

THE HARNACK INEQUALITY FOR A CLASS OF NONLOCAL PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

AGNID BANERJEE, NICOLA GAROFALO, ISIDRO H. MUNIVE, AND DUY-MINH NHIEU

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	3
3.	Extension problem for $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ and \mathcal{H}^s	7
4.	Proof of the Harnack inequality for \mathcal{H}^s	14
	References	16

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a scale invariant Harnack inequality for the fractional powers of parabolic operators $(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})^s$, $0 < s < 1$, where \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of a class of symmetric semigroups. As a by-product we also obtain a similar result for the nonlocal operators $(-\mathcal{L})^s$. Our focus is on non-Euclidean situations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Euclidean spaces the fractional powers of the Laplacian, $(-\Delta)^s$, have been studied in a variety of contexts, ranging from isotropic diffusion with jumps to thin obstacle problems and phase transition problems. See for instance [11], [42], [9], and also [19] for an up-to-date overview of recent developments. The Harnack inequality for the nonlocal Laplacian was first established by Landkof in [33] using potential methods. One should also see the contributions of K. Bogdan, see [6] and subsequent papers by the same author with various coauthors, and also those of Kassmann, see [31, 32]. In their 2007 celebrated work [10] Caffarelli and Silvestre gave an alternative proof based on the so-called extension method. They showed that a solution to the nonlocal equation $(-\Delta)^s u = 0$ in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ can be lifted to a function U in $\Omega \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which solves a degenerate elliptic equation satisfying the structural assumptions in the work of Fabes, Kenig and Serapioni [14]. This allowed them to obtain the Harnack inequality for $(-\Delta)^s$ from the De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory developed in [14] of such degenerate elliptic equations.

The focus of the present paper are situations that go beyond the Euclidean setting recalled above. More precisely, we prove a strong Harnack inequality for a class of degenerate parabolic equations which arise in the extension problem for nonlocal operators $\mathcal{H}^s = (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})^s$, $0 < s < 1$, where \mathcal{L} is, for instance, a locally subelliptic operator. A similar inequality for the time-independent case easily descends from this result. Similarly to what was done in [10], with such Harnack inequalities we then obtain corresponding ones for \mathcal{H}^s and $(-\mathcal{L})^s$.

First author is supported in part by SERB Matrix grant MTR/2018/000267.

Second author was supported in part by a Progetto SID (Investimento Strategico di Dipartimento) “Non-local operators in geometry and in free boundary problems, and their connection with the applied sciences”, University of Padova, 2017.

Third author is supported by CONACYT grant 265667, Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM.

Fourth author supported by the ministry of Science and Technology, R.O.C., Grant MOST 108-2115-M-008-011.

Some basic scenarios to which, under the hypothesis specified in Section 2, our results apply are as follows:

- (i) \mathcal{L} is a sub-Laplacian on a Carnot group \mathbb{G} , see [18];
- (ii) \mathcal{L} is a sub-Laplacian generated by a system of vector fields satisfying Hörmander's finite rank condition, see [27];
- (iii) \mathcal{L} is a locally sub-elliptic operator in the sense of Fefferman and Phong, see [15];
- (iv) \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous symmetric semigroup on L^2 .

Given the operator \mathcal{L} we consider the associated heat operator $\mathcal{H} = \partial_t - \mathcal{L}$. Using the spectral resolution of $-\mathcal{L}$, and the Fourier transform in the time variable t , we first introduce the relevant function spaces W^{2s} and their parabolic counterpart H^{2s} . These spaces constitute the natural domains for the fractional powers $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ and \mathcal{H}^s respectively, and they generalise the time-independent fractional Folland-Stein Sobolev space $W^{2s,2}(\mathbb{G})$ defined in [18] in the setting of Carnot groups. We then show that for a ‘‘Dirichlet’’ datum $u \in H^{2s}$ the extension problem for \mathcal{H}^s is well-defined. Moreover, the corresponding solution belongs to the right ‘‘energy space’’. The following is the central result in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a function $u \in H^{2s}$, let V be defined by*

$$(1.1) \quad V(x, z, t) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_z^{(a)}(x, y, \tau) u(y, t - \tau) dy d\tau,$$

where the function $P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t)$ denotes the Poisson kernel for the extension operator defined in (3.7) below. Then, V solves the degenerate parabolic partial differential equation in (3.1). Furthermore, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \|V(\cdot, z, \cdot) - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = 0,$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{2^{-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)} z^a \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) + \mathcal{H}^s u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = 0.$$

Finally, given $M > 0$, and with $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, M) \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists $C = C(a, \Sigma) > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|V\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}}.$$

For the definition of the Sobolev space $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)$, one should see (3.4) below. Once Theorem 1.1 is established, we are able to obtain the Harnack inequality for the nonlocal operator \mathcal{H}^s in the thin space from the regularity results of the corresponding extension operator \mathcal{H}_a in the thick space. In this perspective, the analysis becomes at this point similar to the above described approach for the fractional Laplacian in [10] based on the De-Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory developed in the seminal work [14] of Fabes, Kenig and Serapioni, and its parabolic counterpart [12] by Chiarenza and Serapioni. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < s < 1$, and $u \in H^{2s}$, with $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, be a solution to $\mathcal{H}^s u = 0$ in $B(x, 2r) \times (-2r^2, 0]$. Then, the following Harnack inequality holds*

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{B(x,r) \times (-r^2, -\frac{r^2}{2}]} u \leq C \inf_{B(x,r) \times (-\frac{r^2}{4}, 0]} u,$$

for some universal $C > 0$. Here $B(x, r)$ denotes the relative metric ball of radius r centered at x associated with the carré du champ corresponding to \mathcal{L} (see Section 2 for the precise notion).

Theorem 1.2 implies the following corresponding Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions of the nonlocal operator $(-\mathcal{L})^s$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let $u \in W^{2s}$, with $u \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , be a solution in $B(x, 2r)$ to $(-\mathcal{L})^s u = 0$. Then, there exists a universal $C > 0$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{B(x,r)} u \leq C \inf_{B(x,r)} u.$$

We mention that Theorem 1.3 represents a generalisation of the result first established for sub-Laplacians in Carnot groups by Ferrari and Franchi in [16]. We also mention that for the standard fractional heat operator $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$ in Euclidean space a strong Harnack inequality was recently proved by the first and second named authors in [3]. Their approach was based on the extension problem for the fractional heat equation that, for $s = 1/2$, was first introduced by F. Jones in his pioneering paper [30], and more recently developed for all $s \in (0, 1)$ independently by Nyström and Sande [38], and Stinga and Torrea [43].

The present work is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some basic notations and gather some known results which are relevant to our work in the subsequent sections. We then recall the notions of \mathcal{H}^s and $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ developed in [20] based on Balakrishnan's calculus. Combining the spectral resolution for $-\mathcal{L}$ with the Fourier transform in the t variable we also define the relevant function spaces W^{2s} , and their parabolic counterpart H^{2s} . In Section 3 we recall the extension problem for \mathcal{H}^s (following [20], the one for $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ is obtained from the latter using Bochner's subordination). We then prove our main result, Theorem 1.1, which shows that such problem is well-defined in the parabolic fractional Sobolev spaces H^{2s} . Finally, in Section 4 we establish the Harnack inequality for \mathcal{H}^s , using the ideas developed in [12], [25], [40] and [10].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some known results that will be used throughout our work. We emphasise that although we will confine our attention to the setting of smooth sub-Laplacians, we could have worked in the more abstract setting of symmetric Dirichlet forms supporting a doubling condition and a Poincaré inequality without essential changes. In what follows we consider \mathbb{R}^n endowed with Lebesgue measure (alternatively, we could have worked with a smooth measure on \mathbb{R}^n and our results would hold unchanged) and with a system of globally defined smooth vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m . We indicate with X_i^* the formal adjoint of X_i in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and with $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^* X_i$ the sub-Laplacian associated with the X_i 's. We assume that \mathcal{L} be locally subelliptic in the sense of [15]. The relative *carré du champ* will be denoted by

$$(2.1) \quad |Xu|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}(u^2) - 2u\mathcal{L}u).$$

We note that, indicating with (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have for $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(-\mathcal{L}u, v) = (u, -\mathcal{L}v), \quad (-\mathcal{L}u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Xu|^2 \geq 0,$$

thus the operator $-\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric and positive. We define

$$(2.2) \quad d(x, y) = \sup\{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \mid \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), |X\varphi| \leq 1\},$$

see [4, 5] and [13]. Throughout the paper we assume that $d(x, y)$ defines a distance on \mathbb{R}^n . We also assume that the metric topology is equivalent to the pre-existing Euclidean one in \mathbb{R}^n , see [44, Assumption A], and also [21] for a discussion of this aspect. The relative balls will be denoted by $B(x, r)$, and we let $V(x, r) = |B(x, r)|$, where $|E|$ indicates the Lebesgue measure of the set E . We will assume that there exists a constant $C_D > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ one has

$$(2.3) \quad V(x, 2r) \leq C_D V(x, r).$$

A third basic hypothesis that we make is that there exists another constant $C_P > 0$ such that for every ball $B(x, r)$, and every function $u \in C^{0,1}(B(x, 2r))$, one has

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B(x,r)} |u - u_B|^2 dx \leq C_P r^2 \int_{B(x,2r)} |Xu|^2 dx.$$

Under these assumptions it is well-known from [25] and [40] that one has the Harnack inequality for the heat operator $\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}$. In particular, the heat semigroup

$$(2.5) \quad P_t f(x) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y, t) f(y) dy,$$

has a strictly positive kernel $p(x, y, t)$ satisfying the Gaussian bounds: there exist constants $C, \alpha, \beta > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$ one has

$$\frac{C}{V(x, \sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} V(y, \sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\alpha d(x,y)^2}{t}} \leq p(x, y, t) \leq \frac{C^{-1}}{V(x, \sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} V(y, \sqrt{t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\beta d(x,y)^2}{t}}.$$

Before proceeding we note that, under our assumptions, the semigroup is stochastically complete, i.e., $P_t 1 = 1$. This means that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$ one has

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y, t) dy = 1.$$

This follows from the fact that (2.3) implies, in particular, that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $r \geq 1$ one has $V(x, r) \leq r^Q V(x, 1)$, where $Q = \log_2 C_D$. This estimate implies that $\int_1^\infty \frac{r}{\log V(x,r)} dr = \infty$. We can thus appeal to [44, Theor. 4] to conclude that $P_t 1 = 1$ (see also [24] for the Riemannian case). A first basic example under which the above assumptions are satisfied is of course that of a Carnot group. In such setting, because of the presence of non-isotropic dilations the hypothesis (2.3) is trivially satisfied, whereas (2.4) was established in [45]. More in general, one can consider a system X_1, \dots, X_m of smooth vector fields satisfying Hörmander's finite rank condition in [27] in a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In such situation, it is well known from the fundamental works [37] and [28] that (2.3) and (2.4) hold locally. One can turn these local results into global ones by using the tools developed in [7]. Specifically, consider a compact set $K \supset \bar{\Omega}$ in which the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m are defined. It is possible to extend such system to the whole \mathbb{R}^n in such a way that outside K the vector fields become the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , so that $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$, see [7, Theorem 2.9]. Moreover, the new control distance associated with the extended vector fields satisfies (2.3) globally. As a consequence of what we have said above, the semigroup P_t satisfies (2.6), see also [7, (3.2) in Theor. 3.4] for a direct proof.

The semigroup P_t defines a family of bounded operators $P_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having the following properties:

- (1) $P_0 = \text{Id}$ and for $s, t \geq 0$, $P_{s+t} = P_s \circ P_t$;
- (2) for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\|P_t u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
- (3) for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the map $t \rightarrow P_t u$ is continuous in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (4) for $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (P_t u) v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (P_t v) dx.$$

Properties (1)-(4) above can be summarized by saying that $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a self-adjoint strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From the spectral decomposition, it is also easily checked that the operator \mathcal{L} is furthermore the generator of this semigroup, that is for $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$ (the domain of \mathcal{L}), one has

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{P_t u - u}{t} - \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

This implies that for $t \geq 0$, $P_t \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$, and that for $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_t u = P_t \mathcal{L} u = \mathcal{L} P_t u,$$

the derivative in the left-hand side of the above equality being taken in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Formula (2.7) shows in particular that for any $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$, one has

$$(2.8) \quad \|P_t u - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

We next recall the fractional calculus of the operators \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}$ introduced in [20]. For related developments covering a quite different class of Hörmander type equations, we refer the reader to the recent work [22] by Tralli and the second named author.

Definition 2.1. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$. For any $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the nonlocal operator*

$$(2.9) \quad (-\mathcal{L})^s u(x) = -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} [P_t u(x) - u(x)] dt.$$

In an abstract setting formula (2.9) is due to Balakrishnan, see [1] and [2]. The integral in the right-hand side of (2.9) must be interpreted as a Bochner integral in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We note explicitly that, in view of (2.8) and of (2) above, such integral is convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$, and thus in particular for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We emphasise that, as observed in [20, Lemma 8.5], Definition 2.1 coincides with that proposed in [16] in the setting of Carnot groups. To define now the fractional power \mathcal{H}^s , we recall the notion of evolutive semigroup

$$P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}} u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y, \tau) u(y, t - \tau) dy,$$

for whose properties we refer the reader to [20]. Observe that when $u(x, t) = v(x)$, then $P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}} u(x, t) = P_t v(x)$. Furthermore, $P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}}$ is contractive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$,

$$(2.10) \quad \|P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})},$$

and, analogously to (2.8), for any $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{H})$ (and thus in particular for $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}} u - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = O(\tau).$$

Definition 2.2. *Given $s \in (0, 1)$, and a function $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, similarly to (2.9) we define*

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{H}^s u(x, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty \tau^{-s-1} [P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}} u(x, t) - u(x, t)] d\tau.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.11), it is easy to see that definition (2.12) is well-posed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Next, we denote by $\{E(\lambda)\}$ the spectral measures associated with \mathcal{L} . Let

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{L} = -\int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$$

denote the spectral resolution of \mathcal{L} (see e.g. [46, Chap. 8], or [41, Chap. 27] for a detailed discussion). In terms of the spectral measures the heat semigroup $\{P_t\}$ is given by

$$(2.14) \quad P_t = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dE(\lambda).$$

An expression for the fractional powers of the sub-Laplacian in terms of this decomposition is then given by

$$(2.15) \quad (-\mathcal{L})^s u = \int_0^\infty \lambda^s dE(\lambda) u, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The identity (2.15) is easily verified using the well-known integral

$$\int_0^\infty \tau^{-s-1}(1 - e^{-\tau})d\tau = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{s}, \quad 0 < s < 1,$$

which gives $-\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t}-1}{t^{1+s}} dt = \lambda^s$. This formula continues to be valid for any complex number $w = \lambda + 2\pi i\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\lambda > 0$. We record this for later use

$$(2.16) \quad -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)t} - 1}{t^{1+s}} dt = (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)^s, \quad \lambda > 0, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using (2.16) with $\sigma = 0$, the spectral representation (2.14) and Balakrishnan's formula (2.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (-\mathcal{L})^s u(x) &= -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} [P_t u(x) - u(x)] dt \\ &= -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty t^{-s-1} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dE(\lambda) u(x) - u(x) \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda^s dE(\lambda) u(x), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have exchanged the order of integration. Now, for a function $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ we define the norm

$$(2.17) \quad \|u\|_{2s} \stackrel{def}{=} \left(\int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda^s)^2 d\|E(\lambda)u\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we have used the fact that $E(\lambda)$ is idempotent and symmetric to write $(E(\lambda)u, u) = (E(\lambda)u, E(\lambda)u) = \|E(\lambda)u\|^2$. We then let

$$(2.18) \quad W^{2s} = W^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{2s}}.$$

From (2.15) we thus see that the definition of $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ is extendible to functions in W^{2s} . We stress that in the classical setting when $\mathcal{L} = \Delta$, the space W^{2s} coincides with the fractional Sobolev space of order $2s$ defined by the norm

$$(2.19) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2 + ((-\Delta)^s u)^2) \right)^{1/2}.$$

This is easily seen from (2.15) since in terms of the spectral resolution of $-\Delta$, we have that

$$\int u^2 + ((-\Delta)^s u)^2 = \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda^{2s}) d\|E(\lambda)u\|^2 \cong \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda^s)^2 d\|E(\lambda)u\|^2.$$

Thus, the norm in (2.19) is finite if and only if the corresponding norm in (2.17) is finite. We also mention that in the classical case when $0 < s < 1/2$, the space W^{2s} coincides with the fractional Aronszajn-Gagliardo-Slobedetzky space of the functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+4s}} dx dy < \infty.$$

Now for a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we denote its Fourier transform by

$$\hat{f}(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i\sigma t} f(t) dt, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, then by taking the Fourier transform with respect to the time variable, we easily find

$$(2.20) \quad \widehat{P_\tau^{\mathcal{H}}} u(x, \sigma) = e^{-2\pi i\tau\sigma} P_\tau(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x).$$

From this observation, in view of (2.16), we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{H}^s u}(x, \sigma) &= -\frac{s}{\Gamma(1-s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{1+s}} \left[\int_0^\infty \left(e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1 \right) dE(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma) \right] d\tau \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)^s dE(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.22) \quad \|\widehat{\mathcal{H}^s u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_0^\infty |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{2s} d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2.$$

Similarly to the stationary case, for a function $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ we now define the following norm

$$(2.23) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}} \stackrel{def}{=} \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty (1 + |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^s)^2 d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We then let

$$(2.24) \quad H^{2s} = \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}}}.$$

In view of the computation in (2.21), we see that the definition of \mathcal{H}^s is extendible to functions in H^{2s} . As above, it can be seen that in the classical setting when $\mathcal{H} = \partial_t - \Delta$, the space H^{2s} coincides with the space $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}^s)$ adopted in [43] and [3].

3. EXTENSION PROBLEM FOR $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ AND \mathcal{H}^s

In this section, given $s \in (0, 1)$, we indicate with $a = 1 - 2s$. Note that $-1 < a < 1$, and that $a = 0$ when $s = 1/2$. To discuss the extension problem for $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ and \mathcal{H}^s , following [20] we begin with that for \mathcal{H}^s , and then use Bochner subordination for the time-independent case. We will use the letter z for the so-called extension variable, and denote by $\mathcal{B}_a = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{a}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ the Bessel operator on the half-line $\{z > 0\}$. Given the heat operator $\mathcal{H} = \partial_t - \mathcal{L}$ with respect to the variables $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the extension problem for \mathcal{H}^s consists in solving the ‘‘Dirichlet problem’’ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+2}

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_a V \stackrel{def}{=} z^a (\mathcal{H}V + \mathcal{B}_a V) = 0, \\ V(x, 0, t) = u(x, t). \end{cases}$$

We note that the operator \mathcal{H}_a can be written as a degenerate parabolic operator of the form

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_a = \omega(x, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \tilde{X}_i^* \left(\omega(x, z) \tilde{X}_i \right),$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{X}_1 = X_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_m = X_m, \tilde{X}_{m+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(x, z) = |z|^a.$$

Given an open set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, the Sobolev space $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)$ is defined as the collection of all functions $U \in L^2(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)$ such that $XU, \partial_z U \in L^2(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)$. Such space is endowed with its natural norm

$$(3.4) \quad \|U\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)} = \left(\int_\Sigma \left(|U|^2 + |XU|^2 + |\partial_z U|^2 \right) z^a dx dz dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

We now introduce the relevant notion of weak solution.

Definition 3.1. Given $\Sigma = B(x, r) \times (0, M) \times (T_1, T_2)$, a function $W \in \mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)$ is said to be a weak solution in Σ of

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_a W = 0. \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} z^a \partial_z W = \psi, \quad \text{for } \psi \in L^2(B(x, r) \times (T_1, T_2), dx dz) \end{cases}$$

if for every $\phi \in C^\infty(B(x, r) \times [0, M] \times [T_1, T_2])$ with compact support in $B(x, r) \times [0, M] \times [T_1, T_2]$, and almost every t_1, t_2 such that $T_1 < t_1 < t_2 < T_2$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\int_{B(x, r) \times (0, M)} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \tilde{X}_i W \tilde{X}_i \phi |z|^a dx dz \right) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\int_{B(x, r) \times (0, M)} |z|^a \phi_t W dx dz \right) dt \\ & - \int_{B(x, r) \times (0, M)} \phi(\cdot, t_2) W(\cdot, t_2) |z|^a dx dz + \int_{B(x, r) \times (0, M)} \phi(\cdot, t_1) W(\cdot, t_1) |z|^a dx dz \\ & - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B(x, r) \times \{0\}} \psi \phi dx dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter, $p(x, y, t)$ indicates the heat kernel in (2.5). The following *Poisson kernel* for the problem (3.1) was introduced in [20]:

$$(3.7) \quad P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2^{1-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)} \frac{z^{1-a}}{t^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} p(x, y, t).$$

For the main properties of the function $P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t)$, see [20, Prop. 9.5, 9.6, 10.3, 10.4]. Here, we reproduce the one that represents a key ingredient in solving (3.1).

Proposition 3.2. For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \neq y$ and $t > 0$ one has

$$\partial_t P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t) - \mathcal{B}_a P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{L}_x P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t).$$

We are ready to prove one of the central results in this note.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Differentiating under the integral sign and using Proposition 3.2 it is easily seen that V satisfies the partial differential equation in (3.1). We thus turn to proving (1.2). Taking the Fourier transform of V with respect to the variable t , and using (2.14), (2.20) and the spectral decomposition for $-\mathcal{L}$, we have the following alternative representation for V ,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{V}(x, z, \sigma) &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-2\pi i \tau \sigma} P_\tau(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x) d\tau \\ &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i \sigma)\tau} d\tau \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Plancherel theorem in the t variable we note that,

$$\|V(\cdot, z, \cdot) - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \|\widehat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot) - \hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Since for every $z > 0$,

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{2^{1-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)} z^{1-a} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} dt = 1,$$

from (3.8) we infer that the following important identity

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \widehat{V}(x, z, \sigma) - \hat{u}(x, \sigma) \\ &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i \sigma)\tau} - 1] d\tau \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\hat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot) - \hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 \\
&= C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1] d\tau \right|^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \\
&= C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-\theta} - 1] d\theta \right|^2 |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \\
&\leq C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [1 - e^{-\theta}] d\theta \right)^2 |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \\
&= C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the second equality in (3.11) can be justified by applying, for every $z > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, Cauchy's integral formula to the holomorphic function in the half-plane $\Re w > 0$,

$$f(w) = \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)}{4w}}(e^{-w} - 1)}{w^{\frac{3-a}{2}}},$$

and to the counterclockwise oriented contour $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, R}$ formed by the two rays $\{(\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)\tau \mid \tau > 0\}$, and $\{\Im w = 0, \Re w > 0\}$, and the portion of the circles $\{|w|^2 = R^2\}$ and $\{|w|^2 = \varepsilon^2\}$ connecting them. Then, we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$. Noting that the integrals of $f(w)$ along the circular arcs of $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, R}$ tend to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1] d\tau = (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)^{\frac{1-a}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-\theta} - 1] d\theta,$$

which justifies the second equality in (3.11). Consequently, keeping in mind that $1 - a = 2s$, from (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot) - \hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 &\leq C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{2s} d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \\
&= C(a)z^{2(1-a)} \|\widehat{\mathcal{H}^s u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used (2.22). We infer that V converges to u in L^2 as $z \rightarrow 0^+$.

We next prove (1.3). Differentiating (3.10) with respect to z , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(x, z, \sigma) \\
&= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-a}{z} - \frac{z}{2\tau} \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1] d\tau \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we make the crucial observation that

$$(3.13) \quad -\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \right) = \frac{z}{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} \left(\frac{1-a}{z} - \frac{z}{2\tau} \right).$$

Using (3.13) in (3.12), we obtain the second basic identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(x, z, \sigma) \\
&= -\frac{z^{-a}}{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \right) [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1] d\tau \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x) \\
&= \frac{z^{-a}}{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\int_0^\infty [e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} - 1] dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x) \right) d\tau \\
&= -\frac{z^{-a}}{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x) \right) d\tau \\
&= -\frac{z^{-a}}{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\tau} d\tau \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x) \\
&= -\frac{z^{-a}}{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)^{\frac{1-a}{2}} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta} e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} d\theta \right) dE(\lambda)(\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma))(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality can be justified by applying Cauchy's integral theorem to the function

$$g(w) = \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4w}(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)} e^{-w}}{w^{\frac{1-a}{2}}},$$

and to the same path $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, R}$ as in the proof of (3.11). From (2.21) and (3.14) we thus find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+a}{2})} z^a \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) + \widehat{\mathcal{H}^s u} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma)^{2s} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1+a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta} e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} d\theta - 1 \right)^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side of the latter equality tends to zero as $z \rightarrow 0^+$ in view of Lebesgue dominated convergence and of the equation $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1+a}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} d\theta = 1$. By Plancherel theorem in t variable we thus finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+a}{2})} z^a \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) + \mathcal{H}^s u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{2^{-a}\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+a}{2})} z^a \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) + \widehat{\mathcal{H}^s u} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired conclusion (1.3).

We now prove our final claim (1.4). First, we note from (3.7) and (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x, z, t)^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&= C(a) z^{2s} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{1+s}} p(x, y, \tau) u(y, t - \tau) dy d\tau \right)^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(a) z^{2s} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y, \tau) u(y, t - \tau)^2 dx dt dy \right)^{1/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{1+s}} d\tau \\
&\leq C(s) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first inequality in (3.15) follows by an application of Minkowski and Jensen inequalities, and the second one follows from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, y, \tau) dx = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{2s}}{\tau^{1+s}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}} d\tau = 4^s \Gamma(s).$$

For $M > 0$ let $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, M) \times \mathbb{R}$. Since $a \in (-1, 1)$, by Fubini's theorem we easily obtain from (3.15)

$$(3.16) \quad \|V\|_{L^2(\Sigma, z^a dx dz dt)} \leq C(a, M) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Keeping (3.14) in mind, we find next

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \left\| \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\
&= C(a) z^{-2a} \int_0^\infty |\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma|^{1-a} \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta} e^{-(\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma) \frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} d\theta \right|^2 d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.17) we thus obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad & \left\| \frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial z}(\cdot, z, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma, z^a dz dx dt)}^2 \\
&\leq C(a, M) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty |\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma|^{2s} d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \leq C(a, M) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.23). We now note that for an arbitrary function f , appropriately decaying at infinity in \mathbb{R}^n , an integration by parts gives

$$\|Xf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|(-\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining this observation with Plancherel theorem in the t variable, we find

$$(3.19) \quad \|XV(\cdot, z, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})} = \|(-\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

Using (2.15) with $s = 1/2$, and the representation (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(-\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{V}(\cdot, z, \sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_0^1 \lambda \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-(\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma)\tau} d\tau \right|^2 d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 \\
& + C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_1^\infty \lambda \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-(\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma)\tau} d\tau \right|^2 d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 = I(z, \sigma) + II(z, \sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
I(z, \sigma) &\leq C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_0^1 \lambda \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} e^{-\lambda\tau} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 \\
&\leq C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 \\
&\leq C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 \\
&= C'(a) \|\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is justified by the change of variable $\rho = \frac{z^2}{4\tau}$, and by the fact that $\Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2}) = \int_0^\infty \rho^{\frac{1-a}{2}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$. Next, an application of Cauchy's integral formula as in (3.11), (3.14), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
II(z, \sigma) &= C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_1^\infty \lambda |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\lambda+2\pi i\sigma)\frac{z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{3-a}{2}}} e^{-\theta} d\theta \right|^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 \\
&\leq C(a) z^{2(1-a)} \int_1^\infty \lambda |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} e^{-\theta} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right)^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We now use the following formula that can be found in 9. on p. 340 of [23]

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\nu-1} e^{-(\frac{\beta}{t} + \gamma t)} dt = 2 \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} K_\nu(2\sqrt{\beta\gamma}),$$

provided $\Re\beta, \Re\gamma > 0$. Applying it with $\nu = -\frac{1-a}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{\lambda z^2}{4}$ and $\gamma = 1$, and keeping in mind that $K_\nu = K_{-\nu}$ (see 5.7.10 in [34]), we find

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda z^2}{4\theta}}}{\theta^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} e^{-\theta} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right)^2 = 4^{2-a} z^{-2(1-a)} \lambda^{-1+a} K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(z\sqrt{\lambda})^2.$$

This gives

$$II(z, \sigma) \leq C'(a) \int_1^\infty \lambda^a |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(z\sqrt{\lambda})^2 d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2.$$

Combining estimates, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(-\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma, z^a dz dx dt)}^2 \leq C(a, M) \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 \\
&+ C'(a) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_1^\infty \lambda^a |\lambda + 2\pi i\sigma|^{1-a} \int_0^M K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(z\sqrt{\lambda})^2 z^a dz d\|E(\lambda)\hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe now that, in view of the asymptotic behaviour of $K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(\rho)^2$, see e.g. (5.11.9) in [34], we have

$$\int_0^M K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(z\sqrt{\lambda})^2 z^a dz = \lambda^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \int_0^{M\sqrt{\lambda}} K_{\frac{1-a}{2}}(\rho)^2 \rho^a d\rho \leq C''(a) \lambda^{-\frac{a+1}{2}}.$$

Substituting this information in the above inequality, we thus find

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(-\mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{V}(\cdot, z, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma, z^a dz dx dt)}^2 \leq C(a, M) \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^2 \\ & + C''''(a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} \lambda^{\frac{a-1}{2}} |\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma|^{1-a} d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \\ & \leq C''''(a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} |\lambda + 2\pi i \sigma|^{1-a} d\|E(\lambda) \hat{u}(\cdot, \sigma)\|^2 d\sigma \leq \tilde{C}(a) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.23) and the fact that $1 - a = 2s$. Finally, by taking (3.19) into account, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \|XV(\cdot, z, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \leq C(a, M) \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2s}}^2.$$

The desired conclusion (1.4) now follows from the estimates (3.16), (3.18) and (3.20). \square

We close this section by briefly discussing the extension problem for $(-\mathcal{L})^s$. The relevant result is Theorem 3.3 below, whose proof we do not present since it follows from that of Theorem 1.1 and from Bochner's subordination. For a given $s \in (0, 1)$, let $a = 1 - 2s$. Now given $u \in W^{2s}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} (3.21) \quad U(x, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^{\infty} P_z^{(a)}(x, y, t) dt \right) u(y) dy \\ &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}}}{t^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} p(x, y, t) \frac{dt}{t} \right) u(y) dy \\ &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}}}{t^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} P_t u(x) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{z^{1-a}}{2^{1-a} \Gamma(\frac{1-a}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}}}{t^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} dE(\lambda) u \right) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating under the integral sign and by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 10.4 in [20] we have that

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{L}_a U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z^a (\mathcal{L}U + \mathcal{B}_a U) = 0, \quad z > 0.$$

The operator \mathcal{L}_a can be written as

$$(3.23) \quad \mathcal{L}_a = - \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \tilde{X}_i^* \left(\omega(x, z) \tilde{X}_i \right),$$

where \tilde{X}_i , $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ and ω are as in (3.3). The fact that U defined above is a weak solution to the following boundary problem

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_a U = 0 & \text{in } \{z > 0\}, \\ U(x, z) = u(x) & \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{cases}$$

and that $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ can be realized as a weighted Dirichlet-to-Neumann map corresponding to the operator \mathcal{L}_a , is a consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *For a given $u \in W^{2s}$, let U be as in (3.21). Then, U solves the partial differential equation in (3.24). Furthermore, we have*

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \|U(\cdot, z) - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0,$$

and

$$(3.26) \quad -\frac{2^{-a}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} z^a \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(x, z) = (-\mathcal{L})^s u(x) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Finally, for every $M > 0$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, M)$, one has

$$(3.27) \quad \|U\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,2}(\Sigma, z^a dx dz)} \leq C \|u\|_{2s},$$

for some $C = C(a, M) > 0$.

4. PROOF OF THE HARNACK INEQUALITY FOR \mathcal{H}^s

As it has been mentioned in the introduction, the Harnack inequality for \mathcal{H}^s and $(-\mathcal{L})^s$ is derived from that of the corresponding degenerate local operators \mathcal{H}_a and \mathcal{L}_a in (3.2) and (3.23) respectively. Since the Harnack inequality for the time-independent operator \mathcal{L}_a descends easily from that of the time-dependent operator \mathcal{H}_a , we focus on this one. From the works [25] and [40] it is known that the Harnack inequality for parabolic operators generated by smooth Dirichlet forms is equivalent to the doubling condition and the Poincaré inequality for the corresponding metric balls associated with the *carré du champ*. Now, because of the presence of the non-smooth weight $|z|^a$ the extended operator in (3.2) does not satisfy the structural assumptions in [25], [40]. Nevertheless, the ideas in those papers, or more precisely the arguments in [12], do apply once we have the appropriate doubling condition and the Poincaré inequality in the extended space. We thus turn to verify the validity of these properties for the metric balls corresponding to the extended system of vector fields (3.3).

For a given $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and for $r > 0$ we consider the cylinders

$$C_r(x, z) = B(x, r) \times (z - r, z + r),$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the ball in the control distance (2.2) corresponding to \mathcal{L} . Then, the doubling property

$$(4.1) \quad |C_{2r}(x, z)| \leq C |C_r(x, z)|$$

follows immediately from (2.3). We now show that the doubling property for the metric balls follows from (4.1). Observe that the *carré du champ* relative to the extended system \tilde{X} is

$$|\tilde{X}u|^2 = |Xu|^2 + (\partial_z u)^2.$$

Since we have assumed that d is in fact a distance, and ∂_z is independent of \mathcal{L} , it easily follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{d}((x, z), (x', z')) = \sup\{|\varphi(x, z) - \varphi(x', z')| \mid \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), |\tilde{X}\varphi| \leq 1\}$$

is also a distance. We also infer from (4.2) that there exist constants $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{B}((x, z), \sigma_1 r) \subset C_r(x, z) \subset \tilde{B}((x, z), \sigma_2 r),$$

where $\tilde{B}((x, z), r)$ denotes the ball relative to \tilde{d} centred at (x, z) and of radius r . From (4.1) and (4.3) we easily conclude the validity of

$$(4.4) \quad |\tilde{B}((x, z), 2r)| \leq C |\tilde{B}((x, z), r)|,$$

for a new constant C depending also on σ_1 and σ_2 .

We next show that the following Poincaré inequality holds,

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} (f - f_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)})^2 |z|^a dx dz \leq C_1 r^2 \int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), 2r)} |\tilde{X}f|^2 |z|^a dx dz,$$

where

$$f_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} = \frac{\int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} f |z|^a dx dz}{\int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} |z|^a dx dz}.$$

To prove (4.5) we observe that, on one hand, (2.4) is valid by hypothesis. On the other hand, since $\omega(z) = |z|^a$ is an A_2 -weight on the real line, the following one-dimensional Poincaré inequality holds (see for instance [14]),

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{(z-r, z+r)} (f - f_{z,r})^2 |z|^a dz \leq C \int_{(z-r, z+r)} f'(z)^2 |z|^a dz,$$

where

$$f_{z,r} = \frac{\int_{(z-r, z+r)} f |z|^a dz}{\int_{(z-r, z+r)} |z|^a dz}.$$

We can thus apply the real analysis argument in the proof of [36, Lemma 2] to show that the Poincaré inequality holds with respect to the cylinders $\{C_r(x, z)\}$, i.e.,

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{C_r(x, z)} (f - f_{C_r(x, z)})^2 |z|^a dx dz \leq C_1 r^2 \int_{C_{2r}(x, z)} |\tilde{X}f|^2 |z|^a dx dz,$$

where

$$f_{C_r(x, z)} = \frac{\int_{C_r(x, z)} f |z|^a dx dz}{\int_{C_r(x, z)} |z|^a dx dz}.$$

With $\omega(\tilde{B}((x, z), r)) = \int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} |z|^a dz dx$, it also follows from (4.3) that the following weighted doubling condition holds,

$$(4.8) \quad \omega(\tilde{B}((x, z), 2r)) \leq C_1 \omega(\tilde{B}((x, z), r)).$$

Thus, using (4.3), (4.7) and (4.8), by a standard argument (see the proof of [26, Theor. 1], and also [21], [28]), we conclude that (4.5) holds. Moreover, arguing as in the proof of [21, Theor. 2.1], or as in [26, Theor. 1], from (4.5) and (4.8) we infer that the following Sobolev type inequality holds for all $0 < r \leq 1$, and every $\phi \in C_0^{0,1}(\tilde{B}((x, z), r))$,

$$\left(\int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} |\phi|^{2\kappa} |z|^a dx dz \right)^{\frac{1}{2\kappa}} \leq C \left(\int_{\tilde{B}((x, z), r)} |X\phi|^2 |z|^a dx dz \right)^{1/2},$$

for some $\kappa > 1$ depending on the doubling constant in (4.8). At this point, we can apply the circle of ideas in [25] and [40] to deduce that the following Harnack inequality holds for the degenerate parabolic operator \mathcal{H}_a in (3.2).

Theorem 4.1. *Let U be a solution to $\mathcal{H}_a U = 0$ in $C_r(x, z) \times (-r^2, 0]$ with \mathcal{H}_a as in (3.2). Then, the following scale invariant Harnack inequality holds,*

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{C_{r/2}(x, z) \times \{t = -\frac{r^2}{2}\}} U \leq C_0 \inf_{C_{r/2}(x, z) \times \{t=0\}} U,$$

for some universal constant $C_0 > 0$ depending on the constants in (4.5) and (4.8).

Remark 4.2. *We mention that, when the system \tilde{X} is of Hörmander type, the Poincaré inequality (4.5) follows from Lu's work [35].*

Remark 4.3. *We also note that given the validity of (4.4) and (4.5), it follows from Theorem 4.4 in [40] that the operator \mathcal{H}_a is hypoelliptic for $z > 0$.*

With Theorem 4.1 in hands, we are finally able to provide the

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that, given a function $u \in H^{2s}$, the function V defined in (1.1) is a weak solution to

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_a V = 0 & \text{for } z > 0, \\ V(x, 0, t) = u(x, t), \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} z^a \partial_z V = 0 & \text{in } B(x, 2r) \times (-2r^2, 0], \end{cases}$$

in the sense of Definition 3.1 where \mathcal{H}_a is the extension operator in (3.2). Now thanks to the vanishing Neumann condition, if V is evenly reflected, it follows by a standard argument that the extended V is a weak solution to $\mathcal{H}_a V = 0$ in $C_{2r}((x, 0)) \times (-2r^2, 0]$. At this point, we can apply the scale invariant Harnack inequality (4.9) to V and subsequently by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.8 in [16] we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, *On the powers of the infinitesimal generators of groups and semigroups of linear bounded transformations*, Thesis (Ph.D.)-University of Southern California. 1954. (no paging), ProQuest LLC.
- [2] A. V. Balakrishnan, *Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 419-437.
- [3] A. Banerjee & N. Garofalo, *Monotonicity of generalized frequencies and the strong unique continuation property for fractional parabolic equations*, Adv. Math., **336** (2018), 149-241.
- [4] M. Biroli & U. Mosco, *Formes de Dirichlet et estimations structurelles dans les milieux discontinus*. (French) [Dirichlet forms and structural estimates in discontinuous media] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **313** (1991), no. 9, 593-598.
- [5] M. Biroli & U. Mosco, *A Saint-Venant type principle for Dirichlet forms on discontinuous media*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **169** (1995), 125-181.
- [6] K. Bogdan, *The boundary Harnack principle for the fractional Laplacian*, Studia Math., **123** (1997), 43-80.
- [7] M. Bramanti, L. Brandolini, E. Lanconelli & F. Uguzzoni *Non-divergence equations structured on Hörmander vector fields: heat kernels and Harnack inequalities*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **204** (2010), no. 961, vi+123 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4903-3
- [8] F. Chiarenza & R. Serapioni, *A remark on a Harnack inequality for degenerate parabolic equations.*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **73** (1985), 179-190.
- [9] X. Cabré & Y. Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*. (English summary) Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **31** (2014), no. 1, 23-53.
- [10] L. Caffarelli & L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245-1260.
- [11] L. A. Caffarelli, S. Salsa & L. Silvestre, *Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), no. 2, 425-461.
- [12] F. Chiarenza & R. Serapioni, *A remark on a Harnack inequality for degenerate parabolic equations*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **73** (1985), 179-190.
- [13] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. x+197 pp.
- [14] E. Fabes, C. Kenig & R. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **7** (1982), 77-116.
- [15] C. Fefferman & D. Phong, *Subelliptic eigenvalue problems. Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund*, Vol. I, II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), 590-606, Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983.
- [16] F. Ferrari & B. Franchi, *Harnack inequality for fractional sub-Laplacians in Carnot group*, Math. Z. **279** (2015), no. 1-2, 435-458.
- [17] F. Ferrari, M. Miranda, D. Pallara, A. Pinamonti & Y. Sire, *Fractional Laplacians, perimeters and heat semigroups in Carnot groups*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **11** (2018), no. 3, 477-491.
- [18] G.B. Folland, *Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups*, Ark. Math., **13** (1975), 161-207.
- [19] N. Garofalo, *Fractional thoughts*. New developments in the analysis of nonlocal operators, 1-135, Contemp. Math., 723, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [20] N. Garofalo, *Some properties of sub-Laplacians*. Proceedings of the International Conference "Two nonlinear days in Urbino 2017", 103-131, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf., 25, Texas State Univ.-San Marcos, Dept. Math., San Marcos, TX, 2018.
- [21] N. Garofalo & D. Nhieu, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49** (1996), no. 10, 1081-1144.
- [22] N. Garofalo & G. Tralli, *A class of nonlocal hypoelliptic operators and their extensions*, arXiv: 1811.02968. To appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J.

- [23] I. S. Gradshteyn & I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, series, and products*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [24] A. A. Grigor'yan, *Stochastically complete manifolds*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **290** (1986), no. 3, 534-537.
- [25] A. A. Grigor'yan, *The heat equation on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 182 (1991), no. 1, 55-87; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 72 (1992), no. 1, 47-77.
- [26] P. Hajlasz & P. Koskela, *Sobolev met Poincaré*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2000), no. 688, x+101 pp.
- [27] L. Hörmander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Math., **119** (1967), 147-171.
- [28] D. Jerison, *The Poincaré inequality for vector fields satisfying Hörmander's condition*. Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 2, 503-523.
- [29] D. Jerison & A. Sanchez-Calle, *Estimates for the heat kernel for a sum of squares of vector fields*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **35** (1986), no.4, 835-854.
- [30] F. B. Jones, Jr., *Lipschitz spaces and the heat equation*. J. Math. Mech. **18** (1968/69), 379-409.
- [31] M. Kassmann, *A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 1, 1-21.
- [32] M. Kassmann, *A new formulation of Harnack's inequality for nonlocal operators*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), no. 11-12, 637-640.
- [33] N.S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1972.
- [34] N. N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by R. A. Silverman. Unabridged and corrected republication. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972. xii+308 pp.
- [35] G. Lu, *Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities for vector fields satisfying Hörmanders condition and applications*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **8** (1992), no. 3, 367-439.
- [36] G. Lu & R. Wheeden, *Poincare inequalities, isoperimetric estimates, and representation formulas on product spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 1, 123-151.
- [37] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein & S. Wainger, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields. I. Basic properties*. Acta Math. **155** (1985), no. 1-2, 103-147.
- [38] K. Nyström & O. Sande, *Extension properties and boundary estimates for a fractional heat operator*, Nonlinear Analysis, **140** (2016), 29-37.
- [39] P. Maheux & L. Saloff-Coste, *Analyse sur les boules d'un opérateur sous-elliptique*. Math. Ann. 303, 4 (1995), 713740.
- [40] L. Saloff-Coste, *A note on Poincaré, Sobolev and Harnack inequalities*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2 (1992), 27-38.
- [41] V. Serov, *Fourier series, Fourier transform and their applications to mathematical physics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 197. Springer, Cham, 2017, xi+534 pp.
- [42] Y. Sire & E. Valdinoci, *Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result*. J. Funct. Anal., 256 (2009), 1842-1864.
- [43] P. R. Stinga & J. L. Torrea, *Regularity theory and extension problem for fractional nonlocal parabolic equations and the master equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **49** (2017), no. 5, 3893-3924.
- [44] K.-T. Sturm, *Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. I. Recurrence, conservativeness and L^p -Liouville properties*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **456** (1994), 173-196.
- [45] N. Th. Varopoulos, *Fonctions harmoniques sur les groupes de Lie*. (French) [Harmonic functions on Lie groups] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **304** (1987), no. 17, 519-521.
- [46] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 123 Academic Press, Inc., New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1965 xi+458 pp.

TIFR CAM, BANGALORE-560065
E-mail address, Agnid Banerjee: agnidban@gmail.com

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA CIVILE, EDILE E AMBIENTALE (DICEA), UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, 35131 PADOVA, ITALY
E-mail address, Nicola Garofalo: nicola.garofalo@unipd.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY CENTER OF EXACT SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF GUADALAJARA, 44430 GUADALAJARA, MEXICO
E-mail address, Isidro Munive: imunivel@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, ZHONGLI DISTRICT, TAoyuan CITY 32001, TAIWAN, R.O.C.
E-mail address, Duy-Minh Nhieu: dmnhieu@math.ncu.edu.tw