 1.1 holds for all smooth projective surfaces over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Appropriate analogues of some of the ideas used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 go through while working over an arbitrary field using the classification of surfaces (see [7, Chapter III, Theorem 2.2]). We intend to take up the problem of proving Morel's conjecture for surfaces over an arbitrary field in future work.

Since  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is the Nisnevich sheaf associated with the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(k)}(U, X)$$

on  $\mathrm{Sm}/k$ , the study of  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is closely related to the following fundamental question.

**Question 1.3.** *How far is  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(k)}(U, X)$  from the set equivalence classes of morphisms of schemes  $U \rightarrow X$  under the equivalence relation generated by naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies?*

One might hope that the two sets in Question 1.3 agree, at least when  $U$  is a smooth henselian local scheme over  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  denote the sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy classes of  $X$ . One can iterate the construction of taking  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain connected components and take the limit to form the *universal  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quotient*

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \varinjlim_n \mathcal{S}^n(X)$$

of  $X$ . In view of [2, Theorem 2.13, Remark 2.15, Corollary 2.18], if Conjecture 1.1 holds, then the canonical map  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is an isomorphism. The sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  thus gives a purely geometric way to study  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  and it is natural to ask the following question.

**Question 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $k$ . Does there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(X) \simeq \mathcal{S}^n(X)$ ?*

It is worthwhile to point out that there exist smooth projective schemes  $X$  for which neither  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  nor  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  agrees with  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ . The first example of a smooth projective scheme  $X$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) for which  $\mathcal{S}(X) \neq \mathcal{L}(X)$  was constructed in [2, Section 4]. The first general class of smooth varieties  $X$  for which  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  does not agree with  $\mathcal{S}(X)$  but with a further iteration of the functor  $\mathcal{S}$  is provided by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected anisotropic groups over an infinite perfect field by the results of [3] (see [10, Corollary 3.4]). Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following general result, which provides the first general family of smooth projective varieties for which  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is given by a nontrivial iteration of  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective curve  $C$  of genus  $g > 0$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface, which is not isomorphic to  $E$  and has  $E$  for its minimal model. Then we have the following:*

- (a)  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(E) \simeq \mathcal{S}^n(E) \simeq C$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (b)  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \simeq \mathcal{S}^n(X)$ , for all  $n \geq 2$ . Moreover,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \neq C$ .

It was expected in [8, Remark 1.13] that for a smooth projective surface  $X$  birationally ruled over a curve  $C$  of genus  $g > 0$ , one would have  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X) \simeq C$ . Indeed, by results of [1] or [2], it follows that  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)(\text{Spec } F) \simeq C(\text{Spec } F)$ , for every finitely generated, separable field extension  $F$  of  $k$ . However, Theorem 1.5 shows that the situation in reality is quite delicate.

We now briefly outline the contents of the article. The central tool of the paper is the notion of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopies, which need not be morphisms of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  into the scheme in question but are defined only over a Nisnevich cover of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . The formalism of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ - $n$ -ghost homotopies, which gives a systematic way to analyze  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of sections of the sheaves  $\mathcal{S}^n(X)$  over a smooth henselian local scheme, is described in Section 2.2. In Section 3, we prove a key rigidity result (Proposition 3.5) for sections of a variety admitting a morphism to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid scheme over a smooth henselian local scheme. Local analysis of ruled surfaces is crucial to our arguments. We collect the tools needed for the same in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.5 for a special class of blowups of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$  for a smooth projective  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid curve  $C$ , which we refer to as *nodal blowups*. The trickiest part of the proof here is the explicit construction of certain ghost homotopies (see the proof of Theorem 5.1). In Section 6, we handle the case of a general blowup of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ . This will be accomplished by a key reduction argument using a geometric result that is presented in Section 4.3, which allows us to prove the result by induction on the number of blowups required. We finally put all these results along with known results on rational surfaces together to give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Conventions and notation.** Throughout the paper,  $k$  will denote a fixed base field and  $Sm/k$  will denote the category of smooth, finite-type, separated schemes over  $\text{Spec } k$ . Section 4.2 onwards, we will assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed of characteristic 0.

We will denote by  $\mathcal{H}(k)$  the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy category constructed by Morel and Voevodsky [9], the objects of which are simplicial Nisnevich sheaves of sets on  $Sm/k$  (also called *spaces*). Regarding  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components and related notions, we will follow the conventions and notation of [2].

We will often make use of essentially smooth schemes, that is, schemes which are filtered inverse limits of diagrams of smooth schemes in which the transition maps are étale, affine morphisms. All presheaves on  $Sm/k$  will be extended to essentially smooth schemes by defining  $\mathcal{F}(\varinjlim U_\alpha) = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$ . By a *smooth henselian local scheme* over  $k$ , we will mean the henselization of the local ring at a smooth point of a scheme over  $k$ .

A Nisnevich sheaf of sets  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Sm/k$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant if for every  $U \in Sm/k$ , the projection map  $U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U$  induces a bijection  $\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ . A scheme  $X$  over  $k$  is called  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid if the associated Nisnevich sheaf given by its functor of points is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.

## 2. $\mathbb{A}^1$ -CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF SCHEMES

**2.1. Preliminaries on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components.** In this section, we review some preliminary material on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory relevant to the contents of this paper. We will follow the notation and terminology of [2].

**Definition 2.1.** The sheaf of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of a space  $\mathcal{X}$ , denoted by  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ , is defined to be the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(k)}(U, \mathcal{X})$$

on  $Sm/k$ .

When  $\mathcal{X}$  is represented by a scheme  $X$ , we would like to use geometric properties of  $X$  to compute  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$ . A systematic way to go about this is to consider the functor of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain connected components of  $X$ , which attempts to capture the notion of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectivity in a naive manner.

**Notation 2.2.** For any scheme  $U$  over  $k$ , we let  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  denote the morphisms  $U \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1$  given by  $u \mapsto (u, 0)$  and  $u \mapsto (u, 1)$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets over  $Sm/k$  and let  $U$  be an essentially smooth scheme over  $k$ .

- (1) An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a morphism an element  $h$  of  $\mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}_k^1)$ . We say that  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic if there exists an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h \in \mathcal{F}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$  such that  $\sigma_0^*(h) = t_1$  and  $\sigma_1^*(h) = t_2$ .
- (2) An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite sequence  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  where each  $h_i$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sigma_1^*(h_i) = \sigma_0^*(h_{i+1})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . We say that  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic if there exists an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  such that  $\sigma_0^*(h_1) = t_1$  and  $\sigma_1^*(h_r) = t_2$ .

Note that for any scheme  $U$  and sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy gives an equivalence relation on the set  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Nisnevich sheaf of sets on  $Sm/k$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  to be the Nisnevich sheaf associated with the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) / \sim$$

for  $U \in Sm/k$ , where  $\sim$  denotes the equivalence relation defined by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy. In other words,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  is the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto \pi_0(\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F})$  on  $Sm/k$ , where  $\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathcal{F}$  denotes the *Morel-Voevodsky singular construction* on  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [9, p. 87-88]).

Iterating this construction, we obtain a sequence of epimorphisms

$$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}(\mathcal{F})$  is defined inductively to be  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F}))$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The utility of this concept lies in the following result which was proved in [2, Theorem 2.13, Remark 2.15, Corollary 2.18].

**Theorem 2.5.** *For any sheaf of sets  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Sm/k$ , the sheaf*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) := \varinjlim_n \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$$

*is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. Thus, the quotient morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  uniquely factors through the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})$ . The morphism  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.*

Thus, in order to prove the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance of  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  for a scheme  $X$ , one can attempt to compute iterations  $\mathcal{S}^n(X)$  for all  $n$  and see if  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  agrees with their colimit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For this, we would like to study  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies in the sheaves  $\mathcal{S}^n(X)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . These sheaves are not schemes in general and so if we wish to exploit geometric information, we will have to “lift” those homotopies to  $X$ . We take up the task of setting up the notation for doing the same in the next subsection.

**2.2. Ghost homotopies.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Nisnevich sheaf of sets on  $Sm/k$ . The morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  is a surjection of sheaves. Thus, although not every morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  can be lifted to  $\mathcal{F}$ , there exists some Nisnevich cover  $V \rightarrow U$  such that the morphism  $V \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  lifts to  $\mathcal{F}$ . On  $V \times_U V$ , these lifts must be compatible up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy. In other words, the two induced morphisms of  $V \times_U V$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic (at least after pulling back to some suitable Nisnevich cover of  $V \times_U V$ ). In other words, a morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  can be represented by data which consists of morphisms and homotopies into  $\mathcal{F}$ . We now state a criterion for two elements of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})(U)$  to map to the same element in  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(U)$ , proved in [2, Lemma 4.1], which will play a crucial role in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Nisnevich sheaf of sets over  $Sm/k$ . Let  $U$  be a smooth scheme over  $k$  and let  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be two morphisms. Suppose that we are given data of the form*

$$(\{p_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1\}_{i \in \{1,2\}}, \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1\}, \{h_1, h_2\}, h^W)$$

where:

- The two morphisms  $\{p_i : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1\}_{i=1,2}$  constitute an elementary Nisnevich cover.
- For  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\sigma_i$  is a morphism  $U \rightarrow V_1 \amalg V_2$  such that  $(p_1 \amalg p_2) \circ \sigma_i : U \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is the closed embedding  $U \times \{i\} \hookrightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,

- For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $h_i$  denotes a morphism  $V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(h_1 \amalg h_2) \circ \sigma_0 = f$  and  $(h_1 \amalg h_2) \circ \sigma_1 = g$ .
- Let  $W := V_1 \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V_2$  and let  $pr_i : W \rightarrow V_i$  denote the projection morphisms. Then  $h^W = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$  is a  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy connecting the two morphisms  $h_i \circ pr_i : W \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .

Then,  $f$  and  $g$  map to the same element under the map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\mathcal{F})(U)$ .

We now set up the notation for general data of such type in order to represent homotopies in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$ . We will only need to do this for the cases  $n = 1$  and  $2$  and when  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{S}^n(X)$  for a scheme  $X$  but the inductive definition is easy enough to state in general.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets and let  $U$  be an essentially smooth scheme over  $k$ . Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. The notion of an  $n$ -ghost homotopy and  $n$ -ghost chain homotopy is defined as follows:

- (1) A 0-ghost homotopy is the same as an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy as defined in Definition 2.3. Similarly, a 0-ghost chain homotopy is the same as an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy.
- (2) Assuming that the notion of  $m$ -ghost homotopy and  $m$ -ghost chain homotopy has been defined for  $m < n$ , we define an  $n$ -ghost homotopy. Given  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , an  $n$ -ghost homotopy connecting  $t_1, t_2$  consists of the data:

$$\mathcal{H} := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, h, \mathcal{H}^W)$$

which is defined as follows:

- (a)  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$  is a Nisnevich cover of  $\mathbb{A}_U^1$ .
- (b) For  $i = 0, 1$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}_i : U \rightarrow V$  is a lift of  $\sigma_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$ .
- (c)  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$  is a Nisnevich cover of  $V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$ .
- (d)  $h$  is a morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $h \circ \tilde{\sigma}_i = t_i$ .
- (e)  $\mathcal{H}^W$  is an  $(n-1)$ -ghost chain homotopy connecting the two morphisms  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  where  $pr_1$  and  $pr_2$  are the projections  $V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \rightarrow V$ .

With this notation, we will also write  $\mathcal{H}(i) = t_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

- (3) Suppose the notion of an  $n$ -ghost homotopy has been defined. Then for elements  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , an  $n$ -ghost chain homotopy connecting  $t_1, t_2$  is a finite sequence  $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_r)$  where each  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is an  $n$ -ghost homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $(\mathcal{H}_i)(1) = (\mathcal{H}_{i+1})(0)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}_1)(0) = t_1$  and  $(\mathcal{H}_r)(1) = t_2$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets, let  $U$  be an essentially smooth scheme over  $k$  and let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . If  $t_1, t_2$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic then their images in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})(U)$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic. Conversely, if the images of  $t_1, t_2$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic, there exists a Nisnevich cover  $p : U' \rightarrow U$  such that the images of  $t_i \circ p$  in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})(U)$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic

*Proof.* We first prove that if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic, then their images in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic. We prove this by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is trivial. We verify the case  $n = 1$ . Suppose we have a 1-ghost homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, h, h^W)$$

connecting  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Then for  $i = 1, 2$ , if  $pr_i$  denotes the projection on the  $i$ -th factor, we see that the two morphisms

$$W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V \xrightarrow{h} F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$$

are equal. Since  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} W$  is a Nisnevich cover, we see that the morphism  $V \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  descends to a morphism  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , connecting the images of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ .

Now assume that for any scheme  $T$ , if two morphisms  $T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  are  $(n-1)$ -ghost homotopic, then their images in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic. Suppose we are given an  $n$ -ghost homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, h, h^W)$$

between  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . By the induction hypothesis, on composing with the morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  we get a 1-ghost homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$ . Since we know the result to be true for  $n = 1$ , we see that a 1-ghost homotopy in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  gives rise to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  connecting the images of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})(U)$ .

Now we prove the converse, again by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is trivial. Suppose  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  are such that their images in  $\mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})(U)$  are connected by a single  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy. As  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  is an epimorphism, there exists a Nisnevich cover  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$  such that the morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$  can be lifted to a morphism  $V \rightarrow F$ . By replacing  $U$  by some suitable Nisnevich cover  $U' \rightarrow U$ , we may assume that the morphisms  $\sigma_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$  lift to  $V$ . Now, in the notation of Definition 2.7, it suffices to construct the Nisnevich cover  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$  and the  $(n-1)$ -ghost chain homotopy  $\mathcal{H}^W$ .

The two morphisms

$$V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$$

become equal when composed with the morphism  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n(\mathcal{F})$ . Thus, they must be compatible up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$ , that is, there exists a Nisnevich cover  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$  such that the two morphisms

$$W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$$

are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence, there exists a finite sequence of homotopies  $h^W := (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  in  $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $\sigma_1^*(h_i) = \sigma_0^*(h_{i+1})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$  and such that  $\sigma_0^*(h_1)$  and  $\sigma_1^*(h_r)$  are the two morphisms of  $W$ . By replacing  $W$  by some suitable Nisnevich cover, we may assume that for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ , the morphisms  $\sigma_1^*(h_i)$  lift to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Applying the induction hypothesis, we see that all these lifts are  $(n-1)$ -ghost homotopic. Thus, the two morphisms  $W \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  are  $(n-1)$ -ghost chain homotopic. This completes our proof.  $\square$

In order to avoid being flooded by notation in some of the proofs, we need to introduce the notion of the “total space” of an  $n$ -ghost homotopy. For a given  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  of a scheme  $U$  in a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , this is simply the union of all the schemes that show up in its definition. This is a scheme over  $U$  and is equipped with a morphism into  $\mathcal{F}$  which is simply the coproduct of all the morphisms that come up in the definition of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For the sake of precision, we write down the definition explicitly as follows:

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets, let  $U$  be a smooth scheme. For any  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we define a scheme  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$  and morphisms  $f_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow U$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . We do this by induction on  $n$  as follows:

- (1) For a 0-ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  which is given by a morphism  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , we define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathbb{A}_U^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \times U.$$

The morphism  $f_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow U$  is simply the canonical projection on  $U$ . The morphism  $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is just the morphism defining the homotopy.

- (2) Suppose that this construction has been done for  $(n-1)$ -ghost homotopies. Let

$$\mathcal{H} := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, h, \mathcal{H}^W)$$

be an  $n$ -ghost homotopy where  $\mathcal{H}_W = (\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_r)$  is a  $(n-1)$ -ghost chain homotopy. We then define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) := V \amalg \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}_i) \right).$$

We will define the morphisms  $f_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow U$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  are defined by specifying their restrictions to  $V$  and to  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}_i)$  for all  $i$ . We define  $f_{\mathcal{H}}|_V$  to be the composition of the given morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$  with the projection  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow U$ . The morphism  $f_{\mathcal{H}}|_{\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}_i)}$  is defined to be the composition

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}_i) \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{H}_i}} W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow U$$

where  $pr_i$  could be either of the projections  $V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \rightarrow V$  (the composition clearly does not depend on this choice). The morphism  $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is defined by  $h_{\mathcal{H}}|_V = h$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}}|_{\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}_i)} = h_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ .

**2.3. Ghost homotopies respecting fibers.** We now discuss  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies and  $n$ -ghost homotopies on sheaves that admit a morphism to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf. We will then specialize to the case when the target sheaf is represented by an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid scheme.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $U$  be a scheme over  $k$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves of sets on  $Sm/k$ .

- (1) We say that an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h : U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  *respects fibers of  $\phi$*  if there exists a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi \circ h = \gamma \circ pr_1$  where  $pr_1 : U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U$  is the projection on the first factor. We say that  $h$  *lies over  $\gamma$* .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{V}, \{\mathcal{W}^{ij}\}_{i,j}, \{h_i\}_i, \{h^{ijk}\}_{i,j,k})$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  *respects fibers of  $\phi$*  if there exists a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi \circ h_{\mathcal{H}} = \gamma \circ f_{\mathcal{H}}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  *lies over  $\gamma$* .
- (3) In general, given a  $U$ -scheme  $V$  and a morphism of sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , a morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  will be called a  $\gamma$ -*morphism* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$



commutes.

**Remark 2.11.** An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  gives rise to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ . However, to say that an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopy respects fibers of  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is *not* exactly the same as saying that the corresponding  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  respects the fibers of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . For instance, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the corresponding  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  respects the fibers of the morphism  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , it need not be true that  $\phi \circ h_{\mathcal{H}} = \gamma \circ f_{\mathcal{H}}$  on every copy of  $W_k^{ij} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  that occurs in  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves of sets on  $\mathrm{Sm}/k$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. Let  $U$  be any scheme. Then any  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy (resp.  $n$ -ghost homotopy) of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  respects fibers of  $\phi$ . Thus there exists a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that the given  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy (resp.  $n$ -ghost homotopy) of  $U$  factors through  $\mathcal{F} \times_{\mathcal{G}, \gamma} U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h : U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $f \circ h$  is constant, i.e. there exists a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi \circ h = \gamma \circ \mathrm{pr}_1$ . This proves the lemma for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies.

Now, suppose we have an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -ghost homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{V}, \{\mathcal{W}^{ij}\}_{i,j}, \{h_i\}_i, \{h^{ijk}\}_{i,j,k})$$

of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  which represents an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h$  of  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ . Since we have proved the result for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies, the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant implies that there exists a  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} & U \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}. \end{array}$$

Combining this with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_i & \longrightarrow & U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \\ h_i \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

we obtain a proof of the equality  $\phi \circ h_{\mathcal{H}} = \gamma \circ f_{\mathcal{H}}$  when the morphisms are restricted to  $V_i \subset \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$  for  $i \in I$ .

Let  $i, j \in I$  and  $k \in K_{ij}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, for every  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h_i^{ijk} : W_k^{ij} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  occurring in  $h^{ijk}$ , there exists a morphism  $\gamma_l : W_k^{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^{ij} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & W_k^{ij} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

is commutative. We need to show that  $\gamma_l$  is the same as the morphism

$$W_k^{ij} \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} U \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{G}.$$

For  $t = 0, 1$ , we have  $\phi \circ h_l^{ijk} \circ \sigma_t = \gamma_l \circ pr_1 \circ \sigma_t = \gamma_l$ . However, by the definition of an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopy, we have  $h_l^{ijk} \circ \sigma_0 = h_{l-1}^{ijk} \circ \sigma_1$  and  $h_l^{ijk} \circ \sigma_1 = h_{l+1}^{ijk} \circ \sigma_0$ . Thus we see that the  $\gamma_l = \gamma_1$  for every  $l$ . However,  $\gamma_1$  is equal to the composition of morphism

$$W_k^{ij} \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} W_k^{ij} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{h_i^{ijk}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

which, in turn, is equal to the composition

$$W_k^{ij} \rightarrow V_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V_j \xrightarrow{pr_1} V_i \xrightarrow{h_i} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{G}.$$

Since the morphism  $\phi \circ h_i$  is the same as the composition

$$V_i \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} U \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{G},$$

we see that  $\gamma_1$  is equal to the composition

$$W_k^{ij} \rightarrow V_i \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V_j \xrightarrow{pr_1} V_i \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} U \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{G}$$

as desired. Proceeding inductively, we can prove the result for  $n$ -ghost homotopies.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $E \rightarrow B$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid scheme  $B$  over a field  $k$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(E) = \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(E) = B$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a smooth henselian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ ,  $U = \text{Spec } R$ . We fix a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow B$  and consider the pullback  $E$  with respect to  $\gamma$  which we denote by  $E_\gamma$ . Since a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle is étale locally trivial, we see that  $E_\gamma \simeq \mathbb{P}_U^1$ . Since  $B$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid, by Lemma 2.12, it follows that  $\mathcal{S}(E)(U) = B(U)$ , and consequently,

$$\mathcal{S}(E) = \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(E) \simeq B.$$

$\square$

### 3. HOMOTOPIES ON A BLOWUP

The aim of this section is to study “topological” constraints on an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy or more generally, an  $n$ -ghost homotopy of a smooth henselian local ring into a scheme obtained by blowing up a scheme admitting a morphism to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid scheme. We fix the following setting for the rest of this section.

- Notation 3.1.**
- (1) Let  $k$  be a field and let  $B$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid scheme over  $k$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes over  $k$ .
  - (2) Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blowup of  $X$  at  $T$ , where  $T$  is a closed subscheme of  $X$  such that  $\pi(T)$  is not dense in  $B$ .
  - (3) Let  $U = \text{Spec } R$  where  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is the Henselization of the local ring at a smooth point of a variety over  $k$ . Let  $K$  denote the residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ . We will denote the closed point of  $U$  by  $u$ .
  - (4) For any morphism of schemes  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ , we will abuse the notation and write  $f^*$  for the associated morphism of sheaves of rings  $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$  as well as for the morphism induced by this one on sections, when there is no confusion.

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow X$  be morphisms which lift to  $\tilde{X}$ . We also assume that the maps  $\pi \circ \alpha_i$  do not map the generic point of  $U$  into  $\pi(T)$ . This condition is enough to ensure that the lifts of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  to  $\tilde{X}$  are unique. The main result of this section is that, if the lifts  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2$  of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  to  $\tilde{X}$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for some  $n$ , then the intersection of exceptional divisor with  $\tilde{\alpha}_1(U)$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_2(U)$  is the “same” in the sense that the pullback of the ideal sheaf defining  $T$  to  $U$  via  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  is the same. For instance, this implies that  $\tilde{\alpha}_1$  maps the closed point of  $U$  into the exceptional divisor, so does  $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ . Indeed, the image of the closed point of  $U$  remains in the exceptional divisor throughout the  $n$ -ghost homotopy.

We wish to study the sheaves  $\mathcal{S}^n(X)$ . For any  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $U$  in  $X$ , the homotopy  $f \circ \mathcal{H}$  is constant by Lemma 2.12. Thus, if two morphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow X$  are  $n$ -ghost chain homotopic, the compositions  $f \circ \alpha_1$  and  $f \circ \alpha_2$  are equal. Thus, in order to study the  $n$ -ghost homotopy classes of morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$ , we may first fix a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow B$  and study the  $n$ -ghost homotopy classes of the sections of  $X \times_{B, \gamma} U \rightarrow U$ . Therefore, we may take  $B = U$  without loss of generality throughout the rest of the section.

Let us thus assume that  $X$  be scheme of finite type over  $U$ , with structure map  $\pi : X \rightarrow U$ . Let  $T$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$  such  $\pi(T)$  does not contain the generic point of  $U$ . Thus, there exists a proper ideal  $I_0$  of  $R$  such that if  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is the associated ideal sheaf on  $U$ , then  $T$  is contained in the closed subscheme defined by the ideal sheaf  $f^*(\mathcal{I}_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_T$  denote the ideal sheaf corresponding to  $T$  and  $\tilde{X}$  denote the blowup of  $X$  at  $T$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f : V \rightarrow U$  be a smooth morphism. Let  $h : V \rightarrow X$  be a morphism over  $U$  that lifts to  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $v$  be a point of  $V$  such that  $f(v) = u$  and  $\kappa(v) = K$ . Then the ideal  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v$  is generated by an element of the form  $f^*(r)$  for some  $r \in R$ . Also, we have  $\langle r \rangle \supset I_0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is henselian, there exists a section  $\alpha : U \rightarrow V$  such that  $\alpha(u) = v$ . Since  $\alpha$  also lifts to  $\tilde{X}$ , the ideal  $\alpha^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v)$  is principal. If  $h(v)$  does not lie in  $Z$ , then this ideal is the unit ideal and so there is nothing left to prove. So we now assume that  $h(v) \in Z$ . Thus the ideal  $\alpha^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v)$  is generated by some element  $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ . It is clear that  $\langle r \rangle \supset I_0$ . We will show that  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v$  is generated by  $f^*(r)$ . As  $h$  lifts to  $\tilde{X}$ , we know that the ideal  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_v)$  is principal, generated by some element  $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{V,v}$ . Thus we need to show that  $f^*(r)$  is a unit multiple of  $\rho$ .

The sequence of homomorphisms  $R \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{O}_{V,v} \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} R$  gives rise to the sequence of homomorphisms on the completions  $\hat{R} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^*} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v} \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}^*} \hat{R}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}^* \circ \hat{f}^*$  is the identity homomorphism on  $\hat{R}$ . (Here  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$  are the induced morphisms of schemes  $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \hat{R}$  and  $\text{Spec } \hat{R} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v}$  respectively.) We denote by  $\phi_R : \text{Spec } \hat{R} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  and  $\phi_v : \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{V,v}$  the morphisms induced by the canonical homomorphisms of local rings into their completions.

Suppose  $n$  is the Krull dimension of  $R$  and  $m$  is the dimension of the fibers of  $f$ . Then there exists a Zariski local neighbourhood  $W$  of  $v$  in  $V$  such that  $f|_W$  factors as  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^n \rightarrow U$  where  $W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^n$  is an étale morphism taking  $v$  to the origin in  $\mathbb{A}_K^n \subset \mathbb{A}_U^n$ .

Thus there exists a commutative diagram as follows where the horizontal morphisms are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{R} & \longrightarrow & K[[s]] \\ \widehat{f}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v} & \longrightarrow & K[[s, t]]. \end{array}$$

Here  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  are tuples of variables.

Let  $r_0$  be a non-zero element in  $I_0$ . (Such an element exists because we are assuming that the generic point of  $U$  does not lie in  $\pi(T)$ .) The ideal  $\widehat{h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v} = \widehat{\phi_v^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v)}$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v}$  is principal, generated by  $\phi_v(\rho)$ . Then  $\phi_v(\rho)|_{\widehat{f}(r_0)}$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,v}$  and thus by the above commutative square we see that  $\phi_v(\rho)$  is a unit multiple of some element in the image of  $\widehat{f}^*$ . Thus we may assume that  $\phi_v(\rho) = \widehat{f}^*(r')$  where  $r' \in \widehat{R}$ .

The morphism  $\alpha \circ \phi_R : \text{Spec } \widehat{R} \rightarrow V$ , is equal to  $\phi_v \circ \widehat{\alpha}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \circ \phi_R)^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v) &= \alpha^*(\phi_v^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v)) \\ &= \widehat{\alpha}^*(\langle \widehat{f}^*(r') \rangle) \\ &= \langle r' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

However, we also have  $(\alpha \circ \phi_R)^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v) = \phi_R^*(\langle r \rangle)$ . Thus  $r'$  is a unit multiple of  $\phi_R^*(r)$  in  $\widehat{R}$ . Thus the ideals  $\phi_v(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v) = \langle \widehat{f}^*(r') \rangle$  and  $\phi_v(\langle f^*(r) \rangle) = \langle \widehat{f}^*(\phi_R^*(r)) \rangle$  are equal. Since  $\phi_v$  is a faithfully flat morphism, this shows that  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v = \langle f^*(r) \rangle$  in  $R$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f : V \rightarrow U$  be a smooth morphism. Let  $h : V \rightarrow X$  be a morphism which lifts to  $\widetilde{X}$ . Let  $z$  be a point of  $U$  and let  $v$  be a point of  $f^{-1}(z) = V \times_{U,z} \text{Spec } \kappa(z)$  such that the ideal  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_v$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V,v}$  is generated by an element of the form  $f^*(r)$  where  $r \in R$ . Let  $Z$  be the irreducible component of  $f^{-1}(z)$  containing  $v$ . Then there exists an open subscheme  $W_0$  of  $V$  such that  $Z \subset W_0$  and the ideal sheaf  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{W_0}$  is generated by  $f^*(r)$ .*

*Proof.* In the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{V,v}$ , we have the equality of ideals  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = \langle f^*(r) \rangle$  which must hold in a neighbourhood of  $v$ . Thus, there exists an open subscheme  $W$  of  $V$  with  $v \in W$  such that the ideals  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)(W)$  and  $\langle f^*(r) \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{O}_V(W)$  are equal. Let  $v_1 \in Z \setminus W_0$ . We wish to show that the ideal  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V,v_1}$  is also generated by  $f^*(r)$ .

Let  $z$  be of codimension  $m$  in  $U$ . Then, there exists there exists a (non-unique)  $K$ -algebra isomorphism  $\kappa(z)[[t]] \rightarrow \widehat{R}_z$  where  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  is an  $m$ -tuple of variables. We fix such an isomorphism and using the ring homomorphism  $f^* : \kappa(z) \rightarrow \kappa(v_1)$ , we define the ring  $R' = \kappa(v_1) \otimes_{\kappa(z)} \widehat{R}_z \simeq \kappa(v_1)[[t]]$ . Let  $\psi_U$  denote the morphism  $\text{Spec } R' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ . Let  $\widetilde{V} = V \times_U \text{Spec } R'$ . Let  $\psi_V$  and  $\widetilde{f}$  denote the projections  $\widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$  and  $\widetilde{V} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R'$  respectively.

We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} \kappa(v_1) & \xrightarrow{v_1} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} R' & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} R \end{array}$$

which gives a morphism  $\tilde{v}_1 : \mathrm{Spec} \kappa(v_1) \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ . Then by the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we see that the ideal  $(h \circ \psi_V)^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}, \tilde{v}_1}$  is generated by an element of the form  $\tilde{f}^*(\rho)$  for some  $\rho \in R'$ . Thus there exists an open subscheme  $\tilde{W}$  of  $\tilde{V}$  containing  $\tilde{v}_1$  such that  $(h \circ \psi_V)^*(\mathcal{I}_T)(\tilde{W})$  is generated by  $\tilde{f}^*(\rho)$ .

Since  $\kappa(v_1)$  is a finitely generated field extension of  $\kappa(z)$ , the morphism  $\psi_V$  is open. Thus,  $\psi_V(\tilde{W})$  is an open subscheme containing  $v_1$ . Thus, there exists a point  $\tilde{v}_2$  in  $\tilde{W}$  such that the point  $v_2 := \psi_V(\tilde{v}_2)$  is in  $W_0$ . Thus  $(h \circ \psi_V)^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} = \psi_V^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_2}) = \psi_V^*(\langle f^*(r) \rangle) = \langle \tilde{f}^*(\psi_V^*(r)) \rangle$ . But we also have  $(h \circ \psi_V)^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} = \langle \tilde{f}^*(\rho) \rangle$  as  $\tilde{v}_2 \in \tilde{W}$ . Thus  $\rho$  is a unit multiple of  $\psi_V^*(r)$ . Thus the ideal  $\psi_V^*(h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_1})$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}, \tilde{v}_1}$  is equal to  $\psi_V^*(\langle f^*(r) \rangle)$ . As  $\psi_V^* : \mathcal{O}_{V, v_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}, \tilde{v}_1}$  is faithfully flat, this implies that the ideal  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V, v_1}$  is equal to  $\langle f^*(r) \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $f_i : V_i \rightarrow U$  be smooth morphisms and let  $h_i : V_i \rightarrow X$  be morphisms over  $U$  which lift to  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  and  $g : V_2 \rightarrow V_1$  be morphisms over  $U$  such that  $g$  is a closed embedding,  $h_2 = h_1 \circ g$  and  $f \circ g = \mathrm{Id}_{V_2}$ . Let  $v_i$  be a point of  $V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $g(v_1) = v_2$ . Suppose  $h_2^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_2} = \langle f_2^*(r) \rangle$  for some  $r \in R$ . Then  $h_1^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_1} = \langle f_1^*(r) \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* This proof is along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 3.3. Let  $z = f_1(v_1) = f_2(v_2)$ . Let  $R_z$  be the localization of  $R$  at the prime ideal corresponding to the point  $z$ . We fix a  $K$ -algebra isomorphism  $\widehat{R}_z \rightarrow \kappa(z)[[t]]$  where  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  is an  $m$ -tuple of variables. Using the ring homomorphism  $f^* : \kappa(z) \rightarrow \kappa(v_1)$ , we define  $R' = \kappa(v_1) \otimes_{\kappa(z)} \widehat{R}_z$ . Let  $\psi_U$  be the morphism  $\mathrm{Spec} R' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , we define  $\tilde{V}_i = V_i \times_U \mathrm{Spec} R'$  and denote by  $\tilde{f}_i$  and  $\psi_{V_i}$  the projections  $\tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R'$  and  $\tilde{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$  respectively. Also, the morphisms  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  are the pullbacks of  $f$  and  $g$ . We also have points  $\tilde{v}_i$  on  $\tilde{V}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $\psi_{V_i}(\tilde{v}_i) = v_i$  and  $\tilde{g}(\tilde{v}_2) = \tilde{v}_1$ .

Then by the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3, there exists an element  $\rho \in R'$  such that the ideal  $(h_1 \circ \psi_{V_1})^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}, \tilde{v}_1}$  is generated by  $\tilde{f}_1^*(\rho)$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_2 \circ \psi_{V_2})^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} &= (h_1 \circ g \circ \psi_{V_2})^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} \\ &= (h_1 \circ \psi_{V_1} \circ \tilde{g})^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} \\ &= \tilde{g}^*(\langle \tilde{f}_1^*(\rho) \rangle) \\ &= \langle \tilde{f}_2^*(\rho) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

However, by assumption,  $(h_2 \circ \psi_{V_2})^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{v}_2} = \psi_{V_2}^*(\langle f_2^*(r) \rangle) = \tilde{f}_2^*(\psi_U^*(r))$ . This proves that  $\rho$  is a unit multiple of  $\psi_U^*(r)$  in  $R'$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, this shows that  $h_1^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v_1} = \langle f_1^*(r) \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  be sections of  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow U$  which are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy. Then there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $\langle r \rangle \supset I_0$  and generates  $\alpha^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = (\alpha')^*(\mathcal{I}_T)$ . Also, in this case  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$ .*

*Proof.* This will be proved by induction on  $n$ . We begin with the case  $n = 1$ . Thus, suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are connected by a single  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h : \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow X$ , which lifts to  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $r$  be such that  $\alpha^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = \langle r \rangle$ . Then by Lemma 3.4, we see that  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{\sigma_0(u)}$  is generated by  $pr_2^*(r)$  where  $pr_2$  is the projection  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \times U \rightarrow U$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exists an open subscheme  $W_0 \subset \mathbb{A}_U^1$  containing the closed fiber  $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{A}_U^1$  such that  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{W_0}$  is generated by  $pr_2^*(r)$ . In particular,  $h^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{(\sigma_1(u))}$  is generated by  $pr_2^*(r)$ . Thus  $(\alpha')^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = \sigma_1^*(h(\mathcal{I}_T))$  is generated by  $\sigma_1^*(pr_2^*(r)) = r$ . This completes the proof in the case  $n = 0$ .

Now suppose the result has been proved for  $m$ -ghost homotopies where  $m \leq n$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy

$$\mathcal{H} := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, h, \mathcal{H}^W).$$

Let  $r, r' \in R$  be such that  $\alpha^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = \langle r \rangle$  and  $(\alpha')^*(\mathcal{I}_T) = \langle r' \rangle$ . Then, by Lemma 3.4, we see that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{\sigma}_0(u)} = \langle f_{\mathcal{H}}(r) \rangle$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{\tilde{\sigma}_1(u)} = \langle f_{\mathcal{H}}(r') \rangle$ . Let  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1$  be the irreducible components of the closed fiber of  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(u)$  of  $V$  containing  $\tilde{\sigma}_0(u)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_1(u)$  respectively. By Lemma 3.3, there exist open subschemes  $W_i \supset Z_i$  of  $V$  for  $i = 0, 1$  such that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{W_0}$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{W_1}$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r')$ . The morphisms  $Z_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  are étale and thus there exists a point  $z \in \mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset \mathbb{A}_U^1$  lying in the image of both  $Z_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Thus, there exist points  $z_i \in Z_i$  which map to  $z$  under the morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$ . Thus, we obtain the point  $(z_1, z_2) \in V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$  and there exists a point  $z_3 \in W$  which maps to  $(z_1, z_2)$  under the morphism  $W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V$ . Let  $g_1, g_2$  denote the two compositions

$$W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \xrightarrow{pr_i} V$$

where  $pr_i : V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V \rightarrow V$  is the projection on the  $i$ -th factor for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $g_1^*(h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{z_3})$  is generated by  $g_1^*(f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r))$  and  $g_2^*(h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)_{z_3})$  is generated by  $g_2^*(f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r'))$ . Since the morphisms  $h_{\mathcal{H}} \circ g_1$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}} \circ g_2$  are  $(n-1)$ -ghost chain homotopic, the induction hypothesis implies that the ideals  $\langle g_1^* f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r) \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2^* f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r') \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{W, z_3}$  are equal. It is easily seen that the morphisms  $f_{\mathcal{H}} \circ g_1$  and  $f_{\mathcal{H}} \circ g_2$  from  $W \rightarrow U$  are identical. Since this is a smooth morphism, it is faithful and thus the equality  $\langle g_1^* f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r) \rangle = \langle g_2^* f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r') \rangle$  implies that  $\langle r \rangle = \langle r' \rangle$  in  $R$  as desired.

Now we need to prove that the ideal sheaf  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$ . The above arguments show that there exists an open subscheme  $V_0 \subset V$  containing the closed fiber  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(u)$  such that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{V_0}$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r_0)$ . Of course, it is possible that  $V \setminus V_0$  is non-empty. Suppose  $v \in V \setminus V_0$  and let  $f_{\mathcal{H}}(v) = z \in U$ . We define  $U_z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{U, z}^h$ . Applying the above arguments for  $U_z$  instead of  $U$ , we see that there exists an element  $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{O}_{U, z}^h$  such that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}_T)_{v'}$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\tilde{r})$  for every  $v'$  in  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(z)$  (in particular for  $v' = v$ ). But since  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(z) \cap V_0$  is non-empty, we see that  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle = r \mathcal{O}_{U, z}^h$ . Thus we see that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_V$

is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$ . Now, by Lemma 3.4, it easily follows that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}_T)|_{Sp(\mathcal{H}_i)}$  is also generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$  for every  $(n - 1)$ -ghost homotopy appearing in  $\mathcal{H}_W$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. GEOMETRY OF RULED SURFACES

Our proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.5) is based on the classification of smooth projective surfaces. We will therefore need a formalism to handle blowups of points on a minimal ruled surface. We will briefly review some elementary constructions on schemes in Section 4.1, all of which basically follow from resolution of indeterminacy and universal property of blowups. The material in Section 4.1 should be quite obvious to the expert, but we present the proofs for the sake of completeness and since we use nonstandard notation to facilitate the book-keeping needed for our purposes. Section 4.2 gives a systematic way of handling a special class of blowups of a minimal ruled surface and Section 4.3 contains a key result about local geometry of such blowups (Theorem 4.16), which plays a crucial role in our proof of Theorem 1.5.

**4.1. Review of some elementary constructions on schemes.** We fix a base scheme  $\text{Spec } R$ , where  $R$  is a noetherian domain. All the  $R$ -schemes considered in this subsection will be separated, integral and of finite type over  $\text{Spec } R$ . We comment that the some of the restrictions we have placed on the base ring  $R$  as well as the  $R$ -schemes under consideration may not be necessary, but they allow us to write simpler proofs and are sufficient for our purposes.

Let  $X$  be an integral, separated  $R$ -scheme and let  $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a rational function. We will construct three kinds of  $R$ -schemes  $Z$  along with structure morphisms  $Z \rightarrow X$  such that the pullback of  $f$  to  $Z$  has certain special properties.

**4.1.1. Resolving indeterminacies of a rational function.** Let  $T_0, T_1$  denote homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ , so that  $\mathbb{P}_R^1 = \text{Proj } R[T_0, T_1]$ . Recall that for any  $R$ -scheme  $Z$  and a morphism  $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ , we obtain an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L} := \pi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$  on  $Z$  and a pair of global sections  $s := \pi^*(T_0)$  and  $t := \pi^*(T_1)$  which generate  $\mathcal{L}$ . Conversely, given a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on an  $R$ -scheme  $Z$  and an ordered pair of global sections  $s, t$  generating  $\mathcal{L}$ , one can construct a morphism  $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  such that there exists an isomorphism  $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$  which maps  $\pi^*(T_0)$  to  $s$  and  $\pi^*(T_1)$  to  $t$ .

Suppose we are given a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on an  $R$ -scheme  $X$  and an ordered pair of global sections  $(s, t)$ , such that  $s$  and  $t$  do not generate  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then, the set of all points  $x \in Z$  where  $s_x$  and  $t_x$  generate  $\mathcal{L}_x$  is an open subset  $U$  of  $X$ . If  $U$  is nonempty (and hence, dense in  $X$ ), we obtain a rational function on  $X$ . We would like to know when this rational function can be extended to a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ .

Recall that on an integral scheme  $X$ , any invertible sheaf is isomorphic to a subsheaf of the constant sheaf  $\mathcal{K}$  of rational functions on  $X$ . Thus, for any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , every global section of  $\mathcal{L}$  may be considered as an element of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . In particular, given two global sections  $s, t$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we will speak of the ratio  $s/t$  as being an element of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a separated, integral  $R$ -scheme. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$  and let  $s, t$  be global sections defining a rational function on  $X$ . Then,  $f$  can be extended to a morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  if and only if the  $s, t$  generate an invertible subsheaf of  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose we are given the rational function  $f$  as above. Clearly, both  $s$  and  $t$  are not equal to the zero section. Suppose that  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $f$  is clearly defined on the open subset  $U$ , consisting of points  $x \in X$  such that  $s_x$  and  $t_x$  generate  $\mathcal{L}_x$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the subsheaf of  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by  $s$  and  $t$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}|_{X_t} = \mathcal{L}|_{X_t}$ .

Suppose that the sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally principal. Then, as the sections  $s, t$  generate  $\mathcal{M}$ , by the above comments we obtain a morphism  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  such that  $\tilde{f}^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$  via a morphism that maps  $\tilde{f}^*(T_0)$  to  $s$  and  $\tilde{f}^*(T_1)$  to  $t$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{f}|_U = f|_U$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  can be extended to a morphism  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Thus, there exists an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $X$  with global sections  $u, v$  which generate  $\mathcal{N}$  and an isomorphism  $\tilde{f}^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  which maps  $\tilde{f}^*(T_0)$  to  $u$  and  $\tilde{f}^*(T_1)$  to  $v$ . On the set  $U$  defined above, this morphism agrees with  $f$  and so we must have  $s/t = u/v$  as elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . As  $u$  and  $v$  generate an invertible sheaf on  $X$ , at any point  $x \in X$ , either  $u/v \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  or  $v/u \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . Thus we also have  $s/t \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  or  $t/s \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for every  $x \in X$ . This shows that the sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally principal.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be an integral scheme over  $R$ . Let  $f$  be a rational function on  $X$ . There exists a pair  $(X[f], \pi_f)$  consisting of a scheme  $X[f]$  and a morphism  $\pi_f : X[f] \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (a) *The pullback of  $f$  to  $X[f]$  can be extended to a morphism  $\tilde{f} : X[f] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ .*
- (b) *Given any  $R$ -scheme  $Y$  and a morphism  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi^*(f)$  extends to a morphism  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ , there exists a unique map  $\tilde{\phi} : Y \rightarrow X[f]$  such that  $\phi = \pi_f \circ \tilde{\phi}$ .*

*Proof.* The statement of the theorem is clearly local with respect to  $X$  and so it will suffice to prove the result when  $X$  is affine. Thus, we may assume that  $f$  is given by an ordered pair  $(s, t)$  of global sections of the trivial bundle (so that  $f = s/t$  as an element of the function field of  $X$ ). Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal subsheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  generated by  $s, t$ . Let  $\pi_f : X[f] \rightarrow X$  be the blowup of  $X$  at the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then, the ideal sheaf  $\pi_f^{-1}(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X[f]}$  is invertible. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, the rational function  $\pi_f^*(f)$  extends to a morphism  $\tilde{f} : X[f] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ . (This construction is given in [6, Chapter II, Example 7.17.3].)

Given any pair  $(Y, \phi)$  as in property (b) in the statement of the theorem, we see by Lemma 4.1 that the ideal sheaf  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X[f]}$  is invertible. Thus, we obtain the result by applying the universal property of blowups (see [6, Chapter II, Prop. 7.14]).  $\square$

Note that even if  $f$  and  $g$  both induce morphisms from  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ , the product  $fg$  may not do so. However, the following lemma is easy to prove:

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a separated, integral  $R$ -scheme. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X^\times(X)$  and let  $g$  be a rational function on  $X$ . Then:*

- (a)  *$g$  induces a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$  if and only if  $fg$  induces a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ .*



(b)  $X[g] \simeq X[fg]$  as  $X$ -schemes.

**Notation 4.4.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be rational functions on  $X$ . We will write  $X[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  instead of  $X[f_1][f_2] \cdots [f_n]$ .

4.1.2. *Attaching an  $n$ -th root of a regular function.* Given a regular function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  and an integer  $n > 1$ , we would like to construct the final object in the category of  $X$ -schemes on which  $f$  has an  $n$ -th root. We need to be careful about the fact that the  $n$ -th root may not be unique. So in order to conveniently phrase the universal property of our construction, we also pick out a specific  $n$ -th root of (the pullback of)  $f$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a separated, integral  $R$  scheme and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  be a morphism. Let  $n > 0$  be an integer. Then there exists a triple*

$$(X[f^{1/n}], \pi_{f,n}, f^{1/n})$$

consisting of a scheme  $X[f^{1/n}]$ , a morphism  $\pi_{f,n} : X[f^{1/n}] \rightarrow X$  and a morphism  $f^{1/n} : X[f^{1/n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (a) *The morphism  $f^{1/n}$  is an  $n$ -th root of  $\pi_{f,n}^*(f)$ . We will call  $f^{1/n}$  the structural  $n$ -th root of the triple  $(X[f^{1/n}], \pi_{f,n}, f^{1/n})$ .*
- (b) *Given any other triple  $(Y, \phi, g')$  where  $Y$  is an  $R$ -scheme,  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism and  $g' : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  is a morphism which is an  $n$ -th root of  $\phi^*(f)$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $\tilde{\phi} : Y \rightarrow X[f^{1/n}]$  such that  $\phi = \pi_{f,n} \circ \tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\phi}^*(g') = g$ .*

*Proof.* As above, let  $T_0, T_1$  be the homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ . There exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  and global sections  $s, t$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $f^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathcal{L}$  via an isomorphism that maps  $f^*(T_0)$  to  $s$  and  $f^*(T_1)$  to  $t$ . Then, as elements of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , we have the equality  $f = s/t$ .

Let  $\phi_n : \mathbb{P}_R^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  be the  $n$ -th power map given by the graded ring homomorphism  $R[T_0, T_1] \rightarrow R[T_0, T_1]$ ,  $T_0 \mapsto T_0^n$ ,  $T_1 \mapsto T_1^n$ . Then we define  $X[f^{1/n}]$  to be the fiber product  $X \times_{f, \mathbb{P}_R^1, \phi_n} \mathbb{P}_R^1$  with

$$p_1, p_2 : X[f^{1/n}] = X \times_{f, \mathbb{P}_R^1, \phi_n} \mathbb{P}_R^1$$

being the projections on the first and second factors respectively. We define  $\pi_{f,n}$  to equal to  $p_1$  and we define  $g = p_2^*(T_0/T_1)$ . It is easy to see that  $g^n = f$ .

Now suppose that  $(Y, \phi, g')$  is another triple as in property (2) in the statement of the theorem. Then, the universal property of the fiber product immediately gives required morphism  $\tilde{\phi}$  from the morphisms  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $g' : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ .  $\square$

We will simply write  $X[f^{1/n}]$  for the triple  $(X[f^{1/n}], \pi_{f,n}, f^{1/n})$ , when the rest of the data is clear from the context. Thus, for instance, suppose  $p : Z \rightarrow X$  and  $g : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  are morphisms, we may say that  $\phi : X[f^{1/n}] \rightarrow (Z, p, g)$  is an isomorphism to mean that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism from the scheme  $X[f^{1/n}]$  to the scheme  $Z$  such that  $\pi_{f,n} \circ \phi = p$  and  $\phi^*(g) = f^{1/n}$ . The following lemma is obvious and so we omit its proof.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a separated, integral  $R$ -scheme and let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^*(X)$ , viewed as a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ . If  $n \in R^\times$ , then the morphism  $X[f^{1/n}] \rightarrow X$  is étale.*

4.1.3. *Turning a regular function into a unit.* Suppose that  $f$  defines a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_R^1$ . Then,  $X\{f\}$  will denote a scheme with a given morphism  $\eta_f : X\{f\} \rightarrow X$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $\eta_f^*(f)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_{X\{f\}}^*(X\{f\})$ .
- (b) Given any other scheme  $Y$  and a morphism  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\phi^*(f)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_Y^*(Y)$ , there exists a unique map  $\tilde{\phi} : Y \rightarrow X\{f\}$  such that  $\phi = \pi_f \circ \tilde{\phi}$ .

Clearly,  $X\{f\}$  is just the open subscheme of  $X[f]$  which is the complement of the support of  $\text{div}(f)$ .

**Remark 4.7.** We observe that due to the universal properties of the three constructions 4.1.1-4.1.3, they may be permuted. In other words, if  $f$  is a rational function and  $g, h$  are regular functions on  $X$  and  $n > 1$  is an integer, then we have isomorphisms  $X[f]\{g\} \simeq X\{g\}[f]$ ,  $X[f][g^{1/n}] = X[g^{1/n}][f]$  and  $X\{g\}[h^{1/n}] \simeq X[h^{1/n}][g]$ .

4.2. **Nodal blowups of ruled surfaces.** Let  $C$  be 1-dimensional scheme. In this section, we will work with schemes that are obtained from  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  by successive blowups at smooth, closed points. Thus, all such schemes will come equipped with canonical birational maps between them. We will use the following conventions involving rational functions, points and curves on such schemes for the sake of brevity.

**Conventions 4.8.**

- (1) *Rational functions:* Given any scheme  $X$  obtained from  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  by successive blowups, its function field will be canonically isomorphic to that of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ . We will use this canonical isomorphism to identify the two function fields. Thus, the same symbol will be used to denote a rational function on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and its pullback to  $X$ . In particular, a rational function in  $x$  and  $y$  with coefficients in  $k$  can be interpreted as a rational function on any smooth scheme that is birational to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ .
- (2) *Points:* Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are both birational to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and let  $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be the unique birational map such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}_C^1 & \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $P$  be a point on  $X$  such that  $\phi$  is defined at  $P$ . Then the image  $\phi(P)$  in  $Y$  will also be denoted by the symbol  $P$ . Given any scheme  $Y'$  that is birational to  $X$  over  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ , we will say that  $P$  exists on  $Y'$  if the canonical birational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y'$  is defined at  $P$ .

- (3) *Curves:* Suppose  $X, Y$  and  $\phi$  are as in (b). If  $B$  is a curve on  $X$ , the map  $\phi$  is defined on an open subset  $B'$  of  $B$ . The closure of  $\phi(B')$ , which is called the *proper transform of  $B$  under  $\phi$* , will also be denoted by the symbol  $B$ .

We fix the following setting for the rest of the article.

**Notation 4.9.** Fix an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0.

- (1) Let  $x$  be a variable and let  $A$  be the Henselization of the polynomial ring  $k[x]$  at the maximal ideal  $\langle x \rangle$ . Let  $C = \text{Spec } A$  and let  $c_0$  denote the closed point of  $C$ .
- (2) Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be variables which denote the homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{P}_C^1 = \text{Proj } A[Y, Z]$ .
- (3) Let  $y$  denote the rational function  $Y/Z$  on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  which is defined on the open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  defined by the condition  $Z \neq 0$ . This open subscheme is simply  $\text{Spec } A[y] \simeq \mathbb{A}_C^1$  and the point  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  is defined by the ideal  $\langle x, y \rangle$  of  $A[y]$ .
- (4) Let  $\ell_\infty$  denote the closed subscheme  $C \times \{[0 : 1]\}$  of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ , which is the divisor of zeros of  $y$ . The closed subscheme  $C \times \{[1 : 0]\}$  is the divisor of poles of  $y$  and will be denoted by  $\ell_{-\infty}$ .

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $X$  be any scheme that is obtained from  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  by a finite number (possibly zero) of successive blowups at smooth, closed points.

- (1) The preimage of  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  is a connected scheme, the irreducible components of which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . We refer to these as *lines* on  $X$ .
- (2) We define a *pseudo-line* to be any curve that is either a line on  $X$  or the proper transform of  $\ell_\infty$ .
- (3) A *node* on  $X$  is defined to be the intersection point of two pseudo-lines. It is easy to see that any node is the point of intersection of exactly two pseudo-lines. Thus, for instance, the point  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  is the only node on the scheme  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ .
- (4) We will denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  the collection of schemes  $X$  admitting a morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ , which factors as

$$X = X_r \xrightarrow{\pi_r} X_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{r-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1$$

with  $r \geq 0$ , where for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$  is the blowup of  $X_{i-1}$  at some *node* of  $X_{i-1}$ .

Since all the nodes in all the  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  lie over the point  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ , we see that these ideals defining these nodes are generated by rational functions in  $x$  and  $y$ . We will now describe all such ideals.

**Example 4.11.** To begin with, we examine the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  at the point  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ . As we noted above, this point is locally defined by the ideal  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . It is the intersection of the unique line in  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and the pseudo-line  $\ell_\infty$ . The line in  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  has  $y$  as a parameter while the pseudo-line  $\ell_\infty$  has  $x$  as a parameter. When we blow up the point, the exceptional divisor is a line having parameter  $x/y$ . It meets the proper transform of the line on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  in a point defined by the ideal  $\langle x/y, y \rangle$ . It meets the proper transform of  $\ell_\infty$  in a point defined by the ideal  $\langle x, y/x \rangle$ .

More generally, suppose that a node, which is the intersection of pseudo-lines  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  is defined by the ideal  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . We also assume that  $\alpha$  is a parameter on  $C_1$  and  $\beta$  is a parameter on  $C_2$ . When this point is blown up, the exceptional divisor is a line having parameter  $\alpha/\beta$ . The proper transforms of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , which we continue to denote by the symbols  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively, have parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. The exceptional divisor meets  $C_1$  in a point defined by the ideal  $\langle \alpha, \beta/\alpha \rangle$  and it meets  $C_2$  in a point defined

by the ideal  $\langle \alpha/\beta, \beta \rangle$ . Since we start with the ideal  $\langle x, y \rangle$  it is easy to see that all the nodes will be locally defined by ideals of the form  $\langle x^a/y^b, y^d/x^c \rangle$  where  $a, b, c, d$  are non-negative integers. Also, at such a node, the rational function  $x^a/y^b$  is a parameter on one of the pseudo-lines through the node, while  $y^c/x^d$  is a parameter on the other pseudo-line.

Thus, we see that for any  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ , any line on  $X$  has a parameter of the form  $x^a/y^b$  for non-negative integers  $a$  and  $b$ . Observe that the integers  $a$  and  $b$  are uniquely determined by this line. Indeed, if  $x^a/y^b$  and  $x^c/y^d$  are parameters on the same line, they are related by a fractional linear transformation. In other words, there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  in  $k$  such that  $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$  such that

$$x^a/y^b = \frac{\alpha(x^c/y^d) + \beta}{\gamma(x^c/y^d) + \delta}.$$

As  $x$  and  $y$  are algebraically independent, it is easy to prove that this can only happen if  $(a, b) = (c, d)$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then:*

- (a) *If a line  $l$  of  $X$  has a parameter of the form  $x^a/y^b$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime non-negative integers.*
- (b) *If  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are pseudo-lines on  $X$  with parameters  $x^a/y^b$  and  $x^c/y^d$  which intersect at a node defined by the ideal  $\langle x^a/y^b, y^d/x^c \rangle$ , then  $ad - bc = 1$  (and so, in particular,  $a/b > c/d$ ).*

*Proof.* We choose a sequence of blowups

$$X = X_r \xrightarrow{\pi_r} X_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1$$

where  $\pi$  is the blowup of  $X_{i-1}$  at a node.

We prove these statements on all the  $X_i$  by induction on  $i$ . They are clearly both true for  $i = 0$ . Suppose we know these statements to be true for all the nodes on  $X_i$ , where  $i \geq 0$  and that  $X_{i+1}$  is obtained from  $X_i$  by blowing up a node given by the maximal ideal  $\langle x^a/y^b, y^d/x^c \rangle$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $a/b > c/d$  and  $ad - bc = 1$ . Then, on  $X_{i+1}$ , we have two new nodes given by the maximal ideals  $\langle x^{a+c}/y^{b+d}, y^d/x^c \rangle$  and  $\langle x^a/y^b, y^{b+d}/x^{a+c} \rangle$ . Since  $ad - bc = 1$ , we also get  $(a+c)d - (b+d)c = ad - bc = 1$  and  $a(b+d) - b(a+c) = ad - bc = 1$ . Clearly, this implies that  $a+b$  and  $c+d$  are coprime. This completes the proof.  $\square$

This shows that if two intersecting lines on  $X$  have parameters  $x^a/y^b$  and  $x^c/y^d$ , then the fractions  $a/b$  and  $c/d$  are in reduced form and that they are adjacent to each other on the Stern-Brocot tree (see [5, Section 4.5]). Indeed, we see that if  $X$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  has  $n$  lines, we can associate to it the sequence of rational numbers  $r_0 = -\infty < r_1 = 0 < \dots < r_n = 1 < r_{n+1} = \infty$  such that:

- (1) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if  $r_i$  is written in reduced fractional form as  $a_i/b_i$  for some non-negative integers  $a_i, b_i$ , then  $X$  contains a line parameterized by  $x^{a_i}/y^{b_i}$ . We will label this line as  $\ell_{r_i}$ . For  $i = 0$  and  $i = n+1$ , the symbol  $\ell_{r_i}$  will denote the pseudo-lines  $\ell_{-\infty}$  and  $\ell_{\infty}$  respectively.

- (2) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the line  $\ell_{r_i}$  only meets the pseudo-lines  $\ell_{r_{i-1}}$  and  $\ell_{r_{i+1}}$ . If  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  are written in reduced fractional form as  $r_i = a_i/b_i$  and  $r_{i+1} = a_{i+1}/b_{i+1}$  then they meet in a node defined by the maximal ideal  $\langle x^{a_{i+1}}/y^{b_{i+1}}, y^{b_i}/x^{a_i} \rangle$ . (Here, if  $r_{i+1} = \infty$ , we choose  $a_{i+1} = 1$  and  $b_{i+1} = 0$ .)

Note that this also shows that for pair of coprime integers  $(a, b)$  with  $a < b$ , there is at most one line on  $X$  with parameter  $x^a/y^b$ . Thus, labelling such a line as  $\ell_r$  does not cause any conflicts. It is also easy to see if such lines exist on two schemes  $X_1, X_2$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , then the canonical birational maps from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  will take the lines into each other. Thus, our labelling respects the convention mentioned above, regarding using the same symbols for lines that are proper transforms of each other.

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $0 < r \leq 1$  be a rational number, represented in reduced rational form as  $a/b$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  be such that it contains a line labelled  $\ell_r$ . Then the rational function  $x^a/y^b$  defines a morphism from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ . The support of the divisor of zeros of  $x^a/y^b$  is given by*

$$\text{Supp}(\text{div}_0(x^a/y^b)) = \ell_{-\infty} \cup \left( \bigcup_{s < r} \ell_s \right)$$

and the support of the divisor of poles of  $x^a/y^b$  is given by

$$\text{Supp}(\text{div}_{\infty}(x^a/y^b)) = \ell_{\infty} \cup \left( \bigcup_{s > r} \ell_s \right).$$

(Here the unions are over all  $s$  such that  $X$  contains a line labelled  $\ell_s$ .)

*Proof.* We omit the proof of this lemma, but it can be easily proved using an inductive argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.12.  $\square$

**4.3. Local geometry of ruled surfaces.** Our proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.5(b)) will be achieved by induction on the number of blow-ups required to obtain a given non-minimal ruled surface. In this subsection, we prove a result regarding the local geometry of nodal blowups of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , which will play a crucial role in the induction argument. We keep the notation and conventions from Section 4.2.

**Definition 4.14.** Let  $r$  be a rational number such that  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . Choose a scheme  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  which has a line labelled  $\ell_r$ . Let  $s$  be the largest rational number such that  $X$  contains a line labelled  $\ell_s$ . Let  $Q^-$  denote the point where  $\ell_{-\infty}$  meets  $\ell_0$  and let  $Q^+$  denote the point where  $\ell_s$  meets  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Let  $Z$  be the union of all lines other of  $X$  other than  $\ell_r$ . We define  $U_r := X \setminus (Z \cup \{Q^-, Q^+\})$ . It is easy to see that the isomorphism class of  $U_r$  does not depend on the choice of  $X$ .

Let  $r$  and  $s$  be two rational numbers such if they are written in reduced form as  $r = a/b$  and  $s = c/d$ , then  $r - s = 1/(bd)$ . Then, one can show that there exists an element of  $X$  on which there exist lines  $\ell_r$  and  $\ell_s$ , which meet in a point. Let  $Z$  denote the union of all the lines of  $X$  other than  $\ell_r$  and  $\ell_s$ . We define  $U_{r,s} = X \setminus (Z \cup \{Q^-, Q^+\})$ . It is easy to see that the isomorphism class of  $U_{r,s}$  does not depend on the choice of  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $r, s \geq 1$  be rational numbers.*

- (a) *The schemes  $U_r$  and  $U_{r-1}$  are isomorphic over  $C$ .*
- (b) *Let  $r$  and  $s$  be such that if they are written in reduced form as  $r = a/b$  and  $s = c/d$ , then  $r - s = 1/(bd)$ . Then the schemes  $U_{r,s}$  and  $U_{r-1,s-1}$  are isomorphic over  $C$ .*

Lemma 4.15 is an easy consequence of [6, Chapter V, Example 5.7.1].

**Theorem 4.16.** *Let  $r$  be a non-negative rational number, written in reduced rational form as  $a/b$ . Let  $\phi_b : C \rightarrow C$  be the morphism corresponding to the  $k$ -homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^b$ . Let  $p_1 : U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C \rightarrow U_r$  and  $p_2 : U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C \rightarrow C$  be the two projection morphisms. We view  $U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C$  as a  $C$ -scheme via  $p_2$  and denote  $p_2^*(x)$  by  $x$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism of  $C$ -schemes*

$$U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} (U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y].$$

where  $(U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y]$  is considered a  $C$ -scheme via the morphism

$$(U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \rightarrow U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C \xrightarrow{p_2} U.$$

Moreover, the composition morphism

$$(U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \rightarrow (U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C) \rightarrow U_r$$

is a  $b$ -sheeted finite étale cover.

*Proof.* We begin by choosing a specific  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  containing the line  $\ell_r$  for an explicit description of  $U_r$ .

We first set  $s_0 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 1$  and  $s_2 = 1/2$ . For  $i > 2$  we choose  $s_i$  as follows, with  $a_i/b_i$  denoting the reduced rational representation of  $s_i$  for each  $i$ :

- If  $r$  lies between  $s_{i-2}$  and  $s_{i-1}$ , we define  $s_i := \frac{a_{i-2} + a_{i-1}}{b_{i-2} + b_{i-1}}$ .
- If  $r$  does not lie between  $s_{i-1}$  and  $s_i$ , then we define  $s_i := \frac{a_{i-3} + a_{i-1}}{b_{i-3} + b_{i-1}}$ .

It can be proved that this sequence  $\{s_i\}_i$  is finite and terminates in  $r$  (see [5, Section 4.5]). Suppose  $s_n = a/b = r$ . Also, if the numbers  $s_i$  are arranged in increasing order and if  $s_i$  and  $s_j$  are adjacent to each other in this arrangement with  $s_i < s_j$ , then we have  $a_j b_i - a_i b_j = 1$ .

Thus, with the notation established in Section 4.1, we have

$$X \simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^a/y^b].$$

Using Lemma 4.13, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^a/y^b]\{x^a/y^b\} = U_r \setminus (\ell_\infty \cup \ell_{-\infty}).$$

We denote this open subscheme of  $X$  by  $W_1$ . Let  $W_2$  denote the open subscheme  $X \times_C (C \setminus \{c_0\}) = X\{x\}$ . It is clear that  $\{W_1, W_2\}$  is a Zariski open cover of  $U_r$ .

Note that on  $W_1 \times_{U, \phi_b} U$ , we have  $p_1^*(x) = p_2^*(\phi_b^*(x)) = p_2^*(x)^b$ . Since we are writing  $p_2^*(x)$  as just  $x$ , we may write  $p_1^*(x) = x^b$ . Thus, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C) &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^a/y^b]\{x^a/y^b\} \times_{C, \phi_b} C \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[p_1^*(x)^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, p_1^*(x)^a/y^b]\{p_1^*(x)^a/y^b\} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^{ab}/y^b]\{x^{ab}/y^b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, (x^a/y)^b] \{(x^a/y)^b\} [x^a/y] \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[(x^a/y)] \{(x^a/y)^b\} [x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^{a_{n-1} b}/y^{b_{n-1}}] \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[(x^a/y)] \{(x^a/y)\} [x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^{a_{n-1} b}/y^{b_{n-1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

However, for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we have

$$x^{a_i b}/y^{b_i} = x^{(a_i b - ab_i)} (x^a/y)^{b_i}.$$

As the rational functions  $x^a/y$  and  $x$  extend to morphisms from  $\mathbb{P}_C^1[(x^a/y)]\{x^a/y\}$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and since  $x^a/y$  is a unit on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1[(x^a/y)]\{x^a/y\}$ , we obtain isomorphisms

$$(4.1) \quad W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C[x^a/y] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}_C^1[(x^a/y)]\{x^a/y\} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_a \setminus (\ell_{-\infty} \cup \ell_{\infty}).$$

We have a similar computation for  $(W_2 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (W_2 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^a/y^b] \{x\} \times_{U, \phi_b} U \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[p_1^*(x)^{a_1}/y^{b_1}, \dots, p_1^*(x)^a/y^b] \{p_1^*(x)\} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1[x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^{ab}/y^b] \{x^b\} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1\{x^b\} [x^{a_1 b}/y^{b_1}, \dots, x^{ab}/y^b] \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1\{x\} [1/y^{b_1}, \dots, 1/y^b] \\ &\simeq \mathbb{P}_C^1\{x\}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that  $U_a\{x\} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{C \setminus \{c_0\}}^1$ . Thus, we have

$$(4.2) \quad (W_2 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \simeq U_a\{x\}.$$

The open subschemes  $U_a \setminus (\ell_{-\infty} \cup \ell_{\infty})$  and  $U_a\{x\}$  form a Zariski open cover of  $U_a$ . Thus, we have proved the required isomorphism over the pieces of a Zariski open cover. It remains to be shown that these isomorphisms agree over the intersection. The intersection of these two open subschemes of  $U_a$  is

$$U_a\{x\} \setminus (\ell_{-\infty} \cup \ell_{\infty}) = U_a\{x\}\{y\}.$$

Let  $\phi_1$  denote the composition

$$U_a\{x\}\{y\} \hookrightarrow U_a\{x\} \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_2 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \hookrightarrow (U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y]$$

and let  $\phi_2$  denote the composition

$$U_a\{x\}\{y\} \hookrightarrow U_a\{x^a/y\} \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} U_r)[x^a/y] \hookrightarrow (U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y].$$

These are open immersions with the same image. For both  $i = 1$  and  $2$ , the morphisms

$$U_a\{x\}\{y\} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} U_r \times_{U, \phi_b} U \rightarrow U_r$$

express  $U_a\{x\}\{y\}$  as the universal solution of the problem of

- attaching a  $b$ -th root of  $x$  to  $U_r$ , the distinguished root being  $x$  (i.e. the pullback of  $x$  via the obvious morphism  $U_a \rightarrow U$ ),
- turning  $x$  into a unit, and

- turning  $y$  into a unit.

It follows from the universality of the solution that the isomorphisms (4.1) and (4.2) glue to give an isomorphism  $U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} (U_r \times_{U, \phi_b} U)[x^a/y]$ .

It remains to prove that the projection  $U_r \times_{C, \phi_b} C \rightarrow U_r$  is étale. It is clearly étale away from the zero divisor of  $x$  and thus it is enough to prove that the map  $(W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \rightarrow W_1$  is étale.

First observe that  $W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C \simeq W_1[x^{1/b}]$  (however, note that under this isomorphism, the rational function  $x^{1/b}$  on the scheme on the right corresponds to the rational function  $x$  on the scheme on the left!). Hence, we have an isomorphism  $(W_1 \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \simeq W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y]$ . So, we will now show that the morphism  $W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y] \rightarrow W_1$  is étale.

Our construction of  $X$  at the beginning of the proof shows that there exists a pair of integers  $(c, d)$  such that  $ad - bc = 1$  and such that  $x^c/y^d$  is a morphism on  $X$ , and hence on  $W_1$ . Thus,

$$(y^d/x^c)^b = (y^{bd}/x^{ad}) \cdot x.$$

We will now show that

$$W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y] \simeq W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}].$$

As  $(y^{bd}/x^{ad}) = (y^b/x^a)^d$  is a unit on  $W_1$ , the map  $W_1[((y^b/x^a)^d)^{1/b}] \rightarrow W_1$  is étale and this will complete the proof of the theorem.

On the scheme  $W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y]$ , the rational function  $y/(x^{1/b})^a$  induces a morphism from  $W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y]$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and

$$((y/(x^{1/b})^a)^d)^b = (y^{bd}/x^{ad}).$$

Thus, there is a unique morphism  $\phi : W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y] \rightarrow W_1[((y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b})]$  such that  $\phi^*((y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}) = y^d/(x^{1/b})^{ad}$ .

On the scheme  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$ , we have a rational function denoted by  $(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}$  which induces a morphism from  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ , and which has the property that  $((y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b})^b = y^{bd}/x^{ad} = (y^b/x^a)^d$ . As the rational function  $y^b/x^a$  is a unit, so is  $(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}$ . We will denote its multiplicative inverse by  $(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b}$ . Observe that

$$[(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)]^b = (x^{ad}/y^{bd}) \cdot (y^{bd}/x^{bc}) = x.$$

Thus,  $(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)$  is a  $b$ -th root of  $x$ . Thus, we obtain a  $W_1$ -morphism

$$\tilde{\psi} : W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}] \rightarrow W_1[x^{1/b}]$$

such that  $\tilde{\psi}^*(x^{1/b}) = (x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)$ . Observe that

$$[(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)]^a \cdot (1/y) = [(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b}]^a \cdot (y^b/x^a)^c.$$

As  $(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b}$  defines a morphism from  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  and since  $(y^b/x^a)$  is a unit, it follows that the rational function  $(\tilde{\psi}^*(x^{1/b}))^a/y$  defines a  $W_1[x^{1/b}]$ -morphism from  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\psi}$  lifts uniquely to a morphism

$$\psi : W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}] \rightarrow W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y].$$



We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi^*(\phi^*((y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b})) &= \psi^*(y^d/(x^{1/b})^{ad}) \\
 &= \frac{y^d}{[(x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)]^{ad}} \\
 &= y^d \left( \frac{x^{acd}}{y^{ad^2}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b} \right]^{ad} \\
 &= \left( \frac{x^{acd}}{y^{d(ad-1)}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b} \right]^{(ad-1)} \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b} \\
 &= \left( \frac{x^{acd}}{y^{bcd}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b} \right]^{bc} \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b} \\
 &= \left( \frac{y^{bd}}{x^{ad}} \right)^{1/b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the universal property of the scheme  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$ , we see that  $\phi \circ \psi$  is the identity morphism of  $W_1[(y^{bd}/x^{ad})^{1/b}]$ .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi^*(\psi^*(x^{1/b})) &= \phi^*((x^{ad}/y^{bd})^{1/b} \cdot (y^d/x^c)) \\
 &= \left( \frac{(x^{1/b})^{ad}}{y^d} \right) \left( \frac{y^d}{x^c} \right) \\
 &= x^{1/b} \cdot \left( \frac{(x^{1/b})^{ad-1}}{y^d} \right) \left( \frac{y^d}{x^c} \right) \\
 &= x^{1/b} \cdot \left( \frac{(x^{1/b})^{bc}}{y^d} \right) \left( \frac{y^d}{x^c} \right) \\
 &= x^{1/b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the universal property of the scheme  $W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y]$ , we see that  $\psi \circ \phi$  is the identity morphism of  $W_1[x^{1/b}, (x^{1/b})^a/y]$ . Thus,  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are isomorphisms, which concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 5. THE SPECIAL CASE OF NODAL BLOWUPS

We will keep the conventions and notation from Section 4.2. The aim of this section is to show that Theorem 1.5 holds for nodal blowups, that is, elements of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  and let  $U$  be a henselian local scheme over  $k$ . Then*

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)(U) = S^2(X)(U) = S^n(X)(U),$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ .

We begin by describing the setting and then reduce Theorem 5.1 to showing it for a specific subclass of elements of  $\mathcal{N}$  before embarking upon the proof of Theorem 5.1. Let  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ . This means that there is a sequence of morphisms

$$(5.1) \quad X = X_p \rightarrow \cdots X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1,$$

where for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$  is a blowup at some node. The discussion in Section 4.2 shows that there exist ordered pairs of non-negative integers  $(m_0, n_0), (m_1, n_1), \dots, (m_p, n_p), (m_{p+1}, n_{p+1})$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are coprime or each  $i$ ;
- (b)  $(m_0, n_0) = (0, 1), (m_1, n_1) = (1, 0)$ ;
- (c) For any  $i$ , there exist integers  $j$  and  $k$  such that  $1 \leq j < k < i$  such that  $m_k n_j - m_j n_k = 1$  and  $m_i = m_j + m_k, n_i = n_j + n_k$ ;
- (d)  $X_i = X_{i-1}[x^{m_i}/y^{n_i}]$  (in the sense of Proposition 4.2).

Note that  $n_i \neq 0$  for any  $i \neq 1$ . We relabel these ordered pairs as  $(a_0, b_0), (a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{p+1}, b_{p+1})$ , in such a way that  $a_0/b_0 = 0 < a_1/b_1 < \dots < a_p/b_p$  and  $(a_{p+1}, b_{p+1}) = (1, 0)$ . Set  $s_i = a_i/b_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$  and  $s_{p+1} = \infty$ . Note that  $s_p = a_p/b_p$  is always an integer. There are exactly  $p + 2$  pseudo-lines on  $X$ , namely  $\ell_0 = \ell_{s_0}, \ell_{s_1}, \dots, \ell_{s_p}$  and  $\ell_{s_{p+1}} = \ell_\infty$ . For  $i \neq j$ , the pseudo-lines  $\ell_{s_i}$  and  $\ell_{s_j}$  meet if and only if  $j = i + 1$ . The node in which  $\ell_{s_i}$  and  $\ell_{s_{i+1}}$  meet is locally given by the ideal  $\langle x^{a_i+1}/y^{b_{i+1}}, y^{b_i}/x^{a_i} \rangle$ . From this description, it is clear that  $X$  can be obtained from  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  by blowing up the ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \prod_{i=1}^p \langle x^{a_i}, y^{b_i} \rangle$ . We accordingly relabel the indices in (5.1) in such a way that for every  $i$ ,  $X_{i+1}$  is obtained from  $X_i$  by blowing up the ideal  $\langle x^{a_i}, y^{b_i} \rangle$ .

We now show that using elementary transformations, one can reduce Theorem 5.1 to showing it for  $X$  as above for which every pair  $(a_i, b_i)$  satisfies  $a_i/b_i \leq 1$ .

**Notation 5.2.** We let  $\mathcal{N}'$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{N}$  consisting of blowups  $X$  of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  such that there exists a sequence of ordered pairs of non-negative integers  $(a_0, b_0), \dots, (a_{p+1}, b_{p+1})$  and morphisms

$$X = X_p \rightarrow \cdots X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1$$

such that  $X_i = X_{i-1}[x^{a_i}/y^{b_i}]$  and  $a_i/b_i \leq 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$  with  $(a_p, b_p) = (1, 1)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $U$  be a henselian local scheme over  $k$ . If*

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)(U) = S^2(X)(U) = S^n(X)(U)$$

*for all  $n \geq 2$  and for all  $X \in \mathcal{N}'$ , then the same is true for all  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.12, if two morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for any  $n$ , their compositions with the map  $X \rightarrow C$  have to be the same. Thus, we fix a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow C$  and restrict our attention to  $\gamma$ -morphisms in the sense of Definition 2.10. Instead of directly examining  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$ , it will be convenient to look at  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  which lift to  $X$ . Due to our assumption on  $\gamma$ , it is clear that any  $\gamma$ -morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  will have at most one lift to  $X$ . Given two such  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ , we want to understand when they can be connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy which also lifts to  $X$ .

*Step 1:*

If  $\gamma$  maps the closed point of  $U$  to the generic point of  $C$ , then  $\alpha$  factors through the open subscheme  $\mathbb{P}_{C \setminus \{c_0\}}^1$  of  $X$ . Hence any two  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow X$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. On the other hand, if  $\gamma$  maps the generic point of  $U$  to the closed point of  $C$ , then any  $\gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha : U \rightarrow X$  factors through the closed fiber of the morphism  $X \rightarrow C$ . The closed fiber of  $X \rightarrow C$  is a connected scheme, the components of which are copies of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Thus, again, in this case too, any two morphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow C$  which lift  $\gamma$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. Hence, from this point onward, we will restrict our attention to the case in which  $\gamma$  maps the closed point of  $U$  to the closed point of  $C$  and the generic point of  $U$  to the generic point of  $C$ .

Let  $X_\gamma$  denote the pullback  $X \times_{C, \gamma} U$ . Then  $X_\gamma$  is the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}_U^1$  at the the pullback  $I_\gamma$  of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  with respect to the morphism  $\mathbb{P}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ . We continue to use the variables  $Y$  and  $Z$  as homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_U^1 = U \times_{C, \gamma} \mathbb{P}_C^1$  and we will also denote the pullback of the rational function  $y = Y/Z$  on  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  by  $y$ . Set  $r_0 = \gamma^*(x)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^p I_i$  where  $I_i = \langle r_0^{a_i}, y^{b_i} \rangle$ .

Applying Proposition 3.5 to the blowup  $X_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$ , we see that if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  are  $\gamma$ -morphisms which are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  which lifts to  $X$ , then there exists  $r \in R$  such that the ideals  $\alpha_1^*(\mathcal{I})$ ,  $\alpha_2^*(\mathcal{I})$  and  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I})$  are all generated by  $r$ . The support of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the point  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ . Hence,  $\alpha_1$  maps the closed point of  $U$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  if and only if  $\alpha_2$  does the same. Any two morphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  which map the closed point of  $U$  to  $\ell_0 \setminus \{(c_0, [0 : 1])\}$  are clearly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic via a homotopy which factors through the open subscheme  $\mathbb{P}_C^1 \setminus \{(c_0, [0 : 1])\}$ . Such a homotopy clearly lifts to  $X$ . Hence, we see that any two  $\gamma$ -morphisms of this type are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. Hence, we will now focus on  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  which map the closed point of  $U$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ .

*Step 2:*

Let  $V \rightarrow U$  be a smooth morphism and suppose  $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  be a  $\gamma$ -morphism which lifts to  $X$ . Let  $v \in V$  such that  $h(v) = (c_0, [0, 1])$ . We know that  $h^*(x) = \gamma^*(x) = r_0$ . For each  $i$ , the rational function  $h^*(x^{a_i}/y^{b_i}) = r_0^{a_i}/h^*(y)^{b_i}$  induces a morphism from  $U$  into  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ , and hence into  $\mathbb{P}_U^1 = U \times_{C, \gamma} \mathbb{P}_C^1$ . Since  $h$  lifts to  $X_\gamma$ , for every  $i$ , the pullback  $h^*(I_i)$  is principal and so we have  $r_0^{a_i} | h^*(y)^{b_i}$  or  $h^*(y)^{b_i} | r_0^{a_i}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V, v}$ .

Now suppose that the unique lift of  $h$  to  $X$  maps the  $v$  into the line  $\ell_{s_i}$  for some  $i$ . We know that for  $j < i$ ,  $\ell_{s_i}$  lies in the divisor of poles of  $x^{a_j}/y^{b_j}$ . Thus, for  $j < i$ , we have  $r_0^{a_j} | h^*(y)^{b_j}$  and  $h^*(y)^{b_j} \nmid r_0^{a_j}$ . Similarly, for  $j > i$ , we have  $h^*(y)^{b_j} | r_0^{a_j}$  and  $r_0^{a_j} \nmid h^*(y)^{b_j}$ . Thus, we see the the set of all  $i$  such that  $h$  maps  $v$  on  $\ell_{s_i}$  is determined by the ideal  $h^*(I_1)$ .

*Step 3:*

Now suppose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  are  $\gamma$ -morphisms which are connected by a  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  which lifts to  $X$ . Then, the above observation, in conjunction with Proposition 3.5 applied to the blowup  $X_1 \times_{C, \gamma} U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$ , tells us that the lift of  $\alpha_1$  to  $X$  maps the closed point of  $U$  on  $\ell_{s_i}$  if and only if the lift of  $\alpha_2$  does so too. Moreover, if the lifts of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  map the closed point of  $U$  to  $\ell_{s_i}$ , the lift of the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  also maps the closed

fiber of its total space to  $\ell_{s_i}$ . On the other hand, if the lifts of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  do not map the closed point of  $U$  to  $\ell_{s_i}$ , then the lift of the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  factors through  $X \setminus \ell_{s_i}$ .

So, now suppose that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  are two  $\gamma$ -morphisms, both of which lift to  $X$ , and that their lifts to  $X$  map the closed point of  $U$  into the line  $\ell_{s_i}$  and into no other line. (In other words, they do not map the closed point of  $U$  to a node.) Then, if their lifts are  $n$ -ghost homotopic in  $X$ , the  $n$ -ghost homotopy will actually factor through the complement of all the lines other than  $\ell_{s_i}$ . We recognize this complement as the open subscheme  $U_{s_i}$  of  $X$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.15, we may assume that  $s_i < 1$ .

Similarly, if the lifts of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  map the closed point to the node  $\ell_{s_i} \cap \ell_{s_{i+1}}$ , then if they are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy in  $X$ , such an  $n$ -ghost homotopy maps the entire closed fiber of its total space to this node. Also, this  $n$ -ghost homotopy factors through the complement of the union of all the lines other than  $\ell_{s_i}$  and  $\ell_{s_{i+1}}$ . We recognize this complement as the open subscheme  $U_{s_i, s_{i+1}}$  of  $X$ . By Lemma 4.15, we may assume that  $s_i < 1$ . As  $s_{i+1} - s_i = 1/(b_i b_{i+1})$ , if  $s_i < 1$  then  $s_{i+1} \leq 1$  (as  $s_i$  and  $s_{i+1}$  are adjacent to each other in some Farey sequence).

Thus, it suffices to show the assertion of the proposition in the case where  $s_i \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  with  $(a_p, b_p) = (1, 1)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 5.1.

By Proposition 5.3, we may assume that  $X \in \mathcal{N}'$ . Thus, there exists a sequence of ordered pairs of non-negative integers  $(a_0, b_0), \dots, (a_{p+1}, b_{p+1})$  and morphisms

$$X = X_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1$$

such that  $X_i = X_{i-1}[x^{a_i}/y^{b_i}]$  and  $a_i/b_i \leq 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$  with  $(a_p, b_p) = (1, 1)$ . Following Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we are reduced to determining when two  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  that lift to  $X$  and map the closed point of  $U$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for  $n \geq 2$ . Write  $U = \text{Spec } R$ , where  $R$  is a smooth henselian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and write  $r_0 = \gamma^*(x) \in R$  for the pullback of the uniformizing parameter  $x$  on the coordinate ring of  $C$ . We will now work on  $X_\gamma$  defined by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\gamma & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C \end{array}$$

and show that any two sections  $U \rightarrow X_\gamma$  which are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for some  $n$  are actually 2-ghost homotopic and also map to the same section of  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X_\gamma)(U)$ . Any  $\gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  induces a section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$ . If the  $\gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha$  maps the closed point  $u$  of  $U$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ , the corresponding section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  maps  $u$  to  $(u, [0 : 1])$ . Hence it factors through the open subscheme  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 = \text{Spec } R[y] = \text{Spec } R[Y/Z] \subset \mathbb{P}_U^1$ . Thus, any such  $\beta$  is characterized by an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\beta^* : R[y] \rightarrow R$ . Clearly,  $\beta^*(y) = \alpha^*(y)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha$  maps  $u$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  if and only if  $\alpha^*(y)$  lies in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ .

For any  $r \in R$ , let  $\beta_r : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  be the morphism induced by the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R[y] \rightarrow R$ ,  $y \mapsto r$ . Note that any section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  such that  $\beta(u) = (u, [0 : 1])$

is of the form  $\beta_r$  for some unique  $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Such a section lifts to  $X_\gamma$  if and only if the ideal  $\langle r_0^{a_i}, r^{b_i} \rangle$  is principal for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .

Let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$  be such that the sections  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  lift to  $X_\gamma$  and are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  which also lifts to  $X_\gamma$ . As  $(a_s, b_s) = (1, 1)$ , the sections  $\beta_{r_1}, \beta_{r_2}$  and the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  lift to  $X_1 \times_{U, \gamma} \mathbb{P}_U^1$  which is obtained from  $\mathbb{P}_U^1$  by blowing up the ideal  $I_1 = \langle r_0, y \rangle$ . By Proposition 3.5, we see that the the ideals (or ideal sheaves)  $\beta_{r_1}^*(I_1), \beta_{r_2}^*(I_1), h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(I_1)$  are all generated by a fixed element of  $R$ , which we denote by  $r$ . There are clearly two possibilities:

- (A)  $r_0 = r$ : This happens when the ideals  $\alpha_1^*(I_1), \alpha_2^*(I_1)$  is generated by  $r_0$ . Thus  $r_0 | r_1$  and  $r_0 | r_2$ .
- (B)  $r | r_0$  but  $r_0 \nmid r$ : In this case, for  $i = 1, 2$ , the ideal  $\alpha_i^*(I_1) = \langle r_0, r_i \rangle$  is generated by  $r_i$ . As  $\langle r_0, r_1 \rangle = \langle r_0, r_2 \rangle$ , we see that  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  must be unit multiples of  $r$ .

At least one of (A) or (B) must hold for the lifts of  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  to  $X_\gamma$  to be  $n$ -ghost homotopic. Of course, this is only a necessary condition for the lifts to be  $n$ -ghost homotopic. We will see that the condition in case (A) is actually sufficient for the two lifts to be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. However, in case (B), an additional condition is required.

Case (A) is very easy to deal with. Consider the homotopy

$$h : \text{Spec } R[T] = \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1 = \text{Spec } R[y]$$

defined by  $y \mapsto r_1 T + r_2(1 - T)$ . Then as  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ , and as  $r_0$  divides  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(\langle r_0^{a_i}, y^{b_i} \rangle) &= \langle r_0^{a_i}, (r_1 T + r_2(1 - T))^{b_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle r_0^{a_i} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is a principal ideal. Thus, the homotopy  $h$  lifts to  $X_\gamma$ . Thus, we see that condition (A) is actually sufficient for the lifts of  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  to be  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. Thus, in this case they also map to the same element of  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X_\gamma)(U)$ .

Now, we consider case (B). Thus,  $r$  is an element of  $R$  such that  $r | r_0$ ,  $r_0 \nmid r$  and  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  unit elements of  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $r_i/r$  is a unit for  $i = 1, 2$ . We assume that  $\beta_{r_i}$  lifts to  $X_\gamma$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Claim 1:** If there exists an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  connecting  $\beta_{r_1}$  to  $\beta_{r_2}$  which lifts to  $X_\gamma$ , then

$$\frac{r_2}{r_1} - 1 \in \sqrt{\langle r, r_0/r \rangle}.$$

*Proof of Claim 1:* Suppose there exists an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  connecting  $\beta_{r_1}$  to  $\beta_{r_2}$  which lifts to  $X_\gamma$ . By Proposition 3.5, the ideal sheaf  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(I_1)$  is generated by  $f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r)$ . At any point  $v$  of  $Sp(\mathcal{H})$ , the ideal  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(I_1)_v$  is equal to  $\langle f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r_0), h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(y) \rangle$ . Thus, we see that the ideal  $I(r) := \langle f_{\mathcal{H}}^*(r), h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(y) \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Sp(\mathcal{H}), v}$  is principal. Thus if  $X_{\gamma, r}$  denotes the scheme obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}_U^1$  at the closed subscheme  $Z(h_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{I}) \cdot I(r))$  we see that the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  lifts uniquely to  $X_{\gamma, r}$ . Let us denote this lift by  $\mathcal{H}^r$ . The scheme  $X_{\gamma, r}$  can also be constructed by first blowing up the closed subscheme  $\mathcal{Z}(I(r))$  to construct  $X(r)$

and then blowing up the total transform of the ideal sheaf  $h^*(\mathcal{I})$  on  $X(r)$ . We will now examine this total transform.

We view  $X(r)$  as a closed subscheme of  $U \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  where we use the homogeneous coordinates  $Y, Z$  for the first copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $Y_0, Y_1$  for the second copy. Then,  $X(r)$  is given by the equation  $rY_0Z = Y_1Y$ . It suffices to compute the total transform of  $h^*(\mathcal{I})$  in the open patch  $Z \neq 0$  (since both  $I(r)$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  have support within this patch).

We will now show that there exist closed subschemes  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $X(r)$  such that:

- (a) The blowup of  $X(r)$  at  $T_1 \cup T_2$  is isomorphic to  $X_{\gamma, r}$  over  $X(r)$ .
- (b)  $T_1$  is supported in the closed subscheme  $S_1$  of  $X(r)$  which is defined in the open patch  $Y_1 \neq 0$  by the ideal  $\langle r_0/r, Y_0/Y_1 \rangle$ .
- (c)  $T_2$  is supported in the closed subscheme  $S_2$  of  $X(r)$  which is defined in the open patch  $Y_0 \neq 0$  by the ideal  $\langle r, Y_1/Y_0 \rangle$ .

For this it will suffice to show that for each  $i$ , the total transform  $I_i$  is the product of a locally principal ideal with an ideal  $J_i$  where  $J_i$  is supported in either  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ . We will consider two cases - either  $r_0^{a_i} | r^{b_i}$  or  $r^{b_i} | r_0^{a_i}$  (which are not mutually exclusive).

Suppose  $r_0^{a_i} | r^{b_i}$ . Notice that since we already know that  $r | r_0$ , this implies that  $r_0$  and  $r$  have the same squarefree part. On the open patch where  $Y_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_0^{a_i}, y_i^b \rangle &= \langle r_0^{a_i} \rangle \langle 1, (r^{b_i}/r_0^{a_i})(Y_0/Y_1)^{b_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle r_0^{a_i} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is principal. On the open patch where  $Y_0 \neq 0$ , we simply observe that since  $r$  and  $r_0$  have the same squarefree part, the ideal  $\langle r_0^{a_i}, (Y_1/Y_0)^{b_i} \rangle$  has the same radical as  $\langle r, Y_1/Y_0 \rangle$  and the associated closed scheme is contained in  $T_2$ .

If  $r^{b_i} | r_0^{a_i}$ , then on the open patch  $Y_1 \neq 0$ , we have

$$\langle r_0^{a_i}, y_i^b \rangle = \langle r^{b_i} \rangle \langle (r_0^{a_i}/r^{b_i}), (Y_0/Y_1)^{b_i} \rangle.$$

We claim that the support of ideal  $\langle (r_0^{a_i}/r^{b_i}), (Y_0/Y_1)^{b_i} \rangle$  is contained in  $T_1$ . For this, it suffices to show that any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  which does not contain  $r_0/r$  cannot contain  $r_0^{a_i}/r^{b_i}$ . To see this, observe for any such prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $r_0/r$  is a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  then

$$(r_0)^{a_i}/r^{b_i} = (r_0/r)^{a_i} (1/r)^{b_i - a_i}.$$

Since  $(r_0)^{a_i}/r^{b_i} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , this shows that  $(1/r)^{b_i - a_i} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $r \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , it follows that  $r$  is actually a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence  $r_0^{a_i}/r^{b_i}$  is also a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , as required.

Observe that the projection of  $X(r) \subset U \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  onto the first and third factors can be viewed as the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}_U^1 = \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  at the closed subscheme  $\langle r, Y_1 \rangle$ . Thus, the projection map  $\theta : X_{\gamma, r} \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  is just the blowup of  $\text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  at the closed subschemes  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r, Y_1 \rangle)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, Y_0 \rangle)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}'$  be the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\theta \circ \mathcal{H}^r$ . Then if  $\beta_{r_i}^{\gamma, r}$  denotes the lift of  $\beta_{r_i}$  to  $X_{\gamma, r}$ , we see that  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_i}^{\gamma, r}$  is the morphism corresponding to the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R[Y_0/Y_1] \rightarrow R, Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto r_1/r$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.5, we see that  $\mathcal{H}'$  avoids the closed subschemes  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r, Y_1 \rangle)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, Y_0 \rangle)$ . Thus, the restriction of the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}'$  to the subscheme  $U(r, r_0/r)$  of  $U$  cut out by the ideal  $\langle r_1, r_0/r \rangle$  factors through

$$\mathbb{P}_{U(r, r_0/r)}^1 \setminus (\{(0 : 1), (1 : 0)\} \times U(r_1, r')) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m \times U(r, r_0/r).$$

As  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid, the induced homotopy of the underlying reduced subscheme of  $U$  is constant. In particular, since  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_i}^{\gamma, r} : U \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  corresponds to the homomorphism  $Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto r_i/r$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we see that  $r_2/r_1 = 1$  modulo any prime of  $R$  containing the ideal  $\langle r, r_0/r \rangle$ . Thus  $r_2/r_1 - 1 \in \sqrt{\langle r, r_0/r \rangle}$ .

To summarize, we have proved that if  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$  are unit multiples of  $r$  such that  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic in  $X_\gamma$ , then  $r_2/r_1 - 1$  lies in the ideal  $\sqrt{\langle r, r_0/r \rangle}$ .

**Claim 2:** If  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$  are unit multiples of  $r$  such that

$$\delta := \frac{r_2}{r_1} - 1 \in \sqrt{\langle r, r_0/r \rangle},$$

then  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  are 1-ghost homotopic in  $X_\gamma$ .

*Proof of Claim 2:* We will explicitly construct a 1-ghost homotopy

$$\mathcal{H}' := (V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1, W \rightarrow V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V, h, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, \mathcal{H}^W)$$

of  $U$  in  $\text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  connecting  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_1}^{\gamma, r}$  to  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_2}^{\gamma, r}$  which avoids the closed subschemes  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r, Y_1 \rangle)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, Y_0 \rangle)$ .

We first construct a Zariski open cover  $V$  of  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 = \text{Spec } R[S]$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &:= \mathbb{A}_U^1 \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, 1 + \delta S \rangle); \\ V_2 &:= \mathbb{A}_{U \setminus U(r)}^1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a Zariski open cover of  $\mathbb{A}_U^1$ . Indeed, to show this, it is enough to see that if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $R[S]$  containing  $(r_0/r, 1 + \delta S)$ , then  $\delta$  is a unit modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\delta \in \sqrt{\langle r, r_0/r \rangle}$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{p}$  cannot be a prime containing  $\langle r, r_0/r \rangle$ . But then  $\mathfrak{p}$  must fail to contain either  $r$  or  $r_0/r$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains  $r_0/r$ , it cannot contain  $r$ . Thus the point of  $\mathbb{A}_U^1$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}$  must lie in  $V_2$ . We define  $V = V_1 \amalg V_2$ . We will now define morphisms  $h_i : V_i \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and obtain  $h : V \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  by defining  $h_{V_i} = h_i$ .

The morphism  $h_1 : V_1 \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  is given by  $Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto (1 + \delta S)$ . Notice that the assignment  $Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto 1 + \delta S$  actually defines a morphism  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  which factors through the complement of  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r, Y_1 \rangle)$ . However, it fails to avoid  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, Y_0 \rangle)$ . Indeed the preimage of this subscheme is the closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, 1 + \delta S \rangle)$  of  $\mathbb{A}_U^1$ . Hence, we have cut out the scheme  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, 1 + \delta S \rangle)$  to ensure that the morphism  $h_1 : V_1 \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  gives rise to a morphism  $V_1 \rightarrow X_{\gamma, r}$ .

The morphism  $h_2 : V_2 \rightarrow \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  is given by composing the projection  $V_2 \rightarrow U \setminus U(r)$  with the morphism  $U \setminus U(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  given by  $Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto 1$ , i.e. it is the ‘‘constant section at 1’’. In other words, it factors through  $Y_1 \neq 0$  and is given by  $Y_0/Y_1 \mapsto 1 \in R[S, r^{-1}][T]$ .

The morphisms  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1 = \text{Spec } R[S]$  factor through the open immersion  $V_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$ . We choose the induced morphisms  $U \rightarrow V_1 \hookrightarrow V$  as the morphisms  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ . We choose  $W$  to be equal to  $V \times_{\mathbb{A}_U^1} V = \coprod_{(i,j)} (V_{ij})$  where  $V_{ij} = V_i \cap V_j$ . The 0-ghost chain homotopy  $\mathcal{H}^W$  will be a single  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy which we will define separately on each piece  $V_{ij}$ . For  $i = j$ , we simply define it to be the constant homotopy on  $V_i \cap V_i = V_i$ .

We now define a homotopy between  $h_1|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$  and  $h_2|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$ . This morphism will be designed to factor through  $Y_0 \neq 0$  and thus will avoid  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r_0/r, Y_0 \rangle)$ . On the other hand, by

the definition of  $V_2$  it is clear that it will also avoid  $\mathcal{Z}(\langle r, Y_1 \rangle) \subset \mathcal{Z}(\langle r \rangle) \subset \text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$ . We define this morphism by  $Y_1/Y_0 \mapsto (1 + \delta S)^{-1}(1 - T) + T \in R[S, r^{-1}(1 + \delta S)^{-1}][T]$ . The restriction of  $\mathcal{H}^W$  to  $V_{12}$  is taken to be this homotopy. The restriction of  $\mathcal{H}^W$  to  $V_{21}$  is defined to be the inverse of this homotopy.

Thus we have successfully constructed an 1-ghost homotopy in  $\text{Proj } R[Y_0, Y_1]$  which lifts to  $X_{\gamma, r}$ . It is easy to see that it connects  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_1}^{\gamma, r}$  and  $\theta \circ \beta_{r_2}^{\gamma, r}$ . The lift of this 1-ghost homotopy to  $X_{\gamma, r}$  will connect  $\beta_{r_1}^{\gamma, r}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}^{\gamma, r}$ . Thus, on composing with the blowup morphism  $X_{\gamma, r} \rightarrow X_\gamma$ , we get the desired 1-ghost homotopy in  $X_\gamma$ . This shows that  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  are 1-ghost homotopic as claimed.

Finally, we observe that  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  have the same image in  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X_\gamma)$  by Lemma 2.6. This observation along with Claim 1 and Claim 2 completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## 6. THE GENERAL CASE

In this section, we give proofs of the main results (Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.2) using the results proved in Sections 3, 4 and 5.

Let  $S$  be a two dimensional scheme over  $k$ , and let  $s$  be a  $k$ -valued point of  $S$  such that  $S$  is essentially smooth at  $s$ . Let  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  be a proper birational morphism which is an isomorphism over  $S \setminus \{s\}$ . Then, we know that the morphism  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is the blowup of  $S$  at a closed subscheme  $T$  which is supported on  $s$ . Also, we know that if  $\tilde{S}$  is essentially smooth over  $k$ , then  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  can be written as a composition  $\tilde{S} = S_n \rightarrow S_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S_0 = S$  where  $S_{i+1}$  is obtained from  $S_i$  by blowing up a smooth point that lies in the preimage of  $s$  with respect to the morphism  $S_i \rightarrow S$ . The integer  $n$  does not depend on the way in which the morphism  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is written as a composition of such blowups. Indeed, it is just equal to the number of components of the preimage of  $s$  with respect to  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ . In this situation, we say that  $\tilde{S}$  is obtained by blowing up  $n$  points *infinitely near* to  $s$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $S$  be a two-dimensional scheme over  $k$  and let  $s$  be a  $k$ -valued point of  $S$  such that  $S$  is essentially smooth at  $s$ . Let  $\tilde{S}$  be obtained by blowing up  $n$  points infinitely near to  $s$ . Let  $\phi : T \rightarrow S$  be an étale morphism and let  $\tilde{T} = T \times_{\tilde{S}} S$ . Let  $\phi^{-1}(s) = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ . Then  $\tilde{T}$  is obtained from  $T$  by blowing up  $n$  points infinitely near to  $t_i$  for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, the morphism  $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$  is an isomorphism over  $T \setminus \phi^{-1}(s)$ . Also,  $\tilde{T}$  is essentially smooth over  $k$  and thus, the morphism  $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$  is the composition of blowups of finitely many points infinitely near to  $t_i$ . Thus, it remains to be shown that the scheme  $\tilde{T} \times_{T, t_i} \text{Spec } k$  has  $n$  components for each  $i$ . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T} \times_{T, t_i} \text{Spec } k &\simeq (\tilde{S} \times_{S, \phi} T) \times_{T, t_i} \text{Spec } k \\ &\simeq \tilde{S} \times_{S, t_i \circ \phi} \text{Spec } k \\ &\simeq \tilde{S} \times_{S, s} \text{Spec } k, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$



**Proof of Theorem 1.5.**

Part (a) is already handled in Proposition 2.13. We now give a proof of part (b). Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective curve  $C$  of genus  $g > 0$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface, which is not isomorphic to  $E$  and has  $E$  for its minimal model. Let  $U$  be a smooth henselian local scheme over  $k$ . Since  $C$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -rigid, by Lemma 2.12, if two morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for any  $n$ , their compositions with the map  $X \rightarrow C$  have to be the same. Thus, we fix a morphism  $\gamma : U \rightarrow C$  and characterize  $n$ -ghost homotopy classes of  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  in the sense of Definition 2.10. Note that all the  $n$ -ghost homotopies of  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$  factor through the pullback of  $X$  by the morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0}^h \rightarrow C$ . Note that the pullback of  $E$  by the morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0}^h \rightarrow C$  is just  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0}^h$ . We may therefore replace  $C$  with  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{C,c_0}^h$  and assume that  $C$  is as in Notation 4.9. We may also assume that  $X$  is obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$  at nonzero, finitely many successive blowups at smooth, closed points  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$  and by blowing up the ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ . For any  $Q \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$ , let  $N_Q$  denote the number of points in  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$ , which are infinitely near to  $Q$ . We will prove the result by induction on

$$N_X := \max\{N_{Q_1}, \dots, N_{Q_m}\}.$$

As in Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we are reduced to considering the case in which  $\gamma$  maps the closed point of  $U$  to the closed point  $c_0$  of  $C$  and the generic point of  $U$  to the generic point of  $C$ . Let us denote the closed point of  $U$  by  $u$ . Write  $U = \text{Spec } R$ , where  $R$  is a smooth henselian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . We write  $r_0 = \gamma^*(x) \in R$  for the pullback of the uniformizing parameter  $x$  on the coordinate ring of  $C$ . We will work on  $X_\gamma$  defined by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\gamma & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_U^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_C^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C \end{array}$$

Any  $\gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  induces a section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$ . If the  $\gamma$ -morphism  $\alpha$  maps the closed point  $u$  of  $U$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$ , the corresponding section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  maps  $u$  to  $(u, [0 : 1])$ . Hence it factors through the open subscheme  $\mathbb{A}_U^1 = \text{Spec } R[y] = \text{Spec } R[Y/Z] \subset \mathbb{P}_U^1$ . Thus, any such  $\beta$  is characterized by an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\beta^* : R[y] \rightarrow R$ . Clearly,  $\beta^*(y) = \alpha^*(y)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha$  maps  $u$  to  $(c_0, [0 : 1])$  if and only if  $\alpha^*(y)$  lies in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . For any  $r \in R$ , let  $\beta_r : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  be the morphism induced by the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R[y] \rightarrow R$ ,  $y \mapsto r$ . Note that any section  $\beta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  such that  $\beta(u) = (u, [0 : 1])$  is of the form  $\beta_r$  for some unique  $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ .

By Proposition 3.5, two  $n$ -ghost homotopic  $\gamma$ -morphisms  $\alpha, \alpha' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  that lift to  $X$  either both map  $u$  into the same point in  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$  or both map  $u$  into  $\mathbb{P}_C^1 \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$ .

**Claim 1:** If  $\alpha, \alpha'$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic and map  $u$  into  $\mathbb{P}_C^1 \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$ , then they are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic.

*Proof of Claim 1:* If  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$  is a singleton, then it is easy to see that any two sections  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -chain homotopic. Now suppose that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$  consists of at least two closed points. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\beta_{r_i} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  be the pullback of  $\alpha_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $n$ -ghost homotopy connecting  $\beta_{r_1}$  and  $\beta_{r_2}$  and lifting to  $X$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $\mathcal{H}$  factors through the complement of  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$ . Hence, the restriction of  $h_{\mathcal{H}} : \text{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  to  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{c_0\})_{\text{red}}$  is constant. Therefore, for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  containing  $r_0$ , we have  $r_1 - r_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence, it follows that  $r_1 - r_2 \in \sqrt{\langle r_0 \rangle}$ . Now consider the linear homotopy connecting  $\beta_{r_i} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , which, by the above observation, avoids  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$  and consequently, lifts to  $X$ .

**Claim 2:** If  $\alpha, \alpha'$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic for some  $n \geq 1$  and map  $u$  to the same point  $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{J})$ , then  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are 1-ghost homotopic and moreover, map to the same element in  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)(U)$ .

*Proof of Claim 2:* By a suitable change of coordinates, we may assume that  $P = (c_0, [0 : 1])$ . Let  $\alpha, \alpha' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  be  $n$ -ghost homotopic via an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  lifting to  $X$ . Then it follows from Proposition 3.5 that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}$  factors through the complement of  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J}) \setminus \{P\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J}) = \{P\}$ .

Assume that  $X$  is minimally obtained by a successive blowup of  $m$  smooth, closed points of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ . There exists  $1 \leq m' < m$  and morphisms

$$X = X_{m'} \rightarrow X_{m'-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 = Y \rightarrow X_0 = \mathbb{P}_C^1$$

such that

- for every  $i \geq 1$ ,  $X_{i+1}$  is obtained by blowing up  $X_i$  at a smooth, closed point  $P_i$ , which maps to  $P$  in  $X_0$ ;
- $Y$  is a nodal blowup in the sense of Definition 4.10; and
- for every  $1 \leq i \leq m'$ , the image of  $P_i$  in  $Y$  lies on a unique line in  $Y$  (in other words, the image of  $P_i$  in  $Y$  is not a node).

Let  $\alpha, \alpha' : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  be  $\gamma$ -morphisms that lift to  $X$ . Suppose that  $\alpha, \alpha'$  are connected by an  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  that lifts to  $X$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $r, r' \in R$  be such that  $\beta_r, \beta_{r'} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_U^1$  are the pullbacks of  $\alpha, \alpha'$  respectively.

Case A:  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  both map  $u$  outside  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{m'}\}$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is obtained from  $Y$  by blowing up the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{J}'$ . In this case, the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $X = X_{m'}$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic if and only if the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $Y$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic. Indeed, if the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $Y$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic, then the  $n$ -ghost homotopy connecting them factors through the complement of  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{J}')$  in  $Y$  by Proposition 3.5 and consequently, lifts to  $X$ . The converse is obvious. The result in Case A therefore follows from Theorem 5.1.

Case B:  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  both map  $u$  to a point in  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{m'}\}$ .

By Proposition 3.5, there exists  $\tilde{P} \in Y$  which is the image of some point in  $\{P_1, \dots, P_{m'}\}$  such that the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $Y$  map  $u$  to  $\tilde{P}$ . There exists a unique rational number  $s$  and a line labelled  $\ell_s$  in  $Y$  such that  $\tilde{P}$  lies on  $\ell_s$ . Let  $a, b$  be coprime positive integers such that  $s = a/b$  is the reduced expression. By Proposition 5.3, we may assume that  $s = a/b < 1$ . Then  $x^a/y^b$  is a parameter on the line  $\ell_s$ . It follows that  $r, r'$  are unit multiples of each other in  $R$  and that  $r_0^a/r^b \in R^\times$ . Since  $R$  is henselian local over  $k$  and  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $r_0^a/r^b$  has a  $b$ -th root in  $R$ . Consequently,  $r_0^a$  has a  $b$ -th root in  $R$  and since  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, we conclude that  $r_0$  admits a  $b$ -th root in  $R$ . Fix  $\tilde{r}_0 \in R$  such that  $\tilde{r}_0^b = r_0$ . Let  $\phi_b : C \rightarrow C$  be the morphism corresponding to the  $k$ -homomorphism  $k[x]_{\langle x \rangle}^h \rightarrow k[x]_{\langle x \rangle}^h$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^b$ . By Theorem 4.16, we have a  $b$ -sheeted étale cover (see Definition 4.14 for the definition of the open subscheme  $U_s$  of  $Y$ )

$$\tilde{Y} = (U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \rightarrow (U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C) \rightarrow U_s$$

and an isomorphism of  $C$ -schemes

$$U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Y}.$$

By Proposition 3.5, we see that  $\mathcal{H}$  factors through the open subscheme  $U_s$  of  $Y$ . Using the fixed  $b$ -th root  $\tilde{r}_0$  of  $r_0$ , we see that the sections  $\alpha, \alpha'$  and the  $n$ -ghost homotopy  $\mathcal{H}$  lift to  $U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C$ . We want to show that  $\mathcal{H}$  lifts to  $\tilde{Y}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{Y} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C \\ & \nearrow h_{\mathcal{H}} & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{H}}} & U_s \\ f_{\mathcal{H}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\alpha, \alpha'} & Y \end{array}$$

Now, the rational function  $x^a/y^b$  defines a morphism  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$  (Lemma 4.13) and its pullback  $x^{ab}/y^b = (x^a/y)^b$  to  $U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C$  defines a morphism  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ . Since  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$  is normal, the pullback of the rational function  $x^a/y$  to  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$  is regular at every point of  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that  $h_{\mathcal{H}}$  lifts to  $\tilde{Y} = (U_s \times_{C, \phi_b} C)[x^a/y] \simeq U_a \simeq U_0$ , where the last isomorphism is given by Lemma 4.15. Set  $\tilde{X} := \tilde{Y} \times_Y X$ , where the morphism  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is given by the composition  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow U_s \hookrightarrow Y$ , which is étale. Now, the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $X$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic if and only if their lifts in  $\tilde{X}$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic. By Lemma 6.1, and since  $m' < m$ , it can be seen that there exists a smooth, projective ruled surface  $X'$  such that

- $X'$  is obtained by taking successive blowups of finitely many smooth, closed points of  $\mathbb{P}_C^1$ ;
- $\tilde{X}$  is an open subscheme of  $X'$ ;

- $\alpha, \alpha'$  lift to  $X'$  and their lifts in  $X'$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic if and only if the lifts of  $\alpha, \alpha'$  in  $\tilde{X}$  are  $n$ -ghost homotopic; and
- $N_{X'} < N_X$ .

By the induction hypothesis, we conclude that the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $X'$  are 1-ghost homotopic and map to the same element in  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X')(U)$ . Moreover, the same holds for the lifts of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  to  $\tilde{X}$  and to  $X$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let  $X$  be a smooth, projective birationally ruled surface over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . By [7, Chapter III, Theorem 2.3],  $X$  can be obtained by successively blowing up a finite number of smooth, closed points on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  or a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a curve  $C$ . If  $X$  is rational, then by [1, Corollary 2.3.7],  $X$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected. Hence,  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$  is evidently  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, being the trivial one-point sheaf. If  $X$  is not rational, then  $X$  has to be birationally ruled over a curve  $C$  of genus  $> 0$ , in which case the result is proved in Theorem 1.5 above.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** It can be shown that for a smooth projective birationally ruled surface  $X$  over an algebraically closed field, one has

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)(U) \simeq \mathcal{S}^n(X)(U),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and for all  $U \in Sm/k$  of dimension  $\leq 1$ . However, if  $X$  is not a minimal model, then it can be shown that  $\mathcal{S}(X) \neq C$  and that  $\mathcal{S}(X) \neq \mathcal{S}^2(X)$ . This shows, in particular, that the Morel-Voevodsky singular construction  $\text{Sing}_*^{\mathbb{A}^1} X$  is not  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local for such  $X$ . The details will appear in a forthcoming companion paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Asok and F. Morel: *Smooth varieties up to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy and algebraic  $h$ -cobordisms*, Adv. Math. 227(2011), no. 5, p. 1990 – 2058. [3](#), [36](#)
- [2] C. Balwe, A. Hogadi and A. Sawant:  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -connected components of schemes*, Adv. Math. 282 (2015), 335–361. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [3] C. Balwe and A. Sawant:  *$R$ -equivalence and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness in anisotropic groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, No. 22, 11816–11827. [3](#)
- [4] U. Choudhury: *Connectivity of motivic  $H$ -spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014), no. 1, 37–55. [2](#)
- [5] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik: *Concrete mathematics. A foundation for computer science*, Second edition. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1994. [20](#), [22](#)
- [6] Hartshorne, Robin: *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. [16](#), [22](#)
- [7] J. Kollár: *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 32, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1996. [2](#), [36](#)
- [8] F. Morel:  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -algebraic topology over a field*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2052, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [9] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky:  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 90(1999) 45–143. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [5](#)
- [10] A. Sawant: *Naive vs. genuine  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectedness*, K-Theory - Proceedings of the International Colloquium, Mumbai, 2016, 21–33, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2018. [3](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH MOHALI

*E-mail address:* `cbalwe@iisermohali.ac.in`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA.

*E-mail address:* `asawant@math.tifr.res.in`